

INVERTIBILITY OF MULTIPLIERS FOR CONTINUOUS G-FRAMES

Y. KHEDMATI AND M. R. ABDOLLAHPOUR

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study the concept of multipliers for continuous g -Bessel families in Hilbert spaces. We present necessary conditions for invertibility of multipliers for continuous g -Bessel families and sufficient conditions for invertibility of multipliers for continuous g -frames.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 1952, the concept of frames for Hilbert spaces was defined by Duffin and Schaeffer [7]. Frames are important tools in the signal processing, image processing, data compression, etc. In 1993, Ali, Antoine and Gazeau developed the notion of ordinary frame to a family indexed by a measurable space which are known as continuous frames [4]. In 2006, g -frames or generalized frames introduced by Sun [9]. Abdollahpour and Faroughi introduced and investigated continuous g -frames and Riesz-type continuous g -frames [1].

In the rest of this paper (Ω, μ) is a measure space with positive measure μ , $\{\mathcal{K}_\omega : \omega \in \Omega\}$ is a family of Hilbert spaces and $GL(\mathcal{H})$ denotes the set of all invertible bounded linear operators on Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

In 2007, the Bessel multiplier for Bessel sequences in Hilbert spaces was introduced by P. Balazs [5].

Definition 1.1. Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} be Hilbert spaces. Suppose that $F = \{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $G = \{g_i\}_{i \in I}$ are Bessel sequences for \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} , respectively, and $m = \{m_i\}_{i \in I} \in l^\infty(I)$. The operator $M_{m,F,G}f : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ defined by

$$M_{m,F,G}f = \sum_{i \in I} m_i \langle f, f_i \rangle g_i.$$

is called the Bessel multiplier for F and G .

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 41A58, 42C15.

Key words and phrases. Multiplier, Invertibility, continuous g -Bessel family, continuous g -frame.

In this paper we obtain necessary conditions for invertibility of multipliers for continuous g -Bessel families and sufficient conditions for invertibility of multipliers for continuous g -frames, by extending some results of [5]. In the rest of this section, we summarize some facts about continuous g -frames and multipliers of continuous g -Bessel families from [1], [2].

We say that $F \in \prod_{\omega \in \Omega} \mathcal{K}_\omega$ is strongly measurable if F as a mapping of Ω to $\bigoplus_{\omega \in \Omega} \mathcal{K}_\omega$ is measurable, where

$$\prod_{\omega \in \Omega} \mathcal{K}_\omega = \left\{ f : \Omega \rightarrow \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} \mathcal{K}_\omega : f(\omega) \in \mathcal{K}_\omega \right\}.$$

Definition 1.2. We say that $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ is a continuous g -frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{K}_\omega : \omega \in \Omega\}$ if

- (i) for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\{\Lambda_\omega f : \omega \in \Omega\}$ is strongly measurable,
- (ii) there are two constants $0 < A_\Lambda \leq B_\Lambda < \infty$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad A_\Lambda \|f\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \leq B_\Lambda \|f\|^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

We call A_Λ, B_Λ the lower and upper continuous g -frame bounds, respectively. Λ is called a tight continuous g -frame if $A_\Lambda = B_\Lambda$, and a Parseval continuous g -frame if $A_\Lambda = B_\Lambda = 1$. A family $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ is called a continuous g -Bessel family for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{\mathcal{K}_\omega : \omega \in \Omega\}$ if the right hand side in the inequality (1.1) holds for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$, in this case, B_Λ is called the continuous g -Bessel constant.

Proposition 1.3. [1] *Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be a continuous g -frame. There exists a unique positive and invertible operator $S_\Lambda : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ such that*

$$\langle S_\Lambda f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \langle \Lambda_\omega f, \Lambda_\omega g \rangle d\mu(\omega), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{H},$$

and $A_\Lambda I \leq S_\Lambda \leq B_\Lambda I$.

The operator S_Λ in Proposition 1.3 is called the continuous g -frame operator of Λ .

We consider the space

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}} = \left\{ F \in \prod_{\omega \in \Omega} \mathcal{K}_\omega : F \text{ is strongly measurable, } \int_{\Omega} \|F(\omega)\|^2 d\mu(\omega) < \infty \right\}.$$

It is clear that $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}$ is a Hilbert space with point wise operations and with the inner product given by

$$\langle F, G \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \langle F(\omega), G(\omega) \rangle d\mu(\omega).$$

Proposition 1.4. [1] *Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be a continuous g -Bessel family. Then the mapping $T_{\Lambda} : \widehat{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by*

$$(1.2) \quad \langle T_{\Lambda} F, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \langle \Lambda_{\omega}^* F(\omega), g \rangle d\mu(\omega), \quad F \in \widehat{\mathcal{K}}, \quad g \in \mathcal{H}$$

is linear and bounded with $\|T_{\Lambda}\| \leq \sqrt{B_{\Lambda}}$. Also, for each $g \in \mathcal{H}$ and $\omega \in \Omega$, we have

$$(T_{\Lambda}^* g)(\omega) = \Lambda_{\omega} g.$$

The operators T_{Λ} and T_{Λ}^* in Proposition 1.4 are called the synthesis and analysis operators of $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$, respectively.

