A Novel Co-design Peta-scale Heterogeneous Cluster for Deep Learning Training
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Abstract

With advancements of deep convolution Neural Networks (CNNs), their training demands higher and higher computational capacity. On the other hand, the commodity GPUs, commonly-used accelerator, is more and more expensive. Consequently, building an affordable distributed system with powerful computational capacity becomes a key factor for large scale deep learning (DL) training tasks. In this paper, we present an ad hoc distributed heterogeneous system, which is co-designed for deep learning (DL) algorithm, hardware and software. Considered characteristics of DL training algorithms and inspired by Harvard architecture, we design and build a novel distributed system, called “Manoa”, which has new distributed architecture and connection topology. Manoa consists of 128 Nvidia Tesla P100 GPUs and has over 1.2 PFLOPS of single precision floating-point. What is more, the price of the system is less than one million dollar. Meanwhile, in order to exploit Manoa, we first propose job server parallel software frame, called “MiMatrix”. Compared to the parameter server frame, the center node of MiMatrix, referred to as the job server, only undertakes all of controlling, scheduling and monitoring, and I/O tasks without weight data transfer during model update at each epoch. Consequently, it avoids to the bandwidth bottleneck of the center node in the parameter server software framework. In this paper, we also propose a new AllReduce algorithm,
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**GPUDirect RDMA-Aware AllReduce (GDRAA)**, in which both computation and handshake message are $O(1)$ and the number of synchronization is two, the theoretical minimum number. Owe to the dedicated co-design of hardware, software and algorithm, the MiMatrix effectively and efficiently utilizes computational capacity and bandwidth of Manoa, and experimental results of Resnet50 on Imagenet-1K dataset have demonstrated state-of-the-art.
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1. **Introduction**

   In recent years, deep Convolution Neural Networks (CNNs) have prevailed in both academia and industry, and the CNNs models not only have outperformed most of traditional machine learning and pattern recognition techniques [1, 2, 3] such as computer vision [4, 2] and speech recognition [5, 8] but also has ranked number one in the game of Go [7, 8]. Meanwhile, with increasing size of training data [9, 10] and complexity of architecture of deep learning (DL) [11, 12, 13], DL has become one of the most computationally-demanding high performance computing (HPC) applications. In this paper, we revisit the distributed heterogeneous system and DL training approaches and address our affordable solution to solve increasingly computational-demand of deep CNNs training.

   Compared to other gradient descent optimization algorithms [14, 1], Synchronous Stochastic Gradient Descent (SSGD) has two major advantages: 1) Obtain highest model accuracy in most cases [15]; and 2) Guarantee to learn a function in polynomial time [16, 17]. Particularly, most of less-resource model methods such as distilling [18] and model compression [19, 20] heavily rely on the accuracy of trained model. In this paper, we focus on how to expedite DL training with SSGD optimization approach.

---

1In this paper, Asynchronous Stochastic Gradient Descent (ASGD) and SSGD are categorized into two different optimization algorithms.
Before introducing our work, we briefly review major challenges of designing both a distributed system and parallel software framework listed as following:

1. It is difficult to accelerate DL training on a distributed system through scaling out because most of deep CNNs training approaches use batch learning techniques. From computation’s perspective, it should have a higher parallel efficiency with a bigger batch size and more computational nodes. On the contrary, too bigger size slows the converge speed learning, even lows the accuracy of the trained models [21].

2. During the processing of DL training, it meets problems of computation bound, memory bound and bandwidth bound, alternately. It leads to in a dilemma of configuring the hardware of the system and developing and optimizing algorithms of CNNs training;

3. The DL training process has frequent I/O operations (loading data of each batch) and data synchronization, which greatly decreases the utilization of the whole system. In turn, it causes the poor performance of the system.

