Phase transitions in social networks inspired by the Schelling model
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We propose two models of social segregation inspired by the celebrated Schelling model. Agents in our models interact with each other via evolving social networks in which the total number of social connections of each agent remains constant in time, though may vary from one agent to the other. The first two-parametric model describes a "polychromatic" society, in which colors designate different social categories of agents. One parameter, $\mu$, favors/disfavors connected "monochromatic triads", i.e. connected groups of three individuals within the same social category. The second parameter, $\nu$, controls the preference for interactions between two individuals from different social categories. The polychromatic model has several distinct regimes in $(\mu, \nu)$-parameter space. In $\nu$-dominated part of the parameter space, the phase diagram is characterized by the plateau in the number of the inter-color connections, where the network is bipartite, while in $\mu$-dominated region the network looks as two weakly connected unicolor clusters. At $\mu > \mu_{\text{crit}}$ and $\nu > \nu_{\text{crit}}$ two phases are separated by a critical line, while at small values of both $\mu$ and $\nu$, a more gradual crossover between the two phases occurs. The second "colorless" model describes a society in which the advantage, or disadvantage of forming small fully connected communities (short cycles or cliques in a graph) is controlled by an energy-like parameter, $\gamma$. We analyze the topological structure of a social network in this model and demonstrate that for some critical threshold, $\gamma^+ > 0$, the entire network splits into a set of weakly connected clusters, while at another threshold, $\gamma^- < 0$, the network acquires a bipartite graph structure. Our results shed light on patterns of segregation in social networks and international relations and on formation of self-organized cliques in societies.

I. INTRODUCTION

The celebrated Schelling model \cite{Schelling1971} of spontaneous segregation in a society is one of the most popular models describing collective (social) behavior in a community of individuals. It can be formulated as follows: consider a lattice partially filled with social agents (individuals) of two "colors" (green and red). Colors represent social categories and according to the
model, agents prefer to live in the surrounding of the neighbors with the same color. In the original work, Shelling considered a square lattice, where the number of nearest neighbors is 8 (direct and diagonal nearest neighbors). An agent of some color (say, of red), who has fraction of nearest neighbors of the same color below some threshold, $f$, is "unhappy" with its surrounding and can be moved to a randomly selected nearest empty lattice cell as long as such cell could be found. In another version of the model, unhappy agents could be moved to any unoccupied cell independent of the distance. Repeating many times these steps, one arrives at a dynamic equilibrium. Note that the number of agents of each color is conserved in course of such evolution. If the number of steps tends to infinity, one can speak about the phase transition, which occurs at a critical value, $f^*$, such that for $f < f^*$ agents tend to form monochrome clusters with mobile boundaries. The critical threshold $f^* = \frac{1}{2}$ is exactly known for the Schelling model on a 1D lattice. For a two-dimensional square lattice the value of $f^*$ depends on whether occupied lattice sites are above or below the percolation transition. As discussed in \[2\] the result $f^* = \frac{1}{2}$ holds only below the percolation transition.

The Schelling model demonstrates how even relatively weak and short-ranged preference to live with people of the same social category, combined with mixing (ability to move to an empty place) could force global (macroscopic) changes in the system \[2-4\]. Moreover, these global changes appear abruptly (i.e. as a first-order phase transition), as soon as personal tolerance to unhappiness, quantitatively expressed in $f$, falls below some critical threshold, $f^*$. The Schelling model in its canonical form was aimed to uncover statistical mechanisms of racial/religious/social segregation associated with resettlement of humans in communities (e.g. city neighborhoods). Thus, the model naturally depends on spatial proximity between agents. As such and needs to be updated to modern times in which distant connections mediated by social networks such as Facebook, Twitter, etc, may be more important than those in agents’ local physical neighborhoods (see Ref. \[3\] for the first adaptation of the Schelling model to social networks).

In this study we represent the society as a collection of agents from two social categories (labeled by red and green colors) connected by "friendship" relations represented by edges in some social network. Our society is dynamic, which means that agents create or eliminate their social interactions (edges). To formulate the Schelling-like model on a graph, one needs: i) to quantify the affinity to form social interactions between agents mediated by their social categories (node colors), and ii) to define the updating (mixing) rules. The details of our model are described in the next section, while here we provide some generic motivations behind the choice of dynamics and formulate the set of phenomena we are attempting to describe by our model.

