KCL-MTH-18-05

Symmetry enhancement of extremal horizons in $D = 5$ supergravity

U. Kayani

Department of Mathematics
King's College London
Strand
London WC2R 2LS, UK

arXiv:1801.08833v2 [hep-th] 9 May 2018

Abstract

We consider the near-horizon geometry of supersymmetric extremal black holes in ungauged and gauged 5-dimensional supergravity, coupled to abelian vector multiplets. By analyzing the global properties of the Killing spinors, we prove that the near-horizon geometries undergo a supersymmetry enhancement. This follows from a set of generalized Lichnerowicz-type theorems we establish, together with an index theory argument. As a consequence, these solutions always admit a $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ symmetry group.

1 Introduction

The enhancement of supersymmetry near to brane and black hole horizons has been known for some time. In the context of branes, many solutions are known which exhibit supersymmetry enhancement near to the brane. For example, the geometry of D3-branes doubles its supersymmetry to become the maximally supersymmetric $AdS_5 \times S^5$ solution [1, 2]. This phenomenon played a crucial role in the early development of the ADS/CFT correspondence [3]. Black hole solutions are also known to exhibit supersymmetry enhancement; for example in the case of the five-dimensional BMPV black hole [4, 5, 6].

The black hole horizon topology is important in establishing black hole uniqueness theorems. In $D = 4$ these imply that the Einstein equations admit a unique class of asymptotically flat black hole solutions, parametrized by (M, Q, J). A key step is to establish the horizon topology theorem, which proves that the event horizon of a stationary black hole must have S^2 topology [7]. This relies on the Gauss-Bonnet theorem applied to the 2-manifold spatial horizon section, and therefore does not generalize to higher dimensions. Indeed, the first example of how the classical uniqueness theorems break down in higher dimensions is given by the five-dimensional black ring solution [8, 9]. There exist black ring solutions with the same asymptotic conserved charges as BMPV black holes, but with a different horizon topology. Even more exotic solutions in five dimensions are now known to exist, such as the solutions obtained in [10], describing asymptotically flat black holes which possess a non-trivial topological structure outside the event horizon, but whose near-horizon geometry is the same as that of the BMPV solution.

Another important observation in the study of black holes is the attractor mechanism. This states that the entropy is obtained by extremizing an entropy function which depends only on the near-horizon parameters and conserved charges, and if this admits a unique extremum then the entropy is independent of the asymptotic values of the moduli. In the case of 4-dimensional solutions the analysis of [11] implies that if the solution admits $SO(2,1) \times U(1)$ symmetry, and the horizon has spherical topology, then such a mechanism holds. In $D = 4, 5$ it is an observation that all known asymptotically flat black hole solutions exhibit attractor mechanism behaviour. However, in higher dimensions, it is unclear if an attractor mechanism holds. In particular, a generalization of the analysis of [11] to higher dimensions would require the existence of a $SO(2,1) \times U(1)^2$ symmetry, as well as an understanding of the horizon topology. Near horizon geometries of asymptotically AdS_5 supersymmetric black holes admitting a $SO(2,1) \times U(1)^2$ symmetry have been classified in [12, 13]. It remains to be determined if all supersymmetric near-horizon geometries fall into this class.

Further recent interest in the geometry of black hole horizons has arisen in the context of the BMS-type symmetries associated with black holes, following [14, 15, 16, 17]. In particular, the analysis of the asymptotic symmetry group of Killing horizons was undertaken in [18]. In that case, an infinite dimensional symmetry group is obtained, analogous to the BMS symmetry group of asymptotically flat solutions.

In this paper we shall investigate the mechanism by which supersymmetry is enhanced for supersymmetric extremal black hole near-horizon geometries in both gauged and ungauged $N = 2$, $D = 5$ supergravity. We will assume that the black hole event horizon is

a Killing horizon. Rigidity theorems have been constructed which imply that the black hole horizon is Killing for both non-extremal and extremal black holes, under certain assumptions, have been constructed, e.g. [19, 20, 21, 22]. The assumption that the event horizon is Killing enables the introduction of Gaussian Null co-ordinates [23, 20] in a neighbourhood of the horizon. The analysis of the near-horizon geometry is significantly simpler than that of the full black hole solution, as the near-horizon limit reduces the system to a set of equations on a co-dimension 2 surface, $\mathcal{S}$, which is the spatial section of the event horizon.

The proof that we give in this paper for (super)symmetry enhancement relies on establishing Lichnerowicz-type theorems and an index theory argument. A similar proof has been given for supergravity horizons in $D = 11, D = 10$ for IIA, Roman's Massive IIA and IIB, $D = 5$ minimal gauged and $D = 4$ gauged [24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29]. We shall also prove that the near-horizon geometries admit a $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ symmetry algebra. In general we find that the orbits of the generators of $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ are 3-dimensional, though in some special cases they are 2-dimensional. In these special cases, the geometry is a warped product $AdS_2 \times_w \mathcal{S}$. The properties of AdS_2 and their relationship to black hole entropy have been examined in [30, 31]. Our result, together with those of our previous calculations, implies that the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ symmetry is a universal property of supersymmetric black holes.

Previous work has also been done on the classification of near-horizon geometries for five dimensional ungauged supergravity in [32, 33]. However there an additional assumption was made on assuming the vector bilinear matching condition i.e the black hole Killing horizon associated with a Killing vector field is identified as a Killing spinor bilinear. We do not make this assumption here, and we prove the results on (super)symmetry enhancement in full generality. The only assumptions we make in the paper are that all the fields are smooth (or at least C^2 differentiable) and the spatial horizon section $\mathcal{S}$ is compact, connected and without boundary. These assumptions are made in order that various global techniques can be applied to the analysis.

The content in this paper is organised in the following way. In section 2, we state the key properties for $D = 5, N = 2$ gauged supergravity, coupled to an arbitrary number of vector multiplets. We give the bosonic part of the action, the field equations and the fermionic supersymmetry variations (the vanishing of which are the KSEs). In section 3, we solve the KSEs by appropriately decomposing the gauge fields and integrating along two lightcone directions. and we identify the independent KSEs. In section 4, we establish a generalized Lichnerowicz-type theorem in order to show the, on spatial cross-sections of the event horizon, the zero modes certain Dirac operators $\mathscr{D}^{(\pm)}$ are in a 1-1 correspondence with the Killing spinors. In section 5, we prove the supersymmetry enhancement, and we analyse the relationship between positive and negative lightcone chirality spinors which gives rise to the doubling of the supersymmetry. We also prove that horizons with non-trivial fluxes admit an $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ symmetry subalgebra.

In appendix A, we state the supersymmetry conventions. In appendix B, we state the spin connection and the Ricci curvature tensor. In appendix C, we state the independent horizon Bianchi identities and field equations. In section D, we state the independent horizon Bianchi identities and field equations for the gauge decomposition given in section 3. In Appendix E we present some details of the calculations used to find the minimal set of independent KSEs on the spatial horizon section. In appendix F, we prove the scalar

orthogonality condition, which is used to simplify the KSEs and field equations in section 2.

2 $D = 5, N = 2$ Gauged Supergravity

In this section, we briefly summarize some of the key properties of $D = 5$, $N = 2$ gauged supergravity, coupled to k vector multiplets. The bosonic part of the action is associated with a particular hypersurface N of $\mathbb{R}^k$ defined by

$$V(X) = \frac{1}{6} C_{IJK} X^I X^J X^K = 1 \tag{2.1}$$

where the fields $\{X^I = X^I(\phi), I = 0, \ldots, k-1\}$ are standard coordinates on $\mathbb{R}^k$; and where X_I, the dual coordinate is defined by,

$$X_I = \frac{1}{6} C_{IJK} X^J X^K \tag{2.2}$$

and C_{IJK} are constants which are symmetric in IJK. This allows us to express the hypersurface equation $V = 1$ as $X^I X_I = 1$ and one can deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_a X_I &= \frac{1}{3} C_{IJK} \partial_a X^J X^K \\ X^I \partial_a X_I &= X_I \partial_a X^I = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

The bosonic part of the supergravity action is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{bos} &= \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} \bigg(R - \frac{1}{2} Q_{IJ}(\phi) F^I{}_{\mu\nu} F^{J\mu\nu} - h_{ab}(\phi) \partial_\mu \phi^a \partial^\mu \phi^b + 2\chi^2 U \bigg) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{24} e^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\tau} C_{IJK} F^I{}_{\mu\nu} F^J{}_{\rho\sigma} A^K{}_\tau \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $F^I = dA^I$, $I, J, K = 0, \ldots, k-1$ are the 2-form Maxwell field strengths, ϕ^a are scalars, $\mu, \nu, \rho, \sigma = 0, \ldots, 4$, and g is the metric of the five-dimensional spacetime, and U is the scalar potential which can be expressed as,

$$U = 9 V_I V_J \bigg(X^I X^J - \frac{1}{2} Q^{IJ} \bigg) \tag{2.5}$$

where V_I are constants. The gauge coupling Q_{IJ}, and the metric h_{ab} on N are given by,

$$Q_{IJ} = -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^I} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^J} (\ln V)|_{V=1} = -\frac{1}{2} C_{IJK} X^K + \frac{9}{2} X_I X_J \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$h_{ab} = Q_{IJ} \frac{\partial X^I}{\partial \phi^a} \frac{\partial X^J}{\partial \phi^b}|_{V=1} \tag{2.7}$$

where $\{\phi^a, a = 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ are local coordinates of N. We shall assume that the gauge coupling Q_{IJ} is positive definite, and also that the scalar potential is non-negative, $U \geq 0$.

In the case of the STU model, which has $C_{123} = 1$, and $X^1X^2X^3 = 1$, the non-vanishing components of the gauge coupling are given by

$$Q_{11} = \frac{1}{2(X^1)^2}, \quad Q_{22} = \frac{1}{2(X^2)^2}, \quad Q_{33} = \frac{1}{2(X^3)^2} \tag{2.8}$$

with scalar potential

$$U = 18\left(\frac{V_1V_2}{X^3} + \frac{V_1V_3}{X^2} + \frac{V_2V_3}{X^1}\right) . \tag{2.9}$$

When considering near-horizon solutions, conditions which are sufficient to ensure that $U \geq 0$ are that $V_I \geq 0$ for $I = 1, 2, 3$, and also that there exists a point on the horizon section at which $X^I > 0$ for $I = 1, 2, 3$. As we shall assume that the scalars are smooth functions on (and outside of) the horizon, this implies that $X^I > 0$ everywhere on the horizon.

In addition, the following relations also hold:

$$\begin{aligned} X_I &= \frac{2}{3}Q_{IJ}X^J \\ \partial_a X_I &= -\frac{2}{3}Q_{IJ}\partial_a X^J . \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

The Einstein equation is given by

$$R_{\mu\nu} - Q_{IJ}\left(F^I{}_{\mu\lambda}F^J{}_\nu{}^\lambda + \nabla_\mu X^I\nabla_\nu X^J - \frac{1}{6}g_{\mu\nu}F^I{}_{\rho\sigma}F^{J\rho\sigma}\right) + \frac{2}{3}\chi^2 U g_{\mu\nu} = 0 . \tag{2.11}$$

The Maxwell gauge equations for A^I are given by

$$d(Q_{IJ} \star_5 F^J) = \frac{1}{4}C_{IJK}F^J \wedge F^K , \tag{2.12}$$

or equivalently, in components:

$$\nabla_\mu(Q_{IJ}F^{J\mu\nu}) = -\frac{1}{16}C_{IJK}e^{\nu\mu\rho\sigma\tau}F^J{}_{\mu\rho}F^K{}_{\sigma\tau} \tag{2.13}$$

where $e^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\kappa} = \sqrt{-g}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma\kappa}$. The scalar field equations for ϕ^a are

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[\nabla^\mu\nabla_\mu X_I + \left(-\frac{1}{6}C_{MNI} + X_MX^PC_{NPI}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}F^M{}_{\mu\nu}F^{N\mu\nu} + \nabla_\mu X^M\nabla^\mu X^N\right)\right. \\ &\left. +\frac{3}{2}\chi^2 C_{IJK}Q^{MJ}Q^{NK}V_MV_N\right]\partial_a X^I = 0 . \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

We remark that if $L_I\partial_a X^I = 0$ for all $a = 1, \ldots, k-1$, then $L_I = fX_I$ where $f = X^JL_J$. This result is established in Appendix E. Using this, the scalar field equation can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} &\nabla^\mu\nabla_\mu X_I + \nabla_\mu X^M\nabla^\mu X^N\left(\frac{1}{2}C_{MNK}X_IX^K - \frac{1}{6}C_{IMN}\right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}F^M{}_{\mu\nu}F^{N\mu\nu}\left(C_{INP}X_MX^P - \frac{1}{6}C_{IMN} - 6X_IX_MX_N + \frac{1}{6}C_{MNJ}X_IX^J\right) \\ &+ 3\chi^2V_MV_N\left(\frac{1}{2}C_{IJK}Q^{MJ}Q^{NK} + X_I(Q^{MN} - 2X^MX^N)\right) = 0 . \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

3 Evaluation of Killing Spinor Equations

The KSEs are defined on a purely bosonic background, and are given as the vanishing of the supersymmetry transformations of the fermions at lowest order in fermions. The number of linearly independent Killing spinors determines how much supersymmetry is realised for a given solution. The KSEs can be expressed as,

