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Abstract. The article is devoted to the expansions of iterated Stratonovich stochastic integrals of multiplicities 1 to 4 on the base of the combined approach of generalized multiple and repeated Fourier series. We consider two different parts of the expansion of iterated Stratonovich stochastic integrals. The mean-square convergence of the first part is proven on the base of generalized multiple Fourier series, converging in the sense of norm in $L^2([t,T]^k)$, $k = 1, \ldots, 4$. The mean-square convergence of the second part is proven on the base of generalized repeated Fourier series, converging pointwise. At that, we do not use iterated Ito stochastic integrals as a tool of the proof and directly consider iterated Stratonovich stochastic integrals. The cases of multiple Fourier–Legendre series and multiple trigonometric Fourier series are considered in details. The considered expansions contain only one operation of the limit transition in contrast to its existing analogues. This property is very important for the mean-square approximation of iterated stochastic integrals. The results of the article can be applied to numerical integration of Ito stochastic differential equations.

1. Introduction

Let $(\Omega, F, P)$ be a complete probability space, let $\{F_t, t \in [0, T]\}$ be a nondecreasing right-continuous family of $\sigma$-subfields of $F$, and let $f_t$ be a standard $m$-dimensional Wiener stochastic process, which is $F_t$-measurable for any $t \in [0, T]$. We assume that the components $f_t^{(i)} (i = 1, \ldots, m)$ of this process are independent. Consider an Ito stochastic differential equation (SDE) in the integral form

$$x_t = x_0 + \int_0^t a(x_\tau, \tau) d\tau + \int_0^t B(x_\tau, \tau) df_\tau, \quad x_0 = x(0, \omega).$$

Here $x_t$ is some $n$-dimensional stochastic process satisfying to equation (1). The nonrandom functions $a : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^n$, $B : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T] \to \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ guarantee the existence and uniqueness up to stochastic equivalence of a solution of (1) [1]. The second integral on the right-hand side of (1) is interpreted as an Ito stochastic integral. Let $x_0$ be an $n$-dimensional random variable, which is $F_0$-measurable and $\mathbb{M}\{x_0^2\} < \infty$ ($\mathbb{M}$ denotes a mathematical expectation). We assume that $x_0$ and $f_t - f_0$ are independent when $t > 0$.

One of the effective approaches to numerical integration of Ito SDEs is an approach based on Taylor–Ito and Taylor–Stratonovich expansions [2]–[5]. The most important feature of such expansions is a presence in them of the so-called iterated Ito and Stratonovich stochastic integrals, which play the key role for solving the problem of numerical integration of Ito SDEs and have the following form
\[ J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} = \int_t^T \psi_k(t_k) \cdots \int_t^{t_2} \psi_1(t_1) dw^{(i_1)}_{t_1} \cdots dw^{(i_k)}_{t_k}, \]

\[ J^*[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} = \int_t^T \psi_k(t_k) \cdots \int_t^{t_2} \psi_1(t_1) dw^{(i_1)}_{t_1} \cdots dw^{(i_k)}_{t_k}, \]

where every \( \psi_l(\tau) \) \( (l = 1, \ldots, k) \) is a continuous nonrandom function on \([t, T]\), \( w^{(i)}_\tau = f^{(i)}_\tau \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, m \) and \( w^{(0)}_\tau = \tau \), and

\[ \int t \text{ and } \int^T \]

denote Ito and Stratonovich stochastic integrals, respectively; \( i_1, \ldots, i_k = 0, 1, \ldots, m \).

Note that \( \psi_l(\tau) \equiv 1 \) \( (l = 1, \ldots, k) \) and \( i_1, \ldots, i_k = 0, 1, \ldots, m \) in \([2]-[5]\) while \( \psi_l(\tau) \equiv (t - \tau)^m \) \( (l = 1, \ldots, k; q_1, \ldots, q_k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots) \) and \( i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m \) in \([6]-[24]\).

The construction of effective expansions (converging in the mean-square sense) for collections of iterated Stratonovich stochastic integrals \([3]\) of multiplicities 1 to 4 composes the subject of this article.

The problem of effective jointly numerical modeling (in terms of the mean-square convergence criterion) of iterated Ito and Stratonovich stochastic integrals \([2]\) and \([3]\) is difficult from theoretical and computing point of view \([2]-[13]\). The only exception is connected with a narrow particular case, when \( i_1 = \ldots = i_k \neq 0 \) and \( \psi_1(s), \ldots, \psi_k(s) \equiv \psi(s) \). This case allows the investigation with using of the Ito formula \([2]-[5]\).

Consider a brief review of methods of the mean-square approximation of integrals \([2]\) and \([3]\).

Seems that iterated stochastic integrals may be approximated by multiple integral sums of different types \([3], [5], [11]\). However, this approach implies partition of the interval of integration \([t, T]\) of iterated stochastic integrals (the length \( T - t \)) of this interval is a small value, because it is a step of integration of numerical methods for Ito SDEs and according to numerical experiments this additional partition leads to significant calculating costs \([10]\).

In \([3]\) (see also \([2], [4], [7], [12]\)) Milstein proposed to expand \([2]\) of \([3]\) in repeated series in terms of products of standard Gaussian random variables by representing the Wiener process as a trigonometric Fourier series with random coefficients (version of the so-called Karhunen–Loeve expansion). To obtain the Milstein expansion of \([3]\), the truncated Fourier expansions of components of the Wiener process \( f \) must be iteratively substituted in the single integrals, and the integrals must be calculated, starting from the innermost integral. This is a complicated procedure that does not lead to a general expansion of \([3]\) valid for an arbitrary multiplicity \( k \). For this reason, only expansions of single, double, and triple stochastic integrals \([3]\) were presented in \([2], [11], [12]\) \( (k = 1, 2, 3) \) and in \([3], [11]\) \( (k = 1, 2) \) for the case \( \psi_1(s), \psi_2(s), \psi_3(s) \equiv 1; i_1, i_2, i_3 = 0, 1, \ldots, m \). Moreover, generally speaking, the approximations of triple stochastic integrals in \([2], [3], [12]\) may not converge in the mean-square sense to appropriate triple stochastic integrals due to iterated application of the operation of limit transition in the Milstein approach \([3]\). Note that in \([13]\) the method of expansion of double Ito stochastic integrals \([2]\) \( (k = 2; \psi_1(s), \psi_2(s) \equiv 1; i_1, i_2 = 1, \ldots, m) \) based on expansion of the Wiener process using Haar functions and trigonometric functions has been considered.

It is necessary to note that the approach, based on the Karhunen–Loeve expansion \([3]\) excelled in several times (or even in several orders) the methods of integral sums \([3], [5], [11]\) considering computational costs in the sense of their diminishing.

An alternative strong approximation method was proposed for \([3]\) in \([6], [7]\) (see also \([14]-[19], [22], [24]\)) where \( J^*[\psi]_{T,t} \) was represented as a multiple stochastic integral from the certain discontinuous
nourandom function of \( k \) variables, and the function was then expressed as a generalized repeated Fourier series in complete systems of continuously differentiable functions that are orthonormal in the space \( L_2([t,T]) \). As a result, a general repeated series expansion of \( \psi \) in terms of products of standard Gaussian random variables was obtained in \([6],[7]\) (see also \([14]-[19],[22],[24]\)) for an arbitrary multiplicity \( k \). Hereinafter, this method referred to as the method of generalized repeated Fourier series. It was shown \([6],[7]\) (see also \([14]-[19],[22],[24]\)) that the method of generalized repeated Fourier series leads to the approach, based on the Karhunen–Loeve expansion \([3]\) in the case of a trigonometric system of functions and to a substantially simpler expansions of \( \psi \) in the case of a system of Legendre polynomials.

As we mentioned above the approach, based on the Karhunen–Loeve expansion \([3]\) and the method of generalized repeated Fourier series \([6],[7]\) (see also \([14]-[19],[22],[24]\)) lead to iterated application of the operation of limit transition, so these methods may not converge in the mean-square sense to appropriate integrals \([5]\) for some methods of series summation.

The problem of iterated application of the operation of limit transition, not appears in the method, which is proposed for \([2]\) in Theorem 1 (see below) \([10],[22],[24],[39]\). The idea of this method is as follows: the iterated Ito stochastic integral \([2]\) of multiplicity \( k \) is represented as a multiple stochastic integral from the certain discontinuous nonrandom function of \( k \) variables, defined on the hypercube \([t,T]^k\), where \([t,T]\) is an interval of integration of iterated Ito stochastic integral \([2]\). Then, the indicated nonrandom function is expanded in the hypercube into the generalized multiple Fourier series converging in the mean-square sense in the space \( L_2([t,T]^k) \). After a number of nontrivial transformations we come (see Theorem 1 below) to the mean-square converging expansion of iterated Ito stochastic integral \([2]\) into the multiple series of products of standard Gaussian random variables. The coefficients of this series are the coefficients of generalized multiple Fourier series for the mentioned nonrandom function of several variables, which can be calculated using the explicit formula regardless of multiplicity \( k \) of iterated Ito stochastic integral \([2]\). We will call this method as the method of generalized multiple Fourier series.

Suppose that every \( \psi_l(\tau) \) \((l = 1,\ldots,k)\) is a continuous nonrandom function on \([t,T]\).

Define the following function on a hypercube \([t,T]^k\)

\[
K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = \begin{cases} 
\psi_1(t_1) \cdots \psi_k(t_k) & \text{for } t_1 < \cdots < t_k, \\
0 & \text{otherwise,}
\end{cases} \\
\quad t_1, \ldots, t_k \in [t,T], \quad k \geq 2,
\]

and \( K(t_1) \equiv \psi_1(t_1) \), \( t_1 \in [t,T] \).

Suppose that \( \{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=1}^\infty \) is a complete orthonormal system of functions in the space \( L_2([t,T]) \).