As we demonstrate below, a variant of the Schelling model operating on a graph instead of a lattice, is capable of reproducing a rich pattern of social behaviors beyond a simple segregation (clusterization). We impose the following modifications of the original Schelling model:

1. We assume that the number of social connections of each agent (the vertex degree of the social network) is strictly conserved. The degree may vary from one agent to another, however for each particular agent it is fixed and cannot be changed during the society evolution.

2. The updating rules (which replace mixing in the original Shelling model) consist of adding and removing social interactions between agents (network links) under the
condition of a strict preservation of degrees of each of the node (see the rule 1).

3. We introduce a concept of collective triadic interactions between agents of the same social category (the same color). Specifically, we formulate two different models (the details are provided below). In the model I we consider a "polychromatic" network whose vertices belong to the set of $M$ different colors and recoloring of nodes is prohibited. The advantage/disadvantage of specific configurations is controlled by the affinity parameter, $\mu$, which (depending on its sign) encourages or discourages the formation of unicolor open triads (i.e. connected sets of three agents from the same social category joined together by either 2 or 3 links), and parameter $\nu$, which governs the number of cross-color links. In the model II (the "colorless" model), a related affinity parameter, $\gamma$, is attributed to any short triadic cycle in a network (triangles of fully-connected vertices joined together by 3 links).

We are interested in typical patterns formed in evolving societies represented by their social networks, reach from an initial network configuration that is entirely random. The social network within this study is the Erdős-Rényi graph, the extensions of our model to, for example, scale-free networks is the subject of follow-up research.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section II we introduce the two-parametric model of a "polychromatic" randomly evolving network with an advantage (or disadvantage) of monochrome triads and inter-color links. In Section III we propose a model of a "colorless" randomly evolving network favoring the formation of triangles (fully connected triads of nodes). For both models we discuss the results of our numerical simulations, provide statistical arguments elucidating these results, and propose possible social interpretations of the observed phenomena. In Conclusion we summarize the results and formulate questions for future investigations.

II. MODEL I: CRITICAL BEHAVIOR IN POLYCHROMATIC NETWORKS

A. Abstract model

Consider a network consisting of vertices, representing individuals (agents), and links connecting them, serving as "relations between individuals". Vertices (nodes) could be of different "features", tentatively assigned as "colors". For simplicity, we consider in details a bichromatic (green-red) network of nodes, however, obtained results can be straightforwardly generalized to polychromatic networks of $M \geq 2$ colors.

The initial network configuration is a particular sample of a standard Erdős-Rényi graph ensemble, however the vertex degree of each node is fixed at the network preparation and remains unchanged during the network evolution. We refer to this class of graphs as "constrained Erdős-Rényi networks" (CERN). As in the original Schelling model, we first consider the case of $M = 2$ colors and introduce two subclasses of nodes, denoted as "green" and "red", which could represent races, religion, or gender of social agents. In our model, individuals prefer to form "monochromatic triads" (sets of three vertices connected by 2 or 3 links, which are also referred to as monochromatic "2-star motifs" in Ref. [5]). The advantage of formation of monochromatic triads of bonds replaces the original Schelling’s "happiness
with surroundings”. We associate energies (chemical potentials) \( \mu_G \) and \( \mu_R \) with unicolor green and red triads and \( \nu \) with cross-color pairs. That enables us to define the partition function

\[
Z = \sum e^{-\left(\mu_G N_G + \mu_R N_R + \nu D N_{RG}\right)}
\]

(1)

where \( N_G \) and \( N_R \) are numbers of green and red triads of vertices, \( N_{RG} \) is the number of cross-color links, and \( D \) is the average degree of the network. The sum in (1) runs over all possible configurations in the network at fixed number of bonds under the condition that the degree of each vertex is the same as in the seed network. Our partition function can be considered as the combination of the models suggested in [6] (for \( \nu = 0 \)) and [7] (for \( \mu_G = 0, \mu_R = 0 \)).

