An almost sharp concentration inequality for random polytopes and Dirichlet-Voronoi tiling numbers
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Abstract

Our main contribution is an almost sharp concentration inequality for the symmetric volume difference of a $C^2$ convex body with strictly positive Gaussian curvature and a circumscribed random polytope with a restricted number of facets, for any probability measure on the boundary with a strictly positive density function. We also show that the Dirichlet-Voronoi tiling numbers satisfy $\text{div}_n = (2\pi e)^{-1}(n + \ln(n)) + O(1)$, and we provide an interesting observation and an open conjecture about random partial sphere “covering” related to results of Erdős, Few and Rogers. This conjecture is closely connected to the optimality of random polytopes in high dimensions. Finally, as an application of all of our results, we derive a lower bound for the Mahler volume product of polytopes with a restricted number of vertices.

1 Introduction

The approximation of convex bodies by polytopes is of significant theoretical interest in convex geometry, and it has applications in a wide variety of areas, including tomography (e.g., [13]), computational geometry (e.g., [8, 9]), geometric algorithms (e.g., [14]), and many more. The accuracy of the approximation is often measured by the symmetric volume difference $d_S$ (also called the symmetric difference metric, or the Nikodym metric), which equals the volume of the symmetric difference of the convex body and the approximating polytope. Given two convex bodies $K$ and $L$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, the symmetric volume difference $d_S(K, L)$ is defined by

$$d_S(K, L) := |K \triangle L| = |K \cup L| - |K \cap L| = |K \setminus L| + |L \setminus K|,$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes $n$-dimensional volume. Typically, conditions are prescribed on the approximating polytopes, such as a restricted number of vertices, facets, or more generally, $k$-faces. Moreover, the
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approximating polytopes may be inscribed in $K$, circumscribed around $K$, or positioned arbitrarily. In this paper, we focus on the approximation of convex bodies by circumscribed and arbitrarily positioned polytopes with a restricted number of facets under the metric $d_S$.

Random polytopes can and have been used to derive sharp estimates for the approximation of convex bodies. In fact, it turns out that, asymptotically, random polytopes give as good approximation as the best polytope. Specifically, it has been shown that the expectation of the volume difference is optimal up to an absolute constant (compare the results in $[5, 17, 20, 21, 22, 27, 35]$).

There is a rich literature on the statistical properties of inscribed random polytopes with a restricted number of vertices. It would be impossible to list all of the results in this direction, so we will highlight those results that are most relevant to this paper. First, M"uller [27] showed that when the vertices are chosen uniformly and independently from the boundary of the Euclidean ball, the expectation of the volume difference is optimal up to an absolute constant. Sch"utt and Werner [35] generalized this result to any $C^2$ convex body with everywhere positive Gaussian curvature, and for any continuous, positive density on the boundary of the body. They also derived an explicit formula for the optimal density function that minimizes the expected volume difference over all choices of positive densities, and showed that if the optimal density is chosen, then as the dimension tends to infinity, random approximation is asymptotically as good as best approximation.

From results on the expectation, an immediate question that follows is to investigate higher moments of the volume difference. K"uffer [19] proved an inequality for the variance of the volume of a random polytope that is the convex hull of points chosen uniformly and independently from the boundary of the Euclidean ball. Reitzner [30] later extended this result to all $C^2$ convex bodies $K$ with positive generalized Gaussian curvature by showing that the variance of the volume of a random polytope whose vertices are chosen uniformly and independently from $\partial K$ is at most $C(K)N^{-(1+\frac{1}{m(K)})}$, where $C(K)$ is a positive constant that depends on $K$. In [30], it was also shown that the variance of the volume of a random polytope whose vertices are chosen uniformly and independently from $K$ is at most $C(K)N^{-(1+\frac{1}{m(K)})}$. Later, Reitzner [31] proved a matching lower bound for the variance of the same order.

Finally, Vu [37] used “boosted” martingale methods to prove a sharp concentration inequality for the symmetric volume difference of a smooth convex body $K$ with $C^2$ boundary and $|K| = 1$, and a random inscribed polytope $P_{n,N}$ that is the convex hull of $N$ points chosen uniformly and independently from $K$. More specifically, it was shown that there exist positive constants $c_1(K)$ and $c_2(K)$ depending only on $K$ such that for any $\lambda \in \left(0, \frac{1}{4}c_1(K)N^{-\frac{1}{(m(K)+1)+1}}\right]$,

$$
\Pr \left( ||K \setminus P_{n,N}| - \mathbb{E}[|K \setminus P_{n,N}|] | \geq \sqrt{c_1(K)\lambda N^{-(1+\frac{1}{m(K)})}} \right) 
\leq 2 \exp(-\lambda/4) + \exp \left( -c_2(K)N^{\frac{\lambda}{m(K)+1}} \right). 
$$

Although there are numerous results on the statistical properties of random polytopes with a restricted number of vertices, much less is known about the case of random polytopes with a restricted number of facets. In this direction, Böröczky and Reitzner [5] calculated the expectation of the volume difference of a smooth convex body $K$ with positive curvature, independently and identically distributed with respect to a given density function. Letting $H^+(X_i)$ denote the supporting halfspace to $K$ at $X_i$ that contains the origin, the polytope is defined as the intersection $\cap_{i=1}^{N} H^+(X_i)$. In [5], the
optimal density that minimizes the expected volume difference was also determined explicitly in terms of $K$. Furthermore, it was shown that if the optimal density is chosen, then as the dimension tends to infinity, random approximation is asymptotically as good as best approximation.

Recently, Fodor, Hug, and Ziebarth [12] computed the expectation and the variance of the volume difference of $K$ and the polar $P_{n,N}^o$ of the random polytope from (1) for a general density on $K$. Surprisingly, the estimates for the variance in [30] and in [12] are equal up to a constant that depends on $K$.

In this paper, we work in the setting of Böröczky and Reitzner [5] and derive an almost sharp concentration inequality for the volume difference of a random polytope and a $C^2$-convex body $K$ with strictly positive Gaussian curvature. The normals of the random polytope are chosen independently and identically distributed (i.i.d.) according to a probability measure on $\partial K$ that is absolutely continuous with respect to the uniform measure and has a density that is strictly positive at each point in the boundary. This concentration inequality is a “dual” analogue of the main result in [37], and we show that it also holds for the random polytopes in [20] which are arbitrarily positioned and give an optimal approximation to the Euclidean ball with respect to the symmetric volume difference. As a corollary, we deduce an almost sharp estimate for the variance of the volume difference. This result is a dual analogue of the variance estimate in [30]. Our proof uses geometry and combinatorics, and its intuition follows from random partial sphere “coverings”, which will be discussed below.

To the best of the authors’ knowledge, this paper is the first to show concentration results for the volume of random polytopes generated by a probability measure which is not necessarily uniform, and it is also the first to show concentration results for the volume of random polytopes with a restricted number of facets. This work is the natural extension of [5].

Next, as an application of [20], we provide an improvement to a result of Zador [39] on the asymptotic behavior of the Dirichlet-Voronoi tiling number $\text{div}_{n-1}$. Gruber [17] proved that for any convex body $K$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with $C^2$ boundary and strictly positive Gaussian curvature $\kappa$, the following asymptotic formulas hold:

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \min\{|K \setminus P| : P \subset K, P \text{ has at most } N \text{ vertices}\} = \frac{1}{2} \text{del}_{n-1}(\text{as}(K))^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}$$

(2)

and

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \min\{|P \setminus K| : P \supset K, P \text{ has at most } N \text{ facets}\} = \frac{1}{2} \text{div}_{n-1}(\text{as}(K))^{\frac{n+1}{n-1}}.$$

(3)

Here $\text{as}(K) = \int_{\partial K} \kappa(x) \frac{1}{n-1} d\mu K(x)$ is the affine surface area of $K$, $\mu K$ is the surface measure on $\partial K$ and $\text{del}_{n-1}$ is the Delone triangulation number in dimension $n$. Please note that for $n = 2$, formulas (2) and (3) were stated by Tóth [36] and proved by McClure and Vitale [25]; for $n = 3$, they were also stated in [36], and later proved by Gruber in [15, 16].

Surprisingly, the constants $\text{del}_{n-1}$ and $\text{div}_{n-1}$ are nearly equal. First, in the groundbreaking paper [39] Zador proved that

$$\text{div}_{n-1} = (2\pi e)^{-1} n + o(n).$$

(4)

On the other hand, $\text{del}_{n-1}$ was estimated in several papers. The best-known estimate was provided by Mankiewicz and Schütt [23], who proved that

$$\text{del}_{n-1} = (2\pi e)^{-1} n + O(\ln(n)).$$

(5)
The proof of (4) given in [39] is information-theoretic and is quite technical. We provide an alternative, simple geometric proof, and we improve the estimate to
\[
\text{div}_{n-1} = (2\pi e)^{-1} (n + \ln(n)) + O(1).
\]
Thus, asymptotically, \( \text{del}_{n-1} \) and \( \text{div}_{n-1} \) differ by at most \( O(\ln(n)) \). For more details, please see section 2.2.

Our last result is a lower bound for the functional
\[
F(n, N) := \max_{P_{n,N} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ has at most } N \text{ vertices}} |P_{n,N}| |P^{s(P_{n,N})}_{n,N}|,
\]
where \( s(P_{n,N}) \) denotes the Santaló point of \( P_{n,N} \) and \( P^{s(P_{n,N})}_{n,N} \) is the polar body of \( P_{n,N} \) with respect to \( s(P_{n,N}) \). Recently, Alexander, Fradelizi and Zvavitch [1] proved that the maximum of \( F(n, N) \) is achieved by a simplicial polytope with precisely \( N \) vertices. We use all of the results in this paper to show that when \( N \) is large enough,
\[
F(n, N) \geq (1 - c \ln(n) N^{-2}) |D_n|^2,
\]
where \( c > 0 \) is an absolute constant. For more details, please see subsection 2.3 below.

1.1 Partial random sphere covering

The last section of the paper will be dedicated to a conjecture on partial sphere “coverings”. First, we present some definitions. Let \( M(\epsilon, n) \) be the minimal number of caps with radius \( \epsilon \) that are needed to cover the \( n \)-dimensional unit sphere \( S^{n-1} \). A trivial lower bound for \( M(\epsilon, n) \) is
\[
N(\epsilon, n) := \lceil \frac{|B_G(x, \epsilon)|}{|B_G(x, \epsilon)|} \rceil,
\]
where \( |B_G(x, \epsilon)| \) is the surface area of the geodesic ball \( B_G(x, \epsilon) \) with center \( x \) and geodesic radius \( \epsilon \).

It turns out that this bound is nearly optimal. More specifically, Rogers [32, 33] proved that if \( \epsilon \) is small enough, then \( M(\epsilon, n) \leq c n \log(n) N(\epsilon, n) \) and \( M(\epsilon, n) \geq \omega(n) N(\epsilon, n) \). Up to absolute constants, these are the best bounds known today. From these bounds we can see that as the dimension tends to infinity, it becomes more difficult to cover the sphere. The curse of dimensionality also holds for the famous problem of sphere packing (see, e.g., [3]).

On the other hand, we noticed a remarkable phenomenon: Let \( \epsilon \) be small enough, and choose \( N(\epsilon, n) \) random geodesic balls of the sphere (i.e. their centers are chosen independently according to the uniform probability measure \( \sigma \) on \( S^{n-1} \)). Then in expectation, the balls capture \( 1 - e^{-1} + O(N(\epsilon, n)^{-2}) \) of the measure of the sphere, for all dimensions. Consequently, the expected measure of the overlap, i.e. the difference between the sum of the volume of the balls and the volume of their union, is \( e^{-1} + O(N(\epsilon, n)^{-2}) \).