Definition 1.5. Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ and $\Theta = \{\Theta_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be two continuous g -Bessel families such that

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \langle \Theta_{\omega} f, \Lambda_{\omega} g \rangle d\mu(\omega), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{H},$$

then Θ is called a dual of Λ .

Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be a continuous g -frame. Then $\widetilde{\Lambda} = \Lambda S_{\Lambda}^{-1} = \{\Lambda_{\omega} S_{\Lambda}^{-1} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ is a continuous g -frame and $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ is a dual of Λ . We call $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ the canonical dual of Λ . In this paper, we will show by $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ the canonical dual of Λ .

Two continuous g -Bessel families $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ and $\Theta = \{\Theta_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ are weakly equal, if for all $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\Lambda_{\omega} f = \Theta_{\omega} f, \quad a.e. \quad \omega \in \Omega.$$

Definition 1.6. [3] Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ and $\Theta = \{\Theta_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be two continuous g -Bessel families. The family Θ is called a generalized dual of Λ (or a g -dual of Λ), whenever the well defined operator $S_{\Lambda\Theta} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$,

$$\langle S_{\Lambda\Theta} f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \langle \Theta_{\omega} f, \Lambda_{\omega} g \rangle d\mu(\omega), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{H}.$$

is invertible.

In the case that, the continuous g -Bessel family Θ is a g -dual of the continuous g -Bessel family Λ , then Θ is a dual of a continuous g -Bessel

family $\Lambda S_{\Theta\Lambda}^{-1} = \{\Lambda_\omega S_{\Theta\Lambda}^{-1} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$, i.e.

$$(1.3) \quad \langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \langle \Theta_\omega f, \Lambda_\omega S_{\Theta\Lambda}^{-1} g \rangle d\mu(\omega), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Proposition 1.7. [2] *Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ and $\Theta = \{\Theta_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be continuous g -Bessel families and $m \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$. The operator $M_{m, \Lambda, \Theta} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ defined by*

$$\langle M_{m, \Lambda, \Theta} f, g \rangle = \int_{\Omega} m(\omega) \langle \Theta_\omega f, \Lambda_\omega g \rangle d\mu(\omega), \quad f, g \in \mathcal{H},$$

is a bounded operator with bound $\|m\|_\infty \sqrt{B_\Lambda B_\Theta}$.

The operator $M_{m, \Lambda, \Theta}$ in Proposition 1.7 is called the continuous g -Bessel multiplier for Λ and Θ with respect to m . Note that $M_{1, \Lambda, \Theta} = S_{\Lambda\Theta}$.

Proposition 1.8. [2] *Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ and $\Theta = \{\Theta_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be continuous g -Bessel families and $m \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$. Then*

$$M_{m, \Lambda, \Theta}^* = M_{\overline{m}, \Theta, \Lambda}.$$

2. INVERTIBILITY OF MULTIPLIERS FOR CONTINUOUS g -BESSEL FAMILIES

In this section we are going to get some results relevant to invertibility of continuous g -Bessel multipliers by generalizing results of [8].

For any $\Lambda_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega)$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $m \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(m(\omega)\Lambda_\omega)f\| &= \|m(\omega)\Lambda_\omega f\| = |m(\omega)| \|\Lambda_\omega f\| \\ &\leq \|m\|_\infty \|\Lambda_\omega\| \|f\|, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore $m(\omega)\Lambda_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be a continuous g -Bessel family and $m \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$. Then*

- (i) $m\Lambda = \{m(\omega)\Lambda_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ is a continuous g -Bessel family with the continuous g -Bessel constant $B_\Lambda \|m\|_\infty^2$.
- (ii) $M_{m, \Lambda, \Theta} = M_{1, \overline{m}\Lambda, \Theta} = M_{1, \Lambda, m\Theta}$, where $\overline{m}\Lambda = \{\overline{m(\omega)}\Lambda_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ and $m\Theta = \{m(\omega)\Theta_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$.