Computing (1) is a challenging problem, however is feasible at least in the mean-field approximation since it resembles in some aspects the generalization of the model discussed in [5], where the interaction between nearest-neighboring nodes is quadratic. The analytic approach for the two-color model will be discussed in the separate publication [8]. To proceed with numerics, we use currently the dynamic algorithm which replaces the numerical evaluation of the combinatorial problem (1) by running stochastic evolution of the CERN (the discrete Langevin dynamics), starting from some initial configuration until the evolution converges. The initial state of the network is prepared by connecting any randomly taken pair of vertices with the probability \( p \) (regardless the node color). Then, one randomly chooses two arbitrary links, say, between vertices \( i \) and \( j \), \( (i-j) \) and between \( k \) and \( m \), \( (k-m) \), and reconnect them, getting new links \( (i-m) \) and \( (j-k) \) as shown in Fig.1a. Such a reconnection conserves the vertex degree [9]. As prescribed in [9], if at least one of the links attempted to be generated by the rewiring step exists already, this step is aborted and a new pair of links is selected. That prevents of creating multiple links between the same pair of nodes. Then, as proposed in [10, 11], one applies the standard Metropolis rule to each step of a reconnection. Applied to our model, the Metropolis rules are as follows: i) if after the reconnection the number of connected unicolor connected triads of nodes (both green and red) in the network is increased, a move is accepted, ii) if the number of connected unicolor triad of nodes is decreased by some \( \Delta n \), or remains unchanged, a move is accepted with the probability \( e^{-\mu \Delta n} \), where it is assumed that \( \mu_R = \mu_G = \mu \). The generalization to \( \mu_R \neq \mu_G \) is straightforward. The network updating after one step of Metropolis dynamics is illustrated in Fig.1b. The Metropolis algorithm runs repetitively for large set of randomly chosen pairs of links, until it converges. In [12] it has been shown that the algorithm actually converges to the true ground state in the equilibrium ensemble of random undirected colorless Erdős-Rényi networks with fixed vertex degree. For polychromatic networks such a convergence has not yet been considered rigorously in the literature.

Our investigation of a novel two-color constrained Erdős-Rényi network with equal chemical potentials \( \mu_G = \mu_R = \mu \) for unicolor connected triads of nodes, and \( \nu \) for cross-color pairs of nodes, provides following results in different regions of the two-dimensional parameter plane \( (\mu, \nu) \):

(i) At \( \nu = 0 \) (compare to [6]), the two-color network is absolutely unstable with respect to any energy \( \mu > 0 \) favoring formation of unicolor triads of connected vertices, and immediately splits into two mostly monochrome (green and red) clusters, or “layers” (one layer = one color) with relatively small number of cross-color connections between them. The number of cross-color links \( N_{RG} \) rapidly vanishes in this regime as a function of \( \mu \).
(ii) If \( \nu \neq 0, \mu \neq 0 \) the phase portrait of the model looks as follows. In \( \nu \)-dominated part of the parameter space, the phase is characterized by the plateau in the number of the intercolor links and the network becomes bipartite. The second phase in the \( \mu \)-dominated region looks as two weakly connected unicolor clusters with vanishing number of intercolor links. At \( \mu > \mu_{\text{crit}}, \nu > \nu_{\text{crit}} \), two phases are separated by the critical line, while at small values of \( (\mu, \nu) \), the crossover between two phases takes place. In Fig. 2 we present the plot for the quotient of \( N_{RG} \) to the total number of links, which shows that in \( \nu \)-dominated phase this quotient tends to 1, which is also supported by the plot for the third moment of the spectral density measuring the bipartiteness. To illustrate the behavior near the critical line, we present plots for \( N_{RG} \) for two particular cross-sections.

In Fig. 3a at \( \nu = 0.1 \) there is a finite plateau with a constant value of \( N_{RG} \), which does not depend on \( \mu \) once \( \mu < \mu_{\text{crit}} \), while at larger values of \( \mu \) the number of cross-color links nearly vanishes. In Fig. 3b at \( \mu = 0.1 \) the number of cross-color links \( N_{RG} \) grows exponentially with \( \nu \) below the transition point \( \nu_{\text{crit}} \). Upon the jump at the transition point, \( N_{RG} \) gets stabilized at its maximal value for \( \nu > \nu_{\text{crit}} \). This is just the semi-infinite plateau observed in [7]. In the plateau region the network is almost bipartite graph and looks as a Corbino disk filled by the links connecting the inner and outer boundaries.

