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Abstract

We present a new group law defined on a subset of the projective plane \(\mathbb{P}^2\) over an arbitrary field \(\mathbb{F}\), which lends itself to applications in Public Key Cryptography, in particular to a Diffie-Hellman-like key agreement protocol. We analyze the computational difficulty of solving the mathematical problem underlying the proposed Abelian group law and we prove that the security of our proposal is equivalent to the discrete logarithm problem in the multiplicative group of the cubic extension of the finite field considered.
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1 Introduction

The main contribution of this paper is to propose a new group law, defined on the complement of a projective cubic plane curve, prove its properties, and consider the possibility of using it as a building block for cryptographic applications in the field of Public Key Cryptography (PKC).

The paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the group law and its main characteristics and properties. In particular, we define the mathematical problem associated to the considered group law, and we give the explicit formulas to compute the group operation of any two elements of the group. These formulas, which involve coefficients from the base field, are applicable to any pair...
of elements of the group with no exception whatsoever, which is advantageous in view of possible cryptographic applications.

As an application of the defined group law to PKC, a cryptographic protocol, in particular, a Diffie-Hellman-like key agreement protocol, is defined in section 3. We also analyze the computational difficulty of solving the mathematical problem underlying the defined group law, and we prove that the hardness of our problem is equivalent to that of the discrete logarithm problem on the multiplicative group of the cubic extension of the finite field considered. We end with conclusions.

2 The group law defined

Let \( \mathbb{F} \) be a field and let us consider a linear endomorphism \( A : V \rightarrow V \) of the vector space \( V = \mathbb{F}^3 \). We define the polynomial \( Q(x) = \det(x_1 I + x_2 A + x_3 A^2) \), where \( x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in V \). The polynomial \( Q \) is homogeneous of degree 3, and does not depend on \( A \), but only on the characteristic polynomial \( \chi(X) \) of \( A \).

A new group law is proposed \( \oplus : V \times V \rightarrow V \). Let the multiplicative group \( \mathbb{F}^* \) act on \( V \) by the diagonal action, i.e., \( \lambda \cdot (x_1, x_2, x_3) = (\lambda x_1, \lambda x_2, \lambda x_3) \), and let denote by \( \mathbb{F} P^2 \) the projective plane, namely \( \mathbb{F} P^2 = (V \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}) / \mathbb{F}^* \). Then the proposed group law induces an Abelian group law on \( \mathbb{F} P^2 \setminus Q^{-1}(0) \).

If the characteristic polynomial \( \chi(X) \) is irreducible in \( \mathbb{F}[X] \), then \( Q^{-1}(0) = \emptyset \). In this case, the group law extends to the whole set \( \mathbb{F} P^2 \); moreover, if the base field is a finite field \( \mathbb{F}_q \), with characteristic different from 2 or 3, then the group \( \mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{F}_q P^2, \oplus) \) is proved to be cyclic.

The latter property permits us to apply the notion of discrete logarithm to the group \( \mathbb{G} \). If we fix a generator \( g \in \mathbb{F}_q P^2 \), then any element \( h \) of the group is the addition of \( g \) with itself a finite number of times, say \( n \), so that \( h = g \oplus g \oplus \cdots \oplus g = [n]g \). The number \( n \) is the logarithm of \( h \) to the base \( g \).

Given any element \( h \in \mathbb{G} \), and a generator \( g \) of the group, the discrete logarithm problem (DLP), consists in finding the smallest integer \( n \), such that \( h = [n]g \). In this work, we prove that the DLP over \( \mathbb{G} \) with a proper choice of the generator is equivalent to the DLP over the multiplicative group \( (\mathbb{F}_q^*)^3 \).

Popular current cryptosystems are based on the discrete logarithm problem over different groups, such as the group of invertible elements in a finite field, or the group of points of an elliptic curve with the addition of points as group operation. Our proposal could fit perfectly well in the same niche.