In dimension two, random geodesic balls are far from optimal in expectation because there are constructions with no overlap. Moreover, from computer simulations the authors observed that in low dimensions, the measure of the overlap is extremely small. Nevertheless, we tend to believe that the measure of the overlap increases as the dimension tends to infinity because random constructions are often optimal in high dimensions (up to \( o(1) \)); see, e.g., [5, 20, 24, 35]. From these observations, several questions can be raised:

1. What is the probability that choosing \( N(\epsilon, n) \) geodesic balls captures \( 1 - e^{-1} \) of the measure of the sphere?
2. As the dimension tends to infinity, is there a subset of geodesic balls of size $M(\epsilon, n)$ such that the measure of the overlap between the balls is negligible (i.e. tends to zero as the dimension tends to infinity)? If the answer to this question is negative, then the natural question will be: Are random “coverings” optimal?

3. Is the expectation optimal as the dimension tends to infinity? In other words, is there a sequence of geodesic balls of size $N(\epsilon, n)$ that captures at least $1 - c_1 \epsilon$ of the measure of the sphere, where $c_1 > 0$ is an absolute constant that does not depend on $\epsilon$ nor $n$? Surprisingly, the use of a simple concentration inequality gives an affirmative answer to the first question. More specifically, if $\epsilon$ is small enough, then with extremely high probability $N(\epsilon, n)$ geodesic balls capture $1 - c_1 \epsilon$ of the measure of the sphere. The answer to the second question is negative; it turns out that in high dimensions, there is a significant overlap. This follows from a result Erdős, Few and Rogers [10], who essentially proved that when the dimension is large enough any collection of geodesic balls of size $N(\epsilon, n)$ captures at most $1 - c_2$ of the surface area, for some $c_2 > 0$. Unfortunately, we don’t the answer to the last question, but we conjecture that the expectation is optimal up to a factor of $o(1)$. For more details on partial sphere “coverings”, as well as formal conjectures and results, please see subsection 2.4.

Background and notation

Here we provide some background information on convex sets and sphere coverings, and we fix the notation that will be used throughout the paper.

The $n$-dimensional volume of a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is denoted $|K|$. The boundary of $K$ is denoted $\partial K$, and the surface area of $K$ is $|\partial K|$. The Gauss curvature of $K$ at $x \in \partial K$ is denoted $\kappa(x)$. The polar of $K$ is the set $K^\circ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, y \rangle \leq 1, \forall y \in K\}$.

The Euclidean unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^n$ centered at the origin is denoted by $D_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| \leq 1\}$, where $\|x\| = (\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2)^{1/2}$ is the Euclidean norm of $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The boundary of $D_n$ is the unit sphere $S_n = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \|x\| = 1\}$, and $\sigma$ denotes the uniform probability measure on $S_n$, i.e. $\sigma(A) = \frac{|A|}{|D_n|}$ for any Borel set $A \subset S_n$. The volume of the unit ball is $|D_n| = \frac{\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2 + 1)}$,

where $\Gamma(x) = \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{x-1} e^{-t} dt$ denotes the gamma function. In particular, the volume and surface area of the unit ball satisfy the cone-volume formula $|\partial D_n| = n|D_n|$. For more information on convex sets, see, e.g., the monograph of Schneider [34].

Let $K$ be a $C^2$ convex body with positive generalized Gaussian curvature. Consider the metric space $(\partial K, d_G)$, where $d_G$ denotes the geodesic distance on $\partial K$. We shall use the notation $B_G(x, r)$ to denote the geodesic ball $\{y \in \partial K : d_G(x, y) \leq r\}$ with center $x$ and radius $r$. A finite subset $N \subset \partial K$ is called a $\delta$-net of $\partial K$ if for every $x \in \partial K$ there exists $y \in N$ such that $d_G(x, y) \leq \delta$. A $\delta$-net $N$ of $\partial K$ is also called a covering of $\partial K$ since $\bigcup_{x \in N} B_G(x, \delta) \supset \partial K$. We use the term “covering” to mean a partial covering, i.e. the union of the corresponding geodesic balls is a proper subset of $\partial K$. When $K = D_n$, a $\delta$-net of $S_n$ is also called a sphere covering. For more information on sphere coverings, see, e.g., the monograph of Böröczky [4].

Throughout the paper, $c, c', C, c_0, c_1, c_2, \ldots$ will denote positive absolute constants that may change from line to line. The dependence of a positive constant on the dimension $n$ or a convex body $K$ or a probability measure $\mu$ will always be stated explicitly as $c(n), C(n), c_0(n), c_1(n), \ldots$
or \(c(K), C(K), c_1(K)\ldots\) or \(c(\mu), c(\mu, n), \ldots\), respectively; moreover, these constants may also change from line to line.

## 2 Main results

For the following theorems, we define the quantities

\[
\varepsilon_{n,N} := \left( \frac{|\partial D_n|}{N |D_{n-1}|} \right)^{\frac{1}{\pi t}}
\]

\[
t_{n,N} := \sqrt{1 - \varepsilon_{n,N}^2} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{\ln(n)}{n} + O \left( \left( \frac{\ln(n)}{n} \right)^2 \right) \right) N^{-\frac{2}{\pi t}}.
\]

### 2.1 Random approximation of convex bodies

The first theorem is a concentration inequality for the volume of the random polytopes that were defined in [20].

**Theorem 1.** Let \(P_{n,N}\) be the random polytope in \(\mathbb{R}^n\) that is defined by

\[
P_{n,N} := \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : (X_i, x) \leq t_{n,N} \right\}, \quad X_1, \ldots, X_N \text{ i.i.d. } \sigma.
\]

Then when \(N\) is large enough, for any \(\varepsilon > 0\) the following holds:

\[
\Pr \left( |\varepsilon_{n,N} \Delta D_n| - E[|\varepsilon_{n,N} \Delta D_n|] \right) \leq 2e^{-c(n)N^{1+\frac{1}{20\pi t}}} f(N) e^2 + e^{-c_1(n) \ln(N) N^{0.5 - \frac{4}{20\pi t}}}.
\]

where \(f(N) = \ln(N)^{-2(2+\frac{4}{20\pi t})}\).

**Remark 1.** Theorem 1 can be extended to all probability measures on \(S^{n-1}\) that have a continuous, positive density function \(g\), i.e. \(d\mu(x) = g(x) d\sigma(x)\) and \(g(x) > 0\) for all \(x \in S^{n-1}\). The constants appearing in the exponents will then depend on both the dimension and the density. This claim is proved in section 5.

**Remark 2.** For large \(\varepsilon\), using the arguments of the proof one can achieve a better concentration inequality. Specifically, for \(\varepsilon > cN^{-\frac{1}{\pi t}} |D_n| \geq c_1 E[|P \Delta D_n|],\)

\[
\Pr \left( |\varepsilon_{n,N} \Delta D_n| - E[|\varepsilon_{n,N} \Delta D_n|] \right) \leq 2e^{-c(n)N^{1+\frac{1}{20\pi t}}} f(N) e^2 + e^{-c_1(n) N^{1-\frac{2}{20\pi t}}},
\]

where \(f(N) = \ln(N)^{-2(2+\frac{4}{20\pi t})}\).

**Remark 3.** For convex bodies \(K\) and \(L\) in \(\mathbb{R}^n\), the surface area deviation \(\Delta_s(K, L)\) is defined by (see, e.g., [13] [20])

\[
\Delta_s(K, L) := |\partial(K \cup L)| - |\partial(K \cap L)|.
\]

Kur [20] showed that the polytopes \(P_{n,N}\) in Theorem 1 satisfy \(\frac{\Delta_s(P_{n,N}, D_n)}{|\partial D_n|} \leq 4 \frac{|P_{n,N} \Delta D_n|}{|D_n|}\). This and Theorem 1 together imply that for all sufficiently large \(N\), the following “large deviation” inequality holds:

\[
\Pr \left( \frac{\Delta_s(D_n, P_{n,N})}{|\partial D_n|} > 4N^{-\frac{2}{\pi t}} \right) \leq 2e^{-c(n)N^{1-\frac{2}{20\pi t}}}.
\]
Using the ideas of the proof of Theorem 1 we derive the main result of this paper, which is a “dual” analogue of a result of Vu [37].

**Theorem 2.** Let $K$ be a $C^2$ convex body with a strictly positive Gaussian curvature, and let $\mu$ be a probability measure on $\partial K$ such that $d\mu(x) = g(x)\,d\sigma(x)$ and $g(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \partial K$. Let $P_{n,N}$ be the circumscribed random polytope in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with at most $N$ facets that is defined by

$$P_{n,N} := \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle \nu(x_i), x \rangle \leq \langle X_i, \nu(X_i) \rangle \}, \quad X_1, \ldots, X_N \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \mu$$

where $\nu : \partial K \to \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ is the Gauss map. Then when $N$ is large enough, for any $\epsilon > 0$ the following holds:

$$\Pr(\|P_{n,N} \setminus K\| - \mathbb{E}[\|P_{n,N} \setminus K\|] \geq \epsilon) \leq 2e^{-c(K,\mu)N^{1+\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{4}}}f(N)\epsilon^2} + e^{-c(K,\mu)N^{0.5-\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{4}}}} \quad (8)$$

where $f(N) = \ln(N)^{-2+\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{4}}}$.

We believe that the concentration in Theorem 2 is almost sharp, up to logarithmic factors in the exponent, which can be removed. Our belief is based both on the proof and on the results in [30, 37] for the variance (under the uniform distribution) of the volume difference $|K \setminus P_{n,N}^o|$, where $P_{n,N}^o \subset K$ denotes the polar of the polytope $P_{n,N}$ defined in (2). The variance results in these two papers state that

$$\text{Var}(|K \setminus P_{n,N}^o|) \leq c(K)N^{-1+\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{4}}}.$$

Theorem 2 and some basic probability arguments imply that under the event $P \subset 2K$, that holds with probability of at least $1 - e^{-c(K)N}$, that

$$\text{Var}(|P_{n,N} \setminus K|) \leq c(K)N^{-1+\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{4}}} \ln(N)^{2+\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{4}}}.$$ 

Our next conjecture implies that the variance of $|P_{n,N} \setminus K|$ is at most $c(K,\mu)N^{-1+\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{4}}}$. Formally, we conjecture that inequality (8) can be improved to:

**Conjecture 1.** Let $K$ be a $C^2$ convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with strictly positive curvature, and let $P_{n,N}$ be the random circumscribed polytope that was defined in Theorem 2. Then when $N$ is large enough, for any $\epsilon > 0$ the following holds:

$$\Pr(\|P_{n,N} \setminus K\| - \mathbb{E}[\|P_{n,N} \setminus K\|] \geq \epsilon) \leq 2e^{-c(K,\mu)N^{1+\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{4}}}e^2} + e^{-c(K,\mu)N^{\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{4}}}}. \quad (9)$$

Böröczky and Reitzner [5] proved that if $K$ is a $C^2$ convex body and $P_{n,N}$ is a random circumscribed polytope with $N$ facets whose normals are chosen i.i.d. from $\partial K$ with respect to a positive, continuous density function $g$, then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{4}}} \mathbb{E}[\|P_{n,N} \setminus K\|] = \frac{1}{2}[D_{n-1}]^{-\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{4}}} \Gamma\left(\frac{2}{n-1} + 1\right) \int_{\partial K} g(x)^{-\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{4}}} k(x)^{\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{4}}} dx. \quad (9)$$

It was also shown in [5] that the integral on the right-hand side of (9) is minimized by the density

$$g_{\text{min}}(x) := (as(K))^{-1} k(x)^{\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{4}}} 1_{\partial K}(x). \quad (10)$$

Using an argument of Reitzner [30] and equation (9), we derive the following corollary to Theorem 2.
Corollary 3. Let $K$ be a $C^2$ convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$, and let $X_i$, $1 \leq i < \infty$, be chosen i.i.d. from $\partial K$ with respect to a positive, continuous density function $g$. Consider the sequence of circumscribed random polytopes $(P_{n,N})_N$ defined in Theorem 2. Then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \mathbb{E}[|P_{n,N} \setminus K|] = \frac{1}{2} |D_{n-1}|^{-\frac{2}{n-1}} \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{n-1} + 1 \right) \int_{\partial K} g(x) \left( \frac{2}{n-1} + 1 \right) dr_{\partial K}$$

with probability 1, and the integral is minimized by the density $g_{\text{min}}$ defined in (10).