(iii) At \( \mu = 0 \) (the case of [7, 13, 15]) the number of cross-color links \( N_{RG} \) grows exponentially with \( \nu \) up to some critical \( \nu_{\text{crit}} \), at which the plateau begins without the jump.

(iv) When the number of cross-color links is small, at \( \nu = \nu_{\text{crit}} \) the centrality of the network [14] gets changed and strongly decreases above \( \nu_{\text{crit}} \). The same happens at the plateau exit in regime (ii). In this case the "spontaneously induced leadership" emerges. Two communities start to communicate via two spontaneously emerged "leaders" (hubs) [16, 17] which have many connections inside the community and only one (or a few) emitted outside.

The first two phenomena, (i)-(ii) are analogous to segregation in the Schelling model.
FIG. 2: Phase portrait of $\mu, \nu$ model: (a) Ratio of $N_{RG}$ to the total number of links; (b) The third moment of the spectral density measuring bipartiteness of the network. In the simulations there are 128 nodes of each color, $p = 0.15$, averaging is performed over 500 realizations.

FIG. 3: (a) $N_{RG}$ at $\nu = 0.1$ and the behavior of the second eigenvalue of Laplacian matrix, $\lambda_2$ as functions of $\mu$; (b) $N_{RG}$ as a function of $\nu$ at $\mu = 0.1$ and the behavior of the second eigenvalue of Laplacian matrix.

Indeed, in the Schelling model the social segregation begins at some critical value of "happiness with the surroundings" $\Pi$, which in our model is replaced on the graph by the chemical potential, $\mu$, of monochromatic triads of nodes. In our consideration the Schelling-like segregation occurs at any positive $\mu$. The principal distinction between our model on a graph and the original Schelling model on a lattice is two-fold. First of all, the adjacency matrix in the Schelling model corresponds to the distribution of neighbors on a two-dimensional surface, while in our model we deal with the topological network and do not pay attention to lengths of network links and their weights, taking into account only their presence or absence. Secondary, our model on the graph demonstrates some features of spin glass behavior due to the condition of "quenched" vertex degree distribution due to the network rearrangement, thus making the phase diagram more rich compared to the original Schelling model which by definition is "annealed".
The most important feature of the regime (ii) is the critical line started at some \((\mu_{\text{crit}}, \nu_{\text{crit}})\). This means that the passage from the "intra-community dominated phase" to the "cross-community dominated phase" at large chemical potentials occurs via a phase transition. The vertex degree conservation serves as the local constraint, while the maximization of monochromatic triads and cross-color links, is the global condition. The competition between the local and global constraints is typical for quantum phase transitions.

### B. Social applications

In the context of social dynamics, our model captures some aspects of competition between individual and collective behaviors. This issue has been discussed in [18–20]. The phenomenon we found for two-parametric \((\mu, \nu)\) colored network is expected to occur in a wide context of social systems including inter-state communications, formation of crime clans, as well as relationships between social agents of different genders, races, or ethnicities. The approximate conservation of the number of individuals’ connections (i.e. the conservation of degrees of network vertices), crucial for the emergent behavior reported above is rather natural for evolution of many real social networks. Indeed, in this case the degree of a node represents the (presumably fixed) extent of extroversion of individual social agents.

1. **International relations**

Some of our model results have implications for international relations between countries. At high weights of parameters \(\nu\) and \(\mu\) respectively controlling internal and international links, our system can be found in one of two possible phases. One phase represents a pair of "closed societies" characterized by a very small number of international relations, while the second phase is a nearly bipartite network dominated by international relations. These two phases are separated by the critical line of the phase transition with a very narrow transition region. Within this regime there is competition between the "energies" of intra-state unicor triads of nodes and inter-state pairs of nodes. At low weights \(\nu\) and \(\mu\), a crossover regime between two phases is governed by the competition between energetic and entropic effects.