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu\epsilon \equiv \nabla_\mu\epsilon + \frac{i}{8}X_I\Big(\Gamma_\mu{}^{\nu\rho} - 4\delta_\mu{}^\nu\Gamma^\rho\Big)F^I{}_{\nu\rho}\epsilon + \Big(-\frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I A^I{}_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\chi V_I X^I\Gamma_\mu\Big)\epsilon = 0 \tag{3.1}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^I\epsilon \equiv \Big[\Big(\delta^J{}_I - X^I X_J\Big)F^J{}_{\mu\nu}\Gamma^{\mu\nu} + 2i\Gamma^\mu\partial_\mu X^I - 6i\chi\Big(Q^{IJ} - \frac{2}{3}X^I X^J\Big)V_J\Big]\epsilon = 0\ . \tag{3.2}$$

On decomposing F^I as

$$F^I = FX^I + G^I \tag{3.3}$$

where

$$X_I F^I = F, \qquad X_I G^I = 0\ . \tag{3.4}$$

the KSEs can then be rewritten in terms of F and G^I as

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu\epsilon \equiv \nabla_\mu\epsilon + \frac{i}{8}\Big(\Gamma_\mu{}^{\nu\rho} - 4\delta_\mu{}^\nu\Gamma^\rho\Big)F_{\nu\rho}\epsilon + \Big(-\frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I A^I{}_\mu + \frac{1}{2}\chi V_I X^I\Gamma_\mu\Big)\epsilon = 0\ , \tag{3.5}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}^I\epsilon \equiv \Big[G^I{}_{\mu\nu}\Gamma^{\mu\nu} + 2i\Gamma^\mu\partial_\mu X^I - 6i\chi\Big(Q^{IJ} - \frac{2}{3}X^I X^J\Big)V_J\Big]\epsilon = 0\ . \tag{3.6}$$

3.1 Near-horizon Data

In order to study near-horizon geometries we need to introduce a coordinate system which is regular and adapted to the horizon. We will consider a five-dimensional stationary black hole metric, for which the horizon is a Killing horizon, and the metric is regular at the horizon. A set of Gaussian Null coordinates [23, 20] $\{u, r, y^I\}$ will be used to describe the metric, where r denotes the radial distance away from the event horizon which is located at $r = 0$ and $y^I,\ I = 1, \ldots, 3$ are local co-ordinates on $\mathcal{S}$. The metric components have no dependence on u, and the timelike isometry $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ is null on the horizon at $r = 0$. The black hole metric in a patch containing the horizon is given by

$$ds^2 = 2dudr + 2rh_I(r, y)dudy^I - rf(r, y)du^2 + ds^2_{\mathcal{S}}\ . \tag{3.7}$$

The spatial horizon section $\mathcal{S}$ is given by $u = const,\ r = 0$ with the metric

$$ds^2_{\mathcal{S}} = \gamma_{IJ}(r, y)dy^I dy^J\ . \tag{3.8}$$

We assume that $\mathcal{S}$ is compact, connected and without boundary. The 1-form h, scalar Δ and metric γ are functions of r and y^I; they are analytic in r and regular at the horizon. The surface gravity associated with the Killing horizon is given by $\kappa = \frac{1}{2}f(y,0)$. The near-horizon limit is a particular decoupling limit defined by

$$r \to \epsilon r,\ u \to \epsilon^{-1}u,\ y^I \to y^I, \qquad \text{and} \qquad \epsilon \to 0\ . \tag{3.9}$$

This limit is only defined when $f(y,0) = 0$, which implies that the surface gravity vanishes, $\kappa = 0$. Hence the near horizon geometry is only well defined for extreme black holes, and we shall consider only extremal black holes here. After taking the limit (3.9) we obtain,

$$ds^2_{NH} = 2dudr + 2rh_I(y)dudy^I - r^2\Delta(y)du^2 + \gamma_{IJ}(y)dy^Idy^J\ . \tag{3.10}$$

In particular, the form of the metric remains unchanged from (3.7), however the 1-form h, scalar Δ and metric γ on $\mathcal{S}$ no longer have any radial dependence [1]. For $N = 2$, $D = 5$ supergravity, in addition to the metric, there are also gauge field strengths and scalars. We will assume that these are also analytic in r and regular at the horizon, and that there is also a consistent near-horizon limit for these matter fields:

$$\begin{aligned} A^I &= -r\alpha^I\mathbf{e}^+ + \tilde{A}^I \\ F^I &= \mathbf{e}^+\wedge\mathbf{e}^-\alpha^I + r\mathbf{e}^+\wedge\beta^I + \tilde{F}^I\ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

where $F^I = dA^I$ and we have introduced the frame

$$\mathbf{e}^+ = du, \qquad \mathbf{e}^- = dr + rh - \frac{1}{2}r^2\Delta du, \qquad \mathbf{e}^i = e^i{}_I dy^I\ , \tag{3.12}$$

in which the metric is

$$ds^2 = 2\mathbf{e}^+\mathbf{e}^- + \delta_{ij}\mathbf{e}^i\mathbf{e}^j\ . \tag{3.13}$$

We can also express the near horizon fields F and G^I in this frame as

$$\begin{aligned} F &= \mathbf{e}^+\wedge\mathbf{e}^-\alpha + r\mathbf{e}^+\wedge\beta + \tilde{F} \\ G^I &= \mathbf{e}^+\wedge\mathbf{e}^-L^I + r\mathbf{e}^+\wedge M^I + \tilde{G}^I \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where $X_IL^I = X_IM^I = X_I\tilde{G}^I = 0$ and we set $\alpha = X_I\alpha^I$, $\tilde{F} = X_I\tilde{F}^I$ and $\beta = X_I\beta^I$.

3.2 Solving the KSEs along the Lightcone

For supersymmetric near-horizon horizons we assume there exists an $\epsilon \neq 0$ which is a solution to the KSEs. In this section, we will determine the neccessary conditions on the Killing spinor. To do this we first integrate along the two lightcone directions i.e. we integrate the KSEs along the u and r coordinates. To do this, we decompose ϵ as

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_+ + \epsilon_-\ , \tag{3.15}$$

[1] The near-horizon metric (3.10) also has a new scale symmetry, $r \to \lambda r,\ u \to \lambda^{-1}u$ generated by the Killing vector $L = u\partial_u - r\partial_r$. This, together with the Killing vector $V = \partial_u$ satisfy the algebra $[V, L] = V$ and they form a 2-dimensional non-abelian symmetry group $\mathcal{G}_2$. We shall show that this further enhances into a larger symmetry algebra, which will include a $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ subalgebra.

where $\Gamma_\pm\epsilon_\pm = 0$, and find that

$$\epsilon_+ = \phi_+(u,y) \ , \quad \epsilon_- = \phi_- + r\Gamma_-\Theta_+\phi_+ \ , \tag{3.16}$$

and

$$\phi_- = \eta_- \ , \quad \phi_+ = \eta_+ + u\Gamma_+\Theta_-\eta_- \ , \tag{3.17}$$

where

$$\Theta_\pm \ = \ \frac{1}{4}h_i\Gamma^i - \frac{i}{8}(\tilde{F}_{jk}\Gamma^{jk} \pm 4\alpha) - \frac{1}{2}\chi V_I X^I \tag{3.18}$$

and $\eta_\pm$ depend only on the coordinates of the spatial horizon section $\mathcal{S}$. Substituting the solution (3.16) of the KSEs along the light cone directions back into the gravitino KSE (3.5), and appropriately expanding in the r and u coordinates, we find that for the $\mu = \pm$ components, one obtains the additional conditions

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{8}(dh)_{ij}\Gamma^{ij} - \frac{i}{4}\beta_i\Gamma^i + \frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I\alpha^I\Big)\phi_+ \\ + \ &2\Big(\frac{1}{4}h_i\Gamma^i - \frac{i}{8}(-\tilde{F}_{jk}\Gamma^{jk} + 4\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}\chi V_I X^I\Big)\tau_+ = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

$$\Big(\frac{1}{4}\Delta h_i\Gamma^i - \frac{1}{4}\partial_i\Delta\Gamma^i\Big)\phi_+ + \Big(- \frac{1}{8}(dh)_{ij}\Gamma^{ij} + \frac{3i}{4}\beta_i\Gamma^i + \frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I\alpha^I\Big)\tau_+ = 0 \ , \tag{3.20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\Big(- \frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{8}(dh)_{ij}\Gamma^{ij} - \frac{3i}{4}\beta_i\Gamma^i + \frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I\alpha^I \\ + \ &2\big(- \frac{1}{4}h_i\Gamma^i - \frac{i}{8}(\tilde{F}_{jk}\Gamma^{jk} + 4\alpha) - \frac{1}{2}\chi V_I X^I\big)\Theta_-\Big)\phi_- = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Similarly the $\mu = i$ component of the gravitino KSEs gives

$$\tilde{\nabla}_i\phi_\pm + \Big(\mp \frac{1}{4}h_i \mp \frac{i}{4}\alpha\Gamma_i + \frac{i}{8}\tilde{F}_{jk}\Gamma_i{}^{jk} - \frac{i}{2}\tilde{F}_{ij}\Gamma^j - \frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I\tilde{A}^I{}_i + \frac{1}{2}\chi V_I X^I\Gamma_i\Big)\phi_\pm = 0 \ , \tag{3.22}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{\nabla}_i\tau_+ + \Big(- \frac{3}{4}h_i - \frac{i}{4}\alpha\Gamma_i - \frac{i}{8}\tilde{F}_{jk}\Gamma_i{}^{jk} + \frac{i}{2}\tilde{F}_{ij}\Gamma^j - \frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I\tilde{A}^I{}_i - \frac{1}{2}\chi V_I X^I\Gamma_i\Big)\tau_+ \\ &+\Big(- \frac{1}{4}(dh)_{ij}\Gamma^j - \frac{i}{4}\beta_j\Gamma_i{}^j + \frac{i}{2}\beta_i\Big)\phi_+ = 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

where we have set

$$\tau_+ = \Theta_+\phi_+ \ . \tag{3.24}$$

Similarly, substituting the solution of the KSEs (3.16) into the algebraic KSE (3.6) and expanding appropriately in the u and r coordinates, we find

$$\Big[\tilde{G}^I{}_{ij}\Gamma^{ij} \mp 2L^I + 2i\tilde{\nabla}_i X^I \Gamma^i - 6i\chi\Big(Q^{IJ} - \frac{2}{3}X^I X^J\Big)V_J\Big]\phi_\pm = 0 \ , \tag{3.25}$$

$$\Big[\tilde{G}^I{}_{ij}\Gamma^{ij} + 2L^I - 2i\tilde{\nabla}_i X^I \Gamma^i - 6i\chi\Big(Q^{IJ} - \frac{2}{3}X^I X^J\Big)V_J\Big]\tau_+ + 2M^I{}_i\Gamma^i\phi_+ = 0 \ . \tag{3.26}$$

In the next section, we will demonstrate that many of the above conditions are redundant as they are implied by the independent KSEs[2] (3.27), upon using the field equations and Bianchi identities.

3.3 The Independent KSEs on $\mathcal{S}$

The integrability conditions of the KSEs in any supergravity theory are known to imply some of the Bianchi identities and field equations. Also, the KSEs are first order differential equations which are usually easier to solve than the field equations which are second order. As a result, the standard approach to find solutions is to first solve all the KSEs and then impose the remaining independent components of the field equations and Bianchi identities as required. We will take a different approach here because of the difficulty of solving the KSEs and the algebraic conditions which include the τ_+ spinor given in (3.24). Furthermore, we are particularly interested in the minimal set of conditions required for supersymmetry, in order to systematically analyse the necessary and sufficient conditions for supersymmetry enhancement.

In particular, the conditions (3.19), (3.20), (3.23), and (3.26) which contain τ_+ are implied from those containing ϕ_+, along with some of the field equations and Bianchi identities. Furthermore, (3.21) and the terms linear in u in (3.22) and (3.25) from the $+$ component are implied by the field equations, Bianchi identities and the $-$ component of (3.22) and (3.25). Details of the calculations used to show this are presented in Appendix E.

On taking this into account, it follows that, on making use of the field equations and Bianchi identities, the independent KSEs are

$$\nabla^{(\pm)}_i \eta_\pm = 0, \qquad \mathcal{A}^{I,(\pm)}\eta_\pm = 0 \tag{3.27}$$

where

$$\nabla^{(\pm)}_i = \tilde{\nabla}_i + \Psi^{(\pm)}_i \tag{3.28}$$

with

$$\Psi^{(\pm)}_i \ = \ \mp\frac{1}{4}h_i \mp \frac{i}{4}\alpha\Gamma_i + \frac{i}{8}\tilde{F}_{jk}\Gamma_i{}^{jk} - \frac{i}{2}\tilde{F}_{ij}\Gamma^j - \frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I \tilde{A}^I{}_i + \frac{1}{2}\chi V_I X^I \Gamma_i \ , \tag{3.29}$$

[2]These are given by the naive restriction of the KSEs on $\mathcal{S}$.

and

$$\mathcal{A}^{I,(\pm)} = \tilde{G}^I{}_{ij}\Gamma^{ij} \mp 2L^I + 2i\tilde{\nabla}_i X^I \Gamma^i - 6i\chi\left(Q^{IJ} - \frac{2}{3}X^I X^J\right)V_J \ . \tag{3.30}$$

These are derived from the naive restriction of the supercovariant derivative and the algebraic KSE on $\mathcal{S}$. Furthermore, if η_- solves (3.27) then

$$\eta_+ = \Gamma_+ \Theta_- \eta_- \ , \tag{3.31}$$

also solves (3.27). However, further analysis using global techniques, is required in order to determine if Θ_- has a non-trivial kernel.

