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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to obtain the notion of crossed modules over group-groupoids considering split extensions; and prove a categorical equivalence between these types of crossed modules and double group-groupoids. This equivalence enables us to produce various examples of double groupoids.
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## 1 Introduction

In this paper we are interested in crossed modules of group-groupoids associated with the split extensions and producing new examples of double groupoids in which the sets of squares, edges and points are group-groupoids.

The idea of crossed module over groups was initially introduced by Whitehead in [23] and [24] during the investigation of the properties of second relative homotopy groups for topological spaces. The categorical equivalence between crossed modules over groups and group-groupoids which are widely called in literature 2-groups [3], $\mathcal{G}$-groupoids or group objects in the category of groupoids [8], was proved by Brown and Spencer in [8, Theorem 1]. Following this equivalence normal and quotient objects in these two categories have been recently compared and associated objects in the category of group-groupoids have been characterized in [17]. This categorical equivalence has also been extended by Porter in [22, Section 3] to a more general algebraic category $\mathbf{C}$ called category of groups with operations whose idea goes back to Higgins [12] and Orzech [19, 20]. This result is used for example in [1] as a tool to extend some results about topological groups to the topological groups with operations.

Double groupoids which can be thought as a groupoid objects in the category of groupoids were introduced by Ehresmann in $[10,11]$ and have been concerned by many mathematicians because of their connections with several branch of mathematics. For example according to [4, Chapter 6], the structure of crossed module is inadequate to give a proof of 2-dimensional Seifert-van-Kampen Theorem and hence one needs the idea of double groupoid. For the purpose of obtaining some examples of double groupoids, Brown and Spencer in [8] proved the categorical equivalence between crossed modules over groups and special double groupoids in the sense that the horizontal and vertical groupoids agree and the set of points is singleton. Then the categorical equivalence of crossed modules over groupoids and double groupoids with thin structures was proved in [4, Chapter 6].)

[^0]In this paper following Porter's methods in [22] we introduce the notion of crossed module over group-groupoids via split extensions of short exact sequences and obtain the double groupoids associated with these crossed modules. Moreover we have a categorical equivalence between these types of crossed modules and double group-groupoids, which enables us to have some varieties of examples for double groupoids.

## 2 Preliminaries

A groupoid is defined to be a small category in which every arrow has an inverse [2]. More precisely by a groupoid $G$ on $G_{0}$ we mean a set $G_{0}$ of objects, a set $G$ of arrows, together with initial and final point maps $d_{0}, d_{1}: G \rightarrow G_{0}$ and object inclusion map $\varepsilon: G_{0} \rightarrow G$ such that $d_{0} \varepsilon=d_{1} \varepsilon=1_{G_{0}}$. Moreover there is a associative partial composition among the arrows with the property that if $a, b \in$ $G$ provided $d_{1}(a)=d_{0}(b)$, then the composite $b \circ a$ exists such that $d_{0}(b \circ a)=d_{0}(a)$ and $d_{1}(b \circ a)=d_{1}(b)$. For $x \in G_{0}$ the element $\varepsilon(x)$ denoted by $1_{x}$ acts as the identity and each arrow $a$ has an inverse $a^{-1}$ such that $d_{0}\left(a^{-1}\right)=d_{1}(a), d_{1}\left(a^{-1}\right)=d_{0}(a), a \circ a^{-1}=\left(\varepsilon d_{1}\right)(a)$ and $a^{-1} \circ a=\left(\varepsilon d_{0}\right)(a)$. We denote such a groupoid only by $G$. A groupoid $G$ with only identity arrows is said to be discrete groupoid.

In a groupoid $G$ the set $d_{0}^{-1}(x)$ for an object $x$ in $G_{0}$ is called the star of $G$ at $x$ and denoted by $\mathrm{St}_{G} x$. Similarly the set $d_{1}^{-1}(x)$ is called the costar of $G$ at $x$ and denoted by $\operatorname{Cost}_{G} x$.

A group-groupoid $G$ is an internal groupoid in the category of groups, i.e., $G$ and $G_{0}$ are groups with the property that the initial and final point maps, object inclusion map, the inversion and partial composition are group morphisms. An alternative name used in literature for a group-groupoid is 2-group [3]. In a group-groupoid the group operation is written additively while the composition in the groupoid by ' $o$ ' as above. Recently, group-groupoid aspect of the monodromy groupoid was developed in [14].

We recall that a crossed module over groups originally defined by Whitehead [23,24], consists of two groups $A$ and $B$, an action of $B$ on $A$ denoted by $b \cdot a$ for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$; and a morphism $\partial: A \rightarrow B$ of groups such that $\partial(b \cdot a)=b+\partial(a)-b$ and $\partial(a) \cdot a_{1}=a+a_{1}-a$ for all $a, a_{1} \in A$ and $b \in B$. We denote such a crossed module by $(A, B, \partial)$. A morphism $\left(f_{1}, f_{2}\right)$ from $(A, B, \partial)$ to $\left(A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, \partial^{\prime}\right)$ is a pair of morphisms of groups $f_{1}: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ and $f_{2}: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ such that $f_{2} \partial=\partial^{\prime} f_{1}$ and $f_{1}(b \cdot a)=f_{2}(b) \cdot f_{1}(a)$ for $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.

A double groupoid denoted by $\mathcal{G}=(S, H, V, P)$ has the sets $S, H, V$ and $P$ of squares, horizontal edges, vertical edges and points respectively. The set $S$ of the squares has groupoid structures on $H$ on $V$ which are also groupoids on $P$ and the groupoid structures are compatible with each other. In a double groupoid a square $u$ has bounding edges as follows

and the horizontal and vertical compositions of the squares are denoted by $v \circ_{h} u$ and $v \circ_{v} u$.
In particularly if the horizontal and vertical groupoids coincide, then it is said to be a special double groupoid. According to Brown and Spencer [8] crossed modules over groups and special double groupoids where the set of points is singleton are categorically equivalent. By the detail of the proof for given a crossed module $\partial: A \rightarrow B$ there is a special double groupoid $\mathcal{G}$ in which the set $S$ of squares consists of the elements

$$
\left(\alpha ;\left(\begin{array}{lll}
a & c & d \\
& b &
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

for $a, b, c, d \in B$ with $\partial(\alpha)=b^{-1} a^{-1} c d$.