One could interpret the preference to form monochromatic triples of connected nodes, controlled by the parameter \(\mu\) in our model, as the extent of nationalism of country’s population, and the cross-color preference, controlled by \(\nu\) - as its tolerance towards international ties. We argue that in the regime where at least one of the parameters (either \(\mu\) or \(\nu\)) is large, a switch between the nationalism-dominated phase and the tolerance-dominated phase occurs as a 1st order phase transition. This observation has important consequences for internal and international policies of the state. Our study clearly shows that, at high values of \(\mu\) and \(\nu\), manipulating relative weights attributed to internal and international issues is quite risky, since the switch of the population attention between different paradigms is very sharp. Even a modest increase of \(\nu\) favoring international communications (e.g. due to state’s desire to benefit from international trade), or conversely, a modest increase of \(\mu\) favoring national coherence (e.g. as state’s attempt to distract population from internal problems), both could lead to a sudden and irreversible changes of the collective paradigm of the society. Societal
changes at the beginning of a war may operate in this regime of an abrupt (first-order) phase transition. To the contrary, evolutionary smooth collective changes are possible at low weights in the crossover regime, when the society is less polarized.

Even more intriguing phenomena occur when the number of inter-community links is small. Then the importance of hubs increases drastically \[16, 17\] culminating in the spontaneous emergence of leaders/ambassadors. In this regime, all international relations between countries happen via a small number of ”hubs” representing country’s leaders or ”ambassadors”.

2. Gender relations

The tendency of human beings to prefer social interactions with members of the same gender (”gender homophily”) has been well established (for a recent review of various other kinds of homophily see [21]). It is especially pronounced in adolescent social networks, where both girls have been shown to form triads more readily than boys [22]. This means that in this case chemical potentials $\mu$ for two genders may be different.

Social networks may also operate in the opposite phase dominated by inter-gender relationships with strong bipartiteness of the gender graph (e.g. when romantic relations are involved). In this case there is no dependence of the number of inter-gender links on the weight of in-gender links across a wide plateau parametrized by $\mu$ – as shown in Fig.3. However if the weight of inter-gender links is decreased below the lower limit of the plateau, the network enters the crossover regime where the bipartiteness of the gender graph depends on the quotient of weights of in-gender triads of individuals and properly normalized inter-gender simple connections.

The signature of the plateau-exit regime is the emergence of gender ambassadors. That behavior is accompanied by a complete segregation of male and female clusters, with all connections between them mediated by two ambassadors - the ”alpha male” and the ”queen bee”.

C. Spectral interpretation for bicolor networks

Let us make few comments concerning the spectral properties of our bicolor network. To this aim we need some standard notions form the graph theory. It is convenient to study the network evolution using the network adjacency matrix, $A$, of size $N \times N$, whose elements, $a_{ij}$ are defined as follows: $a_{ij} = 1$ if vertices $i$ and $j$ are connected, and $a_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. More information about the network structure can be obtained by studying its Laplacian matrix, $L = D - A$, which is related to the adjacency matrix, $A$, and the diagonal matrix, $D$, with the elements $d_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{ij}$, $i = 1, ..., N$. The Laplacian matrix is positively defined and has the minimal eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 0$ corresponding to the homogeneous eigenvector $v_1 = (1, \ldots , 1)$. The degeneration of $\lambda_1$ (i.e. the number of zero’s eigenvalues in $L$) defines the number of disconnected components of the graph. The behavior of the second eigenvalue of the Laplacian, $\lambda_2$, in random graphs is the subject of several mathematical studies [23, 24] and has an important meaning, known as ”the algebraic connectivity”. In particular, if $\lambda_2 > 0$,
the graph is connected. We have seen that $\lambda_2$ as a function of $\mu$ and $\nu$ behaves exactly the same as $N_{RG}$ see Fig.2, Fig.3. This can be considered as the check of the numerical analysis.

Quantitatively, the plateau entrance in the $\mu = 0$ limit can be formulated in terms of relation between $\lambda_2$ and $\lambda_3$.

$$\lambda_2(A, \mu) = \lambda_3(L, \mu)$$

(2)

where $\lambda_2(A, \mu)$ is the second eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix of the cluster $A$, and $\lambda_3(L, \mu)$ is the third eigenvalue of the Laplacian matrix of the whole network $L$. The second eigenvalue $\lambda_2$ has an important physical meaning: it defines the diffusion time for the propagation of an excitation in the network. The relation (2) is perfectly seen in our numerical simulations.