The function \( K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \) is sectionally continuous in the hypercube \([t,T]^k\). At this situation it is well known that the generalized multiple Fourier series of \( K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \in L_2([t,T]^k) \) is converging to \( K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \) in the hypercube \([t,T]^k\) in the mean-square sense, i.e.

\[
\lim_{p_1,\ldots,p_k \to \infty} \left\| K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \cdots j_1} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \phi_{j_l}(t_l) \right\| = 0,
\]

where

\[
C_{j_k \cdots j_1} = \int_{[t,T]^k} K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \prod_{l=1}^{k} \phi_{j_l}(t_l) dt_1 \cdots dt_k,
\]

\[
\|f\| = \left( \int_{[t,T]^k} f^2(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \cdots dt_k \right)^{1/2}.
\]
Consider the partition \( \{ \tau_j \}_{j=0}^N \) of \([t, T]\) such that

\[
t = \tau_0 < \ldots < \tau_N = T, \quad \Delta_N = \max_{0 \leq j \leq N-1} \Delta \tau_j \to 0 \text{ if } N \to \infty, \quad \Delta \tau_j = \tau_{j+1} - \tau_j.
\]

**Theorem 1** \([10]-[22], [24]-[39]\). Suppose that every \( \psi_l(\tau) \) (\( l = 1, \ldots, k \)) is a continuous nonrandom function on \([t, T]\) and \( \{ \phi_j(x) \}_{j=0}^\infty \) is a complete orthonormal system of continuous functions in the space \( L^2([t, T]) \). Then

\[
J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1, \ldots, p_k \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{k} \phi^{(ii)}_{j_l} \right) - \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{(i_1, \ldots, l_k) \in G_k} \phi_{j_1}(\tau_{i_1}) \Delta w_{\tau_{i_1}}^{(i_1)} \cdots \phi_{j_k}(\tau_{l_k}) \Delta w_{\tau_{l_k}}^{(i_k)},
\]

where \( J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} \) is defined by 2,

\[
G_k = H_k \setminus L_k, \quad H_k = \{(l_1, \ldots, l_k) : l_1, \ldots, l_k = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1\}, \quad L_k = \{(l_1, \ldots, l_k) : 0 \leq l_i = 0, \ldots, N-1; l_g \neq l_r \ (g \neq r); g, r = 1, \ldots, k\},
\]

l.i.m. is a limit in the mean-square sense, \( i_1, \ldots, l_k = 0, 1, \ldots, m \),

\[
\zeta_j^{(i)} = \int_t^T \phi_j(s) dW_s^{(i)}
\]

are independent standard Gaussian random variables for various \( i \) or \( j \) (if \( i \neq 0 \)), \( C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \) is the Fourier coefficient \([3], \Delta w_{\tau_{i_1}}^{(i_1)} = w_{\tau_{i_1}}^{(i_1)} - w_{\tau_{i_1}}^{(i_2)} \) (\( i = 0, 1, \ldots, m \)), \( \{ \tau_j \}_{j=0}^N \) is a partition of the interval \([t, T]\), which satisfies the condition \([3]\).

It was shown in \([12]-[19], [22], [24], [30]\) that Theorem 1 is valid for convergence in the mean of degree \( 2n \ (n \in \mathbb{N}) \). Moreover, the complete orthonormal in \( L^2([t, T]) \) systems of Haar and Rademacher–Walsh functions also can be applied in Theorem 1 \([12]-[19], [22], [24], [30]\). The generalization of Theorem 1 for complete orthonormal with weight \( r(x) \geq 0 \) systems of functions in the space \( L^2([t, T]) \) can be found in \([24], [31]\).

In order to evaluate the significance of Theorem 1 for practice we will demonstrate its transformed particular cases for \( k = 1, \ldots, 4 \) \([10]-[22], [24], [39]\)

\[
J[\psi^{(1)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1 \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} C_{j_1} \zeta_j^{(i_1)},
\]

\[
J[\psi^{(2)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1, p_2 \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2} C_{j_2 j_1} \left( \zeta_j^{(i_1)} \zeta_j^{(i_2)} - 1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1 = j_2\}} \right),
\]

\[
J[\psi^{(3)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1, p_2, p_3 \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2} \sum_{j_3=0}^{p_3} C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} \left( \zeta_j^{(i_1)} \zeta_j^{(i_2)} \zeta_j^{(i_3)} \right)
\]
\[\begin{align*}
(11) \quad & -1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1 = j_2\}} s^{(i_1)}_{j_1} - 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2 = j_3\}} s^{(i_2)}_{j_2} - 1_{\{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1 = j_3\}} s^{(i_1)}_{j_3} \\
& + 1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1 = j_2\}} \sum_{j_3 = 0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_4 = 0}^{p_2} C_{j_3 j_4} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{4} \zeta^{(i_l)}_{j_l} - \prod_{l=1}^{5} \zeta^{(i_l)}_{j_l} \right) \\
(12) \quad & -1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1 = j_2\}} s^{(i_1)}_{j_2} s^{(i_2)}_{j_3} - 1_{\{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1 = j_3\}} s^{(i_1)}_{j_3} s^{(i_3)}_{j_5} \\
& -1_{\{i_1 = i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1 = j_4\}} s^{(i_1)}_{j_4} s^{(i_3)}_{j_5} - 1_{\{i_1 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1 = j_5\}} s^{(i_1)}_{j_5} s^{(i_5)}_{j_5} \\
& + 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2 = j_3\}} s^{(i_2)}_{j_2} s^{(i_3)}_{j_4} - 1_{\{i_2 = i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2 = j_4\}} s^{(i_2)}_{j_3} s^{(i_4)}_{j_5} \\
& + 1_{\{i_2 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2 = j_5\}} s^{(i_2)}_{j_5} s^{(i_5)}_{j_5} + 1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1 = j_2\}} 1_{\{i_3 = i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_3 = j_4\}} s^{(i_4)}_{j_5} + 1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_1 = j_2\}} 1_{\{i_3 = i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_3 = j_4\}} s^{(i_4)}_{j_5} \\
& + 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2 = j_3\}} 1_{\{i_4 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_4 = j_5\}} s^{(i_5)}_{j_5} + 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2 = j_3\}} 1_{\{i_4 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_4 = j_5\}} s^{(i_5)}_{j_5} \\
& + 1_{\{i_3 = i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_3 = j_4\}} 1_{\{i_4 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_4 = j_5\}} s^{(i_5)}_{j_5} + 1_{\{i_3 = i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_3 = j_4\}} 1_{\{i_4 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_4 = j_5\}} s^{(i_5)}_{j_5} \\
& + 1_{\{i_3 = i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_3 = j_4\}} 1_{\{i_4 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_4 = j_5\}} s^{(i_5)}_{j_5} + 1_{\{i_3 = i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_3 = j_4\}} 1_{\{i_4 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_4 = j_5\}} s^{(i_5)}_{j_5} \\
& + 1_{\{i_3 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_3 = j_5\}} 1_{\{i_4 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_4 = j_5\}} s^{(i_5)}_{j_5} + 1_{\{i_3 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_3 = j_5\}} 1_{\{i_4 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_4 = j_5\}} s^{(i_5)}_{j_5} \\
& + 1_{\{i_3 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_3 = j_5\}} 1_{\{i_4 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_4 = j_5\}} s^{(i_5)}_{j_5} + 1_{\{i_3 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_3 = j_5\}} 1_{\{i_4 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_4 = j_5\}} s^{(i_5)}_{j_5} \\
(13) \quad & + 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2 = j_3\}} 1_{\{i_4 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_4 = j_5\}} s^{(i_5)}_{j_5} \\
& + 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_2 = j_3\}} 1_{\{i_4 = i_5 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{j_4 = j_5\}} s^{(i_5)}_{j_5}
\end{align*}\]

where \(1_A\) is the indicator of the set \(A\).

Thus, we obtain the following useful possibilities of the method of generalized multiple Fourier series.

1. There is an obvious formula (see (11)) for calculation of expansion coefficients of iterated Ito stochastic integral \(\Pi\) with any fixed multiplicity \(k\).

2. We have possibilities for explicit calculation of the mean-square error of approximation of iterated Ito stochastic integrals \(\Sigma\) (see [20], [22], [24], [29]).
3. Since the used multiple Fourier series is a generalized in the sense that it is built using various complete orthonormal systems of functions in the space $L_2([t, T])$, then we have new possibilities for approximation — we may use not only trigonometric functions as in [21–25] but Legendre polynomials.

4. As it turned out (see [6, 22, 24–39]), it is more convenient to work with Legendre polynomials for building of approximations of iterated Ito stochastic integrals [22]. Approximations based on the Legendre polynomials essentially simpler than their analogues based on the trigonometric functions (see [6, 22, 24–39]). Another advantages of the application of Legendre polynomials in the framework of the mentioned question are considered in [34, 35].

5. The approach based on the Karhunen–Loeve expansion of the Wiener process [3] (see also [43]) leads to iterated application of operation of the limit transition (the operation of limit transition is implemented only once in Theorem 1) starting from the second multiplicity (in the general case) and triple multiplicity (for the case $ψ_1(s), ψ_2(s), ψ_3(s) ≡ 1; i_1, i_2, i_3 = 1, \ldots, m$) of iterated Ito stochastic integrals. Multiple series (the operation of limit transition is implemented only once) are more convenient for approximation than the expanded ones (iterated application of operation of the limit transition), since partial sums of multiple series converge for any possible case of convergence to infinity of their upper limits of summation (let us denote them as $p_1, \ldots, p_k$). For example, for more simple and convenient for practice case when $p_1 = \ldots = p_k = p \to \infty$. For iterated series it is obviously not the case. However, in [2, 41, 42] the authors unreasonably use the condition $p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p \to \infty$ within the application of the mentioned approach, based on the Karhunen–Loeve expansion of the Wiener process [3].

2. Expansion of Iterated Stratonovich Stochastic Integrals of Multiplicities 1 to 4

As it turned out, Theorem 1 can be adapted for iterated Stratonovich stochastic integrals [4] at least for multiplicities 1 to 5 (the case $k = 1$ obviously corresponds to (9)). Expansions of these iterated Stratonovich stochastic integrals turned out simpler, than the appropriate expansions of iterated Ito stochastic integrals [2] from Theorem 1. Let us formulate some theorems for expansions of iterated Stratonovich stochastic integrals [4] of multiplicities 2 to 4.

**Theorem 2** [17, 19, 22, 24, 38]. Suppose that $\{ϕ_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{∞}$ is a complete orthonormal system of Legendre polynomials or trigonometric functions in the space $L_2([t, T])$. At the same time $ψ_2(s)$ is a continuously differentiable function on $[t, T]$ and $ψ_1(s)$ is two times continuously differentiable function on $[t, T]$. Then, the iterated Stratonovich stochastic integral of the second multiplicity

$$J^*[ψ(2)]_{T,t} = \int_{t}^{*T} ψ_2(t) \int_{t}^{*t_2} ψ_1(t_1) dw_{t_1}^{(i_1)} dw_{t_2}^{(i_2)}$$  

$(i_1, i_2 = 0, 1, \ldots, m)$

is expanded into the converging in the mean-square sense multiple series

$$J^*[ψ(2)]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1, p_2 \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2} C_{j_2 j_1} S_{j_1}^{(i_1)} S_{j_2}^{(i_2)},$$

where

$$C_{j_2 j_1} = \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{s_2} ψ_2(s_2) ϕ_{j_2} (s_2) \int_{t}^{s_2} ψ_1(s_1) ϕ_{j_1} (s_1) ds_1 ds_2,$$
every
\[ \zeta_j^{(i)} = \int_t^T \phi_j(s) d\mathbf{w}_s^{(i)} \]
is a standard Gaussian random variable for various \( i \) or \( j \) (if \( i \neq 0 \)).

Note that in [24], [36], [39] Theorem 1 is proven under another and more weak conditions.

**Theorem 2** [24], [36], [39]. Suppose that the following conditions are met:
1. The functions \( \psi_1(\tau) \) and \( \psi_2(\tau) \) are continuously differentiable at the interval \([t, T]\).
2. \( \{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^\infty \) is a complete orthonormal system of Legendre polynomials or system of trigonometric functions in the space \( L_2([t, T]) \).

