Translational Mobilities of Proteins in Nanochannels: A Coarse-Grained Molecular Dynamics Study
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We investigated the translation of a protein through model nanopores using coarse-grained (CG) non-equilibrium molecular dynamics (NEMD) simulations and compared the mobilities with those obtained from previous coarse-grained equilibrium molecular dynamics model. We considered the effects of nanopore confinement and external force on the translation of streptavidin through nanopores of dimensions representative of experiments. As the nanopore radius approaches the protein hydrodynamic radius, \( r_b / r_p \rightarrow 1 \) (where \( r_b \) is the hydrodynamic radius of protein and \( r_p \) is the pore radius), the translation times are observed to increase by 2 orders of magnitude. The translation times are found to be in good agreement with one-dimensional biased diffusion model. The results presented in this paper provide useful insights on nanopore designs intended to control the motion of biomolecules.

I. INTRODUCTION

Macromolecular transport is ubiquitous in living organisms where biopolymers such as proteins move from one region to another in a crowded environment.1, 2 Initial experimental observations of poly(ethylene glycol)3 and single stranded DNA4 translocation in naturally occurring ion channels have generated huge interests in polymer translocations.5, 6 Since then, understanding the macromolecular basis of polymer translocation has emerged as a major research activity.7, 8

Many experimental techniques have been developed in the past few decades for isolating and characterizing biopolymers at the single molecular level.9, 10 Nanopore sensors based on resistive pulse sensing technique are cost-effective and relatively easy to use compared to the biological assays for investigating at the single molecules level in real time.7, 11 These devices measure the variation in ionic current when a biomolecule contained in an electrolyte solution translocates through a nanopore. The properties of molecules such as size, charge, conformation, concentration, etc. can be inferred by measuring the translocation time, drop in the current and frequency of translocation events.7, 6, 8, 12, 13 These sensors have a wide range of potential applications in drug screening and delivery, pharmacology, molecular biology etc.7, 7, 10, 20

Several experiments have investigated macromolecular translocation and sensing using synthetic nanopores21–24. Large translocation velocities of biomolecules poses serious challenge to nanopore sensors16, 15, 25, 26. For instance, it is infeasible to detect high velocity translocation events due to the practical limitations such as insufficient bandwidth of the sensing devices24. Therefore, it is imperative to either enhance the temporal resolution of detection or slow down the velocity, broadening the use of nanopore sensing technology to a wide range of applications. For the latter, a fundamental understanding of the factors governing the directional motion of biomolecule is necessary and hence this study.

The first theoretical work on polymer translocation27 was concurrently published with the first experimental work on macromolecular translocation4. This theoretical work was further developed shortly afterwards28. Both these models considered the polymer translocation as one-dimensional diffusion problem of a long and flexible polymer chain assuming it to be in quasi-equilibrium. But in typical translocation experiments, the macromolecular movement in nanopore is always assisted by an external force, hence it will not comply with quasi-static assumption29. A general formalism for the forced translocation phenomena based on force balance of drag and applied force was proposed later30.

Researchers have modeled protein translocation by 1D Langevin equation5, 19, 31 and reported that the translocation phenomenon is mainly influenced by hydrodynamic drag experienced by the molecule inside the nanopore as well as its interaction with the nanopore. Therefore, regulating the nanopore interactions along with increasing solvent viscosity, reducing the temperature, etc.32 could be a promising approach towards efficient sensing. We refer to Keyser14 for a comprehensive review on strategies in controlling the nanopore transport.

Kannam et al.33 used coarse grained equilibrium molecular dynamics.CGEMD simulations to examine the effect of hydrodynamics on the diffusion of proteins confined in nanopores. It was demonstrated that choosing comparable sizes of pore to the protein is particularly advantageous for reducing the protein diffusion and hence...
to increase the sensor efficiency. In this work, we apply a range of external forces on the protein and examine the translational motion. The external force can be regarded as an overall effect of electrophoretic force on the protein. The effects arising from partial atomic charges on different segments of proteins are not considered [19]. Comparison of the translational mobility influenced by external force and pore diameter with those of CGEMD model mentioned above and translation time distribution correspondence with a continuum model forms the core of this research.

