Giant Vortex Clusters in a Two-Dimensional Quantum Fluid
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When energy is added to a system, for example by stirring, the ensuing equilibration dynamics usually leads to more disorder. However, in a bounded two-dimensional fluid containing quantized vortices, Onsager found a surprising result: continuing to add energy leads to highly-ordered, persistent vortex clusters. Here, for the first time, we realize these high-energy vortex clusters in a planar superfluid and demonstrate that they persist for long times, despite being far from equilibrium with the surrounding fluid. Our experiments explore a new regime of vortex matter at negative absolute temperature, diametrically opposed to the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition at positive temperature. Such vortex cluster states are directly relevant to studies of two-dimensional turbulence, and are potentially realizable in helium films, exciton-polariton superfluids, nonlinear optical materials, and fermion superfluids.

An isolated system that is initially stirred will generally undergo dynamics that eventually achieve quiescent thermodynamic equilibrium. However, in some systems, the near decoupling of particular degrees of freedom can lead to a separation of time-scales for equilibration [1]. This can result in strikingly different thermodynamic behavior in the sub-systems where no spatially-uniform equilibria exist [2–4]. As first recognized by Lars Onsager [4], a prototypical example is a system of \( N \) point vortices [5] contained within a bounded incompressible two-dimensional (2D) fluid. Onsager’s model predicts that with sufficient decoupling between two and three-dimensional flow, and negligible viscous dissipation, high energy incompressible flow leads to low-entropy, large-scale aggregations of like-circulation vortices. His theory has been highly influential [2, 3], providing understanding of diverse classical quasi-2D systems such as turbulent soap films [6], guiding-center plasmas [7], self-gravitating systems [8], and Jupiter’s Great Red Spot [9]. However, despite the intuition provided by Onsager’s model, quantitative demonstrations of point-vortex statistical mechanics have been elusive. While two-dimensional classical fluid dynamics can realize vortex cluster growth, various limitations seem to prevent them reaching Onsager’s equilibrium vortex state [10]. The physical manifestation of high-energy point-vortex clusters in any fluid system has thus remained unrealized since Onsager’s seminal work in 1949 [4].

The energy of an isolated 2D fluid containing \( N \) point vortices can be expressed in terms of the relative vortex positions [5]. In an unbounded uniform fluid, it has the form [11]

\[
H = -\frac{\rho_0}{4\pi} \sum_{i \neq j} \Gamma_i \Gamma_j \ln|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j|, \tag{1}
\]

where \( \rho_0 \) is the fluid density and \( \Gamma_i \) is the circulation of a vortex at position \( \mathbf{r}_i \) — the sign of \( \Gamma_i \) indicates the direction of rotation.

* These authors contributed equally to this work.

FIG. 1. Phases of point-vortex matter in a bounded domain. A, Small positive temperatures exhibit binding of vortex-antivortex pairs. B, As \( T \to \infty \), vortex positions become uncorrelated. C, The negative temperature regime contains giant Onsager vortex clusters beyond a critical energy. D, Entropy vs. energy curve for a neutral vortex gas. Markers show the approximate initial (I) and final (F) vortex energies for the grid stir (circles) and paddle stir (diamonds) scenarios in this work (see text). The purple star indicates the clustering transition; energies above this point contain Onsager vortex clusters (shaded region). The red line indicates the asymptotic inverse temperature at high energies (see text).
increasing temperature at higher energies (Fig. 1A). At higher energies, bound vortex-antivortex pairs (Fig. 1A) that unbind with increased temperature phase (Fig. 1B). The lowest energy, positive entropy state for the system of vortices thus occurs at finite energy; at still higher energies the equilibrium entropy must decrease with vortices beginning to form small, same-sign clusters characterized by negative absolute entropy. In this work, we overcome these issues by working with a uniform planar $^{87}$Rb Bose-Einstein condensate confined to an elliptical hard-walled trap (see Supplementary Material). While the BEC itself is three-dimensional, it is two-dimensional for vortex dynamics due to the large energy per vortex. However, while small transient clusters have been observed in BEC [21–23], attempts to create Onsager vortex clusters have thus far been hindered by thermal dissipation and vortex losses at boundaries [24], which are enhanced by fluid inhomogeneities. This has prevented the experimental study of the full phase diagram of 2D vortex matter shown in Fig. 1.