As is the case for analogous public key protocols, the users of the present proposal agree to a single base field \( \mathbb{F}_q \) but each one of them is allowed to select at will any (irreducible) polynomial

\[
\chi(X) = X^3 - c_1 X^2 - c_2 X - c_3, \quad c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q.
\]

The public system parameters include the base field \( \mathbb{F}_q \), coefficients \( c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q \), and the generator \( g \).

Next we prove that the polynomial \( Q \) does not depend on \( A \), but only on the characteristic polynomial \( \chi(X) \) of \( A \).

Lemma 2.1. Let \( \mathbb{F} \) be a field and let \( V \) be the vector space \( \mathbb{F}^3 \). If \( A : V \rightarrow V \) is a linear map such that the endomorphisms \( I, A, A^2 \) are linearly independent, then the homogeneous cubic polynomial \( Q(x) = \det(x_1 I + x_2 A + x_3 A^2) \) does not
depend on the matrix $A$ but only on the coefficients $c_1, c_2, c_3$ of its characteristic polynomial $\chi(X) = X^3 - c_1 X^2 - c_2 X - c_3$.

Proof. Let $\bar{F}$ be the algebraic closure of $F$. As the endomorphisms $I, A, A^2$ are linearly independent, the annihilator polynomial of $A$ coincides with $\chi(X)$ by virtue of the Cayley-Hamilton theorem. Hence there exists a basis of $\bar{F}^3$ such that the matrix of $A$ in this basis equals one of the following three matrices:

$$(1) \quad M_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \alpha_3 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_2 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad M_3 = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha_2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and from a simple calculation we obtain

$$(2) \quad Q(x) = \det(x_1 I + x_2 M_i + x_3 (M_i)^2)$$
$$= -c_2 x_1 (x_2)^2 + [(c_2)^2 - 2(c_1 c_3)] x_1 (x_3)^2 + c_1 (x_1)^2 x_2$$
$$+ [(c_1)^2 + 2c_2] (x_1)^2 x_3 - (c_2 c_3) x_2 (x_3)^2 + (c_1 c_3) (x_2)^2 x_3$$
$$- (c_1 c_2 + 3c_3) x_1 x_2 x_3 + (x_1)^3 + c_3 (x_2)^3 + (c_3)^2 (x_3)^3,$$

for every $i = 1, 2, 3$.

**Theorem 2.2.** Every linear map $A : V \rightarrow V$ such that the endomorphisms $I, A, A^2$ are linearly independent, induces a law of composition

$$\oplus : V \times V \rightarrow V,$$

$$(x, y) \mapsto z = x \oplus y,$$

by the following formula:

$$(3) \quad z_1 I + z_2 A + z_3 A^2 = (x_1 I + x_2 A + x_3 A^2) \cdot (y_1 I + y_2 A + y_3 A^2),$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3), y = (y_1, y_2, y_3), z = (z_1, z_2, z_3)$.

Moreover, the set of elements $x \in V$ such that $x \oplus y = (0, 0, 0)$ for some element $y$ in $V \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ coincides with the set $Q^{-1}(0)$, and $\oplus$ induces a group law

$$\oplus : (\mathbb{F}^3 \setminus Q^{-1}(0)) \times (\mathbb{F}^3 \setminus Q^{-1}(0)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{F}^3 \setminus Q^{-1}(0)).$$

If $C$ denotes the projective cubic curve defined by $Q(x) = 0$, then the group law

$$\oplus : (\mathbb{F}P^2 \setminus C) \times (\mathbb{F}P^2 \setminus C) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}P^2 \setminus C.$$