2.2 Delone triangulation numbers and Dirichlet-Voronoi tiling numbers

The constants $\text{del}_{n-1}$ and $\text{div}_{n-1}$ from (2) and (3) are connected with Delone triangulations and Dirichlet-Voronoi tilings in $\mathbb{R}^n$, respectively. The explicit geometric connection between Delone triangulations (resp. Dirichlet-Voronoi tilings) and the approximation of convex bodies by inscribed (resp. circumscribed) polytopes can be found in, e.g., [17]. More recently, a strong connection between sphere covering, optimal Delone triangulations (resp. Dirichlet-Voronoi tilings) and the approximation of convex bodies by inscribed polytopes with a restricted number of vertices was exhibited by Chen [7].

The exact values of $\text{del}_{n-1}$ and $\text{div}_{n-1}$ are unknown for $n \geq 4$. For $n = 2$, it is known that $\text{del}_1 = \frac{1}{6}$ and $\text{div}_1 = \frac{1}{12}$ (see, e.g., [21]), and for $n = 3$ the values $\text{del}_2 = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}$ and $\text{div}_2 = \frac{5}{12\sqrt{3}}$ were determined by Gruber in [15] and [16], respectively. For general $n$, it is probably very difficult, if not impossible, to determine the exact values of $\text{del}_{n-1}$ and $\text{div}_{n-1}$. However, recall from formulas (4) and (5) that $\text{div}_{n-1}$ and $\text{del}_{n-1}$ behave like $\frac{n}{2\pi}e$ as $n$ tends to infinity, up to error terms of order $O(n)$ and $O(\ln(n))$, respectively. In the next result, we improve the estimate for $\text{div}_{n-1}$.

Theorem 4. Let $\text{div}_{n-1}$ be defined as in equation (3). Then

$$\text{div}_{n-1} = (2\pi e)^{-1}(n + \ln(n)) + O(1). \quad (11)$$

Remark 4. Corollary 3 and Theorem 4 show the power of random constructions in high dimensions. Specifically, let $K$ be a $C^2$ convex body in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and let $P_{K,N}^b$ be the best-approximating polytope with at most $N$ facets that circumscribes $K$, and let $P_{n,N}$ be a random polytope that is generated as in Theorem 2 with the density $(\text{as}(K)^{-1}\kappa(x))^{\frac{n}{2\pi}}\mathbb{1}_{\partial K}(x)$. Then by (3) and Theorem 4

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \mathbb{E}[|P_{K,N}^b \setminus K|] = \frac{1}{2} \text{div}_{n-1}(\text{as}(K))^{\frac{n}{2\pi}} = \frac{1}{2}((2\pi e)^{-1}(n + \ln(n)) + O(1))(\text{as}(K))^{\frac{n}{2\pi}}$$

whereas by Theorem 1 in [5],

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} N^{\frac{2}{n-1}} \mathbb{E}[|P_{n,N} \setminus K|] = \frac{1}{2} |D_{n-1}|^{-\frac{2}{n-1}} \Gamma \left( \frac{2}{n-1} + 1 \right) \left( \frac{2}{n-1} + 1 \right) (\text{as}(K))^{\frac{n}{2\pi}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} ((2\pi e)^{-1}(n + \ln(n)) + O(1))(\text{as}(K))^{\frac{n}{2\pi}}.$$

Therefore, for every $C^2$ convex body, as the dimension tends to infinity the following remarkable result holds:

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \mathbb{E}[|P_{n,N} \setminus K|] = 1 - O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \quad (12)$$
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where the limit does not depend on the convex body. Thus, random approximation is “as good as” best-approximation as the dimension tends to infinity. In the case of inscribed random polytopes whose vertices are chosen randomly from the boundary of the body, one can use the parallel results of [35, 24] to deduce a limit formula like \( (12) \) with a convergence rate that is bounded by \( 1 - O\left(\frac{\ln(n)}{n}\right) \).

### 2.3 The Mahler volume product of random polytopes

Recently, Alexander, Fradelizi and Zvavitch [1] considered the functional

\[
F(n, N) := \max_{P_{n,N} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \text{ has at most } N \text{ vertices}} |P_{n,N}||P_{n,N}^\circ|,
\]

where \( s(P_{n,N}) \) denotes the Santaló point of \( P_{n,N} \) and \( P_{n,N}^\circ \) is the polar body with respect to the Santaló point of \( P_{n,N} \). They showed that the maximum of \( F(n, N) \) is achieved by a simplicial polytope with precisely \( N \) vertices.

It is well-known that a centered convex body has the origin as its Santaló point (see, e.g., [34]).

Using all of the results in this paper, as well as results of Müller [27] and Reitzner [30], we derive a lower bound for the asymptotic behavior of \( F(n, N) \).

**Theorem 5.** Let \( P_{n,N} \) be the centrally symmetric random polytope

\[
P_{n,N} := \text{conv}\{\pm X_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset D_n, \quad X_1, \ldots, X_N \sim \sigma(S^{n-1}).
\]

Then there exists an absolute constant \( c > 0 \) such that when \( N \) is large enough, with probability at least \( 1 - c(n)N^{-1} \) it holds that

\[
|P_{n,N}||P_{n,N}^\circ| \geq (1 - c \ln(n)N^{-\frac{2}{n-1}})|D_n|^2,
\]

and thus

\[
F(n, N) \geq (1 - c \ln(n)N^{-\frac{2}{n-1}})|D_n|^2.
\]

Rather than asking for the extremal polytopes that maximize \( F(n, N) \), one may ask for estimates for the maximum value that \( F(n, N) \) can achieve. By the Blaschke-Santaló inequality (see, e.g., [34]), \( F(n, N) < |D_n|^2 \). We believe that this estimate can be improved to:

**Conjecture 2.** Let \( P_{n,N} \) be any polytope with \( N \) vertices in \( \mathbb{R}^n \). Then there exists a universal constant \( c > 0 \) such that

\[
|P_{n,N}||P_{n,N}^\circ| \leq (1 - c N^{-\frac{2}{n-1}})|D_n|^2.
\]

### 2.4 Partial random sphere “covering”

In this subsection we formalize the discussion from subsection [11] on random partial coverings of the unit sphere. Now we consider a “covering” of \( S^{n-1} \) by \( c |\partial D_n| \) random geodesic balls, where \( c \in \mathbb{R}^+ \). We now also assume that the radius of the geodesic balls \( B_G(X_i, \epsilon) \) may depend on the dimension, i.e. \( \epsilon = \epsilon(n) \).

Choose \( N_{c,\epsilon(n)} := \left\lfloor \frac{|\partial D_n|}{c|\partial D_G(x, \epsilon(n))|} \right\rfloor \) points uniformly and independently from \( S^{n-1} \) and denote them by \( X_1, \ldots, X_{N_{c,\epsilon(n)}} \). Define the random variable

\[
g(X_1, \ldots, X_{N_{c,\epsilon(n)}}) := 1 - \int_{S^{n-1}} 1_{\{\max\{X_i, x\} \leq \epsilon(n)\}} \, d\sigma(x),
\]

\[ (13) \]
and observe that
\[
g(X) := g(X_1, \ldots, X_{N_{\epsilon, \sigma(n)}}) = \sigma\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{N_{\epsilon, \sigma(n)}} B_G(X_i, \epsilon(n))\right).
\]

The next result is an immediate corollary of McDiarmid’s inequality. It gives an answer to the first question on sphere “covering” in the introduction, and it proves that the probability of generating a bad “cover” is extremely low. Moreover, its proof provides the intuition for the proofs of Theorem 1 and its generalization Theorem 2. Thus, we encourage the reader to first read the proof of Corollary 6 in section 9 at the end of the paper before reading the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

**Corollary 6.** Let \( g(X) \) be the random variable defined in \([33]\). Then \( g(X) \) is tightly concentrated around its expectation, i.e., for all \( \epsilon > 0 \),

\[
\Pr\left( |g(X) - (1 - e^{-c})| > \epsilon \right) \leq 2e^{-2c^{-1}N_{1, \epsilon, \sigma(n)}\epsilon^2}.
\]

This concentration inequality is optimal for big \( \epsilon \). Indeed, an immediate application of the result in \([38]\) shows that \( \Pr(g(X) \leq \frac{1}{2}) \sim 2^{-N} \).

The main disadvantage of Corollary 6 is that the same concentration bound holds for increasing and decreasing the volume of the covering. The authors believe (based on asymptotic geometry) that as dimension tends to infinity it becomes more difficult to increase the volume of the covering. We conjecture that there is a sharper concentration (one-sided) that holds when the radius of the geodesic balls is small enough.

**Conjecture 3.** For all \( \epsilon > 0 \), it holds that

\[
\Pr(g(X) - (1 - e^{-c}) > \epsilon) \leq Ce^{-c(n)N_{\epsilon, \sigma(n)}\epsilon^2},
\]

where \( c(n) \to \infty \) as \( n \to \infty \).

Our final conjecture formalizes the last question from subsection 1.1.

**Conjecture 4.** Let \( c \in \mathbb{R}^+ \). When the dimension is large enough and \( \epsilon \) is small enough, we define the quantile

\[
c_{n, \epsilon} := \max \left\{ \sigma \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_{\epsilon, \sigma(n)}} B_G(x_i, \epsilon) \right) : x_1, \ldots, x_{N_{\epsilon, \sigma(n)}} \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \right\}.
\]

Then for fixed \( \epsilon \), the sequence \( \{c_{n, \epsilon}\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \) is decreasing and \( c_{n, \epsilon} \to 1 - e^{-c} \) as \( n \to \infty \).

### 3 Auxiliary lemmas

The following is the classical McDiarmid’s inequality \([26]\). It is used in the proofs of Theorem 1 and Corollary 6.

**Lemma 7** (McDiarmid’s inequality). Let \( X_1, \ldots, X_m \) be independent random variables all taking values in the set \( \mathcal{X} \). Further, let \( f : \mathcal{X}^m \to \mathbb{R} \) be a function of \( X_1, \ldots, X_m \) such that for \( 1 \leq i \leq m \),

\[
\sup_{x_1, \ldots, x_m, x'_i \in \mathcal{X}} |f(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_m) - f(x_1, \ldots, x'_i, \ldots, x_m)| \leq c_i. \tag{14}
\]

Then

\[
\Pr(|f(X_1, \ldots, X_m) - \mathbb{E}[f]| \geq \epsilon) \leq 2 \exp\left( \frac{-2\epsilon^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_i^2} \right).
\]
We will also need Stirling’s inequality (see, e.g., [2]).