In this work we overcome these issues by working with a uniform planar $^{87}$Rb Bose-Einstein condensate confined to an elliptical hard-walled trap (see Supplementary Material). While the BEC itself is three-dimensional, it is two-dimensional for vortex dynamics due to the large energy per vortex. However, while small transient clusters have been observed in BEC [21–23], attempts to create Onsager vortex clusters have thus far been hindered by thermal dissipation and vortex losses at boundaries [24], which are enhanced by fluid inhomogeneities [25]. This has prevented the experimental study of the full phase diagram of 2D vortex matter shown in Fig. 1.

The phases of vortex matter described by this model are shown schematically in Fig. 1. The lowest energy, positive temperature phase ($T > 0$) consists of weakly-interacting bound vortex-antivortex pairs (Fig. 1A) that unbind with increasing temperature [12]. At higher energies ($T \to \infty$), the system is characterized by the vortices having essentially random and uncorrelated positions (Fig. 1B). For fluids that are spatially confined, as we consider in this work, the available phase space for the vortices is bounded by the area of the container [4]. The maximum-entropy state for the system of vortices thus occurs at finite energy; at still higher energies the equilibrium entropy must decrease with vortices beginning to form small, same-sign clusters characterized by negative absolute temperatures [13]. As the energy of the system continues to increase, a critical temperature $T_c$ is reached [14], where the vortex distribution then begins to polarize, gradually forming two giant Onsager clusters of same-circulation vortices – a giant vortex dipole (Fig. 1C). As the energy $E \to \infty$ the clusters collapse to two points at a limiting temperature $T_s$ (Fig. 1D), forming a supercondensate [15].

Realizing this idealized model of point vortices in a physical system requires that the coupling of incompressible fluid flow to other excitations is highly suppressed, i.e. the vortex flow must essentially decouple from the other fluid degrees of freedom. A uniform 2D Bose-Einstein condensate (BEC) near zero temperature, with weak vortex-sound coupling, has been proposed as a close realization of the point-vortex model in a bounded domain [16–20]. Furthermore, the additional process of vortex-antivortex annihilation has been shown to favour the formation of Onsager vortices through “evaporative heating” [19] — annihilation can only remove low energy dipoles (as per Eq. (1)), thus increasing the overall energy per vortex. However, while small transient clusters have been observed in BEC [21–23], attempts to create Onsager vortex clusters have thus far been hindered by thermal dissipation and vortex losses at boundaries [24], which are enhanced by fluid inhomogeneities [25]. This has prevented the experimental study of the full phase diagram of 2D vortex matter shown in Fig. 1.

We demonstrate the metastability of the vortex clusters by measuring the spatial distribution of the vortices following injection, at one-second intervals, up to ten seconds of hold time. Figure 3A displays the vortex position histogram of all samples for the paddle sweep, resulting in two clearly distinct clusters containing an average of nine vortices (see Movie S3 for individual time steps). By contrast, the same analysis for the grid sweep in Fig. 3B shows a near uniform distribution of vortices, as expected for energies below the clustering transition. Figures 3C,D show the results of analysis of the GPE simulations of both barrier sweeps, where the distribution of the individual positive (negative) vortices are denoted by $\sigma_+$ ($\sigma_-$). In addition, Fig. 3E compares the total number of vortices as a function of time for the two sweeps in comparison with GPE simulations. The vortex number for the paddle sweep shows no decay in 10 s, indicating a strong spatial segregation of oppositely-signed vortices, while the grid sweep loses 60% of the vortices in this time to vortex annihilation and edge losses. Figure 3F plots the vortex nearest-neighbor distance $\ell/\ell_0$ (where $\ell_0$ is the expected value for a uniform distribution), which increases with time for the injected Onsager clusters, but remains below the value expected for a uniform distribution for the entire 10 s duration. By contrast, for the grid stir $\ell/\ell_0$ stays quasi-constant and near unity, suggesting a balance between competing processes of annihilation-driven evaporative heating and thermal dissipation.

One of the limitations of our BEC apparatus is that we currently do not determine the circulations of the vortices.
FIG. 3. Evidence of Onsager vortex cluster metastability. Experimental (unsigned) vortex density histograms $\rho = \sigma^+ + \sigma^-$ for A, paddle and B, grid sweeps, respectively. The data are collected following hold times of $t = \{0, 1, 2 \ldots 10\}$ seconds, with 10 samples at each time (110 samples total). C, D, Corresponding GPE simulation (signed) vorticity histograms $\omega = \sigma^+ - \sigma^-$ time averaged over 0–10 s. E, F, Experimental average vortex number $\langle N \rangle$ and nearest neighbor distance $\ell/\ell_0$ vs. hold time, where $\ell_0 = 0.89\sqrt{ab/N}$ is the expected value for a uniform distribution within the 89% detection region of the a:b ratio ellipse: paddle sweep (blue diamonds) and grid sweep (orange circles). The horizontal dashed line in F shows the expected value for a uniformly random distribution. GPE simulation results are shown as solid lines of the same color. G, Experimental point-vortex energy (blue diamonds) compared with the point-vortex energy calculated from vortex locations in GPE simulations. Blue solid line: exact point vortex energy. Blue dashed line: estimated energy from applying the experimental protocol to the GPE data. The black (purple) horizontal dotted (dash-dotted) line indicates the energy of the state with $T = \pm \infty$ ($T = T_c$), while the orange line indicates the energy of the grid-swept case.

