Stable lattices in modular Galois representations and Hida deformation
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Abstract

In this paper, we discuss the variation of the numbers of the isomorphic classes of stable lattices when the weight and the level vary in a Hida deformation by using the Kubota-Leopoldt $p$-adic $L$-function. Then in Corollary 1.7, we give a sufficient condition for the numbers of the isomorphic classes of stable lattices in Hida deformation to be infinite.
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1 Introduction

Fix a prime $p \geq 3$. We denote by $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})$ the extension of the field of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ obtained by adjoining all $p$-power roots of unity. We fix a complex embedding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a $p$-adic embedding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ of an algebraic closure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ throughout the paper, where $\mathbb{C}$ is the field of complex numbers and $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ an algebraic closure of the field $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of $p$-adic numbers. We fix a topological generator $u$ of $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$ throughout the paper. We denote by $\mathbb{Q}_\infty$ the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Let

$$\chi_{\text{cyc}} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$$

be the $p$-adic cyclotomic character. Thus $\chi_{\text{cyc}}$ is decomposed into the product

$$\chi_{\text{cyc}} = \kappa_{\text{cyc}} \omega$$

where

$$\kappa_{\text{cyc}} : \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)) \xrightarrow{\chi_{\text{cyc}}} 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p$$
is the canonical character and
\[ \omega : \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p^\infty)/\mathbb{Q}_\infty)^{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mu_{p-1} \]

the Teichmüller character. Let \( \mathcal{O} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p \) be a commutative ring which is finite flat over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) and let \( \psi \) be a Dirichlet character modulo \( M \). We denote by \( S_k(\Gamma_0(M), \psi, \mathcal{O}) \) the space of cusp forms of weight \( k \), level \( M \), Neben character \( \psi \) and Fourier coefficients in \( \mathcal{O} \). We also denote by the same symbol \( \psi \) the corresponding character of \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_M)/\mathbb{Q}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/M)^\times \). For a group \( \Delta_M \) which is isomorphic to \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_M)/\mathbb{Q}) \), a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module \( \mathcal{M} \) which has a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-linear action of \( \Delta_M \) and a character \( \varepsilon \) of \( \Delta_M \), we denote by \( \mathcal{M}^\varepsilon = \mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_M]} \mathbb{Z}_p[\varepsilon] \).

In 1976, Ribet [16] proved the converse of Herbrand’s theorem as follows:

**Theorem 1.1 (Ribet)** Let \( k \) be an even integer satisfying \( 2 \leq k \leq p-3 \) and \( B_k \) the \( k \)-th Bernoulli number. We denote by \( \text{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p))[p^\infty] \) the \( p \)-part of the ideal class group of \( \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p) \) on which the Galois group \( \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \) acts by functoriality. Suppose \( p \) divides \( B_k \). Then \( \text{Cl}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p))[p^\infty]^{\omega_{1-k}} \neq 0 \).

The method of Ribet’s proof is to construct a normalized Hecke eigen cusp form \( f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n, f)q^n \in S_2(\Gamma_0(p), \chi) \) which is congruent to Eisenstein series by the condition \( p \) divides \( B_k \). Then by using the Galois representation \( \rho_f \) attached to \( f \) due to Deligne and Shimura, Ribet constructed an unramified \( p \)-extension of \( \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p) \) by using a canonical stable lattice (see Proposition 1.2 below) of \( \rho_f \). By extending Ribet’s method, Mazur-Wiles [12] and Wiles [24] proved the Iwasawa main conjecture for \( \mathbb{Q} \) and for totally real fields.

The key lemma Ribet used, which is called “Ribet’s lemma”, is the following proposition:

**Proposition 1.2 (Ribet’s lemma)** Let \( (\mathcal{O}, \varpi, \mathcal{O}/(\varpi)) \) be the ring of integers of a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) where \( \varpi \) is an uniformizer of \( \mathcal{O} \). Let \( K = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}) \) be the fraction field of \( \mathcal{O} \) and \( V \) a 2-dimensional \( K \)-vector space. For a given \( p \)-adic representation \( \rho : G \to \text{Aut}_K(V) \) of a compact group \( G \), let \( \bar{\rho}^\text{ss} \) be the semi-simplification of the mod \( \varpi \) representation (see Section 2.1 below). Suppose \( \rho \) is irreducible and \( \bar{\rho}^\text{ss} \cong \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2 \), where \( \psi_1, \psi_2 : G \to (\mathcal{O}/(\varpi))^\times \) are characters. Then there exists a stable lattice \( T \subset V \) for which \( \bar{\rho}_T \) is the form \( \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 & * \\ 0 & \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} \) but is not semi-simple.

Let \( f \) be a normalized Hecke eigen cusp form and \( \rho_f : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to GL_2(K) \) the continuous irreducible representation attached to \( f \), where \( K \) is the field \( \mathbb{Q}_p(\{a(n, f)\}_{n \geq 1}) \). We denote by \( \mathcal{L}(\rho_f) \) the set of the isomorphic classes of stable lattices of \( \rho_f \). Since \( \rho_f \) is irreducible, \( \sharp \mathcal{L}(\rho_f) \) is finite (see (5) of Proposition 2.2 below). The author wants to determine \( \sharp \mathcal{L}(\rho_f) \) for a given \( f \). For example the known result is obtained by Greenberg and Monsky for the Ramanujan’s cusp form \( \Delta = q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24} \in S_{12}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) \) and \( p = 691 \):

**Proposition 1.3 (Greenberg, Monsky)** Let \( \rho_\Delta : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_{691}) \) be the 691-adic representation attached to \( \Delta \). Then \( \sharp \mathcal{L}(\rho_\Delta) = 2 \).
Remark 1.4. The work of Greenberg and Monsky is unpublished. See [11, Section 12, Proposition 1] for the statement. For the proof of more general settings, see Proposition 3.5 and the table after it.

A cusp form $f$ is called $p$-ordinary if its $p$-th Fourier coefficient $a(p, f)$ is a $p$-adic unit. Now we prepare some notations on Hida deformation. We fix a positive integer $N$ prime to $p$ and let $\chi$ be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo $Np$. If $\zeta \in \mu_{p^r}(r \geq 0)$ is a $p^r$-th root of unity, we denote by $\chi_\zeta$ the Dirichlet character as follows:

$$\chi_\zeta : (\mathbb{Z}/p^{r+1}\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \mathbb{Q}_p^\times, \ u \mod p^{r+1} \mapsto \zeta.$$

Now let $I$ be an integrally closed local domain which is finite flat over $\Lambda_\chi = \mathbb{Z}_p[\chi][[X]]$ and $X_I$ the set of homomorphisms defined as follows:

$$X_I = \{ \varphi : I \to \mathbb{Q}_p \mid \varphi(1 + X) = \zeta_\varphi u^{k_\varphi-2}, (k_\varphi, \zeta_\varphi) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \times \mu_{p^\infty} \}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(n, \mathcal{F}) q^n \in \mathbb{Z}[q]$ be an $I$-adic cusp form (resp. $\mathbb{Z}_p$-adic normalized Hecke eigen cusp form) with character $\chi$. That is,

$$f_\varphi := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(c(n, \mathcal{F})) q^n \in S_{k_\varphi}(\Gamma_0(Np^{r+1}), \chi \zeta_\varphi \chi_\zeta^{-1-k_\varphi}, \varphi(I))$$

is a $p$-adic cusp form (resp. $p$-ordinary normalized Hecke eigen cusp form) for all $\varphi \in X_I$, where $\zeta_\varphi$ is a primitive $p^r$-th root of unity. We denote by $S^{\text{ord}}(\chi, I)$ the space of $I$-adic forms with character $\chi$. Let $T(\chi, \Lambda_\chi)$ the ring generated over $\Lambda_\chi$ by all Hecke operators $T(l)$ for all primes $l$. Then $T(\chi, I) = T(\chi, \Lambda_\chi) \otimes_{\Lambda_\chi} I$ acts on the space $S^{\text{ord}}(\chi, I)$.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an $I$-adic normalized Hecke eigen cusp form and $\text{Frac}(I)$ the field of fraction of $I$. Hida [8] proved that there is a continuous representation

$$\rho_{\mathcal{F}} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \text{GL}_2(\text{Frac}(I))$$

such that for any $\varphi \in X_I$, the residual representation $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\text{Ker}\varphi)$ (see Definition 2.8) is isomorphic to $\rho_{f_\varphi}$.

From now on throughout the paper, we denote by $\phi$ the Euler function and we fix a positive integer $N$ prime to $p$. Let $\chi$ be a primitive Dirichlet character modulo $Np$. Let $I$ be the same as above with $\mathfrak{m}$ the maximal ideal of $I$. We denote by $S^{\text{ord}}(\chi, I), T(\chi, I)$ the same as above. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be an $I$-adic normalized Hecke eigen cusp form. Now we are going to determine $\mathcal{L}(\rho_{\mathcal{F}})$ when $\varphi$ varies in $X_I$. Our result is the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.5.** Suppose $p \nmid \phi(N)$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(\mathfrak{m}) \cong \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2$ such that $\psi_1$ (resp. $\psi_2$) is unramified (resp. ramified) at $p$. Assume the following condition:

(A) There exist Dirichlet characters $\chi_1, \chi_2$ with relative prime conductors such that $\chi_1 \chi_2 = \chi, \chi_1 \neq \chi_2 \omega$ and $\chi_{1,i} = \psi_i(i = 1, 2)$.

We enlarge $I$ such that $I$ is also finite flat over $\Lambda_{\chi_1, \chi_2}$. Then we have the following statements:
We denote by \( \hat{\mathfrak{L}}(\rho_{f_\varphi}) \) the \( \hat{\mathfrak{L}} \)-function.

Then for any \( \varphi \in X_1 \) such that \( \varphi(1 + X) = \zeta_\varphi u^{k_\varphi - 2} \), we have
\[
\hat{\mathfrak{L}}(\rho_{f_\varphi}) = \text{ord}_{\varphi}(L_p(1 - k_\varphi, \chi_{\varphi} \chi_1^{-1} \chi_2)) + 1,
\]

where \( \varphi \) is a fixed uniformizer of \( \varphi(\mathbb{L}) \) and \( L_p(s, \chi_{\varphi} \chi_1^{-1} \chi_2) \) is the Kubota-Leopoldt \( p \)-adic \( L \)-function.

(2) Assume that

(R) \( N = 1 \) and \( T(\chi, \Lambda_\chi) \) is isomorphic to \( \Lambda_X \).

Then for any \( \varphi \in X_1 \) such that \( \varphi(1 + X) = \zeta_\varphi u^{k_\varphi - 2} \), we have
\[
\hat{\mathfrak{L}}(\rho_{f_\varphi}) = \text{ord}_{\varphi}(L_p(1 - k_\varphi, \chi_{\varphi} \chi_2)) + 1.
\]

(3) Let \( L_\infty, L_\infty(Np) \) be the maximal unramified abelian \( p \)-extension of \( Q(\mu_{Np}) \) and the maximal abelian \( p \)-extension unramified outside \( Np \) of \( Q(\mu_{Np}) \). We denote by \( X_\infty = \text{Gal}(L_\infty/Q(\mu_{Np})) \) and by \( Y_\infty = \text{Gal}(L_\infty(Np)/Q(\mu_{Np})) \) on which \( \Delta_{Np} = \text{Gal}(Q(\mu_{Np})/Q_\infty) \) acts by conjugation. Assume the following conditions:

(C) The \( \Lambda_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2} \)-modules \( X_\infty^{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2} \) and \( Y_\infty^{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2} \) are cyclic.