**Proof.** As $A^3 = c_1 A^2 + c_2 A + c_3 I$, and

$$A^2 \cdot A^2 = A \cdot A^3$$
$$= (c_1 c_3) I + (c_1 c_2 + c_3) A + [(c_1)^2 + c_2] A^2,$$

from the formula in (3) it follows:

$$z_1 = x_1 y_1 + c_3 (x_2 y_3 + x_3 y_2) + (c_1 c_3 x_1 y_3,$$
$$z_2 = x_1 y_2 + x_2 y_1 + c_2 (x_2 y_3 + x_3 y_2) + (c_1 c_2 + c_3) x_3 y_3,$$
$$z_3 = x_2 y_2 + x_1 y_3 + x_3 y_1 + c_1 (x_2 y_3 + x_3 y_2) + (c_1^2 + c_2) x_3 y_3.$$
In matrix notation, these formulas can equivalently be written as
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  z_1 \\
  z_2 \\
  z_3
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
  x_1 & c_3x_3 & c_1c_3x_3 + c_1x_2 \\
  x_2 & x_1 + c_2x_3 & c_2x_2 + c_3x_3 + c_1c_2x_3 \\
  x_3 & x_2 + c_1x_3 & x_1 + (c_1)^2x_3 + c_1x_2 + c_2x_3
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
  y_1 \\
  y_2 \\
  y_3
\end{pmatrix},
\]
and as a simple computation shows, the determinant of the linear system above is equal to \(Q(x)\), where \(Q\) is defined by the formula \(2\). Hence \(x \oplus y = (0, 0, 0)\), for some \(y \in V \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}\), if and only if \(Q(x) = 0\).

The commutativity of \(\oplus\) is a direct consequence of the invariance of the formula \(4\) under the substitutions \(x_i \mapsto y_i, y_i \mapsto x_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3\).

Moreover, the formula \(5\) can also be written as follows:
\[
(x \oplus y)_1 I + (x \oplus y)_2 A + (x \oplus y)_3 A^2 = \left(x_1 I + x_2 A + x_3 A^2\right) \left(y_1 I + y_2 A + y_3 A^2\right).
\]

From the associativity of the composition law of endomorphisms we deduce
\[
(x \oplus (y \oplus z))_1 I + (x \oplus (y \oplus z))_2 A + (x \oplus (y \oplus z))_3 A^2 =
\left((x_1 I + x_2 A + x_3 A^2) \cdot ((y_1 I + y_2 A + y_3 A^2) \cdot (z_1 I + z_2 A + z_3 A^2))\right)
\]
\[
= \left((x_1 I + x_2 A + x_3 A^2) \cdot ((y_1 I + y_2 A + y_3 A^2) \cdot (z_1 I + z_2 A + z_3 A^2))\right)
\]
\[
= ((x \oplus y) \oplus z)_1 I + ((x \oplus y) \oplus z)_2 A + ((x \oplus y) \oplus z)_3 A^2.
\]
Hence \(x \oplus (y \oplus z) = (x \oplus y) \oplus z, \forall x, y, z \in V\).

From \(4\) it follows that the unit element is the point \((1,0,0)\), which does not belong to \(Q^{-1}(0)\) since \(Q(1,0,0) = 1\).

By taking determinants in the equation \(4\) we obtain
\[
Q(x \oplus y) = Q(x)Q(y), \quad \forall x, y \in V.
\]

Therefore the opposite element \(y\) of \(x\) exists and it is given by the following formulas:
\[
y_1 = \frac{c_1x_1x_2 + [(c_1)^2 + 2c_2]x_1x_3 - (c_3 + c_1c_2)x_2x_3 + (x_1)^2 - c_2(x_2)^2 + [(c_2)^2 - c_1c_3](x_3)^2}{Q(x)};
\]
\[
y_2 = \frac{x_1x_2 + (c_1)^2x_2x_3 + (c_1c_2)^2 - (c_1c_2 + c_3)(x_3)^2}{Q(x)};
\]
\[
y_3 = \frac{-x_1x_2 + c_1c_2x_3 + (x_2)^2 - c_2(x_3)^2}{Q(x)}.
\]

Finally, if \(x, y\) are replaced by \(\lambda x, \mu y\), respectively, with \(\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}^*\), then \(z\) transforms into \(\lambda \mu z\), thus proving that the group law projects onto \(FP^2 \setminus C\).

