Magma ascent in planetesimals: control by grain size
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Abstract

Rocky planetesimals in the early solar system melted internally and evolved chemically due to radiogenic heating from $^{26}$Al. Here we quantify the parametric controls on magma genesis and transport using a coupled petrological and fluid mechanical model of reactive two-phase flow. We find the mean grain size of silicate minerals to be a key control on magma ascent. For grain sizes $\gtrsim 1$ mm, melt segregation produces distinct radial structure and chemical stratification. This stratification is most pronounced for bodies formed at around 1 Myr after formation of Ca,Al-rich inclusions. These findings suggest a link between the time and orbital location of planetesimal formation and their subsequent structural and chemical evolution. According to our models, the evolution of partially molten planetesimal interiors falls into two categories. In the magma ocean scenario, the whole interior of a planetesimal experiences nearly complete melting, which would result in turbulent convection and core-mantle differentiation by the rainfall mechanism. In the magma sill scenario, segregating melts gradually deplete the deep interior of the radiogenic heat source. In this case, magma may form melt-rich layers beneath a cool and stable lid, while core formation would proceed by percolation. Our findings suggest that grain sizes prevalent during the internal heating stage governed magma ascent in planetesimals. Regardless of whether evolution progresses toward a magma ocean or magma sill structure, our models predict that temperature inversions due to rapid $^{26}$Al redistribution are limited to bodies formed earlier than $\approx 1$ Myr after CAIs. We find that if grain size was $\lesssim 1$ mm during peak internal melting, only elevated solid–melt density contrasts (such as found for the reducing conditions in enstatite chondrite compositions) would allow substantial melt segregation to occur.
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1. Introduction

At the time of planet formation, the inner solar system was populated by rocky planetesimals that seeded today’s terrestrial planets through dynamical accretion of many smaller bodies (Johansen et al., 2015), and whose internal evolution was governed by radiogenic heating from short-lived $^{26}$Al (Hevey and Sanders, 2006). For large planetesimal radii and sufficient $^{26}$Al incorporated upon formation, the released energy led to volatile degassing (Castillo-Rogez and Young, 2017; Monteux et al., 2018) and significant silicate melting, surpassing the rheological transition from solid-state creep to disaggregation and melt-dominated deformation at melt fractions $\phi_{\text{trans}} \gtrsim 0.4\text{–}0.6$ (Costa et al., 2009). In comparison with solid or partially molten interiors, which lose heat by conduction and/or laminar convection, disaggregation results in significantly increased heat flux by turbulent convection and rapid metal-silicate differentiation by the raining out of iron droplets (Stevenson, 1990).

The interior evolution of early solar system planetesimals has broad implications for the formation of both rocky planets and main-belt asteroid populations, the most immediate remnants of the accretion process. Meteorites, broken-up pieces of asteroids fallen to Earth, are currently our only source of direct evidence from the early solar system. Therefore, our understanding of planetary growth and evolution is fundamentally limited by our ability to reconstruct the thermo-chemical evolution of planetesimals as evidenced by meteorites. Achondritic meteorites, which are thought to originate from differentiated planetesimals, show a remarkable diversity and likely originate from more than 50–100 parent bodies (Wasson, 1990). However, spectral properties of asteroids do not match this diversity, as most known asteroids with an achondritic surface are interpreted to represent the debris of only a few parent bodies (Burbine et al., 2017). This apparent lack of achondritic asteroids is at odds with the available meteorite record.

A possible solution to this conundrum is that internally differentiated planetesimals can retain their primitive, chondritic surfaces if magma remains confined to the interior instead of being erupted by volcanism (Elkins-Tanton et al., 2011; Weiss and Elkins-Tanton, 2013). Some paleomagnetic stud-
magma sills might then result in a transient, inverted temperature profile with a thermal history distinct from the magma ocean with radial heterogeneities of melt fraction that differ from the initial case. This scenario implies a potential significant redistribution of $^{26}$Al, which is a moderately incompatible element and preferentially partitions into silicate melts. The transfer of the major heat source into shallow magma sills could favor rapid segregation by increasing the buoyancy and lowering the viscosity of magmas. However, if volatiles are expelled before the onset of silicate melting, Fu and Elkins-Tanton (2014) argue that the segregation rate of dry melt is mostly controlled by the oxygen fugacity and the degree of melting. The oxygen fugacity determines (or is determined by) the relative abundance of FeO and Fe-FeS in the primitive rock, with parts of the latter potentially lost to the core by percolation before the onset of major silicate melting (Yoshino et al., 2003; Bagdassarov et al., 2009; Ceratola et al., 2015; Ghanbarzadeh et al., 2017). Higher oxygen fugacity may therefore result in more Fe-rich silicate melts with reduced (or even inverted) density contrast relative to the host rock. Lower oxygen fugacity, in contrast, may produce iron-poor, buoyant melts that ascend rapidly.

In this study, we seek to establish regime boundaries that separate primary evolution scenarios of early solar system planetesimals by assessing the effects of melt segregation on thermal evolution and chemical differentiation. We focus on the melting and transport of the major lithophile phases in primitive bodies and investigate the potential for melt accumulation and heat source redistribution. We employ a computational model of coupled fluid dynamics and thermo-chemical evolution that combines multi-component petrological reactions with a two-phase magma transport model. We quantify the leading controls on melt segregation in planetesimals using theoretical considerations and numerical calculations of idealized planetesimal evolution. Our results show that both the magma ocean and magma sill scenarios are realized within a relevant parameter space. We will focus our discussion on the latter case, where melt segregation is most important.

2. Melt segregation scaling

To gain a leading-order understanding of silicate melt ascent in $^{26}$Al-heated planetesimals, we first consider the characteristic time scales of melt transport in partially molten bodies. In two-phase theory (McKenzie, 1984), the characteristic length scale of melt migration by porous flow relative to a permeable rock matrix is given by the compaction length

$$
\delta_c = \frac{k_0\phi_0}{\eta_0\mu_0},
$$

with characteristic rock viscosity $\eta_0$, melt viscosity $\mu_0$, melt fraction $\phi_0$, and rock permeability $k_0$.

$$
k_0 = \frac{a_0^2}{b} \phi_0^m (1 - \phi_0)^n,
$$

where $a_0$ is the characteristic grain size, $b$ is a geometric factor, and $m, n$ are powerlaw exponents. The characteristic velocity of segregating melts is

$$
w_0 = \frac{k_0 \Delta \rho_0 g_0}{\mu_0 \phi_0},
$$

with $\Delta \rho_0$ the solid-melt density contrast, and $g_0$ the surface gravity. In primordial, homogeneous planetesimals, gravity increases with increasing distance $r$ from the center,

$$
g(r) = g_0 r^2/R_p,
$$

where $R_p$ is the total planetesimal radius. The first silicate melts in sufficiently large planetesimals form in an adiabatic
zone stretching from the center, where gravity is negligible, to below the upper conductive lid (Hevey and Sanders, 2006; Lichtenberg et al., 2016a). As melting progresses, the permeability increases and melts in shallower regions of the planetesimal, where gravity is highest, begin to segregate from the residual rock. Melt segregation can alter the chemical and thermal structure of $^{26}$Al-heated planetesimals, because early-formed melts are preferentially enriched in incompatible elements including $^{26}$Al.

