

Heating Through Phonon Excitation Implied by Collapse Models

Stephen L. Adler*

Institute for Advanced Study, Einstein Drive, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA.

We calculate the rate of heating through phonon excitation implied by the noise postulated in mass-proportional-coupled collapse models, for a general noise power spectrum. For white noise with reduction rate λ , the phonon heating rate reduces to the standard formula, but for non-white noise with power spectrum $\lambda(\omega)$, the rate λ is replaced by $\lambda_{\text{eff}} = \frac{2}{3\pi^{3/2}} \int d^3w e^{-\vec{w}^2} \vec{w}^2 \lambda(\omega_L(\vec{w}/r_c))$, with $\omega_L(\vec{q})$ the longitudinal acoustic phonon frequency as a function of wave number $\vec{q}$, and with r_c the noise correlation length. Hence if the noise power spectrum is cut off below $\omega_L(|\vec{q}| \sim r_c^{-1})$, the heating rate is sharply reduced.

There is increasing interest in testing wave function collapse models [1], by searching for effects associated with the noise which drives wave function collapse when nonlinearly coupled in the Schrödinger equation. A recent cantilever experiment of Vinante et al. [2] has set noise bounds consistent with the enhanced noise strength [3] needed to make latent image formation a trigger for state vector collapse, and reports a possible noise signal. Various other suggested experiments [4] focus on noise-induced motions or heating of small masses or collections of oscillators, assuming a white noise spectrum. Since recent experiments on gamma ray emission from germanium [5] have shown that with the enhanced noise strength of [3], a white noise spectrum is experimentally ruled out, it becomes important to take the effects of a cutoff in the noise spectrum into account. In this paper we focus on noise-induced heating, motivated by the astute observation of Vinante [6] that since the noise wave number density is peaked near $|\vec{q}| \sim r_c^{-1}$, heating effects will be reduced if the noise spectrum cuts off below the longitudinal acoustic phonon frequency associated with the wave number peak. Our aim is to give a quantitative calculation of this effect; its application to possible experiments involving bulk heating effects will be given elsewhere [7]

Consider a system in initial state i with energy $E_i = \hbar\omega_i$ at time $t = 0$, acted on by a perturbation V which at time t leads to a transition to a state f with energy $E_f = \hbar\omega_f$. Working in the interaction picture, the transition amplitude $c_{fi}(t)$ is given by

$$c_{fi}(t) = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t V_{fi}(t') e^{i\omega_{fi}t'} dt' \quad , \quad (1)$$

with $\omega_{fi} = \omega_f - \omega_i$. For V we take the noise coupling in the mass-proportional continuous spon-

*Electronic address: adler@ias.edu

taneous localization (CSL) model,

$$V = \int d^3z \frac{dW_t(\vec{z})}{dt} \mathcal{V}(\vec{z}, \{\vec{x}\}) \quad ,$$

$$\mathcal{V}(\vec{z}, \{\vec{x}\}) = -\frac{\hbar}{m_N} \sum_{\ell} m_{\ell} g(\vec{z} - \vec{x}_{\ell}) \quad , \quad (2)$$

where we have followed the notation used in [8]. Here $\vec{x}_{\ell}$ are the coordinates of atoms of mass m_{ℓ} , $g(\vec{x})$ is a spatial correlation function, conventionally taken as a Gaussian

$$g(\vec{x}) = (2\pi)^{-3/2} (r_c)^{-3} e^{-\vec{x}^2/(2r_c^2)} = (2\pi)^{-3} \int d^3q e^{-r_c^2 \vec{q}^2/2 - i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{x}} \quad , \quad (3)$$

and the non-white noise has expectation $\mathcal{E}$

$$\mathcal{E} \left[\frac{dW_t(\vec{x})}{dt} \frac{dW_{t'}(\vec{y})}{dt'} \right] = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega \gamma(\omega) e^{-i\omega(t-t')} \delta^3(\vec{x} - \vec{y}) \quad , \quad (4)$$

with $\gamma(\omega) = \gamma(-\omega)$ related to the reduction rate parameter $\lambda(\omega)$ by

$$\gamma(\omega) = 8\pi^{3/2} r_c^3 \lambda(\omega) \quad . \quad (5)$$

We wish now to calculate the expectation $\mathcal{E}[E(t)]$ of the energy attained by the system at time t , given by

$$\mathcal{E}[E(t)] = \mathcal{E} \left[\sum_f \hbar \omega_{fi} |c_{fi}(t)|^2 \right] \quad . \quad (6)$$