4 Global Analysis: Lichnerowicz Theorems

In this section, we shall establish a correspondence between parallel spinors $\eta_\pm$ satisfying (3.27), and spinors in the kernel of appropriately defined horizon Dirac operators. We define the horizon Dirac operators associated with the supercovariant derivatives following from the gravitino KSE as

$$\mathscr{D}^{(\pm)} \equiv \Gamma^i \nabla_i^{(\pm)} = \Gamma^i \tilde{\nabla}_i + \Psi^{(\pm)} \ , \tag{4.1}$$

where

$$\Psi^{(\pm)} \equiv \Gamma^i \Psi_i^{(\pm)} = \mp\frac{1}{4}h_i\Gamma^i \mp \frac{3i}{4}\alpha - \frac{3i}{8}\tilde{F}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2} - \frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I \tilde{A}^I{}_i \Gamma^i + \frac{3}{2}\chi V_I X^I \ . \tag{4.2}$$

To establish the Lichnerowicz type theorems, we begin by calculating the Laplacian of $\| \eta_\pm \|^2$. Here we will assume throughout that $\mathscr{D}^{(\pm)}\eta_\pm = 0$, so

$$\tilde{\nabla}^i\tilde{\nabla}_i||\eta_\pm||^2 = 2\mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_\pm, \tilde{\nabla}^i\tilde{\nabla}_i\eta_\pm\rangle + 2\mathrm{Re}\langle\tilde{\nabla}^i\eta_\pm, \tilde{\nabla}_i\eta_\pm\rangle \ . \tag{4.3}$$

To evaluate this expression note that

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\nabla}^i\tilde{\nabla}_i\eta_\pm &= \Gamma^i\tilde{\nabla}_i(\Gamma^j\tilde{\nabla}_j\eta_\pm) - \Gamma^{ij}\tilde{\nabla}_i\tilde{\nabla}_j\eta_\pm \\
&= \Gamma^i\tilde{\nabla}_i(\Gamma^j\tilde{\nabla}_j\eta_\pm) + \frac{1}{4}\tilde{R}\eta_\pm \\
&= \Gamma^i\tilde{\nabla}_i(-\Psi^{(\pm)}\eta_\pm) + \frac{1}{4}\tilde{R}\eta_\pm \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

Therefore the first term in (4.3) can be written as,

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_\pm, \tilde{\nabla}^i\tilde{\nabla}_i\eta_\pm\rangle = \frac{1}{4}\tilde{R} \parallel \eta_\pm \parallel^2 + \mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_\pm, \Gamma^i\tilde{\nabla}_i(-\Psi^{(\pm)})\eta_\pm\rangle + \mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_\pm, \Gamma^i(-\Psi^{(\pm)})\tilde{\nabla}_i\eta_\pm\rangle \ . \tag{4.5}$$

For the second term in (4.3) we write,

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle\tilde{\nabla}^i\eta_\pm, \tilde{\nabla}_i\eta_\pm\rangle = \parallel \nabla^{(\pm)}\eta_\pm \parallel^2 - 2\mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_\pm, \Psi^{(\pm)i\dagger}\tilde{\nabla}_i\eta_\pm\rangle - \mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_\pm, \Psi^{(\pm)i\dagger}\Psi_i^{(\pm)}\eta_\pm\rangle. \tag{4.6}$$

We remark that † is the adjoint with respect to the $Spin_c(3)$-invariant inner product $\mathrm{Re}\langle\ ,\ \rangle$.[3] Therefore using (4.5) and (4.6) with (4.3) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla}^i\tilde{\nabla}_i||\eta_\pm||^2 &= \parallel \nabla^{(\pm)}\eta_\pm \parallel^2 + \mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_\pm, \left(\frac{1}{4}\tilde{R} + \Gamma^i\tilde{\nabla}_i(-\Psi^{(\pm)}) - \Psi^{(\pm)i\dagger}\Psi_i^{(\pm)}\right)\eta_\pm\rangle \\ &+ \mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_\pm, \left(\Gamma^i(-\Psi^{(\pm)}) - 2\Psi^{(\pm)i\dagger}\right)\tilde{\nabla}_i\eta_\pm\rangle\ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

In order to simplify the expression for the Laplacian, we observe that the second line in (4.7) can be rewritten as

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_\pm, \left(\Gamma^i(-\Psi^{(\pm)}) - 2\Psi^{(\pm)i\dagger}\right)\tilde{\nabla}_i\eta_\pm\rangle = \mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_\pm, \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}\Gamma^i\tilde{\nabla}_i\eta_\pm\rangle \pm \frac{1}{2}h^i\tilde{\nabla}_i \parallel \eta_\pm \parallel^2\ , \tag{4.8}$$

where

$$\mathcal{F}^{(\pm)} = \mp\frac{1}{4}h_j\Gamma^j \pm \frac{i}{4}\alpha + \frac{i}{8}\tilde{F}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2} - \frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I\tilde{A}^I{}_\ell\Gamma^\ell - \frac{5}{2}\chi V_I X^I\ . \tag{4.9}$$

We also have the following identities

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_+, \Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2}\eta_+\rangle = \mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_+, \Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3}\eta_+\rangle = 0 \tag{4.10}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_+, i\Gamma^\ell\eta_+\rangle = 0\ . \tag{4.11}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla}^i\tilde{\nabla}_i||\eta_\pm||^2 &= \parallel \nabla^{(\pm)}\eta_\pm \parallel^2 \pm\frac{1}{2}h^i\tilde{\nabla}_i \parallel \eta_\pm \parallel^2 \\ &+ \mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_\pm, \left(\frac{1}{4}\tilde{R} + \Gamma^i\tilde{\nabla}_i(-\Psi^{(\pm)}) - \Psi^{(\pm)i\dagger}\Psi_i^{(\pm)} + \mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(-\Psi^{(\pm)})\right)\eta_\pm\rangle\ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

It is also useful to evaluate $\tilde{R}$ using (D.9); we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R} &= -\tilde{\nabla}^i(h_i) + \frac{1}{2}h^2 + \frac{3}{2}\alpha^2 + \frac{3}{4}\tilde{F}^2 - 2\chi^2 U \\ &+ Q_{IJ}\left(\tilde{\nabla}^i X^I\tilde{\nabla}_i X^J + L^I L^J + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{G}^I{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\tilde{G}^{J\ell_1\ell_2}\right)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

[3] This inner product is positive definite and symmetric.

One obtains, upon using the field equations and Bianchi identities,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\Big(\frac{1}{4}\tilde{R}+\Gamma^i\tilde{\nabla}_i(-\Psi^{(\pm)})-\Psi^{(\pm)i\dagger}\Psi_i^{(\pm)}+\mathcal{F}^{(\pm)}(-\Psi^{(\pm)})\Big)\eta_\pm\\
=\;&\Big[\frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I\tilde{\nabla}^\ell(\tilde{A}^I{}_\ell)\mp\frac{3i}{4}\chi V_I\tilde{A}^I{}_\ell h^\ell\mp\frac{9i}{4}\chi V_I X^I\alpha+\big(\pm\frac{1}{4}\tilde{\nabla}_{\ell_1}(h_{\ell_2})\mp\frac{3}{16}\alpha\tilde{F}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\big)\Gamma^{\ell_2\ell_2}\\
+\;&i\big(\pm\frac{3}{4}\tilde{\nabla}_\ell(\alpha)+\frac{3}{4}\tilde{\nabla}^j(\tilde{F}_{j\ell})-\frac{1}{8}h_\ell\alpha\mp\frac{1}{4}h^j\tilde{F}_{j\ell}-\frac{3}{2}\chi^2V_JX^JV_I\tilde{A}^I{}_\ell\big)\Gamma^\ell\\
+\;&\frac{3}{8}\chi V_I\tilde{A}^I{}_{\ell_1}\tilde{F}_{\ell_2\ell_3}\Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3}\Big]\eta_\pm\\
+\;&\Big(\frac{1}{8}Q_{IJ}\tilde{G}^{I\ell_1\ell_2}\tilde{G}^J{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}+\frac{1}{4}Q_{IJ}L^IL^J+\frac{9}{4}\chi^2V_IV_JQ^{IJ}-\frac{3}{2}\chi^2V_IV_JX^IX^J\\
+\;&\frac{1}{4}Q_{IJ}\tilde{\nabla}_\ell X^I\tilde{\nabla}^\ell X^J+\frac{3i}{8}\tilde{G}^I{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\tilde{\nabla}_{\ell_3}X_I\Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3}-\frac{3}{2}\chi V_I\tilde{\nabla}_\ell X^I\Gamma^\ell+\frac{3i}{4}\chi V_I\tilde{G}^I{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2}\Big)\eta_\pm\\
-\;&\frac{1}{4}\big(1\mp1\big)\tilde{\nabla}^i(h_i)\eta_\pm\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.14}
$$

One can show that the fourth and fifth line in (4.14) can be written in terms of the algebraic KSE (3.30), in particular we find,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{16}Q_{IJ}\mathcal{A}^{I,(\pm)\dagger}\mathcal{A}^{J,(\pm)}\eta_\pm\;=\;&\Big(\frac{1}{8}Q_{IJ}\tilde{G}^{I\ell_1\ell_2}\tilde{G}^J{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}+\frac{1}{4}Q_{IJ}L^IL^J+\frac{9}{4}\chi^2V_IV_JQ^{IJ}\\
-\;&\frac{3}{2}\chi^2V_IV_JX^IX^J+\frac{1}{4}Q_{IJ}\tilde{\nabla}_\ell X^I\tilde{\nabla}^\ell X^J+\frac{3i}{8}\tilde{G}^I{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\tilde{\nabla}_{\ell_3}X_I\Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2\ell_3}\\
-\;&\frac{3}{2}\chi V_I\tilde{\nabla}_\ell X^I\Gamma^\ell+\frac{3i}{4}\chi V_I\tilde{G}^I{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2}\Big)\eta_\pm\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.15}
$$

Note that on using (4.10) and (4.11) all the terms on the RHS of the above expression, with the exception of the final three lines, vanish in the second line of (4.12) since all these terms in (4.14) are anti-Hermitian. Also, for η_+ the final line in (4.14) also vanishes and thus there is no contribution to the Laplacian of $\parallel\eta_+\parallel^2$ in (4.12). For η_- the final line in (4.14) does give an extra term in the Laplacian of $\parallel\eta_-\parallel^2$ in (4.12). For this reason, the analysis of the conditions imposed by the global properties of $\mathcal{S}$ is different in these two cases and thus we will consider the Laplacians of $\parallel\eta_\pm\parallel^2$ separately.

For the Laplacian of $\parallel\eta_+\parallel^2$, we obtain from (4.12):

$$
\tilde{\nabla}^i\tilde{\nabla}_i\parallel\eta_+\parallel^2-h^i\tilde{\nabla}_i\parallel\eta_+\parallel^2=2\parallel\nabla^{(+)}\eta_+\parallel^2+\frac{1}{16}Q_{IJ}\mathrm{Re}\langle\mathcal{A}^{I,(+)}\eta_+,\mathcal{A}^{J,(+)}\eta_+\rangle\ .
\tag{4.16}
$$

The maximum principle thus implies that η_+ are Killing spinors on $\mathcal{S}$ assuming that it is compact, connected and without boundary, i.e.

$$
\nabla^{(+)}\eta_+=0,\quad\mathcal{A}^{I,(+)}\eta_+=0
\tag{4.17}
$$

and moreover $\parallel\eta_+\parallel=$ const.

The Laplacian of $\| \eta_- \|^2$ is calculated from (4.12), on taking account of the contribution to the second line of (4.12) from the final line of (4.14). One obtains

$$\tilde{\nabla}^i\Big(\tilde{\nabla}_i \| \eta_- \|^2 + \| \eta_- \|^2 h_i\Big) = 2 \| \nabla^{(-)}\eta_- \|^2 + \frac{1}{16}Q_{IJ}\mathrm{Re}\langle \mathcal{A}^{I,(-)}\eta_-, \mathcal{A}^{J,(-)}\eta_-\rangle \ . \quad (4.18)$$

On integrating this over $\mathcal{S}$ and assuming that $\mathcal{S}$ is compact and without boundary, the LHS vanishes since it is a total derivative and one finds that η_- are Killing spinors on $\mathcal{S}$, i.e

$$\nabla^{(-)}\eta_- = 0, \qquad \mathcal{A}^{I,(-)}\eta_- = 0 \ . \quad (4.19)$$

This establishes the Lichnerowicz type theorems for both positive and negative chirality spinors $\eta_\pm$ which are in the kernels of the horizon Dirac operators $\mathscr{D}^{(\pm)}$: i.e.

$$\{\ \nabla^{(\pm)}\eta_\pm = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{A}^{I,(\pm)}\eta_\pm = 0\ \} \qquad \Longleftrightarrow \qquad \mathscr{D}^{(\pm)}\eta_\pm = 0 \ . \quad (4.20)$$

5 Supersymmetry Enhancement

In this section we will consider the counting of the number of supersymmetries, which will differ slightly in the ungauged and gauged case. We will denote by $N_\pm$ the number of linearly independent (over $\mathbb{C}$) $\eta_\pm$ Killing spinors i.e,

$$N_\pm = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Ker}\{\nabla^{(\pm)}, \mathcal{A}^{I,(\pm)}\} \ . \quad (5.1)$$

Consider a spinor η_+ satisfying the corresponding KSEs in (3.27). In the ungauged theory, the spinor $C*\eta_+$ also satisfies the same KSEs, and $C*\eta_+$ is linearly independent from η_+, where $C*$ denotes charge conjugation. So in the ungauged theory, N_+ must be even. However, in the gauged theory $C*\eta_+$ is not parallel, so N_+ need not be even.