We define the characteristic melt segregation time scale, $\tau_{\text{seg}}$, as

$$\tau_{\text{seg}} = R_p/w_0.$$  \hfill (5)

To achieve a substantial redistribution of heat-producing $^{26}$Al, the rate of melt transport must exceed the rate of melt generation. We thus define the heating time scale, $\tau_{\text{heat}}$, of a planetesimal at a given time $t_0$ after Ca,Al-rich inclusions (CAIs) as

$$\tau_{\text{heat}} = c_p \Delta T_0 / H_{\text{tot}}(t_0),$$  \hfill (6)

with the specific heat capacity of silicates, $c_p = 1100$ J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$, the temperature difference between accretion and solidus temperature, $\Delta T_0 \approx 1100$ K, and the decay power of $^{26}$Al per unit mass,

$$H_{\text{tot}}(t_0) = f_{\text{Al}} \cdot \left[ \frac{^{26}\text{Al}}{^{27}\text{Al}} \right]_{t_0} \cdot \frac{E_{\text{26Al}}}{\Delta T_{\text{tot}}} \cdot e^{-t_0/\tau_{\text{26Al}}}.$$  \hfill (7)

Here, $f_{\text{Al}}$ is the chondritic abundance of aluminum per unit mass (Lodders, 2003), $\left[ \frac{^{26}\text{Al}}{^{27}\text{Al}} \right]_{t_0} = 5.25 \times 10^{-3}$ is the canonical ratio of $^{26}$Al to $^{27}$Al at CAI formation (Kita et al., 2013), $E_{\text{26Al}} = 3.12$ MeV $= 5 \times 10^{-13}$ J is the decay energy, and $\tau_{\text{26Al}} = t_{1/2,\text{26Al}} / \ln(2) = 1.03$ Myr is the mean lifetime of $^{26}$Al. Using these characteristic scales, we define the non-dimensional melt segregation number,

$$R_{\text{seg}} = \log_{10} \left( \frac{\tau_{\text{heat}}}{\tau_{\text{seg}}} \right) = \log_{10} \left( \frac{k_{\phi_0} \phi_0 g_{\text{grav}} c_p \Delta T_0 \phi_0}{R_{\text{p}} \mu_0 \Delta \rho_{\text{tot}} / H_{\text{t}}(t_0)} \right).$$  \hfill (8)

which quantifies the propensity of a planetesimal to undergo substantial melt segregation during the internal heating by $^{26}$Al as a function of the key model parameters. To anticipate the expected melt segregation regimes in planetesimals, we calculate $R_{\text{seg}}$ for a reasonable range of melt fractions ($\phi_0 \in [0, 0.4]$) below the rheological transition ($\phi_{\text{trans}} \approx 0.4$–0.6, Costa et al., 2009), formation times ($t_0 \in [0, 4]$ Myr), grain sizes ($\phi_0 \in [10^{-5}, 10^{-2}]$ m) and melt-rock density contrasts ($\Delta \rho_0 \in [120, 1200]$ kg m$^{-3}$). Figure 1 shows that a growing melt fraction increases the melt segregation number through its effect on permeability. Larger grain sizes and higher density contrasts also significantly enhance segregation, but the effect of the latter is less pronounced than the former. In Figure 1b, holding melt fraction fixed, the heating rate decreases with later formation times, which again serves to favor melt segregation relative to melt production.

From this scaling analysis, we conclude that melt segregation can in principle occur on a time scale that is relevant compared to the internal heating by $^{26}$Al in planetesimals (e.g., Hevey and Sanders, 2006; Lichtenberg et al., 2016a, 2018). However, it crucially depends on the dynamic evolution of the melt fraction, which is controlled by the fluid mechanics of melt transport in a deforming rock matrix, and the thermo-chemical evolution of the body. In particular, Figure 1 highlights the importance of considering the evolution of internal heating and melt segregation over time. The scaling analysis does not yet capture any time-dependent effects of interest here, which include the potential accumulation of magma beneath a primitive lid and the redistribution of the heat source by transport of melt enriched in $^{26}$Al. In order to assess these dynamic processes we require a time-dependent evolution model, which we introduce in the next section.

3. Method

3.1. Melting and heat source partitioning

Studies of primitive meteorites (McSween et al., 1991; Dunn et al., 2010) and equilibrium condensation sequence calculations (Ebel and Grossman, 2000) suggest that the main rock-forming mineral phases in solar system planetesimals were olivine (dominantly forsterite, Mg$_2$SiO$_4$), pyroxene (dominantly enstatite, MgSiO$_3$), and feldspar (dominantly anorthite, CaAl$_2$Si$_2$O$_8$). Ignoring minor contributions from CAIs and trace minerals, feldspar represents the major host
phase of $^{26}$Al in rocky planetesimals. In addition to the timing of accretion and size of a planetesimal (e.g., Lichtenberg et al., 2016a, 2018), magma genesis depends on the relative abundance of these phases and the concentration of volatiles. However, to avoid further complexity, we consider only dry melting here, which is justified if volatile degassing during accretion is efficient (e.g., Monteux et al., 2018). We therefore formulate a model for melting and melt-rock partitioning of these major mineral phases.

We employ the R_DMC method of Keller and Katz (2016) to calculate an idealized thermodynamic equilibrium at given temperature, pressure, and bulk composition, and linear kinetic reaction rates for a multi-component system. We define three compositional pseudo-components and their mass-concentrations in the solid (rock), $c_s^i$, and liquid (melt), $c_l^i$. These capture the leading order behavior of classes of minerals grouped by similar melting points and partitioning behavior: olivine-like, $i = 1$, pyroxene-like, $i = 2$ and fsp (feldspar-like, $i = 3$). The mass fraction of all three components must sum to unity in both phases.

Using a simplified form of ideal solid solution theory (Rudge et al., 2011), we determine the component partition coefficients at given P, T-conditions,

$$K^i = \frac{c_{l,eq}^i}{c_{s,eq}^i} = \exp \left( \frac{L^i}{T^i} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{T_m(P)} \right) \right),$$

which are the ratios of solid, $c_{s,eq}^i$, to liquid, $c_{l,eq}^i$, component concentrations at equilibrium. The latent heat of pure-component fusion,

$$L^i = \Delta S T_{m,0}^i,$$

is given by the entropy gain of fusion, $\Delta S$, and the pure-component melting temperatures at zero pressure, $T_{m,0}^i$.