**Lemma 8** (Stirling’s inequality). For \( x > 0 \),
\[
x^x e^{-x} \sqrt{2\pi x} < \Gamma(x + 1) < x^x e^{-x} e^{\frac{1}{12} \sqrt{2\pi x}}.
\]

An immediate consequence of Stirling’s inequality is the following lemma.

**Lemma 9** (Lemma 2.2 in [29]).
\[
\sqrt{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{n} + 2}{\sqrt{n}} \leq |D_n| \leq \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}}{\sqrt{n}}
\]

The final ingredient we need follows from the papers [10, 11] of Erdős and Rogers.

**Lemma 10.** Let \( K \) be a \( C^2 \) convex body, and for fixed \( \delta > 0 \) let \( \mathcal{N} \) be a minimal \( \delta \)-net of \( \partial K \), i.e. \( \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{N}} B_G(x, \delta) \supset \partial K \) and every \( \delta \)-net of \( \partial K \) contains at least \( |N| \) elements. Then when \( \delta \) is small enough, each point of \( \partial K \) lies in the interior of no more than \( \frac{3n}{|\partial D_n|} \) balls of the covering.

This result is far from optimal. For example, Böröczky [6] showed that for a Euclidean ball of any radius, there is a covering such that each point of its boundary lies in the interior of no more than \( 400n \ln(n) \) geodesic balls.

### 4 Proof of Theorem 1

By the definition of \( P_{n,N} \), we have \( t_{n,N} D_n \subset P_{n,N} \). Using this and Fubini’s theorem, we express the volume of the symmetric difference \( P_{n,N} \triangle D_n \) as
\[
|P_{n,N} \triangle D_n| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus D_n} 1_{\{\max(X_i,x) \leq t_{n,N}\}} \, dx + \int_{D_n \setminus t_{n,N} D_n} (1 - 1_{\{\max(X_i,x) \leq t_{n,N}\}}) \, dx
\]
\[
= |\partial D_n| \left( \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_1^{r_{n-1}} 1_{\{\max(X_i,x) \leq r^{-1} t_{n,N}\}} \, dr \, d\sigma(x) \right) + \int_{S^{n-1}} \int_{t_{n,N}}^{1} r^{n-1} (1 - 1_{\{\max(X_i,x) \leq r^{-1} t_{n,N}\}}) \, dr \, d\sigma(x)
\]
\[
= |\partial D_n| \left( \int_{t_{n,N}}^{1} r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} (1 - 1_{\{\max(X_i,x) \leq r^{-1} t_{n,N}\}}) \, d\sigma(x) \, dr \right) + \int_{1}^{1+c_{n,N}} r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} 1_{\{\max(X_i,x) \leq r^{-1} t_{n,N}\}} d\sigma(x) \, dr
\]
\[
+ \int_{1+c_{n,N}}^{\infty} r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} 1_{\{\max(X_i,x) \leq r^{-1} t_{n,N}\}} d\sigma(x) \, dr
\]
\[
= Y + Z + W.
\]
Here \(c_{n,N} := c(n)N^{-\frac{1}{2\pi}}(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2\pi}}\) and \(c(n)\) will be defined at the end of subsection 4.2 and

\[
Y := |\partial D_n| \left( \int_1^{1+c_{n,N}} r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} 1_{\{\max(x_i,x) \leq r^{-1}t_{n,N}\}} d\sigma(x) \, dr \right) + \int_{t_{n,N}}^1 r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} (1 - 1_{\{\max(x_i,x) \leq r^{-1}t_{n,N}\}}) d\sigma(x) \, dr = |\partial D_n|(Y_1 + Y_2)
\]

\[
Z := |\partial D_n| \int_1^{1+c_{n,N}} r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} 1_{\{\max(x_i,x) \leq r^{-1}t_{n,N}\}} d\sigma(x) \, dr
\]

\[
W := |\partial D_n| \int_1^{\infty} r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} 1_{\{\max(x_i,x) \leq r^{-1}t_{n,N}\}} d\sigma(x) \, dr = |P_{n,N} \cap (1 + \frac{2}{n})D_n|.
\]

We split the proof of Theorem 1 into three lemmas, one for each random variable \(Y\), \(Z\) and \(W\). For \(Y\), we apply McDiarmid’s inequality to derive a concentration inequality for each summand \(|\partial D_n|Y_i\), and then we use a union bound to derive a concentration inequality for the sum \(Y\). For \(Z\), we apply a “balls and bins” argument to certain coverings of the sphere to show that \(Z\) is negligible. Finally, we use a standard sphere covering argument to show that \(W = 0\) with extremely high probability. In the final analysis, we condition on the event \(W = 0\) to derive the desired concentration inequality for \(|P_{n,N} \triangle D_n|\).

### 4.1 Concentration for the random variable \(Y\)

**Lemma 11.** Let \(\epsilon > 0\). There is a constant \(c_1(n) > 0\) such that for all sufficiently large \(N\),

\[
\Pr(|Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]| \geq \epsilon) \leq \exp \left(-c_1(n)N^{1+\frac{1}{2\pi}}f(N)\epsilon^2\right)
\]

where \(f(N) = \ln(N)^{-\frac{1}{2\pi}}\).

**Proof of Lemma 11.** The intuition for the argument we will use comes from the proof of Corollary 6 on random sphere “covering” in Section 9. We aim to apply McDiarmid’s inequality to \(Y_1 = |P_{n,N} \cap (1 + c_{n,N})D_n \cap D_n^c|\). For this purpose, we define

\[
f(X_1, \ldots, X_N) := |P_{n,N}^c \cap (1 + c_{n,N})D_n \cap D_n^c|
\]

to be the volume that is removed from \((1 + c_{n,N})D_n \setminus D_n\) so that

\[
Y_1 = |(1 + c_{n,N})D_n \setminus D_n| - f(X_1, \ldots, X_N).
\]

Then

\[
c_i := \sup_{x_1,\ldots,x_N,x_i^1} |f(x_1,\ldots,x_i^1,\ldots,x_N) - f(x_1,\ldots,x_i,\ldots,x_N)|
\]

\[
\leq \sup_{x_1,\ldots,x_N,x_i^1} |(x_i^1)^- \cap (1 + c_{n,N})D_n|,
\]

where \(x_i^1\) is the hyperplane orthogonal to \(x_i\) that contains \(x_i\), \((x_i^1)^-\) is the halfspace of \(x_i^1\) that does not contain the origin, and \((x_i^1)^- \cap (1 + c_{n,N})D_n\) is the cap of \((1 + c_{n,N})D_n\) with height.
Thus, using McDiarmid’s inequality we derive

\[ |(x_i^+ - (1 + c_{n,N})D_n)| = |D_{n-1}| \int_{t_{n,N}}^{1+c_{n,N}} \left((1 + c_{n,N})^2 - x^2\right)^{n-1 \over 2} dx \]

\[ \leq 2^{n-1 \over 2} (1 + c_{n,N})^{n-1 \over 2} |D_{n-1}| \int_{t_{n,N}}^{1+c_{n,N}} (1 + c_{n,N} - x)^{n-1 \over 2} dx \]

\[ \leq c(n) \left[(1 + c_{n,N} - x)^{n-1 \over 2} \right]_{t_{n,N}}^{1+c_{n,N}} \]

\[ = c(n)(1 + c_{n,N} - t_{n,N})^{n-1 \over 2} \]

\[ \leq c_1(n)N^{-(1+\frac{2}{n+1})} \left[ (\ln N)^{1+\frac{2}{n+1}} \right]. \]

The last inequality follows since

\[ 1 + c_{n,N} - t_{n,N} \leq 1 + c(n)N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}} (\ln N)^{\frac{2}{n+1}} - \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \frac{c \ln(n)}{n} \right) N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}} \right] \]

\[ \leq 2c(n)N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}} (\ln N)^{\frac{2}{n+1}}. \]

Thus, using McDiarmid’s inequality we derive

\[ \Pr(|Y_1 - \mathbb{E}[Y_1]| \geq \epsilon) \leq 2 \exp\left( -\frac{2\epsilon^2}{4Nc(n)^2 N^{-(2+\frac{2}{n+1})} \ln(N)^{2+\frac{2}{n+1}}} \right) \]

\[ = 2 \exp\left( -c_1(n)N^{1+\frac{2}{n+1}} f(N)^2 \right) \] (16)

where \( f(N) = \ln(N)^{-(2+\frac{2}{n+1})} \). The second integral \( Y_2 \) is handled in a similar way and has the concentration inequality

\[ \Pr(|Y_2 - \mathbb{E}[Y_2]| \geq \epsilon) \leq 2 \exp\left( -c_2(n)N^{1+\frac{2}{n+1}} \epsilon^2 \right). \] (17)

Finally, we apply a union bound and use (16) and (17) to derive the desired concentration inequality for \( Y \):

\[ \Pr(|Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]| \geq \epsilon) = \Pr(\|\partial D_n\| Y_1 + Y_2 - \|\partial D_n\| (\mathbb{E}[Y_1] + \mathbb{E}[Y_2]) \| \geq \epsilon) \]

\[ = \Pr\left( \|Y_1 - \mathbb{E}[Y_1]\| + \|Y_2 - \mathbb{E}[Y_2]\| \| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{\|\partial D_n\|} \right) \]

\[ \leq \Pr\left( \|Y_1 - \mathbb{E}[Y_1]\| \| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2\|\partial D_n\|} \right) + \Pr\left( \|Y_2 - \mathbb{E}[Y_2]\| \| \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2\|\partial D_n\|} \right) \]

\[ \leq 2 \exp\left( -c_3(n)N^{1+\frac{2}{n+1}} f(N)^2 \right) + 2 \exp\left( -c_4(n)N^{1+\frac{2}{n+1}} \epsilon^2 \right). \]

\( \square \)

4.2 The random variable \( Z \) is negligible

First, we mention that McDiarmid’s inequality does not provide the desired concentration inequality for \( Z \) because the volume of each geodesic ball is too big. Furthermore, despite the fact that
Lemma 12. For all sufficiently large $N$,
\[ \Pr(Z \geq N^{-(0.5+\frac{2}{\pi}r)}) \leq \exp(-c_2(n)N^{-(0.5-\frac{2}{\pi}r)} \ln N). \]

Proof of Lemma 12. By definition (7), $t_{n,N} < 1$, so for any $r \geq 0$ it holds that
\[ 1_{\max(X_i,x) \leq r^{-1}t_{n,N}} \leq 1_{\max(X_i,x) \leq r^{-1}}. \]
Thus,
\[
Z \leq |\partial D_n| \int_{S^{n-1}} 1_{\max(X_i,x) \leq r^{-1}} \sigma(x) \, dr
\]
\[
\leq |\partial D_n| \int_{1+c_{n,N}}^{1+\frac{5}{2}} r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} 1_{\max(X_i,x) \leq (1+c_{n,N})^{-1}} \sigma(x) \, dr
\]
\[
= |\partial D_n| \left[ \frac{r^n}{n} \right]^{1+2/n} \int_{S^{n-1}} 1_{\max(X_i,x) \leq (1+c_{n,N})^{-1}} \sigma(x) \, dr
\]
\[
\leq 3|D_n| \int_{\partial D_{n-1}} 1_{\max(X_i,x) \leq (1+c_{n,N})^{-1}} \sigma(x) \, dr = \frac{3}{n} \tilde{Z},
\]

where $\tilde{Z} := |\partial D_n| \int_{S^{n-1}} 1_{\max(X_i,x) \leq (1+c_{n,N})^{-1}} \sigma(x)$. The random variable $\tilde{Z}$ has a geometric meaning: it measures the missing surface area of a random sphere “covering” with $N$ random geodesic balls of volume $c(n) \ln(N)$.