D. Clusterization in polychromatic graphs

The network clusterization holds also for polychromatic networks of $M \geq 2$ colors. The unicolor clusters get formed above some critical values of $\mu_i$ which depend on $\nu_{ik}$, where $(i,j) = 1, \ldots, M$. The new phenomena which takes place in the polychromatic network, is as follows. Since each cluster yields the separated eigenvalue in the spectrum of the Laplacian matrix of the network, we get $M$ isolated eigenvalues in the spectrum apart from continuum. These are low-energy modes which turns out to be organized in the second zone.

The social interpretation of found observations for polychromatic network with $M$ colors seems straightforward in terms of international relationships being the generalization of the model discussed in Section C. The process of spontaneous formation of communities in the initially homogeneous network, and emergence of communication between these communities via self-organized leaders/ambassadors as ”co-identities of small groups”, $\mu$, being gradually increased, provides a conceptual model of transition of social dynamics in a primitive communal society when the division of labor and stratification of society just emerged.

III. MODEL II: EMERGENCE OF SMALL INTERCONNECTED GROUPS

A. Favoring of triangles (regime A)

So far we have discussed the stability of communities in polychromatic networks with respect to the interplay between the number of unicolor triadic contacts and cross-color connections, which mimic social contacts in small groups of individuals constituting the whole society (the social network). Here we consider a different phenomena taking place in a colorless network, where we have an advantage/disadvantage of primitive “motifs” in the network, which are small connected subgraphs of special topology (3-cycles, 4-cycles, etc). The evolution of this network again strictly preserves the degrees of all individual nodes favoring (or preventing) the formation of triads of mutually connected nodes. Such degree-preserving rewiring dynamics via Metropolis algorithm with energy determined by the number of small topological motifs (triangles, squares, feedforward and feedback loops) was first considered in Refs. [10, 11]. The Metropolis dynamics controlled by a single chemical potential, $\gamma$, which makes formation of small closed loops (”social clubs”) either
preferred, or undesirable. In what follows we consider the case of triangles only (complete graph of three nodes). We are interested when the society, represented by its social network, is stable with respect to change of $\gamma$ – see [25].

Let us consider first the case of $\gamma > 0$, which makes the formation of 3-cycles favorable – see Fig. 4. If the vertex degree of graph nodes is not fixed, the advantage of 3-cycles is responsible for emergence of so-called Strauss clustering [26], this case has been analytically investigated in [27]. It was argued that, when $\gamma$ is changing (while staying positive), the system develops two phases with essentially different concentration of 3-cycles: at large $\gamma$ the system falls into the Strauss phase with a single clique (almost full sub-graph) of nodes, while at small $\gamma$ the system looks as a weakly clustered random Erdős-Rényi graph. The condensation of triads is a non-perturbative phenomenon identified in [27] with the 1st order phase transition or crossover for different regions of parameter space in the framework of the mean-field cavity-like approach.

The system behaves strikingly differently when a vertex degree is strictly conserved in the course of Metropolis rewiring dynamics. We found that above some critical fugacity, $\gamma_c$, a large network is fragmented into a collection of $\lfloor p^{-1} \rfloor$ almost fully connected sub-graphs (cliques) [23], where $p$ is the bond formation probability in the initial random Erdős-Rényi network and $\lfloor \ldots \rfloor$ denotes the integer part of the argument in brackets. The adjacency matrix acquires the block-diagonal form shown in Fig. 5. It should be pointed out that the phase transition in the model with the conserved vertex degree distribution has been discussed in [28], however the key nature of the system, the multi-clique structure, was overlooked.