They can instead be inferred from the position of the vortices relative to the minor axis of the ellipse, which is confirmed by the numerical simulations (see Supplementary Material). From these data we can estimate the energy of the experimental vortex configurations as a function of time using the point-vortex model, and this is plotted in Fig. 3G. Despite a gradual decay of the energy, the system remains well within the negative temperature region for the entire 10 s hold time, equivalent to approximately 50 times the initial cluster turnover time of $\sim 0.2$ s (see Movie S1). The decay is due to a combination of the finite lifetime of the condensate ($\tau = 28 \pm 2$ s), and a residual thermal fraction of $\sim 30\%$. This conclusion is supported by GPE simulations with phenomenological damping included, which are in excellent agreement with experimental observations (see Fig. 3G). The grid swept case in the simulations shows a small increase in energy per vortex over the hold time, indicating that evaporative heating marginally prevails over thermal dissipation. Without vortex circulation detection [24] this is not observed in our experiment, but has recently been observed in related work by Johnstone et al. [30]. For reference, we show the initial and final energies of the grid and paddle stirs in Fig. 1D, as determined by the GPE simulations. For the paddle stir, Fig. 1D indicates entropy increases as

FIG. 4. Metastability of Onsager vortex clusters with decreased BEC fraction. A, Vortex density histograms accumulated over a 10 second hold, as in Fig. 3, show more rapid disintegration of the Onsager vortex clusters; see Fig. S2 for cumulative histograms for the full set of condensate fractions explored, and Fig. S4 for the histograms immediately following the sweep. B, The lifetime of the vortex energy as a function of BEC fraction. (inset) The decay of the vortex energy for the largest (blue circles) and smallest (green triangles) condensate fractions. The shaded region indicates the circulation allocation algorithm bounds (see Supplementary Material), and fits to an offset exponential decay are shown with dash-dot lines, determining the lifetime.
the estimated energy decreases, characteristic of a negative temperature state. For the grid stir, annihilations manage to drive the system from the positive to the negative temperature region, but not into the clustered phase.

We further quantify the clustered negative-temperature state by comparison with analytic mean-field theory predictions [14] for the dipole moment, $D \equiv N^{-1} \sum_i \text{sgn}(\Gamma_i) r_i$ and the vortex temperature $T$ (see Supplementary Material). The dipole moment increases monotonically with energy, approaching an asymptotic maximum $d$ at supercondensation. For our elliptical geometry $d \simeq 0.47a$, for semi-major axis $a$. The experimental data for the final state gives $D_{\text{exp}} \simeq 0.40a$, further supporting that, despite dissipation, our experiment remains deep within the clustered phase. Additionally, mean-field analysis shows that the clustering transition occurs at a critical temperature $T_c \simeq -0.62T_0$, while supercondensation [14] occurs at $T_B = -0.57T_0$ (Fig. 1D). We estimate the final temperature from the point-vortex energy, since $T = T(E)$, finding $T_{\text{exp}} \simeq -0.57T_0$.

Thermal friction is expected to play a major role in the damping of the Onsager vortex clusters [31]. We experimentally investigated the role of an increased thermal component by injecting clusters for a range of smaller condensate fractions (i.e., higher BEC temperatures), while maintaining the same injected vortex number (Fig. S5). Cumulative vortex histograms for the largest and smallest condensate fractions are shown in Fig. 4A and show diminished clustering with decreasing condensate fraction. The scaled energy loss curves for these two cases are compared in the inset of Fig. 4B, and horizontal shaded regions represent confidence intervals for our energy estimation (see Supplementary Material). We observe a sharp reduction in the cluster energy decay time for condensate fractions below 65% (Fig. 4B), and identify thermal friction as the primary source of dissipation; in GPE simulations without thermal damping (containing only losses from vortex-sound coupling) the clusters retain over 90% of their initial energy.