The spinors in the KSEs of $N = 2, D = 5$ (un)gauged supergravity horizons with an arbitrary number of vector multiplets are Dirac spinors. In terms of the spinors $\eta_\pm$ restricted to $\mathcal{S}$, for the ungauged theory the spin bundle $\mathbb{S}$ decomposes as $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^+ \oplus \mathbb{S}^-$ where the signs refer to the projections with respect to $\Gamma_\pm$, and $\mathbb{S}^\pm$ are $Spin(3)$ bundles. For the gauged theory, the spin bundle $\mathbb{S}\otimes\mathcal{L}$, where $\mathcal{L}$ is a $U(1)$ bundle on $\mathcal{S}$, decomposes as $\mathbb{S}\otimes\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{S}^+\otimes\mathcal{L}\oplus\mathbb{S}^-\otimes\mathcal{L}$ where $\mathbb{S}^\pm\otimes\mathcal{L}$ are $Spin_c(3) = Spin(3).U(1)$.

To proceed further, we will show that the analysis which we have developed implies that the number of real supersymmetries of near-horizon geometries is $4N_+$. This is because the number of real supersymmetries is $N = 2(N_+ + N_-)$ and we shall establish that $N_+ = N_-$ via the following global analysis. In particular, utilizing the Lichnerowicz type theorems which we have established previously, we have

$$N_\pm = \dim \mathrm{Ker}\, \mathscr{D}^{(\pm)} \ . \quad (5.2)$$

Next let us focus on the index of the $\mathscr{D}^{(+)}$ operator. Since $\mathscr{D}^{(+)}$ is defined on the odd dimensional manifold $\mathcal{S}$, the index vanishes [34]. As a result, we conclude that

$$\dim \mathrm{Ker}\, \mathscr{D}^{(+)} = \dim \mathrm{Ker}\, (\mathscr{D}^{(+)})^\dagger \quad (5.3)$$

where $(\mathscr{D}^{(+)})^\dagger$ is the adjoint of $\mathscr{D}^{(+)}$. Furthermore observe that

$$\Gamma_-(\mathscr{D}^{(+)})^\dagger = \mathscr{D}^{(-)}\Gamma_- \ , \tag{5.4}$$

and so

$$N_- = \dim \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathscr{D}^{(-)}) = \dim \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathscr{D}^{(+)})^\dagger \ . \tag{5.5}$$

Therefore, we conclude that $N_+ = N_-$ and so the number of (real) supersymmetries of such horizons is $N = 2(N_+ + N_-) = 4N_+$.

5.1 Algebraic Relationship between η_+ and η_- Spinors

We shall exhibit the existence of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ symmetry of gauged $D = 5$ vector multiplet horizons by directly constructing the vector fields on the spacetime which generate the action of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$. The existence of these vector fields is a direct consequence of the doubling of the supersymmetries. We have seen that if η_- is a Killing spinor, then $\eta_+ = \Gamma_+\Theta_-\eta_-$ is also a Killing spinor provided that $\eta_+ \neq 0$. It turns out that under certain conditions this is always possible. To consider this we must investigate the kernel of Θ_-.

Lemma: Suppose that $\mathcal{S}$ and the fields satisfy the requirements for the maximum principle to apply, and that

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,\Theta_- \neq \{0\} \ . \tag{5.6}$$

Then the near-horizon data is trivial, i.e. all fluxes vanish and the scalars are constant.

Proof: Suppose that there is $\eta_- \neq 0$ such that $\Theta_-\eta_- = 0$. In such a case, (3.21) gives $\Delta \mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_-,\eta_-\rangle = 0$. Thus $\Delta = 0$, as η_- is no-where vanishing. Next, the gravitino KSE $\nabla^{(-)}\eta_- = 0$, together with $\mathrm{Re}\langle\eta_-,\Gamma_i\Theta_-\eta_-\rangle = 0$, imply that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_i \parallel \eta_- \parallel^2 = -h_i \parallel \eta_- \parallel^2 \ . \tag{5.7}$$

On taking the divergence of this expression, eliminating $\tilde{\nabla}^i h_i$ upon using (D.8), and after setting $\Delta = 0$, one finds

$$\tilde{\nabla}^i\tilde{\nabla}_i \parallel \eta_- \parallel^2 \ = \ \left(2\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{F}^2 + \frac{4}{3}Q_{IJ}L^IL^J + \frac{1}{3}Q_{IJ}\tilde{G}^{I\ell_1\ell_2}\tilde{G}^J{}_{\ell_1\ell_2} + \frac{4}{3}\chi^2U\right) \parallel \eta_- \parallel^2 \ . \tag{5.8}$$

As we have assumed that Q_{IJ} is positive definite, and that $U \geq 0$, the maximum principle implies that $\parallel \eta_- \parallel^2$ is constant. We conclude that $\alpha = \tilde{F} = L^I = \tilde{G}^I = U = 0$ and from (3.25) that X^I is constant. Also $U = 0$ implies $V_I = 0$. Furthermore, (5.7) implies that $dh = 0$, and then (D.11) implies that $\beta = M^I = 0$. Finally, integrating (D.8) over the horizon section implies that $h = 0$. Thus, all the fluxes vanish, and the scalars are constant. □

We remark that in the ungauged theory, if $\mathrm{Ker}\,\Theta_- \neq \{0\}$, triviality of the near-horizon data implies that the spacetime geometry is $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times T^3$. In the case of the gauged theory, imposing $\mathrm{Ker}\,\Theta_- \neq \{0\}$ leads directly to a contradiction. To see this, note that the condition $U = 0$ implies that

$$V_I V_J (X^I X^J - \frac{1}{2} Q^{IJ}) = 0 \ . \tag{5.9}$$

However the algebraic KSE imply that

$$V_I V_J (Q^{IJ} - \frac{2}{3} X^I X^J) = 0 \ . \tag{5.10}$$

These conditions cannot hold simultaneously, so there is a contradiction.

Hence, to exclude both the trivial $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times T^3$ solution in the ungauged theory, and the contradiction in the gauged theory, we shall henceforth take $\mathrm{Ker}\,\Theta_- = \{0\}$.

5.2 The $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ Symmetry

Having established how to obtain η_+ type spinors from η_- spinors, we next proceed to determine the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ spacetime symmetry. First note that the spacetime Killing spinor ϵ can be expressed in terms of $\eta_\pm$ as

$$\epsilon = \eta_+ + u\Gamma_+\Theta_-\eta_- + \eta_- + r\Gamma_-\Theta_+\eta_+ + ru\Gamma_-\Theta_+\Gamma_+\Theta_-\eta_- \ . \tag{5.11}$$

Since the η_- and η_+ Killing spinors appear in pairs for supersymmetric horizons, let us choose a η_- Killing spinor. Then from the previous results, horizons with non-trivial fluxes also admit $\eta_+ = \Gamma_+\Theta_-\eta_-$ as a Killing spinor. Taking η_- and $\eta_+ = \Gamma_+\Theta_-\eta_-$, one can construct two linearly independent Killing spinors on the spacetime as

$$\epsilon_1 = \eta_- + u\eta_+ + ru\Gamma_-\Theta_+\eta_+ \ , \quad \epsilon_2 = \eta_+ + r\Gamma_-\Theta_+\eta_+ \ . \tag{5.12}$$

It is known from the general theory of supersymmetric $D = 5$ backgrounds that for any Killing spinors ζ_1 and ζ_2 the dual vector field $K(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ of the 1-form bilinear

$$\omega(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \ = \ \mathrm{Re}\langle (\Gamma_+ - \Gamma_-)\zeta_1, \Gamma_a \zeta_2 \rangle \, e^a \tag{5.13}$$

is a Killing vector which leaves invariant all the other bosonic fields of the theory, i.e.

$$\mathcal{L}_K g = \mathcal{L}_K X^I = \mathcal{L}_K F^I = 0 \ . \tag{5.14}$$

Evaluating the 1-form bilinears of the Killing spinor ϵ_1 and ϵ_2, we find that

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_1(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) &= (2r\mathrm{Re}\langle \Gamma_+\eta_-, \Theta_+\eta_+\rangle + 4ur^2 \parallel \Theta_+\eta_+ \parallel^2)\, \mathbf{e}^+ - 2u \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 \ \mathbf{e}^- \\
&+ (\mathrm{Re}\langle \Gamma_+\eta_-, \Gamma_i\eta_+\rangle + 4ur\mathrm{Re}\langle \eta_+, \Gamma_i\Theta_+\eta_+\rangle)\mathbf{e}^i \ , \\
\omega_2(\epsilon_2, \epsilon_2) &= 4r^2 \parallel \Theta_+\eta_+ \parallel^2 \ \mathbf{e}^+ - 2 \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 \mathbf{e}^- + 4r\mathrm{Re}\langle \eta_+, \Gamma_i\Theta_+\eta_+\rangle \mathbf{e}^i \ , \\
\omega_3(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_1) &= (2 \parallel \eta_- \parallel^2 + 4ru\mathrm{Re}\langle \Gamma_+\eta_-, \Theta_+\eta_+\rangle + 4r^2u^2 \parallel \Theta_+\eta_+ \parallel^2)\mathbf{e}^+ \\
&- 2u^2 \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 \mathbf{e}^- + (2u\mathrm{Re}\langle \Gamma_+\eta_-, \Gamma_i\eta_+\rangle + 4u^2r\mathrm{Re}\langle \eta_+, \Gamma_i\Theta_+\eta_+\rangle)\mathbf{e}^i \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.15}$$

Moreover, we can establish the following identities

$$-\Delta \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 +4 \parallel \Theta_+\eta_+ \parallel^2= 0 \ , \quad \mathrm{Re}\langle \eta_+, \Gamma_i\Theta_+\eta_+\rangle = 0 \ , \tag{5.16}$$

which follow from the first integrability condition in (3.19), $\parallel \eta_+ \parallel=$ const and the KSEs of η_+. Further simplification to the bilinears can be obtained by making use of (5.16). We then obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_1(\epsilon_1,\epsilon_2) &= (2r\mathrm{Re}\langle\Gamma_+\eta_-,\Theta_+\eta_+\rangle + ur^2\Delta \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2)\, \mathbf{e}^+ - 2u \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 \ \mathbf{e}^- + \tilde{V}_i\mathbf{e}^i \ , \\
\omega_2(\epsilon_2,\epsilon_2) &= r^2\Delta \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 \ \mathbf{e}^+ - 2 \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 \mathbf{e}^- \ , \\
\omega_3(\epsilon_1,\epsilon_1) &= (2 \parallel \eta_- \parallel^2 +4ru\mathrm{Re}\langle\Gamma_+\eta_-,\Theta_+\eta_+\rangle + r^2u^2\Delta \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2)\mathbf{e}^+ \\
&\qquad -2u^2 \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 \mathbf{e}^- + 2u\tilde{V}_i\mathbf{e}^i \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

where we have set

$$\tilde{V}_i = \mathrm{Re}\langle\Gamma_+\eta_-,\Gamma_i\eta_+\rangle \ . \tag{5.18}$$

To uncover explicitly the $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ symmetry of such horizons it remains to compute the Lie bracket algebra of the vector fields K_1, K_2 and K_3 which are dual to the 1-form spinor bilinears ω_1, ω_2 and ω_3. In simplifying the resulting expressions, we shall make use of the following identities

$$\begin{aligned}
&-2 \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 -h_i\tilde{V}^i + 2\mathrm{Re}\langle\Gamma_+\eta_-,\Theta_+\eta_+\rangle = 0 \ , \quad i_{\tilde{V}}(dh) + 2d\mathrm{Re}\langle\Gamma_+\eta_-,\Theta_+\eta_+\rangle = 0 \ , \\
&2\mathrm{Re}\langle\Gamma_+\eta_-,\Theta_+\eta_+\rangle - \Delta \parallel \eta_- \parallel^2= 0 \ , \quad \tilde{V}+ \parallel \eta_- \parallel^2 h + d \parallel \eta_- \parallel^2= 0 \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.19}$$

We then obtain the following dual Killing vector fields:

$$\begin{aligned}
K_1 &= -2u \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 \partial_u + 2r \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 \partial_r + \tilde{V} \ , \\
K_2 &= -2 \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 \partial_u \ , \\
K_3 &= -2u^2 \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 \partial_u + (2 \parallel \eta_- \parallel^2 +4ru \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2)\partial_r + 2u\tilde{V} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.20}$$

As we have previously mentioned, each of these Killing vectors also leaves invariant all the other bosonic fields in the theory. It is then straightforward to determine the algebra satisfied by these isometries:

Theorem: The Lie bracket algebra of K_1, K_2 and K_3 is $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$.