B. Suppression of triangles (regime B)

This model has a negative chemical potential $\gamma$, in which the triangles are suppressed. In this case network evolution gives rise to an interesting critical behavior [29], resulting in a
FIG. 5: Few typical samples of intermediate stages of network evolution: (a) Networks with strictly conserved vertex degree (our model); (b) Networks with non-conserved vertex degree ("Strauss model").

nearly bipartite network in which connections within each cluster are suppressed. Namely, near some critical value, $\gamma_c < 0$, the number of triangles decreases nearly to zero, making the network bipartite. The adjacency matrix acquires the block-off-diagonal form. Topologically the network gets the structure of an annulus filled by links connecting nodes in the boundaries. In Fig. 6 we plot the dependence of the transitivity $C$ and the Estrada index $\beta$ which are the measures of network bipartiteness [30].

C. Social interpretation of regimes A and B

Different final network topologies found in models A and B have different social interpretations. From social point of view, the simplest example of a short cycle (or more generally, fully-connected small clique) is a family consisting of two parents and one (or more) children. Thus, by increasing/decreasing the weight favoring short cycles, one increases/decreases the role of families in the social structure of the society.

Based on our model A one could conjecture that by increasing the role of families, i.e., by increasing the average number of triangles, one could trigger defragmentation of initially homogeneous “proto-civilization” into a collection of weakly-connected communities. The number of communities depends on the number of connections in the proto-civilization. Favoring small loops (in particular, 3-cycles) in the model A, could be interpreted as encouraging strong relations at the level of families, or some other small communities of individuals.

To the contrary, in the model B family-like communities are suppressed and a complete restructuring of the social network ensues at some critical point. Namely, below a critical
level of suppression of triangles, $\gamma_c$, the whole society gets completely polarized into two big subgroups. This emergent property is driven exclusively by the entropic forces. Connections within each of two subgroups are very loose and the largest fraction of links connect vertices to the opposite group. There are various social interpretations of inter-group links, but within each of these interpretations agents favor their "external relations" to their in-group members. Interestingly, this effect goes beyond the mean-field theoretical description. Our current understanding of this transition lags behind that of its counterpart taking place at positive $\gamma$.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this work we explore critical phenomena taking place in evolving social networks. In particular, we study two models inspired by the classical Schelling model of social segregation. Both models exhibit rich collective behavior. The network evolution in our simulations starts from an Erdős-Rényi random graph, and all vertex degrees are strictly conserved in the course of rewiring dynamics.

The first model describes a "polychromatic" network with vertices of different "colors" which are attributed to different social categories. The driving mechanism for the network evolution is the competition between monochromatic triads of connected vertices of the same color, and links between pairs of vertices of different colors. The phase portrait of this two-parametric model is constructed in this study. It turns out that if connected monochromatic triads dominate, the network spontaneously splits into weakly connected clusters (one cluster per each color). Defragmentation of a network with respect to this "color segregation" can be viewed as an effective mechanism of revealing hidden layers in a society. Since the whole effect depends only on the sum of chemical potentials for the monochromatic triadic
interactions in each color, the chemical potential in just one color is capable of inducing the effective interactions in others. In the opposite limit, where the formation of cross-color links dominates, the network develops a bipartite structure. We have identified a critical line separating these two regimes at large values of chemical potentials $\mu$ and $\mu$, while at small values the phase is replaced with a crossover behavior.

The main implications of our model results for real-life social networks are as follows. In a two-color society, whenever the weight controlling intra-community triads, or that controlling pairwise inter-community connections is high enough, a ”risky” regime favoring abrupt first-order phase transitions is realized. To avoid such unpredictable societal transformations (“revolutions”) both triadic and pairwise weights should be kept well below some critical values. Another interesting feature is that in the regime in which the number of inter-color links is small, each cluster of a particular color selects a single ”ambassador” or leader node, to whom all cross-society connections are delegated.

In the second model we observe how a degree-constrained (unicolor) network could assume several qualitatively different topologies separated by abrupt phase transitions. The driving force behind these transitions is the advantage or disadvantage of forming small closed and connected groups of individuals (3-cycles of graphs nodes). Above some critical value $\gamma^+ > 0$, associated to 3-cycle graph motifs, the society gets spontaneously defragmented into a set of weakly interacting communities. Contrary, the suppression of 3-cycles, leads to a critical (below $\gamma^- < 0$) formation of a polarized two-community (bipartite) structure with loose connections within each community.
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