(P) The ideal generated by the Iwasawa power series (see Section 2.3 below) \( \hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}(X) \) is a prime ideal in \( I \).

Then for any \( \varphi \in X_1 \) such that \( \varphi(1 + X) = \zeta_\varphi u^{k_\varphi - 2} \), we have
\[
\hat{\mathfrak{L}}(\rho_{f_\varphi}) = \text{ord}_{\varphi}(L_p(1 - k_\varphi, \chi_{\varphi} \chi_1^{-1} \chi_2)) + 1.
\]

Theorem 1.5 will be proved at the end of Section 3.2. Now we discuss the boundedness of \( \hat{\mathfrak{L}}(\rho_{f_\varphi}) \) when the weight and the level vary. When we fix an \( r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \), we define \( X_1^{(r)} \) :
\[
X_1^{(r)} = \{ \varphi \in X_1 \mid \varphi(1 + X) = \zeta_\varphi u^{k_\varphi - 2}, (k_\varphi, \zeta_\varphi, X) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \times (\mu_{Np} \setminus \mu_{P^r}) \}.
\]

When we fix a \( \zeta \in \mu_{P^\infty} \), we define \( X_{1, \zeta} \) :
\[
X_{1, \zeta} = \{ \varphi \in X_1 \mid \varphi(1 + X) = \zeta u^{k_\varphi - 2}, k_\varphi \geq 2 \}.
\]

When we fix a \( k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \), we define \( X_{1, k} \) :
\[
X_{1, k} = \{ \varphi \in X_1 \mid \varphi(1 + X) = \zeta u^{k_\varphi - 2}, \zeta_\varphi \in \mu_{P^\infty} \}.
\]

Corollary 1.6. Let the assumptions and the notations be as in Theorem 1.5. We denote by \( \hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}(X) \) the distinguished polynomial associated to \( \hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}(X) \). Then we have the following statements:

(1) There exists an integer \( r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) such that
\[
\hat{\mathfrak{L}}(\rho_{f_\varphi}) \leq \text{rank}_{\Lambda_X} I \cdot \deg \hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}(X) + 1
\]
is bounded when \( \varphi \) varies in \( X_1^{(r)} \), where \( \text{rank}_{\Lambda_X} I \) is the rank of the \( \Lambda_X \)-module \( I \).
(2) For each integer $k \geq 2$, $\sharp L(\rho_f)$ is bounded when $\varphi$ varies in $X_{1,k}$.

(3) Suppose that $\mathcal{I}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ with $\mathcal{O}$ the ring of integers of a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$. Then there exists an integer $r' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $\sharp L(\rho_f)$ is constant when $\varphi$ varies in $X_{1,r'}$.

(4) Assume the condition (R) or both of the conditions (C) and (P). For each $\zeta \in \mu_{p^\infty}$, $\sharp L(\rho_f)$ is unbounded when $\varphi$ varies in $X_{1,\zeta}$ if and only if $L_p(1-s,\chi\zeta\zeta^{-1}\chi_2\omega)$ has a zero in $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Corollary 1.6 will be proved in Section 3.3. Let $\mathcal{L}(\rho_F)$ be the set of the isomorphic classes of stable lattices of Hida deformation $\rho_F$. Now we give a result of $\sharp L(\rho_F)$ answering Question 4.5.1 of [15].

**Corollary 1.7.** Let the assumptions and the notations be as in Theorem 1.5. Assume the conditions (D), (C) and (P). Further assume the following condition

(F) There exists a stable lattice $\mathcal{T}$ which is free over $I$.

Suppose that there exists a $\zeta \in \mu_{p^\infty}$ such that $L_p(1-s,\chi\zeta\zeta^{-1}\chi_2\omega)$ has a zero in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then $\sharp L(\rho_F) = \infty$.

Corollary 1.7 will be proved in Section 3.4.

**Remark 1.8.** Mazur-Wiles [13, §9], Tilouine [21, Theorem 4.4] and Mazur-Tilouine [14, §2, Corollary 6] give a list of cases where the condition (F) is known to be true.

**Outline.** The outline of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall known results concerning the Bruhat-Tits tree of $GL_2$, Hida deformation and Kubota-Leopoldt $p$-adic $L$-function. These will be used frequently in Section 3. In Section 3, first we determine the number of isomorphic classes of stable lattices in a given $p$-adic representation by using the Bellaïche-Chenevier reducibility ideal $I(\rho)$. Then we give the proof of the main results. In Section 4, we give two examples of Hida deformations associated to an $\mathcal{I}$-adic normalized Hecke eigen cusp form $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{ord}}(\omega^{b_0-1}, \mathcal{I})$ when $(p, b_0) = (691, 12)$ and $(547, 486)$.

**Acknowledgement.** The author expresses his sincere gratitude to Professor Tadashi Ochiai for his constant encouragement and spending a lot of time to read the manuscript carefully and pointing out mistakes. Thanks are also due to Kenji Sakugawa for reading the manuscript and correcting several mistakes.

## 2 The Bruhat-Tits Tree, Hida deformation and $p$-adic $L$-function

### 2.1 The lattices and the Bruhat-Tits Tree

Let $A$ be a commutative integral domain with field of fractions $K = \text{Frac}(A)$ and $V$ a $n$-dimensional $K$-vector space. We say an $A$-submodule $T$ of $V$ is a lattice of $V$ if there exist two free $A$-submodules $L_1, L_2$ of $V$ such that $L_1 \subset T \subset L_2$ and $\text{rank}_A L_1 = n$. If $A$ is Noetherian, we have that an $A$-submodule $T$ of $V$ is a lattice of $V$ if and only if $T$ is finitely generated and $T \otimes_A K = V$ (see [6, VI.
I. 4.1. Corollary to Proposition 1]. Now we assume $A = \mathcal{O}$ which is the ring of integers of a finite extension field of $\mathbf{Q}_p$ with a fixed uniformizer $\varpi$ of $\mathcal{O}$. For a given $p$-adic representation

$$\rho : G \to \text{Aut}_K(V)$$

of a compact group $G$, we say that $T$ is a $G$-stable lattice of $V$ if $T$ is a lattice and $\rho(G)T = T$. This means that $T$ is also an $\mathcal{O}[G]$-module. Since $G$ is compact, there exists a $G$-stable lattice in $V$ (see [18] pp.1-2). We denote by $\rho_T$ the representation

$$\rho_T : G \to \text{Aut}_\mathcal{O}(T) \cong \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O})$$

and by $\bar{\rho}_T$ mod $\varpi$ as follows:

$$\rho_T \mod \varpi : G \xrightarrow{\rho_T} \text{Aut}_\mathcal{O}(T) \xrightarrow{\mod \varpi} \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{O}/(\varpi)}(T/\varpi T) \cong \text{GL}_n(\mathcal{O}/(\varpi)).$$

For stable lattices $T$ and $T'$, the representation $\bar{\rho}_T, \bar{\rho}_T'$, can be non-isomorphic to each other. However the semi-simplification $\bar{\rho}_T^\text{ss}$ of $\bar{\rho}_T$ is isomorphic to $\bar{\rho}_T'^\text{ss}$, by the Brauer-Nesbitt theorem. We denote by $\bar{\rho}_T^\text{ss}$ the semi-simplification of $\bar{\rho}_T$.

Now following [17] and [4], we introduce the graph structure of lattices which will be used to prove Proposition 3.4. From now on to the end of this section we assume $n = 2$.

For a lattice $T$ of $V$, we denote by $[T] = \{xT \mid x \in K^\times \}$ the equivalence class up to homotheties. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the set of all $[T]$ where $T$ is a lattice. We say that a point $x'$ in $\mathcal{X}$ is a neighbor of a point $x \in \mathcal{X}$ if $x' \neq x$ and there are lattices $T, T'$ of $V$ such that $x = [T], x' = [T']$ and $xT \subset T' \subset T$. In this way one defines a combinatorial graph structure on $\mathcal{X}$.

**Theorem 2.1 ([17, Chapter II, Theorem 1]).** The graph $\mathcal{X}$ is a tree.

Now we recall some basic notions on the tree $\mathcal{X}$. Let $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$. A path without backtracking from $x$ to $x'$, which is denoted by $\text{Path}_{x,x'}$, is a sequence $x = x_0, x_1, ..., x_n = x'$ of points in $\mathcal{X}$ such that $x_i$ is a neighbor of $x_{i+1}$ and $x_i \neq x_j$ if $i \neq j$. We define the integer $n = d(x,x') \geq 0$ to be the distance between $x$ and $x'$. Let $x = [T]$ and we fix a positive integer $n$, then there is a natural bijection between the set of the points $x'$ in $\mathcal{X}$ such that $d(x,x') = n$ and the set of lattices $\mathcal{O}^nT \subset T' \subset T$ such that $T/T' \cong \mathcal{O}/(\varpi)^n$ as an $\mathcal{O}$-module.

In a tree, we define the segment $[x,x']$ as

$$[x,x'] = \begin{cases} \{x\} & (x = x') \\ \text{Path}_{x,x'} & (x \neq x') \end{cases}$$

A subset $C$ of $\mathcal{X}$ is called a convex if for every $x, x' \in C$, the segment $[x,x'] \subset C$.

We denote by $\mathcal{X}(\rho)$ the set of $\mathcal{X}$ that are fixed by $\rho(G)$. We summarize some results on $\mathcal{X}(\rho)$:

**Proposition 2.2.**

1. $\mathcal{X}(\rho)$ is a convex ([4, §3.1]).

2. If $x \in \mathcal{X}(\rho)$, then $x$ has no neighbor in $\mathcal{X}(\rho)$ if and only if $\overline{\rho}_x$ is irreducible ([4, Proposition 11 (d)-(i)]).
(3) If \( x \in X(\rho) \), then \( x \) has exactly one neighbor in \( X(\rho) \) if and only if \( \overline{\rho}_x \) is reducible but indecomposable ([4, Proposition 11 (d)-(ii)]).

(4) If \( x \in X(\rho) \), then \( x \) has exactly two neighbors in \( X(\rho) \) if and only if \( \rho \) is decomposed into two distinct characters ([4, Proposition 11 (d)-(iii)]).

(5) \( \rho \) is irreducible if and only if \( X(\rho) \) is bounded ([4, Lemme 10]).

(6) Assume that \( \rho \) is irreducible and \( \bar{\rho}^{ss} \cong \psi_1 \oplus \psi_2 \) of characters \( \psi_1, \psi_2 : G \to (\mathbb{O}/(\varpi))^\times \) with \( \psi_1 \neq \psi_2 \). Then \( X(\rho) \) is a segment.