The horizontal and vertical compositions of squares are respectively defined to be

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
(\beta ; c
\end{array} \begin{array}{ll}
f & g
\end{array}\right) \circ_{h}\left(\alpha ; a \begin{array}{ll}
b & c
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha \beta^{d^{-1}} ; & a & b f \\
d h
\end{array}\right) .
$$

See [4, Chapter 6] for more discussions on double groupoids in which horizontal and vertical edges are same.

## 3 Extensions and crossed modules of group-groupoids

The idea of groups with operations goes back to [12] and [19] (see also [20]) and it is adapted in [22] and [9, p.21] as follows:

A category $C$ of groups with a set of operations $\Omega$ and with a set $E$ of identities such that $E$ includes the group laws, and the following conditions hold for the set $\Omega_{i}$ of $i$-ary operations in $\Omega$ is said to be a category of groups with operations:
(a) $\Omega=\Omega_{0} \cup \Omega_{1} \cup \Omega_{2}$;
(b) The group operations written additively $0,-$ and + are the elements of $\Omega_{0}, \Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$ respectively. Let $\Omega_{2}^{\prime}=\Omega_{2} \backslash\{+\}, \Omega_{1}^{\prime}=\Omega_{1} \backslash\{-\}$ and assume that if $\star \in \Omega_{2}^{\prime}$, then $\star^{\circ}$ defined by $a \star^{\circ} b=b \star a$ is also in $\Omega_{2}^{\prime}$. Also assume that $\Omega_{0}=\{0\}$;
(c) For each $\star \in \Omega_{2}^{\prime}$, E includes the identity $a \star(b+c)=a \star b+a \star c$;
(d) For each $\omega \in \Omega_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\star \in \Omega_{2}^{\prime}$, E includes the identities $\omega(a+b)=\omega(a)+\omega(b)$ and $\omega(a) \star b=$ $\omega(a \star b)$.

Topological version of this definition was given in [15] and monodromy groupoids of internal groupoids within topological groups with operations were investigated in [18].

According to [22] for groups with operations $A$ and $B$ an extension of $A$ by $B$ is an exact sequence

$$
\mathbf{0} \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\imath} E \xrightarrow{p} B \longrightarrow \mathbf{0}
$$

in which $p$ is surjective and $\imath$ is the kernel of $p$. It is split if there exists a morphism $s: B \rightarrow E$ such that $p s=1_{B}$. For given such a split extension an action of $B$ on $A$ called derived action which is due to Orzech [19, p.293] is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
b \cdot a & =s(b)+a-s(b) \\
b \star a & =s(b) \star a . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

for $b \in B, a \in A$ and $\star \in \Omega_{2}^{\prime}$.
In the rest of this section applying the methods of [22] to the group-groupoids we obtain the notion of crossed modules for them: Let $G$ and $H$ be two group-groupoids. We define an extension of $H$ by $G$ to be a short exact sequence of group-groupoids

$$
\mathcal{E}: \quad \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\iota} K \xrightarrow{p} H \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}
$$

where 1 represents a singleton group-groupoid. Hence $G=\operatorname{Ker} p$ and $p$ is an epimorphism; and therefore $G$ can be considered as a normal subgroup-groupoid of $K$. For given such an extension we have the group extensions

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{E}_{1}: & 0 \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\iota_{1}} K \xrightarrow{p_{1}} H \longrightarrow G_{0} \xrightarrow{\iota_{0}} K_{0} \xrightarrow{p_{0}} H \longrightarrow 0 \\
\mathcal{E}_{0}: & 0 \longrightarrow
\end{array}
$$

along with the morphisms of them


Hence a group-groupoid extension $\mathcal{E}$ can be thought as an internal groupoid in the category of group extensions.

Replacing groups with operations in [22] with group-groupoids we define an extension to be split if there exists a morphism $s: H \rightarrow K$ of group-groupoids such that $p s=1_{H}$

$$
\mathcal{E}: \quad \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\iota} K \stackrel{\stackrel{s}{p}}{\stackrel{\text { s. }}{\longrightarrow}} H \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}
$$

In this case both extensions of groups $\mathcal{E}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{0}$ above become split.
We now obtain semidirect product of group-groupoids as follows: Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a split extension of $H$ by $G$. Then the functor $\theta: G \times H \rightarrow K$ defined by $\theta(a, b)=a+s(b)$ on arrows has an inverse $\theta^{-1}(c)=(c-s p(c), p(c))$ for $c \in K$; and $G \times H$ is a group-groupoid with the group addition defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(a, b)+\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) & =\theta^{-1}\left(\theta\left((a, b)+\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)\right)\right) \\
& =\theta^{-1}\left(\theta(a, b)+\theta\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\theta^{-1}\left(a+s(b)+a_{1}+s\left(b_{1}\right)\right) \\
& =\left(a+\left(s(b)+a_{1}-s(b)\right), b+b_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $a, a_{1} \in G$ and $b, b_{1} \in H$. Moreover $\theta$ is an isomorphism of group-groupoids. Hence $G \times H$ becomes a group-groupoid inherited by $H$. We call the group-groupoid $G \times H$ obtained semidirect product of group-groupoids $G$ and $H$ and denote by $G \rtimes H$. So we have a split extension
associated with $G \rtimes H$.
Lemma 3.1. For a split extension $\mathcal{E}$ of $H$ by $G$, the group $H$ acts on the group $G$.
Proof: The action of $H$ on $G$ is defined by

$$
b \cdot a=s(b)+a-s(b)
$$

for $a \in G, b \in H$.
We call such an action derived action of group-groupoids.
Remark 3.2. If there exists a derived action of $H$ on $G$, then for $a, a_{1} \in G$ and $b, b_{1} \in H$ the following hold.

1. $d_{0}(b \cdot a)=d_{0}(b) \cdot d_{0}(a) ;$
2. $d_{1}(b \cdot a)=d_{1}(b) \cdot d_{1}(a)$;
3. $1_{y} \cdot 1_{x}=1_{y \cdot x}$ for $x \in G_{0}$ and $y \in H_{0}$;
4. $(b \cdot a)^{-1}=b^{-1} \cdot a^{-1}$;
5. $\left(b \circ b_{1}\right) \cdot\left(a \circ a_{1}\right)=(b \cdot a) \circ\left(b_{1} \cdot a_{1}\right)$ whenever one side makes sense.