Remark 2.3. Note that the equations in \(4\), allowing one to compute the \(\oplus\) group operation in terms of the coefficients in the ground field, are applicable to any element of the group, with no exception at all.

Remark 2.4. If \(v_1 = (1,0,0), v_2 = (0,1,0), v_3 = (0,0,1)\), then from \(2\) we obtain \(Q(v_2) = c_3, Q(v_3) = (c_3)^2\). Hence \(v_2\) and \(v_3\) belong to \(F^3 \setminus Q^{-1}(0)\) if and only if \(c_3 \neq 0\), i.e., when \(A\) is invertible.
2.1 The basic cubic

**Proposition 2.5.** Let \( \chi(X) = X^3 - c_1X^2 - c_2X - c_3 \in \mathbb{F}[X] \) be the polynomial introduced in Lemma 2.1 and let \( \alpha = X \mod \chi \). If \( N : \mathbb{F}[\alpha] \to \mathbb{F} \) is the norm of the extension \( \mathbb{F}[\alpha] \) of \( \mathbb{F} \), then a point \( \beta = \beta_0 + \beta_1\alpha + \beta_2\alpha^2 \) belongs to the cubic curve \( C \) defined in Theorem 2.2 if and only if \( N(\beta) = 0 \). In particular, if \( \chi \) is irreducible in \( \mathbb{F}[X] \), then \( C \) has no point in \( \mathbb{F}^2 \).

Moreover, the polynomial \( \chi \) is irreducible in \( \mathbb{F}[X] \) if and only if the cubic \( C \) is irreducible.

**Proof.** Every \( \beta \in \mathbb{F}[\alpha] \) induces an \( \mathbb{F} \)-linear endomorphism \( E_\beta : \mathbb{F}[\alpha] \to \mathbb{F}[\alpha] \) given by \( E_\beta(\xi) = \beta \cdot \xi \), \( \forall \xi \in \mathbb{F}[\alpha] \), and from the very definition of the norm we have \( N(\beta) = \det E_\beta \). As a computation shows, we obtain \( N(\beta) = Q(\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2) \), thus proving the first part of the statement. Moreover, \( \chi \) is irreducible if and only if \( \mathbb{F}[\alpha] \) is a field and then the norm is injective, thus proving the second part of the statement.

Finally, if \( \chi \) factors in \( \mathbb{F}[X] \), say \( X^3 - c_1X^2 - c_2X - c_3 = (X - h)(X^2 + kX + l) \), with \( h, k, l \in \mathbb{F} \), then we have
\[
Q(x) = [(x_1)^2 + (k^2 - 2l)x_1x_3 + l(x_2)^2 - klx_1x_3 + l^2(x_3)^2 - kx_1x_2][x_1 + hx_2 + h^2x_3].
\]

Conversely, if \( \chi \) is irreducible in \( \mathbb{F}[X] \), then according to Proposition 2.5, the only solution to the cubic equation \( Q(x) = 0 \) is \( x = 0 \). Hence \( Q \) must be irreducible, as a reducible cubic admits non-trivial solutions in the ground field.

**Corollary 2.6.** If the characteristic polynomial \( \chi \) of \( A \) is irreducible in \( \mathbb{F}[X] \), then there is no linear transformation \( (\lambda_{ij})_{i,j=1}^3 \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{F}, 3) \) reducing the polynomial \( Q \) defined in (2) to Weierstrass form.