Proof: Using the identities summarised above, one can demonstrate after a direct computation that

$$[K_1,K_2] = 2 \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 K_2 \ , \quad [K_2,K_3] = -4 \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 K_1 \ , \quad [K_3,K_1] = 2 \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 K_3 \ . \tag{5.21}$$

5.3 Isometries of $\mathcal{S}$

It is known that the vector fields associated with the 1-form Killing spinor bilinears given in (5.13) leave invariant all the fields of gauged $D = 5$ supergravity with vector multiplets.

In particular suppose that $\tilde{V} \neq 0$. The isometries K_a $(a = 1, 2, 3)$ leave all the bosonic fields invariant:

$$\mathcal{L}_{K_a} g = 0, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{K_a} F^I = 0, \qquad \mathcal{L}_{K_a} X^I = 0 \ . \tag{5.22}$$

Imposing these conditions and expanding in u, r, and also making use of the identities (5.19), one finds that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_{(i}\tilde{V}_{j)} = 0 \ , \quad \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}} h = \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}} \Delta = 0 \ , \quad \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}} X^I = 0 \ , \quad \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}} \tilde{F} = \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}} \alpha = \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}} L^I = \mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}} \tilde{G}^I = 0 \ . \tag{5.23}$$

Therefore $\tilde{V}$ is an isometry of $\mathcal{S}$ and leaves all the fluxes on $\mathcal{S}$ invariant. In fact,$\tilde{V}$ is a spacetime isometry as well. Furthermore, the conditions (5.19) imply that $\mathcal{L}_{\tilde{V}} \parallel \eta_- \parallel^2 = 0$.

5.4 Solutions with $\tilde{V} = 0$

A special case arises for $\tilde{V} = 0$, where the group action generated by K_1, K_2 and K_3 has only 2-dimensional orbits. A direct substitution of this condition in (5.19) reveals that

$$\Delta \parallel \eta_- \parallel^2 = 2 \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 \ , \quad h = \Delta^{-1} d\Delta \ . \tag{5.24}$$

Since h is exact, such horizons are static. A coordinate transformation $r \to \Delta r$ reveals that the geometry is a warped product of AdS_2 with $\mathcal{S}$, $AdS_2 \times_w \mathcal{S}$.

To further investigate these solutions, in particular in the gauged theory, it will be useful to define the 1-form spinor bilinear Z on $\mathcal{S}$ by

$$Z_i = \langle \eta_+, \Gamma_i \eta_+ \rangle \tag{5.25}$$

We remark that as a consequence of Fierz identities, this bilinear satisfies

$$Z^2 = (\parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2)^2 \tag{5.26}$$

and in what follows we shall without loss of generality set $\parallel \eta_+ \parallel = 1$. Furthermore, (5.24) implies that Δ is positive everywhere on $\mathcal{S}$. To proceed note that (5.16) implies

$$h - \tilde{\star}\tilde{F} = 2\chi V_I X^I Z \tag{5.27}$$

where $\tilde{\star}$ denotes the Hodge dual on $\mathcal{S}$. This condition can be used to eliminate $\tilde{F}$ from the reduced gravitino KSE on $\mathcal{S}$, (3.27), and one obtains the condition

$$\tilde{\nabla}^i \big(\Delta^{-2} Z_i\big) = -6\Delta^{-2} \chi V_I X^I \tag{5.28}$$

on setting $Z^2 = 1$, and using (5.24) to eliminate h in terms of $d\Delta$. Integrating this expression over $\mathcal{S}$ gives

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \Delta^{-2} \chi V_I X^I = 0 \tag{5.29}$$

So, for the case of the gauged theory, there must exist a point on $\mathcal{S}$ at which $V_I X^I = 0$. However, at such a point $U = -\frac{9}{2} Q^{IJ} V_I V_J < 0$, in contradiction to our assumption that $U \geq 0$ on $\mathcal{S}$. Hence, it follows that there are no near-horizon geometries in the gauged theory for which $\tilde{V} = 0$.

6 Conclusion

We have investigated the supersymmetry preserved by horizons in $N = 2, D = 5$ gauged, and ungauged, supergravity with an arbitrary number of vector multiplets. Making use of global techniques, we have demonstrated that such horizons always admit $N = 4N_+$ (real) supersymmetries. Furthermore, in the ungauged theory, we have shown that N_+ must be even. Therefore, all supersymmetric near-horizon geometries in the ungauged theory must be maximally supersymmetric. We have also shown that the near-horizon geometries possess a $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ symmetry group. The analysis that we have conducted is further evidence that this type of symmetry enhancement is a generic property of supersymmetric black holes.

In fact, the complete classification of the geometries in the ungauged theory is quite straightforward, because the identity

$$K_2 = -2 \parallel \eta_+ \parallel^2 \partial_u \ . \tag{6.30}$$

implies that the timelike isometry ∂_u can be written as a spinor bilinear. All supersymmetric near-horizon geometries in the ungauged theory for which ∂_u can be written as a spinor bilinear in this fashion have been fully classified in [32]. In particular, the solutions reduce to those of the minimal ungauged theory and the scalars are constant. The supersymmetry enhancement in this case therefore automatically imposes an attractor-type mechanism, whereby the scalars take constant values on the horizon.

The possible near-horizon geometries in the ungauged theory are therefore $\mathbb{R}^{1,1} \times T^3$; and $AdS_3 \times S^2$, corresponding to the near-horizon black string/ring geometry [6, 35, 9]; and the near-horizon BMPV solution [4, 36]. For near-horizon solutions in the gauged theory, the total number of supersymmetries is either 4 or 8. In the case of maximal supersymmetry, the geometry is locally isometric to AdS_5, with $F^I = 0$ and constant scalars.[4]

It remains to classify the geometries of $N = 4$ solutions in the gauged theory; details of this will be given elsewhere. We have shown that the horizon sections of these solutions admit at least one rotational isometry $\tilde{V}$, which is a symmetry of the full solution. It would be interesting to determine if additional isometries also exist. This is because the analysis in [13] provides a complete classification of near-horizon geometries of supersymmetric black holes of $U(1)^3$-gauged supergravity with vector multiplets, assuming the existence of two commuting rotational isometries on the horizon section. In this case, the classification for the geometry of the horizon shows that it is either spherical S^3, $S^1 \times S^2$ or a T^3 - the last two have no analogue in the minimal gauged theory, corresponding to the near-horizon geometry $AdS_3 \times S^2$ and $AdS_3 \times T^2$. The difference between the minimal theory and the STU theory in this context is encoded in the parameter

$$\lambda = Q^{IJ} V_I V_J - (V_I X^I)^2 \tag{6.31}$$

The near-horizon geometries constructed in [13] for which $S^1 \times S^2$ arises as a solution are required to have $\lambda > 0$ as a consequence of the analysis of the geometry. This condition

[4] As observed in [37], there also exist discrete quotients of AdS_5 preserving 6 out of 8 supersymmetries. In this case, the spinors which are excluded are not smooth due to the periodic identification.

can be satisfied in the STU theory, but not in minimal gauged supergravity. In fact, supersymmetric AdS_5 black rings have been excluded from minimal gauged supergravity in [28]. This analysis did not assume the existence of two commuting rotational isometries, rather it derived the existence of such isometries via the supersymmetry enhancement mechanism. The possibility of an AdS_5 black ring remains for the gauged STU theory. As we have noted, a regular supersymmetric near-horizon geometry with $S^1 \times S^2$ event horizon topology is known to exist in the gauged STU theory. There are no known obstructions, analogous to the stability analysis considered in [41], to extending the near-horizon solution into the bulk, and it is unknown if a supersymmetric AdS_5 black ring exists.

Another avenue for further research is higher derivative supergravity. In general, higher derivative supergravity theories have extremely complicated field equations, which makes a systematic analysis of the near-horizon geometries challenging. One theory for which the field equations are relatively simple is heterotic supergravity with α' corrections, the near-horizon analysis in this theory has already been considered in [38]. In the context of $D = 5$ theories, higher derivative theories have been constructed in [39], and the near-horizon analysis has been considered in [40], however the analysis in this case assumes that the black hole timelike isometry $\frac{\partial}{\partial u}$ arises as a Killing spinor bilinear. The analysis of the KSEs is relatively straightforward, because the gravitino equation has the same form as in the 2-derivative theory. However, the 2-form which appears in the gravitino equation is an auxiliary field which is related to the Maxwell field strengths via highly nonlinear auxiliary field equations. This makes the analysis of the geometric conditions particularly involved. Despite these difficulties, it would nevertheless be interesting to investigate supersymmetry enhancement of near-horizon geometries in higher derivative supergravity.

Acknowledgements

UK is supported by a STFC PhD fellowship.

Appendix A Supersymmetry Conventions

We first present a matrix representation of Cliff$(4,1)$ adapted to the basis (3.12). The space of Dirac spinors is identified with $\mathbb{C}^4$ and we set

$$\Gamma_i = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^i & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^i \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Gamma_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{2}\,\mathbb{I}_2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Gamma_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{2}\,\mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{A.1}$$

where σ^i, $i = 1, 2, 3$ are the Hermitian Pauli matrices $\sigma^i\sigma^j = \delta^{ij}\mathbb{I}_2 + i\epsilon^{ijk}\sigma^k$. Note that

$$\Gamma_{+-} = \begin{pmatrix} -\mathbb{I}_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbb{I}_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{A.2}$$

and hence

$$\Gamma_{+-123} = -i\mathbb{I}_4 \,. \tag{A.3}$$

It will be convenient to decompose the spinors into positive and negative chiralities with respect to the lightcone directions as

$$\epsilon = \epsilon_+ + \epsilon_- \ , \tag{A.4}$$

where

$$\Gamma_{+-}\epsilon_\pm = \pm\epsilon_\pm \ , \qquad \text{or equivalently} \qquad \Gamma_\pm\epsilon_\pm = 0 \ . \tag{A.5}$$

With these conventions, note that

$$\Gamma_{ij}\epsilon_\pm = \mp i\epsilon_{ij}{}^k\Gamma_k\epsilon_\pm \ , \qquad \Gamma_{ijk}\epsilon_\pm = \mp i\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_\pm \ . \tag{A.6}$$

The Dirac representation of $Spin(4,1)$ decomposes under $Spin(3) = SU(2)$ as $\mathbb{C}^4 = \mathbb{C}^2\oplus\mathbb{C}^2$ each subspace specified by the lightcone projections $\Gamma_\pm$. On each $\mathbb{C}^2$, we have made use of the $Spin(3)$-invariant inner product $\mathrm{Re}\langle,\rangle$ which is identified with the standard Hermitian inner product. On $\mathbb{C}^2\oplus\mathbb{C}^2$, the Lie algebra of $Spin(3)$ is spanned by Γ_{ij}, $i,j = 1,2,3$. In particular, note that $(\Gamma_{ij})^\dagger = -\Gamma_{ij}$.

The charge conjugation operator C can be chosen to be

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -i\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} = i\Gamma_2 \tag{A.7}$$

and satisfies $C*\Gamma_\mu + \Gamma_\mu C* = 0$. Furthermore, if ϵ is any Dirac spinor then

$$\langle\epsilon, C*\epsilon\rangle = 0 \ . \tag{A.8}$$

Appendix B Spin Connection and Curvature

The non-vanishing components of the spin connection in the frame basis (3.12) are

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Omega_{-,+i} = -\frac{1}{2}h_i \ , \quad \Omega_{+,+-} = -r\Delta, \quad \Omega_{+,+i} = \frac{1}{2}r^2(\Delta h_i - \partial_i\Delta), \\
&\Omega_{+,-i} = -\frac{1}{2}h_i, \quad \Omega_{+,ij} = -\frac{1}{2}r dh_{ij} \ , \quad \Omega_{i,+-} = \frac{1}{2}h_i, \quad \Omega_{i,+j} = -\frac{1}{2}r dh_{ij}, \\
&\Omega_{i,jk} = \tilde{\Omega}_{i,jk} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.1}$$

where $\tilde{\Omega}$ denotes the spin-connection of the 3-manifold $\mathcal{S}$ with basis $\mathbf{e}^i$. If f is any function of spacetime, then frame derivatives are expressed in terms of co-ordinate derivatives as

$$\partial_+ f \ = \ \partial_u f + \frac{1}{2}r^2\Delta\partial_r f \ , \ \ \partial_- f = \partial_r f \ , \ \ \partial_i f = \tilde{\partial}_i f - r\partial_r f h_i \ . \tag{B.2}$$

The non-vanishing components of the Ricci tensor in the basis (3.12) are

$$\begin{aligned}
R_{+-} \ &= \ \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla}^i h_i - \Delta - \frac{1}{2}h^2 \ , \quad R_{ij} = \tilde{R}_{ij} + \tilde{\nabla}_{(i}h_{j)} - \frac{1}{2}h_i h_j \\
R_{++} \ &= \ r^2\big(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla}^2\Delta - \frac{3}{2}h^i\tilde{\nabla}_i\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\Delta\tilde{\nabla}^i h_i + \Delta h^2 + \frac{1}{4}(dh)_{ij}(dh)^{ij}\big) \\
R_{+i} \ &= \ r\big(\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla}^j(dh)_{ij} - (dh)_{ij}h^j - \tilde{\nabla}_i\Delta + \Delta h_i\big) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ denotes the Levi-Civita connection of $\mathcal{S}$, and $\tilde{R}$ is the Ricci tensor of the horizon section $\mathcal{S}$, and i,j denote $\mathbf{e}^i$ frame indices.