Observe that the base of each spherical cap of the “covering”
\[ S^{n-1} \cap \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^{N} \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle X_i, x \rangle \leq (1 + c_{n,N})^{-1} \} \right)^c \]

has radius $\sqrt{1 - (1 + c_{n,N})^{-2}} > \sqrt{c_{n,N}}$, where we used the inequality $(1 + x)^{-2} < 1 - x$ for $x \in (0, 0.6]$.

To get some intuition, we first show that the expectation of $\tilde{Z}$ is extremely small. By independence and Lemma 9
\[
\mathbb{E}[\tilde{Z}] = \int_{S^{n-1}} \mathbb{E}[1_{\max(X_i,x) \leq (1+c_{n,N})^{-1}}] \sigma(x)
\]
\[
= \int_{S^{n-1}} \prod_{i=1}^{N} \Pr((X_i, x) \leq (1 + c_{n,N})^{-1}) \sigma(x)
\]
\[
< \left( 1 - \frac{c_{n,N}}{c_{n,N} |D_{n-1}|} |\partial D_{n-1}| \right)^N \leq \left( 1 - \frac{c(n)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \ln(N)}{\sqrt{2\pi n N}} \right)^N
\]
\[
\leq \exp \left( -\frac{c(n)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \ln(N)}{\sqrt{2\pi n}} \right) = N^{-c(n)^{\frac{n-1}{2}}/\sqrt{2\pi n}},
\]

the expectation of $Z$ is extremely small, other standard concentration inequalities (e.g. Markov, Chernoff, etc.) do not yield the desired inequality for $Z$. We instead use a simple geometric and combinatorial argument to show that $Z$ is negligible. More specifically, we show that with probability at least $1 - \exp(-c_2(n)N^{-(0.5-\frac{2}{\pi}r)} \ln N)$, the random variable $Z$ is bounded from above by a negligible factor.
where we used the fact that for all $x \in (0, 1)$, $(1 - x)^N \leq e^{-Nx}$. At the end of the proof of the lemma, we will choose $c(n)$ to be large enough so that this expectation is negligible.

Recall that our goal is to show that with high probability, $\hat{Z}$ is negligible. We can reduce the problem to the following random sphere “covering” of $c(n)N\ln(N)$ random geodesic balls with volume $N^{-1}$. Let $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_{c(n)N\ln(N)})$ and define

$$A_X := \bigcup_{i=1}^{c(n)N\ln(N)} B_G(X_i, N^{-\frac{1}{\pi-\tau}})$$

to be the random “covering” generated by $X$. We want to estimate the probability of the event

$$B := \{|A_X| \geq \hat{Z} > |\partial D_n| N^{-(0.5 + \frac{2}{\pi-\tau})}\}.$$  

To do so, we will need a sphere covering such that each point of the sphere is not counted “too many” times, i.e. each point must not belong to “too many” caps in the covering. A remarkable result of Böröczky [6] provides such a covering, showing that there exists a $\frac{1}{2}N^{-\frac{1}{\pi-\tau}}$-net $\mathcal{N}$ of size $400n\ln(n) \cdot 2^nN$ such that each point $x \in S^{n-1}$ lies inside of at most $400n\ln(n)$ caps.

Next, we use the covering $\mathcal{N}$ to define the random set

$$S_{\max} := \{y \in \mathcal{N} : d_G(X_i, y) \geq \frac{1}{2}N^{-\frac{1}{\pi-\tau}}, \forall 1 \leq i \leq c(n)N\ln(N)\}.$$  

Observe that if $y \not\in S_{\max}$, then by the triangle inequality $B_G(y, \frac{1}{2}N^{-\frac{1}{\pi-\tau}}) \subset A_X$, and hence

$$A_{\mathcal{N}\setminus S_{\max}} := \bigcup_{y \in \mathcal{N}\setminus S_{\max}} B_G(y, \frac{1}{2}N^{-\frac{1}{\pi-\tau}}) \subset A_X.$$  

We claim that this inclusion implies that $|S_{\max}| \geq 2^{n-1}N^{0.5 - \frac{2}{\pi-\tau}}$ holds under the event $B$. To see this, we prove the contrapositive statement and assume that $|S_{\max}| < 2^{n-1}N^{0.5 - \frac{2}{\pi-\tau}}$. Then

$$|A_X| \leq |A_{\mathcal{N}\setminus S_{\max}}| \leq |A_{S_{\max}}| = \left| \bigcup_{y \in S_{\max}} B_G(y, \frac{1}{2}N^{-\frac{1}{\pi-\tau}}) \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{y \in S_{\max}} |B_G(y, \frac{1}{2}N^{-\frac{1}{\pi-\tau}})|$$

$$\leq |S_{\max}| \times |B_G(y, \frac{1}{2}N^{-\frac{1}{\pi-\tau}})|$$

$$= |S_{\max}| \times 2^{-(n-1)}N^{-1}|\partial D_n|$$

$$< N^{-(0.5 + \frac{2}{\pi-\tau})}|\partial D_n|,$$

so the complementary event $B^c$ holds. Therefore,

$$\Pr_X(B) \leq \Pr_X(|S_{\max}| \geq 2^{n-1}N^{0.5 - \frac{2}{\pi-\tau}})$$

$$= \Pr_X(\exists S \subset S_{\max} : |S| = 2^{n-1}N^{0.5 - \frac{2}{\pi-\tau}}).$$  

(18)
Since each point of the sphere lies inside of at most 400\(n \ln(n)\) balls of the covering \(\mathcal{N}\), it follows that

\[
|A_{\text{max}}| = \int_{S_{n-1}} \mathbb{I}_{A_{\text{max}}(x)} dS(x) \geq \frac{1}{400n \ln(n)} \int_{S_{n-1}} \sum_{y \in S_{\text{max}}} \mathbb{I}_{B_G(y, \frac{1}{2}N^{-1/(n-1)})}(x) dS(x)
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{400n \ln(n)} |S_{\text{max}}| \times |B_G(y, \frac{1}{2}N^{-1/(n-1)})| \geq \frac{2nN^{-0.5-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}}{400n \ln(n)} |\partial D_n|.
\]

Thus, by (18), (19), a union bound and independence, we conclude that

\[
\Pr_X(\exists S \subset S_{\text{max}} : |S| = 2^{n-1}N^{0.5-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}) \leq \left(\frac{400n \ln(n) \cdot 2^n N}{2^n N^{0.5-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}}\right) \times \Pr_X(X_1, \ldots, X_{c(n)}N \ln N \notin A_{\text{max}})
\]

\[
\leq \exp\left(c_2(n) \ln(N)N^{0.5-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} \right) \times \left(1 - \frac{2^n N^{-0.5-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}}{400n \ln(n)}\right)^{c(n)N \ln(N)} \leq \exp\left(c_2(n) \ln(N)N^{0.5-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}} - c(n)c_1(n) \ln(N)N^{0.5-\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}}\right).
\]

Choosing \(c(n)\) large enough yields the lemma. \(\square\)

### 4.3 The random variable \(W\) equals zero with high probability

Finally, we turn our attention to the random variable \(W = |P_{n,N} \cap ((1 + \frac{2}{n})D_n)^c|\). The next lemma implies that \(W = 0\) with high probability.

**Lemma 13.** When \(N\) is large enough, the polytope \(P_{n,N}\) lies inside the ball \((1 + \frac{2}{n})D_n\) with probability at least \(1 - e^{-c_1(n)N}\).

We break the proof of Lemma [13] into two steps. First, we show that if \(\mathcal{N}\) is a \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\)-net of the sphere, then the inclusion \(P_{n,N} \subset (1 + \frac{2}{n})D_n\) holds. In the second step we show that if the points \(X_1, \ldots, X_N\) are chosen uniformly and independently from \(S^{n-1}\), then the random set \(\{X_1, \ldots, X_N\}\) is a \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\)-net of \(S^{n-1}\) with probability at least \(1 - e^{-c_1(n)N}\).

**Lemma 14.** Suppose that \(\mathcal{N} = \{X_1, \ldots, X_N\}\) is a \(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\)-net of \(S^{n-1}\). Then \(P_{n,N} \subset (1 + \frac{2}{n})D_n\).

**Proof.** Suppose by way of contradiction that there exists a point \(v \in P_{n,N}\) such that \(\|v\| > 1 + \frac{2}{n}\). Without loss of generality, we may assume that \(v = (1 + \frac{2}{n})e_1\). We claim that if \(v \in P_{n,N}\), then \(P_{n,N}\) has no facets \(\{\langle X_i, x \rangle = t_{n,N}\} \) with \(\langle X_i, e_1 \rangle > 1 - \frac{1}{n}\). Otherwise, if such a facet exists then there is some \(j\) such that

\[
\langle X_j, v \rangle = \langle X_j, e_1 \rangle v_1 \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)\left(1 + \frac{2}{n}\right) = 1 + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{2}{n^2} > t_{n,N},
\]
a contradiction. Thus none of the outer normals $X_1, \ldots, X_N$ of the facets of $P_{n,N}$ lie in the cap $S^{n-1} \cap \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, e_1 \rangle > 1 - \frac{1}{n} \}$. Let $r$ denote the radius of this cap. Then for all $n \geq 2$,

$$r = \sqrt{1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^2} = \frac{2 - 1}{n^2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}} \cdot \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{2n}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{2n}} > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}.$$  

This implies that $N$ is not a $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$-net, a contradiction.

**Lemma 15.** Let $X_1, \ldots, X_N \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \sigma$. For all sufficiently large $N$, the set $\mathcal{N} := \{ X_1, \ldots, X_N \}$ is a $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$-net of the unit sphere with probability at least $1 - e^{-c_1(n)^N}$.

**Proof.** By definition, $\mathcal{N}$ is a $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$-net of $S^{n-1}$ if and only if for any $x \in S^{n-1}$ and any $X_i \in \mathcal{N}$ we have $d_G(x, X_i) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. We estimate the probability that $\mathcal{N}$ is not a $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$-net, which holds if and only if there exists $z \in S^{n-1}$ such that for all $1 \leq i \leq N$ we have $d_G(z, X_i) > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$. By independence and Lemma 9 for any fixed $z \in S^{n-1}$ and all $N \geq \frac{n^{n/2}}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n-1}n^{n/2}}$

$$\Pr\left( \forall X_i \in \mathcal{N} : d_G(X_i, z) > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \leq \left(\left|\partial D_n\right| - \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right)^{n-1}\left|D_{n-1}\right|\right)^N \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^{n-1}n^{n/2}}\right)^N \leq e^{-N}. \quad (21)$$

Now using Corollary 5.5 in [3], we can find a (deterministic) $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$-net of $S^{n-1}$ of size $c_1 n \log(n) \frac{|\partial D_n|}{|C(z, \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}})|}$; denote it by $\mathcal{N}_0$. Therefore, when $N$ is large enough, we can bound the probability that $\mathcal{N}$ is not a $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$-net by

$$\Pr\left( \exists x \in S^{n-1} \text{ s.t. } \forall X_i \in \mathcal{N} : d_G(X_i, x) > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \leq \Pr\left( \exists z \in \mathcal{N}_0 \text{ s.t. } \forall X_i \in \mathcal{N} : d_G(z, X_i) > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \leq |\mathcal{N}_0| \Pr\left( \forall X_i \in \mathcal{N} : d_G(z, X_i) > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\right) \leq c_1(n) e^{-N} \leq e^{-c_2(n)N},$$

where the second inequality follows from a union bound and the third inequality follows from (21). The claim follows.