Lemma 3.3. For group-groupoids $G$ and $H$, if the group $H$ acts on $G$, then $H_{0}$ also acts on $G_{0}$ by an action

$$
y \cdot x=d_{0}(\varepsilon(y \cdot x))=d_{0}(\varepsilon(y) \cdot \varepsilon(x))
$$

for all $y \in H_{0}$ and $x \in G_{0}$.
Proof. It is a consequence of Remark 3.2 (3).
Hence we can define group-groupoid action as follows:
Definition 3.4. Let $G$ and $H$ be two group-groupoids. If there is a group action of $H$ on $G$, then we say that $H$ acts on $G$.

Lemma 3.5. An action of $H$ on $G$ is a derived action if and only if $G \rtimes H$ is a group-groupoid with the group addition given by

$$
(a, b)+\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)=\left(a+b \cdot a_{1}, b+b_{1}\right)
$$

for all $a, a_{1} \in G, b, b_{1} \in H$.
As an assessment of Remark 3.2 (5) the proof of the following lemma is immediate.
Lemma 3.6. For a derived action of $H$ on $G$, the following hold:

1. $\operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{H}$ acts on $\operatorname{Ker} d_{1}^{G}$ by $b \cdot a_{1}=a_{1}$ for $a_{1} \in \operatorname{Ker} d_{1}^{G}$ and $b \in \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{H}$.
2. $\operatorname{Ker} d_{1}^{H}$ acts on $\operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{G}$ by $b_{1} \cdot a=a$ for $a \in \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{G}$ and $b_{1} \in \operatorname{Ker} d_{1}^{H}$.
3. $b \cdot a=\varepsilon^{H} d_{1}^{H}(b) \cdot a$ and $b \cdot a=b \cdot \varepsilon^{G} d_{1}^{G}(a)-\varepsilon^{G} d_{1}^{G}(a)+a$.

Example 3.7. For any group-groupoid $G$, the conjugation action of $G$ on itself given by $a \cdot a_{1}=$ $a+a_{1}-a$ for all $a, a_{1} \in G$ is a derived action. Hence there is a split extension of $G$ by $G$

$$
\mathcal{E}_{G}: \quad \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\iota} G \rtimes G \stackrel{s}{p} G \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}
$$

associated with the conjugation action, where $\iota(a)=(a, 0), p\left(a, a_{1}\right)=a_{1}, s(a)=(0, a)$ for all $a, a_{1} \in G$.
Definition 3.8. Let

$$
\mathcal{E}: \quad \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{\iota} K \stackrel{s}{p} H \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}: \quad \mathbf{1} \longrightarrow G^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\iota} K^{\prime} \underset{p}{\stackrel{s}{\longrightarrow}} H^{\prime} \longrightarrow \mathbf{1}
$$

be two split extensions of group-groupoids. We define a morphism $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma): \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^{\prime}$ of split extensions to be consisting of morphisms $\alpha: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}, \beta: K \rightarrow K^{\prime}$ and $\gamma: H \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ such that the following diagram commutes.


Remark 3.9. If there is a derived action of $H$ on $G$, then the morphism $\left(1_{G}, \theta, 1_{H}\right): \mathcal{E}_{G \rtimes H} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ of split extensions denoted below is an isomorphism of split extensions.


Following the idea in [22] we now define crossed module of group-groupoids as follows:
Definition 3.10. Let $G$ and $H$ be two group-groupoids with an action of $H$ on $G$. We call a morphism $\partial: G \rightarrow H$ of group-groupoids crossed module of them whenever

$$
\left(1_{G}, 1_{G} \times \partial, \partial\right): \mathcal{E}_{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{G \rtimes H} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\partial, \partial \times 1_{H}, 1_{H}\right): \mathcal{E}_{G \rtimes H} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{H}
$$

denoted below are morphisms of split extensions.


We write $(G, H, \partial)$ for such a crossed module. By the assessment of the above morphisms of split extensions we can state the crossed module over group-groupoids as follows:

Proposition 3.11. Let $\partial: G \rightarrow H$ be a morphism of group-groupoids such that $H$ acts on $G$. Then $(G, H, \partial)$ is a crossed module over group-groupoids if and only if $\left(G, H, \partial_{1}\right)$ is a crossed module over groups.

We should point out that in a crossed module $(G, H, \partial)$ over group-groupoids, $\partial_{0}: G_{0} \rightarrow H_{0}$ is also a crossed module of groups.

Lemma 3.12. Let $(G, H, \partial)$ be a crossed module over group-groupoids. Then $H_{0}$ acts on $G$ by

$$
y \cdot a=\varepsilon^{H}(y) \cdot a=1_{y} \cdot a
$$

for $a \in G, y \in H_{0}$ and $H$ acts on $G_{0}$ by

$$
b \cdot x=d_{1}^{H}(b) \cdot x
$$

for all $b \in H, x \in G_{0}$.
Proof. These can be seen by easy calculations. So proof is omitted.
We now give the following examples of crossed modules for group-groupoids:
Example 3.13. Let $G$ be a group-groupoid and $N$ a normal subgroup-groupoid of $G$ in the sense of [17], i.e., $H$ is a normal subgroup of $N$ and hence $N_{0}$ is a subgroup of $G_{0}$. Then ( $N, G$, inc) is a crossed module over group-groupoids where inc: $N \hookrightarrow G$ is the inclusion functor and the action of $G$ on $N$ is conjugation. In particularly $\left(G, G, 1_{G}\right)$ and $(1, G, 0)$ are crossed modules over group-groupoids.

Example 3.14. Let $(A, B, \partial)$ be a crossed module over groups. Then $A \rtimes A$ and $B \rtimes B$ are groupgroupoids respectively on $A$ and $B$; and then $(A \rtimes A, B \rtimes B, \alpha \times \alpha)$ becomes a crossed module over group-groupoids.

To give a geometric example of crossed modules over group-groupoids we first recall that by a topological crossed module we a mean a crossed module $(A, B, \partial)$ in which $A$ and $B$ are topological groups, the action of $B$ on $A$ is continuous and $\partial$ is a continuous morphism of topological groups.