Proof. (i) For any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \|m(\omega)\Lambda_{\omega}f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) &= \int_{\Omega} |m(\omega)|^2 \|\Lambda_{\omega}f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \\ &\leq \|m\|_{\infty}^2 \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_{\omega}f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \\ &\leq B_{\Lambda} \|m\|_{\infty}^2 \|f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) By (i), $\overline{m}\Lambda$ and $m\Theta$ are continuous g -Bessel families. For any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}f, g \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} m(\omega) \langle \Theta_{\omega}f, \Lambda_{\omega}g \rangle d\mu(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \langle \Theta_{\omega}f, \overline{m(\omega)}\Lambda_{\omega}g \rangle d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \langle m(\omega)\Theta_{\omega}f, \Lambda_{\omega}g \rangle d\mu(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} = M_{1,\overline{m}\Lambda,\Theta} = M_{1,\Lambda,m\Theta}$. \square

The following proposition gives necessary conditions for invertibility of multipliers for continuous g -Bessel families.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ and $\Theta = \{\Theta_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be continuous g -Bessel families and $0 \neq m \in L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)$. If $M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$, then*

- (i) $m\Theta = \{m(\omega)\Theta_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ and $\overline{m}\Lambda = \{\overline{m(\omega)}\Lambda_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ are continuous g -frames with lower continuous g -frame bounds $(B_{\Lambda}\|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1}\|^2)^{-1}$ and $(B_{\Theta}\|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1}\|^2)^{-1}$, respectively.
- (ii) Λ and Θ are continuous g -frames with lower continuous g -frame bounds $(B_{\Lambda}\|m\|_{\infty}^2\|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1}\|^2)^{-1}$ and $(B_{\Theta}\|m\|_{\infty}^2\|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1}\|^2)^{-1}$, respectively.

Proof. (i) Since $M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$, by Proposition 2.1 (ii), the operators $M_{1,\Lambda,m\Theta}$ and $M_{1,\overline{m}\Lambda,\Theta}$ are invertible. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and $f \neq 0$, then by the

proposition 1.8 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|^2 &= |\langle f, f \rangle| = |\langle f, M_{1,\Lambda,m\Theta}^{-1} M_{1,\Lambda,m\Theta} f \rangle| \\
&= |\langle M_{1,m\Theta,\Lambda} M_{1,m\Theta,\Lambda}^{-1} f, f \rangle| \\
&= \left| \int_{\Omega} \langle \Lambda_{\omega} M_{1,m\Theta,\Lambda}^{-1} f, m(\omega) \Theta_{\omega} f \rangle d\mu(\omega) \right| \\
&= |\langle T_{\Lambda}^* M_{1,m\Theta,\Lambda}^{-1} f, T_{m\Theta}^* f \rangle| \\
&\leq \|T_{\Lambda}^* M_{1,m\Theta,\Lambda}^{-1} f\| \|T_{m\Theta}^* f\| \\
&\leq \sqrt{B_{\Lambda}} \|M_{1,m\Theta,\Lambda}^{-1} f\| \|f\| \|T_{m\Theta}^* f\|,
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{B_{\Lambda} \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1}\|^2} \|f\|^2 &= \frac{1}{B_{\Lambda} \|M_{1,m\Theta,\Lambda}^{-1}\|^2} \|f\|^2 \leq \|T_{m\Theta}^* f\|^2 \\
(2.1) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= \int_{\Omega} \|m(\omega) \Theta_{\omega} f\|^2 d\mu(\omega).
\end{aligned}$$

Also similarly we have

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{1}{B_{\Theta} \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1}\|^2} \|f\|^2 \leq \|T_{\overline{m}\Lambda}^* f\|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \|\overline{m(\omega)} \Lambda_{\omega} f\|^2 d\mu(\omega).$$

It is clear that the inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) also hold for $f = 0$. So by the Proposition 2.1 (i), $m\Theta$ and $\overline{m}\Lambda$ are continuous g -frames.

(ii) For any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ by inequality (2.1) we have

$$\frac{1}{B_{\Lambda} \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1}\|^2} \|f\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \|m(\omega) \Theta_{\omega} f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \leq \|m\|_{\infty}^2 \int_{\Omega} \|\Theta_{\omega} f\|^2 d\mu(\omega),$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1}{B_{\Lambda} \|m\|_{\infty}^2 \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1}\|^2} \|f\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Theta_{\omega} f\|^2 d\mu(\omega).$$

Also similarly by inequality (2.2) we have

$$\frac{1}{B_{\Theta} \|m\|_{\infty}^2 \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1}\|^2} \|f\|^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_{\omega} f\|^2 d\mu(\omega).$$

Thus Λ and Θ are continuous g -frames. \square

Note that the Proposition 2.2 (ii), generalizes the proposition 3.2 of [1]. In the following proposition, by generalizing conclusion from [6] we get dual for continuous g -Bessel families Λ and Θ when $M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$.

