Computational ghost imaging with nondegenerate wavelength light source
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Nondegenerate-wavelength computational ghost imaging (CGI) using thermal and quantum light sources is studied theoretically and experimentally. We first demonstrate a high-quality computational ghost image can be obtained when the wavelength of computed light is different from the light detected by bucket detector. Two spatially separated nondegenerate quantum light fields are correlated in this experiment, providing a powerful evidence that non-local quantum correlations is indispensable in CGI with quantum source. Compared with the necessary light with short wavelength in previous works, the light illuminating the object has longer wavelength light in strong atmospheric turbulence, bring about a nondegenerate-wavelength computational ghost image with higher spatial resolution than that of conventional CGI.
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Ghost imaging (GI) is an indirectly imaging technique that produces the image of an object by using the correlation between the intensity recorded by two detectors illuminated by spatially separated correlated beams. Recently, the experiments of GI with X-ray source [1-3] have been reported, indicating that the GI has become a powerful comprehensive tool in exploring and analyzing the internal of complex material, e.g. biomolecular structures and nanomaterials.

One of the most surprising consequences of quantum mechanics is the non-local correlation of a multi-particle system observable in joint-detection of distant particle-detectors. GI is one of the most typical representations. Initially demonstrated at quantum level by using entangled photon pairs as the light source, GI was subsequently performed using pseudothermal source and true thermal sources [4-6]. There soon appeared a very passionate debate about whether the nature of GI is a quantum or classical effect. In 2008, Shapiro proposed a novel GI scheme—computational ghost imaging (CGI) [7]. In this configuration, a laser beam modulated by a spatial light modulator (SLM), illuminates an object and is collected by a bucket detector at last. The image is reconstructed by correlating the calculated patterns with the measured intensities at the object arm. Deterministic modulation of a laser beam with an SLM can provide the signal field used for target interrogation, while the on-target intensity pattern needed for the reference field can then be calculated via diffraction theory [7,8]. All the time, it is impossible to consider the computational ghost image to generate non-local two-photon interference. In particular, the CGI provides a powerful evidence that pseudothermal GI does not rely on non-local quantum correlations [7-9]. So far, most of CGI is realized by degenerate wavelength source. In this article, we theoretically and experimentally demonstrate that nondegenerate wavelength CGI can be carried out using both thermal and quantum light sources. More important, the CGI with quantum source shows that the CGI arising from the non-local quantum correlations is not impossible.

As we all know, atmospheric turbulence is a serious problem for satellite and aircraft-to-ground based classical imaging. Cheng took the lead in studying the effect of atmospheric turbulence on GI, and found that the image will be significantly degraded with strong turbulence and large propagation distance [10]. 2011, Meyers et al proposed turbulence-free GI, which had crucial use in applications [11]. Shi et al [12] theoretically studied the CGI with two-wavelength source. We found that the spatial resolution of the nondegenerate-wavelength computational ghost image is higher than that of conventional CGI when the light illuminating the object has longer wavelength light in strong atmospheric turbulence, although light source with short wavelength is said to be of great necessity for obtaining ghost image with higher spatial resolution in previous works. Consequently, the CGI with nondegenerate wavelength source will have significant practical value in application rather than a novel imaginary scheme.

To study the properties of CGI with nondegenerate-wavelength light source, we first consider the CGI with nondegenerate-wavelength thermal source. The setup is shown in Fig.1(a). Two continuous wave (cw) laser beams $E_1$ and $E_2$ with central frequencies $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$ illuminate two SLMs, respectively. Then, these two modulated beams are coupled together by a dichroic mirror (DM) to form a compound beam. This compound beam illuminating an object and the reflected power is separated by a DM. Two bucket detectors collect the transmitted and reflected power which can be expressed as

$$E_{do}(\vec{x}, t) = \int d\vec{q} d\omega e^{-i\omega t} V(\vec{q}) \varepsilon_a(\omega) H_a(\vec{x}, \vec{q}; t) T(\vec{x}).$$

(1)

where, the incident light fields on the SLM are taken to be plane waves spectra $\varepsilon_a(\omega)$ with $a = 1, 2$. The SLM implements spatial modulation of the fields expressed by the random spatial distribution function $V(\vec{q})$, which is
FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) Setup of the computational ghost imaging with nondegenerate-wavelength thermal light source. In order to obtain the computational ghost image with nondegenerate-wavelength light source, the two SLMs are controlled by a computer. Thus, the two light fields (E1 and E2) are modulated by the same function. The bucket detector (BD1) collects the transmitted power E, and the other bucket detector (BD2) collects the reflected power E. The two bucket detectors controlled by the computer are exposure to the same time. (b) The corresponding setup for nondegenerate-wavelength quantum computational ghost imaging. DM: dichroic mirror; BD: bucket detector.

