Computational ghost imaging with non-local quantum correlations
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We theoretically and experimentally demonstrate the computational ghost imaging with non-local quantum correlations is not impossible and that is a common phenomenon, which may change our original understanding of the computational ghost imaging. Two spatially separated spatial light modulators and two bucket detectors are adopted in this scheme. Since two spatially separated light fields with spatial correlations are used, this provides a powerful evidence that the computational ghost imaging with spatially separated two-wavelength beams indeed rely on non-local quantum correlations. In addition, the imaging quality of this new imaging scheme will be better than that of the conventional ghost imaging in optical harsh environment.
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Ghost imaging (GI) is an indirectly imaging technique that produces the image of an object by using the correlation between the intensity recorded by two detectors illuminated by spatially separated correlated beams. Initially demonstrated at quantum level by using entangled photon pairs as the light source, GI was subsequently performed using pseudothermal light and true thermal sources [1-3]. Recently, the experiments of GI with X-ray source [4-6] have been reported, indicating that the GI has become a powerful comprehensive tool in exploring and analyzing the internal of complex material, e.g. biomolecular structures and nanomaterials.

One of the most surprising consequences of quantum mechanics is the non-local correlation of a multi-particle system observable in joint-detection of distant particle-detectors. GI is one of the most typical representations. However, Shapiro proposed a novel GI scheme—computational ghost imaging (CGI), which was performed with only one bucket detector (single-pixel)[7]. In this configuration, a laser beam modulated by a spatial light modulator(SLM), illuminates an object and is collected by a bucket detector at last. The image is reconstructed by correlating the calculated patterns with the measured intensities at the object arm. Deterministic modulation of a laser beam with a SLM can provide the signal field used for target interrogation, while the on-target intensity pattern needed for the reference field can then be calculated via diffraction theory [7,8]. All the time, as only one light beam and one detector are employed, it is impossible to consider the computational ghost image to generate nonlocal two photon interference [7,8]. In particular, the CGI provides a powerful evidence that pseudothermal GI does not rely on non-local quantum correlations.

So far, the researchers have reached a consensus on the conclusion that CGI does not arise from non-local quantum correlations [9,10]. However, our recent work found that the CGI arising from the non-local quantum correlations is not impossible, but is a common phenomenon in

FIG. 1. (Color online) Setup of the computational ghost imaging with two-wavelength source; SLM: spatial light modulator; DM: dichroic mirror; BD: bucket detector.

CGI with multi-wavelength source. More important, Shi et al found that the resolution of the fictitious CGI with two-wavelength source can be improved by changing the wavelength in the reference or idle arms in harsh environment [11]. Consequently, the CGI with non-local quantum correlations is not just a novel imaginary scheme, but has practical value in application.

Our article is organized as follows. First, we theoretically analyze the CGI with two-wavelength source, and investigate the CGI with non-local quantum correlations. Then, we design an experiment to verify this novel imaging scheme. Finally, we discuss the resolution of CGI with non-local quantum correlations in harsh environment.

To study the properties of CGI with non-local quantum correlations, we first consider the CGI with two-wavelength source. The setup is shown in Fig. 1. Two continuous wave (cw) laser beams $E_1$ and $E_2$ with central frequencies $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$ are coupled together by a dichroic mirror (DM) to form a compound beam. Then, this compound beam illuminates a SLM that code the
same spatial intensity pattern onto each wavelength light field \cite{12}. The computed light field at the object plane can be expressed as

\[
E_{ca}(\vec{p}_a, t) = \int d\omega d\vec{q} e^{-i\omega t} V(\vec{q})\varepsilon_a(\omega)H_a(\vec{p}_a, \vec{q}; t), \tag{1}
\]

where, the incident light fields on the SLM are taken to be plane waves spectra \(\varepsilon_a(\omega)\) with \(a = 1, 2\). The SLM implements spatial modulation of the fields expressed by the random spatial distribution function \(V(\vec{q})\), which is same for both \(\omega_1\) and \(\omega_2\) \cite{12}. \(H_a\) is transfer function that describes the propagation through the SLM to bucket detector. The \(\vec{p}\) and \(\vec{q}\) represent transverse position and wave vector, respectively. The modulated beam undergoes quasimonochromatic paraxial propagation over \(L\)-meter path through free propagation. The incident field on the object \(T(\vec{p})\) and the reflective power is detected by a bucket detector, which can be expressed as \cite{13}

\[
E_{da}(\vec{p}_a, t) = \int d\omega d\vec{q} e^{-i\omega t} V(\vec{q})\varepsilon_a(\omega)H_a(\vec{p}_a, \vec{q}; t)T(\vec{p}). \tag{2}
\]