In summary we have reported the creation and characterization of the clustered phase of quantum vortices predicted by Onsager’s statistical mechanics of point-vortices in a bounded domain [4]. Remarkably the clustered phase, once achieved, is rather robust to dissipation, contrary to the conventional wisdom for negative temperature states [13]. Meanwhile, the evaporative heating mechanism appears to be more fragile, inhibited by modest dissipation. The precise control of the trapping potential in our experiment enables a broad range of stirring and trapping configurations, opening the door to further studies of the vortex clustering phase transition [14, 18, 19], and of fully developed quantum turbulence confined to two dimensions. Emerging tools for precision characterization, including vortex circulation detection [24], momentum spectroscopy [32], and correlation functions [27, 33], can be expected to provide further insights into the role of coherent structures in 2D vortex matter.

We note that the group of K. Helmerson has independently observed negative absolute temperature vortex states in a similar system, along with signatures of evaporative heating by vortex-antivortex annihilation [30].
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[11] The peculiar feature of Eq. 1 is that it contains only position information; the presence of a boundary [5] modifies the precise form of the interaction (see Supplementary Material), but does not alter this essential property.
[12] Adding a finite vortex core size allows the Kosterlitz-Thouless transition to occur in this system [? ].
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Optically configured BECs. Our experimental apparatus consists of a $^{87}$Rb BEC confined in a red-detuned laser sheet, providing harmonic trapping in the vertical $z$ dimension with frequency $\omega_z = 2\pi \times 108$ Hz. The trapping in the $x$-$y$ plane can be arbitrarily configured via direct projection of blue-detuned light which is patterned with a digital micromirror device (DMD) [34]. The BEC is formed using a hybrid optical and magnetic trapping technique [35]. We initially evaporate in a hybrid trap produced from a single, radially symmetric $95$ µm waist $1064$ nm red-detuned Gaussian beam and relaxed quadrupole magnetic field. Before reaching the BEC critical temperature, we transfer the atoms to a $1064$ nm red-detuned Gaussian sheet and simultaneously ramp the magnetic field to zero. Optical evaporation over four seconds produces BECs of up to $N_{tot} = 3 \times 10^6$ atoms in the approximately azimuthally symmetric harmonic optical trap with $\{\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z\} = 2\pi \times \{6.8, 6.4, 360\}$ Hz. In the final second of evaporation, the $532$ nm light illuminating the DMD is linearly ramped to a peak value of $10\mu_\text{L}$, where $\mu = k_B/22$ nK is the chemical potential, producing a highly-oblate configured BEC with $N_{tot} \sim 2.2 \times 10^8$ and $67(3)$% condensate fraction in a $125$ µm $\times 85$ µm hard-walled elliptical trap. With the optical trapping beams held on, we levitate the cloud against gravity by ramping on an unbalanced quadrupole magnetic field, which additionally results in a $80$ G DC residual magnetic field in the vertical direction. Simultaneously, we reduce the sheet trapping power resulting in the final trap frequencies $\{\omega_x, \omega_y, \omega_z\} \sim 2\pi \times \{1.8, 1.6, 108\}$ Hz, and trap depth of $\sim 90$ nK. We also reduce the DMD pattern depth to $\sim 5\mu$. Combined with the hard-walled confinement of the DMD, this results in an approximately uniform atom distribution with a calculated vertical Thomas-Fermi diameter of $6$ µm and a healing length of $\xi \sim 500$ nm at the center of the trap (average $\xi \sim 530$ nm).

We measure the BEC lifetime in this trap to be $\sim 28$ s, which is shorter than the vacuum-limited lifetime of $\sim 60$ s. This suggests that scattering from the optical trap is a source of atom loss. We expect that a trap based on blue-detuned light would reduce this loss, and along with increased condensate fraction could potentially increase the lifetime of the vortex clusters.

For investigating vortex cluster energy damping as a function of BEC fraction, we arrest the evaporative cooling ramp, leading to an increased temperature and decreased condensate fraction. During the levitation procedure, due to the reduction in the optical dipole intensity and corresponding reduction in trap depth, we find loss of thermal atoms for the hotter conditions which occurs at a rate inefficient for continued evaporation. This results in an approximately constant $N_{tot} \sim 3.3 \times 10^6$ atoms in the final potential, while the final temperature and condensate fraction vary. The full range of temperatures and condensate fractions utilized were $T = \{23(1), 24(2), 27(1), 30(2), 31(2), 32.7(2)\}$ nK and $N_c/N_{tot} = \{75.3(4), 67(3), 44(1), 33(1), 26(1), 18(2)\}$%, respectively.