Substituting Eqs. (1) – (5), carrying out integrations, and using the formulas [9]

$$\int_0^t dt' e^{i(\omega_{fi}-\omega)t'} = \frac{e^{i(\omega_{fi}-\omega)t} - 1}{i(\omega_{fi} - \omega)} \equiv 2\pi e^{i(\omega_{fi}-\omega)t/2} \delta^{(t)}(\omega_{fi} - \omega) \quad ,$$

$$[\delta^{(t)}(\omega_{fi} - \omega)]^2 \simeq \frac{t}{2\pi} \delta^{(t)}(\omega_{fi} - \omega) \quad , \quad (7)$$

we find in the large t limit the formula for the energy gain rate

$$t^{-1} \mathcal{E}[E(t)] = \frac{r_c^3}{\pi^{3/2} m_N^2} \int d^3q \sum_f e^{-r_c^2 \vec{q}^2} \lambda(\omega_{fi}) \hbar \omega_{fi} \left| \left(\sum_{\ell} m_{\ell} e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{x}_{\ell}} \right)_{fi} \right|^2 \quad . \quad (8)$$

The next step is to evaluate the matrix element appearing in Eq. (8) by introducing phonon physics, following the exposition in the text of Callaway [10]. We consider first the simplest case of a monatomic lattice with all m_{ℓ} equal to m_A , independent of the index ℓ , and write the atom coordinate $\vec{x}_{\ell}$ as

$$\vec{x}_{\ell} = \vec{R}_{\ell} + \vec{u}_{\ell} \quad , \quad (9)$$

with $\vec{R}_\ell$ the equilibrium lattice coordinate and with $\vec{u}_\ell$ the lattice displacement induced by the noise perturbation. Writing

$$\sum_{\ell} m_{\ell} e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{x}_{\ell}} = m_A \sum_{\ell} e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{R}_{\ell}} e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{u}_{\ell}} \quad , \quad (10)$$

we note that since the Gaussian in Eq. (8) restricts the magnitude of $\vec{q}$ to be less than of order of r_c^{-1} , with $r_c \sim 10^{-5}\text{cm}$, whereas the magnitude of the lattice displacement is much smaller than 10^{-8}cm , the exponent in $e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{u}_{\ell}}$ is a very small quantity. So we can Taylor expand to write

$$e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{u}_{\ell}} \simeq 1 + i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{u}_{\ell} \quad . \quad (11)$$

The leading term 1 does not contribute to energy-changing transitions, so we have reduced the matrix element in Eq. (8) to the simpler form

$$\left(\sum_{\ell} m_{\ell} e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{x}_{\ell}} \right)_{fi} \simeq i m_A \left(\sum_{\ell} e^{i\vec{q} \cdot \vec{R}_{\ell}} \vec{q} \cdot \vec{u}_{\ell} \right)_{fi} \quad , \quad f \neq i \quad . \quad (12)$$

The approximation leading to Eq. (12) is a phonon analog of the electric dipole approximation made in electromagnetic radiation rate calculations.

We now substitute the expression [10] for the lattice displacement in terms of phonon creation and annihilation operators,

$$\vec{u}_{\ell} = \frac{\Omega}{8\pi^3} \left(\frac{\hbar \mathcal{N}}{m_A} \right)^{1/2} \sum_j \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\omega_j(\vec{k}))^{1/2}} [\vec{e}^{(j)}(\vec{k}) e^{i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R}_{\ell}} a_j(\vec{k}) + \vec{e}^{(j)*}(\vec{k}) e^{-i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{R}_{\ell}} a_j^{\dagger}(\vec{k})] \quad , \quad (13)$$

where the sum on j runs over the acoustic phonon polarization states, and where Ω and $\mathcal{N}$ are respectively the lattice unit cell volume, and the number of unit cells. Taking the initial state i to be the zero phonon state, only the $a_j^{\dagger}$ term in Eq. (13) contributes, and we can evaluate the sum over lattice sites ℓ in Eq. (12) using the formula [10]

$$\sum_{\ell} e^{i(\vec{q}-\vec{k}) \cdot \vec{R}_{\ell}} = \frac{8\pi^3}{\Omega} \delta^3(\vec{q}-\vec{k}) \quad . \quad (14)$$

Carrying out the $\vec{k}$ integration, noting that $\vec{q} \cdot \vec{e}^{(j)}(\vec{q})$ selects the longitudinal phonon with frequency $\omega_L(\vec{q})$, defining $\vec{w} = r_c \vec{q}$, writing $M = \mathcal{N} m_A$ for the total system mass, and assembling all the pieces, we arrive at the answer

$$\begin{aligned} t^{-1} \mathcal{E}[E(t)] &= \frac{\hbar^2 M}{m_N^2 r_c^2} \frac{1}{2\pi^{3/2}} \int d^3 w e^{-\vec{w}^2} \vec{w}^2 \lambda(\omega_L(\vec{w}/r_c)) = \frac{3}{4} \frac{\hbar^2 \lambda_{\text{eff}} M}{m_N^2 r_c^2} \quad , \\ \lambda_{\text{eff}} &\equiv \frac{2}{3\pi^{3/2}} \int d^3 w e^{-\vec{w}^2} \vec{w}^2 \lambda(\omega_L(\vec{w}/r_c)) \quad . \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