The assertion (6) easily follows from the assertions (1), (4) and (5) (cf. [2] the arguments before §1.3 in page 7).

### 2.2 \( \mathbb{I} \)-adic forms and Galois representations

In this section, we review some fundamental results on \( \mathbb{I} \)-adic cusp forms and their Galois representations. For more detail on this theory, the reader can refer to Chapter 7 of [9].

Recall that \( \mathbb{I} \) is an integrally closed local domain which is finite flat over \( A_\chi = \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] \), where \( \chi \) is a primitive Dirichlet character modulo \( N_p \). We denote by \( X_{A_\chi} \) and \( X_\mathbb{I} \) the sets of homomorphisms defined as follows:

\[
X_{A_\chi} = \{ \nu_{k,\zeta} : A_\chi \to \mathbb{Q}_p \mid \nu_{k,\zeta}(1 + X) = \zeta u^{k-2}, (k, \zeta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2} \times \mu_{p^\infty} \},
\]

\[
X_\mathbb{I} = \{ \varphi : \mathbb{I} \to \mathbb{Q}_p \mid \varphi |_{A_\chi} = \nu_{k_\varphi,\zeta_\varphi}, \nu_{k_\varphi,\zeta_\varphi} \in X_{A_\chi} \}.
\]

If \( \zeta \in \mu_{p^r} \) is a primitive \( p^r \)-th root of unity, let

\[
\chi_\zeta : (\mathbb{Z}/p^{r+1}\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \mathbb{Q}_p^\times, u \mod p^{r+1} \mapsto \zeta
\]

be the character associated to \( \zeta \).

**Definition 2.3.** We call \( \mathcal{F} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c(n, \mathcal{F})q^n \in \mathbb{I}[[q]] \) an \( \mathbb{I} \)-adic form (resp. \( \mathbb{I} \)-adic normalized Hecke eigen cusp form) with Dirichlet character \( \chi \) if for each \( \varphi \in X_\mathbb{I} \) with \( \varphi |_{A_\chi} = \nu_{k_\varphi,\zeta_\varphi} \) such that \( \zeta_\varphi \) is a primitive \( p^r \)-th root of unity,

\[
f_\varphi := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(c(n, \mathcal{F}))q^n \in S_{k_\varphi}(\Gamma_0(Np^{r+1}), \chi_\zeta \chi \omega^{1-k_\varphi}, \varphi(1))
\]

is the \( q \)-expansion of a \( p \)-ordinary cusp form (resp. \( p \)-ordinary normalized Hecke eigen cusp form).

We also denote by \( \varphi(\mathcal{F}) \) the above cusp form \( f_\varphi \). Recall that \( S^{\text{ord}}(\chi, \mathbb{I}) \) is the space of \( \mathbb{I} \)-adic forms with Dirichlet character \( \chi \).

**Theorem 2.4** (Hida [9, §7.4, Theorem 7]). Let \( \zeta \in \mu_{p^r} \) be a primitive \( p^r \)-th root of unity and \( \chi_\zeta \) the character associated to \( \zeta \). Let

\[
f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(Np^{r+1}), \chi_\zeta \chi \omega^{1-k}, \mathbb{Q})
\]
be a $p$-ordinary normalized Hecke eigen cusp form of weight $k \geq 1$. Then there exist an integrally closed local domain $I$ which is finite flat over $\Lambda$, an $I$-adic normalized Hecke eigen cusp form $F \in S^{\text{ord}}(\chi, I)$ and a $\varphi \in \mathfrak{X}_2$ such that $\varphi(F) = f$.

**Definition 2.5.** A Galois representation $\rho : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \text{GL}_2(\text{Frac}(I))$ is continuous if there exists a lattice $\mathcal{T} \subset \text{Frac}(I)^{\oplus 2}$ which is stable under $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$-action such that $\rho : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \text{Aut}_I(\mathcal{T})$ is continuous with respect to the topology of $\mathcal{T}$ defined by $m$ the maximal ideal of $I$.

Hida associates a continuous Galois representation over $\text{Frac}(I)$ to an $I$-adic normalized Hecke eigen cusp form $F$ as follows:

**Theorem 2.6 (Hida [8, Theorem 2.1]).** There exists a continuous irreducible representation $\rho_F : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \text{GL}_2(\text{Frac}(I))$ with the following properties:

1. $\rho_F$ is unramified outside $Np$.
2. For the geometric Frobenius element $\text{Frob}_l$ at $l \nmid Np$, we have:
   
   $\text{tr} \rho_F(\text{Frob}_l) = c(l, \mathcal{F})$, \\
   $\text{det} \rho_F(\text{Frob}_l) = \chi(l)(u(1+X))^{s_l}$,

   where $d = \omega(d)(1 + p)^{s_l}$ under the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \cong \mu_{p-1} \times (1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

   We have the following local property due to Mazur and Wiles:

**Theorem 2.7 (Wiles [23, Theorem 2.2.2]).** With the same notations as above, the restriction of $\rho_F$ to the decomposition group $D_p = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is given up to equivalence by

$$\rho_F|_{D_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & 0 \\ * & \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\varepsilon_1$ unramified and $\varepsilon_1(\text{Frob}_p) = c(p, \mathcal{F})$.

**Definition 2.8.** For a prime ideal $\mathcal{P}$ of $\mathbb{I}$, a Galois representation

$$\rho_F(\mathcal{P}) : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \text{GL}_2(\text{Frac}(\mathbb{I}/\mathcal{P}))$$

is called a residual representation of $\rho_F$ modulo $\mathcal{P}$ if $\rho_F(\mathcal{P})$ is semi-simple, continuous under the $m$-adic topology of $\text{Frac}(\mathbb{I}/\mathcal{P})$ and satisfies the following properties:

1. $\rho_F(\mathcal{P})$ is unramified outside $Np$.
2. For the geometric Frobenius element $\text{Frob}_l$ at $l \nmid Np$,
   
   $\text{tr} \rho_F(\mathcal{P})(\text{Frob}_l) = c(l, \mathcal{F}) \mod \mathcal{P}$, \\
   $\text{det} \rho_F(\mathcal{P})(\text{Frob}_l) = \chi(l)(u(1+X))^{s_l} \mod \mathcal{P}$.

   Although $\rho_F$ may not have $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$-stable lattice which is isomorphic to $I^{\oplus 2}$, the following fact is well-known (see [9, §7.5, Corollary 1] for example).

**Proposition 2.9.** For every prime ideal $\mathcal{P}$, the residual representation $\rho_F(\mathcal{P})$ exists and is unique up to isomorphism over an algebraic closure of $\text{Frac}(\mathbb{I}/\mathcal{P})$. 
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2.3 Kubota-Leopoldt \( p \)-adic \( L \)-function

Now we recall some facts about the Kubota-Leopoldt \( p \)-adic \( L \)-function. Let \( \psi \) be an arbitrary Dirichlet character. Kubota-Leopoldt (see [10, §3, Theorem 2]) showed that there exists a \( p \)-adic continuous function \( L_p(s, \psi) \) for \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_p - \{1\} \) (also continuous at \( s = 1 \) if \( \psi \) is non-trivial) with the following interpolate property for \( k \geq 1 \):

\[
L_p(1-k, \psi) = (1 - \psi \omega^{-k}(p)p^{k-1})L(1-k, \psi \omega^{-k}).
\]

Set

\[
H_\psi(X) = \begin{cases} 
\psi(u)(1+X)-1 & \text{if } \psi \text{ factors through } \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}), \\
1 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

Iwasawa [10, §6] showed that there exists a unique power series \( G_\psi(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[[X]] \) such that

(i) \( L_p(1-s, \psi) = G_\psi(u^s - 1)/H_\psi(u^s - 1) \),

(ii) if \( \rho \) factors through \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}) \), then \( G_{\psi \rho}(X) = G_\psi(\rho(u)(1+X) - 1) \).

We define

\[
\hat{G}_\psi(X) = G_\psi(u^2(1+X) - 1),
\]

\[
\hat{H}_\psi(X) = H_\psi(u^2(1+X) - 1)
\]

for later reference.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.5 and its corollaries

3.1 Calculation of \( \sharp \mathcal{L}(\rho) \) by means of \( I(\rho) \)

For a given \( p \)-adic representation \( \rho \), recall that \( \mathcal{L}(\rho) \) is the set of the isomorphic classes of stable lattices of \( \rho \). First we determine \( \sharp \mathcal{L}(\rho) \) in this section. The following lemma is proved by Bellaïche-Chenevier [3].

**Lemma 3.1** (Bellaïche-Chenevier [3, Lemme 1]). Let \( (A, m, k) \) be a complete local domain such that \( \text{char}(k) \neq 2 \), where \( m \) is the maximal ideal of \( A \) and \( k \) the residue field \( A/m \). Let \( \rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\text{Frac}(A)) \) be a linear representation of a group \( G \) satisfying \( \text{tr} \rho(G) \subseteq A \) and \( \text{tr} \rho \mod m = \psi_1 + \psi_2, \psi_1 \neq \psi_2 \), where \( \psi_1, \psi_2 : G \rightarrow k^* \) are characters. Let \( g_0 \in G \) be an element satisfying \( \psi_1(g_0) \neq \psi_2(g_0) \) and \( \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in A \) the roots of the characteristic polynomial of \( \rho(g_0) \). Choose a basis \( \{e_1, e_2\} \) of the representation \( \rho \) such that \( \rho(g_0)e_i = \lambda_i e_i \) (\( i = 1, 2 \)). Write

\[
\rho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix}
\]

for any \( g \in G \).

Let \( I \subseteq A \) be an ideal such that there exist two characters \( \vartheta_1, \vartheta_2 : G \rightarrow (A/I)^\times \) such that

\[
\text{tr} \rho(g) \mod I = \vartheta_1(g) + \vartheta_2(g)
\]

for any \( g \in G \). Assume \( \vartheta_1 \mod m = \psi_1, \vartheta_2 \mod m = \psi_2 \) without loss of generality. Then for any \( g, g' \in G \), we have \( a(g), d(g) \in A, a(g) \mod I = \vartheta_1(g), d(g) \mod I = \vartheta_2(g) \), and \( b(g)c(g') \in I \).
Remark 3.2. If \( \text{char}(k) = 2 \), the statement holds assuming an extra condition on the determinate (cf. [3, Lemme 1]).

Definition 3.3. Let \((A, m, k)\) be a complete local domain such that \( \text{char}(k) \neq 2 \), where \( m \) is the maximal ideal of \( A \) and \( k \) the residue field. Let \( \rho : G \to \text{GL}_2(\text{Frac}(A)) \) be a linear representation of a group \( G \) satisfying \( \text{tr} \rho(G) \subset A \) and \( \text{tr}\rho \mod m = \psi_1 + \psi_2, \psi_1 \neq \psi_2 \), where \( \psi_1, \psi_2 : G \to k^\times \) are characters. For any \( g \in G \), write \( \rho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix} \) with respect to the basis taken as in Lemma 3.1. We define \( I(\rho) \) the ideal of \( A \) which is generated by \( b(g)c(g') \) for all \( g, g' \in G \).