GPE simulations have also previously shown that increasing non-uniformity in the density of the condensate inhibits the dynamic formation of Onsager vortices [25]. To determine our sensitivity to this effect, we have increased the variation in the density of our BEC before performing the paddle sweep. This is achieved by increasing the size of our trap while maintaining its aspect ratio, which increases the relative contribution of the residual harmonic optical trap from the optical dipole sheet potential to the 2D confinement. We examined two larger trap sizes, $140$ µm $\times 100$ µm and $160$ µm $\times 115$ µm, and find that increasing the density variation leads to less concentrated vortex clusters as shown in Fig. S3A, and increased energy damping, Fig. S3B. For the larger $140$ µm $\times 100$ µm trap, the temperature and condensate fraction was $T = 29(2)$ nK and $N_c/N_{tot} = 75.1(3)$%, while the $160$ µm $\times 115$ µm trap had $T = 36(4)$ nK and $N_c/N_{tot} = 71(1)$%.

Obstacle sweeps. The paddle and grid obstacles are formed using the DMD. To dynamically alter the potential we upload multiple frames to the DMD, with the initial frame being the empty elliptical trap. Elliptical-shaped paddles, with a major and minor axis of $85$ µm and $2$ µm respectively, are then swept through the BEC at constant velocity. The paddle sweeps are defined by a set of 250 frames and the barriers start just outside the ellipse edge, with the paddles intersecting the edges of the elliptical trap at their midpoints. A $150$ µm/s sweep ($\sim 0.1c$, where the speed of sound $c \sim 1290$ µm/s) is utilised for the $120$ µm $\times 85$ µm trap, which results in a sweep time of $580$ ms. Sequential paddle positions are separated by $\sim 350$ nm resulting in smooth translation. Across the middle of the paddle, the paddles are linearly ramped to zero intensity by reducing the major and minor axes widths to zero DMD pixels. For the larger elliptical traps, the paddle sizes are proportionately scaled. A $150$ µm/s paddle sweep is maintained for the $140$ µm $\times 100$ µm trap, but a $136$ µm/s velocity is used to produce a similar number of vortices for the $160$ µm $\times 115$ µm trap. For the grid case, an array of seven $4.5$ µm $\times 4.5$ µm barriers were swept at $390$ µm/s, due to the increased critical velocity for vortex shedding, and then were similarly ramped to zero after crossing the halfway point.

BEC imaging and vortex detection. For darkground Faraday imaging [36] we utilizes light detuned by $220$ MHz from the $^{87}$Rb $|F = 1\rangle \rightarrow |F' = 2\rangle$ transition in a $80$ G magnetic field with $52.6\times$ magnification. This results in images with a measured resolution of $960(80)$ nm FWHM at $780$ nm illumination [34]. The $\xi \sim 500$ nm healing length results in poor vortex visibility in situ, see Figs. 2A,D. For the small phase shifts imparted by our vertically-thin cloud, raw Faraday images return a signal $\propto n_0^2$ which improves vortex visibility, after a short $3$ ms time-of-flight (TOF), Figs. 2B,E. The density can be determined through postprocessing, Figs. 2A,D. However, Faraday imaging combined with a short $3$ ms time of flight (TOF), where the optical beams are suddenly turned off, but the levitation field is held on, improves the vortex visibility significantly, while the column density is otherwise essentially unchanged, see Fig. 2B,E. Vortices are detected automatically using a Gaussian blob vortex image processing algorithm [37] that examines connected regions of a
thresholded background-subtracted image, after masking the image with the elliptical pattern, see Fig. S1. We restrict detection of vortices to the inner 89% of the ellipse to avoid spurious detections on the condensate edge. 

**Effective 2D theory.** Our oblate atomic BEC can be modeled by the Gross-Pitaevskii equation (GPE)

\[ i\hbar \partial_t \Psi(r,t) = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V(r,t) + g|\Psi|^2 - \mu \right] \Psi(r,t), \] (2)

where the interaction parameter \( g = 4\pi\hbar^2 a_s/m \) for s-wave scattering length \( a_s \) and atomic mass \( m \), and where \( \mu \) is the chemical potential. To characterize the groundstate, the trapping potential \( V(r) \) can be modeled as harmonic axial confinement and a hard-wall DMD potential in the \( x,y \) plane

\[ V(r) = V(z) + V(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}m\omega_z^2 z^2 + V_0 \Theta \left( \frac{z^2}{a^2} + \frac{z^2}{b^2} - 1 \right), \] (3)

where \( \Theta(x) \) is the Heaviside function and \( V_0 \) is the Thomas-Fermi approximation, the chemical potential of the groundstate is thus given by

\[ \mu = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{3gN_{\text{tot}}(m\omega_z^2)^{1/2}}{2\pi ab} \right)^{2/3}, \] (4)