In order to reconstruct the information of the object, the computed intensity patterns on the object are cross-correlated with the intensities measured by the bucket detector \cite{1,7,8}, i.e.

\[
C(\vec{p}_m, \vec{p}_n, t) = \langle \delta I_{cm}(\vec{p}_m, t) \delta I_{dn}(\vec{p}_n, t) \rangle, \tag{3}
\]

where \(\delta I(\vec{p}, t) = I(\vec{p}, t) - \langle I(\vec{p}, t) \rangle\) with \(m, n = 1, 2\). Thus, the computational ghost image can be expressed as

\[
C(\vec{p}_m, \vec{p}_n, t) = \langle |E_{c1}(\vec{p}_1, t)|^2 |E_{d1}(\vec{p}_1, t)|^2 \rangle - \langle |E_{c1}(\vec{p}_1, t)|^2 \rangle \langle |E_{d1}(\vec{p}_1, t)|^2 \rangle + \langle |E_{c2}(\vec{p}_2, t)|^2 |E_{d2}(\vec{p}_2, t)|^2 \rangle - \langle |E_{c2}(\vec{p}_2, t)|^2 \rangle \langle |E_{d2}(\vec{p}_2, t)|^2 \rangle + \langle |E_{c1}(\vec{p}_1, t)|^2 |E_{d2}(\vec{p}_2, t)|^2 \rangle - \langle |E_{c1}(\vec{p}_1, t)|^2 \rangle \langle |E_{d2}(\vec{p}_2, t)|^2 \rangle + \langle |E_{c2}(\vec{p}_2, t)|^2 |E_{d1}(\vec{p}_1, t)|^2 \rangle - \langle |E_{c2}(\vec{p}_2, t)|^2 \rangle \langle |E_{d1}(\vec{p}_1, t)|^2 \rangle = C_1(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_1, t) + C_2(\vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_2, t) + C_3(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_1, t) + C_4(\vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_1, t). \tag{4}
\]

Equation (4) shows that the CGI with two-wavelength source yields four images, which is very interesting because there are two conventional ghost images arising from the degenerate wavelength correlations and two novel ghost images arising from nondegenerate wavelength correlations. These four images are added together to form one image \cite{14}. The two conventional ghost images arising from the degenerate wavelength correlations is the same as the one obtained by the CGI with single wavelength source \cite{7,8}. There’s no doubt that these two ghost images prove the conventional CGI does not depend on any non-local quantum correlations at all. Surprisingly, the physical nature of the other two ghost images is different.

To demonstrate the \(C_3(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, t)\) and \(C_4(\vec{p}_2, \vec{p}_1, t)\) arising from the non-local quantum correlations theoretically, we show the details of them

\[
C_a(\vec{p}_1, \vec{p}_2, t) = \langle |E_{x_m}(\vec{p}_m, t)|^2 |E_{y_n}(\vec{p}_n, t)|^2 \rangle - \langle |E_{x_m}(\vec{p}_m, t)|^2 \rangle \langle |E_{y_n}(\vec{p}_n, t)|^2 \rangle \nonumber = \int d\omega_m d\omega_n d\vec{q}_m d\vec{q}_n d\vec{q}_m d\vec{q}_n H^*_m(\vec{p}_m, \vec{q}_m; \omega_m)H_m(\vec{p}_m, \vec{q}_m; \omega_m)H^*_n(\vec{p}_n, \vec{q}_n; \omega_n)H_n(\vec{p}_n, \vec{q}_n; \omega_n) \nonumber \times e^{i(\omega_m - \omega_n)t} e^{i(\omega_m - \omega_n) t} T^*(\vec{p})T(\vec{p}) G(\vec{q}_m, \vec{q}_n, \vec{q}_m; \omega_m, \omega_n, \omega_n, \omega_n), \tag{5}
\]

where, \(x, y = c, d\) and

\[
G(\vec{q}_m, \vec{q}_n, \vec{q}_m; \vec{q}_n, \vec{q}_m; \omega_m, \omega_n, \omega_n, \omega_n) = \langle V^*(\vec{q}_m) V(\vec{q}_n) \rangle \langle V^*(\vec{q}_n) V(\vec{q}_m) \rangle \langle \varepsilon^*_m(\omega_m) \varepsilon_m(\omega_n) \rangle \langle \varepsilon_n(\omega_n) \varepsilon_n(\omega_n) \rangle \tag{6}
\]

is the intensity cross-correlation function of the two light fields in the spatial and temporal frequency domain eva-
uated at the output plane of the SLM. The distribution function $V$ is taken to possess spatial correlations that follow Gaussian statistics\[12\]. Substituting Eq.(6) into Eq.(5), after some calculations, we can thus write the ghost image of Eq.(5) as

$$C(\hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2, t) = B \left| \int d\hat{\rho}_1 d\hat{\rho}_2 W(\hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2) H_1(\hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_1; \Omega_1) H_2^*(\hat{\rho}_2, \hat{\rho}_2; \Omega_2) O(\hat{\rho}) \right|^2$$