The ideal \( I(\rho) \) is well-defined by Lemma 3.1. Under the above preparation, we are ready to determine \( \sharp L(\rho) \) of a \( p \)-adic representation \( \rho \).

Proposition 3.4. Let \((\mathcal{O}, \varpi, \mathcal{O}/(\varpi))\) be the ring of integers of a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \), where \( \varpi \) is a fixed uniformizer of \( \mathcal{O} \). Let \( V \) be a vector space of dimension 2 over \( K = \text{Frac}(\mathcal{O}) \) and \( \rho : G \to \text{Aut}_K(V) \) a continuous irreducible representation of a compact group \( G \).

Assume that
\[
\text{tr} \rho \mod \varpi = \psi_1 + \psi_2, \psi_1 \neq \psi_2,
\]
where \( \psi_1, \psi_2 : G \to (\mathcal{O}/\varpi)^\times \) are characters. Then we have
\[
\text{ord}_{\varpi} I(\rho) + 1 = \sharp X(\rho) = \sharp L(\rho).
\]

This proposition is a special case of Bellaïche-Graftieaux [5, Théorème 4.1.3] (see also the remark immediately after it), but we give the proof here for self-containing.

Proof. We first show \( \text{ord}_{\varpi} I(\rho) + 1 = \sharp X(\rho) \). Fix a \( g_0 \in G \) such that \( \psi_1(g_0) \neq \psi_2(g_0) \). The characteristic polynomial of \( \rho(g_0) \)
\[
X^2 - \text{tr} \rho(g_0)X + \text{det} \rho(g_0)
\]
has roots \( \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2 \) in \( A \) such that \( \lambda_i \mod \varpi = \psi_i(g_0) \) \( (i = 1, 2) \) by Hensel’s lemma. Choose a basis \( \{ e_1, e_2 \} \) of the representation \( \rho \) such that \( \rho(g_0)e_i = \lambda_i e_i \) \( (i = 1, 2) \). Write \( \rho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix} \) for all \( g \in G \). Let \( B \) be the module of \( \mathcal{O} \) generated by \( b(g) \) for all \( g \in G \). Since \( \rho \) is irreducible, we have \( B \neq (0) \).

Since \( \rho \) is continuous and \( G \) is compact, there exists a stable lattice. This implies \( B = (\varpi)^r \) for an integer \( r \).

If we replace \( \rho \) by \( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi^r \end{pmatrix} \rho \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varpi^r \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \) and we denote by the same symbol \( \rho \) for this new representation. Then we have the following properties for the new \( \rho \):

1. \( \rho \) takes values in \( \text{GL}_2(\mathcal{O}) \) by Lemma 3.1.

2. \( \rho(g_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \).

3. For any \( g \in G \), write \( \rho(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix} \). There exists a \( h \in G \) such that \( \varpi \nmid b(h) \).
We denote by the same symbol $B$ (resp. $C$) the ideal of $O$ which is generated by new $b(g)$ (resp. new $c(g)$) for all $g \in G$. Since $BC = I (\rho)$ by Lemma 3.1 and $B = O$ by (1), we must have $C = I (\rho) = (\varpi)^n$ for a positive integer $n$. This also means that we have chosen a stable lattice $T$ such that

$$\rho = \rho_T : G \to \text{GL}_2 (O)$$

and $T/\varpi T$ is not semi-simple. By reduction mod $(\varpi)^i$ ($i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$), we obtain the $G$-stable lattices $T_1, \cdots, T_n$ such that $[T_i] \neq [T_j]$ if $i \neq j$. Then $n + 1 = \text{ord}_\varpi I (\rho) + 1 \leq \sharp \mathcal{X} (\rho)$.

Let $\sharp \mathcal{X} (\rho) = m + 1$. We have $\mathcal{X} (\rho)$ is a segment $[x, x_m]$ by (6) of Proposition 2.2. Let $T, T_m$ be the representatives of $x, x_m$ such that $T_m \subset T$ and $T/T_m \cong O/(\varpi)^m$ as an $O$-module. Hence there exists a basis of $T$ such that $\rho_T : G \to \text{GL}_2 (O), g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix}$ satisfies $\varpi^m | c(g)$ for any $g \in G$. Then

$$a \text{ mod } \varpi^m : G \to (O/(\varpi)^m)^\times, g \mapsto a(g) \text{ mod } \varpi^m$$

and

$$d \text{ mod } \varpi^m : G \to (O/(\varpi)^m)^\times, g \mapsto d(g) \text{ mod } \varpi^m$$

are two characters. Thus $I (\rho) \subset (\varpi)^m$ by Lemma 3.1 and $\sharp \mathcal{X} (\rho) \leq \text{ord}_\varpi I (\rho) + 1$.

Next we prove $\sharp \mathcal{X} (\rho) = n + 1$. Since $\mathcal{X} (\rho)$ is a segment, by Section 2.1 there exist $T_0, T_1, \ldots, T_n$ the representatives of the points in $\mathcal{X} (\rho)$ such that

(i) $[T_i]$ is a neighbor of $[T_{i-1}]$ and $T_0/T_i \cong O/(\varpi)^i$ as an $O$-module for $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

(ii) $T_0, T_n$ are mod $\varpi$ not semi-simple lattices and the others are not.

Thus it is sufficient to show that for $i \neq j$, $T_i$ and $T_j$ are non-isomorphic to each other as $O[G]$-modules.

1. Suppose we have $f : T_0 \sim T_n$ as $O[G]$-modules. Then $\varpi T_n \subset f (T_1) \subset T_n$ since $[T_1]$ is a neighbor of $[T_0]$. Since $T_n$ is a mod $\varpi$ not semi-simple lattice, we have $f (T_1) = \varpi T_{n-1}$ by (3) of Proposition 2.2. Hence

$$T_1/\varpi T_0 \cong \varpi T_{n-1}/\varpi T_n \cong O/(\varpi)[\psi_1] \text{ (resp. } O/(\varpi)[\psi_2])$$

as an $O[G]$-module. Thus there is no mod $\varpi$ not semi-simple stable lattice $T$ such that $T/\varpi T$ has a submodule which is isomorphic to $O/(\varpi)[\psi_1]$ (resp. $O/(\varpi)[\psi_2]$). This contradicts to the Ribet’s lemma (Proposition 1.2).

2. Suppose we have $f : T_i \sim T_j$ as $O[G]$-modules for some $0 < i < j < n$. Since $T_0$ is a mod $\varpi$ not semi-simple lattice and $T_0, T_n$ are non-isomorphic as $O[G]$-modules, we have $f (\varpi T_0) = \varpi^{i-1} T_j$. Hence

$$O/(\varpi)^i \cong T_0/T_i \cong T_0/\varpi^{i-1} T_j$$

as an $O$-module. This implies $d ([T_0], [T_j]) = i$. On the other hand, $[T_0]$ is an edge of the segment $\mathcal{X} (\rho)$, there exists an unique point $y \in \mathcal{X} (\rho)$ such that $d ([T_0], y) = i$. This contradicts to $i \neq j$. 
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Now we give an example by using Proposition 3.4 to determine $\# L(\rho_f)$, where $\rho_f$ is the Galois representation attached to a normalized Hecke eigen cusp form $f$. Let $\Delta \in S_{12}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))$ be the Ramanujan’s cusp form, whose $q$-expansion is equal to $q \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n)^{24} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \tau(n) q^n$ and

$$\rho_\Delta : \text{Gal} (\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$$

the Galois representation attached to $\Delta$.

**Proposition 3.5.** The ideal $I(\rho_\Delta) \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$ defined as in Definition 3.3 is the minimal ideal such that there exists an integer $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for any prime $l \neq p$,

$$\tau(l) \equiv l^a + l^{11 - a} \mod I(\rho_\Delta).$$

**Proof.** We denote by $\mathbb{Q}_{[p, \infty]}$ be the maximal Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ which is unramified outside $\{p, \infty\}$ and by $G_{[p, \infty]} = \text{Gal} (\mathbb{Q}_{[p, \infty]}/\mathbb{Q})$. Since $\rho_\Delta$ is unramified outside $\{p, \infty\}$, $\rho_\Delta$ must factor through $G_{[p, \infty]}$.

Let $\psi_1, \psi_2 : G_{[p, \infty]} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}_p/I(\rho_\Delta))^\times$ be the character such that

$$\text{tr}_{\rho} \mod I(\rho_\Delta) = \psi_1 + \psi_2.$$

Since $\rho_\Delta$ is unramified outside $p$, $\psi_1$ and $\psi_2$ must factor through Gal($\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n})/\mathbb{Q}$) by class field theory. Thus $\psi_1$ and $\psi_2$ must be power of mod $I(\rho_\Delta)$ $p$-adic cyclotomic character $\chi_{\text{cyc}}$ mod $I(\rho_\Delta)$. For the geometric Frobenius element Frobenius with prime $l \neq p$, we have $\chi_{\text{cyc}}(\text{Frob}_l) = l$ and $\det(\text{Frob}_l) = l^{11}$. Thus the proposition follows by the Chebotarev’s density theorem.

Serre and Swinnerton-Dyer showed that $\bar{\rho}_\Delta$ is reducible if and only if $p = 2, 3, 5, 7$ and 691 (see [19, Corollary to Theorem 4]). [20] also showed the congruence mod $p^n$ for $p = 3, 5, 7$ and 691 (see [20], page 77 for $p = 691$, Theorem 4 for $p = 5, 7$ and the table after Theorem 6 for $p = 3$). Then combined with our arguments, we have the following table for odd primes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$p$</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>691</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$# L(\rho_\Delta)$</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2 The relation between $I(\rho_{\bar{F}})$ and the Iwasawa power series

Let us take an $I$-adic normalized Hecke eigen cusp form $\mathcal{F}$. Let the notations and the assumptions be as in Theorem 1.5. We denote by $\gamma$ a topological generator of Gal($\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}$) such that $\kappa_{\text{cyc}}(\gamma) = u$ and by $\kappa$ the universal cyclotomic character as follows:

$$\kappa : \text{Gal} (\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \leftrightarrow \Lambda^\kappa_1,$$
where $1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \Lambda_X$ is the homomorphism defined by sending $u$ to $1 + X$.

Write $\eta = \det \rho_{\mathcal{F}}$ for short. By Theorem 2.7, we have

$$\rho_{\mathcal{F}} \mid_{D_p} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & 0 \\ * & \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

with $\varepsilon_1$ unramified. Recall that $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(m) \equiv \eta_1 \oplus \eta_2$. Then for any $g \in D_p$, \{ $\eta_1(g), \eta_2(g)$ \} are the set of the roots of the mod $m$ characteristic polynomial of $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(g) \colon X^2 - \text{tr} \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(g)X + \det \rho_{\mathcal{F}}(g) \mod m$, hence they must be coincide. Thus $\eta_1 = \eta_1 \mid_{D_p}$ and $\eta_2 = \eta_2 \mid_{D_p}$ under the assumption that $\eta_1$ (resp. $\eta_2$) is unramified (resp. ramified). We denote by $I_p$ the inertia group of $p$ and we choose a $g_0 \in I_p$ such that $\eta_1(g_0) \neq \eta_2(g_0)$.