In order to provide a powerful computational capacity, we design and build a novel distributed heterogeneous system with a dedicated topology, named “Manoa”, which is high density consisting of 128 Nvidia Tesla P100 GPUs equipped with two 48U racks and has over 1.2 single precision PFLOPS computational capacity. This system architecture is inspired by Harvard architecture. Second, given the special architecture and topology of Manoa and distributed DL characteristics, we develop and implement a novel job server distributed DL training framework, referred to as MiMatrix, in which we propose a new parallel SSGD algorithm, referred to as GPUDirect RDMA-Aware AllReduce (GDRAA). Its computation and handshake message are $O(1)$.

As shown in Fig. [1] analogy to Harvard architecture, Manoa has a head node, named Job Server, which acts on the role of control unit (CU) for

\[ In the rest of paper, if we don’t point out the type of distributed DL training approach, the DL training means to SSGD approach. \]
Figure 1: Architecture of the GPU cluster. This cluster consists of one job server, sixteen computing servers, one storage (over 100TB), Ethernet switch and InfiniBand switch. The data of models are directly transferred via InfiniBand with GPU Direct RDMA.

The whole system. The node has powerful CPUs dealing with task-intensive work such as job schedule, system monitoring, model real-time visualization, transferring training data to computer servers, and I/O tasks. Manoa also has sixteen computing nodes, named computing server, that are designed as the role of arithmetic logic unit (ALU) in Harvard architecture and takes on compute-intensive portions. Besides them, Manoa also has a over 100TB storage node. All nodes are connected by both InfiniBand (IB) and Ethernet.

Meanwhile, based on the topology of Manoa, we develop and implement a Job Server framework distributed DL training, named MiMatrix. Besides running forward and backward parts during training, the computer servers act as both client and server roles during AllReduce. The memories of GPU and CPU of all nodes are connected by InfiniBand with GPUDirect remote direct memory access (RDMA).

Along with rapid DL development, some distributed systems [22, 23, 24, 25] and distributed frameworks [26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33] have been released in recent years. However, all of them either are designed a cluster using some existing general DL SDKs or developed a general distributed SDK and deployed various distributed systems. It is obvious that the whole system cannot be fully optimized. However, Manoa and Mimatrix are firstly co-designed and
co-developed in both hardware and software for accelerating distributed DL training.

In this paper, we make two major contributions as followings:

1. To meet the increasing computational demands of DL training, we first co-design and co-develop a peta-scale heterogeneous cluster, Manoa, and a job server DL parallel framework, MiMatrix. Our system is a high coverage speed price ratio (CS/P) and high-density cluster. The price of Manoa is less than one million dollar\(^3\) and less than 45% of Nvidia DGX 1 solution\(^4\). All equipments are installed in two 48U racks. To the best of our knowledge, it is the highest density of a distributed GPU cluster for DL training.

2. We propose a novel distributed SSGD algorithm, \textbf{GPU}Direct \textbf{RDMA-Aware AllReduce (GDRAA)}, in which both computation and handshake message are $O(1)$. Thanks to low latency of third-generation FDR56 infiniBand ($10^{-7}$ second), all algorithms are implemented with IB Verbs library \([34]\), referred to as \texttt{ibverbs}, natively, which fully utilizes the bidirectional bandwidth of all computer servers.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 conceptually describes how we co-design Manoa and MiMatrix and briefly introduces our software implementation. Section 3 details our proposed AllReduce algorithm, GDRAA, and analyzes it. In section 4, we present our experimental results and some analysis. This paper closes with a conclusion of our work and some future directions in section 5.

2. System Design and Software Implementation

In this section, we first address conceptual description of idea and consideration of the co-design distributed heterogeneous system from both hardware and software. Following it, we describe our implementation of MiMatrix.

\(^3\) Price in March, 2017.

2.1. Description of system design and consideration

A parallelism of a distributed heterogeneous cluster is generally divided into three levels: 1): 1st level-worker level, spanning across workers in a system; 2): 2nd level, spanning across processors in a worker; and 3): 3rd level, spanning across cores in a processor. Since collective communications library (NCCL) \[35\] and cudnn library \[36\] have handled 2nd level and 3rd level tasks, respectively, in this paper, we focus on 1st level parallelism.