Example 3.15. It is known from [7] that if $X$ is a topological group, then the fundamental groupoid $\pi X$ is a group-groupoid. Therefore if $(A, B, \partial)$ is a topological crossed module, then $(\pi A, \pi B, \pi(\partial))$ becomes a crossed module of group-groupoids.

Example 3.16. A group can be thought as a discrete group-groupoid in which the arrows are only identities. Hence every crossed module over groups $(A, B, \partial)$ can be considered as a crossed module over group-groupoids.

Example 3.17. For a group $A$, the direct product $G=A \times A$ becomes a group-groupoid on $A$ with $d_{0}(a, b)=a, d_{1}(a, b)=b, \varepsilon(a)=(a, a), n(a, b)=(b, a)$ and $(b, c) \circ(a, b)=(a, c)$. Hence a crossed module over groups $(A, B, \partial)$ gives rise to a crossed module over group-groupoids replacing $A$ and $B$ with the associated group-groupoids.

A morphism $(f, g):(G, H, \partial) \rightarrow\left(G^{\prime}, H^{\prime}, \partial^{\prime}\right)$ between crossed modules over group-groupoids is defined to be a pair of group-groupoid morphisms $f: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ and $g: H \rightarrow H^{\prime}$ with the property that $(f, g):(G, H, \partial) \rightarrow\left(G^{\prime}, H^{\prime}, \partial^{\prime}\right)$ is a morphisms of crossed modules over groups. We write $\mathbf{X M o d}(\mathbf{G p G d})$ for the category of crossed modules over group-groupoids and morphisms between them to be arrows.

## 4 Crossed modules and double group-groupoids

In this section we define a double group-groupoid to be an internal groupoid in the category of group-groupoids; and then prove that these are categorically equivalent to associated crossed modules.

If $G$ is an internal groupoid in the category of group-groupoids, then the following structural groupoid maps are morphisms of group-groupoids provided $G_{0}=H$


Here we have four different but compatible group-groupoid structures $\left(G, G_{0}\right),\left(H, H_{0}\right),(G, H)$ and $\left(G_{0}, H_{0}\right)$.


Hence we define a double group-groupoid to be consisting of four different, but compatible, groupgroupoids $(S, H),(S, V),(H, P)$ and $(V, P)$ such that the following diagram of group-groupoids commutes


Horizontal and vertical compositions together with group operations have the following interchange laws:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\beta \circ_{v} \alpha\right) \circ_{h}\left(\beta_{1} \circ_{v} \alpha_{1}\right) & =\left(\beta \circ_{h} \beta_{1}\right) \circ_{v}\left(\alpha \circ_{h} \alpha_{1}\right) \\
\left(\beta \circ_{v} \alpha\right)+\left(\beta_{1} \circ_{v} \alpha_{1}\right) & =\left(\beta+\beta_{1}\right) \circ_{v}\left(\alpha+\alpha_{1}\right)  \tag{4.1}\\
\left(\beta \circ_{h} \alpha\right)+\left(\beta_{1} \circ_{h} \alpha_{1}\right) & =\left(\beta+\beta_{1}\right) \circ_{h}\left(\alpha+\alpha_{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

whenever one side of the equations make sense.
We can now give the following examples of double group-groupoids:
Example 4.1. If $G$ is a group-groupoid, then $\mathcal{G}=\left(G, G, G_{0}, G_{0}\right)$ is a double group-groupoid with the trivial structural maps


Example 4.2. Let $(A, B, \partial)$ be a topological crossed module. Then $\pi(A, B, \partial)=(\pi(A \rtimes B), \pi(B), A \rtimes$ $B, B)$ becomes a double group-groupoid


Example 4.3. Let $(G, H, \partial)$ be a crossed module over group-groupoids. Then we have a double group-groupoid as follows

where $d_{0}^{h}(a, b)=b, d_{1}^{h}(a, b)=\partial_{1}(a)+b, \varepsilon^{h}(b)=(0, b), m^{h}\left(\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right),(a, b)\right)=\left(a_{1}+a, b\right)$ for $b_{1}=\partial_{1}(a)+b$ and $d_{0}^{V}(x, y)=y, d_{1}^{V}(x, y)=\partial_{0}(x)+y, \varepsilon^{V}(y)=(0, y), m^{V}\left(\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),(x, y)\right)=\left(x_{1}+x, y\right)$ for $y_{1}=$ $\partial_{0}(x)+y$. A square $(a, b)$ in $G \rtimes H$ is

for $a \in G\left(x, x_{1}\right)$ and $b \in H\left(y, y_{1}\right)$. If $(a, b),\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right)$ and $\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ are squares in $G \rtimes H$ with $a \in G\left(x, x_{1}\right)$, $a_{1} \in G\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), a^{\prime} \in G\left(x^{\prime}, x_{1}^{\prime}\right), b \in H\left(y, y_{1}\right), b_{1} \in H\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)$ and $b^{\prime}=\partial_{1}(a)+b$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \circ_{h}(a, b) & =\left(a_{1} \circ a, b_{1} \circ b\right), \\
\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right) \circ_{v}(a, b) & =\left(a^{\prime}+a, b\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the following proposition, the items (1)-(4) are obtained from the fact that $\left(d_{0}^{h}, d_{0}^{V}\right),\left(d_{1}^{h}, d_{1}^{V}\right),\left(\varepsilon^{h}\right.$, $\left.\varepsilon^{V}\right),\left(m^{h}, m^{V}\right)$ and $\left(n^{h}, n^{V}\right)$ are functors and the item (5) is obtained from the fact that $((S, V),(H, P))$ has an internal groupoid structure within groups.