Curvature coefficients $\zeta^i$ adjust the temperature dependence of partition coefficients. The pressure-dependent pure-component melting points are parameterized as

$$T_{m,P}^i = T_{m,0}^i + B^i P,$$

with linear slopes $B^i$.

At a given volume-averaged bulk composition (lever rule)

$$\bar{c}^i = (1-\phi)c_s^i + \phi c_l^i,$$

we numerically determine the equilibrium melt fraction $\phi_{eq}$ that satisfies the partitioning coefficients $K^i$ by combining the lever rules with the unity sum constraint on all components

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\phi_{eq}}{K^i} + (1 - \phi_{eq}) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \frac{\phi_{eq} + (1 - \phi_{eq})K^i}{K^i}.$$

From $\phi_{eq}$, we then calculate the equilibrium phase compositions for solid and melt,

$$c_{s,eq}^i = \frac{\bar{c}^i}{\phi_{eq} + (1 - \phi_{eq})K^i},$$

$$c_{l,eq}^i = \frac{\bar{c}^i}{\phi_{eq} K^i + (1 - \phi_{eq})},$$

Dynamic changes in pressure, temperature or bulk composition over time create disequilibrium. The mass transfer of component $i$ from solid to liquid that drives the system back towards equilibrium is assumed to occur at linear kinetic rates,

$$\Gamma^i = R \left( \phi_{eq} c_{l,eq}^i - \phi c_l^i \right),$$

with a rate factor $R = \rho_0/\tau_l$, which restores equilibrium over a time scale $\tau_l$ at reference density $\rho_0$. The sum of all component reaction rates is the total melting rate $\Gamma = \sum_i \Gamma^i$. All parameter values are given in Table 1.

Table 1: Scaling quantities, definitions and parameter values introduced in the scaling analysis, R_DMC and two-phase flow models. Varying model parameters are named in the text and figures. Parameters not listed here are as given in Table 1 in Keller and Katz (2016).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Geometric factor</td>
<td>$b$</td>
<td>non-dim.</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt fraction exponent</td>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>non-dim.</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solid fraction exponent</td>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>non-dim.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt shear viscosity</td>
<td>$\mu$</td>
<td>Pa s</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal expansivity</td>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific heat capacity</td>
<td>$c_p$</td>
<td>J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal diffusivity</td>
<td>$\kappa$</td>
<td>m$^2$ s$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$1.14 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>olivine initial mass fraction</td>
<td>$c_{s,oliv}$</td>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyroxene initial mass fraction</td>
<td>$c_{s,pyx}$</td>
<td>wt %</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>olivine melting point</td>
<td>$T_{m,oliv}$</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>2050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pyroxene melting point</td>
<td>$T_{m,pyx}$</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entropy gain of fusion</td>
<td>$\Delta S$</td>
<td>J K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>320</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curvature coefficients</td>
<td>$\zeta^i_{sb, ssp}$</td>
<td>J kg$^{-1}$ K$^{-1}$</td>
<td>50, 20, 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linear P-coefficients</td>
<td>$B_{i,eq}$</td>
<td>K GPa$^{-1}$</td>
<td>60, 100, 120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock density</td>
<td>$\rho_0$</td>
<td>kg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>3200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference rock viscosity</td>
<td>$\mu_0$</td>
<td>Pa s</td>
<td>$10^{19}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shear viscosity cut-off</td>
<td>$\eta_{s,cc}$</td>
<td>Pa s</td>
<td>$10^{16}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaction viscosity cut-off</td>
<td>$\eta_{cc}$</td>
<td>Pa s</td>
<td>$10^{17}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial temperature</td>
<td>$T_0$</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface temperature</td>
<td>$T_{surf}$</td>
<td>K</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planetesimal radius</td>
<td>$R_p$</td>
<td>km</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain size</td>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>$[10^{-3}, 10^{-2}]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation time</td>
<td>$\tau_{form}$</td>
<td>Myr</td>
<td>[0, 4]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melt-rock density contrast</td>
<td>$\Delta \rho$</td>
<td>kg m$^{-3}$</td>
<td>[120, 1200]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of melting increases with temperature, more pxn is dissolved into the melt. Finally, in absence of melt migration, the melt composition would converge to the bulk composition as the system approaches complete melting. At our chosen reference calibration $T^\text{pxn}_{m,0} = 1500\ K$, the melt initially comprises ~80% fsp, but becomes relatively enriched in pxn by the time the melt fraction reaches $\phi_{\text{trans}}$.

3.2. Two-phase, multi-component fluid model

As partial melts segregate from their residual, the interior becomes gradually depleted of fsp and thus its heat source $^{26}\text{Al}$. To model this dynamic process, we couple the multi-component melting model above to the two-phase reactive transport model of Keller and Katz (2016). The fluid mechanics part of the model is based on McKenzie (1984). Here, we give a brief summary of the governing equations and constitutive relations.

The physical model is derived from statements for the conservation of phase and component mass, phase momentum, and total energy. We consider the model in a Cartesian coordinate system with gravity pointing down the vertical coordinate, $g = -g\hat{z}$. The governing equations are formulated in the geodynamic limit (liquid viscosity $\mu \ll$ rock viscosity $\eta$), using the extended Boussinesq approximation (densities $\rho_s = \rho_l = \rho_0$ taken equal and constant except when multiplying gravity). The fluid mechanics governing equations are

$$\begin{align*}
\nabla \cdot \eta \left[ \nabla v_s + \left( \nabla v_s \right)^T - \frac{1}{3} \mathbf{I} \cdot \nabla v_s \right] - \nabla P_t + \phi \Delta \rho g &= \nabla P_C, \\
- \nabla \cdot v_s &= \nabla \cdot v_D, \\
v_D &= \phi(v_l - v_s) = -\frac{\kappa_s}{\mu} \left( \nabla P_t + \Delta \rho g \right), \\
P_C &= (1 - \phi)(P_s - P_l) = -\xi \nabla \cdot v_s.
\end{align*}$$

(16a)  
(16b)  
(16c)  
(16d)

They are posed in four independent variables, the dynamic pressures, $P_s$, $P_l$, and velocities $v_s$, $v_l$, of the solid and liquid phases. Two dependent variables, the Darcy segregation flux, $v_D$, and the compaction pressure, $P_C$, express the mechanical interactions between the phases. If these vanish, the equations become identical with the Stokes system. Assuming a diffusion creep rheology with melt-weakening, the shear viscosity of the rock matrix is given by

$$\eta = A_0 \alpha_0^\delta \exp \left( \frac{E_a + PV_a}{RT} - \lambda \phi \right).$$