### 4.4 Proof of Theorem [1]

Finally, we put everything together to prove the theorem. Recall that $|P_{n,N} \triangle D_n| = Y + Z + W$. We use the following ingredients:
1. $\mathbb{E}[|P_{n,N} \triangle D_n|] = c(n)N^{-\frac{2}{\pi r}}|D_n|$ (see Theorem 1.1 in [20])

2. $\mathbb{E}[Z] \leq \frac{2}{n}\mathbb{E}[\tilde{Z}] = N^{-c(n)\frac{\alpha + \frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{2\pi n}}}$

3. $\mathbb{E}[W] = 0$ with probability $1 - e^{c(n)N}$.

By Lemmas 12 and 13 as well as items 2 and 3,

$$\Pr(|Z + W| \leq N^{-(0.5 + \frac{2}{\pi r})} + \mathbb{E}|Z||W = 0| + \Pr(W \neq 0) \leq \exp\left(-c_1(n)N^{-(0.5 + \frac{2}{\pi r})}\right).$$

Conditioning on the event $W = 0$ and using Lemma 10 again, we derive that

$$\Pr\left(|P_{n,N} \triangle D_n| - \mathbb{E}[P_{n,N} \triangle D_n]| \geq \epsilon \right) \leq \Pr\left(\{||P_{n,N} \triangle D_n| - \mathbb{E}[P_{n,N} \triangle D_n]| \geq \epsilon \}\cup \{W \neq 0\}\right) \leq \Pr(|Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]| \geq \epsilon|W = 0) + \Pr\left(|Z| \geq c_1(n)N^{-(0.5 + \frac{2}{\pi r})} + \mathbb{E}|Z||W = 0|\right) + \Pr(W \neq 0) \quad (22)$$

$$\leq 2\exp\left(-c(n)N^{1+\frac{2}{\pi r}}f(N)^2\right) + \exp\left(-c_1(n)N^{-(0.5 + \frac{2}{\pi r})}\right).$$

Observe that for $\epsilon < N^{-(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{2}{\pi r})}$, our concentration inequality doesn’t give something meaningful. Thus, we can assume that this inequality holds for all $\epsilon > 0$.

5 Proof of Remark 1

In this section we describe how to extend the proof of Theorem 1 from the uniform measure $\sigma$ to any probability measure $\mu$ on $S^{n-1}$ for which there exists a density $g$ such that $d\mu(x) = g(x)d\sigma(x)$ and $g(x) > 0$ for all $x \in S^{n-1}$. In this case, $|P_{n,N} \triangle D_n| = Y_\mu + Z_\mu + W_\mu$, where

$$Y_\mu := |\partial D_n| \left(\int_1^{1+c_{n,\mu,N}} r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\max(X_i, x) \leq r^{-1}t_{n,N}\}} g(x) d\sigma(x) dr\right)$$

$$+ \int_{t_{n,N}}^1 r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} (1 - \mathbb{1}_{\{\max(X_i, x) \leq r^{-1}t_{n,N}\}}) g(x) d\sigma(x) dr\right)$$

$$Z_\mu := |\partial D_n| \left(\int_1^{1+c_{n,\mu,N}} r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\max(X_i, x) \leq r^{-1}t_{n,N}\}} g(x) d\sigma(x) dr\right)$$

$$W_\mu := |\partial D_n| \left(\int_{1+c_{n,\mu,N}}^\infty r^{n-1} \int_{S^{n-1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\max(X_i, x) \leq r^{-1}t_{n,N}\}} g(x) d\sigma(x) dr\right).$$

Here $c_{n,N,\mu} := c(n, \mu)N^{-\frac{2}{\pi r}}(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $c(n, \mu)$ will be defined later.

Since McDiarmid’s inequality holds for any probability measure, it follows that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\Pr(|Y_\mu - E[Y_\mu]| \geq \epsilon) \leq \exp\left(-c_1(n)N^{1+\frac{2}{\pi r}}f(N)^2\right)$$

where $f(N) = \ln(N)^{-(2 + \frac{2}{\pi r})}$. Thus, we only need to discuss how to extend the proofs of Lemmas 12 and 13 to the random variables $Z_\mu$ and $W_\mu$, respectively.
Following the proof of Lemma 12, observe that the only time that the probability measure was used was in inequality (20). First, observe that

$$\mu(A_{S_{\max}}) = \int_{A_{S_{\max}}} g(x) \, d\sigma(x) \geq \sigma(A_{S_{\max}}) \min_{x \in S^{n-1}} g(x), \quad (23)$$

then we modify Eq. (20)

$$\Pr(B) \leq \left( \frac{400 \ln(n) \cdot 2^n N}{2^n N^{0.5 - \frac{2}{\pi^2}}} \right) \Pr_{X_i \sim \mu}(X_1, \ldots, X_c(n, \mu)N \ln N \notin A_{S_{\max}})$$

$$= \left( \frac{400 \ln(n) \cdot 2^n N}{2^n N^{0.5 - \frac{2}{\pi^2}}} \right) (1 - \mu(A_{S_{\max}})) c(n, \mu)N \ln N$$

$$\leq \left( \frac{400 \ln(n) \cdot 2^n N}{2^n N^{0.5 - \frac{2}{\pi^2}}} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{2^n N^{-(0.5 + \frac{2}{\pi^2})}}{Cn \ln(n)} \min_{x \in S^{n-1}} g(x) \right)^c(n, \mu)N \ln N$$

$$\leq \exp \left( c(n) \ln(N)N^{0.5 - \frac{2}{\pi^2}} - c(n, \mu)c(g, \mu) \ln(N)N^{0.5 - \frac{2}{\pi^2}} \right).$$

Thus, choosing $c(n, \mu)$ large enough shows that $Z_\mu$ is negligible with high probability.

Similarly, in the proof of Lemma 13 we only used the probability measure once, in (21). Thus, by independence and (23), for any fixed $z \in S^{n-1}$ and all sufficiently large $N$ we derive

$$\Pr_{X_i \sim \mu} \left( \forall X_i \in N : d_G(X_i, z) > \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \right) \leq (1 - \mu(B_G(X_i, z)))^N$$

$$\leq \left( 1 - \sigma(B_G(X_i, z)) \min_{x \in S^{n-1}} g(x) \right)^N$$

$$\leq e^{-c(n)c(g)N},$$

where $c(g)$ is a positive constant that depends only on $g$. The rest of the proof for $W_\mu$ is similar to that of Lemma 13.

6 Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we show how to modify the proof of Theorem 1 to extend the result from the Euclidean ball to all smooth convex bodies $K$ with positive curvature. The proof that is given holds for the uniform distribution on the boundary; the extension to arbitrary densities follows from arguments similar to those in the proof of Remark 1.

First, recall that the random polytope $P_{n,N}$ is defined by

$$P_{n,N} := \bigcap_{i=1}^N \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle \nu(X_i), x \rangle \leq \langle X_i, \nu(X_i) \rangle \}, \quad X_1, \ldots, X_N \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \sigma_{\partial K},$$

where $\sigma_{\partial K}$ denotes the uniform probability measure on the boundary of $K$. We use a “polar” coordinates formula for a convex body with the origin in its interior (see, e.g., [28]) to express the
volume of the set difference \( P_{n,N} \setminus K \) as

\[
|P_{n,N} \setminus K| = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus K} 1_{\max(\nu(x_i),x) \leq \nu(x_i),x_i)} \, dx = |\partial K| \int_1^\infty r^{n-1} \int_{\partial K} ||y|| \alpha(y) 1_{\max(\nu(x_i),x) \leq r^{-1}(\nu(x_i),x_i)} \, d\sigma_{\partial K}(y) \, dr,
\]

where \( \nu(y) \) is the outer unit normal to \( \partial K \) at the point \( y \) and \( \alpha(y) \) is the cosine of the angle between the normal \( \nu(y) \) and the “radial vector” \( y \in \partial K \). We now split this integral into three parts as we did in the proof of Theorem \[1\].

\[
\begin{align*}
|P_{n,N} \setminus K| &= |\partial K| \left( \int_1^{1+c_{K,N}} r^{n-1} \int_{\partial K} ||x|| \alpha(x) 1_{\max(\nu(x_i),x) \leq r^{-1}(\nu(x_i),x_i)} \, d\sigma_{\partial K}(x) \, dr \\
&\quad + \int_{1+c_{K,N}}^{1+\frac{2}{n}} r^{n-1} \int_{\partial K} ||x|| \alpha(x) 1_{\max(\nu(x_i),x) \leq r^{-1}(\nu(x_i),x_i)} \, d\sigma_{\partial K}(x) \, dr \\
&\quad + \int_{1+\frac{2}{n}}^{\infty} r^{n-1} \int_{\partial K} ||x|| \alpha(x) 1_{\max(\nu(x_i),x) \leq r^{-1}(\nu(x_i),x_i)} \, d\sigma_{\partial K}(x) \, dr \right) \\
&= Y + Z + W.
\end{align*}
\]

Here \( c_{K,N} := c(K)N^{-\frac{2}{2+p}}(\ln N)^{\frac{1}{2+p}} \) and \( c(K) \) is a large constant that is defined at the end of subsection \[6.2\]. As in the proof of Theorem \[4\] we will divide the proof into three lemmas, considering each random variable \( Y \), \( Z \), and \( W \) separately. The proofs of these lemmas are similar to those of Lemmas \[6\] and \[7\] for the Euclidean unit ball. The modifications needed to extend the proofs to all convex bodies involve elementary differential geometry.

### 6.1 Concentration for the random variable \( Y \)

**Lemma 16.** Let \( \epsilon > 0 \). There is a constant \( c_1(K) \) such that for all sufficiently large \( N \),

\[
\Pr(|Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]| \geq \epsilon) \leq 2 \exp \left( -c_1(K)N^{1+\frac{1}{2(1+p)}} f(N)^2 \right)
\]

where \( f(N) = \ln(N)^{-\frac{1}{2+\frac{2}{2+p}}} \).

**Proof of Lemma 16.** We follow along the same lines as the proof of Lemma \[6\] where we used McDiarmid’s inequality to derive a concentration inequality for the random variable \( Y \) by analyzing a random partial “covering” of the sphere by geodesic balls of fixed radius. However, in the setting of smooth convex bodies with positive curvature, we will instead consider a random partial “covering” of \( \partial K \) by geodesic ellipsoids. Moreover, unlike the sphere “covering” setting, the shape of each geodesic ellipsoid can vary depending on the curvature of \( K \) at the ellipsoid’s center.