**Objective of Co-design System and Considerations** Our major goal is to design and develop an affordable system that has an enough computational capacity to finish train most of deep CNNs models on a large scale training dataset in one day. We take Resnet101 \[11\] as the model benchmark and ImageNet-1K \[9\] as the dataset benchmark. In past years, the price of GPUs is higher and higher such as Nvidia P100 (over $ 5,000 per card) and V100 (over $ 7,000 per card). As a result, building a GPU cluster is becoming more and more expensive. In turn, it has become one of most challenges in DL works.

In this paper, we designed Manoa in November 2016. At that time, the Nvida P100 GPU card is the best GPU card for DL training. Consequently, we took the Nvida P100 card with 16G memory as our GPU accelerator. Also, at that time, the last generation of CPU is Broadwell CPU that has forty slots. We selected Mellanox FDR56 InfiniBand adapter cards and switch. Given the number of InfiniBand switch and price, we chose 128 P100 GPU cards and 16 computing nodes, Each node has eight GPUs cards and two InfiniBand adapter cards.

**Scaling Efficiency Measurement** For the goal of DL training is to speed up training a model on a cluster. Therefore, we measure the scaling using a ration between an acceptable accuracy and training time, as did \[37\].

**Manoa Components** The Manoa consists of one job server, sixteen computing servers, and one storage, all of which are connect by Ethernet and IB FDR56, as shown in Fig1.

---

Figure 2: Architecture of a computing server. Each computing node has two Intel Xeon CPUs, each of which connects two PCIE switches and one IB host channel adapter (HCA). Each PCIE switch connects two Nvidia Tesla P100 GPUs. The node is NUMA architecture.

The storage has over 100 TB storage of over 200TB hard disk drives with RAID10.

The job server has two Intel Xeon high-end Broadwell CPUs with 512 GB memory, and has over 2TB SSD storage.

As shown in Fig. 2, each of computing servers has two Intel Xeon low-end Broadwell CPUs with 256 GB memory. The motherboard is non-uniform memory access (NUMA). The computer server has eight Nvidia Tesla GPUs, and four of them connect to one socket through two PCIE switches. Also there are one Infiniband HCA located on each socket. The whole system has 128 Nvidia Tesla GPUs. Each of P100 cards has 9.3 TeraFLOPS single-precision performance and 18.7 TeraFLOPS half-precision performance, the computational capacity of only GPUs of the system has over 1.19 PetaFLOPS for single precision and 2.39 PetaFLOPS for half precision. Plus the CPU and job server, the system has over 1.2 PetaFLOPS for the single precision float.

All equipments are installed in two 48U racks. To the best of our knowledge, it is the highest density of a distributed GPU cluster fro DL training with same coverage speed [24, 37].

**High Speed Interconnection** The data transfer system of our system is built with Mellanox FDR56 InfiniBand technology, which provides up to
56Gbit/s bandwidth and 4TB/s bi-direction throughput, and 10G Ethernet. The data transfers through InfiniBand and message through Ethernet. It supports GPUDirect RDMA that transfer data from P2P from GPU to GPUs directly.

**Three-level Data Cache** There are three-level data cache in our system: The first level is the memories of GPU and CPU connected with IB, in which the data is directly used for DL training. The second level is 2TB SSD of job server, in which is data is loaded and transferred to other workers. Till now, the 2T volume is enough for most of deep CNNs models training. And the third level is storage node which stores a variety of training datasets. Before a CNNs model is trained, the training data is copied to the second level data cache, SSD of the job server.

### 2.2. Job Server and Software Implementation

**Job Server Framework** Parameter server framework is the most widely used parallel DL software architecture [26, 27]. In it, a center node, referred to as parameter server, receives the model weights from all workers and broadcasts the aggregated weights to all works. Therefore, two problems, stagger and bandwidth bottleneck, always lead to the poor performance.