(17)

with prefactor $A_0$, activation energy, $E_a$, and activation volume $V_a$ as in Hirth and Kohlstedt (2003) and Mei et al. (2002). $R$ is the universal gas constant, and $\lambda \approx 30$ the melt-weakening factor. Permeability is set by the Kozeny-Carman relation (Eq. 2), with powerlaw exponents $n = 3$, $m = 2$ for the melt and solid fractions, respectively. The compaction

![Figure 2: Temperature dependence of melt fraction (A), melt (B) and rock (C) composition, with varying melting point difference between fsp and pxn, $\Delta T_m = T^\text{pxn}_{m,0} - T^\text{fsp}_{m,0}$, which changes the partitioning coefficient of fsp and the composition of the earliest melts. If the fsp melting point is close to the one of pxn, the initial melt composition is close to a 50–50 mixture. For higher melting point difference, the first melts are dominated by fsp, and thus the heating component ($^{26}\text{Al}$) of the system preferentially follows the dynamics of the earliest melts. When the absolute temperature of the system further rises and approaches the olv melting point, the composition converges towards the initial pure solid setting.

We first consider the aluminum partitioning behavior in a closed, isobaric ($P = 0$) system under increasing temperature. For consistency with earlier work (Tkalcec et al., 2013; Golabek et al., 2014; Lichtenberg et al., 2016a; Monteux et al., 2018; Lichtenberg et al., 2018; Hunt et al., 2018), we choose the lowest component melting point, $T^\text{fsp}_{m,0} = 1350\ K$, to conform with previous estimates of the silicate solidus. To test different partitioning behaviors, we vary the relative temperature difference between the melting points for the $^{26}\text{Al}$-hosting fsp and the pxn components, $\Delta T_m = T^\text{pxn}_{m,0} - T^\text{fsp}_{m,0}$, with $T^\text{pxn}_{m,0} \in [1400, 1600]\ K$. The resulting rock and melt compositions in the ternary system olv-pxn-fsp are shown in Figure 2. The larger $\Delta T_m$ between fsp and pxn, the more incipient melt will be enriched in fsp, and thus $^{26}\text{Al}$. As the degree
viscosity is set to $\zeta = r_c \eta/\phi$, with $r_c \geq 1$ the shear to compaction viscosity ratio.

To these equations we add thermo-chemical evolution equations for temperature, $T$ (assuming thermal equilibrium between phases), melt fraction, $\phi$, and pseudo-component concentrations in the solid and liquid phases, $c_s$ and $c_l$:

$$\frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t} = \kappa \nabla^2 T - \sum_{i=1}^{n} L_i T_i \rho_i c_p \frac{\Psi}{\rho_0 c_p} + \frac{H_{\text{Al}}(t)}{c_p} + \frac{\alpha T}{c_p} g w_z,$$

$$\frac{D c_s}{D t} = (1-\phi) \nabla \cdot v_s + \Gamma / \rho_0,$$

$$\frac{D c_l}{D t} = \Gamma - c_s \Gamma / \phi \rho_0,$$

$$\frac{D \phi}{D t} = \Gamma - c_l \Gamma / (1-\phi) \rho_0.$$

Temperature evolves due to advection, thermal diffusion, latent heat exchange of reactions, heating by viscous dissipation $\Psi$ and internal heating $H_{\text{Al}}(t)$, and adiabatic decompression. Melt fraction evolves due to advection, compaction and reactions, and composition by advection and reaction. The material derivative of the two-phase mixture is

$$\frac{D}{Dt} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot v = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla.$$

The governing equations for the fluid mechanics (Eqs. 16a–16d) and thermo-chemistry (Eqs. 18a–18d) are discretized using the finite difference method on a rectangular, staggered grid and solved by two coupled Newton-Krylov solvers. The simulation software uses parallel data structures and solvers provided by PETSc (Balay et al., 1997; Katz et al., 2007). Nonlinearities between the fluid mechanics and thermo-chemical sub-problems are resolved using a Picard fixed-point iterative scheme. During every iteration, Equation 13 is solved using Newton’s method to update the equilibrium melt fraction. The adopted model is strictly valid only for melt transport by porous flow below the compaction threshold. However, we cannot avoid models producing regions with higher melt content. To ensure that the equations do not produce numerically unstable solutions in these regions, we apply lower viscosity cutoffs to the shear viscosity ($\eta_{\text{num}} = \eta + \eta_{\text{min}}$) and compaction viscosity ($\zeta_{\text{num}} = \zeta + \zeta_{\text{min}}/(1-\phi)$). The effect of this regularization is to dampen the segregation velocity and compaction pressure at elevated $\phi$. As a result, our model will produce stable solutions above the rheological transition, but will underestimate chemical mixing and heat transport by rapid convection in the crystal-bearing suspensions that characterize this limit.

### 3.3. Model setup and parameter space

We model magma genesis and transport along a 1D Cartesian column from the center to the surface of an initially homogeneous and isothermal body of 60 km radius. Planetesimals of this size are qualitatively representative of the interior evolution of planetesimals of $R_p \geq 50$ km as these are dominated by a relatively large adiabatic interior and a thin ($\lesssim 10$ km) conductive lid, whereas the relative dimensions of these domains vary significantly for planetesimal radii $\lesssim 50$ km (cf. Castillo-Rogez and Young, 2017; Lichtenberg et al., 2018; Hunt et al., 2018). The computational domain includes 500 grid cells for a spatial resolution of 120 m. The surface boundary is $T_{\text{space}} = 290 \text{ K}$, similar to the temperature in the protoplanetary disk inside of the water snowline, while the center boundary is insulating, $\partial T / \partial z |_{z=0} = 0$. We assume the accreted body is initially at ambient temperature, $T_{\text{init}} = T_{\text{space}}$. As noted above, gravity decreases linearly from the surface gravity down to zero at the center.

Magma and rock composition are modeled in the three-component compositional space of olv, pxn and fsp (Section 3.1). We use component melting points as in Figure 2 (solid lines). The solid-melt density contrast is varied as $\Delta \rho \in [200, 1200]$ kg m$^{-3}$ between runs to reflect FeO content and thus density of the melt reflecting the planetesimal’s oxygen fugacity (Fu and Elkins-Tanton, 2014; Wilson and Keil, 2017). Grain size $\phi_0$, which controls both the permeability and rock viscosity, is held constant during calculations; we consider values $\phi_0 \in [10 \mu \text{m}, 1 \text{ cm}]$, from chondrite matrix-like dust to pallasite-like crystal sizes (cf. Figure 1). Heating is induced solely by $^{26}\text{Al}$ in the fsp component, whose redistribution hence affects the local heating rate. The initial heating rate is varied from $H_{\text{Al}}(0) \in [152, 0.19] \times 10^{-12}$ W kg$^{-1}$, reflecting planetesimal formation times $t_{\text{form}} \in [0.3] \times t_{1/2,^{26}\text{Al}} = [0, 2.2]$ Myr after CAIs. To limit model complexity, we ignore the potential heat contribution from $^{60}\text{Fe}$ (see, e.g., Tang and Dauphas, 2015; Lichtenberg et al., 2016b).