\[
C(\vec{x}_m, \vec{x}_n, t) = \langle \delta I_{cm}(\vec{x}_m, t) \delta I_{dn}(\vec{x}_n, t) \rangle,
\]

(2)

where \(\delta I(\vec{x}, t) = I(\vec{x}, t) - \langle I(\vec{x}, t) \rangle\) with \(m, n = 1, 2\). Thus, the computational ghost image can be expressed as

\[
C(\vec{x}_m, \vec{x}_n, t) = \langle |E_{c1}(\vec{x}_1, t)|^2 |E_{d1}(\vec{x}_1, t)|^2 \rangle - \langle |E_{c1}(\vec{x}_1, t)|^2 \rangle \langle |E_{d1}(\vec{x}_1, t)|^2 \rangle
+ \langle |E_{c2}(\vec{x}_2, t)|^2 |E_{d1}(\vec{x}_1, t)|^2 \rangle - \langle |E_{c2}(\vec{x}_2, t)|^2 \rangle \langle |E_{d1}(\vec{x}_1, t)|^2 \rangle
= C_1(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, t) + C_2(\vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_1, t).
\]

(3)

Equation (3) shows that the nondegenerate CGI with two-wavelength source yields twin images. The image (i.e., \(C_1(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, t) = \langle I_{c1}I_{d2} \rangle\)) can be obtained by cross-correlating the computed intensity patterns (SLM1) and intensities measured by the BD2. Similarly, the image (i.e., \(C_2(\vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_1, t) = \langle I_{c2}I_{d1} \rangle\)) can be obtained by cross-correlating the computed intensity patterns (SLM2) and intensities measured by the BD1. Certainly, the conventional single-wavelength CGI can be obtained by correlating the SLM1 and BD1 or SLM2 and BD2.

\[
C_a(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, t) = \left\langle |E_{xm}(\vec{x}_m, t)|^2 |E_{yn}(\vec{x}_n, t)|^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle |E_{xm}(\vec{x}_m, t)|^2 \right\rangle \left\langle |E_{yn}(\vec{x}_n, t)|^2 \right\rangle
= \int d\omega_m d\omega_n \int d\vec{q}_m d\vec{q}_n d\vec{q}_m d\vec{q}_n \delta H_m^*(\vec{x}_m, \vec{q}_m; \omega_m)H_m(\vec{x}_m, \vec{q}_m; \omega_n)\delta H_n^*(\vec{x}_n, \vec{q}_n; \omega_m)H_n(\vec{x}_n, \vec{q}_n; \omega_n)
\times e^{i(\omega_m - \omega_n)T}T^*(\vec{x})T(\vec{x})G(\vec{q}_m, \vec{q}_n; \omega_m, \omega_n),
\]

(4)

where \(x, y = c, d\) and

\[
G(\vec{q}_m, \vec{q}_n; \omega_m, \omega_n, \omega_m', \omega_n') = \left\langle V^*(\vec{q}_m)V(\vec{q}_n) \right\rangle \left\langle V^*(\vec{q}_n)V(\vec{q}_m) \right\rangle \left\langle \delta_m(\omega_m)\delta_m(\omega'_n) \right\rangle \left\langle \delta_n(\omega_n)\delta_n(\omega'_m) \right\rangle
\]

(5)

is the intensity cross-correlation function of the two light fields in the spatial and temporal frequency domain evaluated at the output plane of the SLM. The distribution function \(V\) is taken to possess spatial correlations that follow Gaussian statistics[13]. Substituting Eq.(5) into Eq.(4) and after some calculations, we can thus write...
the ghost image of Eq.(4) as

\[ C(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, t) = B \left| \int d\vec{x}_1 d\vec{x}_2 W(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2) H_1(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_1; \Omega_1) H_2(\vec{x}_2, \vec{x}_2; \Omega_2) O(\vec{x}) \right|^2 \]

where \( B = I_1 I_2, I_a = \left< \int d\omega_a \xi_a(\omega_a) e^{-i\omega_a t} \right> \) with \( a = 1, 2 \) being the average intensities of the two incident light beams on the SLMs. \( W(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2) \) is the spatial Fourier transform of \( \left< V(\vec{q}_1^a) V^*(\vec{q}_2^b) \right> \). The transfer functions \( H_1 \) and \( H_2 \) are written in position space. \( \left< T^*(\vec{x}) T(\vec{x}) \right> = \lambda O(\vec{x}) \delta(\vec{x}_1 - \vec{x}_2) \) [14]. Equation (6) shows that the correlation function has the same form as that for degenerate-wavelength CGI and GI [3, 13, 15]. Therefore, nondegenerate wavelength thermal CGI is not impossible even when the difference of the wavelengths is very large, provided that a suitable SLM is used to create the same spatial modulations for the two beams of light.