Proposition 2.3. *Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ and $\Theta = \{\Theta_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be continuous g -Bessel families and $0 \neq m \in L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)$. If $M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$, then Θ and $\overline{m}\Lambda M_{\overline{m},\Theta,\Lambda}^{-1} = \{\overline{m(\omega)} \Lambda_{\omega} M_{\overline{m},\Theta,\Lambda}^{-1} \in$*

$B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ are dual. Also Λ and $m\Theta M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1} = \{m(\omega)\Theta_\omega M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ are dual.

Proof. By the Proposition 2.2 (ii), Λ and Θ are continuous g -frames. Since $M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$, $M_{\overline{m},\Theta,\Lambda} = M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^* \in GL(\mathcal{H})$, and then by Proposition 2.2 (i) and [1, Proposition 3.3], $\overline{m}\Lambda M_{\overline{m},\Theta,\Lambda}^{-1} = \{\overline{m}(\omega)\Lambda_\omega M_{\overline{m},\Theta,\Lambda}^{-1} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ is a continuous g -frame. For any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle \Theta_\omega f, \overline{m}(\omega)\Lambda_\omega M_{\overline{m},\Theta,\Lambda}^{-1} g \rangle d\mu(\omega) &= \int_{\Omega} m(\omega) \langle \Theta_\omega f, \Lambda_\omega M_{\overline{m},\Theta,\Lambda}^{-1} g \rangle d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \langle M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} f, M_{\overline{m},\Theta,\Lambda}^{-1} g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \langle m(\omega)\Theta_\omega M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1} f, \Lambda_\omega g \rangle d\mu(\omega) &= \int_{\Omega} m(\omega) \langle \Theta_\omega M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1} f, \Lambda_\omega g \rangle d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \langle M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1} f, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following results give sufficient conditions for invertibility of multipliers for continuous g -frames.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be a continuous g -frame and $\Theta = \{\Theta_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be a family of operators such that for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\{\Theta_\omega f\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is strongly measurable and there exists $\nu \in [0, \frac{A_\Lambda^2}{B_\Lambda})$ such that*

$$(2.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} \|(\Lambda_\omega - \Theta_\omega)f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \leq \nu \|f\|^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Suppose $m \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ such that for some positive constant δ we have $m(\omega) \geq \delta > 0$ a.e. and $\frac{\|m\|_\infty}{\delta} \sqrt{\nu} < \frac{A_\Lambda}{\sqrt{B_\Lambda}}$. Then $M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$\frac{1}{\|m\|_\infty B_\Lambda + \|m\|_\infty \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda}} \|f\| \leq \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1} f\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta A_\Lambda - \|m\|_\infty \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda}} \|f\|, \quad f \in \mathcal{H},$$

and

$$M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}^{-1} (S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda} - M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta})]^k S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}^{-1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1} - \sum_{k=0}^n [S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}^{-1} (S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda} - M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta})]^k S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}^{-1}\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{\|m\|_\infty \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda}}{\delta A_\Lambda} \right)^{n+1} \frac{1}{\delta A_\Lambda - \|m\|_\infty \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $\nu = 0$, then by inequality (2.3), Λ and Θ are weakly equal and so for any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} f, g \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} m(\omega) \langle \Theta_{\omega} f, \Lambda_{\omega} g \rangle d\mu(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} m(\omega) \langle \Lambda_{\omega} f, \Lambda_{\omega} g \rangle d\mu(\omega) \\ &= \langle M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda} f, g \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by [2, Proposition 3.3.], $M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} = M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda} = S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}$ is an invertible operator with lower and upper bounds δA_{Λ} and $\|m\|_{\infty} B_{\Lambda}$, respectively, where $\sqrt{m}\Lambda = \{\sqrt{m(\omega)}\Lambda_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$. Therefore for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{1}{\|m\|_{\infty} B_{\Lambda}} \|f\| \leq \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1} f\| = \|S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}^{-1} f\| \leq \frac{1}{\delta A_{\Lambda}} \|f\|.$$