In the white noise case, where $\lambda(\omega)$ is a constant λ , we can pull it outside the $\vec{w}$ integral and use

$$\int d^3w e^{-\vec{w}^2} \vec{w}^2 = \frac{3}{2} \pi^{3/2} \quad (16)$$

to get the standard formula [11]

$$t^{-1} \mathcal{E}[E(t)] = \frac{3}{4} \frac{\hbar^2 \lambda M}{m_N^2 r_c^2} \quad (17)$$

When the noise spectrum has a cutoff below $\omega_L(\vec{q})$ for $|\vec{q}| \sim r_c^{-1}$, the energy gain rate is sharply reduced.

Although we have derived the result of Eq. (15) for the case of a monatomic lattice and a zero phonon initial state, the result is more general. For a multi-atom unit cell, the same answer holds, with m_A the sum of masses in the unit cell, and with $\omega_L(\vec{q})$ again the longitudinal acoustic phonon frequency. In the multi-atom case the formula of Eq. (15) neglects optical phonon contributions, but these are the “internal excitations” that are neglected in the derivation of the center-of-mass energy gain formula of Eq. (17). When the initial state is constructed from n -phonon states, as in a thermal ground state, the $a^\dagger$ term in Eq. (13) contributes a term proportional to $(n+1)\omega_L$ to the energy gain, while the a term in Eq. (13) contributes a corresponding term proportional to $-n\omega_L$ to the energy gain; the sum of the two terms is proportional to $(n+1-n)\omega_L = \omega_L$, so n drops out and the formula of Eq. (15) is recovered. This simplification could have been anticipated from our earlier analysis of the noise-induced energy gain by an oscillator [12], which showed that the rate of energy gain is a constant independent of the number of oscillator quanta that are present.

I wish Andrea Vinante for an email that stimulated this paper, and to thank Angelo Bassi for helpful conversations.

Added Note

Apart from updating Ref. [7], the preceding body of this paper is identical to the version posted on arXiv on Jan. 1, 2018. Andrea Vinante has called our attention to a paper by M. Bahrami [13] posted on Jan. 11, with an update on Jan. 14, in which a similar calculation is done. For a monatomic lattice, Bahrami’s result and ours are in agreement. In his Jan. 14 posting, Bahrami gives a formula for the case of a multi-atom unit cell, which he notes disagrees with our statement that this gives the same result as the monatomic case. Bahrami’s multi-atom formula is incorrect, as a result of his using the wrong normalization for the phonon polarization vectors, and does not reduce to the standard formula in the white noise case when $\lambda(\omega)$ is a constant λ . In this version of our paper, we have added an Appendix giving a brief derivation of the correct result in the multi-atom case.

Later Added Note

Bahrami agrees, and will revise his posting.

Appendix: Brief derivation of the formula for the multi-atom case

In the monatomic case, focusing only on the atomic mass factors and longitudinal phonon polarization vectors, Eqs. (12) and (13) give a factor

$$m_A^{1/2} \vec{e}^{(L)*}(\vec{k}) \simeq m_A^{1/2} \vec{e}^{(L)*}(\vec{0}) \quad . \quad (18)$$

After the $\simeq$ sign we have used the fact, noted after Eq. (10), that the correlation length r_C allows only contributions from phonon wavelengths that are long on a lattice scale, corresponding to $\vec{k} \simeq \vec{0}$. In the multi-atom case, focusing only on acoustic phonons,¹ the left-hand side of Eq. (18) is replaced by

$$m_\kappa^{1/2} \vec{e}_\kappa^{(L)*}(\vec{k}) \quad , \quad (19)$$

corresponding to Eqs. (1.4.22a,b) of [10], with κ labeling an atom in the multi-atom unit cell. Referring now to the unnumbered equation in Callaway [10] between his Eqs. (1.1.22) and (1.1.23), which we write (using the fact that for $\vec{k} = 0$ the polarization vectors are real numbers; see Callaway Eq. (1.1.21)) as

$$m_\kappa^{-1/2} \vec{e}_\kappa^{(L)*}(\vec{0}) = m_\kappa^{-1/2} \vec{e}_\kappa^{(L)}(\vec{0}) = \vec{C} \quad , \quad (20)$$

with $\vec{C}$ a constant, we see that the longitudinal polarization vectors are no longer unit normalized, as in the monatomic case. Instead, the normalization is given in Eq. (1.1.18a) of [10],