Appendix C Horizon Bianchi Identities and Field Equations

Substituting the fields (3.13) into the the Bianchi identity $dF^I = 0$ implies

$$\beta^I = (d_h\alpha^I), \quad d\tilde{F}^I = 0 \tag{C.1}$$

and

$$d\beta^I + \alpha^I dh + d\alpha^I \wedge h = 0 \ . \tag{C.2}$$

Note that (C.2) is implied (C.1). Similarly, the independent field equations of the near horizon fields are as follows. The Maxwell gauge equations (2.12) are given by,

$$d_h(Q_{IJ} \star_3 \tilde{F}^J) - Q_{IJ} \star_3 \beta^J = \frac{1}{2} C_{IJK}\alpha^J \tilde{F}^K \ . \tag{C.3}$$

In components this can be expressed as,

$$\tilde{\nabla}^j(Q_{IJ}\tilde{F}^J{}_{ji}) - Q_{IJ}h^j\tilde{F}^J{}_{ji} + Q_{IJ}\beta^J{}_i + \frac{1}{4}C_{IJK}\epsilon_i{}^{\ell_1\ell_2}\alpha^J\tilde{F}^K{}_{\ell_1\ell_2} = 0 \tag{C.4}$$

which corresponds to the i-component of (2.13). There is another equation given by the $+$-component of (2.13) but this is implied by (C.4) and is not used in the analysis at any stage. The $+-$ and ij-component of the Einstein equation (2.11) gives

$$-\Delta - \frac{1}{2}h^2 + \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla}^i(h_i) = -Q_{IJ}\left(\frac{2}{3}\alpha^I\alpha^J + \frac{1}{6}\tilde{F}^I{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\tilde{F}^{J\ell_1\ell_2}\right) - \frac{2}{3}\chi^2 U \tag{C.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}_{ij} &= -\tilde{\nabla}_{(i}h_{j)} + \frac{1}{2}h_ih_j - \frac{2}{3}\chi^2 U\delta_{ij} \\ &+ Q_{IJ}\left[\tilde{F}^I{}_{i\ell}\tilde{F}^J{}_j{}^\ell + \tilde{\nabla}_iX^I\tilde{\nabla}_jX^J + \delta_{ij}\left(\frac{1}{3}\alpha^I\alpha^J - \frac{1}{6}\tilde{F}^I{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\tilde{F}^{J\ell_1\ell_2}\right)\right] \ .\end{aligned} \tag{C.6}$$

The scalar field equation (2.15) gives

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{\nabla}^i\tilde{\nabla}_iX_I - h^i\tilde{\nabla}_iX_I + \tilde{\nabla}_iX^M\tilde{\nabla}^iX^N\left(\frac{1}{2}C_{MNK}X_IX^K - \frac{1}{6}C_{IMN}\right) \\ &+ \left[\frac{1}{2}\tilde{F}^M{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\tilde{F}^{N\ell_1\ell_2} - \alpha^M\alpha^N\right]\left(C_{INP}X_MX^P - \frac{1}{6}C_{IMN} - 6X_IX_MX_N + \frac{1}{6}C_{MNJ}X_IX^J\right) \\ &+ 3\chi^2V_MV_N\left(\frac{1}{2}C_{IJK}Q^{MJ}Q^{NK} + X_I(Q^{MN} - 2X^MX^N)\right) = 0 \ .\end{aligned} \tag{C.7}$$

We remark that the $++$ and $+i$ components of the Einstein equations, which are

$$\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla}^i\tilde{\nabla}_i\Delta - \frac{3}{2}h^i\tilde{\nabla}_i\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\Delta\tilde{\nabla}^ih_i + \Delta h^2 + \frac{1}{4}dh_{ij}dh^{ij} - Q_{IJ}\beta^I{}_\ell\beta^{J\ell} = 0 \ , \tag{C.8}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla}^jdh_{ij} - dh_{ij}h^j - \tilde{\nabla}_i\Delta + \Delta h_i + Q_{IJ}\alpha^I\beta^J{}_i - Q_{IJ}\beta^I{}_\ell\tilde{F}^J{}_i{}^\ell = 0 \tag{C.9}$$

are implied by (C.5), (C.6), (C.7), together with (C.4). and the Bianchi identities (C.1).

Appendix D Gauge Field Decomposition

Using the decomposition $F^I = FX^I + G^I$ with $F = X_I F^I$, $X_I G^I = 0$ and $dF^I = 0$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} dF &= -X_I dG^I \\ (\delta^I{}_J - X^I X_J) dG^J &= -dX^I \wedge F \ . \end{aligned} \tag{D.1}$$

We write the near-horizon fields as

$$\begin{aligned} F^I &= \mathbf{e}^+ \wedge \mathbf{e}^- \alpha^I + r\mathbf{e}^+ \wedge \beta^I + \tilde{F}^I \\ F &= \mathbf{e}^+ \wedge \mathbf{e}^- \alpha + r\mathbf{e}^+ \wedge \beta + \tilde{F} \\ G^I &= \mathbf{e}^+ \wedge \mathbf{e}^- L^I + r\mathbf{e}^+ \wedge M^I + \tilde{G}^I \ , \end{aligned} \tag{D.2}$$

where $X_I L^I = X_I M^I = X_I \tilde{G}^I = 0$ and $\alpha = X_I \alpha^I$, $\tilde{F} = X_I \tilde{F}^I$, $\beta = X_I \beta^I$.

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^I &= \alpha X^I + L^I \\ \beta^I &= \beta X^I + M^I \\ \tilde{F}^I &= \tilde{F} X^I + \tilde{G}^I \ . \end{aligned} \tag{D.3}$$

By using (D.3) we can express the Bianchi identities (C.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= d_h \alpha - L^I dX_I \\ d\tilde{F} &= -X_I d\tilde{G}^I \\ (\delta^I{}_J - X^I X_J)(d_h L^J - M^J) &= -dX^I \alpha \\ (\delta^I{}_J - X^I X_J) d\tilde{G}^J &= -dX^I \wedge \tilde{F} \end{aligned} \tag{D.4}$$

and corresponding to (C.2)

$$\begin{aligned} dM^I - h \wedge M^I + L^I dh + dX^I \wedge \beta &= 0 \\ d\beta - h \wedge \beta + \alpha dh + dX_I \wedge M^I &= 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{D.5}$$

However, (D.5) is implied by (D.4). The field equations can also be decomposed using (D.3) as follows. The Maxwell gauge equation (C.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{3}{2} X_I \tilde{\nabla}^j (\tilde{F}_{ji}) + \tilde{\nabla}^j (Q_{IJ} \tilde{G}^J{}_{ji}) + \frac{3}{2} \tilde{\nabla}^j X_I \tilde{F}_{ji} - \frac{3}{2} X_I h^j \tilde{F}_{ji} - Q_{IJ} h^j \tilde{G}^J{}_{ji} + \frac{3}{2} X_I \beta_i \\ + \ & Q_{IJ} M^J{}_i + \frac{1}{4} \epsilon_i{}^{\ell_1 \ell_2} \left(6 X_I \alpha \tilde{F}_{\ell_1 \ell_2} - 2 Q_{IJ} \alpha \tilde{G}^J{}_{\ell_1 \ell_2} - 2 Q_{IJ} \tilde{F}_{\ell_1 \ell_2} L^J + C_{IJK} L^J \tilde{G}^K{}_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \right) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{D.6}$$

where we have used the identity $\tilde{\nabla}_i (Q_{IJ}) X^J = 3 \tilde{\nabla}_i X_I$. By contracting with X^I this gives,

$$\tilde{\nabla}^j (\tilde{F}_{ji}) + \tilde{\nabla}^j (X_J) \tilde{G}^J{}_{ji} - h^j \tilde{F}_{ji} + \beta_i + \epsilon_i{}^{\ell_1 \ell_2} \alpha \tilde{F}_{\ell_1 \ell_2} - \frac{1}{3} Q_{IJ} \epsilon_i{}^{\ell_1 \ell_2} L^I \tilde{G}^J{}_{\ell_1 \ell_2} = 0 \ . \tag{D.7}$$

The Einstein equation (C.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta - \frac{1}{2} h^2 + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\nabla}^i (h_i) &= -\Big[\alpha^2 + \frac{1}{4} \tilde{F}_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \tilde{F}^{\ell_1 \ell_2} + \frac{2}{3} \chi^2 U \\ &\quad + \ Q_{IJ} \left(\frac{2}{3} L^I L^J + \frac{1}{6} \tilde{G}^I{}_{\ell_1 \ell_2} \tilde{G}^{J \ell_1 \ell_2} \right) \Big] \end{aligned} \tag{D.8}$$

and (C.6)

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R}_{ij} &= -\tilde{\nabla}_{(i}h_{j)} + \frac{1}{2}h_i h_j + \frac{3}{2}\tilde{F}_{ik}\tilde{F}_j{}^k + \delta_{ij}\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha^2 - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{F}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\tilde{F}^{\ell_1\ell_2} - \frac{2}{3}\chi^2 U\right) \\ &+ Q_{IJ}\left[\tilde{G}^I{}_{i\ell}\tilde{G}^J{}_j{}^\ell + \tilde{\nabla}_i X^I \tilde{\nabla}_j X^J + \delta_{ij}\left(\frac{1}{3}L^I L^J - \frac{1}{6}\tilde{G}^I{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\tilde{G}^{J\ell_1\ell_2}\right)\right] . \qquad \text{(D.9)}\end{aligned}$$

The scalar field equations (C.7) give

$$\begin{aligned}&\tilde{\nabla}^i\tilde{\nabla}_i X_I - h^i\tilde{\nabla}_i X_I + \tilde{\nabla}_i X^M \tilde{\nabla}^i X^N\left(\frac{1}{2}C_{MNK}X_I X^K - \frac{1}{6}C_{MNI}\right) \\ &+ \frac{2}{3}Q_{IJ}\left(2\alpha L^J - \tilde{F}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\tilde{G}^{J\ell_1\ell_2}\right) - \frac{1}{12}\left[\tilde{G}^M{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\tilde{G}^{N\ell_1\ell_2} - 2L^M L^N\right]\left(C_{MNI} - X_I C_{MNJ}X^J\right) \\ &+ 3\chi^2 V_M V_N\left(\frac{1}{2}C_{IJK}Q^{MJ}Q^{NK} + X_I(Q^{MN} - 2X^M X^N)\right) = 0 \qquad \text{(D.10)}\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore (C.8) gives

$$\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla}^i\tilde{\nabla}_i\Delta - \frac{3}{2}h^i\tilde{\nabla}_i\Delta - \frac{1}{2}\Delta\tilde{\nabla}^i h_i + \Delta h^2 + \frac{1}{4}dh_{ij}dh^{ij} = \frac{3}{2}\beta^2 + Q_{IJ}M^I{}_\ell M^{J\ell} , \qquad \text{(D.11)}$$

and (C.9) gives

$$\frac{1}{2}\tilde{\nabla}^j dh_{ij} - dh_{ij}h^j - \tilde{\nabla}_i\Delta + \Delta h_i = \frac{3}{2}\left(\beta_\ell \tilde{F}_i^\ell - \alpha\beta_i\right) + Q_{IJ}\left(M^I{}_\ell \tilde{G}^J{}_i{}^\ell - L^I M^J{}_i\right) . \qquad \text{(D.12)}$$

The conditions (D.11) and (D.12) correspond to the $++$ and $+i$-component of the Einstein equation and we remark that these are both implied by (D.8), (D.9), (D.10), together with (D.6) and (D.7) and the Bianchi identities (D.4).

Appendix E Simplification of KSEs on $\mathcal{S}$

In this appendix we show how several of the KSEs on $\mathcal{S}$ are implied by the remaining KSEs, together with the field equations and Bianchi identities. To begin, we show that (3.19), (3.20), (3.23), and (3.26) which contain τ_+ are implied from those containing ϕ_+, along with some of the field equations and Bianchi identities. Then, we establish that (3.21) and the terms linear in u in (3.22) and (3.25) from the $+$ component are implied by the field equations, Bianchi identities and the $-$ component of (3.22) and (3.25).