Then, the iterated Stratonovich stochastic integral of the second multiplicity
\[
J^*[^{(2)}]_{T,t} = \int_t^T \int_t^{\tau_2} \int_t^{\tau_1} \psi_2(t_2) \psi_1(t_1) \phi_j(t_1) \phi_j(t_2) d\mathbf{w}_{t_1}^{(i_1)} d\mathbf{w}_{t_2}^{(i_2)} (i_1, i_2 = 0, 1, \ldots, m)
\]
is expanded into the converging in the mean-square sense multiple series
\[
J^*[^{(2)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1, p_2 \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2} C_{j_2,j_1} \zeta_j^{(i_1)} \zeta_j^{(i_2)},
\]
where
\[ C_{j_2,j_1} = \int_t^T \psi_2(s_2) \phi_j(s_2) \int_t^{s_2} \psi_1(s_1) \phi_j(s_1) ds_1 ds_2, \]
every
\[ \zeta_j^{(i)} = \int_t^T \phi_j(s) d\mathbf{w}_s^{(i)} \]
is a standard Gaussian random variable for various \( i \) or \( j \) (if \( i \neq 0 \)).

**Theorem 3** [18], [19], [22], [24], [38]. Suppose that \( \{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^\infty \) is a complete orthonormal system of Legendre polynomials or trigonometric functions in the space \( L_2([t, T]) \). At the same time \( \psi_2(s) \) is a continuously differentiable function at the interval \([t, T]\) and \( \psi_1(s) \), \( \psi_3(s) \) are two times continuously differentiable functions at the interval \([t, T]\).

Then for the iterated Stratonovich stochastic integral of 3rd multiplicity
\[
J^*[^{(3)}]_{T,t} = \int_t^T \int_t^{\tau_3} \int_t^{\tau_2} \int_t^{\tau_1} \psi_3(t_3) \psi_2(t_2) \psi_1(t_1) d\mathbf{w}_{t_1}^{(i_1)} d\mathbf{w}_{t_2}^{(i_2)} d\mathbf{w}_{t_3}^{(i_3)} (i_1, i_2, i_3 = 1, \ldots, m)
\]
the following converging in the mean-square sense expansion
\[
J^*[^{(3)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_3,j_2,j_1=0}^{p} C_{j_3,j_2,j_1} \zeta_j^{(i_1)} \zeta_j^{(i_2)} \zeta_j^{(i_3)}
\]
(14)
is valid, where
\[ C_{j_1j_2j_3j_4} = \int_t^T \psi_1(s_1)\phi_{j_1}(s_1) \int_t^t \psi_2(s_2)\phi_{j_2}(s_2) \int_t^t \psi_3(s_3)\phi_{j_3}(s_3) ds_1 ds_2 ds_3, \]

another notations can be found in Theorem 1.

**Theorem 4** \([17]-[19], [22], [24], [38]\). Suppose that \( \{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^\infty \) is a complete orthonormal system of Legendre polynomials or trigonometric functions in the space \( L_2([t,T]) \).

Then for the iterated Stratonovich stochastic integral of 4th multiplicity
\[
I^{(i_1i_2i_3i_4)}_{(\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4)T,t} = \int_t^T \int_t^{t_{i_1}} \int_t^{t_{i_2}} \int_t^{t_{i_3}} dw_{t_4}^{(i_4)} dw_{t_3}^{(i_3)} dw_{t_2}^{(i_2)} dw_{t_1}^{(i_1)} \quad (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 0, 1, \ldots, m)
\]

the following converging in the mean-square sense expansion
\[
I^{(i_1i_2i_3i_4)}_{(\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3\lambda_4)T,t} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4=0}^p C_{j_1j_2j_3j_4} \zeta^{(i_1)}_{j_1} \zeta^{(i_2)}_{j_2} \zeta^{(i_3)}_{j_3} \zeta^{(i_4)}_{j_4}
\]
is valid, where
\[
C_{j_1j_2j_3j_4} = \int_t^T \phi_{j_1}(s_4) \int_t^{s_4} \phi_{j_2}(s_3) \int_t^{s_3} \phi_{j_3}(s_2) \int_t^{s_2} \phi_{j_4}(s_1) ds_1 ds_2 ds_3 ds_4,
\]
every
\[
\zeta^{(i)}_j = \int_t^T \phi_j(s) dw^{(i)}_s
\]
is a standard Gaussian random variable for various \( i \) or \( j \) (if \( i \neq 0 \)), \( w^{(i)}_\tau = f^{(i)}_\tau \) if \( i = 1, \ldots, m \) and \( w^{(0)}_\tau = \tau \), \( \lambda_1 = 0 \) if \( i_1 = 0 \) and \( \lambda_1 = 1 \) if \( i_1 = 1, \ldots, m \) \( (i = 1, \ldots, 4) \).

Note that in \([17]-[19], [22], [24], [38]\) the expansions \([19]-[22]\) have been applied for proving of Theorems 2–4. In this article we will prove Theorems 2–4 by another approach, which we will call as a combined approach. More precisely, we will use the scheme of the proof of Theorem 1 \([10], [19], [22], [24], [30]\) for iterated Stratonovich stochastic integrals \(3\) of multiplicities 2 to 4. As a result we will obtain two different parts of the expansion of iterated Stratonovich stochastic integrals. The mean-square convergence of the first part will be proved on the base of generalized multiple Fourier series, converging in \( L_2([t,T]^k) \) \( (k = 2, 3, 4) \) while the mean-square convergence of the second part will be proved on the base of generalized repeated Fourier series, converging pointwise. At that, we not use iterated Ito stochastic integrals as a tool of the proof and directly consider iterated Stratonovich stochastic integrals \(3\).

### 3. Auxiliary Lemmas

In this section we collected several lemmas, which will be used for proving of Theorems 2–4. Consider the partition \( \{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^N \) of the interval \([t,T]\) such that
\[
t = \tau_0 < \ldots < \tau_N = T, \quad \Delta_N = \max_{0 \leq j \leq N-1} \Delta \tau_j \to 0 \quad \text{if} \quad N \to \infty, \quad \Delta \tau_j = \tau_{j+1} - \tau_j.
\]
Lemma 1 \[10\]–\[19\], \[22\], \[24\], \[30\]. Suppose that every $\psi_l(\tau)$ ($l = 1, \ldots, k$) is a continuous nonrandom function at the interval $[t, T]$. Then

\begin{equation}
J_{\psi(k)}_{t, t} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j_k = 0}^{N-1} \cdots \sum_{j_1 = 0}^{j_2-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \psi_l(\tau_{j_i}) \Delta w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)} \quad \text{w. p. 1,}
\end{equation}

where $J_{\psi(k)}_{t, t}$ has the form \[12\], $\Delta w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)} = w_{\tau_{j_i+1}}^{(i)} - w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)}$ ($i = 0, 1, \ldots, m$), $\{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^{N}$ is a partition of the interval $[t, T]$, satisfying the condition \[15\]; hereinafter w. p. 1 means with probability 1.

Remark 1. It is easy to see that if $\Delta w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)}$ in \[16\] for some $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ is replaced with $(\Delta w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)})^p$ ($p = 2, i_t \neq 0$), then the differential $dw_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)}$ in the integral $J_{\psi(k)}_{t, t}$ will be replaced with $dt$. If $p = 3, 4, \ldots$, then the right-hand side of the formula \[16\] w. p. 1 will become zero. If we replace $\Delta w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)}$ in \[16\] for some $l \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ with $(\Delta \tau_{j_i})^p$ ($p = 2, 3, \ldots$), then the right-hand side of the formula \[16\] also w. p. 1 will be equal to zero.

Let us define the following multiple stochastic integral

\begin{equation}
\lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_k = 0}^{N-1} \Phi(\tau_{j_1}, \ldots, \tau_{j_k}) \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Delta w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)} \overset{\text{def}}{=} J_{\Phi(k)}_{t, t},
\end{equation}

where $\Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ is a nonrandom function, $\Delta w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)} = w_{\tau_{j_i+1}}^{(i)} - w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)}$ ($i = 0, 1, \ldots, m$), $\{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^{N}$ is a partition of the interval $[t, T]$, satisfying the condition \[15\].

Suppose that $D_k = \{t_1, \ldots, t_k\} : t \leq t_1 < \ldots < t_k \leq T$. We will write $\Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \in C(D_k)$, if $\Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ is a continuous in the closed domain $D_k$ or continuous in the open domain $D_k$ and bounded on its border (for the second case) nonrandom function of $k$ variables.

Let us consider the iterated Ito stochastic integral

$$I_{\Phi(k)}_{t, t} = \int_t^{t_2} \cdots \int_t^{t_2} \Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dw_{t_1}^{(i_1)} \cdots dw_{t_k}^{(i_k)},$$

where $\Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \in C(D_k)$.

It is easy to check that the stochastic integral $I_{\Phi(k)}_{t, t}$ exists as an Ito stochastic integral in the mean-square sense, if the following condition is met

$$\int_t^{t_2} \cdots \int_t^{t_2} \Phi^2(t_1, \ldots, t_k) dt_1 \cdots dt_k < \infty.$$

Lemma 2 \[10\]–\[19\], \[22\], \[24\], \[30\]. Suppose that $\Phi(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \in C(D_k)$. Then

\begin{equation}
I_{\Phi(k)}_{t, t} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{j_k = 0}^{N-1} \cdots \sum_{j_1 = 0}^{j_2-1} \prod_{i=1}^{k} \Phi(\tau_{j_1}, \ldots, \tau_{j_k}) \Delta w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)} \quad \text{w. p. 1,}
\end{equation}

where $\Delta w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)} = w_{\tau_{j_i+1}}^{(i)} - w_{\tau_{j_i}}^{(i)}$ ($i = 0, 1, \ldots, m$), $\{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^{N}$ is a partition of the interval $[t, T]$, satisfying the condition \[15\].

Lemma 3 \[10\]–\[19\], \[22\], \[24\], \[30\]. Suppose that every $\varphi_i(s)$ ($i = 1, \ldots, k$) is a continuous nonrandom function at the interval $[t, T]$. Then
where

\[
\prod_{l=1}^{k} J[\varphi_l]_{T,t} = J[\Psi]_{T,t}^{(k)} \quad \text{w. p. 1,}
\]

and the integral \( J[\Psi]_{T,t}^{(k)} \) is defined by the equality (17).

Let us introduce the following notations

\[
J[\psi]_{T,t}^{(k)} \overset{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{p=1}^{l} 1_{\{i_p = i_{p+1} \neq 0\}} \times \\
\times \int_{t}^{T} \psi_k(t_k) \ldots \int_{t_{s_k+1}}^{t_{s_k+2}} \psi_{s_k+2}(t_{s_k+2}) \psi_{s_k+1}(t_{s_k+1}) \times \\
\times \int_{t}^{t_{s_{k-1}+1}} \psi_{s_{k-1}}(t_{s_{k-1}+1}) \ldots \int_{t_{s_{k-1}+2}}^{t_{s_{k-1}+3}} \psi_{s_{k-1}+2}(t_{s_{k-1}+2}) \psi_{s_{k-1}+1}(t_{s_{k-1}+1}) \times \\
\times \int_{t}^{t_{s_1+1}} \psi_{s_1-1}(t_{s_1-1}) \ldots \int_{t_{s_1+2}}^{t_{s_1+3}} \psi_{s_1+2}(t_{s_1+2}) \psi_{s_1+1}(t_{s_1+1}) \times \\
\int_{t}^{t_{s_1+2}} \psi_1(t_1) \psi_{s_1-1}(t_{s_1-1}) \ldots \psi_{s_1+1}(t_{s_1+1}) d\omega_{t_1}^{(s_1)} \ldots d\omega_{t_{s_1-1}}^{(s_1-1)} dt_{s_1+1} d\omega_{t_{s_1+2}}^{(s_1)} \\
\ldots d\omega_{t_{s_k-1}}^{(s_k-1)} dt_{s_k+1} d\omega_{t_{s_k+2}}^{(s_k)} \\
\ldots d\omega_{t_k}^{(k)},
\]

where

\[
A_{k,t} = \left\{ (s_1, \ldots, s_k) : s_1 > s_1 - 1 + 1, \ldots, s_k > s_k + 1, s_1, \ldots, s_k = 1, \ldots, k - 1 \right\},
\]

(s_1, \ldots, s_k) \in A_{k,t}, \ l = 1, \ldots, [k/2], \ i_s = 0, 1, \ldots, m, \ s = 1, \ldots, k, \ [x] \text{ is an integer part of a number } x, \ 1_A \text{ is an indicator of the set } A.