**Proof.** Replacing \( x_j \) by \( X_j = \sum_{i=1}^3 \lambda_{ij} x_i \), \( 1 \leq j \leq 3 \), in (2) we obtain a cubic \( Q \), which is in Weierstrass form (see [11] §2.1) if and only if the coefficients \( a, b, \) and \( c \) of the terms \( (x_3)^3 \), \( (x_1)^2x_2 \), and \( x_1(x_2)^2 \), respectively, vanish. As a computation shows, we have \( a = Q(\lambda_{31}, \lambda_{32}, \lambda_{33}) \), and we can conclude by applying Proposition 2.5.

2.2 Cyclicity

**Theorem 2.7.** If \( \mathbb{F}_q \) is a finite field of characteristic different from 2 or 3 and the polynomial \( \chi(X) = X^3 - c_1X^2 - c_2X - c_3 \) introduced in Lemma 2.1 is irreducible in \( \mathbb{F}_q[X] \), then the group \( G = (\mathbb{F}_qP^2, \oplus) \) is cyclic.

**Proof.** Since \( \text{char} \, \mathbb{F}_q \neq 2, 3 \), the polynomial \( \chi \) is separable and in its splitting field \( \mathbb{F}_q' \) we have \( \chi(X) = (X - \alpha_1)(X - \alpha_2)(X - \alpha_3) \), the roots \( \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \) being pairwise distinct, and in a certain basis of \( \mathbb{F}_q' \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} V \) the matrix of \( A \) is given by the formula (1). As the Galois group \( G(\mathbb{F}_q' / \mathbb{F}_q) \) acts transitively on the roots of \( \chi \), there exist two automorphisms such that \( \sigma_2(\alpha_1) = \alpha_2 \) and \( \sigma_3(\alpha_1) = \alpha_3 \). If \( \beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2\alpha + \beta_3(\alpha)^2 \), \( \beta_1 \in \mathbb{F}_q, 1 \leq i \leq 3, \) is an element in \( \mathbb{F}_q[\alpha_1] \cong \mathbb{F}_q^3 \), then for every positive integer \( n \) we have
\[
(\beta_1 I + \beta_2 A + \beta_3 A^2)^n = \begin{pmatrix} \beta^n & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2(\beta^n) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sigma_3(\beta^n) \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Consequently, if \( \beta \) is a generator of the multiplicative group \((\mathbb{F}_q)^*\), then the vector \((\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)\) generates the group \(((\mathbb{F}_q)^3 \setminus \{(0,0,0)\}, \oplus)\) and its corresponding projective point \([\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3] = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)\) mod \(\mathbb{F}_q^*\) generates the group \(G\), with \(\mathbb{F}_q P^2 = ((\mathbb{F}_q)^3 \setminus \{(0,0,0)\}) / \mathbb{F}_q^*\).

**Remark 2.8.** It is important to keep in mind that the implication in Theorem 2.7 works only in the way in which it is worded. If one selects a generator of the group \(G\), it will in general be a generator of only a subgroup of the whole \((\mathbb{F}_q)^*\) group. Consequently, when choosing a generator for \(G\), it is convenient to pick it from the set of generators in \((\mathbb{F}_q)^*\) and, after that, project it onto \(\mathbb{F}_q P^2\).

**Remark 2.9.** As the order of the group \(\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{F}_q P^2, \oplus)\) is \(q^2 + q + 1\), the statement of Theorem 2.7 means that there exists an element \(\beta \in \mathbb{G}\) of order \(q^2 + q + 1\). According to the proof of Theorem 2.7 this is equivalent to saying that the matrix \(A\) in (1) is of order \(q^2 + q + 1\) in the linear group \(GL(\mathbb{F}_q, 3)\). A classical result (see [15 Theorem, p. 379]) states that such a collineation always exists, but we need a direct proof of this fact to be able to apply it below in section 3.1. Also see [3 Proposition 2.1].

**Remark 2.10.** When the polynomial \(\chi\) is reducible, experimental tests carried out in the prime field \(\mathbb{F}_p\) show that the projective cubic curve \(C\) defined as \(Q(x) = 0\) has a number of points from the set \(\{p + 2, 2p + 1, 3p + 1\}\) only.