For $\nu > 0$, by inequality (2.3), the family $\Lambda - \Theta = \{\Lambda_{\omega} - \Theta_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ is a continuous g -Bessel family and so Θ is a continuous g -Bessel family. Thus by the proposition 1.7, $M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}$ is a well defined bounded operator. By (2.3), for any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} f - S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda} f, g \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} m(\omega) \langle \Theta_{\omega} f, \Lambda_{\omega} g \rangle d\mu(\omega) - \int_{\Omega} m(\omega) \langle \Lambda_{\omega} f, \Lambda_{\omega} g \rangle d\mu(\omega) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} m(\omega) \langle (\Theta_{\omega} - \Lambda_{\omega}) f, \Lambda_{\omega} g \rangle d\mu(\omega) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |m(\omega)| |\langle (\Theta_{\omega} - \Lambda_{\omega}) f, \Lambda_{\omega} g \rangle| d\mu(\omega) \\ &\leq \|m\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \|(\Theta_{\omega} - \Lambda_{\omega}) f\| \|\Lambda_{\omega} g\| d\mu(\omega) \\ &\leq \|m\|_{\infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} \|(\Theta_{\omega} - \Lambda_{\omega}) f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_{\omega} g\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|m\|_{\infty} \sqrt{\nu B_{\Lambda}} \|f\| \|g\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$(2.5) \quad \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} f - S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda} f\| \leq \|m\|_{\infty} \sqrt{\nu B_{\Lambda}} \|f\|.$$

Since $\|m\|_{\infty} \sqrt{\nu B_{\Lambda}} < \delta A_{\Lambda} \leq \frac{1}{\|S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}^{-1}\|}$, by [8, Proposition 2.2.], $M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}^{-1} (S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda} - M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta})]^k S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}^{-1}.$$

Also by inequality (2.4) for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{1}{\|m\|_\infty \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda} + \|m\|_\infty B_\Lambda} \|f\| &\leq \frac{1}{\|m\|_\infty \sqrt{B_\Lambda \nu} + \|S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}\|} \|f\| \\
 &\leq \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1} f\| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\|S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}^{-1}\|} - \|m\|_\infty \sqrt{B_\Lambda \nu}} \|f\| \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\delta A_\Lambda - \|m\|_\infty \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda}} \|f\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{\|m\|_\infty}{\delta} \sqrt{\nu} < \frac{A_\Lambda}{\sqrt{B_\Lambda}}$, $\frac{\|m\|_\infty \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda}}{\delta A_\Lambda} < 1$. By inequalities (2.4) and (2.5) for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1} - \sum_{k=0}^n [S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}^{-1} (S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda} - M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta})]^k S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}^{-1}\| \\
 &= \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}^{-1} (S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda} - M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta})]^k S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}^{-1} \right\| \\
 &\leq \|S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}^{-1}\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \|S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda}^{-1}\|^k \|S_{\sqrt{m}\Lambda} - M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}\|^k \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{\delta A_\Lambda} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\|m\|_\infty \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda}}{\delta A_\Lambda} \right)^k \\
 &= \left(\frac{\|m\|_\infty \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda}}{\delta A_\Lambda} \right)^{n+1} \frac{1}{\delta A_\Lambda - \|m\|_\infty \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Note that the Theorem 2.4 generalizes the Proposition 3.3 of [2].

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be a continuous g -frame. Let $m \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ such that $\|m - 1\|_\infty \leq \lambda < \frac{A_\Lambda}{B_\Lambda}$ for some λ . Then $M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$ and*

$$\frac{1}{(\lambda + 1)B_\Lambda} \|f\| \leq \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1} f\| \leq \frac{1}{A_\Lambda - \lambda B_\Lambda} \|f\|, \quad f \in \mathcal{H},$$

and

$$M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [S_\Lambda^{-1} (S_\Lambda - M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda})]^k S_\Lambda^{-1},$$

where

$$\|M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1} - \sum_{k=0}^n [S_{\Lambda}^{-1}(S_{\Lambda} - M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda})]^k S_{\Lambda}^{-1}\| \leq \left(\frac{\lambda B_{\Lambda}}{A_{\Lambda}}\right)^{n+1} \frac{1}{A_{\Lambda} - \lambda B_{\Lambda}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. For any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle M_{1,\Lambda,m\Lambda}f - S_{\Lambda}f, g \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} \langle (m(\omega) - 1)\Lambda_{\omega}f, \Lambda_{\omega}g \rangle d\mu(\omega) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\Omega} |m(\omega) - 1| |\langle \Lambda_{\omega}f, \Lambda_{\omega}g \rangle| d\mu(\omega) \\ &\leq \|m - 1\|_{\infty} \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_{\omega}f\| \|\Lambda_{\omega}g\| d\mu(\omega) \\ &\leq \|m - 1\|_{\infty} \left(\int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_{\omega}f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_{\omega}g\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \lambda B_{\Lambda} \|f\| \|g\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\|M_{1,\Lambda,m\Lambda}f - S_{\Lambda}f\| \leq \lambda B_{\Lambda} \|f\|.$$