**Lemma 4** [6], [7], [10]-[19], [22], [24], [26]. Suppose that every \( \psi_l(\tau) (l = 1, \ldots, k) \) is a continuously differentiable nonrandom function at the interval \([t, T] \). Then, the following relation between iterated Stratonovich and Ito stochastic integrals is correct

\[
J^*[\psi]_{T,t}^{(k)} = J[\psi]_{T,t}^{(k)} + \sum_{r=1}^{[k/2]} \frac{1}{2^r} \sum_{(s_r, \ldots, s_1) \in A_{k,r}} J[\psi]_{T,t}^{(s_r-1, \ldots, s_1)} \quad \text{w. p. 1,}
\]

where \( \sum_{\emptyset} \) is supposed to be equal to zero.

Let us define the function \( K^*(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \) at the hypercube \([t, T]^k \) \((k \geq 2)\) as follows.
\[ K^*(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = \prod_{l=1}^{k} \psi_l(t_l) \prod_{l=1}^{k-1} \left( 1_{\{t_l < t_{l+1}\}} + \frac{1}{2} 1_{\{t_l = t_{l+1}\}} \right) = \]

\[
\prod_{l=1}^{k} \psi_l(t_l) \left( \prod_{l=1}^{k-1} 1_{\{t_l < t_{l+1}\}} + \sum_{r=1}^{k-1} \frac{1}{2^r} \prod_{r \neq r_1 \ldots r_l}^{k-1} 1_{\{t_{r_1} = t_{r_2} = \ldots = t_{r_l} + 1\}} \prod_{l \neq 1}^{k-1} 1_{\{t_l < t_{l+1}\}} \right),
\]

where \(1_A\) is the indicator of the set \(A\).

**Lemma 5** [6, 7, 14-19, 22, 24, 26]. In the conditions of Lemma 4 the following relation is valid

\[
J[K^*(k)]_{T,t} = J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} \quad w. \ p. 1,
\]

where \(J[K^*(k)]_{T,t}\) is defined by the equality (17).

**Proof.** Substituting (23) into (17), using Lemmas 1, 2, 4 and Remark 1, it is easy to notice that w. p. 1

\[
J[K^*(k)]_{T,t} = J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=1}^{k} \sum_{(s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in A_{k,r}} J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} = J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t}.
\]

Let us consider the following multiple Fourier sum

\[
\sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \phi_{j_l}(t_l),
\]

where \(C_{j_k \ldots j_1}\) is the Fourier coefficient of the form

\[
C_{j_k \ldots j_1} = \int_{[t,T]^k} K^*(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \prod_{l=1}^{k} \phi_{j_l}(t_l) dt_1 \cdots dt_k.
\]

Let us substitute the relation

\[
K^*(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \phi_{j_l}(t_l) + K^*(t_1, \ldots, t_k) - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \phi_{j_l}(t_l)
\]

into \(J[K^*(k)]_{T,t}\) (here \(p_1, \ldots, p_k < \infty\)).

Then using Lemma 3 we obtain

\[
J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} = \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \phi_{j_l}(t_l) + J[R_{p_1 \ldots p_k}]_{T,t} \quad w. \ p. 1,
\]

where stochastic integral \(J[R_{p_1 \ldots p_k}]_{T,t}\) is defined in accordance with (17) and
\begin{equation}
R_{p_1 \ldots p_k}(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = K^*(t_1, \ldots, t_k) - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \ldots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \prod_{l=1}^{k} \phi_{j_l}(t_l),
\end{equation}

where

\begin{equation}
\zeta^{(i)}_j = \int_{t}^{T} \phi_j(s)dw_s^{(i)}.
\end{equation}

4. Proof of Theorem 2 Using Combined Approach

From (27) we obtain

\begin{equation}
J^*[\psi^{(2)}]_{T,t} = \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2} C_{j_2 j_1} \zeta^{(i_1)}_j \zeta^{(i_2)}_j + J[R_{p_1 p_2}]^{(2)}_{T,t},
\end{equation}

where

\begin{align*}
J[R_{p_1 p_2}]^{(2)}_{T,t} &= \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{T} R_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_2)dw_{t_1}^{(i_1)} dw_{t_2}^{(i_2)} + \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{T} R_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_2)dw_{t_2}^{(i_2)} dw_{t_1}^{(i_1)} + \\
&+ \sum_{1_{\{i_1=i_2=0\}}}^{T} R_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_1)dt_1,
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
R_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_2) &= K^*(t_1, t_2) - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2} C_{j_2 j_1} \phi_{j_1}(t_1) \phi_{j_2}(t_2) \quad (p_1, p_2 < \infty),
\end{align*}

\begin{align*}
K^*(t_1, t_2) &= K(t_1, t_2) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{1_{\{i_1=i_2\}}} \psi_1(t_1) \psi_2(t_1),
\end{align*}

where

\begin{align*}
K(t_1, t_2) &= \begin{cases} 
\psi_1(t_1) \psi_2(t_2), & t_1 < t_2 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}, \quad t_1, t_2 \in [t, T].
\end{align*}

Let us consider the case \(i_1, i_2 \neq 0\) (another cases can be considered absolutely analogously). Using standard properties and evaluations for the moments of stochastic integrals \(\Pi\), we obtain

\begin{align*}
M \left\{ \left( J[R_{p_1 p_2}]^{(2)}_{T,t} \right)^2 \right\} &= \\
&= M \left\{ \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{T} R_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_2)dw_{t_1}^{(i_1)} dw_{t_2}^{(i_2)} + \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{T} R_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_2)dw_{t_2}^{(i_2)} dw_{t_1}^{(i_1)} \right\} +
\end{align*}
\[ + \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} \left( \int_t^T R_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_1) dt_1 \right)^2 \leq \]
\[ \leq 2 \left( \int_t^{t_2} \left( R_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_2) \right)^2 dt_1 dt_2 + \int_t^{t_2} \left( R_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_2) \right)^2 dt_2 dt_1 \right) + \]
\[ + \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} \left( \int_t^T R_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_1) dt_1 \right)^2 = \]
\[ = 2 \int_{[t,T]^2} \left( R_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_2) \right)^2 dt_1 dt_2 + \mathbf{1}_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} \left( \int_t^T R_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_1) dt_1 \right)^2. \]

(30)

We have
\[ \int_{[t,T]^2} \left( R_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_2) \right)^2 dt_1 dt_2 = \]
\[ = \int_{[t,T]^2} \left( K^*(t_1, t_2) - \sum_{j_1 = 0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2 = 0}^{p_2} C_{j_2 j_1} \phi_{j_1} (t_1) \phi_{j_2} (t_2) \right)^2 dt_1 dt_2 = \]
\[ = \int_{[t,T]^2} \left( K(t_1, t_2) - \sum_{j_1 = 0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2 = 0}^{p_2} C_{j_2 j_1} \phi_{j_1} (t_1) \phi_{j_2} (t_2) \right)^2 dt_1 dt_2. \]

The function \( K(t_1, t_2) \) is sectionally continuous in the square \([t,T]^2\). At this situation it is well known that generalized multiple Fourier series of function \( K(t_1, t_2) \in L_2([t,T]^2) \) is converging to this function in the square \([t,T]^2\) in the mean-square sense, i.e.

\[ \lim_{p_1, p_2 \to \infty} \left\| K(t_1, t_2) - \sum_{j_1 = 0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2 = 0}^{p_2} C_{j_2 j_1} \prod_{l=1}^{2} \phi_{j_l} (t_l) \right\| = 0, \]

where
\[ \| f \| = \left( \int_{[t,T]^2} f^2(t_1, t_2) dt_1 dt_2 \right)^{1/2}. \]

So, we obtain
\[ \lim_{p_1, p_2 \to \infty} \int_{[t,T]^2} \left( R_{p_1 p_2}(t_1, t_2) \right)^2 dt_1 dt_2 = 0. \]

(31)

Note that
\[ \int_{t}^{T} R_{p_1,p_2}(t_1,t_1)dt_1 = \]

\[ = \int_{t}^{T} \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi_1(t_1)\psi_2(t_1) - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2} C_{j_2 j_1} \phi_{j_1}(t_1)\phi_{j_2}(t_1) \right) dt_1 = \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \psi_1(t_1)\psi_2(t_1)dt_1 - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2} C_{j_2 j_1} \]

\[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \psi_1(t_1)\psi_2(t_1)dt_1 - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2} C_{j_2 j_1} 1_{(j_1=j_2)} = \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \psi_1(t_1)\psi_2(t_1)dt_1 - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2} C_{j_2 j_1}. \]

(32)

We proved [19] (Theorem 3, P. A.59), [24] (Theorem 5.3, P. A.294), [38] (Theorem 2), [39] (Theorem 6) that

(33)

\[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \psi_1(t_1)\psi_2(t_1)dt_1 = \sum_{j_1=0}^{\infty} C_{j_1 j_1}. \]

From (30)–(33) it follows that

\[ \lim_{p_1, p_2 \to \infty} M \left\{ \left( J[R_{p_1,p_2}]^{(2)}_{T,t} \right)^2 \right\} = 0. \]

Theorem 2 is proven.