A particular useful identity is obtained by considering the integrability condition of

(3.22), which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\tilde{\nabla}_j\tilde{\nabla}_i - \tilde{\nabla}_i\tilde{\nabla}_j)\phi_\pm &= \bigg(\pm \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\nabla}_j(h_i) \mp \frac{1}{4}\tilde{\nabla}_i(h_j) \pm \frac{i}{4}\tilde{\nabla}_j(\alpha)\Gamma_i \mp \frac{i}{4}\tilde{\nabla}_i(\alpha)\Gamma_j + \frac{i}{2}\tilde{\nabla}_j(\tilde{F}_{i\ell})\Gamma^\ell \\
&- \frac{i}{2}\tilde{\nabla}_i(\tilde{F}_{j\ell})\Gamma^\ell - \frac{i}{8}\tilde{\nabla}_j(\tilde{F}_{\ell_1\ell_2})\Gamma_i{}^{\ell_1\ell_2} + \frac{i}{8}\tilde{\nabla}_i(\tilde{F}_{\ell_1\ell_2})\Gamma_j{}^{\ell_1\ell_2} \mp \alpha\tilde{F}_{j\ell}\Gamma_i{}^\ell \\
&\pm \frac{1}{4}\alpha\tilde{F}_i{}^\ell\Gamma_j{}^\ell + \frac{1}{8}\tilde{F}_j{}^\lambda\tilde{F}_{\lambda\ell}\Gamma_i{}^\ell - \frac{1}{8}\tilde{F}_i{}^\lambda\tilde{F}_{\lambda\ell}\Gamma_j{}^\ell - \frac{3}{8}\tilde{F}_{i\ell_1}\tilde{F}_{j\ell_2}\Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2} - \frac{1}{8}\alpha^2\Gamma_{ij} \\
&+ \frac{1}{16}\tilde{F}^2\Gamma_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\chi^2 V_I V_J X^I X^J \Gamma_{ij} \mp \frac{i}{2}\chi V_I X^I \alpha\Gamma_{ij} - \chi V_I \Gamma_{[i}\tilde{\nabla}_{j]}(X^I) \\
&- \frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I \tilde{F}^I{}_{ij} + i\chi V_I X^I \tilde{F}_{[i|\ell|}\Gamma_{j]}{}^\ell \bigg)\phi_\pm \ . \qquad \text{(E.1)}
\end{aligned}
$$

This will be used in the analysis of (3.19), (3.21), (3.23) and the positive chirality part of (3.22) which is linear in u. In order to show that the conditions are redundant, we will be considering different combinations of terms which vanish as a consequence of the independent KSEs. However, non-trivial identities are found by explicitly expanding out the terms in each case.

E.1 The condition (3.19)

It can be shown that the algebraic condition on τ_+ (3.19) is implied by the independent KSEs. Let us define,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi_1 &= \bigg(\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{8}(dh)_{ij}\Gamma^{ij} - \frac{i}{4}\beta_i\Gamma^i + \frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I\alpha^I\bigg)\phi_+ \\
&+2\bigg(\frac{1}{4}h_i\Gamma^i - \frac{i}{8}(-\tilde{F}_{jk}\Gamma^{jk} + 4\alpha) + \frac{1}{2}\chi V_I X^I\bigg)\tau_+ \ , \qquad \text{(E.2)}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\xi_1 = 0$ is equal to the condition (3.19). It is then possible to show that this expression for ξ_1 can be re-expressed as

$$
\xi_1 = \bigg(-\frac{1}{4}\tilde{R} - \Gamma^{ij}\tilde{\nabla}_i\tilde{\nabla}_j\bigg)\phi_+ + \mu_I\mathcal{A}^I{}_1 = 0 \qquad \text{(E.3)}
$$

where the first two terms cancel as a consequence of the definition of curvature, and

$$
\mu_I = \frac{3i}{16}\Gamma^i\tilde{\nabla}_i X_I - Q_{IJ}\bigg(\frac{7}{24}L^J + \frac{5}{48}\tilde{G}^J{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2}\bigg) + \frac{i}{8}\chi V_I \qquad \text{(E.4)}
$$

the scalar curvature is can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{R} &= -2\Delta - \frac{1}{2}h^2 + \frac{7}{2}\alpha^2 + \frac{5}{4}\tilde{F}^2 - \frac{2}{3}\chi^2 U \\
&+ Q_{IJ}\bigg(\frac{7}{3}L^I L^J + \frac{5}{6}\tilde{G}^{I\ell_1\ell_2}\tilde{G}^J{}_{\ell_1\ell_2} + \tilde{\nabla}_i X^I\tilde{\nabla}^i X^J\bigg) \qquad \text{(E.5)}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{A}^I{}_1 = \bigg[\tilde{G}^I{}_{ij}\Gamma^{ij} - 2L^I + 2i\tilde{\nabla}_i X^I\Gamma^i - 6i\chi\bigg(Q^{IJ} - \frac{2}{3}X^I X^J\bigg)V_J\bigg]\phi_+ \ . \qquad \text{(E.6)}
$$

The expression appearing in (E.6) vanishes because $\mathcal{A}^I{}_1 = 0$ is equivalent to the positive chirality part of (3.25). Furthermore, the expression for ξ_1 given in (E.3) also vanishes. We also use (E.1) to evaluate the terms in the first bracket in (E.3) and explicitly expand out the terms with $\mathcal{A}^I{}_1$. In order to obtain (3.19) from these expressions we make use of the Bianchi identities (D.4), the field equations (D.6) and (D.7). We have also made use of the $+-$ component of the Einstein equation (D.9) in order to rewrite the scalar curvature $\tilde{R}$ in terms of Δ. Therefore (3.19) follows from (3.22) and (3.25) together with the field equations and Bianchi identities mentioned above.

E.2 The condition (3.20)

Here we will show that the algebraic condition on τ_+ (3.20) follows from (3.19). It is convenient to define

$$\xi_2 = \left(\frac{1}{4}\Delta h_i \Gamma^i - \frac{1}{4}\partial_i \Delta \Gamma^i\right)\phi_+ + \left(-\frac{1}{8}(dh)_{ij}\Gamma^{ij} + \frac{3i}{4}\beta_i \Gamma^i + \frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I \alpha^I\right)\tau_+ \ , \tag{E.7}$$

where $\xi_2 = 0$ equals the condition (3.20). One can show after a computation that this expression for ξ_2 can be re-expressed as

$$\xi_2 = -\frac{1}{4}\Gamma^i \tilde{\nabla}_i \xi_1 + \frac{7}{16} h_j \Gamma^j \xi_1 = 0 \ , \tag{E.8}$$

which vanishes because $\xi_1 = 0$ is equivalent to the condition (3.19). In order to obtain this, we use the Dirac operator $\Gamma^i\tilde{\nabla}_i$ to act on (3.19) and apply the Bianchi identities (D.4) with the field equations (D.6), (D.7) and (D.10) to eliminate the terms which contain derivatives of the fluxes, and we can also use (3.19) to rewrite the dh-terms in terms of Δ. We then impose the algebraic conditions (3.25) and (3.26) to eliminate the $\tilde{\nabla}_i X^I$-terms, of which some of the remaining terms will vanish as a consequence of (3.19). We then obtain the condition (3.20) as required, therefore it follows from section E.1 above that (3.20) is implied by (3.22) and (3.25) together with the field equations and Bianchi identities mentioned above.

E.3 The condition (3.23)

Here we will show the differential condition on τ_+ (3.23) is not independent. Let us define

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_i \ = \ & \tilde{\nabla}_i \tau_+ + \left(-\frac{3}{4}h_i - \frac{i}{4}\alpha\Gamma_i - \frac{i}{8}\tilde{F}_{jk}\Gamma_i{}^{jk} + \frac{i}{2}\tilde{F}_{ij}\Gamma^j - \frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I \tilde{A}^I{}_i - \frac{1}{2}\chi V_I X^I \Gamma_i\right)\tau_+ \\ & + \left(-\frac{1}{4}(dh)_{ij}\Gamma^j - \frac{i}{4}\beta_j \Gamma_i{}^j + \frac{i}{2}\beta_i\right)\phi_+ \ ,\end{aligned} \tag{E.9}$$

where $\lambda_i = 0$ is equivalent to the condition (3.23). We can re-express this expression for λ_i as

$$\lambda_i = \left(-\frac{1}{4}\tilde{R}_{ij}\Gamma^j + \frac{1}{2}\Gamma^j(\tilde{\nabla}_j\tilde{\nabla}_i - \tilde{\nabla}_i\tilde{\nabla}_j)\right)\phi_+ + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{i,I}\mathcal{A}^I{}_1 = 0 \ , \tag{E.10}$$

where the first terms again cancel from the definition of curvature, and

$$\Lambda_{i,I} = \frac{3i}{8}\tilde{\nabla}_i X_I + Q_{IJ}\bigg(\frac{1}{24}\tilde{G}^J{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\Gamma_i{}^{\ell_1\ell_2} - \frac{1}{6}\tilde{G}^J{}_{ij}\Gamma^j - \frac{1}{12}L^J\Gamma_i\bigg) + \frac{i}{4}\chi V_I\Gamma_i \ , \qquad \text{(E.11)}$$

This vanishes as $\mathcal{A}^I{}_1 = 0$ is equivalent to the positive chirality component of (3.25). The identity (E.10) is derived by making use of (E.1), and explicitly expanding out the $\mathcal{A}^I{}_1$ terms. We can also evaluate (3.23) by substituting in (3.24) to eliminate τ_+, and use (3.22) to evaluate the supercovariant derivative of ϕ_+. Then, on adding this to (E.10), one obtains a condition which vanishes identically on making use of the Einstein equation (D.9). Therefore it follows that (3.23) is implied by the positive chirality component of (3.22), (3.24) and (3.25), the Bianchi identities (D.4) and the gauge field equations (D.6) and (D.7).

E.4 The condition (3.26)

Here we will show that the algebraic condition containing τ_+ (3.26) follows from the independent KSEs. We define

$$\mathcal{A}^I{}_2 \ = \ \bigg[\tilde{G}^I{}_{ij}\Gamma^{ij} + 2L^I - 2i\tilde{\nabla}_i X^I\Gamma^i - 6i\chi\bigg(Q^{IJ} - \frac{2}{3}X^I X^J\bigg)V_J\bigg]\tau_+ + 2M^I{}_i\Gamma^i\phi_+ \qquad \text{(E.12)}$$

and also set

$$\mathcal{A}_{I,2} \ = \ Q_{IJ}\mathcal{A}^J{}_2 \ , \qquad \text{(E.13)}$$

where $\mathcal{A}^I{}_2 = 0$ equals the expression in (3.26). The expression for $\mathcal{A}_{I,2}$ can be rewritten as

$$\mathcal{A}_{I,2} \ = \ -\frac{1}{2}\Gamma^i\tilde{\nabla}_i(\mathcal{A}_{I,1}) + \Phi_{IJ}\mathcal{A}^J{}_1 \qquad \text{(E.14)}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{IJ} \ &= \ \bigg(-\frac{3}{4}Q_{JK}X_I - \frac{1}{8}C_{IJK}\bigg)\Gamma^\ell\tilde{\nabla}_\ell X^K \\
&+ \ \frac{i}{2}\bigg(\frac{1}{4}Q_{JK}X_I + \frac{1}{8}C_{IJK}\bigg)\bigg(\tilde{G}^K{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2} - 2L^K\bigg) \\
&+ \ Q_{IJ}\bigg(\frac{i}{16}\tilde{F}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2} - \frac{i}{8}\alpha + \frac{3}{8}h_\ell\Gamma^\ell + \frac{3i}{4}\chi V_K\tilde{A}^K{}_\ell\Gamma^\ell - \frac{3}{4}\chi V_K X^K\bigg) \\
&+ \ \chi\bigg(-\frac{3}{8}C_{IJK}Q^{KM} - \frac{3}{4}X_I\delta^M{}_J\bigg)V_M \ . \qquad \text{(E.15)}
\end{aligned}$$

and $\mathcal{A}_{I,1} = Q_{IJ}\mathcal{A}^J{}_1$. In evaluating the above conditions, we have made use of the + component of (3.22) in order to evaluate the covariant derivative in the above expression. In addition we have made use of the Bianchi identities (D.4) and the field equations (D.6), (D.7) and (D.10).

It follows from (E.14) that $\mathcal{A}_{I,2} = 0$ as a consequence of the condition $\mathcal{A}_{I,1} = 0$, which as we have already noted is equivalent to the positive chirality part of (3.25).

E.5 The condition (3.21)

In order to show that (3.21) is implied by the independent KSEs, we define

$$\begin{aligned}\kappa &= \Big(-\frac{1}{2}\Delta - \frac{1}{8}(dh)_{ij}\Gamma^{ij} - \frac{3i}{4}\beta_i\Gamma^i + \frac{3i}{2}\chi V_I\alpha^I \\ &+ 2\big(-\frac{1}{4}h_i\Gamma^i - \frac{i}{8}(\tilde{F}_{jk}\Gamma^{jk} + 4\alpha) - \frac{1}{2}\chi V_I X^I\big)\Theta_-\Big)\phi_- \ , \end{aligned} \tag{E.16}$$

where κ equals the condition (3.21). Again, this expression can be rewritten as

$$\kappa = \Big(\frac{1}{4}\tilde{R} + \Gamma^{ij}\tilde{\nabla}_i\tilde{\nabla}_j\Big)\eta_- - \mu_I\mathcal{B}^I{}_1 = 0 \tag{E.17}$$

where we use the (E.1) to evaluate the terms in the first bracket, and

$$\mu_I = \frac{3i}{16}\Gamma^i\tilde{\nabla}_i X_I - Q_{IJ}\Big(-\frac{7}{24}L^J + \frac{5}{48}\tilde{G}^J{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2}\Big) + \frac{i}{8}\chi V_I \ . \tag{E.18}$$

The expression above vanishes identically since the negative chirality component of (3.25) is equivalent to $\mathcal{B}^I{}_1 = 0$. In order to obtain (3.21) from these expressions we make use of the Bianchi identities (D.4) and the field equations (D.6),(D.7) and (D.10). Therefore (3.21) follows from (3.22) and (3.25) together with the field equations and Bianchi identities mentioned above.