Let $\{\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2\}$ be a basis of $\text{Frac}(\mathbb{L})^{\oplus 2}$ such that

$$\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(g_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon_2(g_0) \end{pmatrix}, \rho_{\mathcal{F}} \mid_{D_p} = \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 & 0 \\ * & \varepsilon_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

Write $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix}$ for any $g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. We have $a(g), d(g)$ and $b(g)c(g') \in \mathbb{I}$ for any $g, g' \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ by Lemma 3.1. Recall that $I(\rho_{\mathcal{F}})$ is the ideal of $I$ generated by $b(g)c(g')$ for all $g, g' \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Since $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}(m)$ is reducible, we have $I(\rho_{\mathcal{F}}) \subseteq m$ by Lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 3.6.** Let us take the basis of $\text{Frac}(\mathbb{L})^{\oplus 2}$ to be the same as the beginning of this section. For any $\varphi \in X_1$, let $\bar{\varphi}$ be a fixed uniformizer of $\varphi(1)$. Then

$$\text{ord}_{\varphi}(\varphi(I(\rho_{\mathcal{F}}))) + 1 = \mathcal{L}(\rho_{\mathcal{F}}).$$

**Proof.** For any $\varphi \in X_1$, we denote by $\mathcal{P} = \text{Ker} \varphi$ and by $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}}$ the localization of $\mathbb{I}$ at $\mathcal{P}$. Then $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a discrete valuation ring with $\theta$ a fixed uniformizer of $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}}$.

For the Galois representation

$$\rho_{\mathcal{P}} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}} \text{GL}_2(\text{Frac}(\mathbb{L})) = \text{GL}_2(\text{Frac}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}})),$$

let $B$ be the $\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}}$-submodule of $\text{Frac}(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}})$ generated by $b(g)$ for all $g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. Since $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}$ is irreducible, $B \neq (0)$. Since $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}$ is continuous, by Definition 2.5 there exists a lattice $\mathcal{T} \subset \text{Frac}(\mathbb{L})^{\oplus 2}$ which is stable under $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$-action. Then $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{P}} = \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}}$ is a stable lattice of $\rho_{\mathcal{F}}$ and $\text{Im} \rho_{\mathcal{F}}$ is bounded. This implies $B = (\theta)^n$ for an integer $n$.

We replace $\rho_{\mathcal{P}}$ by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^n \end{pmatrix} \rho_{\mathcal{P}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & \theta^n \end{pmatrix}^{-1}$ and we denote by the same symbol $\rho_{\mathcal{P}}$ for this new Galois representation. Then $\text{Im} \rho_{\mathcal{F}} \subset \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}})$ for new $\rho_{\mathcal{P}}$. We also denote by the same symbol $a(g), b(g), c(g), d(g)$ such that $\rho_{\mathcal{P}}(g) = \begin{pmatrix} a(g) & b(g) \\ c(g) & d(g) \end{pmatrix}$ for all $g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$.

We denote by $\rho_{\varphi}$ the Galois representation

$$\rho_{\varphi} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\varphi} \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\varphi(\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{P}})), g \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a_{\varphi}(g) & b_{\varphi}(g) \\ c_{\varphi}(g) & d_{\varphi}(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

and by $\rho_{f_{\varphi}}$ the Galois representation associated to $f_{\varphi}$. Since $\text{tr} \rho_{f_{\varphi}}(\text{Frob}_l) = \text{tr} \rho_{f_{\varphi}}(\text{Frob}_l)$ and $\det \rho_{f_{\varphi}}(\text{Frob}_l) = \det \rho_{f_{\varphi}}(\text{Frob}_l)$ for all primes $l \nmid Np$, we have
\( \rho_{\chi}^a \cong \rho_{\beta}^a \) by the Chebotarev density theorem. Since \( \rho_{\beta}^a \) is irreducible, so is \( \rho_{\chi}^a \). Thus \( \rho_{\chi} \cong \rho_{\beta}^a \). Since \( a_{\chi}(g) = \varphi(a(g)), d_{\beta}(g) = \varphi(d(g)), b_{\beta}(g)c_{\beta}(g) = \varphi(b(g)c(g)) \) and \( a_{\chi}(g_0) \not\equiv d_{\beta}(g_0) \pmod{\pi_{\chi}} \), we have \( I(\rho_{\beta}) = \varphi(I(\rho_{\chi})) \) by the definition of \( I(\rho_{\beta}) \). Thus the statement follows from Proposition 3.4.

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.7.** Let us take the basis of \( \text{Frac}(\mathbb{I})^{\otimes 2} \) to be the same as the beginning of this section and let \( \eta_1 = \chi_1, \eta_2 = \chi_2 \). Let \( J \) be the ideal of I generated by \( \text{tr}_{\rho_{\chi}}(g) - \eta_1(g) - \eta_2(g) \) for all \( g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \) and \( J' \) the ideal generated by \( a(g) - \eta_1(g) \) for all \( g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \). Then we have the following statements:

1. \( I(\rho_{\chi}) = J = J' \).
2. Suppose \( N = 1 \). Then \( \rho_{\chi}(m) \equiv \overline{1} \oplus \overline{\chi}, \) where \( \overline{1} \) is the trivial character.

**Proof.** We first show \( J = J' \). Since \( \text{tr}_{\rho_{\chi}} \equiv \eta_1 + \eta_2 \pmod{J}, a(g) \equiv \eta_1(g) \pmod{J} \) or \( a(g) \equiv \eta_2(g) \pmod{J} \) for all \( g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \) by Lemma 3.1. Since the character \( a \pmod{m} = \overline{\chi} \) is unramified at \( p \), we have \( a(g) \equiv \eta_1(g) \pmod{J} \) for all \( g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \) and \( J \). This implies \( J' \subset J \). We also have \( J \subset J' \) since

\[ \text{tr}_{\rho_{\chi}}(g) - \eta_1(g) - \eta_2(g) = (a(g) - \eta_1(g)) + (a(g^{-1}) - \eta_1(g^{-1}))\eta_2(g) \in J' \]

Now we prove \( I(\rho_{\chi}) \subset J = J' \). Let \( K \) be the abelian extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \) corresponding to

\[ \ker\left[ a \pmod{I(\rho_{\chi})} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{I}/I(\rho_{\chi}))^\times, \ g \mapsto a(g) \pmod{I(\rho_{\chi})} \right] \]

We denote by \( \tilde{a} : \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{I}/I(\rho_{\chi}))^\times \) the induced homomorphism. For all \( g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}), \) we denote by \( \overline{g} \) the image of \( g \) under \( \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q}) \).

Write \( a(g) = \eta_1(g)(1 + m(g)) \) where \( m(g) \in \mathfrak{m} \). Then \( \tilde{a}(\overline{g}) = \eta_1(g) \pmod{I(\rho_{\chi})} \). Note that \( a \pmod{I(\rho_{\chi})} \) is unramified outside \( N \) by the equation (1), hence \( K \) is a subfield of \( \mathbb{Q}(\mu_N) \) by class field theory. On the other hand, the kernel of the map \( (\mathbb{I}/I(\rho_{\chi}))^\times \rightarrow (\mathbb{I}/\mathfrak{m})^\times \) is a pro-p group, thus \( (1 + m(g)) \pmod{I(\rho_{\chi})} \) must be trivial under the assumption \( p \nmid \phi(N) \). This implies \( \eta_1(g) \equiv a(g) \pmod{I(\rho_{\chi})} \), hence \( J' \subset I(\rho_{\chi}) \). Specially when \( N = 1 \), we have that \( a \pmod{I(\rho_{\chi})} \) is an unramified character. Thus \( a \pmod{I(\rho_{\chi})} \) is trivial by class field theory.

\[ \square \]

**Lemma 3.7** tells us that \( I(\rho_{\chi}) \) is a closed ideal in \( \mathbb{I} \) under the \( \mathfrak{m} \)-adic topology.

**Proposition 3.8.** Let us take the basis of \( \text{Frac}(\mathbb{I})^{\otimes 2} \) to be the same as at the beginning of this section. Let \( L_{\infty}, L_{\infty}(Np) \) be the maximal unramified abelian \( p \)-extension of \( \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^{\infty}}) \) and the maximal abelian \( p \)-extension unramified outside \( Np \) of \( \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^{\infty}}) \). We denote by \( X_{\infty} = \text{Gal}(L_{\infty}/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^{\infty}})) \) and by \( Y_{\infty} = \text{Gal}(L_{\infty}(Np)/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^{\infty}})) \) on which \( \Delta_{Np} = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^{\infty}})/\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}) \) acts by conjugation. Then we have the following statements:

1. \( \tilde{G}_{X_{\infty}^{-1}X_{\infty}}(X) \subset I(\rho_{\chi}) \).
2. Suppose the \( A_{X_{\infty}^{-1}X_{\infty}} \)-modules \( X_{\infty}^{-1}X_{\infty} \) and \( Y_{\infty}^{-1}X_{\infty} \) are cyclic. Then \( I(\rho_{\chi}) \) is principal.
Proof. Recall that \( \kappa \) is the character \( \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty / \mathbb{Q}) \sim 1 + p \mathbb{Z}_p \to A_\kappa \). Let \( \eta_1 = \chi_1 \) and \( \eta_2 = \chi_2 \kappa \cdot \eta_1 \). We have that \( \eta_1(g) \equiv a(g) \mod I(\rho_\mathcal{F}) \) and \( \eta_2(g) \equiv d(g) \mod I(\rho_\mathcal{F}) \) for all \( g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \) by Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.7. We prove the proposition by using Wiles’ construction (cf. [24, Section 6]) of an unramified extension \( \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{NP^\infty}) \).

Let \( B \) (resp. \( C \)) be an \( \mathfrak{l} \)-submodule of \( \text{Frac}(\mathfrak{l}) \) generated by \( b(g) \) (resp. \( c(g) \)) for all \( g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \). Since \( c(g)B \) and \( b(g)C \) are ideals of \( \mathfrak{l} \) for all \( g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \) by Lemma 3.1, \( B \) and \( C \) are finitely generated. We denote by \( b \) the function

\[
b : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \to B, \ g \mapsto b(g)
\]

and we endow \( B \) with the \( \mathfrak{m} \)-adic topology.

Claim 1. \( b \) is continuous.