To obtain an effective 2D theory, in the usual way we write \( \Psi(r,t) = \psi(x,y,t) \phi(z) \). However, as the confinement in \( z \) is not strong enough to completely confine the wavefunction to the harmonic oscillator ground state, \( \phi(z) \) is taken to be the Thomas-Fermi profile: \( \phi(z) = (3g/4\mu l_z)^{1/2} \sqrt{(\mu - V(z)/g)} \), where \( l_z = (2\mu/m\omega_z^2)^{1/2} \) is the axial Thomas Fermi radius, such that \( \phi(z) \) satisfies \( \int dz |\phi(z)|^2 = 1 \) and \( \int d^2x |\psi(x,y,z)|^2 = N_{\text{tot}} \). Multiplying by \( \phi^* (z) \) and integrating over \( z \) yields an effective 2D equation of motion

\[ i\hbar \partial_t \psi = \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V(x,y) + g_2|\psi|^2 - \mu_{2D} \right] \psi, \] (5)

where the effective 2D interaction strength is \( g_2 = 3g/16l_z^2 \) and \( \mu_{2D} = 4\mu/5 \). For the BEC of \( N_{\text{tot}} = 2.25 \times 10^6 \) atoms in the 120 \( \mu m \times 85 \mu m \) trap, we obtain \( \mu_{2D}/k_B = n_0 g_2/k_B = 19.63 \) nK, \( \xi = \hbar/\sqrt{m\mu_{2D}} \approx 0.533 \mu m \), and \( c = \sqrt{\mu_{2D}/m} \approx 1370 \mu m/s \). Values for the systems with lower condensate fraction or larger trap size are obtained by a simple scaling. Scaling the condensate number \( N_{\text{tot}} \) \( \rightarrow \alpha N_{\text{tot}} \) gives \( \xi \rightarrow \alpha^{-1/3} \xi \) and \( c \rightarrow \alpha^{1/3} c \) while scaling the trap \( \{a,b\} \rightarrow \lambda \{a,b\} \) yields \( \xi \rightarrow \lambda^{2/3} \xi \) and \( c \rightarrow \lambda^{-2/3} c \).

**Dynamical modeling.** We model the dynamical evolution of the experiment using phenomenologically damped GPE to account for energy and atom losses. Eq. 5 becomes

\[ i\hbar \partial_t \psi = \left( 1 - i\gamma \right) \left[ -\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} + V(x,y,t) + g_2|\psi|^2 - \mu(t) \right] \psi, \] (6)

where \( \gamma \) is the dissipation coefficient. Up to a noise term, Eq. 6 is equivalent to the simple growth stochastic Gross Pitaevskii equation (SGPE), a microscopically justified model of atomic BECs that incorporates dissipation due to interactions with a thermal component [38]. The exponential decay of the atom number, \( N_{\text{tot}}(t) = N_{\text{tot}}(0) \exp(-t/\tau) \), for the decay constant \( \tau \approx 28 \pm 2 \) s, is incorporated via a time-dependent chemical potential \( \mu(t) \). By Eq. 4 this gives \( \mu(t) = \mu_{2D} \exp(-2t/3\tau) \). Empirically we find that the experimental data are well matched by numerical simulations with a dissipation coefficient of \( \gamma = 8.5 \times 10^{-4} \). The total external potential is modelled as a combination of a stationary trap and time-dependent stirring obstacles: \( V(x,y,t) = V_{\text{trap}}(x,y) + V_{\text{ob}}(x,y,t) \). The stationary component of the trap includes the optical dipole trap in the \( x,y \) plane, and binary DMD pattern convolved with the previously measured point spread function of the optical system [34]. The stirring obstacles are modeled by steep-walled hyperbolic tangent functions, which increase to the maximum on the scale of the healing length. The numerical simulations were performed using XMDS2 [39].