Since $0 \leq \lambda B_{\Lambda} < A_{\Lambda} \leq \frac{1}{\|S_{\Lambda}^{-1}\|}$, similar to the proof of the Theorem 2.4, by [8, Proposition 2.2.] and the Proposition 2.1 (ii), the proof is completed. \square

Theorem 2.6. Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be a continuous g -frame and $\Theta = \{\Theta_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be a family of operators such that for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\{\Theta_{\omega}f\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is strongly measurable. Suppose there exists $\nu \in [0, \frac{A_{\Lambda}^2}{B_{\Lambda}})$ such that the inequality (2.3) is satisfied. Let $m \in L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)$ that $\|m - 1\|_{\infty} \leq \lambda < \frac{A_{\Lambda} - \sqrt{\nu B_{\Lambda}}}{B_{\Lambda} + \sqrt{\nu B_{\Lambda}}}$ for some λ . Then $M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$ and

$$\frac{1}{(\lambda + 1)(B_{\Lambda} + \sqrt{\nu B_{\Lambda}})} \|f\| \leq \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1}f\| \leq \frac{1}{A_{\Lambda} - \lambda B_{\Lambda} - (\lambda + 1)\sqrt{\nu B_{\Lambda}}} \|f\|, \quad f \in \mathcal{H},$$

and

$$M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1}(M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda} - M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta})]^k M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1} - \sum_{k=0}^n [M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1}(M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda} - M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta})]^k M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1} \right\| \\ &\leq \left(\frac{(\lambda + 1)\sqrt{\nu B_{\Lambda}}}{A_{\Lambda} - \lambda B_{\Lambda}} \right)^{n+1} \frac{1}{A_{\Lambda} - \lambda B_{\Lambda} - (\lambda + 1)\sqrt{\nu B_{\Lambda}}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $\nu = 0$, by the inequality (2.3), Λ and Θ are weakly equal. Also for $\nu = 0$ we have $\|m - 1\|_\infty \leq \lambda < \frac{A_\Lambda}{B_\Lambda}$. Then by the Proposition 2.5, for $\nu = 0$ the proof is completed. For $\nu \neq 0$ by the inequality (2.3), the family $\Lambda - \Theta$ is a continuous g -Bessel family and so Θ is a continuous g -Bessel family. Similar to the proof of the Theorem 2.4, for any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}f - M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}f, g \rangle| &= \left| \int_{\Omega} m(\omega) \langle (\Theta_\omega - \Lambda_\omega)f, \Lambda_\omega g \rangle d\mu(\omega) \right| \\ &\leq \|m\|_\infty \left(\int_{\Omega} \|(\Theta_\omega - \Lambda_\omega)f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega g\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \|m\|_\infty \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda} \|f\| \|g\|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by $\|m - 1\|_\infty \leq \lambda$, we have

$$\|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}f - M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}f\| \leq \|m\|_\infty \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda} \|f\| \leq (\lambda + 1) \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda} \|f\|.$$

By $\lambda < \frac{A_\Lambda - \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda}}{B_\Lambda + \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda}}$ we have $(\lambda + 1) \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda} < A_\Lambda - \lambda B_\Lambda$ and since $\|m - 1\|_\infty \leq \lambda < \frac{A_\Lambda - \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda}}{B_\Lambda + \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda}} < \frac{A_\Lambda}{B_\Lambda}$, by the Proposition 2.5, we have $(\lambda + 1) \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda} < A_\Lambda - \lambda B_\Lambda \leq \frac{1}{\|M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1}\|}$ and $\|M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}\| \leq (\lambda + 1) B_\Lambda$. Therefore by [8, Proposition 2.2.], $M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$ and for any $f \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(\lambda + 1)(B_\Lambda + \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda})} \|f\| &= \frac{1}{(\lambda + 1) \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda} + (\lambda + 1) B_\Lambda} \|f\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(\lambda + 1) \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda} + \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}\|} \|f\| \\ &\leq \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1}f\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\|M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1}\|} - (\lambda + 1) \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda}} \|f\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{A_\Lambda - \lambda B_\Lambda - (\lambda + 1) \sqrt{\nu B_\Lambda}} \|f\|. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} [M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1} (M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda} - M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta})]^k M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1},$$