In order to solve the problems, we propose a novel parallel software architecture, job server. Meanwhile, we develop and implement a new AllReduce algorithm, GPUDirect RDMA-Aware AllReduce (GDRAA), in which both computation and handshake message are $O(1)$, detailed in section 3.

MiMatrix adopts message driven for DL training, the job server acts on the center node and only receives and sends messages from and to computer servers and storage. The training process is executed by a protocol defined by users. Therefore, our system easily extend to any training approach just re-defining the protocol.

For updating model of each epoch, the computer sever is considered as both master and slaver. As illuminated in Fig. [ ], Each of computer sever not only sends part data of weights of this work but also receives part data of other
computer servers. After obtaining the data from all other computer servers, each server separately averages the data of the node and then broadcasts to other computer servers. Obviously, all nodes shared the same data, and the system only has two synchronizations.

**Software Implementation** We implement MiMatrix with C++11 in CPU part and CUDA 8.0 [38] with cudnn library [36] on GPU part. The data transfer functions directly writes with *ibverbs*. There are two major reasons for choosing *ibverbs* rather than MPI or other InfiniBand’s upper-layer protocols (ULPs) such as over IB/SDP or RDS [39, 40]. First, it provides lower latency and allows for zero-copy transfer, and second, the DL training is ill-suited to the programming protocol of message passage interface (MPI) [41]. In our implementation, it is a whole and continuous GPU memory registered by ibv_reg_mr, and the data transfer directly calls ibv_post_send from GPU-GPU offloading CPU. Thanks to some good properties of GPU direct RDMA via *ibverbs*, we propose a novel GDRA AllReduce algorithms, and based on it we design and implement MiMatrix framework for expediting DL training on a GPU cluster.

3. **GDRAA Algorithm**

In this section, we analyze our proposed AllReduce algorithm, **GPU**Direct **RDMA-Aware AllReduce**, referred to as GDRAA. It is illuminated in Fig. 3 and the algorithm is detailed in Algorithm 3. We also prove that GDRAA is \(O(1)\) in both computational complex and handshake message.

This algorithm is based on two assumptions:

1. Compared to time of data transfer, the latency of data copy is lower.
2. The number of worker is small.

For the number of worker, \(N\), GDRAA has not only \(O(1)\) computational complex but also \(O(1)\) handshake message.

In Manoa, the memories of GPU and CPU are connected by Mellanox FDR56 InfiniBand switch and adapters, the latency is 0.7 \(\mu\)sec, and Manoa has a maximum 32 workers. Obviously, the whole system satisfies these two assumptions.
Algorithm 1 GDRAA Algorithm.

1: Total N workers, each worker load different mini-batch data

2: for $Nu \leftarrow 0, EN$ do \hspace{1cm} $\triangleright$ EN is maximum of epoch number

3: for $i \leftarrow 1, N$, each workers $W(i)$ parallel do

4: if $Nu == 1$ then

5: Skip

6: else

7: if Worker $W(i)$ obtain $D(l), l \in [1, N]$ from all workers then

8: end if

9: $\triangleright$ End of GDRW AllReduce

10: Update model with gradient of differential $D$ $\triangleright$ Update the model

11: end if

12: Each worker $W(i)$ has model $M(Nu)$

13: $\triangleright$ Training task part

14: Load mini-batch

15: Forward part task

16: Calculate loss of the mini-batch

17: Backward and obtain gradient of differential $D(i)$ on worker $W(i)$

18: Divide $D(i)$ is divided by $N$, and get $D(i, m), m \in [1, N]$ $\triangleright$ Star of GDRW AllReduce part