Proposition 4.4. Let $\mathcal{G}=(S, H, V, P)$ be a double group-groupoid. Then for $i, j \in\{0,1\}$ the following are satisfied:

1. $d_{i}^{H} d_{j}^{h}=d_{j}^{V} d_{i}^{v}, \varepsilon^{H} d_{i}^{V}=d_{i}^{h} \varepsilon^{v}$ and $d_{i}^{h} m^{v}=m^{H}\left(d_{i}^{h} \times d_{i}^{h}\right)$,
2. $d_{i}^{v} \varepsilon^{h}=\varepsilon^{V} d_{i}^{H}, \varepsilon^{v} \varepsilon^{V}=\varepsilon^{h} \varepsilon^{H}$ and $m^{v}\left(\varepsilon^{h} \times \varepsilon^{h}\right)=\varepsilon^{h} m^{H}$,
3. $d_{i}^{v} m^{h}=m^{V}\left(d_{i}^{v} \times d_{i}^{v}\right), m^{h}\left(\varepsilon^{v} \times \varepsilon^{v}\right)=\varepsilon^{v} m^{V}$ and $m^{v}\left(m^{h} \times m^{h}\right)=m^{h}\left(m^{v} \times m^{v}\right)$,
4. $d_{i}^{v} n^{v}=n^{V} d_{i}^{v}, \varepsilon^{v} n^{V}=n^{v} \varepsilon^{v}$ and $m^{v}\left(n^{v} \times n^{v}\right)=n^{v} m^{v}$,
5. $d_{i}^{h} \varepsilon^{h}=1_{S}, d_{i}^{V} \varepsilon^{V}=1_{V}, d_{i}^{h} m^{h}=d_{i}^{h} \pi_{(2-i)}, d_{i}^{V} m^{V}=d_{i}^{V} \pi_{(2-i)}, m^{h}\left(1_{S} \times m^{h}\right)=m^{h}\left(m^{h} \times\right.$ $\left.1_{S}\right), m^{V}\left(1_{V} \times m^{V}\right)=m^{V}\left(m^{V} \times 1_{V}\right), m^{h}\left(\varepsilon^{h} d_{0}^{h}, 1_{S}\right)=m^{h}\left(1_{S}, \varepsilon^{h} d_{1}^{h}\right)=1_{S}, m^{V}\left(\varepsilon^{V} d_{0}^{V}, 1_{V}\right)=$ $m^{V}\left(1_{V}, \varepsilon^{V} d_{1}^{V}\right)=1_{V}, m^{h}\left(1_{S}, n^{h}\right)=\varepsilon^{h} d_{0}^{h}, m^{V}\left(1_{V}, n^{V}\right)=\varepsilon^{V} d_{0}^{V}$ and $m^{h}\left(n^{h}, 1_{S}\right)=\varepsilon^{h} d_{1}^{h}, m^{V}\left(n^{V}, 1_{V}\right)=$ $\varepsilon^{V} d_{1}^{V}$.

Let $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ be two double group-groupoids. A morphism form $\mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ is a double groupoid morphism $\mathcal{F}=\left(f_{s}, f_{h}, f_{v}, f_{p}\right): \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}^{\prime}$ such that $f_{s}: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}, f_{h}: H \rightarrow H^{\prime}, f_{v}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ and $f_{p}: P \rightarrow$ $P^{\prime}$ are group homomorphisms. Such a morphism of double group-groupoids may be denoted by a diagram as follows:


We write $\mathbf{D b G p G d}$ for the category with objects double groupoids and morphisms as arrows.
Lemma 4.5. In a double group-groupoid, as a consequence of interchange laws (Eq. 4.1), the vertical and horizontal compositions of squares can be written in terms of the group operations as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1} \circ_{h} \beta=\beta_{1}-\varepsilon^{h} d_{1}^{h}(\beta)+\beta=\beta-\varepsilon^{h} d_{1}^{h}(\beta)+\beta_{1}, \\
& \alpha_{1} \circ_{v} \alpha=\alpha_{1}-\varepsilon^{v} d_{1}^{v}(\alpha)+\alpha=\alpha-\varepsilon^{v} d_{1}^{v}(\alpha)+\alpha_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \beta, \beta_{1} \in G$ such that $d_{1}^{v}(\alpha)=d_{0}^{v}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $d_{1}^{h}(\beta)=d_{0}^{h}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$.
Thus the horizontal inverse of $\beta \in S$ is

$$
\beta^{-h}=\varepsilon^{h} d_{0}^{h}(\beta)-\beta+\varepsilon^{h} d_{1}^{h}(\beta)=\varepsilon^{h} d_{1}^{h}(\beta)-\beta+\varepsilon^{h} d_{0}^{h}(\beta)
$$

and the vertical inverse of $\alpha \in S$ is

$$
\alpha^{-v}=\varepsilon^{v} d_{0}^{v}(\alpha)-\alpha+\varepsilon^{v} d_{1}^{v}(\alpha)=\varepsilon^{v} d_{1}^{v}(\alpha)-\alpha+\varepsilon^{v} d_{0}^{v}(\alpha) .
$$

In particular, if $\alpha \in \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{v}$ and $\beta \in \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{h}$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta^{-h}=-\beta+\varepsilon^{h} d_{1}^{h}(\beta)=\varepsilon^{h} d_{1}^{h}(\beta)-\beta \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{-v}=-\alpha+\varepsilon^{v} d_{1}^{v}(\alpha)=\varepsilon^{v} d_{1}^{v}(\alpha)-\alpha . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Lemma 4.5 if we take $\beta$ with $d_{1}^{h}(\beta)=0$ and $\alpha$ with $d_{1}^{v}(\alpha)=0$ then we obtain the following result.

Corollary 4.6. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a double group-groupoid and $\alpha, \alpha_{1}, \beta, \beta_{1} \in S$ with $d_{1}^{v}(\alpha)=0=d_{0}^{v}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $d_{1}^{h}(\beta)=0=d_{0}^{h}\left(\beta_{1}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \beta_{1} \circ_{h} \beta=\beta_{1}+\beta=\beta+\beta_{1}, \\
& \alpha_{1} \circ_{v} \alpha=\alpha_{1}+\alpha=\alpha+\alpha_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

i.e. squares in $\operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{v}\left(\operatorname{resp} . \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{h}\right)$ and in $\operatorname{Ker} d_{1}^{v}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{Ker} d_{1}^{h}\right)$ are commutative.