Since the projective space \(\mathbb{F}_p P^2\) has a total of \(p^2 + p + 1\) points, the group \((\mathbb{F}_p P^2 \setminus C, \oplus)\) is left, respectively, with \(\{p^2 - 1, p^2 - p, (p - 1)^2, p^2\}\) points.

If the number of points of \(C\) is either \(p + 2\) or \(2p + 1\), then the group \((\mathbb{F}_p P^2 \setminus C, \oplus)\) is still cyclic, and has the expected number of generators, namely, either \(\varphi(p^2 - 1)\) or \(\varphi(p^2 - p)\), respectively, where \(\varphi\) is Euler’s totient function.

However none of the other two possibilities give rise to a cyclic group. Rather, for the case where \(C\) has \(3p\) points, there appears a number of cyclic groups, whose cardinalities are the divisors of \(p - 1\); it is important to remark that the total number of points right for the group is precisely \((p - 1)^2\). Thus, the group \((\mathbb{F}_p P^2 \setminus C, \oplus)\) can be decomposed as a direct sum of a number of cyclic groups such that the product of their cardinalities is \((p - 1)^2\).

As for the case when \(C\) has \(p + 1\) points, the group \((\mathbb{F}_p P^2 \setminus C, \oplus)\) is not cyclic either and can be decomposed as a direct sum of \(2\) cyclic groups with \(p\) points each. Remark that now the total number of points left for the group is \(p^2\), so again the numbers of points of the cyclic groups of this case match the divisors of \(p\).

### 3 A cryptographic protocol

First of all, we establish the computational security of the mathematical problem defined over the cyclic group considered. Later on, as an example of a cryptographic protocol, we present a Diffie-Hellman-like key agreement protocol.

#### 3.1 Equivalence of DLP in \(\mathbb{G}\) and \((\mathbb{F}_q)^*\)

**Proposition 3.1.** Let \(\mathbb{F}_q\) be a finite field of characteristic \(\neq 2\) or \(3\). Assume the polynomial \(\chi(X) = X^3 - c_1 X^2 - c_2 X - c_3\) in Lemma 2.1 is irreducible in \(\mathbb{F}_q[X]\), and let \(\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^3\) be a root of \(\chi\).
If $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3$ is a generator of the group $((\mathbb{F}_q)^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}, \oplus)$ and $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ belongs to this group, then $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a solution to the equation

$$(\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3) \oplus \ldots \oplus (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3),$$

if and only if $n$ is a solution to the equation $\beta = \gamma^n$ in the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{F}_q)^*$, where $\beta = \beta_1 + \beta_2 \alpha + \beta_3 \alpha^2$, and $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \alpha + \gamma_3 \alpha^2$.

Therefore, the DLP in the group $((\mathbb{F}_q)^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}, \oplus)$ is equivalent to the DLP in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^*$.

Proof. Letting $\alpha = \alpha_1$, the statement follows from the matrix formula in the proof of Theorem 2.7 taking the very definition of the group law $\oplus$ by the formula (3) into account. 

In the present case, Proposition 3.1 states the “equivalence” because the reduction of problems (see, for example, [13, Ch. 8], [10, p. 5]) works both ways, namely, DLP in the group $((\mathbb{F}_q)^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}, \oplus)$ reduces to the DLP in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^*$ and the other way around. Hence, Proposition 3.1 proves that the use of the group $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{F}_q P^2, \oplus)$ is safe for standard implementations in PKC (e.g., see [11, §1.6]), since the security it provides is equivalent to that of DLP in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^*$, as long as the caveat stated in Remark 2.3 is taken into account.