5. Proof of Theorem 3 Using Combined Approach

Let us consider (27) if \( k = 3 \) and \( p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p \)

(34)

\[ J^{[\psi^{(3)}]}_{T,t} = \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p} \sum_{j_3=0}^{p} C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} \xi_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \xi_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \xi_{j_3}^{(i_3)} + J[R_{ppp}]^{(3)}_{T,t} \]

w. p. 1,

where

\[ J[R_{ppp}]^{(3)}_{T,t} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_1=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_3=0}^{N-1} R_{ppp}(t_{l_1}, t_{l_2}, t_{l_3}) \Delta f_{l_1}^{(i_1)} \Delta f_{l_2}^{(i_2)} \Delta f_{l_3}^{(i_3)}, \]
\[ R_{ppp}(t_1, t_2, t_3) \overset{\text{def}}{=} K^* (t_1, t_2, t_3) - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p} \sum_{j_3=0}^{p} C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} \phi_{j_1} (t_1) \phi_{j_2} (t_2) \phi_{j_3} (t_3), \]

\[ K^* (t_1, t_2, t_3) = \prod_{l=1}^{3} \psi (t_l) \left( 1 \{ t_1 < t_2 \} 1 \{ t_2 < t_3 \} + \frac{1}{2} 1 \{ t_1 = t_2 \} 1 \{ t_2 < t_3 \} + \frac{1}{4} 1 \{ t_1 = t_2 \} 1 \{ t_2 = t_3 \} \right). \]

W. p. 1 we obtain

\[ J[R_{ppp}]_{T, t}^{(3)} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_1=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_3=0}^{N-1} R_{ppp}(\tau_{l_1}, \tau_{l_2}, \tau_{l_3}) \Delta f^{(i_1)}_{\tau_{l_1}} \Delta f^{(i_2)}_{\tau_{l_2}} \Delta f^{(i_3)}_{\tau_{l_3}} = \]

\[ = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_1=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_3=0}^{N-1} \left( R_{ppp}(\tau_{l_1}, \tau_{l_2}, \tau_{l_3}) \Delta f^{(i_1)}_{\tau_{l_1}} \Delta f^{(i_2)}_{\tau_{l_2}} \Delta f^{(i_3)}_{\tau_{l_3}} + \right) \]

\[ + R_{ppp}(\tau_{l_1}, \tau_{l_2}, \tau_{l_3}) \Delta f^{(i_1)}_{\tau_{l_1}} \Delta f^{(i_2)}_{\tau_{l_2}} \Delta f^{(i_3)}_{\tau_{l_3}} + \]

\[ + R_{ppp}(\tau_{l_2}, \tau_{l_1}, \tau_{l_3}) \Delta f^{(i_1)}_{\tau_{l_1}} \Delta f^{(i_2)}_{\tau_{l_2}} \Delta f^{(i_3)}_{\tau_{l_3}} + \]

\[ + R_{ppp}(\tau_{l_2}, \tau_{l_3}, \tau_{l_1}) \Delta f^{(i_1)}_{\tau_{l_1}} \Delta f^{(i_2)}_{\tau_{l_2}} \Delta f^{(i_3)}_{\tau_{l_3}} + \]

\[ + R_{ppp}(\tau_{l_3}, \tau_{l_2}, \tau_{l_1}) \Delta f^{(i_1)}_{\tau_{l_1}} \Delta f^{(i_2)}_{\tau_{l_2}} \Delta f^{(i_3)}_{\tau_{l_3}} + \]

\[ + R_{ppp}(\tau_{l_3}, \tau_{l_3}, \tau_{l_1}) \Delta f^{(i_1)}_{\tau_{l_1}} \Delta f^{(i_2)}_{\tau_{l_2}} \Delta f^{(i_3)}_{\tau_{l_3}} + \]

\[ + \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_1=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{N-1} \left( R_{ppp}(\tau_{l_1}, \tau_{l_2}, \tau_{l_3}) \Delta f^{(i_1)}_{\tau_{l_1}} \Delta f^{(i_2)}_{\tau_{l_2}} \Delta f^{(i_3)}_{\tau_{l_3}} + \right) \]

\[ + R_{ppp}(\tau_{l_1}, \tau_{l_2}, \tau_{l_3}) \Delta f^{(i_1)}_{\tau_{l_1}} \Delta f^{(i_2)}_{\tau_{l_2}} \Delta f^{(i_3)}_{\tau_{l_3}} + \]

\[ + R_{ppp}(\tau_{l_1}, \tau_{l_1}, \tau_{l_2}) \Delta f^{(i_1)}_{\tau_{l_1}} \Delta f^{(i_2)}_{\tau_{l_2}} \Delta f^{(i_3)}_{\tau_{l_3}} + \]

\[ + R_{ppp}(\tau_{l_1}, \tau_{l_1}, \tau_{l_2}) \Delta f^{(i_1)}_{\tau_{l_1}} \Delta f^{(i_2)}_{\tau_{l_2}} \Delta f^{(i_3)}_{\tau_{l_3}} + \]

\[ + \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_1=0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_2=0}^{N-1} \left( R_{ppp}(\tau_{l_1}, \tau_{l_1}, \tau_{l_2}) \Delta f^{(i_1)}_{\tau_{l_1}} \Delta f^{(i_2)}_{\tau_{l_2}} \Delta f^{(i_3)}_{\tau_{l_3}} + \right) \]

\[ + R_{ppp}(\tau_{l_1}, \tau_{l_1}, \tau_{l_1}) \Delta f^{(i_1)}_{\tau_{l_1}} \Delta f^{(i_2)}_{\tau_{l_2}} \Delta f^{(i_3)}_{\tau_{l_3}} + \]
\[ + R_{ppp}(\tau_{t_3}, \tau_{t_3}, \tau_{t_3}) \Delta f^{(1)}_{t_3} \Delta f^{(2)}_{t_3} \Delta f^{(3)}_{t_3} \n + \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_3=0}^{N-1} R_{ppp}(\tau_{t_3}, \tau_{t_3}, \tau_{t_3}) \Delta f^{(1)}_{t_3} \Delta f^{(2)}_{t_3} \Delta f^{(3)}_{t_3} = R^{(1)ppp} + R^{(2)ppp}, \]

where

\[ R^{(1)ppp} = \]

\[ = \int \int \int R_{ppp}(t_1, t_2, t_3) df^{(1)}_{t_1} df^{(2)}_{t_2} df^{(3)}_{t_3} + \int \int \int R_{ppp}(t_1, t_3, t_2) df^{(1)}_{t_1} df^{(3)}_{t_3} df^{(2)}_{t_2} + \]

\[ + \int \int \int R_{ppp}(t_2, t_1, t_3) df^{(1)}_{t_1} df^{(2)}_{t_2} df^{(3)}_{t_3} + \int \int \int R_{ppp}(t_2, t_3, t_1) df^{(1)}_{t_1} df^{(2)}_{t_2} df^{(3)}_{t_3} + \]

\[ + \int \int \int R_{ppp}(t_3, t_2, t_1) df^{(1)}_{t_1} df^{(2)}_{t_2} df^{(3)}_{t_3} + \int \int \int R_{ppp}(t_3, t_1, t_2) df^{(1)}_{t_1} df^{(2)}_{t_2} df^{(3)}_{t_3}, \]

\[ R^{(2)ppp} = \]

\[ = 1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} \int \int R_{ppp}(t_2, t_2, t_3) dt_2 df^{(3)}_{t_3} + 1_{\{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \int \int R_{ppp}(t_2, t_3, t_2) dt_2 df^{(1)}_{t_2} + \]

\[ + 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \int \int R_{ppp}(t_3, t_2, t_2) dt_2 df^{(1)}_{t_1} + 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \int \int R_{ppp}(t_1, t_3, t_3) dt_3 df^{(i_1)}_{t_1} + \]

\[ + 1_{\{i_3 = i_2 \neq 0\}} \int \int R_{ppp}(t_3, t_1, t_3) df^{(2)}_{t_2} dt_3 + 1_{\{i_3 = i_2 \neq 0\}} \int \int R_{ppp}(t_3, t_3, t_1) df^{(i_1)}_{t_1} dt_3. \]

We have

\[ (35) \quad M \left\{ \left( J[R_{T,t}^{(1)ppp}] \right)^2 \right\} \leq 2M \left\{ R^{(1)ppp} \right\}^2 + 2M \left\{ R^{(2)ppp} \right\}^2. \]
Now, using standard estimates for moments of stochastic integrals \cite{1} we will come to the following inequality

\[
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}\left\{ \left( R^{(1)ppp}_{T,t}(t_1, t_2, t_3) \right)^2 \right\} & \leq \\
& \leq 6 \int_t^T \int_t^{t_1} \int_t^{t_2} \left( (R_{p_1p_2p_3}(t_1, t_2, t_3))^2 + (R_{p_1p_2p_3}(t_1, t_3, t_2))^2 + (R_{p_1p_2p_3}(t_2, t_1, t_3))^2 ight) dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 = \\
& = 6 \int_{[t,T]^3} (R_{ppp}(t_1, t_2, t_3))^2 dt_1 dt_2 dt_3.
\end{align*}
\]

We have

\[
\int_{[t,T]^3} (R_{ppp}(t_1, t_2, t_3))^2 dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 = \\
= \int_{[t,T]^3} \left( K^*(t_1, t_2, t_3) - \sum_{j_1=0}^p \sum_{j_2=0}^p \sum_{j_3=0}^p C_{j_1j_2j_3}(t_1) \phi_{j_1}(t_1) \phi_{j_2}(t_2) \phi_{j_3}(t_3) \right)^2 dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 = \\
= \int_{[t,T]^3} \left( K(t_1, t_2, t_3) - \sum_{j_1=0}^p \sum_{j_2=0}^p \sum_{j_3=0}^p C_{j_1j_2j_3}(t_1) \phi_{j_1}(t_1) \phi_{j_2}(t_2) \phi_{j_3}(t_3) \right)^2 dt_1 dt_2 dt_3,
\]

where

\[
K(t_1, t_2, t_3) = \begin{cases} 
\psi_1(t_1) \psi_2(t_2) \psi_3(t_3), & t_1 < t_2 < t_3 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}, \quad t_1, t_2, t_3 \in [t,T].
\]

So, we get

\[
\lim_{p \to \infty} \mathcal{M}\left\{ \left( R^{(1)ppp}_{T,t} \right)^2 \right\} = \\
\lim_{p \to \infty} \int_{[t,T]^3} \left( K(t_1, t_2, t_3) - \sum_{j_1=0}^p \sum_{j_2=0}^p \sum_{j_3=0}^p C_{j_1j_2j_3}(t_1) \phi_{j_1}(t_1) \phi_{j_2}(t_2) \phi_{j_3}(t_3) \right)^2 dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 = 0,
\]

where \( K(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in L_2([t,T]^3) \).
After the integration order replacement for iterated Ito stochastic integrals [10] (see also [19], [24]) in \( R_{T,t}^{(2)ppp} \) w. p. 1 we obtain

\[
R_{T,t}^{(2)ppp} =
\]

\[
= 1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} \int_t^T \int_t^{t_3} R_{ppp}(t_2, t_2, t_3) dt_2 dt_3^{(i_3)} + \int_t^T \int_t^{t_3} R_{ppp}(t_3, t_3, t_1) dt_3^{(i_3)} dt_3 +
\]

\[
+ 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \int_t^T \int_t^{t_3} R_{ppp}(t_3, t_2, t_2) dt_2 dt_3^{(i_2)} + \int_t^T \int_t^{t_3} R_{ppp}(t_1, t_3, t_3) dt_3^{(i_2)} dt_3 +
\]

\[
+ 1_{\{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \int_t^T \int_t^{t_3} R_{ppp}(t_3, t_3, t_2) dt_2 dt_3^{(i_1)} + \int_t^T \int_t^{t_3} R_{ppp}(t_3, t_1, t_3) dt_3^{(i_1)} dt_3 =
\]

\[
= 1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} \int_t^T \left( \int_t^{t_3} R_{ppp}(t_2, t_2, t_3) dt_2 \right) dt_3^{(i_3)} +
\]

\[
+ 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \int_t^T \left( \int_t^{t_3} R_{ppp}(t_1, t_2, t_2) dt_2 \right) dt_3^{(i_1)} +
\]

\[
+ 1_{\{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \int_t^T \left( \int_t^{t_3} R_{ppp}(t_3, t_2, t_3) dt_3 \right) dt_3^{(i_2)} =
\]