Following corollary is a consequence of Equations (4.2),(4.3) and Corollary 4.6.
Corollary 4.7. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be a double group-groupoid. Then

$$
\alpha+\alpha_{1}-\alpha=\varepsilon^{v} d_{1}^{v}(\alpha)+\alpha_{1}-\varepsilon^{v} d_{1}^{v}(\alpha)
$$

and

$$
\beta+\beta_{1}-\beta=\varepsilon^{h} d_{1}^{h}(\beta)+\beta_{1}-\varepsilon^{h} d_{1}^{h}(\beta)
$$

for all $\alpha, \alpha_{1} \in \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{v}$ and $\beta, \beta_{1} \in \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{h}$.
Theorem 4.8. The category $\mathbf{X M o d}(\mathbf{G p G d})$ of crossed modules over group-groupoids is equivalent to the category DbGpGd of double group-groupoids.

Proof. Example 4.3 gives rise to a functor $\theta: \mathbf{X M o d}(\mathbf{G p G d}) \rightarrow \mathbf{D b G p G d}$ which associates a crossed module over group-groupoids with a double groupoid.

Conversely for a double group-groupoid $\mathcal{G}=(S, H, V, P)$, we define a crossed module $(K, H, \partial)$ associated with $\mathcal{G}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K=\left(\operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{h}, \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{V}, d_{0}^{v}, d_{1}^{v}, \varepsilon^{v}, m^{v}, n^{v}\right), \\
& H=\left(H, P, d_{0}^{H}, d_{1}^{H}, \varepsilon^{H}, m^{H}, n^{H}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\partial=\left(\partial_{1}=d_{1}^{h}, \partial_{0}=d_{1}^{V}\right)$. Such a crossed module can be visualized as in the following diagram


Here the action of $H$ on $\operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{h}$ is given by

$$
b \cdot a=\varepsilon^{h}(b)+a-\varepsilon^{h}(b)
$$

for $b \in H$ and $a \in \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{h}$. Hence we a functor $\gamma: \mathbf{D b G p G d} \rightarrow \mathbf{X M o d}(\mathbf{G p G d})$
We now show that these functors are equivalences of categories. In order to define a natural equivalence $S: \theta \gamma \Rightarrow 1_{\text {DbGpGd }}$, for an object $\mathcal{G}$ in DbGpGd a morphism $S_{\mathcal{G}}=\left(f_{s}, 1, f_{v}, 1\right): \theta \gamma(\mathcal{G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is defined by $f_{v}(a, x)=a+\varepsilon^{V}(x)$ and $f_{s}(\alpha, b)=\alpha-\varepsilon^{h}(b)$ for $(a, x) \in V \rtimes P$ and $(\alpha, b) \in S \rtimes H$.

Conversely, a natural equivalence $T: 1_{\mathbf{X M o d}(\mathbf{G p G d})} \Rightarrow \gamma \theta$ can be defined such a way that for a crossed module $\mathcal{C}=(G, H, \partial)$, the morphism $T_{\mathcal{C}}=(f, g): \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \gamma \theta(\mathcal{C})$ is given by $f_{1}(a)=(0, a)$ for $a \in G$ and $g$ is the identity.

Other details are straightforward and so are omitted.

## 5 Crossed modules and crossed squares

In this section we prove that the category $\mathbf{X} \operatorname{Mod}(\mathbf{G p G d})$ of crossed modules over group-groupoids and the category $\mathbf{X}^{2} \mathbf{M o d}(\mathbf{G p})$ of crossed squares over groups are equivalent.

We now recall the definition of crossed squares from [5]. Further we will prove that the category $\mathbf{X M o d}(\mathbf{G p G d})$ of crossed modules over group-groupoids and the category $\mathbf{X}^{2} \mathbf{M o d}(\mathbf{G p})$ of crossed squares over groups are equivalent.

Definition 5.1. A crossed square over groups

consists of four morphisms of groups $\lambda: L \rightarrow M, \lambda^{\prime}: L \rightarrow N, \mu: M \rightarrow P$ and $\nu: N \rightarrow P$, such that $\nu \lambda^{\prime}=\mu \lambda$ together with actions of the group $P$ on $L, M, N$ on the left, conventionally, (and hence actions of $M$ on $L$ and $N$ via $\mu$ and of $N$ on $L$ and $M$ via $\nu$ ) and a function $h: M \times N \rightarrow L$. These are subject to the following axioms:
[CS 1] $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}$ are $P$-equivariant and $\mu, \nu$ and $\kappa=\mu \lambda$ are crossed modules,
[CS 2] $\lambda h(m, n)=m+n \cdot(-m), \lambda^{\prime} h(m, n)=m \cdot n-n$,
[CS 3] $h(\lambda(l), n)=l+n \cdot(-l), h\left(m, \lambda^{\prime}(l)\right)=m \cdot l-l$,
[CS 4] $h\left(m+m^{\prime}, n\right)=m \cdot h\left(m^{\prime}, n\right)+h(m, n), h\left(m, n+n^{\prime}\right)=h(m, n)+n \cdot h\left(m, n^{\prime}\right)$,
[CS 5] $h(p \cdot m, p \cdot n)=p \cdot h(m, n)$ and
for all $l \in L, m, m^{\prime} \in M, n, n^{\prime} \in N$ and $p \in P$.
Norrie [21] mentioned that a normal subcrossed module denotes a crossed square as a normal subgroup denotes a crossed module. With this idea a normal subcrossed square will be in form a crossed 3-cube, and so on.

Example 5.2. [21] Let $(A, B, \partial)$ be crossed module and $(S, T, \sigma)$ a normal subcrossed module of $(A, B, \partial)$. Then

forms a crossed square of groups where the action of $B$ on $S$ is induced action from the action of $B$ on $A$ and the action of $B$ on $T$ is conjugation. The h map is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
h: T \times A & \rightarrow S \\
(t, a) & \mapsto h(t, a)=t \cdot a-a
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \in T$ and $a \in A$.
A geometric example of crossed squares is the fundamental crossed square which is defined in [13] as follows: Suppose given a commutative square of spaces


Let $F(f)$ be the homotopy fibre of $f$ and $F(X)$ the homotopy fibre of $F(g) \rightarrow F(a)$. Then the commutative square of groups

associated to $\boldsymbol{X}$ is naturally equipped with a structure of crossed square. $\Pi \boldsymbol{X}$ is called the fundamental crossed square of $\boldsymbol{X}$ [5].
Example 5.3. Let $(A, B, \alpha)$ be a topological crossed module. Then we know that $\left(\pi A, A, d_{0}^{A}, d_{1}^{A}, \varepsilon^{A}, m^{A}\right)$ and $\left(\pi B, B, d_{0}^{B}, d_{1}^{B}, \varepsilon^{B}, m^{B}\right)$ are fundamental group-groupoids. Then

has a crossed square structure where $h([\beta], a)=[\beta \cdot a-a]$ for all $[\beta] \in \operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{B}$ and $a \in A$. Here the path $(\beta \cdot a-a):[0,1] \rightarrow A$ is given by $(\beta \cdot a-a)(r)=\beta(r) \cdot a-a$ for all $r \in[0,1]$.