### 4. Results

#### 4.1. Parameter study

We find that model results across the tested parameter range fall into three qualitative categories. Some models show no substantial melting or segregation; we will not further discuss these undifferentiated models here. The time evolution of the latter two categories shown in Figure 3 is generally the same: rapid initial heating leads to substantial melt production, followed by some degree of segregation, before ending with slow cooling from the top down. One category of models, which we identify as the magma ocean end-member (red), evolve to where most of the interior is above the disaggregation threshold, whereas the other, the magma sill end-member (blue), result in a melt-depleted interior beneath melt-rich sills (Fig. 3A–E). The latter clearly shows a thermal inversion (Fig. 3F–J) related to fsp-enrichment in the magma sills beneath the lid (Fig. 3K–O).

The scaling analysis above predicts that grain size, $\phi_0$, and density contrast, $\Delta \rho$, are pertinent controls on melt segregation. Figure 4 shows the results of a detailed study of that parameter space. To quantitatively analyze the results, we introduce three metrics measuring the degree of

- melt segregation: $\Delta \phi = \phi_{\text{max}} - \phi_{\text{crit}}$. 


- temperature inversion: $\Delta T = T_{\text{max}} - T_{\text{crit}}$
- $fsp$ differentiation: $\Delta c_{\text{fsp}} = c_{\text{fsp}} - c_{\text{crit}}$

Here, $(T)_{\text{max}}$ denotes the maximum value in the computational domain and $(T)_{\text{crit}}$ denotes the value at the base of the domain, i.e., the planetesimal center. With these metrics, we quantify the deviation from an interior structure with near-constant melt fraction, temperature, and bulk composition, as it would be expected in the absence of significant segregation.

Figure 4 shows the three metrics across a range of $a_0 \in [10^{-2}, 10^{-3}]$ m and $\Delta \rho \in [200, 1200]$ kg m$^{-3}$. We find that grain size strongly controls the interior evolution of the planetesimals. If grain size remains below $a_0 < 10^{-3}$ m, melt density contrasts of $< 500$ kg m$^{-3}$ are not sufficient to drive significant segregation. For density contrasts $> 1000$ kg m$^{-3}$ and for larger grain sizes, melt segregation becomes significant, as evidenced by a step-increase in each of the three metrics. However, we find that since initially $fsp$-enriched melts migrate on time scales comparable or longer than $t_{1/2, A1}$ ($\approx 0.72$ Myr), the temperature inversion effect remains minor throughout (Figure 4, panels B & F).

### 4.2. Silicate differentiation

As the planetesimals cool and crystallize, $magma$ $ocean$ end-member cases exhibit a silicate differentiation trend towards compositional layering. In Figure 5, we compare a representative $magma$ $ocean$ with a $magma$ $sill$ model outcome. $Magma$ $ocean$ models evolve towards a uniformly molten interior, with melt fractions above the rheological transition $\phi > \phi_{\text{trans}}$ and cool relatively unsegregated, such that the bulk concentrations of the $fsp$, $pxn$ and $olv$ components remain similar to the initial composition (Figure 5A). However, in $magma$ $sill$ models, the melt-rich layers above a low-melt-fraction interior crystallize into distinct layers enriched in $fsp$, $pxn$ and $olv$. This stratification reflects the component melting points ($T_{\text{m}}^{fsp} < T_{\text{m}}^{pxn} < T_{\text{m}}^{olv}$, Figure 5B). The melt-depleted central parts of the planetesimal are strongly depleted in $fsp$ and somewhat less in $pxn$. In general, such compositional layering forms during the cooling stage and therefore does not cause substantial temperature inversion. The densities of the minerals represented by the pseudo-components suggest that such layering would be dynamically stable.
4.3. Magma dynamics regimes

Figure 6 shows the regimes of melt segregation and compositional stratification for different formation times, $t_{\mathrm{form}}$, and melt segregation numbers, $R_{\mathrm{seg}}$. We quantify the boundaries separating the three characteristic regimes as follows.

(I) *Magma ocean* regime: $\phi_{\mathrm{crit}} > \phi_{\mathrm{visc}} := 0.5$, where the whole interior melts to above the disaggregation threshold.

(II) *Magma sill* regime: $\Delta \phi > \phi_{\mathrm{visc}}$, where melt segregation generates a melt-rich layer beneath the lid and depletes the interior of melt.

(III) *Undifferentiated* regime: $\Delta c_{\mathrm{fsp}} < c_{\mathrm{fsp}}^{\mathrm{bulk}} = 0.15$, where melting and melt segregation do not redistribute a substantial amount of *fsp*.

In addition to these segregation and differentiation criteria, we show which models are most affected by substantial *temperature inversions*, $\Delta T > 250 \, \text{K}$. These inversions occur both for *magma sill* and *magma ocean* models and reflect rapid magma ascent on time scales shorter than $t_{1/2, \mathrm{Al}}$. We
find that the magma sill regime generally occurs at higher segregation numbers—at larger grain sizes or elevated density contrasts—and formation times less than $\approx 1.5$ Myr after CAIs, with a peak at around 1 Myr after CAIs. Very early formation times, $t_{\text{form}} \lesssim 1$ Myr, or lower melt segregation number, $R_{\text{seg}} \lesssim -1.5$, favor magma ocean-type evolution. Formation later than $\approx 1.5$ Myrs after CAIs results in limited melting and undifferentiated planetesimals. Temperature inversions occur for $t_{\text{form}} \lesssim 1$ Myr and $R_{\text{seg}} \gtrsim -1.5$.

5. Discussion

5.1. Parametric controls on magma segregation

The models above present thermo-chemically coupled two-phase flow calculations that resolve the partitioning of the major rock-forming components and their transport by magma. Using this method, we show that magma segregation in planetesimals formed within 2 Myr after CAIs was potentially significant if magma ascent was rapid with respect to the rate of melt production controlled by $^{26}$Al decay. Expressed in terms of the melt segregation number $R_{\text{seg}}$, magma sill models ($\Delta \phi > 0.5$) were produced for $R_{\text{seg}} \gtrsim -1.5$. This requires that (i) the average grain size in these planetesimals was comparable to or larger than chondrules, on the order of $a_{\text{ch}} \gtrsim 10^{-3}$ m, or (ii) in reducing environments (iron-wüstite IW-2.5, or $\Delta \rho \approx 1200$ kg m$^{-3}$, respectively, Brett and Sato, 1984; Fu and Elkins-Tanton, 2014) at $a_{\text{ch}} \gtrsim 10^{-4}$ m. Moreover, we find that even in the case of significant magma redistribution into shallow sills, the segregation time scale is comparable to the evolutionary time scale of the protoplanetary disk and thus the accretion time scale. Therefore, scaling analysis alone (see Section 2), does not adequately capture the time-dependent competition between melting, partitioning, and melt transport. Because of the protracted onset of melt ascent during heat-up, $^{26}$Al-hosting phases release at least parts of the $^{26}$Al decay energy in the deeper region of planetesimals, and substantial temperature inversions on the order of a few hundred $K$ are only observed for extreme cases with $R_{\text{seg}} \gtrsim -1$, or early formation times $t_{\text{form}} \lesssim 1$ Myr with $R_{\text{seg}} \gtrsim -2$.