II. SIMULATION DETAILS

The interactions between coarse-grained beads are modeled using the Martini force field [34, 35]. The protein, streptavidin (PDB code: 4JO6 [36]) is coarse-grained at the residue level and each solvent bead represents four water molecules. The protein is solvated in a solvent box with its center of mass (CM) tethered to the box center. The system is equilibrated in NPT ensemble at 300 K temperature and 1 bar pressure using Berendsen thermostat and barostat respectively. In the next step, the nanopore is created by freezing the solvent beads outside the required pore region in such a way that the pore and z axis are aligned. The pore length is kept fixed at 20 nm and the radius $r_p$ is varied from 4 to 12.5 nm to examine the effect of confinement on the mobility. Periodic boundary conditions were applied in all 3 directions making the pore infinitely long for all the simulation cases. Considering the fact that protein is moving through an infinite length pore, the term translation is used throughout this study in lieu of translocation found in nanopore literatures.

The protein has a radius of gyration, $r_g$ of ~2.2 nm and the maximum length of protein $m$, considering all its orientations is ~6.5 nm. For the comparison with nanopore, protein size is quantified throughout the study in terms of hydrodynamic radius, $r_h$. The hydrodynamic or Stokes radius is defined as the radius of a hard sphere which have a bulk diffusion coefficient equivalent to the protein under similar simulation conditions. $r_h$ is estimated as 3.22 nm from Stokes-Einstein relationship, $D_i^\infty = k_bT/6\pi\eta r_h$, where the viscosity $\eta$ (1.01 cP) of the solvent and finite-size corrected bulk diffusion coefficient $D_i^\infty$ (0.0682 nm$^2$/ns) were calculated from equilibrium molecular dynamics simulations of protein in bulk. The protein is forced through the pore by applying external forces corresponding to accelerations in the range $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ to $5.0 \times 10^{-3}$ nm/ps$^2$. For brevity, we use the values of acceleration throughout this paper to represent the force applied on the protein. To avoid the protein adsorption to the nanopore, the protein’s CM is tethered in the radial direction to the pore axis by using a harmonic potential with a force constant 12 kcal mol$^{-1}$ A$^{-2}$. Hence the protein is free to move in the z direction and restrained to move in x and y directions. No restraints are applied on the rotational degree of freedom of the protein. The production simulations are carried out in NVT ensemble with a time step of 20 fs for 200 ns using GROMACS [38] simulation package.

![Coarse-grained model of the protein, streptavidin (PDB code: 4JO6), confined in a nanopore of radius 5 nm. The nanopore, protein backbone and side chains are colored in grey, green, and purple respectively. The solvent is not shown for visual clarity.](image)

FIG. 1. Coarse-grained model of the protein, streptavidin (PDB code: 4JO6), confined in a nanopore of radius 5 nm. The nanopore, protein backbone and side chains are colored in grey, green, and purple respectively. The solvent is not shown for visual clarity.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Conformational stability of the protein

In the translocation experiments at physiological conditions, globular proteins are generally proclaimed to maintain its conformation without any significant changes [13, 38]. But in NEMD simulations, the proteins are reported to undergo conformational changes due to the large external forces [40–42]. To measure the conformational stability of the protein, we measured the root mean square deviation (RMSD) and the radius of gyration ($r_g$) during the translation in our NEMD simulations.