Proof. Since \( \rho_\mathcal{F} \) is continuous, by Definition 2.5 there exists a lattice \( \mathcal{T} \subset \text{Frac}(\mathfrak{l})^{\oplus 2} \) which is stable under \( \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \)-action such that \( \rho_\mathcal{F} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{Aut}_1(\mathcal{T}) \) is continuous with respect to the \( \mathfrak{m} \)-adic topology of \( \text{Aut}_1(\mathcal{T}) \). We denote by \( V_i = \text{Frac}(\mathfrak{l})e_i \) and by \( \mathcal{T}_i = \mathcal{T} \cap V_i \ (i = 1, 2) \). Then \( \rho_\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{T}_i) \subset \mathcal{T} \). For any \( xe_1 \in \mathcal{T}_1 \) and \( ye_2 \in \mathcal{T}_2 \), we have

\[
\rho_\mathcal{F}(g)(xe_1) = a(g)xe_1 + c(g)ye_2,
\]

\[
\rho_\mathcal{F}(g)(ye_2) = b(g)ye_1 + d(g)ye_2.
\]

Since \( a(g) \in \mathfrak{l} \) by Lemma 3.1, \( (a(g)xe_1) \in \mathcal{T} \cap V_1 = \mathcal{T}_1 \) and \( (c(g)ye_2) = \rho_\mathcal{F}(g)(xe_1) - a(g)xe_1 \in \mathcal{T} \cap V_2 = \mathcal{T}_2 \). We also have \( b(g)ye_1 \in \mathcal{T}_1 \) by the same argument. This implies that \( \mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_2 \) is also a stable lattice of \( \text{Frac}(\mathfrak{l})^{\oplus 2} \).

We replace \( \mathcal{T} \) with \( \mathcal{T}_1 \oplus \mathcal{T}_2 \). The representation \( \rho_\mathcal{F} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \to \text{Aut}_1(\mathcal{T}) \) is also continuous by the Artin-Rees lemma. We may regard \( B \) as an \( \mathfrak{l} \)-submodule of \( \text{Hom}_\mathfrak{l}(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_1) \) via the injective homomorphism as follows:

\[
B \hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_\mathfrak{l}(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_1), \ b(g) \mapsto b(g)(ye_2) = b(g) \cdot ye_1
\]

for all \( ye_2 \in \mathcal{T}_2 \). Then \( b \) is the following map:

\[
\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q})^* \cong \text{Aut}_1(\mathcal{T}) \to \text{Hom}_\mathfrak{l}(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_1).
\]

The homomorphism \( \text{Aut}_1(\mathcal{T}) \to \text{Hom}_\mathfrak{l}(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}_1) \) is continuous under the \( \mathfrak{m} \)-adic topology, hence \( b \) is continuous.

Define \( \overline{b} \) the following homomorphism:

\[
\overline{b} : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{NP^\infty})) \xrightarrow{b} B \twoheadrightarrow B / I(\rho_\mathcal{F})B.
\]

Let \( N_\infty \) be the abelian extension of \( \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{NP^\infty}) \) corresponding to \( \text{Ker} \overline{b} \) and we denote by the same symbol \( \overline{b} \)

\[
\overline{b} : G = \text{Gal}(N_\infty / \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{NP^\infty})) \hookrightarrow B / I(\rho_\mathcal{F})B.
\]

(2)

For any \( h \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}) \) and \( g \in \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}} / \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{NP^\infty})) \), a matrix calculation shows that

\[
\overline{b}(hgh^{-1}) = \eta_1 \eta_2^{-1}(h) \overline{b}(g).
\]
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Let \( \gamma \) be a topological generator of \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_N)) \) which is sent to \( \gamma \) under the canonical isomorphism \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_N)) \to \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}) \). The above arguments tell us that \( \overline{b}(G) \) is a \( \Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}} \)-module under the surjection

\[
\mathbb{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})]] \cong \Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}}, \quad u^{-1}\gamma^{-1} \mapsto 1 + X
\]

and \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_\infty) \) acts on \( \overline{b}(G) \) via \( \chi_2^{-1} \).

**Claim 2.** The canonical homomorphism \( \overline{b}(G) \otimes_{\Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}}} \mathbb{I} \to B/I(\rho_\mathbb{F})B \) is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** The injectivity follows from the assumption that \( \mathbb{I} \) is flat over \( \Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}} \) by applying the base extension \( \otimes_{\Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}}} \mathbb{I} \) to the equation (2). For any \( g \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Q}) \), consider the commutator \([g, g_0] \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^\infty}))\) we have

\[
\overline{b}([g, g_0]) = \frac{\lambda - 1}{\lambda} \eta_2(g)^{-1} \overline{b}(g),
\]

where \( \lambda = \varepsilon_2(g_0) \). Since \( \lambda \neq 1 \mod m \), we have \( \overline{b}([g, g_0]) \otimes \eta_2(g) \lambda \mathbb{I} = \overline{b}(G) \otimes_{\Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}}} \mathbb{I} \). This completes the proof of Claim 2. \( \square \)

\( N_\infty/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^\infty}) \) is unramified at \( p \) by the equation (1). Since the conductor of \( \chi_1 \chi_2^{-1} \) is \( Np \) under the condition (D), \( N_\infty/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^\infty}) \) is also unramified at the primes dividing \( N \) by class field theory (see the proof of [24, Lemma 6.1]). Thus \( N_\infty/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^\infty}) \) is everywhere unramified.

We fix the Iwasawa-Serre isomorphism as follows:

\[
\mathbb{Z}_p[\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1}][[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_N))]] \xrightarrow{\sim} \Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}}, \gamma \mapsto u^{-1}(1 + X)^{-1}. \tag{3}
\]

Then we have the following \( \mathbb{I} \)-homomorphisms:

\[
X^{\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1}} \otimes_{\Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}}} \mathbb{I} \to \overline{b}(G) \otimes_{\Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}}} \mathbb{I} \xrightarrow{\sim} B/I(\rho_\mathbb{F})B. \tag{4}
\]

By taking the Fitting ideal, we have the inclusion relation as follows:

\[
\text{Fitt}_{\Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}}}(X^{\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1}}) \mathbb{I} = \text{Fitt}_2(X^{\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1}} \otimes_{\Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}}} \mathbb{I}) \subset \text{Fitt}_2(B/I(\rho_\mathbb{F})B) \subset I(\rho_\mathbb{F}).
\]

By the Iwasawa main conjecture (Theorem of Mazur-Wiles) we have

\[
\text{Fitt}_{\Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}}}(X^{\chi_1 \chi_2^{-1}}) = G_{\chi_2^{-1} \chi_2}(u^2(1 + X) - 1)\Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}} = \text{Fitt}_{\Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}}}(X) \Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}}.
\]

Thus \( \text{Fitt}_{\Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}}}(X) \subset I(\rho_\mathbb{F}) \). This completes the proof of (1) of the proposition.

Similarly, we denote by \( M_\infty(Np) \) the abelian extension of \( \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^\infty}) \) corresponding to

\[
\ker(\tau : \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^\infty})) \to C/I(\rho_\mathbb{F})C, \ g \mapsto \tau(g))
\]

and by \( H = \text{Gal}(M_\infty(Np)/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^\infty})) \). Then \( \tau(H) \) is a \( \Lambda_{1, \chi_2^{-1}} \)-module under the surjection
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and the map $\mathfrak{p}(H) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\chi^{-1} \chi_2}} \mathfrak{I} \to C/I(\rho,F)C$ induced by $\mathfrak{p}$ is an isomorphism by \cite[Theorem 4.1]{24}. This implies the same arguments as in Claim 2. Hence we have the surjective homomorphism as follows:

$$Y_{\infty^{-1} \chi_2} \otimes_{\Lambda_{\chi^{-1} \chi_2}} \mathfrak{I} \twoheadrightarrow C/I(\rho,F)C.$$  

Note that in the equation (5), we endowed $Y_{\infty^{-1} \chi_2}$ with the $\Lambda_{\chi^{-1} \chi_2}$-module structure under the isomorphism as follows:

$$Z_p[\chi_2^{-1}] \otimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{Np^\infty})/\mathbb{Q})] \xrightarrow{\eta_1^{-1}, \eta_2} \Lambda_{\chi^{-1} \chi_2}, \ u^{-1} \gamma \mapsto 1 + X.$$  

By the equations (4) and (5), there exists a $g_B \in X_\infty$ (resp. $g_C \in Y_\infty$) such that $B/I(\rho,F)B$ (resp. $C/I(\rho,F)C$) is generated by $\beta(g_B)$ (resp. $\beta(g_C)$). By Nakayama’s lemma, $B$ (resp. $C$) is generated by $b(g_B)$ (resp. $c(g_C)$) over $\mathfrak{I}$. This implies $I(\rho,F) = BC = (b(g_B) c(g_C))$.

Define the Eisenstein ideal $I(\chi, \mathfrak{I})$ the ideal of $T(\chi, \mathfrak{I})$ which is generated by $T(l) - 1 - \eta(Frob_l)$ for all primes $l \neq p$ and $T(p) - 1$.

**Corollary 3.9.** Let the assumptions and the notations be as in Theorem 1.5. Assume the condition (R). We have $I(\rho,F) = \hat{G}_\chi(X) \mathfrak{I}$.

**Proof.** Since $T(\chi, \mathfrak{I}) = T(\chi, \Lambda_{\chi}) \otimes_{\Lambda_{\chi}} \mathfrak{I}$, $T(\chi, \mathfrak{I})$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{I}$ by the assumption (R). Since $N = 1$, $I(\rho,F)$ is generated by $c(l, \mathcal{F}) - 1 - \eta(Frob_l)$ for all primes $l \neq p$ by Lemma 3.7 and the Chebotarev density theorem. We also have $c(p, \mathcal{F}) - 1 = e_1(Frob_p) - 1 = a(Frob_p) - 1 \in I(\rho,F)$ by Theorem 2.7 and Lemma 3.7. Thus the canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{I} \to T(\chi, \mathfrak{I})$ sends $I(\rho,F)$ to the Eisenstein ideal $I(\chi, \mathfrak{I})$. On the other hand, the canonical homomorphism

$$\mathfrak{I}/\hat{G}_\chi(X) \mathfrak{I} \twoheadrightarrow T(\chi, \mathfrak{I})/(I(\chi, \mathfrak{I}), \hat{G}_\chi(X))$$

is an isomorphism by \cite[Theorem 4.1]{24}. This implies $I(\rho,F) \subset \hat{G}_\chi(X) \mathfrak{I}$. Hence they must be coincide by Proposition 3.8.

The next corollary is obviously deduced from (2) of Proposition 3.8.

**Corollary 3.10.** Let the assumptions and the notations be as in Theorem 1.5. Assume the conditions (C) and (P). We have $I(\rho,F) = \hat{G}_\chi(X) \mathfrak{I}$.

Now we prove Theorem 1.5.