A morphism of crossed squares from $\mathcal{X}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{X}_{2}$ consists of four group homomorphisms $f_{L}: L_{1} \rightarrow$ $L_{2}, f_{M}: M_{1} \rightarrow M_{2}, f_{N}: N_{1} \rightarrow N_{2}$ and $f_{P}: P_{1} \rightarrow P_{2}$ which are compatible with the actions and the functions $H$ and $h_{2}$.


Category of crossed squares over groups with morphisms between crossed squares defined above is denoted by $\mathbf{X}^{2} \operatorname{Mod}(\mathbf{G p})$. Crossed squares are equivalent to the crossed modules over crossed modules.
Theorem 5.4. The category $\mathbf{X M o d}(\mathbf{G p G d})$ of crossed modules over group-groupoids is equivalent to the category $\mathbf{X}^{2} \operatorname{Mod}(\mathbf{G p})$ of crossed squares over groups.
Proof. Define a functor $\delta: \mathbf{X M o d}(\mathbf{G p G d}) \rightarrow \mathbf{X}^{2} \operatorname{Mod}(\mathbf{G p})$ as in the following way: Let $(G, H, \partial)$ be a crossed module over group-groupoids. If we set $L=\operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{G}, M=\operatorname{Ker} d_{0}^{H}, N=G_{0}, P=H_{0}, \lambda=\partial_{1}$, $\lambda^{\prime}=d_{1}^{G}, \mu=d_{1}^{H}$ and $\nu=\partial_{0}$ then

is a crossed square. Here the action of $P$ on $N$ is already given and the action of $M$ on $L$ is induced action. Actions of $P$ on $M$ and on $L$ are given by

$$
p \cdot m=\varepsilon^{H}(p)+m-\varepsilon^{H}(p) \quad \text { and } \quad p \cdot l=\varepsilon^{H}(p) \cdot l
$$

respectively, for $p \in P, m \in M$ and $l \in L$. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
h: M \times N & \rightarrow L \\
(m, n) & \mapsto h(m, n)=m \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)-\varepsilon^{G}(n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we will verify that $\mathcal{X}$ satisfies the conditions [CS1]-[CS6] of Definition 5.1. Let $l \in L, m, m^{\prime} \in M$, $n, n^{\prime} \in N$ and $p \in P$. Then
[CS 1] It is easy to see that $\mu, \nu$ and $\kappa=\mu \lambda$ are crossed modules. Now we will show that $\lambda$ and $\lambda^{\prime}$ are $P$-equivariant. Let $p \in P$ and $l \in L$. Then

$$
\lambda(p \cdot l)=\partial_{1}\left(\varepsilon^{H}(p) \cdot l\right)=\varepsilon^{H}(p)+\partial_{1}(l)-\varepsilon^{H}(p)=p \cdot \lambda(l)
$$

and

$$
\lambda^{\prime}(p \cdot l)=d_{1}^{G}\left(\varepsilon^{H}(p) \cdot l\right)=d_{1}^{H} \varepsilon^{H}(p) \cdot d_{1}^{G}(l)=p \cdot \lambda^{\prime}(l)
$$

[CS 2] Let $m \in M$ and $n \in N$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda h(m, n) & =\partial_{1}\left(m \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)-\varepsilon^{G}(n)\right) \\
& =\partial_{1}\left(m \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)\right)-\partial_{1}\left(\varepsilon^{G}(n)\right) \\
& =m+\partial_{1}\left(\varepsilon^{G}(n)\right)-m-\partial_{1}\left(\varepsilon^{G}(n)\right) \\
& =m+n \cdot(-m)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\prime} h(m, n) & =d_{1}^{G}\left(m \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)-\varepsilon^{G}(n)\right) \\
& =d_{1}^{G}\left(m \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)\right)-d_{1}^{G}\left(\varepsilon^{G}(n)\right) \\
& =d_{1}^{H}(m) \cdot d_{1}^{G}\left(\varepsilon^{G}(n)\right)-d_{1}^{G}\left(\varepsilon^{G}(n)\right) \\
& =d_{1}^{H}(m) \cdot n-n \\
& =m \cdot n-n
\end{aligned}
$$

[CS 3] Let $l \in L$ and $n \in N$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(\lambda(l), n) & =\partial_{1}(l) \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)-\varepsilon^{G}(n) \\
& =l+\varepsilon^{G}(n)-l-\varepsilon^{G}(n) \\
& =l+n \cdot(-l)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(m, \lambda^{\prime}(l)\right) & =m \cdot \varepsilon^{G}\left(d_{1}^{G}(l)\right)-\varepsilon^{G}\left(d_{1}^{G}(l)\right) \\
& =\left(m \cdot \varepsilon^{G}\left(d_{1}^{G}(l)\right)-\varepsilon^{G}\left(d_{1}^{G}(l)\right)+l\right)-l \\
& =\left(m \cdot \varepsilon^{G}\left(d_{1}^{G}(l)\right) \circ l\right)-l \\
& =\left(\left(m \cdot \varepsilon^{G}\left(d_{1}^{G}(l)\right)\right) \circ\left(1_{0} \cdot l\right)\right)-l \\
& =\left(\left(m \circ 1_{0}\right) \cdot\left(\varepsilon^{G}\left(d_{1}^{G}(l)\right) \circ l\right)\right)-l \\
& =m \cdot l-l
\end{aligned}
$$