RMSD is a measure of protein’s conformational change which is calculated as the root mean square deviation of the protein’s atom positions with respect to a reference structure.

$$\text{RMSD}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_i^{\text{ref}})^2} \quad (1)$$

where $N$ is the number of atoms in the protein. The $\mathbf{r}_i(t)$ represents the position vector of $i^{\text{th}}$ atom at time...
$t$ and $r_i^{ref}$ denotes its reference structure position vector. The radius of gyration, $r_g = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (r_i - r_{CM})^2}$ of the protein on the other hand, quantifies its globular size providing an alternate quantification of the protein’s conformational changes. The time evolution of RMSD and $r_g$ is shown in Figures 2(a) and (b) as the protein translocates through the pore, at an applied force corresponding to the acceleration $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ nm/ps$^2$. We find no significant deviation in the conformational state of the protein, indicating that the magnitudes of external forces considered in the NEMD simulations are reasonably appropriate to investigate the translation phenomena. Similar observations were made at the other accelerations encountered in this study.

FIG. 2. (a) RMSD and the (b) radius of gyration ($r_g$) of streptavidin with time during the translation at an acceleration of $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ nm/ps$^2$ in NEMD simulation.

B. Translation Process and Mobilities

Previous studies reported that proteins could be adsorbed on the nanopore surface which significantly increases the translocation time, induce conformational changes and even trigger the activation of binding sites of the protein [43–47]. While it is important to understand such effects, we simplified our model by tethering the CM of protein to pore axis with a harmonic potential, to primarily study the translation mechanism without the protein adsorbing to the pore surface.

In Figure 3(a), we show the instantaneous CM position of protein along the pore axis, $z$, in 10 independent simulations for a pore radius of 4.5 nm and at an external force corresponding to acceleration of $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ nm/ps$^2$. For a given trajectory, we observe that $z$ exhibits local fluctuations on short time scales corresponding to protein’s typical random walk in a thermal environment. Due to the external force, the protein translocates along the pore axis with a net velocity. From the independent simulations for particular values of applied external force and pore radii, the velocities based on $z$ were calculated and averaged to get a mean velocity. The error bars in Figure 3(a) represents the standard deviation of the mean velocity values calculated from independent simulations. A t-test was adopted to ensure that the calculated mean value represents population mean with sufficient confidence. For the case with largest standard deviation, it was found that margin of error is around 10% of the mean value at a confidence level of 90%. Thus we conclude that the calculated mean value represents the population mean value with the error of 10% or less at 90% confidence.

FIG. 3. (a) The instantaneous center of mass position of streptavidin along the pore axis, $z$, with time for 10 replica simulations for a pore radius of 4.5 nm and at an acceleration of $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ nm/ps$^2$. (b) The evolution of average center of mass position of streptavidin along the pore axis, $\langle z \rangle$, at different pore radii ranging between 4 to 12.5 nm.

While the observation of protein translation is quite natural because of the applied force, the effects of pore radius on protein dynamics are found to be significant, as shown in Figure 3(b). The linearity of average CM position of protein along the pore axis, $\langle z \rangle$, indicates that the velocity is constant throughout the nanopore of any radius, $r_p$. The higher strength of protein-nanopore interactions with decreasing pore radius is seen to reduce the protein displacements significantly. Notably, in a nanopore of the smaller radius considered in our simulations, the protein is observed to displace barely about its size during the entire 200 ns trajectory. On the other hand, for the largest radius considered, the protein displaces approximately 100 $r_g$ in 200 ns, which is two orders greater than the average displacement of protein in bulk due to diffusion (based on Peclet number calculation de-
The above results demonstrate that there are considerable variations in protein displacements depending on the pore radius at a given applied force. To understand the dynamics of the protein, the mean velocity $v$ calculated using $\langle z \rangle$ is displayed in Figure 4(a) at different applied forces. Consistent with the variations in $\langle z \rangle$, the velocities are seen to change significantly with the pore radius. The change of velocity is observed to span on order of magnitude below a critical pore radius, $r_p^c$, and approaches a constant value for $r_p > r_p^c$. This reveals the effect of pore friction on the translation velocity and consequently the translation time. This is similar to the translocation dynamics of polymer chains where the pore friction increases with decrease of pore diameter and dominates as a finite size effect especially for a short polymer. The proportional relation between the translation velocity and external forces obtained from the simulations suggests that the forces used are in the linear regime.