In terms of cryptanalysis, in principle logarithms in $\mathbb{G}$ can be computed using “generic” algorithms, i.e., those that assume no particular structure in (or extra knowledge of) the group. The most popular ones are Pohlig-Hellman, Shank’s Baby Step/Giant Step, and Pollard’s Rho algorithm. The first one is really “generic” algorithms, i.e., those that assume no particular structure in (or extra knowledge of) the group. The most popular ones are Pohlig-Hellman, Shank’s Baby Step/Giant Step, and Pollard’s Rho algorithm. The first one is really a reduction of the computation in the whole group to the computation of the logarithm in all subgroups of prime order of $\mathbb{G}$. All of them need an exponential computation time.

However, there exists the so-called index-calculus algorithm, which is much faster as it is able to compute discrete algorithm in the multiplicative group of a finite field in subexponential time (see, e.g., [7], [8], [12]). Since the operations in the proposed group $\mathbb{G} = (\mathbb{F}_q P^2, \oplus)$ can be efficiently transferred to those in $(\mathbb{F}_q)^*$, it follows that index-calculus algorithm can be applied to the multiplicative group of the latter. This fact does not render the group operation automatically useless in the face of possible cryptographic applications, as long as proper key lengths are utilized.

For an extension of a finite field, such as the proposed one, current state-of-the-art algorithms report computation complexities of $L_N(1/3, c)$, where

$$L_N(\alpha, c) = \exp \left((c + o(1))(\log N)^\alpha (\log \log N)^{1-\alpha}\right),$$

with values of $c$ ranging from $(64/3)^{1/3}$ for fields with high characteristic to $(128/9)^{1/3}$ for medium characteristic ([7, §4.2]).

In view of recent cryptanalysis to DLP in $\mathbb{F}_q$ (cf. [8], [11], [2], [3]), it seems sensible to avoid using small characteristics and also extensions of moderate characteristic included in the range of the following cryptanalysis: [7], [5], [6], [9], [14].

Our proposal is to use a group $\mathbb{G}$ of prime order $n = q^2 + q + 1$, over a ground field $\mathbb{F}_q$. Using formula (5) we can compute how many elements in $\mathbb{G}$ provide a given security level. Since the number of elements is roughly the square of the value of $q$, it follows that $q$ can be represented with only one half of the bits needed for $n$. This has a direct impact on the computation time of the $\oplus$ operation in $\mathbb{G}$.
3.2 System set-up and system parameters for a key agreement protocol

The group $G = (\mathbb{F}_q P^2, \oplus)$ lends readily itself as a building block for standard cryptographic applications to be constructed upon it. One of such applications is a Diffie-Hellman-like key agreement protocol, which will be described in the following sections.

In the following, we provide the necessary steps to set up the system. Moreover, the users also need to fix some system parameters.

System set-up

To set up the system, the following steps are in order:

1. Choose a ground field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with characteristic different from 2 or 3.

2. Select elements $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ such that the polynomial

$$\chi(X) = X^3 - c_1 X^2 - c_2 X - c_3$$

is irreducible in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$.

3. Consider $\mathbb{F}_{q^3} \simeq \mathbb{F}_q[X]/(\chi(X))$. Select $\alpha \in (\mathbb{F}_{q^3})^*$ such that it is a generator of $(\mathbb{F}_{q^3})^*$.

4. Compute the coordinates of $\alpha$ seen as a vector over $\mathbb{F}_q$, which will be denoted as $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \in (\mathbb{F}_q)^3 \setminus \{0,0,0\}$.

5. Under the canonical projection $\pi: (\mathbb{F}_q)^3 \setminus \{0,0,0\} \to \mathbb{F}_q P^2$, compute $[\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3] = \pi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$.

System parameters

Following the previous notation, the system parameters are defined by the set $S = \{\mathbb{F}_q, [\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3], c_1, c_2, c_3\}$.

3.3 The key agreement protocol

The key agreement follows the well-known Diffie-Hellman paradigm. Any two users $A, B$, willing to agree on a common value, which remains secret, set up a system and agree on its parameters, as stated previously.