\[
= 1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} \int_t^T \int_t^{t_3} \left( \frac{1}{2} \cdot 1_{\{t_2 < t_3\}} + \frac{1}{4} \cdot 1_{\{t_2 = t_3\}} \right) \psi_1(t_2) \psi_2(t_2) \psi_3(t_3) -
\]

\[
- \sum_{j_1=0}^p \sum_{j_2=0}^p \sum_{j_3=0}^p C_{j_1 j_2 j_3} \phi_{j_1}(t_2) \phi_{j_2}(t_2) \phi_{j_3}(t_3) \int_t^{t_3} dt_2 dt_3^{(i_3)} +
\]
\[+1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \int_t^T \int_t^T \left( \frac{1}{2} 1_{\{t_1 < t_2\}} + \frac{1}{4} 1_{\{t_1 = t_2\}} \right) \psi_1(t_1) \psi_2(t_2) \psi_3(t_2) -
\]

\[- \sum_{j_1=0}^p \sum_{j_2=0}^p \sum_{j_3=0}^p C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} \phi_{j_1}(t_1) \phi_{j_2}(t_2) \phi_{j_3}(t_2) \right) dt_2 df_{t_1}^{(i_3)} +
\]

\[+1_{\{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \int_t^T \int_t^T \left( \frac{1}{4} 1_{\{t_2 = t_3\}} \psi_1(t_3) \psi_2(t_2) \psi_3(t_3) -
\]

\[- \sum_{j_1=0}^p \sum_{j_2=0}^p \sum_{j_3=0}^p C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} \phi_{j_1}(t_3) \phi_{j_2}(t_2) \phi_{j_3}(t_3) \right) dt_3 df_{t_2}^{(i_2)} =
\]

\[1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} \int_t^T \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi_3(t_3) \int_t^{t_3} \psi_1(t_2) \psi_2^2(t_2) dt_2 - \sum_{j_1=0}^p \sum_{j_3=0}^p C_{j_3 j_1 j_1} \phi_{j_1}(t_3) \right) df_{t_3}^{(i_3)} +
\]

\[+1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \int_t^T \left( \frac{1}{2} \psi_1(t_1) \int_t^{t_1} \psi_2(t_2) \psi_3^2(t_2) dt_2 - \sum_{j_1=0}^p \sum_{j_3=0}^p C_{j_3 j_1 j_1} \phi_{j_1}(t_1) \right) df_{t_1}^{(i_1)} +
\]

\[+1_{\{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \int_t^T (-1) \sum_{j_1=0}^p \sum_{j_2=0}^p C_{j_1 j_2 j_1} \phi_{j_2}(t_2) df_{t_2}^{(i_2)} =
\]

\[1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \psi_3(t_3) \int_t^{t_3} \psi_1(t_2) \psi_2^2(t_2) dt_2 df_{t_3}^{(i_3)} - \sum_{j_1=0}^p \sum_{j_3=0}^p C_{j_3 j_1 j_1} \psi_{j_1}^{(i_3)}(t_3) \right) +
\]

\[+1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_t^T \psi_1(t_1) \int_t^{t_1} \psi_2(t_2) \psi_3^2(t_2) dt_2 df_{t_1}^{(i_1)} - \sum_{j_1=0}^p \sum_{j_3=0}^p C_{j_3 j_1 j_1} \psi_{j_1}^{(i_1)}(t_1) \right) -
\]

\[\quad -1_{\{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \sum_{j_1=0}^p \sum_{j_3=0}^p C_{j_1 j_3 j_1} \psi_{j_3}^{(i_2)}(t_3) .
\]

From [19] (Theorem 6, P. A.116–A.117), [24] (Theorem 5.5', P. A.371), [38] (Theorem 3) we obtain

\[M \left\{ \left( R_{T,t}^{(2)p+p} \right)^2 \right\} \leq \]
Let us consider (27) if \( p \rightarrow \infty \). From (34)–(37) we obtain the expansion (14). Theorem 3 is proven.

6. Proof of Theorem 4 Using Combined Approach

Let us consider (27) if \( k = 4, p_1 = p_2 = p_3 = p_4 = p \), and \( \psi_1(s), \psi_2(s), \psi_3(s), \psi_4(s) \equiv 1 \)

\[
\int_t^T \int_t^T \int_t^T \int_t^T dw_{t_1}^{(i_1)} dw_{t_2}^{(i_2)} dw_{t_3}^{(i_3)} dw_{t_4}^{(i_4)} =
\]

(38) \[= \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p} \sum_{j_3=0}^{p} \sum_{j_4=0}^{p} C_{j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4} \psi_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \psi_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \psi_{j_3}^{(i_3)} \psi_{j_4}^{(i_4)} + J[R_{pppp}]_{T,t} \text{ w. p. 1,}
\]

where

\[
J[R_{pppp}]_{T,t}^{(4)} = 1 \text{ i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{t_1=0}^{N-1} \sum_{t_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{t_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{t_4=0}^{N-1} R_{pppp}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \Delta w_{t_1}^{(i_1)} \Delta w_{t_2}^{(i_2)} \Delta w_{t_3}^{(i_3)} \Delta w_{t_4}^{(i_4)},
\]

\[
R_{pppp}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \overset{\text{def}}{=} K^*(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) -
\]

\[- \sum_{j_1=0}^{p} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p} \sum_{j_3=0}^{p} \sum_{j_4=0}^{p} C_{j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4} \phi_{j_1}(t_1) \phi_{j_2}(t_2) \phi_{j_3}(t_3) \phi_{j_4}(t_4),
\]

\[
K^*(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{l=1}^{3} \left(1_{t_l<t_{l+1}} + \frac{1}{2} 1_{t_l=t_{l+1}}\right) =
\]

\[= 1_{t_1<t_2<t_3<t_4} + \frac{1}{2} 1_{t_1=t_2<t_3<t_4} + \frac{1}{2} 1_{t_1<t_2=t_3<t_4} + \frac{1}{2} 1_{t_1<t_2<t_3=t_4} +
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
+\frac{1}{4} 1_{\{t_1=t_2=t_3<t_4\}} + \frac{1}{2} 1_{\{t_1<t_2<t_3=t_4\}} + \frac{1}{4} 1_{\{t_1=t_2<t_3=t_4\}} + \\
+\frac{1}{4} 1_{\{t_1<t_2=t_3=t_4\}} + \frac{1}{8} 1_{\{t_1=t_2=t_3=t_4\}}.
\end{align*}
\]

We have

(39) \[ J[R_{pppp}]_{T,t}^{(4)} = \sum_{i=0}^{7} R_{T,t}^{(i)pppp} \quad \text{w. p. 1}, \]

where

\[
R_{T,t}^{(0)pppp} = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_1=0}^{N-1} \left( R_{pppp}(\tau_{i_1}, \tau_{i_2}, \tau_{i_3}, \tau_{i_4}) \times \\
\Delta w_{\tau_{i_1}}(\tau_{i_2}) \Delta w_{\tau_{i_3}}(\tau_{i_4}) \Delta w_{\tau_{i_2}}(\tau_{i_4}) \right),
\]

where summation according to permutations \((i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)\) is performed only in the expression, which is enclosed in parentheses,

\[
\begin{align*}
R_{T,t}^{(1)pppp} &= 1_{\{i_1=i_2\neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_1=0}^{N-1} R_{pppp}(\tau_{i_1}, \tau_{i_2}, \tau_{i_3}, \tau_{i_4}) \Delta \tau_{i_1} \Delta w_{\tau_{i_2}}(\tau_{i_4}) \Delta w_{\tau_{i_3}}(\tau_{i_4}), \\
R_{T,t}^{(2)pppp} &= 1_{\{i_1=i_3\neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_1=0}^{N-1} R_{pppp}(\tau_{i_1}, \tau_{i_2}, \tau_{i_3}, \tau_{i_4}) \Delta \tau_{i_1} \Delta w_{\tau_{i_2}}(\tau_{i_4}) \Delta w_{\tau_{i_3}}(\tau_{i_4}), \\
R_{T,t}^{(3)pppp} &= 1_{\{i_1=i_4\neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_1=0}^{N-1} R_{pppp}(\tau_{i_1}, \tau_{i_2}, \tau_{i_3}, \tau_{i_4}) \Delta \tau_{i_1} \Delta w_{\tau_{i_2}}(\tau_{i_4}) \Delta w_{\tau_{i_3}}(\tau_{i_4}), \\
R_{T,t}^{(4)pppp} &= 1_{\{i_2=i_3\neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_1=0}^{N-1} R_{pppp}(\tau_{i_1}, \tau_{i_2}, \tau_{i_3}, \tau_{i_4}) \Delta \tau_{i_1} \Delta w_{\tau_{i_2}}(\tau_{i_4}) \Delta w_{\tau_{i_3}}(\tau_{i_4}), \\
R_{T,t}^{(5)pppp} &= 1_{\{i_2=i_4\neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_1=0}^{N-1} R_{pppp}(\tau_{i_1}, \tau_{i_2}, \tau_{i_3}, \tau_{i_4}) \Delta \tau_{i_1} \Delta w_{\tau_{i_2}}(\tau_{i_4}) \Delta w_{\tau_{i_3}}(\tau_{i_4}), \\
R_{T,t}^{(6)pppp} &= 1_{\{i_3=i_4\neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_3=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_2=0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_1=0}^{N-1} R_{pppp}(\tau_{i_1}, \tau_{i_2}, \tau_{i_3}, \tau_{i_4}) \Delta \tau_{i_1} \Delta w_{\tau_{i_2}}(\tau_{i_4}) \Delta w_{\tau_{i_3}}(\tau_{i_4}).
\end{align*}
\]
\[ R_{T,t}^{(7)\text{pppp}} = 1_{\{i_1=i_2\neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_3=i_4\neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_1,i_2=0}^{N-1} R_{\text{pppp}}(\tau_{i_1}, \tau_{i_2}, \tau_{i_3}, \tau_{i_4}) \Delta \tau_{i_2} \Delta \tau_{i_4} + \]
\[ + 1_{\{i_1=i_3\neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_2=i_4\neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_1,i_2=0}^{N-1} R_{\text{pppp}}(\tau_{i_1}, \tau_{i_2}, \tau_{i_3}, \tau_{i_4}) \Delta \tau_{i_2} \Delta \tau_{i_4} + \]
\[ + 1_{\{i_1=i_4\neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_2=i_3\neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_1,i_2=0}^{N-1} R_{\text{pppp}}(\tau_{i_1}, \tau_{i_2}, \tau_{i_3}, \tau_{i_4}) \Delta \tau_{i_2} \Delta \tau_{i_4}. \]

From (35) and (39) it follows that Theorem 4 will be proved if
\[
\lim_{p \to \infty} M \left\{ \left( R_{T,t}^{(1)\text{pppp}} \right)^2 \right\} = 0, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, 7.
\]

We have (see (36))
\[
R_{T,t}^{(0)\text{pppp}} = \int_T \int_T \int_T \int_T \int_T \int_T \int_T \left( R_{\text{pppp}}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) d\omega_{t_1}^{(i_1)} d\omega_{t_2}^{(i_2)} d\omega_{t_3}^{(i_3)} d\omega_{t_4}^{(i_4)} \right),
\]
where summation according to permutations \((t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)\) is performed only in the expression, which is enclosed in parentheses.