Another interesting feature observed from Figure 4(a) is that the qualitative differences in velocity with $r_p$ are almost identical at given external forces. To quantify such a behavior, we calculated the mobility, $\mu$, as the ratio of velocity to the applied force and the results are displayed in Figure 4(b). Following the qualitative features observed for the velocities, we find that the mobilities are independent of the applied force for $r_p > 4$ nm, which is consistent with the experimental observation that the biopolymer electrophoretic mobilities are independent of the applied electric fields both in free solution and in a translocation setup. However, the mobility significantly decreases as $r_p$ equals 4 nm or in general as it approaches the dimensions of the protein.

The translation mobilities calculated from the velocities can be directly compared with the predictions of Einstein-Smoluchowski relation:

$$\mu(r_p) = \frac{D(r_p)}{k_B T}$$  

where $D(r_p)$ is the diffusion coefficient of the protein in a nanopore of radius $r_p$, $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant and $T$ is the temperature in Kelvin. Assuming that the $D(r_p)$ is independent of the applied force, we evaluated $\mu(r_p)$ by using the respective $D(r_p)$ obtained under the equilibrium conditions. Interestingly, we observe an excellent agreement between the $\mu$ obtained from the NEMD simulations and the EMD based Einstein-Smoluchowski predictions.

FIG. 5. Ratio of EMD to NEMD mobilities for different pore sizes and applied forces. There is marked variation of the ratio with respect to the applied forces when the pore size is closer to protein dimensions.

The comparison of mobilities from EMD and NEMD simulations in Figure 5(b) indicates that quantitatively the system exhibits similar behavior in terms of diffusion and external forcing velocity when the pore diameter is varied. From the mean values and error bars from Figure 5, it can be observed that EMD and NEMD mobilities lies very close to each other for $r_p$ values other than 4 nm ($r_b/r_p = 0.805$). This equivalence is applicable even for large forces considered in the simulation where diffusion time scales are almost two orders lesser than forced translation time scales. (The two order difference between transport rate is quantified by Peclet number $Pe$ for the protein, defined as the ratio of forced translation rate to diffusion transport rate, $Pe = \frac{r_b v(r_p)}{D(r_p)}$ where
$v(r_p)$ is the velocity due to external force). Apparently, it can be safely assumed that the equivalence between EMD and NEMD mobilities prevails for any external forces between equilibrium and the forces considered in the simulation. This is not the case with pore sizes close to $r_b$ considered in the simulation as shown in Figure 4(b) and 5. There is marked variation of mobility experienced by the protein with respect to the applied force for $r_p$ value 4 ($r_b/r_p = 0.805$). This indicates that the mobility values depend on the force applied, which signifies the presence of excessive drag compared to other pores in the study. Similar observation has been made before in the literature for DNA translocation [52].

C. Probability distribution function of translation times

Numerous attempts were made previously to theoretically formulate the distribution of translocation times [41, 54, 58]. Among these, Talaga et al. [41] proposed a biased 1-D Fokker-Planck diffusion model with relatively realistic boundary conditions which was later corrected as Schrödinger’s first passage probability distribution function (FP-PDF) [54, 55].

Following the arguments and the treatment of Talaga and Li [41, 58, 53], we use 1-D FP-PDF to broadly describe the translation of protein through nanopore under the influence of a constant force. Since the mobilities from EMD and NEMD are equivalent from Figure 4(b), we use Equation 2 and velocity-force relation of mobility from NEMD to replace diffusion coefficient $D$ of the original 1-D FP-PDF. For the translation, the protein has to travel a distance $l$ in time $t$, with an induced velocity $v$. Due to the stochastic nature of translation process, the time required to transllocate distance $l$ assumes a probability distribution, $p(t)$ given by:

$$p(t) = \left[ \frac{l^2 F}{4\pi k_B T v t^4} \right]^{1/2} e^{-\frac{F(l-vt)}{4k_BT}^2}$$

(3)

In the above Equation, we chose $l = 50$ nm and $F$ as the external force corresponding to the acceleration of $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ nm/ps$^2$. The velocity is obtained by scaling the EMD mobility in Equation 2 with $F$.