The protocol runs as follows:

1. User $A$ selects $n_A \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$, with $\ell = q^2 + q + 1$, computes

$$[\gamma_1^A, \gamma_2^A, \gamma_3^A] = \oplus^{n_A} [\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3] \in \mathbb{F}_q P^2$$

and sends it to user $B$.

2. User $B$ selects $n_B \in \mathbb{Z}_\ell$, computes

$$[\gamma_1^B, \gamma_2^B, \gamma_3^B] = \oplus^{n_B} [\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3] \in \mathbb{F}_q P^2$$

and sends it to user $A$. 
3. User A computes $k_A = \oplus^{n_A} [\gamma_A^1, \gamma_A^2, \gamma_A^3]$.

4. User B computes $k_B = \oplus^{n_B} [\gamma_B^1, \gamma_B^2, \gamma_B^3]$.

According to the definitions, the following equalities clearly hold:

\[
\begin{align*}
  k_A &= \oplus^{n_A} [\gamma_B^1, \gamma_B^2, \gamma_B^3] \\
  &= \oplus^{n_B} (\oplus^{n_B} [\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3]) \\
  &= \oplus^{n_B} (\oplus^{n_A} [\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3]) \\
  &= \oplus^{n_A} [\gamma_A^1, \gamma_A^2, \gamma_A^3] = k_B.
\end{align*}
\]

Hence, the properties of the operation $\oplus$ in $G$ ensure that actually $k_A = k_B$, which is the common value expected as the output of the protocol.

### 3.4 Cost of the $\oplus$ operation in $G$

Let $S$ and $P$ be the number of field operations in order to perform an addition and a multiplication respectively in $F_p$. From the formulas (4) it follows that the total number of operations for computing $x \oplus y$ is equal to $10S + 15P$, once the $2S + 3P$ precomputations of $c_1c_3$, $c_1c_2 + c_3$, and $(c_2)^2 + c_2$ are assumed.

Additionally, two multiplications and one inversion are needed to eventually project the resulting point back to $F_qP^2$. However, in a typical setting their cost can be neglected when compared with the relatively much larger number of sums and products that are to be carried out.

### 3.5 A toy example

If we take the prime field $F_p$, with $p = 131$, it is case that $p^2 + p + 1 = 17293$ is also prime. Accordingly, the group $G$ is cyclic. We set the parameters $c_1 = 13$, $c_2 = 18$, $c_3 = 73$, since the polynomial $\chi(X) = X^3 - 13X^2 - 18X - 73$ is irreducible in $F_{131}$.

Let us take the projective point $X = [126, 16, 1]$ as a generator of $G$. If we select now another projective point $Y = [86, 120, 1]$, we find by exhaustive search the integer $n$ such that $Y = \oplus^{n} X$:

\[
\begin{align*}
[126,16,1] &\rightarrow [117,130,1] \rightarrow [11,15,1] \rightarrow [71,56,1] \\
&\rightarrow [16,98,1] \rightarrow [72,62,1] \rightarrow [111,125,1] \rightarrow [110,130,1] \\
&\quad \rightarrow [130,114,1] \rightarrow [86,120,1].
\end{align*}
\]

Since the operation has been iterated ten times, we conclude $Y = \oplus^{10} X$ for this particular pair, so that $\log_X Y = 10$.

### 4 Conclusions

In this work, we have defined a group law, $\oplus$, over the set $F_qP^2$, and considered the discrete logarithm problem associated to them. We have analyzed their properties and stated the security of the problem considered. Moreover, based on it, we have defined a cryptographic key agreement protocol as one possible application of this problem to public key cryptography.
As future work, we think that it is possible to extend this discrete logarithm problem in order to define new cryptographic protocols for encryption/decryption and digital signatures, among others, in a similar way as El-Gamal or elliptic curve cryptosystems were defined from the Diffie-Hellman key agreement protocol.
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