From the other hand [19, 24, 30]
\[
R_{T,t}^{(0)\text{pppp}} = \sum_{(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)} \int_T \int_T \int_T \int_T \int_T \int_T \left( R_{\text{pppp}}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) d\omega_{t_1}^{(i_1)} d\omega_{t_2}^{(i_2)} d\omega_{t_3}^{(i_3)} d\omega_{t_4}^{(i_4)} \right),
\]
where permutations \((t_1, \ldots, t_4)\) for summing are performed only in the values \(d\omega_{t_1}^{(i_1)} \ldots d\omega_{t_4}^{(i_4)}\), at the same time the indexes near upper limits of integration in the iterated stochastic integrals are changed correspondently and if \(t_r\) swapped with \(t_q\) in the permutation \((t_1, \ldots, t_4)\), then \(i_r\) swapped with \(i_q\) in the permutations \((i_1, \ldots, i_4)\).

So, we obtain
\[
M \left\{ \left( R_{T,t}^{(0)\text{pppp}} \right)^2 \right\} \leq 24 \sum_{(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4)} \int_T \int_T \int_T \int_T \int_T \int_T \left( R_{\text{pppp}}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \right)^2 dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 dt_4 =
\]
\[
= 24 \int_{[t,T]^4} \left( R_{\text{pppp}}(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \right)^2 dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 dt_4 \to 0,
\]
if \(p \to \infty, K^*(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4) \in L_2([t,T]^4)\).

Let us consider \(R_{T,t}^{(1)\text{pppp}}\)
\[ R_{T,j}^{(1)pppp} = 1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4,j_3,j_4 = 0}^{N-1} R_{pppp}(\tau_1, \tau_1, \tau_3, \tau_4) \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_3 \Delta w_{\tau_3}^{(i_3)} \Delta w_{\tau_4}^{(i_4)} = \]

\[ = 1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4,j_3,j_4 = 0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} 1_{\{\tau_1 < \tau_3 < \tau_4\}} + \frac{1}{4} 1_{\{\tau_4 = \tau_3 = \tau_4\}} \right) \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_3 \Delta w_{\tau_3}^{(i_3)} \Delta w_{\tau_4}^{(i_4)} = \]

\[ = \frac{1}{4} 1_{\{\tau_1 = \tau_3 < \tau_4\}} + \frac{1}{4} 1_{\{\tau_1 < \tau_3 = \tau_4\}} + \frac{1}{8} 1_{\{\tau_1 = \tau_3 = \tau_4\}} - \sum_{j_4,j_3,j_2,j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4,j_3,j_2,j_1} \phi_{j_1}(\tau_1) \phi_{j_2}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_3) \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \left( \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_3 \Delta w_{\tau_3}^{(i_3)} \Delta w_{\tau_4}^{(i_4)} \right) = \]

\[ = \frac{1}{4} 1_{\{\tau_1 = \tau_3 < \tau_4\}} + \frac{1}{4} 1_{\{\tau_1 < \tau_3 = \tau_4\}} + \frac{1}{8} 1_{\{\tau_1 = \tau_3 = \tau_4\}} - \sum_{j_4,j_3,j_2,j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4,j_3,j_2,j_1} \phi_{j_1}(\tau_1) \phi_{j_2}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_3) \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \left( \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_3 \Delta w_{\tau_3}^{(i_3)} \Delta w_{\tau_4}^{(i_4)} \right) = \]

\[ = \frac{1}{4} 1_{\{\tau_1 = \tau_3 < \tau_4\}} + \frac{1}{4} 1_{\{\tau_1 < \tau_3 = \tau_4\}} + \frac{1}{8} 1_{\{\tau_1 = \tau_3 = \tau_4\}} - \sum_{j_4,j_3,j_2,j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4,j_3,j_2,j_1} \phi_{j_1}(\tau_1) \phi_{j_2}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_3) \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \left( \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_3 \Delta w_{\tau_3}^{(i_3)} \Delta w_{\tau_4}^{(i_4)} \right) = \]

\[ -1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_3 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_1 = 0}^{N-1} \left( 0 - \sum_{j_4,j_3,j_2,j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4,j_3,j_2,j_1} \phi_{j_1}(\tau_1) \phi_{j_2}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_3) \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \left( \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_3 \Delta w_{\tau_3}^{(i_3)} \Delta w_{\tau_4}^{(i_4)} \right) \right) \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \int \int \int \int dt_1 dw_{\tau_3}^{(i_3)} dw_{\tau_4}^{(i_4)} - \sum_{j_4,j_3,j_2,j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4,j_3,j_2,j_1} \phi_{j_1}(\tau_1) \phi_{j_2}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_3) \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \left( \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_3 \Delta w_{\tau_3}^{(i_3)} \Delta w_{\tau_4}^{(i_4)} \right) + \]

\[ + 1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_3 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \sum_{j_4,j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4,j_1} \phi_{j_1}(\tau_1) \phi_{j_1}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_3) \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \left( \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_3 \Delta w_{\tau_3}^{(i_3)} \Delta w_{\tau_4}^{(i_4)} \right) \text{ w. p. 1.} \]

In [19] (see proof of Theorem 8, P. A.135), [24] (see proof of Theorem 5.7, P. A.388), [38] (see proof of Theorem 4) we have proven that
Let us consider

$\lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_4, j_1 = 0}^p C_{j_4, j_1, j_1} = \frac{1}{4} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt_1 dt_2,$

\[
l.i.m. \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_1 = 0}^p C_{j_4, j_3, j_1} \sum_{j_3}^{(i_3)} \delta_{j_3}^{(i_4)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt_1 d\omega_{t_1}^{(i_3)} d\omega_{t_1}^{(i_4)} +
\]

$+ 1 \{i_3 = i_4 \neq 0 \} \frac{1}{4} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{t_1}^{t_2} dt_1 dt_2 \quad \text{w. p. 1}.$

Then

$\lim_{p \to \infty} \mathbb{M} \left\{ \left( R_{T, t}^{(1)pppp} \right)^2 \right\} = 0.$

Let us consider $R_{T, t}^{(2)pppp}$

$R_{T, t}^{(2)pppp} = 1 \{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_1 = 0}^{N-1} G_{pppp}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_1, \tau_4) \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \omega_{\tau_1}^{(i_2)} \Delta \omega_{\tau_1}^{(i_4)} =

= 1 \{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_1 = 0}^{N-1} G_{pppp}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_1, \tau_4) \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \omega_{\tau_1}^{(i_2)} \Delta \omega_{\tau_1}^{(i_4)} =

= 1 \{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_1 = 0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{1}{4} \{\tau_1 = \tau_2 < \tau_4\} + \frac{1}{8} \{\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_4\} -
\]

$- \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^p C_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1} \phi_{j_1}^{(\tau_1)} \phi_{j_2}^{(\tau_2)} \phi_{j_3}^{(\tau_1)} \phi_{j_4}^{(\tau_4)} \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \omega_{\tau_1}^{(i_2)} \Delta \omega_{\tau_1}^{(i_4)} =

= 1 \{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_4 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_2 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_1 = 0}^{N-1} (-1) \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^p C_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1} \times

\times \phi_{j_1}^{(\tau_1)} \phi_{j_2}^{(\tau_2)} \phi_{j_3}^{(\tau_1)} \phi_{j_4}^{(\tau_4)} \Delta \tau_4 \Delta \omega_{\tau_1}^{(i_2)} \Delta \omega_{\tau_1}^{(i_4)} -

- 1 \{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\} \{i_2 = i_4 \neq 0\} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_4 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_2 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_1 = 0}^{N-1} (-1) \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^p C_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1} \times

\times \phi_{j_1}^{(\tau_1)} \phi_{j_2}^{(\tau_4)} \phi_{j_3}^{(\tau_1)} \phi_{j_4}^{(\tau_4)} \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_4 =

= -1 \{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\} \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^p C_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1} \phi_{j_1}^{(\tau_1)} \phi_{j_2}^{(\tau_4)} \phi_{j_3}^{(\tau_1)} \phi_{j_4}^{(\tau_4)} +$
In [19] (see proof of Theorem 8, P. A.135), [24] (see proof of Theorem 5.7, P. A.388), [38] (see proof of Theorem 4) we have proven that

\[ \lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_4, j_2, j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_2 j_1} = 0 \quad \text{w. p. 1,} \]

Then

\[ \lim_{p \to \infty} M \left\{ \left( R_{T,t}^{(2)pppp} \right)^2 \right\} = 0. \]

Let us consider \( R_{T,t}^{(3)pppp} \)

\[ R_{T,t}^{(3)pppp} = 1_{\{i_1 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_3, l_2, l_1 = 0}^{N-1} G_{pppp}(\tau_{l_1}, \tau_{l_2}, \tau_{l_3}, \tau_{l_1}) \Delta \tau_{l_1} \Delta w_{\tau_{l_2}}^{(i_2)} \Delta w_{\tau_{l_3}}^{(i_3)} = \]

\[ = 1_{\{i_1 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_3, l_2, l_1 = 0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{1}{8} 1_{\{\tau_{l_1} = \tau_{l_2} = \tau_{l_3} \}} - \right) \]

\[ - \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_3 j_2 j_1} \phi_{j_1}(\tau_{j_1}) \phi_{j_2}(\tau_{j_2}) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_{j_3}) \phi_{j_4}(\tau_{j_4}) \Delta \tau_{j_1} \Delta w_{\tau_{j_2}}^{(i_2)} \Delta w_{\tau_{j_3}}^{(i_3)} = \]

\[ = 1_{\{i_1 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_3 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_2 = 0}^{l_3} \sum_{l_1 = 0}^{l_2} (-1) \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_3 j_2 j_1} \times \]

\[ \phi_{j_1}(\tau_{l_1}) \phi_{j_2}(\tau_{l_2}) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_{l_3}) \phi_{j_4}(\tau_{l_4}) \Delta \tau_{l_1} \Delta w_{\tau_{l_2}}^{(i_2)} \Delta w_{\tau_{l_3}}^{(i_3)} - \]

\[ -1_{\{i_1 = i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_3 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{l_1 = 0}^{l_3} (-1) \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_3 j_2 j_1} \times \]

\[ \phi_{j_1}(\tau_{l_1}) \phi_{j_2}(\tau_{l_2}) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_{l_3}) \phi_{j_4}(\tau_{l_4}) \Delta \tau_{l_1} \Delta \tau_{l_3} = \]
(5) we have proven that

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2=0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_3 j_2 j_4} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_3)} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_2)} = 0 \quad \text{w. p. 1},$$