$$\sum_\kappa \vec{e}_\kappa^{(L)*}(\vec{0}) \cdot \vec{e}_\kappa^{(L)}(\vec{0}) = 1 \quad , \quad (21)$$

which on substituting Eq. (20) gives

$$|\vec{C}| = \left(\sum_\kappa m_\kappa \right)^{-1/2} \quad , \quad (22)$$

and implies for small $\vec{k}$

$$m_\kappa^{1/2} \hat{k} \cdot \vec{e}_\kappa^{(L)*}(\vec{k}) \simeq m_\kappa |\vec{C}| = m_\kappa \left(\sum_\kappa m_\kappa \right)^{-1/2} \quad . \quad (23)$$

¹ Optical phonons leave the unit cell center of mass stationary, so obey $\sum_\kappa m_\kappa^{1/2} \vec{e}_\kappa^{(s)}(\vec{0}) = 0$ for any optical phonon mode s . Hence for mass-proportional noise coupling, optical phonons do not contribute to the energy gain rate to leading order in a/r_C , with a the unit cell dimension.

Recalling Eqs. (11)–(14), summing over κ to get the total contribution to the one-phonon creation amplitude, we have

$$\sum_{\kappa} m_{\kappa} \left(\sum_{\kappa} m_{\kappa} \right)^{-1/2}, \quad (24)$$

which when squared gives a factor

$$\sum_{\kappa} m_{\kappa} = m_{\text{cell}}, \quad (25)$$

which is the total atomic mass in the unit cell. Thus the only change from the monatomic to the multi-atomic case is the replacement of m_A by m_{cell} , and since $\mathcal{N}m_{\text{cell}} = M$, the total system mass, the monatomic formula of Eq. (15) is unchanged. Heuristically, the reason for this is that, as emphasized by Callaway, for $\vec{k} = 0$ acoustic phonons Eq. (20) implies that all “...particles in each unit cell move in parallel with equal amplitudes”, and so behave as a single particle with mass m_{cell} .

-
- [1] For reviews see: A. Bassi and G. C. Ghirardi, *Phys. Rep.* **379**, 257 (2003); P. Pearle, in “Open Systems and Measurements in Relativistic Quantum Field Theory”, *Lecture Notes in Physics* Vol. 526, H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, eds., Springer, Berlin, 1999.
 - [2] A. Vinante, R. Mezzena, P. Falferi, M. Carlesso, and A. Bassi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, 110401 (2017).
 - [3] S. L. Adler, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40**, 2935, (E) 13501 (2007).
 - [4] Alternative recent proposals include: M. Bahrami, M. Paternostro, A. Bassi, and H. Ulbricht, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 210404 (2014); S. Nimmrichter, K. Hornberger, and K. Hammerer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **113**, 020405 (2014); F. Laloë, W. J. Mullin, and P. Pearle, *Phys. Rev. A* **90**, 052119 (2014); L. Diósi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 050403 (2015); D. Goldwater, M. Paternostro, and P. F. Barker, *Phys. Rev. A* **94**, 010104 (2016).
 - [5] K. Piscicchia, A. Bassi, C. Curceanu, R. Del Grande, S. Donadi, B. C. Hiesmayr, and A. Pichler, *arXiv:1710.01973*.
 - [6] A. Vinante, private communication.
 - [7] S. L. Adler and A. Vinante, “Bulk Heating Effects as Tests for Collapse Models”, *arXiv:1801.06857*.
 - [8] S. L. Adler and F. M. Ramazanoğlu, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40**, 13395 (2007), (E) **42**, 109801.
 - [9] C. Cohen-Tannoudji, J. Dupont-Roc, and G. Grynberg, “Atom-Photon Interactions”, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York (1992), Eq. (20) on p. 20 and Eq. (49) on p. 35.
 - [10] J. Callaway, “Quantum Theory of the Solid State, Part A”, Academic Press, New York (1974), Chapter 1 and Appendix A. See especially Eq. (1.2.9) on p. 13, Eq. (1.4.22b) on p. 24, and Eq. (A.9b) on p. 354.

- [11] P. Pearle and E. Squires, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 1 (1994), Eq. (2); S. L. Adler, ref [3] op. cit., Eq. (7) and the related comments contained in references [5] and [6]. For a detailed derivation in the continuous spontaneous localization (CSL) model, see F. Laloë, W. J. Mullin, and P. Pearle, ref [4] op. cit., Appendix A.
- [12] S. L. Adler, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **38**, 2729 (2005).
- [13] M. Bahrami, “Testing Linearity of Quantum Mechanics with a Thermometer”, arXiv:1801.03636, v1 and v2.