At $t_{\text{form}} = t_{\text{Al}} \approx 1$ Myr after CAIs the models show a clustering of magma sill cases. This peak is due to the competition between heating and segregation, as introduced in Section 2. For formation times earlier than $\approx 1$ Myr, heating, and thus melting, is dominant, and new melt is generated faster than transported away. Around $t_{\text{form}} \approx 1$ Myr, melt upwelling velocities become fast enough to exceed melt generation. Finally, at later times ($t_{\text{form}} \gtrsim 1.5$ Myr after CAIs or $2 \times t_{1/2,^{26}\text{Al}}$, respectively) melt production remains low and minimal to no segregation occurs.

Melt–rock density contrast is thought to be controlled by the oxidation state of the body. The chemical composition of
accreting planetesimals is inherited from the oxidation state in the midplane of the protoplanetary disk. Hence, the time and place of formation is expected to influence the subsequent interior evolution as it relates to the effects of melt segregation. For example, planetesimals accreted towards the inner disk may feature lower oxygen fugacities and therefore higher \( \Delta P \), compared to planetesimals accreted further out (Rubie et al., 2015). In our models, we find that the effect of density contrast on magma segregation is of secondary importance. If grain sizes were too small to allow for a sufficient permeability, variations in density contrast would not have led to significantly different outcomes. This finding is in contrast to previous studies (Moskovitz and Gaidos, 2011; Wilson and Keil, 2012; Šramek et al., 2012; Fu and Elkins-Tanton, 2014; Wilson and Keil, 2017; Fu et al., 2017), which did not consider magnitude variations in grain size, or relied on grain growth by pure Ostwald ripening without taking into account mechanisms of growth inhibition and grain destruction (Neumann et al., 2013, 2014).

5.2. Implications for the role of grain size

A main conclusion from our models is that the mean grain size \( d_0 \) is the dominant parameter controlling the magma transport rate inside planetesimals. There are two main mechanisms that affect grain size during planetesimal accretion and early evolution. First, grain sizes may differ depending on the orbital location and mineralogical composition (van Boekel et al., 2004) of the dust that agglomerates\(^1\) to form the planetesimals. Coagulated dust aggregates on the order of \( d_0 \approx 10^{-4} \) m are in the critical size regime for fast radial drift towards the protostar, depending on orbital location and evolutionary state of the protoplanetary disk (Weidenschilling, 1977). However, these sizes may facilitate planetesimal formation from local dust-gas interactions (Johansen et al., 2015; Birnstiel et al., 2016) and can trigger planetesimal formation at various orbital separations and times (Drążkowska and Dullemond, 2018) with varying dust particle distributions within newly-formed bodies (Wahlberg Jansson and Johansen, 2017). Second, grain sizes may evolve during the heating and melting in the planetesimal interior after accretion. In this process, the grain size evolves due to competing mechanisms for growth and destruction (Rozel et al., 2011; Bercovici and Ricard, 2016). Grain growth by either normal grain growth before the first melts arise, or Ostwald ripening during partial melting in a solid–liquid aggregate, is driven by the reduction of interfacial energies, and competes with size reduction due to the presence of secondary solid phases (Hiraga et al., 2010) and mechanical work due to solid-state deformation in planetesimal interiors (Tkalcic et al., 2013). During later stages, when the melt fraction reaches or exceeds the disaggregation threshold, grain sizes are governed by the convective flow regime in the magma, leading to a variety of possible scenarios (Solomatov, 2015).

As a comparison, grain sizes of meteorite classes span a wide range, from \( \mu \)m-sized dust to pallasite-like, cm-sized phenocrysts (Hutchison, 2004). Chondrites, generally regarded to be the most pristine rock samples from the early solar system, display a bimodal size distribution, split between \( \mu \)m-sized dust (‘matrix’) and chondrules, which show characteristic sizes of \( \approx 10^{-4} \) m to \( 10^{-3} \) m (Friedrich et al., 2015), with drastically differing textures and mineral grain sizes. The ratio of chondrules to matrix varies greatly between different chondrite groups, resulting in complex mixtures and grain size distributions. Meteorites that likely underwent partial melting (‘primitive achondrites’), like Acapulcoite-Lodranites, Winonites and Brachinites, display grain sizes around \( 10^{-4} \) m (Hutchison, 2004; Wilson and Keil, 2017). Ureilites, Aubrites, and Angrites, which come from bodies with more extensive, or even body-wide, silicate melting feature larger grains, on the order of \( 10^{-3} \) m. However, these textures are the end result of million-year long evolutionary processes, and may have undergone repeated destruction and reaccretion cycles that reset their thermal histories and textures (Lichtenberg et al., 2018). Therefore, based on the grain sizes observed in meteorites, we are strongly limited in assessing the grain size evolution in planetesimal interiors at the time of their first melting.

Interpretation of our results in this context suggests that the planetesimal interior evolution and the redistribution of chemical and isotopic heterogeneities during planetary accretion can be influenced by the planetesimal formation mechanism, its accretion location, and the local compositional distribution of grains in the protoplanetary disk. Further studies of planetesimal formation and mineralogical inventory are needed to link their formation processes to their subsequent dynamic evolution. The local grain-size distribution within planetesimals may be evolving during rapid gravitational collapse (Wahlberg Jansson and Johansen, 2017) or more gradual growth (Kataoka et al., 2013). Also, ongoing accretion, for instance due to subsequent pebble accretion (Visser and Ormel, 2016), may influence whether magma can reach the surface through fractures. Future experimental studies on grain size evolution of partially molten aggregates spanning the meteoritic compositional space will be needed to advance our understanding of melt migration in early solar system planetesimals.

5.3. Implications for chemical differentiation

Recently, it was shown that differentiation by percolation of Fe, Ni-FeS liquids in primordial planetesimals may not be complete and that at least some material remains trapped in the rock matrix (Bagdassarov et al., 2009; Cerantola et al., 2015). But once silicate melting has reached the disaggregation threshold, the remaining metal droplets will rain out rapidly towards the forming core (Lichtenberg et al., 2018). Therefore, even though the models in this study do not include a metal phase, they allow leading order predictions regarding core formation.