(7)

where $B = I_1 I_2$ with $I_n = \left\langle \left| \int d\omega_n \varepsilon_n(\omega_n)e^{-i\omega_n t} \right|^2 \right\rangle$ being the average intensities of the two incident light beams on the SLMs. $W(\hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2)$ is the spatial Fourier transform of $\left\langle V(\hat{q}_1)V^*(\hat{q}_2) \right\rangle$. The transfer functions $H_1$ and $H_2$ are written in position space. $\langle T^*(\hat{\rho})T(\hat{\rho}) \rangle = \lambda O(\hat{\rho}) \delta(\hat{\rho}_1 - \hat{\rho}_2)$\[15\]. Equation (7) shows that the image term has the same form as that for two-color GI with thermal source\[12\]. Chan et. al\[12\] have demonstrated that the two-color GI with thermal source is a non-degenerate correlations phenomenon. Consequently, the physics of CGI with spatially separated twowavelength source is non-local quantum correlations.

Based on above analysis, the $E_1$ and $E_2$ should be separated in space in order to obtain the CGI with non-local quantum correlations. Consequently, we constructed the experimental setup presented in Fig. 2. The setup is based on two two-dimensional amplitude-only ferroelectric liquid crystal spatial light modulator (FLC-SLM, Meadowlark Optics A512-450-850), with 512 x 512 addressable 15\(\mu\)m x 15\(\mu\)m pixels. Two cw laser beams with $\lambda_1 = 633nm$ and $\lambda_2 = 532nm$ illuminate the two 2D-SLMs controlled by a computer, respectively. The two modulated beams are coupled together by a DM, and then illuminate an object placed at a distance of $L_1 + L_3 = L_2 + L_3 = 84cm$ from the SLM1, where $L_1 = L_2 = 70cm$. The transmitted power is divided into two beams by a DM. Thus, the light field with $\lambda_1$ is collected by the BD1 and the light field with $\lambda_2$ is collected by the BD2, where $L_4 + L_5 = L_4 + L_6 = 20cm$.

In both branches, the random grayscale with 512 x 512 pixels is encoded on the two SLMs at the same time. As a result, the two light fields reflected by the SLM have the same amplitude distribution\[12\]. The two bucket detectors controlled by the computer are exposure at the same time. In order to obtain the computational ghost image arising from the non-local quantum correlations, the computed intensity patterns (SLM2) are cross-correlated with the intensities measured by the BD1 (i.e.,$C_3(\hat{\rho}_1, \hat{\rho}_2, t) = \langle I_1 I_2 \rangle$). Similarly, the image can be obtained by cross-correlating the computed intensity patterns (SLM1) and intensities measured by the BD2 (i.e.,$C_4(\hat{\rho}_2, \hat{\rho}_1, t) = \langle I_2 I_1 \rangle$). The reconstructed image shown in Fig. 3 b, c is the average results over 10000 realizations.

As we all know, Atmospheric turbulence is a serious problem for satellite and aircraft-to-ground based classical imaging. Cheng took the lead in studying the effect of atmospheric turbulence on GI, and found that the image will be significantly degraded with strong turbulence and large propagation distance\[16\]. 2011, Meyers et al proposed turbulence-free GI, which had crucial use in applications\[17\]. Shi et al\[11\] theoretically assumed a CGI with two-wavelength source, and investigated the effect of atmospheric turbulence on CGI with two-wavelength source. He found that the CGI with two-wavelength system offer better or nor worse spatial resolution than GI with single-wavelength source. In particular, the shorter wavelength light illuminating the object corresponds to the higher resolution in weak turbulence. However, the
longer wavelength light corresponds to the higher resolution in strong turbulence. Consequently, this new CGI scheme is not just a novel GI scheme, but has practical application value because its imaging quality will be better than the conventional GI and conventional CGI in optical harsh environment.

In conclusion, the computational ghost imaging was theoretically and experimentally demonstrated to indeed rely on non-local quantum correlations, performed with two spatially separated correlated pseudo thermal light fields. All the time, it is impossible to consider the computational ghost image to arise from non-local quantum correlations. This work may change our original understanding of the computational ghost imaging. The computational ghost imaging with non-local quantum correlations is not a special imaging scheme, but a common phenomenon in computational ghost imaging with multi-wavelength source. More important, it has practical application value because its imaging quality will be better than the conventional ghost imaging in optical harsh environment. The effects of turbulence on CGI with non-local quantum correlations will be detailed studied in our further works.
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