where for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}^{-1} - \sum_{k=0}^n [M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1}(M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda} - M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta})]^k M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1} \right\| \\
&= \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} [M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1}(M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda} - M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta})]^k M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1} \right\| \\
&\leq \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1}\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1}\|^k \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda} - M_{m,\Lambda,\Theta}\|^k \\
&\leq \frac{1}{A_{\Lambda} - \lambda B_{\Lambda}} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(\lambda+1)\sqrt{\nu B_{\Lambda}}}{A_{\Lambda} - \lambda B_{\Lambda}} \right)^k \\
&= \left(\frac{(\lambda+1)\sqrt{\nu B_{\Lambda}}}{A_{\Lambda} - \lambda B_{\Lambda}} \right)^{n+1} \frac{1}{A_{\Lambda} - \lambda B_{\Lambda} - (\lambda+1)\sqrt{\nu B_{\Lambda}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.7. *Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be a continuous g -frame and $S \in GL(\mathcal{H})$. Suppose $m \in L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)$ is satisfied in one of the following conditions:*

- (i) *for some positive constant δ , $m(\omega) \geq \delta > 0$ a.e.*
- (ii) *$\|m - 1\|_{\infty} \leq \lambda < \frac{A_{\Lambda}}{B_{\Lambda}}$ for some λ .*

Then the operators $M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda S}$ and $M_{m,\Lambda S,\Lambda}$ are invertible and

$$M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda S}^{-1} = S^{-1} M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1}, \quad M_{m,\Lambda S,\Lambda}^{-1} = M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1} (S^{-1})^*,$$

where $\Lambda S = \{\Lambda_{\omega} S \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$.

Proof. By [1, Proposition 3.3], ΛS is a continuous g -frame. For any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda S} f, g \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} m(\omega) \langle \Lambda_{\omega} S f, \Lambda_{\omega} g \rangle d\mu(\omega) = \langle M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda} S f, g \rangle, \\
\langle M_{m,\Lambda S,\Lambda} f, g \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} m(\omega) \langle \Lambda_{\omega} f, \Lambda_{\omega} S g \rangle d\mu(\omega) = \langle M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda} f, S g \rangle \\
&= \langle S^* M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda} f, g \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore $M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda S} = M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda} S$ and $M_{m,\Lambda S,\Lambda} = S^* M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}$. If (i) is satisfied, then by [2, Proposition 3.3], $M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$, and if (ii) is satisfied, then by the Proposition 2.5, $M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$, and so the proof is completed. □

Corollary 2.8. *Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_{\omega} \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_{\omega}) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be a continuous g -frame. Suppose $m \in L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mu)$ is satisfied in one of the following conditions:*

- (i) for some positive constant δ , $m(\omega) \geq \delta > 0$ a.e.
- (ii) $\|m - 1\|_\infty \leq \lambda < \frac{A_\Lambda}{B_\Lambda}$ for some λ .

Then the operators $M_{m,\Lambda,\tilde{\Lambda}}$ and $M_{m,\tilde{\Lambda},\Lambda}$ are invertible and

$$M_{m,\Lambda,\tilde{\Lambda}}^{-1} = S_\Lambda M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1}, \quad M_{m,\tilde{\Lambda},\Lambda}^{-1} = M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda}^{-1} S_\Lambda.$$

Proof. By the Proposition 2.7, for $S = S_\Lambda^{-1}$ the proof is completed. \square

Theorem 2.9. Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ and $\Lambda^d = \{\Lambda_\omega^d \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be dual continuous g -frames. Let $m \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$ such that $\|m - 1\|_\infty \leq \lambda < \frac{1}{\sqrt{B_\Lambda B_{\Lambda^d}}}$ for some λ . Then $M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda^d} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$

and

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{1 + \lambda \sqrt{B_\Lambda B_{\Lambda^d}}} \|f\| \leq \|M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda^d}^{-1} f\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \lambda \sqrt{B_\Lambda B_{\Lambda^d}}} \|f\|, \quad f \in \mathcal{H},$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda^d}^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (M_{(1-m),\Lambda,\Lambda^d})^k.$$

where

$$\left\| M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda^d}^{-1} - \sum_{k=0}^n (M_{(1-m),\Lambda,\Lambda^d})^k \right\| \leq \frac{(\lambda \sqrt{B_\Lambda B_{\Lambda^d}})^{n+1}}{1 - \lambda \sqrt{B_\Lambda B_{\Lambda^d}}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. For any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda^d} f - f, g \rangle| &= |\langle M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda^d} f, g \rangle - \langle f, g \rangle| \\ &= \left| \int_{\Omega} (m(\omega) - 1) \langle \Lambda_\omega^d f, \Lambda_\omega g \rangle d\mu(\omega) \right| \\ &\leq \|m(\omega) - 1\|_\infty \int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega^d f\| \|\Lambda_\omega g\| d\mu(\omega) \\ &\leq \|m(\omega) - 1\|_\infty \left(\int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega^d f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \|\Lambda_\omega g\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \lambda \sqrt{B_\Lambda B_{\Lambda^d}} \|f\| \|g\|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\|M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda^d} f - f\| \leq \lambda \sqrt{B_\Lambda B_{\Lambda^d}} \|f\|.$$

Since $\lambda \sqrt{B_\Lambda B_{\Lambda^d}} < 1 = \frac{1}{\|I^{-1}\|}$ and $I - M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda^d} = M_{(1-m),\Lambda,\Lambda^d}$, by [8, Proposition 2.2.], the inequality (2.6) and the equality (2.7) are satisfied.