---
\(^1\)Note that in astrophysics literature grain size usually denotes the characteristic size of porous dust aggregates embedded in the disk flow, whereas we here use the term grain size, \( a_0 \), for the characteristic size of mineral grains in a granular, polymineralic rock aggregate.
In the magma ocean case, we expect core formation to be rapid, with nearly complete loss of metals to the core. In the case of a magma sill, a two-step process may occur. First, a small proto-core may form from incomplete percolation. Then, after the formation of the sill structure, the remaining metal within this region may rain out and accumulate at the interface between the melt-depleted deep interior and the magma sill zone. This emerging metal pool will either percolate downwards or form diapirs sinking through the weakened partially molten rock. The thermo-mechanical evolution of such a two-step process needs to be tested by taking into account metal phases in self-consistent multi-phase flow models, in order to make robust predictions that can be compared to laboratory studies of the core formation process. Neumann et al. (2018) recently suggested a multi-stage core formation scenario for the IVB parent body, which is qualitatively consistent with the magma sill regime we propose based on our models.

The limited melt segregation in our undifferentiated models may offer an explanation for the absence of remnant differentiated materials in the asteroid belt (Weiss et al., 2012; Mandler and Elkins-Tanton, 2013). Conversely, chemical stratification resulting from melt segregation may help to explain the paucity of olivine-rich deposits on Vesta’s surface (Clenet et al., 2014; Consolmagno et al., 2015; Raymond et al., 2017). Furthermore, the magma sill models and the resulting chemical stratification we describe here are consistent with earlier work by Neumann et al. (2013, 2014, “shallow magma ocean”) and Mizzon (2015, “completely liquid layer”), predicting a subsurface layer of accumulated silicate melts below a cold lid resulting from efficient melt segregation (cf. discussion in Raymond et al., 2017; Neumann et al., 2018).

Finally, our results indicate that the importance of melt segregation in planetesimal interiors varied substantially and affected the redistribution of heat-producing elements, such as $^{26}$Al, during melt ascent. In the case of our magma sill end-member models, we also expect other incompatible elements to be preferentially segregated to shallow layers of a planetesimal. The crustal stripping paradigm of planetary accretion assumes that frequent impacts during planetary growth eroded and redistributed significant amounts of shallow materials between planetesimals. The strongly variable degree of melt segregation, and the resulting range of variable differentiated major, trace, and isotopic compositions of shallow planetesimal layers could result in compositional differences between forming planets and chondritic meteorites (e.g., Carter et al., 2018). Our simulations indicate that magma ascent governing material redistribution to the planetesimal crust occurs on a ≈ Myr time scale, i.e., comparable to the collisional time scale of planetary accretion. This suggests that models quantifying compositional deviations between planets and chondrites should take into account the evolution of planetesimal interiors during planetary accretion.

5.4. Limitations & future directions

One of the limitations of our model is the use of a 1D Cartesian geometry, which introduces systematic errors as compared to a 1D spherical geometry assuming radial symmetry. Among these errors, our model over-predicts the heating-to-cooling ratio of planetesimals. Because we focus on planetesimals of 60 km radius that show a nearly isothermal evolution in the deep interior (cf. Figure 3, Castillo-Rogez and Young, 2017; Hunt et al., 2018), the heat-up phase is consistent with a radial model. However, geometric errors result in an under-prediction of the rate of heat loss through the surface. Similar problems apply to melt segregation velocities and melting rates. For example, the liquid mass conservation equation, in spherical coordinates with radial symmetry, contains the term $2w_v/r$, which is neglected here (a similar term appears in the solid mass conservation equation). For radially outward melt migration, this term has the effect of reducing $\partial \rho / \partial t$; its absence therefore leads to an over-prediction of melt fraction. To quantify the error introduced by neglecting this term we compared its size, computed a posteriori, with the flux divergence $\partial \rho w_v / \partial z$ that appears in the same equation. We made this comparison across models of the magma ocean and magma sill regimes. The results indicate that the geometrical error can reach a magnitude comparable to the divergence. However, throughout most of the domain and once magma sill structures are established, the term is negligible.

In this work we consider a diffusion creep rheology only. A more realistic rheology would be a composite of both diffusion and dislocation creep (e.g., Bercovici and Ricard, 2016; Mulyukova and Bercovici, 2018). At the highest temperatures and grain sizes tested here, model behavior would likely fall into the dislocation creep regime, where the matrix viscosity becomes independent of grain size. In this case, the grain size sensitivity of the compaction length (Equation 1) would decrease.

Furthermore, we use a constant melt viscosity of 1 Pa s (Table 1). However, silicate melt viscosity varies with temperature and composition (e.g., Moskovitz and Gaidos, 2011; Mizzon, 2015). For the compositional space explored here, a temperature dependent viscosity of 1–100 Pa s may be considered realistic. As we are interested in the consequences of melt segregation in planetesimals here, we chose a reasonable lower limit. In addition, because the ratio of permeability to melt viscosity controls the upwelling timescales (grain size squared versus linear melt viscosity, Equation 1), the order of magnitude variability in grain size outweighs potential variations in melt viscosity.

As a consequence of our limiting assumptions on the geometry, rheology, and melt viscosity, the extent of the magma sill regime in Figure 6 may be overestimated. More efficient cooling in a spherical geometry would lead to decreasing melt fractions and thus lower migration speeds (Figure 1), as would a stronger heat flux from turbulent convection above the disaggregation threshold (Solomatov, 2015), and the geometric influence in spherical or higher-dimensional
geography. A weaker dependence on grain size in the models with the highest temperatures and largest grain sizes would also decrease migration speeds. Therefore, while our models constrain the possible phase space of melt migration in early solar system planetesimals, more complex models not bound to the above limitations would result in a reduced stability field where melt segregation becomes dominant than shown in Figure 6.

Our models do not feature a metal phase that would allow the direct resolution of metal percolation (Ghanbarzadeh et al., 2017; Neumann et al., 2018), and therefore our results only allow for qualitative inferences about possible core formation scenarios. However, the complexities of textural equilibrium phase topologies are not yet fully understood (cf. Rudge, 2018), in particular for systems with several immiscible liquid phases. For example, the wetting angles formed between metal liquids with silicate minerals in the presence of a silicate melt remains unclear, leaving open the debate around a possible percolation threshold for metal liquids at low melt fractions (Cerantola et al., 2015). Further work needs to be undertaken to better quantify these effects.