**Point-vortex energy.** The point-vortex Hamiltonian can be constructed for any simply connected domain using a conformal map to the unit disc, combined with the method of images. Under a conformal map \( z = f(z) \), which derives the vortex motion in the domain \( z \in \Omega \) from that in the domain \( z \in \mathcal{D} \), the Hamiltonians are related via [40]

\[ H_{\Omega}(z_1, \ldots, z_N) = H_{\mathcal{D}}(\zeta_1, \ldots, \zeta_N) - \sum_{j=1}^N \kappa_j^2 \log \left| \frac{d\zeta}{dz} \right|_{z=z_j}, \] (7)

where \( \zeta_j = f(z_j) \) and the prime on the second sum indicates the exclusion of the term \( j = k \). The domain of the ellipse interior, \( \Omega = \{ z \in \mathcal{C} | |\Re(z)/2 + \Im(z)^2/b^2| < 1 \} \) is mapped to the unit disk \( \mathcal{D} \) by the conformal map [41]

\[ \zeta = f(z) = \sqrt{k} \sin \left( \frac{2K(k)}{\pi} \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{a^2 - b^2}} \right) ; k \right). \] (9)

Here \( \sin(z;k) \) is the Jacobi elliptic sine function, \( K(k) \) is the complete elliptic integral of the first kind, and \( k \) is the elliptical modulus, given by

\[ k^2 = 16\rho \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{\rho^{2n}}{1 + \rho^{2n-1}} \right)^8, \] (10)

where \( \rho = (a-b)^2/(a+b)^2 \).

**Calculation of entropy.** To generate the entropy vs. energy curve, \( S(E) \), in the elliptical domain in Fig. 1, we
generate $10^9$ uniformly random, neutral configurations of $N_\pm = 9$ vortices within the ellipse, and calculate the energy for each state via the conformal mapping Eq. (8). We then bin the distribution to approximate the density of states, $W(E) = \int \prod_{i=1}^{N} dt_\text{i} \delta(E - H(\{r_\text{i}\}))$, which determines the entropy $S = k_B \log W$, where $k_B$ is Boltzmann's constant. We calculate the energy from the vortex locations in terms of the 2D healing length $\xi = h/\sqrt{m_0g_2}$. Since the energy in Eq. 8 is defined up to an arbitrary additive constant, to simplify the comparisons between different data sets we then estimate the location of the structure function peak from a skewed gaussian fit to the numerically determined structure function and subtract this value, such that negative (positive) energies correspond to positive (negative) temperatures in all cases.

**Energy decay for varying BEC and trap parameters.** As the total atom number $N_{\text{tot}}$ is approximately constant, varying the BEC fraction and trap size leads to varying healing lengths, which we scale appropriately. We furthermore determine the density of states $W(E)$ for $N_\pm = 9$ vortices to determine the peak value for each healing length, and shift the energies as above. We then fit the energy decay with the function $E(t)/N = ae^{-t/\tau} + h_0$, where $h_0$ is a constant determined by a preliminary fit.

To test the reliability of inferring the vortex correlations based solely on their position, we have also numerically generated random (unclustered) ensembles of $N_\pm = 8$ vortices, equal to the mean number of vortices detected within the 89% detection region. We then calculate the energy of the configuration assuming that all vortices on the top left (bottom right) half of the ellipse have positive (negative) circulation. This energy is indicated in the insets of Fig. 4B as horizontal shaded regions, representing a 95% confidence interval corresponding to the 10 samples at each hold time ($\pm 1.96\sigma/\sqrt{10}$). For the times the experimentally estimated vortex energy is larger than this value, we are confident that the vortices remain clustered despite the loss of vortex energy.

The decay fit for the largest $160 \mu m \times 115 \mu m$ trap (Fig. S3B inset) tends towards an energy value above the uncorrelated estimate. In conjunction with the vortex position histogram shown in Fig. S3A, we speculate that this may indicate the presence of a monopole state, consisting of a central like-circulation cluster surrounded by opposite circulation vortices and possessing net angular momentum. In a weakly elliptical trap this state will have a comparable entropy to the (maximal entropy) dipole configuration [42].

**Upper bound of the dipole moment.** Considering two point vortices with opposite circulations in the elliptical domain, the mechanical equilibrium condition reads

$$0 = \kappa_i \frac{dx_i}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial x_i}; \ 0 = \kappa_i \frac{dy_i}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial y_i},$$

where $i = 1, 2$, giving that $y_1 = y_2 = 0$ and $x_1 = -x_2 = d$ is the unique stationary point where the forces on each vortex due to the other vortex and the image vortices all cancel. Numerically solving Eq. (11), we find that $d \simeq 0.47a$. 