Also for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|M_{m,\Lambda,\Lambda^d}^{-1} - \sum_{k=0}^n (M_{(1-m),\Lambda,\Lambda^d})^k\| &= \left\| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (M_{(1-m),\Lambda,\Lambda^d})^k \right\| \\
&\leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \|M_{(1-m),\Lambda,\Lambda^d}\|^k \\
&\leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (\lambda \sqrt{B_\Lambda B_{\Lambda^d}})^k \\
&= \frac{(\lambda \sqrt{B_\Lambda B_{\Lambda^d}})^{n+1}}{1 - \lambda \sqrt{B_\Lambda B_{\Lambda^d}}}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.10. *Let $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be a continuous g -frame and $\Theta = \{\Theta_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ be a family of operators such that for each $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\{\Theta_\omega f\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$ is strongly measurable that the inequality (2.3) is satisfied for some $\nu > 0$. If $\nu < A_\Lambda$, then Θ is a continuous g -frame.*

Proof. By inequality (2.3), the family $\Lambda - \Theta = \{\Lambda_\omega - \Theta_\omega \in B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}_\omega) : \omega \in \Omega\}$ is a continuous g -Bessel family and so Θ is a continuous g -Bessel family. For any $f, g \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle M_{1,\tilde{\Lambda},\Theta} f - f, g \rangle| &= |\langle M_{1,\tilde{\Lambda},\Theta} f - M_{1,\tilde{\Lambda},\Lambda} f, g \rangle| \\
&= \left| \int_{\Omega} \langle (\Theta_\omega - \Lambda_\omega) f, \tilde{\Lambda}_\omega g \rangle d\mu(\omega) \right| \\
&\leq \left(\int_{\Omega} \|(\Theta_\omega - \Lambda_\omega) f\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} \|\tilde{\Lambda}_\omega g\|^2 d\mu(\omega) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \sqrt{\nu \frac{1}{A_\Lambda}} \|f\| \|g\|.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|I - M_{1,\tilde{\Lambda},\Theta}\| \leq \sqrt{\nu \frac{1}{A_\Lambda}} < 1.$$

It shows that $M_{1,\tilde{\Lambda},\Theta} \in GL(\mathcal{H})$ and then by the Proposition 2.2 (ii), Θ is a continuous g -frame. □

Acknowledgment:

REFERENCES

- [1] M. R. Abdollahpour and M. H. Faroughi, *Continuous g -Frames in Hilbert spaces*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics **32(1)** (2008).
- [2] M. R. Abdollahpour and Y. Alizadeh, *Multipliers of continuous g -frames in Hilbert spaces*, Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society **43(2)** (2017), 291-305.
- [3] M. R. Abdollahpour and Y. Khedmati *G -duals of continuous g -frames and their perturbations*, preprint.
- [4] S. T. Ali, J.-P. Antoine and J.-P. Gazeau, *Continuous frames in Hilbert space*, Annals of physics **222(1)** (1993), 1-37.
- [5] P. Balazs, *Basic definition and properties of Bessel multipliers*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **325** (2007), 571-585.
- [6] P. Balazs, D. Bayer and A. Rahimi, *Multipliers for continuous frames in Hilbert spaces*, Journal of Physics. A. Mathematical Theoretical **45. 24** (2012), 244023.
- [7] R. J. Duffin and A. C. Schaeffer, *A class of nonharmonic Fourier series*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **72(2)** (1952), 341-366.
- [8] D. T. Stoeva and P. Balazs, *Invertibility of multipliers*, Applied and Computational Harmonic Analysis **33** (2012), 292-299.
- [9] W. Sun, *G -frames and g -Riesz bases*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **322(1)** (2006), 437-452.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 FACULTY OF SCIENCES
 UNIVERSITY OF MOHAGHEGH ARDABILI
 ARDABIL 56199-11367
 IRAN

E-mail address: m.abdollah@uma.ac.ir, mrabdollahpour@yahoo.com

E-mail address: khedmati.y@uma.ac.ir, khedmatiy.y@gmail.com