The setup of nondegenerate-wavelength CGI with quantum source is shown in Fig.1(b). We can treat the case of nondegenerate-wavelength quantum CGI using an analogous formalism. In the narrow-bandwidth limit, the coincidence count rate for the quantum GI takes a form similar to Eq.(3) and Eq.(6). In previous, since the CGI is only realized by the thermal light source and has only one light path, the CGI does not arise from non-local quantum correlations. However, the nondegenerate-wavelength quantum CGI provides a powerful evidence that the CGI arising from the non-local quantum correlations is not impossible.

Based on above analysis, \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) should be separated in space in order to obtain the CGI with non-degenerate wavelength light source. Consequently, we constructed the experimental setup presented in Fig.1a. It is based on two-dimensional amplitude-only ferroelectric liquid crystal spatial light modulator (FLC-SLM, Meadowlark Optics A512-450-850), with 512 × 512 addressable 5×μm × 5×μm pixels. Two cw laser beams with \( \lambda_1 = 633nm \) and \( \lambda_2 = 532nm \) illuminate the two 2D-SLMs controlled by a computer, respectively. The two modulated beams are coupled together by a DM, and then illuminate an object placed at a distance of \( L_1 + L_3 = L_2 + L_3 = 84cm \) from the SLM1, where \( L_1 = L_2 = 70cm \). The transmitted power is divided into two beams by a DM. Thus, the light field with \( \lambda_1 \) is collected by the BD1 and the light field with \( \lambda_2 \) is collected by the BD2, where \( L_4 + L_5 = L_4 + L_6 = 20cm \).

In both branches, the random grayscale with 512 × 512 pixels is encoded on the two SLMs at the same time. As a result, the two light fields reflected by the SLMs have the same amplitude distribution. The two bucket detectors controlled by the computer are exposure at the same time. In order to obtain the computational ghost image arising from the nondegenerate wavelength thermal light source, the modulated intensity patterns (SLM1) are cross-correlated with the intensities measured by the BD2 (\( C_1(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, t) = \left< I_{1c} I_{2d} \right> \)). Similarly, the image can be obtained by cross-correlating the modulated intensity patterns (SLM2) and intensities measured by the BD1 (\( C_2(\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, t) = \left< I_{2c} I_{1d} \right> \)). The reconstructed image shown in Fig.2(b,c) is the average results over 100000 realizations. The color of computational ghost image is the same as the light collected by the bucket detector [16].

The corresponding results of nondegenerate wavelength CGI with quantum light source are shown in Fig.2(d-f).

In order to discuss the spatial resolution of CGI with nondegenerate-wavelength source, the computed light by the SLM is named as reference light, while the collected light by the bucket detector is names as signal light. According to the calculation method of GI with atmospheric turbulence [9, 11, 17, 18], the width of the point spread function (PSF) of nondegenerate wavelength CGI with thermal source can be expressed as

\[ W_{psf} = Re \sqrt{\frac{1}{2(K_1^2 + K_2^2 + K_3^2 + K_4^2)}}. \]

\[ K_1 = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{A}} \lambda_s z_0, K_2 = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{B}} \lambda_s z_0 \left( 1 - \frac{1}{A \rho^2} \right), \]

\[ K_3 = \frac{\pi}{2B \sqrt{C}} \lambda_s z_0 \frac{1}{\rho^2} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{A \rho^2} \right), \]

\[ K_4 = \frac{\pi}{2B \sqrt{D}} \lambda_s z_0 \left[ \frac{1}{\rho^2} + \frac{1}{B \rho^2} \right] \times \left( 1 - \frac{1}{A \rho^2} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{\rho^2} + \frac{1}{A \rho^2} + \frac{1}{4ABC \rho^2} \right], \]

\[ A = \frac{1}{4 \omega^2} + \frac{1}{2 \ell^2 \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} - \frac{j \pi}{\lambda_s z_0}, \]

\[ B = \frac{1}{4 \omega^2} + \frac{1}{2 \ell^2 \rho^2} + \frac{1}{\rho^2} + \frac{j \pi}{\lambda_s z_0} - \frac{1}{A \rho^2}. \]
\[ C = \frac{1}{4\omega^2} + \frac{1}{2l_c^2} - \frac{j\pi}{\lambda_r z_1} - \frac{1}{4B l_c^4}, \]
\[ D = \frac{1}{4\omega^2} + \frac{1}{2l_c^2} + \frac{j\pi}{\lambda_r z_1} - \frac{1}{4A l_c^4} - \frac{1}{4A^2 B C l_c^4 \rho^4}. \]