**Onset of the clustering.** For an incompressible flow, one can introduce a stream function $\psi$ to describe the flow, connected to the vorticity $\omega$ via $-\nabla^2 \psi = \omega$. The self-consistent microcanonical equation of the stream function of a system containing a large number of vortices in a bounded domain $\Omega$ is [2]

$$-\nabla^2 \psi = \exp(-\tilde{\beta} \psi + \mu_+) - \exp(\tilde{\beta} \psi + \mu_-),$$

where $\mu_\pm$ are fixed by the normalization conditions of vortex number densities, and $\tilde{\beta} \equiv (E_0N/2k_B T)$ is the inverse temperature in the natural energy units of the vortex system. Linearising Eq. (12) around the uniform state of vortices $\psi = 0$ and $n_0 = 2/A$ inside the area $A$, the fluctuation $\delta \psi$ satisfies

$$(-\nabla^2 + \lambda) \delta \psi = 0,$$

with the Dirichlet boundary condition $\delta \psi(\mathbf{r} \in \partial \Omega) = 0$, here $\lambda = -4\pi \tilde{\beta} n_0$. The onset of the vortex clustering (purple star in Fig. 1D) occurs if Eq. (13) has nonzero solutions to the eigenvalue problem of the Laplacian operator in the elliptical domain [14]. In terms of elliptical coordinates Eq. (13) becomes Mathieu's equation. The most relevant eigenvalue associated with the transition is $\lambda = 4\hbar^2/(a^2 - b^2)$, where $h$ is the first positive root of the modified Mathieu function $\text{Mc}_1(m, R, h)$ with $m = 1$ and $R = \text{tanh}^{-1}(b/a)$. The transition happens at $\tilde{\beta} = \tilde{\beta}_c = -\lambda/(4\pi n_0) \simeq -1.614$, giving $T_c = (k_B \tilde{\beta}_c)^{-1} E_0 N/2 \simeq -0.62 T_0$, with $T_0 = E_0 N/2k_B$. 
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$$-\nabla^2 \psi = \exp(-\tilde{\beta} \psi + \mu_+) - \exp(\tilde{\beta} \psi + \mu_-),$$
FIG. S1. **Vortex fitting and classification** – A, Background-subtracted Faraday image of the experimental BEC column density. B, The Gaussian blob algorithm takes the Laplacian of the Gaussian-filtered image to locate the vortex cores. C, Vortex circulations are then assigned across the minor axis, with positive vortices indicated by red circles and negative vortices indicated by blue circles. D, The distribution of positive and negative assigned vortices as a function of time for the data sets corresponding to Fig. 3A. Nearly equal numbers of positive and negative vortices are obtained throughout the hold times. The error bars indicate the standard deviation of the data.

FIG. S2. A – F, Vortex position histograms corresponding to the full condensate fraction and temperature range in the 120 µm × 85µm trap considered in Fig. 4 of the main text. The initial condensate fraction is indicated in the bottom left, and the temperature in the top right of each subfigure.
FIG. S3. Metastability of Onsager vortex clusters with increased density variation. A Cumulative vortex histograms over a 10 second for the intermediate 140 μm × 100 μm and largest 160 μm × 115 μm traps. B, The lifetime τ of the vortex energy as a function of BEC density variation, where the leftmost point corresponds to the 120 μm × 85 μm trap which was utilized for the results presented in the main text. (inset) The decay of the vortex energy for the intermediate (red circles) and largest (black triangles) traps. The shaded region indicates the upper bound of the vortex configuration energy if our circulation allocation algorithm was applied to a random vortex ensemble (see text), and fits to an offset exponential decay are shown with dash-dot lines, determining the lifetime.

FIG. S4. Initial vortex histograms immediately after the sweep. A – F, Vortex position histograms corresponding to the full condensate fraction and temperature range in the 120 μm × 85 μm trap considered in Fig. 4 of the main text. G, H Vortex position histograms for the 140 μm × 100 μm trap, and the 160 μm × 115 μm trap. The initial condensate fraction is indicated in the bottom left, and the temperature in the top right of each subfigure.
FIG. S5. Mean vortex number as a function of hold time. **A**, Vortex numbers as a function of time for different condensate fractions: 75.3(4)% (red circles), 67(3)% (green squares), 44(1)% (blue upward facing triangles), 33(1)% (black downward facing triangles), 26(1)% (orange diamonds), 18(2)% (red right facing triangles). **B**, Vortex numbers as a function of time for the larger traps, with larger condensate density variations: 120 $\mu$m $\times$ 85 $\mu$m trap and 67(3)% fraction (green squares), 140 $\mu$m $\times$ 100 $\mu$m trap (blue upward triangles), and 160 $\mu$m $\times$ 115 $\mu$m trap (black downward triangles).