Figure 6 depicts $p(t)$ obtained numerically for streptavidin in nanopores for $F$ corresponding to external acceleration of $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ nm/ps$^2$. The circles indicate the translation times calculated with the velocities obtained from 10 independent NEMD trajectories, and agrees well with the EMD based predictions using Equation 3. Note that due to the limitations on computational requirements (a typical problem associated with simulations), we were not able to compute $p(t)$ directly from NEMD simulations which require roughly $10^3 \sim 10^4$ independent trajectories.

Another interesting property relevant for the translation experiments is the mean translation time, $\tau$ which can be calculated numerically by using the probability distributions as:

$$\tau = \frac{\int_0^{\infty} tp(t)dt}{\int_0^{\infty} p(t)dt}$$

(4)

A comparison of $\tau$ calculated using Equation 4 and those obtained directly from NEMD simulations is presented in Figure 7 at different values of $r_p$ and external forces. In

FIG. 6. Probability distribution of translation times at different $r_p$ obtained numerically using Equation 3 for streptavidin in nanopores for $F$ corresponding to external acceleration of $1.0 \times 10^{-3}$ nm/ps$^2$. The circles indicate the translation times calculated with the velocities obtained from 10 independent NEMD trajectories, and agrees well with the EMD based predictions using Equation 3. Note that due to the limitations on computational requirements (a typical problem associated with simulations), we were not able to compute $p(t)$ directly from NEMD simulations which require roughly $10^3 \sim 10^4$ independent trajectories.

FIG. 7. Translation time dependency on nanopore radius. Data for NEMD simulations and 1-D diffusion model at different applied forces collapses on a universal curve. Translation times evaluated using Equation 4 (1-D Model) agrees with those obtained from the NEMD simulations.
the Figure, \( \tau_{(r_p=\infty)} \) denotes the time required for protein to travel \( l \) for \( r_p = \infty \), which is extracted by fitting the data to \( \tau_0 e^{\lambda(r_n/r_p)} + \tau_{(r_p=\infty)} \), where \( \tau_0 \) and \( \lambda \) are fitting parameters. The mean translation times calculated from NEMD simulations are seen to decrease rapidly with nanopore radius and approaches \( \tau_{(r_p=\infty)} \) as shown in Figure 7. The translation times predicted from the 1-D FP-PDF model agrees well with the NEMD results for most values of \( r_p \), though it differs for pores similar in size of the protein. Moreover, the changes in \( \tau \) with \( r_p \) are consistent with the results presented in Figure 7(a). Additionally, the qualitative features of \( \tau \) are very similar to those reported for voltage-driven DNA translocation through solid-state nanopores [60].

The ability of 1-D diffusion model in predicting the qualitative features of \( \tau \) and capturing the physics of translation are promising despite ignoring details such as electroosmotic gradients, electrostatic charge distribution, the shape of protein, etc. Overall, the qualitative agreement between the 1-D diffusion model and NEMD simulations helps in rationalizing the significance of diffusion dependent mechanisms in governing the translation of proteins in nanopores.

D. Nature of Drag on the Protein

An interesting question will be the source of increase in drag on the protein as the pore diameter approaches hydrodynamic radius, \( r_h \). From Figure 7 and Figure 5, it is evident that for the pores with least two diameters considered in the simulation, there is a disparity with respect to velocity or time required for the protein translation. This is inline with the diffusion coefficient variation related to change in pore size expressed in previous EMD study [33]. While most of the protein translation times corresponding to different pore sizes follow the frictional drag relationship \( \sim (r_n/(r_p-r_n)) \) [60], cases of \( r_p \) with 4 and 4.5 \( (r_n/r_p \text{ value 0.805 and 0.716 respectively}) \) show considerable deviation from this relation. Thus, it can be inferred that, for large pores the drag is mainly a hydrodynamic effect but as \( r_h \) approaches \( r_p \), there may be additional effects which contribute to the drag on the protein.