Our choice of melting model in the form of a ternary ideal solution limits the degree to which the model may represent natural melting behavior. For example, our model does not reproduce the eutectic behavior expected for silicate compositions as the ones considered here (see discussion in Keller and Katz, 2016), nor does it include volatiles and incompatible elements producing low-degree, incompatible-enriched melts at temperatures below the anhydrous solids. Using a more consistent petrological model that takes into account the non-ideal thermodynamics of the full range of major elements and mineral phases would likely lead to more complex relations between heating, melt production, and element partitioning (Keller and Suckale, 2018). Significant differences in aluminum partitioning, which is the focus here, are likely confined to the onset of melting, where low-degree, enriched melts may have important control on geochemical evolution. Moreover, our dry models neglect volatile-driven eruptions, which were previously discussed as a catalyst for upward transport via explosive volcanism (Fu et al., 2017; Wilson and Keil, 2017). If substantial volatile quantities could be retained, this mechanism would decrease the retention of magma in the upper layers of planetesimals and potential chemical stratification upon crystallization of the silicate material. However, the relatively low pressure at the planetesimal sizes we consider disfavors a high volatile solubility in silicate magma, and degassing would therefore be expected to be nearly complete in the earliest stages of melting and segregation (Monteux et al., 2018).

Finally, the melt in our magma ocean and magma sill models cannot breach the cold surface layers, as the temperature is too low for melt to exist in the porous medium. Our simulations cannot resolve potential melt transport through the upper lid by fracturing (Keller et al., 2013) or gravitational instability in the layered structure in Figure 5, which may bury the primitive lid (Wilson and Keil, 2012), and lead to efficient heat loss and magmatic resurfacings. This would decrease the total retention of magma on the inside of planetesimals. However, this does not affect our conclusions or any constraints on temperature inversions of planetesimals unless this transport is faster or comparable to the magma segregation in the interior.

6. Summary & conclusions

In this study we investigated magma genesis and redistribution in planetesimals during and shortly after the protoplanetary disk phase. Using scaling relations we demonstrated that the interior evolution of planetesimals sensitively depends on a variety of model parameters, with the grain size exerting the primary control on melt segregation. Based on average chondritic abundances of the most common mineral groups in meteorites, we calculated the composition for rock–melt aggregates comprising idealized components using a reactive, multi-component melting model. We quantified the effects on $^{26}$Al partitioning and magma ascent with a coupled, two-phase flow model. We defined the melt segregation number $R_{seg}$ as the ratio between the heating and melt transport time scales in a planetesimal, which establishes the leading order parametric control on the propensity for magma redistribution during the heating stage of planetesimal evolution. We predicted that the primary two controls are the melt–rock density contrast $\Delta \rho$ and the mineral grain size $\omega_0$.

Investigating the relative importance of model parameters for the evolution of planetesimals, we categorize model outcomes in terms of their melt segregation numbers $R_{seg}$ and their formation times $t_{form}$. Using this scheme, we find:

- Planetesimal melt migration behavior can be classified in three general regimes:
  - The magma ocean regime with global interior magma oceans, where rapid melting overwhelms melt segregation.
  - The magma sill regime, where global interior magma oceans are prevented by rapid magma transport that concentrates melt in sills beneath the cool lid.
  - The undifferentiated regime with a low degree of melting, minor melt segregation, and therefore chemically primordial and largely undifferentiated interiors.

- $Magma$ sill models crystallize to a compositionally stratified structure, with shallow depth layers dominantly enriched in the low melting point components, feldspar and pyroxene, and a paucity of high melting point components, such as olivine (cf. Ghosh and McSween, 1998; Mizzen, 2015). The crystallization sequence, and thus the compositional stratification, however, may be affected by convective motions beyond the disaggregation limit, which we do not model here.
• Magma ocean and magma sill models show temperature inversions for high $R_{\text{ep}}$ and early $t_{\text{form}}$, where the temperatures in the shallow- to mid-mantle are higher than at the center of the planetesimal. These thermal inversions, however, are restricted to formation times $t_{\text{form}} \lesssim 1$ Myr and $R_{\text{ep}} \gtrsim 1.5$ for $\Delta T \gtrsim 250$ K. Therefore, the majority of planetesimals likely underwent thermal evolutionary scenarios that can be qualitatively reproduced with models that do not take into account melt segregation and $^{26}$Al partitioning, depending on the level of detail that needs to be assessed.

• The magma sill regime can be achieved depending on a combination of a few key parameters:
  
  - The formation time $t_{\text{form}}$ controls the total amount of energy available from $^{26}$Al and restricts the regime for melt segregation to $0.5 \times 10^{12} \text{Al} \lesssim t_{\text{form}} \lesssim 1.75 \times 10^{12} \text{Al}$, with a peak at $\approx 1$ Myr after CAIs, when the rate of melt transport dominates over the generation of new melts.
  
  - The grain size $a_0$ controls the rate of melt transport and thus whether a planetesimal with sufficient heating evolves towards a melt segregated structure. Below $a_0 \lesssim 0.1$ mm no segregation occurs; above $a_0 \gtrsim 1$ mm segregated structures form.
  
  - The solid–melt density contrast $\Delta \rho$ is of secondary importance, but can enhance melt segregation in the regime transition from $a_0 = 0.1$ mm to $1$ mm.

To conclude, in this paper we have advanced the technical capabilities to simulate multi-phase and multi-component planetesimal evolution, gaining insights into features not accessible to single-phase fluid dynamics models. However, unraveling more detailed evolutionary regimes of planetesimals will require a time-dependent treatment that includes metal and volatile phases, which shape the structure and subsequent evolution of these bodies (Keller and Suckale, 2018). Further work is required to understand planetesimal evolution and its connection to the meteoritic record and rocky planet formation (Lichtenberg et al., 2018). In particular, robust scaling laws for the evolution of grain sizes in partially molten and heated systems relevant for planetesimal settings are required to establish more detailed regimes for melt segregation.

In the mid-term, future spacecraft missions (A’Hearn, 2017) may be able to deliver further observational constraints on asteroid-belt objects. In conjunction with self-consistent evolutionary models of metal–silicate and solid–melt segregation, these can help to further decipher the interior evolution of planetary bodies in the early solar system and sharpen our understanding of terrestrial planet formation in the solar system and elsewhere.
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Supplementary Materials

A simulation video associated with this article can be found attached to the publication and at this URL. The video shows a comparison between magma ocean and magma sill end-member models. Magma ocean stages are indicated in yellow. The $H3$ annotation describes the heating value below which radioactive heating from $^{26}$Al is inefficient. Shown are various parameters for both models, from left to right: melt fraction $\phi$, temperature $T$, radiogenic heating $H$, melt/liquid upwelling velocity $w_{\text{liq}}$, composition fraction of liquid $c_{\text{liq}}$, and composition fraction of solid $c_{\text{sol}}$. The composition is broken down into the defined pseudo-components $\text{fsp}$, $\text{pxn}$, and $\text{olv}$. The model is started (= planetesimal formation time) at 0.9 Myr after CAIs.
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