[CS 4] Let $m, m^{\prime} \in M$ and $n \in N$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(m+m^{\prime}, n\right) & =\left(m+m^{\prime}\right) \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)-\varepsilon^{G}(n) \\
& =m \cdot\left(m^{\prime} \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)\right)-\varepsilon^{G}(n) \\
& =m \cdot\left(m^{\prime} \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)\right)+m \cdot\left(-\varepsilon^{G}(n)+\varepsilon^{G}(n)\right)-\varepsilon^{G}(n) \\
& =m \cdot\left(m^{\prime} \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)-\varepsilon^{G}(n)\right)+m \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)-\varepsilon^{G}(n) \\
& =m \cdot h\left(m^{\prime}, n\right)+h(m, n)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(m, n+n^{\prime}\right) & =m \cdot \varepsilon^{G}\left(n+n^{\prime}\right)-\varepsilon^{G}\left(n+n^{\prime}\right) \\
& =m \cdot\left(\varepsilon^{G}(n)+\varepsilon^{G}\left(n^{\prime}\right)\right)-\varepsilon^{G}\left(n^{\prime}\right)-\varepsilon^{G}(n) \\
& =\left(m \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)-\varepsilon^{G}(n)\right)+\varepsilon^{G}(n)+\left(m \cdot \varepsilon^{G}\left(n^{\prime}\right)-\varepsilon^{G}\left(n^{\prime}\right)\right)-\varepsilon^{G}(n) \\
& =h(m, n)+n \cdot h\left(m, n^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

[CS 5] Let $m \in M, n \in N$ and $p \in P$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(p \cdot m, p \cdot n) & =h\left(\varepsilon^{H}(p)+m-\varepsilon^{H}(p), p \cdot n\right) \\
& =\left(\varepsilon^{H}(p)+m-\varepsilon^{H}(p)\right) \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(p \cdot n)-\varepsilon^{G}(p \cdot n) \\
& =\left(\varepsilon^{H}(p)+m-\varepsilon^{H}(p)\right) \cdot\left(\varepsilon^{H}(p) \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)\right)-\left(\varepsilon^{H}(p) \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)\right) \\
& =\left(\varepsilon^{H}(p)+m\right) \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)-\left(\varepsilon^{H}(p) \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)\right) \\
& =\varepsilon^{H}(p) \cdot\left(m \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)\right)+\varepsilon^{H}(p) \cdot\left(-\varepsilon^{G}(n)\right) \\
& =\varepsilon^{H}(p) \cdot\left(m \cdot \varepsilon^{G}(n)-\varepsilon^{G}(n)\right) \\
& =p \cdot h(m, n)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $\left(f=\left(f_{1}, f_{0}\right), g=\left(G, g_{0}\right)\right):(G, H, \partial) \rightarrow\left(G^{\prime}, H^{\prime}, \partial^{\prime}\right)$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{X M o d}(\mathbf{G p G d})$ then $\delta_{1}(f, g)=\left(f_{L}=f_{1}, f_{M}=G, f_{N}=f_{0}, f_{P}=g_{0}\right)$ is a morphism of crossed modules over groupgroupoids.

Conversely, define a functor $\eta: \mathbf{X}^{2} \operatorname{Mod}(\mathbf{G p}) \rightarrow \mathbf{X M o d}(\mathbf{G p G d})$ by following way: Let $\mathcal{X}=(L, N, M, P)$ be a crossed square over groups. Let $G$ and $H$ be the corresponding group-groupoids to crossed modules $\left(L, N, \lambda^{\prime}\right)$ and $(M, P, \mu)$, respectively. That is $G=L \rtimes N, G_{0}=N, H=M \rtimes P$ and $H_{0}=P$. Moreover, $\partial_{1}=\lambda \times \nu$ and $\partial_{0}=\nu$ whilst the action of $H$ on $G$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
(M \rtimes P) \times(L \rtimes N) & \rightarrow(L \rtimes N) \\
((m, p),(l . n)) & \mapsto(m, p) \cdot(l, n)=(m \cdot(p \cdot l)+h(m, p \cdot n), p \cdot n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here ( $L \rtimes N, M \rtimes P, \lambda \times \nu$ ) becomes a crossed module with the action given above. This crossed module is called the semi-direct product of crossed modules. Hence $\eta_{0}(\mathcal{X})=(G, H, \partial)$ is a crossed module over group-groupoids. Now, let $\left(f_{L}, f_{M}, f_{N}, f_{P}\right)$ be a morphism in $\mathbf{X}^{2} \mathbf{M o d}(\mathbf{G p})$. Then $\eta_{1}\left(f_{L}, f_{M}, f_{N}, f_{P}\right)=\left(f=\left(f_{L} \times f_{N}, f_{N}\right), g=\left(f_{M} \times f_{P}, f_{P}\right)\right)$ is morphism in $\mathbf{X M o d}(\mathbf{G p G d})$.

A natural equivalence $S: \eta \delta \Rightarrow 1_{\mathbf{X M o d}(\mathbf{G p G d})}$ is given by the map $S_{(G, H, \partial)}: \eta \delta(G, H, \partial) \rightarrow(G, H, \partial)$ where $S_{(G, H, \partial)}$ is identity on both $G_{0}$ and $H_{0}$, and defined by $a \mapsto\left(a-\varepsilon^{G}\left(d_{1}^{G}(a)\right), d_{1}^{G}(a)\right)$ on $G$ and $b \mapsto\left(b-\varepsilon^{H}\left(d_{1}^{H}(b)\right), d_{1}^{H}(b)\right)$ on $H$.

Conversely, for a crossed square over groups $\mathcal{X}$, a natural equivalence $T: 1_{\mathbf{x}^{2} \operatorname{Mod}(\mathbf{G p})} \Rightarrow \delta \eta$ is given by the map $T_{\mathcal{X}}: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \delta \eta(\mathcal{X})$ where $T_{\mathcal{X}}$ is identity on $P$ and $M$ and and defined by $m \mapsto(m, 0)$ and $l \mapsto(l, 0)$.

Other details are straightforward and so is omitted.

## 6 Conclusion

Results obtained in this paper can be given in a more generic cases such as for an arbitrary category of groups with operations, or for an arbitrary modified category of interest etc. Moreover, notions of lifting [16], covering [6] and actor crossed module [21] and homotopy of crossed module morphisms (particularly derivations) can be interpreted in the categories mentioned in this manuscript.
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