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** For any $\varphi \in X_1$, let $\varphi_\varphi$ be a fixed uniformizer of $\varphi(\mathfrak{I})$. Then

$$\text{ord}_{\varphi_\varphi}(\varphi(I(\rho,F))) \leq \text{ord}_{\varphi_\varphi}(\hat{G}_{\chi^{-1} \chi_2}(\zeta_\varphi u^{k_\varphi - 2} - 1))$$

by (1) of Proposition 3.8. Since $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2 \omega$, the character $\chi_{\zeta_\varphi} \chi^{-1} \chi_2 \omega$ does not factor through $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_\infty/\mathbb{Q})$. Thus

$$L_p(1 - k_\varphi, \chi_{\zeta_\varphi} \chi^{-1} \chi_2 \omega) = \hat{G}_{\chi^{-1} \chi_2}(\zeta_\varphi u^{k_\varphi - 2} - 1)$$
by Section 2.3. Combine Proposition 3.4 and Lemma 3.6 we have
\[ \text{ord}_{\varphi}(\varphi(I(\rho_\varphi))) + 1 = \sharp L(\rho_{f_\varphi}), \]
then
\[ \sharp L(\rho_{f_\varphi}) \leq \text{ord}_{\varphi}(L_p(1 - k_\varphi, \chi_\varphi, \chi_1^{-1}\chi_2\omega)) + 1. \]

If we assume the condition (R) or both of the conditions (C) and (P), we have \( I(\rho_\varphi) = G_{\chi_1^{-1}\chi_2}(X) \) by Corollary 3.9 and Corollary 3.10. Then
\[ \sharp L(\rho_{f_\varphi}) = \text{ord}_{\varphi}(L_p(1 - k_\varphi, \chi_\varphi, \chi_1^{-1}\chi_2\omega)) + 1. \]
Specially when (R) satisfied, \( \chi_1 = 1 \) and \( \chi_2 = \chi \) by Lemma 3.7. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5.

### 3.3 Discussion of the Variation of \( \sharp L(\rho_{f_\varphi}) \) by Means of \( L_p \)

We use the following lemma to prove Corollary 1.6.

**Lemma 3.11.** Let \( \mathcal{O} \) be the ring of integers of a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) and \( F(X) \in \mathcal{O}[X] \) a distinguished polynomial. Then there exists an integer \( r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) such that for any \( (k, \zeta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times (\mu_{p^r} \setminus \mu_{p^{r'}}) \),
\[ \text{ord}_p(F(\zeta u^k - 1)) = \frac{\deg F(X)}{(p - 1)p^{r' - 1}}, \]
where \( \zeta \) is a primitive \( p^{r'} \)-th root of unity.

**Proof.** Decompose
\[ F(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(X - \alpha_i) \]
and choose an integer \( r \geq 0 \) such that \( \text{ord}_p(\alpha_i) > \frac{1}{(p - 1)p^{r'}} \) for any \( \alpha_i \). Then for any \( (k, \zeta) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times (\mu_{p^r} \setminus \mu_{p^{r'}}) \), we have
\[ \text{ord}_p(\zeta u^k - 1 - \alpha_i) = \text{ord}_p(\zeta(\exp(k \cdot \log(u)) - 1) + (\zeta - 1) - \alpha_i) \]
\[ = \frac{1}{(p - 1)p^{r' - 1}}, \]
where \( \exp \) and \( \log \) are the \( p \)-adic exponential and logarithm functions. Thus
\[ \text{ord}_p(F(\zeta u^k - 1)) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{ord}_p(\zeta u^k - 1 - \alpha_i) = \frac{\deg F(X)}{(p - 1)p^{r' - 1}}. \]

Let us return to the proof of Corollary 1.6.
Proof of Corollary 1.6. For (1) it is sufficient to show that there exists an \( r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) such that for any \( \varphi \in \mathfrak{X}_1^{(r)} \),

\[
\text{ord}_{\varphi}(L_p(1 - k_{\varphi}, \chi_{\varphi} \chi_1^{-1} \chi_2 \omega)) \leq \text{rank}_{\Lambda_\chi} I \cdot \text{deg} \hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}^*(X).
\]

Since \( \hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}^*(X) \) is not divisible by a uniformizer of \( \mathcal{O}_p[\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2] \) by the Ferrero-Washington’s theorem [7], the Weierstrass preparation theorem enables one to decompose

\[
\hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}^*(X) = \hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}^*(X)U(X),
\]

where \( \hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}^*(X) \) is a distinguished polynomial and \( U(X) \) a unit in \( \Lambda_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2} \). We apply Lemma 3.11 to \( \hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}^*(X) \). Then there exists an \( r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) such that for any \( \varphi \in \mathfrak{X}_1^{(r)} \),

\[
\text{ord}_{\varphi}(\varphi (\hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}^*(X))) = \frac{\text{deg} \hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}^*(X)}{(p - 1)p^{r+s-1}},
\]

where \( \varphi \mid_{\Lambda_\chi} = \nu_{\varphi, \chi_\varphi} \) and \( \chi_\varphi \) is a primitive \( p^{r+s} \)-th root of unity such that \( r_\varphi > r \).

Let us take a \( \varphi \in \mathfrak{X}_1^{(r)} \). For the extension of the discrete valuation rings \( \varphi(I) \supset \mathbb{Z}_p[\chi][\zeta_\varphi] \), since \( \text{Frac}(\varphi(I)) : \text{Frac}(\mathbb{Z}_p[\chi][\zeta_\varphi]) \leq \text{rank}_{\Lambda_\chi} I \), so is the ramification index \( e_\varphi \). Since \( r_\varphi > 0 \), the ramification index in the extension \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\chi][\zeta_\varphi] \supset \mathbb{Z}_p \) is \( (p - 1)p^{r+s-1} \). Then by the equation (6), we have

\[
\text{ord}_{\varphi}(L_p(1 - k_{\varphi}, \chi_{\varphi} \chi_1^{-1} \chi_2 \omega)) = \frac{\text{deg} \hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}^*(X)}{(p - 1)p^{r+s-1}}.
\]

This completes the proof of (1) of Corollary 1.6 and (2) is easily deduced from (1).

Now we assume that \( I \) is isomorphic to \( \mathcal{O}[[X]] \) with \( \mathcal{O} \) the ring of integers of a finite extension \( K \) of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \). We choose a uniformizer \( \varpi \) of \( \mathcal{O} \). Let \( f_1(X), \ldots, f_m(X) \) be the generators of \( I(\rho, \varphi) \). For each \( i = 1, \ldots, m \), decompose

\[
f_i(X) = \varpi^{\mu_i} P_i(X)U_i(X),
\]

where \( P_i(X) \) is a distinguished polynomial and \( U_i(X) \) a unit in \( \mathcal{O}[[X]] \). Let

\[
F(X) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} P_i(X).
\]

We apply Lemma 3.11 to \( F(X) \). Then there exists an \( r_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) such that for any \( \varphi \in \mathfrak{X}_1^{(r_1)} \),

\[
\text{ord}_{\varphi}(f_i(X)) = \mu_i \text{ord}_{\varphi} \varpi + \frac{\text{deg} P_i(X)}{(p - 1)p^{r+s-1}}.
\]
Then the argument above also holds, i.e. there exists a constant \( e \) such that \( \text{ord} \leq 1 \). We fix a \( K \) index of \( \cap \) and let us take an \( \cap \K \), we may enlarge \( \cap \) to \( \cap \) since \( \cap \cap = \cap \cap \) for \( \varphi \in \mathfrak{X}_2 \). Thus 

\[ \text{ord} \geq l. \]

Let \( r' = \max \{ r_1, r_2, r_3 \} \) and let us take a \( \varphi \in \mathfrak{X}_2 \). Then we have 

\[ \text{ord} = \min \{ \text{ord} \varphi (f_i(X)) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \} + 1. \]  

Since \( \mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}_2} \cap \cap I (\varphi) \) and \( \varphi \mid \mathcal{G}_{\mathfrak{X}_2} \), we have that 

\[ \mathcal{Z} = \{ i = 1, \cdots, n \mid \mu_i = 0 \} \]

is nonempty. Let 

\[ l = \min \{ \deg P_i(X) \mid i \in \mathcal{Z} \} \]

and let us take an \( r_3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \) such that for any \( i \notin \mathcal{Z} \), 

\[ (p - 1)p^{r_s - 1} \mu_i \text{ord}_P \varphi + \deg P_i(X) \geq l. \]  

(8)

Let \( r' = \max \{ r_1, r_2, r_3 \} \) and let us take a \( \varphi \in \mathfrak{X}_2 \). Then we have 

\[ \text{ord} = \min \{ \text{ord} \varphi (f_i(X)) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \} = el \]  

for each \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) by the equation (7). Thus 

\[ \text{ord} = \min \{ \text{ord} \varphi (f_i(X)) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \} = el \]  

by the equation (8). Combine the equation (9) and (11), we have that \( \mathcal{L} (\rho_{f_\varphi}) = el + 1 \) is constant. This completes the proof of (3) of Corollary 1.6.

Now we assume the condition (R) or both of the conditions (C) and (P). We have 

\[ \mathcal{L} (\rho_{f_\varphi}) = \text{ord} (L_p (1 - k_\varphi, \zeta \chi_1^{-1} \chi_2 \omega)) + 1 \]  

by (2) and (3) of Theorem 1.5. We fix a \( \zeta \in \mu_{p^\infty} \). First we assume that \( L_p (1 - s, \chi \chi_1^{-1} \chi_2 \omega) \) has a zero \( s_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p \). Let \( \{ k_n \} \) be the sequence defined as follows:

(i) \( k_n = s_0 + p^n \) if \( s_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \),

(ii) \( k_n = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i p^i \) if \( s_0 = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i p^i \) such that \( 0 \leq a_i \leq p - 1 \) and \( s_0 \notin \mathbb{Z} \).

Then \( \mathcal{L} (\rho_{f_\varphi}) \) is unbounded when \( k_\varphi \) runs over the sequence \( \{ k_n \} \).

Now we suppose that \( L_p (s, \chi \chi_1^{-1} \chi_2 \omega) \) has no zero in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) and we prove that \( \text{ord} (L_p (1 - k_\varphi, \chi \chi_1^{-1} \chi_2 \omega)) \) is bounded by contradiction. Suppose that
ord_\varphi \left( L_p \left( 1 - k_\varphi, \chi \xi_1^{-1} \chi_2 \omega \right) \right) is unbounded. Then there exists a sequence \( \{k_n\} \) such that \( k_n \geq 2 \) and
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} L_p \left( 1 - k_n, \chi \xi_1^{-1} \chi_2 \omega \right) = 0.
\]
Since \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) is compact and \( L_p \) is a continuous function, \( L_p \left( s, \chi \xi_1^{-1} \chi_2 \omega \right) \) must have zero in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) which contradicts to our assumption. Hence \( \sharp \mathcal{L}(\rho_{f_+}) \) is bounded. This completes the proof of (4) of Corollary 1.6.

3.4 Proof of Corollary 1.7

We denote by \( F \) the residue field \( \mathbb{Z}/m \). The following lemma is a generalization of the arguments in [11, Appendix I] for more general settings.

**Lemma 3.12.** Let the assumptions and the notations be as in Theorem 1.5. Assume the conditions (D), (C), (P) and (F). Let \( T \) be a stable lattice which is free over \( \mathbb{Z} \). Then \( T \otimes_1 \varphi(\mathbb{Z}) \) is a mod \( \varphi \) not semi-simple lattice for any \( \varphi \in \mathcal{X}_1 \).