The ratio of number of solvent beads per nm for the distance occupied by the protein in \( z \) direction \( N_{s,\text{prot}} \) to the number of solvent beads per nm for rest of the pore length \( N_{s,\text{pore}} \) is plotted against different pore radii in Figure 8. This indicates the number of solvent beads occupying the pore volume along with the protein, if the pore length is exactly \( z \) dimension of protein. From Figure 8, it is observed that for a given \( z \) dimension of the protein, number of solvent beads are just two times the number of protein beads for the least pore diameter. This leads to the inference that the protein occupies considerable volume inside the pore in comparison to solvent beads. Most importantly, since the maximum dimension \( m \) of the protein is around 6.5 nm throughout the simulation, for the least pore diameter case, the solvent may form a thin film between pore and protein or sparsely distributed solvent clusters may exist between protein and nanopore surface.

Apart from above analysis, accounting the non-bonded interaction between pore and protein as shown in Figure 9 reveals that there is a non negligible interaction between pore and protein for the case of two nanopores with the least diameters considered in the study. Thus the drag may be caused due to the presence of a thin film of solvent or due to direct non-bonded interaction between pore and protein or both. While it can be speculated from above analyses that the drag increase is mainly due to the non-bonded interaction between protein and pore, further analyses are required for a conclusive understanding of the underlying mechanisms.
IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS AND OUTLOOK

To conclude, the mechanisms governing protein translation through nanopores are investigated in this work by considering a coarse-grained protein (streptavidin) with model nanopores using molecular dynamics simulations. To accelerate the translation process within computationally tractable timescales, we applied external forces of different magnitudes on proteins. Nanopores of varying radii ranging from 4 to 12.5 nm ($r_b/r_p$ value 0.805 to 0.258 respectively) that are comparable to the experimental length scales are considered to understand the effects of pore size. We simulated the translation process for 10 different initial configurations to generate trajectories of 200 ns in each case.

One of the important outcomes of this paper is that the mobilities calculated from the NEMD simulations are comparable to those computed from EMD simulations indicating the similarity in system response to a wide range of forces starting from values close to equilibrium. Also, the diffusion coefficient or the mobilities depends strongly on the pore size which can be used to control the directional or diffusional motion of the protein in nanopores. As a consequence, the mean translation times are observed to be increasing rapidly with decreasing pore sizes which is in good agreement with previous experimental and simulation results.

A stochastic model based on 1-D biased diffusion equation was used to study the translation of proteins in conjunction with MD simulations. The results of such a simplistic model are seen to corroborate the large scale coarse-grained simulations and provide further significant insights. Specifically, the probability distributions of the translation time indicated larger standard deviations in translation time for smaller pores which are consistent with typical experimental reports. More interestingly, the average translation times predicted using the stochastic model decreases rapidly with the pore size, is in excellent agreement with NEMD simulations. Also a two order increase of translational time signifies presence of additional effects contributing to drag experienced by the protein, the nature of which needs to be revealed through a separate detailed study. The results presented in this paper provide useful insights on the nanopore design for several applications. For instance, the nanopore may be specifically designed to control protein diffusivity for accurate sequencing or similar applications.

Recent atomistic simulations have reported that the changes in water density fluctuations in a proton channel can potentially initiate active binding sites for the target molecules subjected to translation. In the drug design community, such an observation is expected to generate significant interest in the quest for potential inhibitors of the proton channel. Similar phenomena could arise when protein is subjected to translation in thin nanopores, where the degree of hydration of protein becomes crucial. Explicitly, the preferential interactions between nanopore and protein’s residues play a major role in the translation process. We note that while the protein is restrained along the nanopore axis in the present model, it is highly desirable to understand the importance of solvent mediated dry-wet mechanism of protein translation process and the manner in which they are influenced by the nanopore size.
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