where \( \rho = \left(0.55C_{n,s}^2 k_0^2 z_0\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \omega \) is the transverse size of the laser beams, \( l_c \) defines the correlation parameter of the SLM, \( C_{n,s}^2 \) is the turbulence strength. \( z_0 \) and \( z_1 \) represent the distance from the SLM to object and to the bucket detector, respectively. Different from the conventional GI setup, the reference light distribution of CGI at the bucket detector is computed. Consequently, the reference light is not affected by atmospheric turbulence. The nondegenerate wavelength CGI also has such property. However, it is noteworthy that the width of PSF of nondegenerate wavelength CGI depends both on reference light and signal light, which is different from the conventional CGI.

Equation (7) is plotted in Fig. 3 as a function of \( \lambda_r \) and \( \lambda_s \) for various. We test the resolution of nondegenerate wavelength thermal CGI with the wavelength \( \lambda_s \) fixed and the wavelength \( \lambda_r \) changed. The results are shown in Fig. 4(a-d). The results show that the spatial resolution of CGI with nondegenerate wavelength thermal light is equal to that of conventional CGI in turbulence. The spatial resolution will be reduced with the increase of turbulence. We fix the \( \lambda_r \) and change \( \lambda_s \), the results are shown in Fig. 4(e-h). In weak turbulence \( (C_{n,s}^2 = 1 \times 10^{-16}) \), a ghost image with higher resolution can be obtained when the light of signal arm carries shorter wavelength, which is the same as the conventional CGI and GI [10,11,17,18]. However, in strong turbulence \( (C_{n,s}^2 = 1 \times 10^{-12}) \), a ghost image with higher resolution can be obtained when the light of signal arm carries longer wavelength, which is different from the conventional CGI and GI.

Further analysis (Fig.4) shows that this phenomenon is related to the intensity of atmospheric turbulence and the wavelength of the reference light. If we want to get a high resolution ghost image, the wavelength of signal light need shift within a special range corresponding to different intensity of atmospheric turbulence. The turning point is about \( C_{n,s}^2 = 1 \times 10^{-14}(\lambda_r = 800nm) \). Precisely, there is a turning point when the wavelength of the reference light is shorter than 800nm. But when the wavelength of reference light is longer than 800nm, the turning point will disappear. In this case, a ghost image with high resolution can be obtained regardless of the intensity of turbulence.

The corresponding plot of PSF for the quantum case is shown in appendix, which is obtained by inverting the sign of \( \lambda_s \) in Eq.7. We note that in the same configuration, the PSF has no minimum value, which is same as that obtained by Ref. (13) without atmospheric turbulence. We test the resolution of nondegenerate wavelength quantum CGI with the wavelength \( \lambda_s \) fixed and the wavelength \( \lambda_r \) changed. The results (Fig.5(a-d)) show that the spatial resolution of CGI is approximately equal to the limit case. However, the results are different when the \( \lambda_s \) is fixed and \( \lambda_r \) is changed. In weak turbulence, we can obtain a higher resolution ghost image with shorter wavelength signal light (Fig.5(e-h)). In strong turbulence, a higher resolution ghost image can be obtained using longer wavelength signal light. The results (Fig.6)
show that higher resolution images of quantum nondegenerate wavelength CGI can be obtained when both signal and reference arm are used in long wavelengths in strong turbulence, which is different from the thermal case.

In conclusion, we have shown that nondegenerate-wavelength computational ghost imaging with both thermal and quantum light sources is not only achievable but can also give higher image resolution than conventional computational ghost imaging in turbulence. More important, the nondegenerate wavelength quantum computational ghost imaging was theoretically and experimentally demonstrated to indeed rely on non-local quantum correlations. This work may change our original understanding that computational ghost imaging has nothing to do with non-local quantum correlation. Moreover, this novel imaging scheme has practical application value because its imaging quality will be better than the conventional ghost imaging in strong atmospheric turbulence.
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Appendix

According to Eq.7, the PSF of quantum nondegenerate wavelength computational ghost imaging is shown in Fig.5.

The corresponding plot of PSF as a function of turbulence strength is shown in Fig.6.
FIG. 6. (Color online) Width of the PSF as a function of turbulence $C_{n,s}$. Parameters used are $\omega = 5 cm$, $l_c = 1 mm$, $z_0 = 1 km$, $z_1 = 1 km$. (a-f) are the curve lines for $\lambda_r = 400, 600, 800, 1000, 1200, 1400 nm$, $\lambda_s = 400, 600, 800, 1000, 1200, 1400 nm$.