Then

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} \mathbf{M} \left\{ \left( R_{T,t}^{(4)ppp} \right)^2 \right\} = 0.$$
of Theorem 4) we have proven that

\[ R_{T,t}^{(5)pppp} = 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_5 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_6 = 0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} 1_{\{\tau_1 < \tau_2 < \tau_4\}} \right) \]

\[ - \sum_{j_4,j_5,j_6,j_7 = 0}^{P} C_{j_4,j_5,j_6,j_7} \phi_{j_1}(\tau_1) \phi_{j_2}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_3) \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \Delta w_{\tau_1}^{(i_1)} \Delta \tau_2 \Delta w_{\tau_4}^{(i_4)} - \]

\[ - 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_1 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_5 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_6 = 0}^{N-1} (-1) \sum_{j_4,j_5,j_6,j_7 = 0}^{P} C_{j_4,j_5,j_6,j_7} \times \]

\[ \phi_{j_1}(\tau_1) \phi_{j_2}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_3) \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \Delta \tau_2 \Delta \tau_4 = \]

\[ = 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{t_2} \int_{t}^{t_2} dw_{t_1}^{(i_1)} dt_2 dw_{t_4}^{(i_4)} - \sum_{j_4,j_5,j_6,j_7 = 0}^{P} C_{j_4,j_5,j_6,j_7} \phi_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \phi_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \phi_{j_3}^{(i_3)} \phi_{j_4}^{(i_4)} \right) + \]

\[ + 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_1 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \sum_{j_4,j_5,j_6,j_7 = 0}^{P} C_{j_4,j_5,j_6,j_7} \quad \text{w. p. 1.} \]

In [19] (see proof of Theorem 8, P. A.135), [24] (see proof of Theorem 5.7, P. A.388), [38] (see proof of Theorem 4) we have proven that

\[ \lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_4,j_5,j_6,j_7 = 0}^{P} C_{j_4,j_5,j_6,j_7} = 0, \]

\[ \lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_4,j_5,j_6,j_7 = 0}^{P} C_{j_4,j_5,j_6,j_7} \phi_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \phi_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \phi_{j_3}^{(i_3)} \phi_{j_4}^{(i_4)} = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{t_2} \int_{t}^{t_2} dw_{t_1}^{(i_1)} dt_2 dw_{t_4}^{(i_4)} \quad \text{w. p. 1.} \]

Then

\[ \lim_{p \to \infty} M \left\{ \left( R_{T,t}^{(4)pppp} \right)^2 \right\} = 0. \]

Let us consider \( R_{T,t}^{(5)pppp} \)

\[ R_{T,t}^{(5)pppp} = 1_{\{i_2 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_3,i_4,i_5 = 0}^{N-1} G_{pppp}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4) \Delta w_{\tau_1}^{(i_1)} \Delta \tau_2 \Delta w_{\tau_4}^{(i_4)} = \]

\[ = 1_{\{i_2 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_3,i_4,i_5 = 0}^{N-1} G_{pppp}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3, \tau_4) \Delta w_{\tau_1}^{(i_1)} \Delta \tau_2 \Delta w_{\tau_4}^{(i_4)} = \]
\[
= \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_3, i_4, j_1 = 0}^{N - 1} \left( \frac{1}{4} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_3\}} + \frac{1}{8} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_1 < \tau_2 = \tau_3\}} \right) - \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^{P} C_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1} \phi_{j_1} (\tau_1) \phi_{j_2} (\tau_2) \phi_{j_3} (\tau_3) \phi_{j_4} (\tau_2) \Delta w_{\tau_1}^{(i_1)} \Delta \tau_2 \Delta w_{\tau_3}^{(i_3)} =
\]

\[
= \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_3, i_4, j_1 = 0}^{N - 1} \sum_{i_2 = 0}^{P} (-1) C_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1} \times \phi_{j_1} (\tau_1) \phi_{j_2} (\tau_2) \phi_{j_3} (\tau_3) \phi_{j_4} (\tau_2) \Delta w_{\tau_1}^{(i_1)} \Delta \tau_2 \Delta w_{\tau_3}^{(i_3)} =
\]

\[
= - \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_1 = 0}^{N - 1} \sum_{i_2 = 0}^{P} (-1) C_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1} \times \phi_{j_1} (\tau_1) \phi_{j_2} (\tau_2) \phi_{j_3} (\tau_3) \phi_{j_4} (\tau_2) \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_3 =
\]

\[
= - \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_1 = 0}^{N - 1} \sum_{i_2 = 0}^{P} (-1) C_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1} \times \phi_{j_1} (\tau_1) \phi_{j_2} (\tau_2) \phi_{j_3} (\tau_3) \phi_{j_4} (\tau_2) \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_3 =
\]

\[
= - \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_1 = 0}^{N - 1} \sum_{i_2 = 0}^{P} (-1) C_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1} \times \phi_{j_1} (\tau_1) \phi_{j_2} (\tau_2) \phi_{j_3} (\tau_3) \phi_{j_4} (\tau_2) \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_3 =
\]

\[
= - \mathbf{1}_{\{i_2 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_1 = 0}^{N - 1} \sum_{i_2 = 0}^{P} (-1) C_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1} \times \phi_{j_1} (\tau_1) \phi_{j_2} (\tau_2) \phi_{j_3} (\tau_3) \phi_{j_4} (\tau_2) \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_3 =
\]

In [19] (see proof of Theorem 8, P. A.135), [24] (see proof of Theorem 5.7, P. A.388), [38] (see proof of Theorem 4) we have proven that

\[
\lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^{P} C_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1} = 0. \]

Then

\[
\lim_{p \to \infty} M \left\{ \left( R_{T,t}^{(5)ppp} \right)^2 \right\} = 0.
\]

Let us consider \( R_{T,t}^{(6)pppp} \)
\[ R^{(6)_pppp}_{T,t} = 1\{i_3=\neq 0\} \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_3 = 0}^{N-1} G_{pppp}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \Delta w_{\tau_1}^{(i_1)} \Delta w_{\tau_2}^{(i_2)} \Delta \tau_3 = \]

\[ = 1\{i_3=\neq 0\} \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_3 = 0}^{N-1} G_{pppp}(\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3) \Delta w_{\tau_1}^{(i_1)} \Delta w_{\tau_2}^{(i_2)} \Delta \tau_3 = \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} 1\{\tau_1 < \tau_2 < \tau_3\} + \frac{1}{4} 1\{\tau_1 < \tau_2 = \tau_3\} + \frac{1}{8} 1\{\tau_1 = \tau_2 = \tau_3\} \]

\[ - \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_3 j_2 j_1} \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_3) \phi_{j_2}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_1}(\tau_1) \Delta w_{\tau_1}^{(i_1)} \Delta w_{\tau_2}^{(i_2)} \Delta \tau_3 = \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} 1\{i_3=\neq 0\} \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_3 = 0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{1}{2} 1\{\tau_1 < \tau_2 < \tau_3\} \right) - \]

\[ - \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_3 j_2 j_1} \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_3) \phi_{j_2}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_1}(\tau_1) \Delta w_{\tau_1}^{(i_1)} \Delta w_{\tau_2}^{(i_2)} \Delta \tau_3 = \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{t_2} \int_{t}^{t_3} d w_{t_1}^{(i_1)} d w_{t_2}^{(i_2)} dt_3 - \sum_{j_4, j_2, j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_2 j_1} \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \phi_{j_2}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_1}(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_2 \]

\[ - 1\{i_3=\neq 0\} 1\{i_1=\neq 0\} \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{l_1, l_2, l_3 = 0}^{N-1} (-1) \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_3 j_2 j_1} \times \]

\[ \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_3) \phi_{j_2}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_1}(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_2 = \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{t_2} \int_{t}^{t_3} d w_{t_1}^{(i_1)} d w_{t_2}^{(i_2)} dt_3 - \sum_{j_4, j_2, j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_2 j_1} \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \phi_{j_2}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_1}(\tau_1) \Delta \tau_1 \Delta \tau_2 = \]

\[ + 1\{i_1=\neq 0\} \{i_3=\neq 0\} \sum_{j_4, j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_1} = \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{t_2} \int_{t}^{t_3} d w_{t_1}^{(i_1)} d w_{t_2}^{(i_2)} dt_3 + \frac{1}{4} 1\{i_1=\neq 0\} \int_{t}^{T} dt_1 dt_3 - \]
Let us consider

$$R_{T,t}^{(7)pppp} = 1_{\{i_1=i_2\neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_3=i_4\neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, \Delta \tau_{i_2}, \Delta \tau_{i_4}}} \sum_{i_4, i_2 \neq i_4} G_{pppp}(\tau_{i_2}, \tau_{i_4}, \tau_{i_4}) \Delta \tau_{i_2} \Delta \tau_{i_4} +$$

$$+ 1_{\{i_1=i_3\neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_2=i_4\neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, \Delta \tau_{i_3}, \Delta \tau_{i_4}}} \sum_{i_4, i_2 \neq i_4} G_{pppp}(\tau_{i_3}, \tau_{i_4}, \tau_{i_4}) \Delta \tau_{i_3} \Delta \tau_{i_4} +$$

$$+ 1_{\{i_1=i_4\neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_2=i_3\neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{\substack{i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, \Delta \tau_{i_1}, \Delta \tau_{i_2}}} \sum_{i_4, i_2 \neq i_4} G_{pppp}(\tau_{i_1}, \tau_{i_2}, \tau_{i_4}) \Delta \tau_{i_1} \Delta \tau_{i_2} =$$

$$= 1_{\{i_1=i_2\neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_3=i_4\neq 0\}} \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4, i_2 \neq i_4} \sum_{\substack{i_4, i_2 = 0}} G_{pppp}(\tau_{i_2}, \tau_{i_4}, \tau_{i_4}) \Delta \tau_{i_2} \Delta \tau_{i_4} +$$

Then

$$\lim_{p \to \infty} M \left\{ \left( R_{T,t}^{(6)pppp} \right)^2 \right\} = 0.$$
\[ +1_{\{i_1 \neq i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_2 = 0}^{N-1} G_{pppp}(\tau_4, \tau_1, \tau_4, \tau_1) \Delta \tau_2 \Delta \tau_4 = \]

\[ = 1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_3 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_2 = 0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{1}{4} 1_{\{\tau_2 < \tau_4\}} + \frac{1}{8} 1_{\{\tau_2 = \tau_4\}} \right) - \]

\[ - \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_3 j_2 j_1} \phi_{j_2}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \Delta \tau_2 \Delta \tau_4 + \]

\[ +1_{\{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_2 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_2 = 0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{1}{8} 1_{\{\tau_2 = \tau_4\}} \right) - \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_3 j_2 j_1} \times \]

\[ \times \phi_{j_2}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \Delta \tau_2 \Delta \tau_4 + \]

\[ +1_{\{i_1 = i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \text{l.i.m.}_{N \to \infty} \sum_{i_4 = 0}^{N-1} \sum_{i_2 = 0}^{N-1} \left( \frac{1}{8} 1_{\{\tau_2 = \tau_4\}} \right) - \sum_{j_4, j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_3 j_2 j_1} \times \]

\[ \times \phi_{j_2}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_3}(\tau_2) \phi_{j_4}(\tau_4) \phi_{j_4}(\tau_2) \Delta \tau_2 \Delta \tau_4 = \]

\[ = 1_{\{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_3 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \left( \frac{1}{4} \int_t^{t_4} \int_t^{t_4} dt_2 dt_4 - \sum_{j_4, j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_1 j_1} \right) - \]

\[ -1_{\{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_2 = i_4 \neq 0\}} \sum_{j_4, j_1 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_1 j_1} - \]

\[ -1_{\{i_1 = i_4 \neq 0\}} 1_{\{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0\}} \sum_{j_4, j_2 = 0}^{p} C_{j_4 j_2 j_2} \]
\[ \lim_{p \to \infty} \rho_{t,t}^{(p)} = 0. \]

Theorem 4 is proven.
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