19: for $j \leftarrow 1, N$ do

20: Send $D(i, j)$ to worker $W(j)$ $\triangleright$ Shown in Fig. 3a

21: end for

22: if Worker $W(i)$ obtain data $D(k, i), k \in [1, N]$ from all workers then

23: Average $D(k, i), k \in [1, N]$ and obtain $D(i)$

24: send $D(i)$ to all workers $\triangleright$ Shown in Fig. 3b

25: end if

26: end for

27: end for
Figure 3: Our proposed GDRAA ReduceAll algorithm. Each computer node has a continuous memory dividing two segmentations, send buffer (SB) and receiver buffer (RB), respectively. Take the worker \( m \) as an example, there are two data transfer steps, reduce processing-before model aggregation, seen in Fig. 3a, and broadcast processing-after mode aggregation, seen in Figure. 3b. The whole system has \( N \) workers, and the size of model is \( L \) in each worker. In reduce processing, as a master, the weight is evenly divided into \( N \), and send each part to targeted nodes. Also, as a slaver, it receives the parts of other nodes. After averaging the data in this node, Then in the following broadcast processing, as a master, worker \( m \) broadcasts averaging weight to all other works, and as a slaver, it receives other parts of wights from other workers. In Fig. 3a, data \( B(i,j) \), \( i \) is worker number, and \( j \) is data block number.

**Lemma 1.** For \( N \) workers of a distributed system, given the fixed size of memory of each worker, \( L \). The handshake message is \( O(1) \) of \( N \).

**Proof.** For any worker, \( m \), the data is divided into \( N \). In the first step, considered worker \( m \), the worker will send \( L/N \) to other workers. Therefore, the size of data sent is:

\[
\frac{L}{N} \times (N - 1) = L \times (1 - \frac{1}{N}) \simeq L
\]

At the same time, the worker \( m \) is receiving \( L/N \) from total \( N - 1 \). Therefore, the size of data received is

\[
\frac{L}{N} \times (N - 1) = L \times (1 - \frac{1}{N}) \simeq L
\]

The Equation 1 and Equation 2 have shown that in first step before model data averaging, the data that worker \( m \) sends and receives is both about \( L \) data, which doesn’t depend on the size of \( N \).

For the step 2, the proof the similar to the process of step 1. \( \square \)
Lemma 2. For $N$ workers of a distributed system, given any worker, the computation complex of aggregation is $O(1)$ of $N$.

Proof. In the aggregation of the distributed DL training, the operator is averaging. For any worker, $m$, the computational complex $O$ equals to

$$O_p = \frac{L}{N} \times (N - 1) + \frac{L}{N}$$

In Equation 3, the first part is the complex of add operator, and the second part is the complex of the multiply operator. We can rewrite it as:

$$O_p = L$$

For number of worker, $N$, the $L$ is a fixed. As a result, the computational complex is $O(1)$ and independence to $N$.

Also, there are two synchronizations of each epoch, which reach the theoretical minimum of SSGD algorithm. Consequently, the MiMatrix overcomes the two major problems of SSGD, bandwidth bottleneck and stagger.

4. Experimental Results

As mentioned in section 2, the system performance was measured by an acceptable accuracy and training time. In our paper, we conducted our experiments on ImageNet-1K dataset [9], and took the 70% as the acceptable. For the deep CNNs model, we took ResNet as our benchmark [11]. We did two types of tests: 1) training time of Resnet101 models reaching 70% accuracy with 32 workers, and 2) scalability with Resnet50 on ImageNet-1K of 1, 2, 4, 8, 16 and 32 workers.

5. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a co-design distributed heterogeneous cluster for speeding up DL training. In it, we successfully design and build a high-density 128-GPU cluster, named Manoa, and propose and develop a job server parallel
framework for DL training, named MiMatrix, which effectively and efficiently utilizes the whole system. Our system achieves high ratio between speed converge and price. Compared to the parameter sever framework, the job server framework successfully solve the bandwidth bottleneck and stagger problems. In this paper, we also proposed a novel AllReduce algorithm, GDRAA, which has $O(1)$ in both computation and handshake message are. We conducted our experiments on ImageNet-1K dataset, and the performance has demonstrated state-of-the-art.

Acknowledgements

Some of the technology described in this paper is patent pending.

References