**Proof.** We have \( I(\rho_{f_+}) = \hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}(X) \mathbb{Z} \) under the conditions (D), (C) and (P) by Corollary 3.10. Let us take a stable lattice \( T \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\leq 2} \) and we consider the following representation:
\[
\rho = \rho_{f_+, T} : \text{Gal} (\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \to \text{GL}_2 (\mathbb{Z}).
\]
Write \( \mathcal{L} = \hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}(X) \mathbb{Z} \) for short. The condition (P) enables us to define Frac (\( I/\mathcal{L} \)) and Frac (\( \mathbb{Z}/\mathcal{L} \)) is of characteristic zero by the Ferrero-Washington theorem. We denote by \( \rho \) mod \( \mathcal{L} \) the representation as follows:
\[
\rho \text{ mod } \mathcal{L} : \text{Gal} (\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \xrightarrow{\rho} \text{GL}_2 (\mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\text{mod } \mathcal{L}} \text{GL}_2 (\mathbb{Z}/\mathcal{L}).
\]
Since \( \text{tr } \rho \text{ mod } \mathcal{L} \) is the sum of two characters, we have \( \rho \) mod \( \mathcal{L} \) is reducible by the Brauer-Nesbitt theorem. Let \( \{v_1, v_2\} \) be a basis corresponding to \( \rho \) mod \( \mathcal{L} \) such that \( \mathbb{Z}/\mathcal{L}v_1 \) is stable under \( \rho \) mod \( \mathcal{L} \). Let \( \tilde{v}_1 \in T \) be a lift of \( v_1 \) \( (i = 1, 2) \). Since \( \mathbb{Z} \) is complete under the \( \mathfrak{m} \)-adic topology, \( T \) is generated by \( \tilde{v}_1 \) and \( \tilde{v}_2 \) over \( \mathbb{Z} \). Since \( \bigcap_m \mathfrak{m}^n = (0) \), we have \( T = \mathbb{Z}\tilde{v}_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\tilde{v}_2 \). Thus \( T' = \mathbb{Z}\tilde{v}_1 \oplus \mathbb{Z}\tilde{v}_2 \) is also a stable \( \mathfrak{m} \)-free lattice and \( T/T' \cong \mathbb{Z}\tilde{v}_1 \). For any \( \varphi \in \mathcal{X}_1 \), we denote by \( T, T' \) the lattices \( T \otimes_1 \varphi(\mathbb{Z}), T' \otimes_1 \varphi(\mathbb{Z}) \). Let \( \sharp \mathcal{L}(\rho_{f_+}) = n + 1 \). Since \( I(\rho_{f_+}) = \hat{G}_{\chi_1^{-1} \chi_2}(X) \mathbb{Z} \), we have
\[
\frac{T/T'}{T' \otimes_1 \varphi(\mathbb{Z})} \cong \left( \mathbb{Z}/\mathcal{L} \right) \otimes_1 \varphi(\mathbb{Z}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}) \). Thus \( d([T], [T']) = n \). Since \( \mathcal{L}(\rho_{f_+}) \) is a segment by (6) of Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 3.4, \( T \) has exactly one neighbor in \( \mathcal{L}(\rho_{f_+}) \). Thus \( T \) is a mod \( \varphi \) not semi-simple lattice by (3) of Proposition 2.2.

Under the above preparation, we return to the proof of Corollary 1.7.

**Proof of Corollary 1.7.** Let us take an \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \). Let \( \zeta \in \mu_{p^\infty} \) such that \( L_p \left( 1 - s, \chi \xi_1^{-1} \chi_2 \omega \right) \) has a zero in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \). Then Corollary 1.6 (4) tells us that there exists a \( \varphi \in \mathcal{X}_{\zeta, \zeta} \) such that \( \sharp \mathcal{L}(\rho_{f_+}) = n + 1 > m \). 
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Now we fix such \( \varphi \) and we denote by \( \mathcal{P} = \text{Ker} \varphi \). Let \( \mathcal{T} \) be the stable lattice which satisfies the condition (F). We denote by \( T = \mathcal{T} \otimes \varphi(1) \) and let

\[
\pi : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T} \otimes \varphi(\mathfrak{p}) = T
\]

be the reduction map. We have \( T \) is a \( \mathfrak{p} \)-mod \( \varphi \), not semi-simple by Lemma 3.12. Let

\[
\mathcal{L}(\rho_{j\varphi}) = \{ [T], [T_1], \ldots, [T_n] \}
\]

such that for any \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), \( T/T_i \cong \varphi(\mathfrak{p})/(\varphi(\mathfrak{p}))^i \) as a \( \varphi(\mathfrak{p}) \)-module. We denote by \( \mathcal{T}_i = \pi^{-1}(T_i) \). Since \( \mathcal{P} \mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{T}_i \), \( \mathcal{T}_i \) is a lattice. By the definition of \( \mathcal{T}_i \), we have \( \mathcal{T}_i \) is stable under the \( \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \)-action. Thus we obtain stable \( \mathfrak{p} \)-lattices

\[
\mathcal{T} \supset \mathcal{T}_1 \supset \cdots \supset \mathcal{T}_n.
\]

For \( i \neq j \), if there exists an \( \mathfrak{p}[\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})] \)-isomorphism \( \Xi : \mathcal{T}_i \isom \mathcal{T}_j \), then \( \Xi \) induces a \( \varphi(1)[\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})] \)-isomorphism

\[
\mathcal{T}_i \isom \mathcal{T}_j, v \otimes 1 \mapsto \Xi(v) \otimes 1
\]

in \( \mathcal{T} \otimes \varphi(\mathfrak{p}) \). For \( i \neq j \), \( \mathcal{T}_i \) and \( \mathcal{T}_j \) are non-isomorphic to each other by Proposition 3.4. This contradicts to our assumption. Hence \( \mathcal{T}_i \) and \( \mathcal{T}_j \) are non-isomorphic to each other and \( \mathfrak{p}[\mathcal{L}(\rho_{\varphi})] \geq n + 1 > m \). This completes the proof of Corollary 1.7.

Remark 3.13. By Corollary 3.9, we also have \( I(\rho_{\varphi}) = \hat{G}_{\chi_{11}}(X) \mathfrak{p} \) is a prime ideal under the conditions (D), (R) and (P). Thus Corollary 1.7 is also satisfied if we assume the conditions (D), (R), (P) and (F).

4 Examples

Let \( \mathcal{O} \) be the ring of integers of a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) and \( f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(M), \varepsilon, \mathcal{O}) \) a newform. Assume that the eigenvalue \( a(p, f) \) for the Hecke operator \( T_p \) is a \( p \)-adic unit. We define \( f^* \in S_k(\Gamma_0(Mp), \varepsilon, \mathcal{O}) \) by \( f^* = f(q) - \beta f(q^p) \), where \( \beta \) is the unique root of \( x^2 - a(p, f)x + \psi(p)p^{k-1} \) with \( p \)-adic absolute \( |\beta| < 1 \). We call this \( f^* \) the \( p \)-stabilized newform associated to \( f \).

Let \( (p, k_0) \) be the irregular pair such that \( p \mid B_{k_0} \). We give two examples as follows:

1. \((p, k_0) = (691, 12)\). Let \( \Delta \in S_{12}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})) \) be the Ramanujan’s cuspform. Since \( \text{dim}_{c}(S_{12}(\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}))) = 1 \), there exists an unique \( \Lambda \)-adic normalized Hecke eigen cusp form \( \mathcal{F} \in S_{\text{ord}}(\omega^{11}, \Lambda) \) such that

\[
\mathcal{F}(a^{10} - 1) = \Delta^*
\]

and \( T(\omega^{11}, \Lambda) \) is isomorphic to \( \Lambda \) (see [9, §7.6]), where \( \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[\lbrack X \rbrack] \). Hence \( I(\rho_{\varphi}) = \hat{G}_{\omega^{11}}(X) \mathfrak{p} \) by Corollary 3.9. The ideal generated by the Iwasawa power series \( \hat{G}_{\omega^{11}}(X) \) is equal to \((X - a_{\omega^{11}})\) with \( a_{\omega^{11}} \in \mathbb{Z}_p \setminus p^2 \mathbb{Z}_p \), which is calculated by Iwasawa-Sims (see [22, §1]). Then we have the following statements:
(i) $\sharp \mathcal{L}(\rho_{f_\varphi})$ is unbounded when $\varphi$ varies in $X_{\Lambda,1}$ by (4) of Corollary 1.6.

(ii) $\sharp \mathcal{L}(\rho_{f_\varphi}) = 2$ is constant when $\varphi$ varies in $X^{(0)}_{\Lambda}$ by (1) and (3) of Corollary 1.6.

(iii) For each $k \geq 2$, $\sharp \mathcal{L}(\rho_{f_\varphi})$ is bounded with maximum value $\text{ord}_p (L_p(1-k, \chi\omega)) + 1$ when $\varphi$ varies in $X_{\Lambda,k}$ by (i) and (ii).

(iv) Since $I = \Lambda$ is a regular local ring, for a stable $\Lambda$-lattice $T$, we have that

$$T^{**} = \text{Hom}_\Lambda (\text{Hom}_\Lambda (T, \Lambda), \Lambda)$$

is a $\Lambda$-free lattice. Hence the condition $(F)$ is satisfied and we have $\sharp \mathcal{L}(\rho_F) = \infty$ by Corollary 1.7.

Remark 4.1. [11, Appendix II] tells us that for the irregular pair $(p,k_0)$ with $p < 10^7$ and $k_0 < 8000$ such that $p \mid B_{k_0}$, $T(\chi, \Lambda)$ is isomorphic to $\Lambda$ except for $(p,k_0) = (547, 486)$. Hence we can apply Theorem 1.5 (2) for these pairs.

2. $(p,k_0) = (547, 486)$. By [11, Appendix II], there is a conjugate pair of $p$-stabilized newforms of weight 486 with the required Eisenstein congruence condition mod 547 and the corresponding Hida Hecke algebra $T(\omega_{485}, \Lambda)$ is finite flat of rank two over $\Lambda$. We denote by $f_{486}, f'_{486}$ the corresponding newforms.

Let $\mathcal{F}$ (resp. $\mathcal{F'}$) be the $I$-adic normalized Hecke eigen cusp form associated to $f_{486}$ (resp. $f'_{486}$). Note that $I$ is an integral closure of a quotient of $T(\omega_{484}, \Lambda)$ by a minimum prime ideal of $\Lambda$ by the proof of [9, §7.4, Theorem 7]. Hence $\text{Frac}(I)$ is a quadratic extension of $\text{Frac}(\Lambda)$. The ideal generated by the Iwasawa power series $\left(\hat{G}_{\omega_{485}}(X)\right)$ is equal to $(X - a_{\omega_{485}})$ with $a_{\omega_{485}} \in p\mathbb{Z}_p \setminus p^2\mathbb{Z}_p$ which is calculated by Iwasawa-Sims (see also [22, §1]). Then $\sharp \mathcal{L}(\rho_{f_\varphi}) \in \{2, 3\}$ when $\varphi$ varies in $X^{(0)}_{\ell}$ by (1) of Corollary 1.6.

The condition $(C)$ satisfied for $\mathcal{F}$ (this is because the Vandiver’s conjecture is true for $p = 547$), thus $I(\rho_F)$ is a principal ideal which is generated by a factor of $X - a_{\omega_{485}}$ in $I$ by Corollary 3.10. The same holds for $\mathcal{F'}$.
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