First, we define \( f(X_1, \ldots, X_N) := |P_{n,N}^c \cap (1+c_{K,N})K \cap K^c| \) to be the volume that we remove from \( (1+c_{K,N})K \setminus K \), so that \( Y = |(1+c_{K,N})K \setminus K| - f(X_1, \ldots, X_N) \). Then

\[
c_i := \sup_{x_1, \ldots, x_N, x_i'} |f(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_N) - f(x_1, \ldots, x_i', \ldots, x_N)|
\]

\[
\leq \sup_{x_1, \ldots, x_N, x_i'} |(x_i^\perp)^c \cap (1+c_{K,N})K|.
\]
Since $K$ has $C^2$ boundary with strictly positive curvature, each point in the boundary of $K$ is an elliptic point. Thus for each $x \in \partial K$, we can represent the cap $(x_i^+) \cap K$ in local coordinates as a cap of the ellipsoid $E(x)$ with axes length as the principal radii of curvature $\kappa_j(x)^{-1}$:

$$E(x) := \left\{(z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : \left(z_1, \ldots, z_{n-1}, \sqrt{1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{z_j^2}{\kappa_j(x)^{-2}}}\right)\right\}.$$ 

Please note that when $N$ is large enough, for each $1 \leq i \leq N$ the volume of the cap $(x_i^+) \cap K$ equals the volume of the $(x_i^+) \cap \mathcal{E}(x)$, up to a term of negligible order in $N$. Using a computation similar to the one in the proof of Theorem\textsuperscript{[1]} we derive that

$$| (x_i^+) \cap (1 + c_{K,N})\mathcal{E}(x_i) | = |D_{n-1}^{1+c_{K,N}} |_{\nu(x_i),x_i} (1 + c_{K,N})^2 - x^2 \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \kappa_j(x)^{-1} dx \leq 3^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (1 + c_{K,N})^{\frac{n-1}{2}} |D_{n-1}| \kappa(x_i)^{-1} \int_{\nu(x_i),x_i} (1 + c_{K,N} - x)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} dx \leq C(K) N^{-(1+\frac{2}{n-1})} (\ln N)^{1+\frac{2}{n-1}},$$

where $\kappa(x)$ denotes the Gauss curvature of $K$ at $x \in \partial K$. The rest of the proof is similar to that of Lemma\textsuperscript{[11]}.


6.2 The random variable $Z$ is negligible

Next, we extend Proposition\textsuperscript{[12]} from the ball to all smooth convex bodies with positive curvature.

**Lemma 17.**

$$\Pr(Z \geq N^{-(0.5+\frac{2}{n-1})}) \leq \exp(-c_1(K) N^{-(0.5+\frac{2}{n-1})}).$$

**Proof of Lemma 17.** Without loss of generality, we can assume that the origin lies in the interior of $K$, which implies $\max_{x \in K} \|x\| \leq \text{diam}(K)$. Moreover, $\alpha(x) := \cos(\angle(\nu(x), x)) \leq 1$. Thus,

$$Z = |\partial K| \int_{1+c_{K,N}}^{1+\frac{2}{n-1}} r^{n-1} \int_{\partial K} \|x\|^{\alpha(x)} \mathbb{1}_{\max(\nu(x), x) \leq r^{-1}(\nu(x), x_i)} d\sigma_{\partial K}(x) dr \leq \text{diam}(K)|\partial K| \int_{1+c_{K,N}}^{1+\frac{2}{n-1}} r^{n-1} dr \times \int_{\partial K} \mathbb{1}_{\max(\nu(x), x) \leq (1+c_{K,N})^{-1}(\nu(x), x_i)} d\sigma_{\partial K}(x) \leq C n^{-1} \text{diam}(K)|\partial K| \int_{\partial K} \mathbb{1}_{\max(\nu(x), x) \leq (1+c_{K,N})^{-1}(\nu(x), x_i)} d\sigma_{\partial K}(x) = C_1(K) \hat{Z},$$

where $C_1(K) := C n^{-1} \text{diam}(K)$ and $\hat{Z} := |\partial K| \int_{\partial K} \mathbb{1}_{\max(\nu(x), x) \leq (1+c_{K,N})^{-1}(\nu(x), x_i)} d\sigma_{\partial K}(x)$ (recall that we defined $\hat{Z}$ similarly in Lemma\textsuperscript{[12]}). The random variable $\hat{Z}$ measures the missing surface area of a random “covering” of $K$ by $N$ random geodesic ellipsoids of volume $c(K)^{\ln(N) / N}$. 
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By independence, its expected value can be estimated by

\[
E[\tilde{Z}] = |\partial K| \times \prod_{i=1}^{N} \Pr(\langle \nu(X_i), x \rangle \leq (1 + c_{K,N})^{-1} \langle \nu(X_i), X_i \rangle)
\]

\[
\leq |\partial K| \left(1 - \frac{c(K)^{n-1} C(K) \frac{n-1}{|\partial K|} |D_{n-1}| \ln N}{|\partial K|}\right)^N
\]

\[
\leq |\partial K| \exp \left(-\frac{c(K)^{n-1} C(K) \frac{n-1}{|\partial K|} |D_{n-1}| \ln N}{|\partial K|}\right)
\]

\[
= |\partial K| N^{-C(K) \frac{n-1}{|\partial K|} |D_{n-1}|}.
\]

Next, we show that \(\tilde{Z}\) is negligible with high probability. As in the proof of Lemma 12, we can reduce the problem to the following random “covering” of \(K\) by \(C(K) N \ln(N)\) random ellipsoids of volume \(c_i(K) N^{-1},\ 1 \leq i \leq C(K) N \ln(N)\). Let \(X = (X_1, \ldots, X_{C(K) N \ln(N)})\) and define

\[
A_X := \bigcup_{i=1}^{C(K) N \ln(N)} E(X_i, c_i(K) N^{-1}),
\]

where \(E(X_i, c_i(K) N^{-1}) \subseteq \partial K\) denotes the geodesic ellipsoid centered at \(X_i\) with volume \(c_i(K) N^{-1}\). In particular, every ellipsoid contains a ball of radius

\[
r_{N,K} := c_1(K) \min_{x \in \partial K} \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \kappa_i(x)^{-1} N^{-\frac{1}{n-1}}.
\]

Now as in Lemma 12, it suffices to prove that

\[
\Pr(\tilde{Z} \geq N^{-0.5 + \frac{1}{n-1}}) \leq \exp(-c_2(K) N^{-0.5 + \frac{1}{n-1}}).
\]

In order to apply the same proof of Lemma 12, the last ingredient that we need is a covering of \(\partial K\) by geodesic balls of radius \(\frac{1}{2} r_{N,K}\) such that each point is counted no more than \(c_1(K)\) times. Indeed, provides such a covering. Now the rest of the proof proceeds similarly to that of Lemma 12 and we derive that

\[
\Pr(B) \leq \exp \left(c_2(K) \ln(N) N^{0.5 - \frac{1}{n-1}} - c_1(K) \ln(N) N^{0.5 - \frac{1}{n-1}}\right).
\]

Choosing \(c(K)\) to be large enough yields the lemma. \(\square\)

6.3 The random variable \(W\) equals zero with high probability

Finally, we turn our attention to the random variable \(W\).

Lemma 18. When \(N\) is large enough, the polytope \(P_{n,N}\) lies in \((1 + \frac{2}{n})K\) with probability at least \(1 - e^{-c_1(K) N}\).

We follow the argument of Lemma 12 and divide the proof into two steps. In the first step, we follow Lemma 14 and show that if \(\{X_1, \ldots, X_N\}\) is a \(\frac{1}{n-1}\)-net of \(\partial K\), then \(P \subseteq (1 + \frac{2}{n})K\). In the second step, we follow Lemma 15 and show that \(\{X_1, \ldots, X_N\}\) is a \(\frac{1}{n-1}\)-net with probability \(1 - e^{-c_1(K) N}\).
Lemma 19. Suppose that \( \{X_1, \ldots, X_N\} \) is a \( \frac{1}{n^2} \)-net of \( K \). Then \( P_{n,N} \subset (1 + \frac{2}{n})K \).

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may assume that \( o \) lies in the interior of \( K \) (and thus \( o \) also lies in the interior of \( P_{n,N} \)). Then \( P \subset (1 + \frac{2}{n})K \) if and only if \( \partial((1 + \frac{2}{n})K) \cap P_{n,N} = \emptyset \). Suppose by way of contradiction that there exists \( v_0 \in \partial K \) such that \( (1 + \frac{2}{n})v_0 \in P_{n,N} \). By the definition of \( P_{n,N} \), this means that for all \( 1 \leq i \leq N \),

\[
\langle (1 + \frac{2}{n})v_0, \nu(X_i) \rangle \leq \langle X_i, \nu(X_i) \rangle.
\]

There exists \( X_j \) such that \( \|v_0 - X_j\| = \min_{1 \leq i \leq N} \|v_0 - X_i\| \). Hence, the previous inequality yields

\[
0 \geq \langle (1 + \frac{2}{n})v_0 - X_j, \nu(X_j) \rangle = \langle (1 + \frac{2}{n})v_0 - X_j + X_j, \nu(X_j) \rangle.
\]

Thus, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the fact that \( \{X_i\}_{i=1}^N \) is a \( \frac{1}{n^2} \)-net,

\[
\frac{2}{n}\langle X_j, \nu(X_j) \rangle \leq (1 + \frac{2}{n})\langle X_j - v_0, \nu(X_j) \rangle \leq (1 + \frac{2}{n})\|X_j - v_0\| < \frac{2}{n^2},
\]
a contradiction. \( \square \)

Lemma 20. Let \( X_1, \ldots, X_N \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \sigma_{\partial K} \). For all sufficiently large \( N \), the set \( \mathcal{N} := \{X_1, \ldots, X_N\} \) is a \( \frac{1}{n^3} \)-net of \( \partial K \) with probability at least \( 1 - e^{-c_3(K)N} \).

Proof. The set \( \mathcal{N} := \{X_1, \ldots, X_N\} \) is not a \( \frac{1}{n^3} \)-net of \( \partial K \) if and only if there exists \( z \in \partial K \) such that \( d_G(z, X_i) > \frac{1}{n^3} \) for all \( X_i \in \mathcal{N} \), where \( d_G \) denotes the geodesic distance on \( \partial K \). Thus for all \( N \geq |\partial K|/(n^{3(n-1)}|\partial K|) \),

\[
\Pr(\forall X_i \in \mathcal{N} : d_G(X_i, z) > r_{N,K}) \leq \left( \frac{|\partial K| - n^{-2(n-1)}|\partial K|}{|\partial K|} \right)^N \leq (1 - N^{-1})^N \leq e^{-N}. \tag{26}
\]

By compactness, there exists a deterministic \( \frac{1}{n^2} \)-net \( \mathcal{N}_0 \) of \( \partial K \) whose cardinality depends only on \( K \). We now repeat the last part of the argument of Lemma 15 and derive that for all sufficiently large \( N \), the probability that \( \mathcal{N} \) is not a \( \frac{1}{n^3} \)-net can be bounded by

\[
\Pr \left( \exists x \in \partial K \text{ s.t. } \forall X_i \in \mathcal{N} : d_G(X_i, x) > \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \leq |\mathcal{N}_0| \Pr \left( \forall X_i \in \mathcal{N} : d_G(z, X_i) > \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \leq c_1(K)e^{-N} \leq e^{-c_2(K)N}.
\]

\( \square \)

6.4 Proof of Theorem 2

Recalling that \( |P_{n,N} \setminus K| = Y + Z + W \), the rest of the proof proceeds in the same way as the proof of Theorem 1 in subsection 4.4. \( \square \)
7 Proof of Theorem 4

Recall that we aim to show that

$$|\text{div}_{n-1} - (2\pi e)^{-1}(n + \ln(n))| = O(1).$$

First, let us prove that $$\text{div}_{n-1} \leq (2\pi e)^{-1}(n + \ln(n)) + c.$$ By a recent result of Kur [20], there is a polytope $$P$$ with $$N$$ facets, all of which have the same height $$t_{n,N}$$, such that when $$N$$ is sufficiently large

$$|P \triangle D_n| \leq C|D_n|N^{-\frac{n^2}{2}}.$$

Now we inflate the polytope $$P$$ by a factor of $$t_{n,N}^{-1}$$ to get a polytope $$\tilde{P}$$ that circumscribes $$D_n$$ (i.e. $$\tilde{P} \supset D_n$$ and each facet of $$\tilde{P}$$ touches $$\partial D_n$$). By the homogeneity of volume,

$$|\tilde{P} \setminus D_n| \leq |t_{n,N}^{-1}P| - |D_n|$$

$$\leq \left(1 + CN^{-\frac{n}{n+2}}\right)t_{n,N}^{-n}|D_n|$$

$$\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}(n + \ln(n)) + c_0N^{-\frac{n}{n+2}}\right)|D_n|.$$ 