E.6 The positive chirality part of (3.22) linear in u

Since $\phi_+ = \eta_+ + u\Gamma_+\Theta_-\eta_-$, we must consider the part of the positive chirality component of (3.22) which is linear in u. We begin by defining

$$\mathcal{B}_{I,1} = \Big[\tilde{G}^I{}_{ij}\Gamma^{ij} + 2L^I + 2i\tilde{\nabla}_i X^I\Gamma^i - 6i\chi\Big(Q^{IJ} - \frac{2}{3}X^I X^J\Big)V_J\Big]\eta_- \ . \tag{E.19}$$

We then determine that $\mathcal{B}_{I,1}$ satisfies the following expression

$$\Big(\frac{1}{2}\Gamma^j(\tilde{\nabla}_j\tilde{\nabla}_i - \tilde{\nabla}_i\tilde{\nabla}_j) - \frac{1}{4}\tilde{R}_{ij}\Gamma^j\Big)\eta_- + \frac{1}{2}\Lambda_{i,I}\mathcal{B}^I{}_1 = 0 \ , \tag{E.20}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{I,1} = Q_{IJ}\mathcal{B}^J{}_1$, and

$$\Lambda_{i,I} = \frac{3i}{8}\tilde{\nabla}_i X_I + Q_{IJ}\Big(\frac{1}{24}\tilde{G}^J{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\Gamma_i{}^{\ell_1\ell_2} - \frac{1}{6}\tilde{G}^J{}_{ij}\Gamma^j + \frac{1}{12}L^J\Gamma_i\Big) + \frac{i}{4}\chi V_I\Gamma_i \ . \tag{E.21}$$

We note that $\mathcal{B}_{I,1} = 0$ is equivalent to the negative chirality component of (3.25). Next, we use (E.1) to evaluate the terms in the first bracket in (E.20) and explicitly expand out the terms with $\mathcal{B}^I{}_1$. The resulting expression corresponds to the expression obtained by expanding out the u-dependent part of the positive chirality component of (3.22) by using the negative chirality component of (3.22) to evaluate the covariant derivative. We have made use of the Bianchi identities (D.4) and the gauge field equations (D.6) and (D.7).

E.7 The positive chirality part of condition (3.25) linear in u

Again, as $\phi_+ = \eta_+ + u\Gamma_+\Theta_-\eta_-$, we must consider the part of the positive chirality component of (3.25) which is linear in u. One finds that the u-dependent part of (3.25) is proportional to

$$-\frac{1}{2}\Gamma^i\tilde{\nabla}_i(\mathcal{B}_{I,1}) + \Phi_{IJ}\mathcal{B}^J{}_1 \ , \tag{E.22}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{IJ} &= \Big(-\frac{3}{4}Q_{JK}X_I - \frac{1}{8}C_{IJK}\Big)\Gamma^\ell\tilde{\nabla}_\ell X^K \\
&+ \frac{i}{2}\Big(\frac{1}{4}Q_{JK}X_I + \frac{1}{8}C_{IJK}\Big)\Big(\tilde{G}^K{}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2} + 2L^K\Big) \\
&+ Q_{IJ}\Big(\frac{i}{16}\tilde{F}_{\ell_1\ell_2}\Gamma^{\ell_1\ell_2} + \frac{i}{8}\alpha + \frac{1}{8}h_\ell\Gamma^\ell + \frac{3i}{4}\chi V_K\tilde{A}^K{}_\ell\Gamma^\ell - \frac{3}{4}\chi V_K X^K\Big) \\
&+ \chi\Big(-\frac{3}{8}C_{IJK}Q^{KM} - \frac{3}{4}X_I\delta^M{}_J\Big)V_M \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{E.23}$$

and where we use the (E.1) to evaluate the terms in the first bracket. In addition we have made use of the Bianchi identities (D.4) and the field equations (D.6), (D.7) and (D.10).

Appendix F Scalar Orthogonality Condition

In this appendix, we shall prove that if $L_I\partial_a X^I = 0$ for all values of $a = 1, \ldots, k-1$, i.e if L_I is perpendicular to all $\partial_a X^I$, then it must be parallel to X_I.

To establish the first result, it is sufficient to prove that the elements of the set $\{\partial_a X^I \, , a = 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ are linearly independent. Given this, the condition $L_I\partial_a X^I = 0$ for all values of $a = 1, \ldots, k-1$ implies that L_I is orthogonal to all linearly independent $k-1$ elements of this set, and hence must be parallel to the 1-dimensional orthogonal complement to the set, which is parallel to X_I.

It remains to prove the following Lemma.

Lemma: The elements of the set $\{\partial_a X^I \, , a = 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ are linearly independent.

Proof: Let N^a for $a = 1, \ldots, k-1$ be constants, where at least one is non-zero and suppose $N^a\partial_a X^I = 0$, then we have from (2.7)

$$h_{ab}N^a = Q_{IJ}\partial_a X^I\partial_b X^J N^a = 0 \tag{F.1}$$

as h_{ab} is non-degenerate, this implies that $N^a = 0$ for all $a = 1, \ldots, k-1$, which is a contradiction to our assumption that not all are zero and thus the elements of the set are linearly independent.

□

We remark that an equivalent statement implied by the above reasoning is that if $L^I\partial_a X_I = 0$ for all $a = 1, \ldots k-1$ then L^I must be parallel to X^I.

References

[1] G. W. Gibbons and P. K. Townsend, "Vacuum interpolation in supergravity via super p-branes," Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 3754 [hep-th/9307049].

[2] J. H. Schwarz, "Covariant Field Equations of Chiral N=2 D=10 Supergravity," Nucl. Phys. B **226** (1983) 269.

[3] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, "Large N field theories, string theory and gravity," Phys. Rept. **323** (2000) 183 [hep-th/9905111].

[4] J. C. Breckenridge, R. C. Myers, A. W. Peet and C. Vafa, "D-branes and spinning black holes," Phys. Lett. B **391** (1997) 93 [hep-th/9602065].

[5] A. H. Chamseddine, S. Ferrara, G. W. Gibbons and R. Kallosh, "Enhancement of supersymmetry near 5-d black hole horizon," Phys. Rev. D **55** (1997) 3647 [hep-th/9610155].

[6] A. H. Chamseddine and W. A. Sabra, "Calabi-Yau black holes and enhancement of supersymmetry in five-dimensions," Phys. Lett. B **460** (1999) 63 [hep-th/9903046].

[7] S. W. Hawking, "Black holes in general relativity," Commun. Math. Phys. **25** (1972) 152.

[8] R. Emparan and H. S. Reall, "A Rotating black ring solution in five-dimensions," Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 101101 (2002) [hep-th/0110260].

[9] H. Elvang, R. Emparan, D. Mateos and H. S. Reall, "A Supersymmetric black ring," Phys. Rev. Lett. **93** (2004) 211302 [hep-th/0407065].

[10] G. T. Horowitz, H. K. Kunduri and J. Lucietti, "Comments on Black Holes in Bubbling Spacetimes," JHEP **1706** (2017) 048 [arXiv:1704.04071 [hep-th]].

[11] D. Astefanesei, K. Goldstein, R. P. Jena, A. Sen and S. P. Trivedi, "Rotating attractors," JHEP **0610** (2006) 058 [hep-th/0606244].

[12] H. K. Kunduri, J. Lucietti and H. S. Reall, "Supersymmetric multi-charge AdS(5) black holes," JHEP **0604** (2006) 036 [hep-th/0601156].

[13] H. K. Kunduri and J. Lucietti, "Near-horizon geometries of supersymmetric AdS(5) black holes," JHEP **0712** (2007) 015 [arXiv:0708.3695 [hep-th]].

[14] S. W. Hawking, M. J. Perry and A. Strominger, "Soft Hair on Black Holes," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116** (2016) no.23, 231301 [arXiv:1601.00921 [hep-th]].

[15] S. W. Hawking, M. J. Perry and A. Strominger, "Superrotation Charge and Supertranslation Hair on Black Holes," JHEP **1705**, 161 (2017) [arXiv:1611.09175 [hep-th]].

[16] A. Averin, G. Dvali, C. Gomez and D. Lust, "Gravitational Black Hole Hair from Event Horizon Supertranslations," JHEP **1606** (2016) 088 [arXiv:1601.03725 [hep-th]].

[17] L. Donnay, G. Giribet, H. A. Gonzalez and M. Pino, "Supertranslations and Superrotations at the Black Hole Horizon," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, no. 9, 091101 (2016) [arXiv:1511.08687 [hep-th]].

[18] E. T. Akhmedov and M. Godazgar, "Symmetries at the black hole horizon," Phys. Rev. D **96** (2017) no.10, 104025 [arXiv:1707.05517 [hep-th]].

[19] P. T. Chrusciel, "On rigidity of analytic black holes," Commun. Math. Phys. **189** (1997) 1 [gr-qc/9610011].

[20] H. Friedrich, I. Racz and R. M. Wald, "On the rigidity theorem for space-times with a stationary event horizon or a compact Cauchy horizon," Commun. Math. Phys. **204** (1999) 691; [gr-qc/9811021].

[21] S. Hollands, A. Ishibashi and R. M. Wald, "A Higher dimensional stationary rotating black hole must be axisymmetric," Commun. Math. Phys. **271** (2007) 699 [gr-qc/0605106].

[22] S. Hollands and A. Ishibashi, "On the 'Stationary Implies Axisymmetric' Theorem for Extremal Black Holes in Higher Dimensions," Commun. Math. Phys. **291** (2009) 403 [arXiv:0809.2659 [gr-qc]].

[23] J. Isenberg and V. Moncrief, "Symmetries of cosmological Cauchy horizons," Commun. Math. Phys. **89** (1983) 387.

[24] J. B. Gutowski and G. Papadopoulos, "Index theory and dynamical symmetry enhancement of M-horizons," arXiv:1303.0869 [hep-th].

[25] U. Gran, J. Gutowski, U. Kayani and G. Papadopoulos, "Dynamical symmetry enhancement near IIA horizons," JHEP **1506** (2015) 139 [arXiv:1409.6303 [hep-th]].

[26] U. Gran, J. Gutowski, U. Kayani and G. Papadopoulos, "Dynamical symmetry enhancement near massive IIA horizons," Class. Quant. Grav. **32** (2015) no.23, 235004 [arXiv:1411.5286 [hep-th]].

[27] U. Gran, J. Gutowski and G. Papadopoulos, "Index theory and dynamical symmetry enhancement near IIB horizons," JHEP **1311** (2013) 104 [arXiv:1306.5765 [hep-th]].

[28] J. Grover, J. B. Gutowski, G. Papadopoulos and W. A. Sabra, "Index Theory and Supersymmetry of 5D Horizons," arXiv:1303.0853 [hep-th].

[29] J. Gutowski, T. Mohaupt and G. Papadopoulos, "Dynamical symmetry enhancement near $\mathcal{N} = 2$, D = 4 gauged supergravity horizons," JHEP **1703** (2017) 150 [arXiv:1607.02877 [hep-th]].

[30] A. Strominger, "AdS(2) quantum gravity and string theory," JHEP **9901** (1999) 007 [hep-th/9809027].

[31] A. Sen, "Quantum Entropy Function from AdS(2)/CFT(1) Correspondence," Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **24** (2009) 4225 [arXiv:0809.3304 [hep-th]].

[32] J. B. Gutowski, "Uniqueness of five-dimensional supersymmetric black holes," JHEP **0408** (2004) 049 [hep-th/0404079].

[33] H. S. Reall, "Higher dimensional black holes and supersymmetry," Phys. Rev. **D68** (2003) 024024; [hep-th/0211290].

[34] M. F. Atiyah and I. M. Singer, "The Index of elliptic operators. 1" Annals Math. **87** (1968) 484.

[35] G. W. Gibbons, G. T. Horowitz and P. K. Townsend, "Higher dimensional resolution of dilatonic black hole singularities," Class. Quant. Grav. **12** (1995) 297 [hep-th/9410073].

[36] W. A. Sabra, "General BPS black holes in five-dimensions," Mod. Phys. Lett. A **13** (1998) 239 [hep-th/9708103].

[37] J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill, J. Gutowski and W. Sabra, "The Return of the four- and five-dimensional preons," Class. Quant. Grav. **24** (2007) 4429 [arXiv:0705.2778 [hep-th]].

[38] A. Fontanella, J. B. Gutowski and G. Papadopoulos, "Anomaly Corrected Heterotic Horizons," JHEP **1610** (2016) 121 [arXiv:1605.05635 [hep-th]].

[39] K. Hanaki, K. Ohashi and Y. Tachikawa, "Supersymmetric Completion of an R**2 term in Five-dimensional Supergravity," Prog. Theor. Phys. **117** (2007) 533 [hep-th/0611329].

[40] J. B. Gutowski, D. Klemm, W. A. Sabra and P. Sloane, "Small Horizons", JHEP **1201** (2012) 146 [arXiv:1109.1566 [hep-th]].

[41] C. Li and J. Lucietti, "Transverse deformations of extreme horizons," Class. Quant. Grav. **33** (2016) no.7, 075015 [arXiv:1509.03469 [gr-qc]].