From this and formula (3) of Gruber [16], for all sufficiently large $$N$$ we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\text{div}_{n-1} |\partial D_n|^{-\frac{n+1}{n}}N^{-\frac{n}{n+2}} =$$

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(n + 2\ln(n) + O\left(\frac{\ln^2(n)}{n}\right)\right)\text{div}_{n-1} |D_n|^{-\frac{n+1}{n}}N^{-\frac{n}{n+2}}$$

$$\leq |\tilde{P} \setminus D_n| \leq \frac{1}{2}(n + \ln(n) + c_0)|D_n|N^{-\frac{n}{n+2}},$$

which implies that

$$\text{div}_{n-1} \leq |D_n|^{-\frac{n}{n+2}}\frac{n + \ln(n) + c_0}{n + 2\ln(n) + O\left(\frac{\ln^2(n)}{n}\right)}\left(\frac{\pi \frac{n}{2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n+2}}.$$ 

(27)

We use Lemma 8 (Stirling’s inequality) to estimate the term involving the volume of the Euclidean ball:

$$\left(\frac{\pi \frac{n}{2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n+2}} = \pi^{-1}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)^{-\frac{2}{n+2}}$$

$$= \pi^{-1}\left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right)\left(\sqrt{2\pi \cdot \frac{n}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{n}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} e^{-\frac{n}{2}}}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n+2}}$$

$$= (2\pi e)^{-1}(n + 2\ln(n) + O(1)).$$ 

(28)
Combining (27) and (28) yields

\[
\text{div}_{n-1} \leq (2\pi e)^{-1}(n + 2\ln(n) + O(1)) \cdot \frac{n + \ln(n) + c_0}{n + 2\ln(n) + O\left(\frac{\ln^2(n)}{n}\right)}
\]

\[
= (2\pi e)^{-1}(n + 2\ln(n) + O(1)) \left(1 - \frac{\ln(n) + O(1)}{n + 2\ln(n) + O\left(\frac{\ln^2(n)}{n}\right)}\right)
\]

\[
= (2\pi e)^{-1}(n + \ln(n)) + O(1).
\]

(29)

In the other direction, we show that \(\text{div}_{n-1} \geq (2\pi e)^{-1}(n + \ln(n)) - c'\), where \(c' > 0\) is an absolute constant that will be defined later. Suppose that there exists a polytope \(P_b \supset D_n\) with \(N\) facets such that

\[
|P_b| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}(n + \ln(n) - c')N^{-\frac{2}{\pi^2}}\right)|D_n|.
\]

Without loss of generality, we may assume that all of the facets of \(P_b\) touch the unit ball. Now shrink \(P_b\) so that its volume equals \(|D_n|\), and denote the resulting shrunken polytope by \(\hat{P}_b\). Then the polytope \(\hat{P}_b\) can be represented as

\[
\hat{P}_b = \bigcap_{i=1}^{N}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y_i, x \rangle \leq w_{n,N}\},
\]

where \(y_1, \ldots, y_N\) are the normals of the facets of \(\hat{P}_b\) and \(w_{n,N} \geq t_{n,N} + \frac{c'}{2n}N^{-\frac{2}{\pi^2}}\). We express the volume of \(D_n \setminus \hat{P}_b\) as

\[
|D_n \setminus \hat{P}_b| = \left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{N}\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y_i, x \rangle > w_{n,N}\} \cap D_n\right|
\]

\[
\leq N\left|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y_1, x \rangle \in (w_{n,N}, 1]\}\cap D_n\right|.
\]

(30)

By the definitions (6) and (7) of \(\varepsilon_{n,N}\) and \(t_{n,N}\), respectively, we have

\[
w_{n,N} > 1 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial D_n}{|D_{n-1}|}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-p}} + \frac{c'}{2n}N^{-\frac{2}{\pi^2}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2}w_{n,N} + \frac{c'}{2n}N^{-\frac{2}{\pi^2}},
\]

\[25\]
Hence, we can estimate the volume of each cap as
\[
|\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle y_1, x \rangle \in (w_n,N,1)\} \cap \mathbb{D}_n| = |D_{n-1}| \int_{w_n,N}^1 (1 - x^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \, dx
\leq |D_{n-1}| \int_{1 - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{n,N} + \frac{c'}{2n} N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}}}^1 (1 - x^2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \, dx
= |D_{n-1}| \int_{1 - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{n,N} + \frac{c'}{2n} N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}}}^1 ((1 - x)(1 + x))^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \, dx
\leq |D_{n-1}| \int_{1 - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{n,N} + \frac{c'}{2n} N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}}}^1 (1 - x)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \, dx
= |D_{n-1}| \left[ \frac{2(1 - x)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}}{n+1} \right]_{1 - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{n,N} + \frac{c'}{2n} N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}}}^1 \leq |D_{n-1}| \left( \frac{c'}{n} \left( \frac{|\partial D_n|}{|D_{n-1}|} \right) \frac{n+1}{n+1} \right)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \leq 9 e^{-c'/2} |D_n| N^{-1} N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}}. \tag{31}
\]

Please note that in the last inequality we used Lemma 9 and the inequality $\frac{n}{n+1} \sqrt{2\pi n} < 9$, $n \geq 2$. Thus, from (30) and (31) we obtain
\[
|D_n \setminus \hat{P}_b| \leq 9 e^{-c'/2} |D_n| N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}}.
\]
However, by Theorem 2 in [22] it is known that
\[
|\hat{P}_b \Delta D_n| \geq c |D_n| N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}}.
\]
Therefore when $c'$ is large enough we get
\[
|\hat{P}_b| \gtrsim \left( 1 + \frac{c}{2} N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}} \right) |D_n|,
\]
which contradicts the fact that $|\hat{P}_b| = |D_n|$. Hence, for all polytopes $P_{n,N} \supset D_n$ with $N$ facets, when $N$ is large enough we have $|P_{n,N}| > \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} (n + \ln(n)) - c' \right) N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}} |D_n|$, i.e. $|P_{n,N} \setminus D_n| > \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} (n + \ln(n)) - c' \right) N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}} |D_n|$. Thus, from (30) we get that for all sufficiently large $N$,
\[
\frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \text{div}_{n-1} |\partial D_n| \frac{n+1}{n+1} N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}} \geq \frac{1}{2} (n + \ln(n)) - c' \right) |D_n| N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}} \geq \frac{1}{2} (n + \ln(n)) - c' \right) |D_n| N^{-\frac{2}{n+1}}.
\]
Finally, by another application of Stirling’s inequality we obtain
\[
\text{div}_{n-1} \geq (2\pi e)^{-1} (n + \ln(n)) - O(1). \tag{32}
\]
The theorem now follows from (29) and (32).
8 Proof of Theorem 5

Recall that $P_{n,N} = \text{conv}\{\pm X_i\}_{i=1}^N$ and define the polytope $P'_{n,N} = \text{conv}\{X_i\}_{i=1}^N$. Müller [27] showed that

$$\mathbb{E}[|P'_{n,N}|] = \left(1 - (2\pi e)^{-1} \left(n - \frac{c}{2} \ln(n) + O(1)\right) \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n-1}}\right)|D_n|,$$

and Reitzner [30] showed that when $N$ is large enough,

$$\text{Var}(|P'_{n,N}|) \leq c(n)N^{-(1+\frac{1}{n-1})}.$$

Thus by Chebyshev’s inequality, the event $|P'_{n,N}| \geq \left(1 - (2\pi e)^{-1} \left(n - \frac{c}{2} \ln(n) + O(1)\right) \left(\frac{N}{2}\right)^{-\frac{2}{n-1}}\right)|D_n|$(33) holds with probability at least $1 - C(n)N^{-\frac{1}{n-1}}$. Now the proof of Theorem 4, specifically the argument showing that $\text{div}_{n-1} \geq (2\pi e)^{-1} \left(n + \ln(n)\right) - c'$, implies that when $N$ is large enough the following inequality holds for every realization of $P_{n,N}$:

$$|P_{n,N}| \geq \left(1 + (2\pi e)^{-1} \left(n + \ln(n)\right) - c'\right)|D_n|.$$

Using (33) and (34), along with the inequality $|P_{n,N}| \geq |P'_{n,N}|$, we conclude that with high probability

$$f(n,N) \geq |P_{n,N}||P'_{n,N}| \geq (1 - c \ln(n)N^{-\frac{2}{n-1}})|D_n|^2.$$

9 Proof of Corollary 6

For simplicity, we prove the corollary for $c = 1$, and put $N_{\epsilon(n)} := N_{1,\epsilon(n)}$. Let $X_1, \ldots, X_{N_{\epsilon(n)}} \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} \sigma$ and consider the random variable

$$|\partial D_n|^{-1} \times \left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{N_{\epsilon}} B_G(X_i, \epsilon)\right|.$$

The expectation of this random variable, which is the proportion of the surface area of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ that the caps capture, is about $1 - e^{-1}$. Indeed, by Fubini’s theorem and the independence of the $X_i$,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (1 - 1_{\max(X_i,x)\leq 1-\epsilon}) \, d\sigma(x)\right] = 1 - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \Pi_{i=1}^{N_{\epsilon}} 1_{X_i(x) \leq 1-\epsilon} \, d\sigma(x)$$

$$= 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{N_{\epsilon}}\right)^{N_{\epsilon}}$$

$$= 1 - e^{-1} + O(N_{\epsilon}^{-2}).$$

The next lemma shows that the random variable $|\partial D_n|^{-1} \left|\bigcup_{i=1}^{N_{\epsilon}} B_G(X_i, \epsilon)\right|$ is tightly concentrated around its mean.
Lemma 21. Define the function $f : \otimes_{i=1}^{N_\epsilon} S^{n-1} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by

$$f(x_1, \ldots, x_{N_\epsilon}) := |\partial D_n| \left(1 - \int_{S^{n-1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\max(x, x) \leq 1 - \epsilon\}} \, d\sigma(x)\right) = |\partial D_n| \int_{S^{n-1}} \mathbb{1}_{\{\min(x, x) \geq 1 - \epsilon\}} \, d\sigma(x).$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$ and $X_1, \ldots, X_{N_\epsilon}$ i.i.d. $\sigma$. Then the following concentration inequality holds:

$$\Pr\left( |f(X_1, \ldots, X_{N_\epsilon}) - E[f]| > \epsilon |\partial D_n| \right) \leq 2 e^{-2N_\epsilon \epsilon^2}.$$

Proof. First observe that each contribution from the indicator function $\mathbb{1}_{(x, x) \geq 1 - \epsilon}$ increases $f$ by at most $N_\epsilon^{-1} |\partial D_n|$. Thus, for all $1 \leq i \leq N_\epsilon$ we get

$$c_i := \sup_{x_1, \ldots, x_{N_\epsilon}, x_i} |f(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_{N_\epsilon}) - f(x_1, \ldots, x_i', \ldots, x_{N_\epsilon})| \leq N_\epsilon^{-1} |\partial D_n|.$$

Applying McDiarmid’s inequality, we derive that

$$\Pr\left( |f(X_1, \ldots, X_{N_\epsilon}) - E[f]| > \epsilon |\partial D_n| \right) \leq 2 \exp\left( -\frac{2 \epsilon^2 |\partial D_n|^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N_\epsilon} c_i^2} \right) \leq 2 e^{-2N_\epsilon \epsilon^2}.$$

\[\square\]
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