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Abstract

In general, the Backus average of an inhomogeneous stack of isotropic layers is a transversely isotropic medium. Herein, we examine a relation between this inhomogeneity and the strength of resulting anisotropy, and show that, in general, they are proportional to one another. There is an important case, however, in which the Backus average of isotropic layers results in an isotropic—as opposed to a transversely isotropic—medium. We show that it is a consequence of the same rigidity of layers, regardless of their compressibility. Thus, in general, the strength of anisotropy of the Backus average increases with the degree of inhomogeneity among layers, except for the case in which all layers exhibit the same rigidity.

1 Introduction

1.1 Backus average

In this paper, we discuss the Backus (1962) average of isotropic layers as measure of inhomogeneity of these layers. Herein, the Backus (1962) average results in a homogeneous transversely isotropic medium. Each isotropic layer is defined by the density-scaled elasticity parameters, $c_{1111}$ and $c_{2323}$. The corresponding five parameters of the transversely isotropic medium are

\begin{equation}
\frac{\overline{c}_{1111}}{c_{1111}} = \left( \frac{c_{1111} - 2c_{2323}}{c_{1111}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{c_{1111}} \right)^{-1} + \left( \frac{4(c_{1111} - c_{2323})c_{2323}}{c_{1111}} \right), \tag{1}
\end{equation}

\begin{equation}
\frac{\overline{c}_{1333}}{c_{1111}} = \left( \frac{c_{1111} - 2c_{2323}}{c_{1111}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{c_{1111}} \right)^{-1}, \tag{2}
\end{equation}
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Herein, the bar indicates an average, which is defined by Backus (1962) as
\[
\mathcal{f}(x_3) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(\xi - x_3) f(\xi) \, d\xi ,
\]
where the weight, \(w(x_3)\), allows us the use of many functions, since the conditions imposed on it are not restrictive. \(w\) is required to be a continuous nonnegative function tending to zero at infinities and to exhibit the following properties:
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} w(x_3) \, dx_3 = 1 ,
\]
\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_3 \, w(x_3) \, dx_3 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_3^2 \, w(x_3) \, dx_3 = \ell' \, 2 ,
\]
where \(\ell'\) denotes the width of the stack of parallel layers. Readers interested in further details of the Backus (1962) average might refer to Bos et al. (2017a,b).

\subsection{1.2 Thomsen parameters}

To examine the strength of anisotropy of a transversely isotropic homogeneous medium, we invoke Thomsen (1986) parameters,
\[
\varepsilon := \frac{c_{1111}^{TT} - c_{3333}^{TT}}{2 c_{3333}^{TT}} ,
\]
\[
\delta := \frac{\left( c_{1111}^{TT} + c_{2323}^{TT} \right)^2 - \left( c_{3333}^{TT} - c_{2323}^{TT} \right)^2}{2 c_{3333}^{TT} \left( c_{3333}^{TT} - c_{2323}^{TT} \right)} ,
\]
\[
\gamma := \frac{c_{1212}^{TT} - c_{2323}^{TT}}{2 c_{2323}^{TT}} .
\]
A quantitative measure on the strength of anisotropy is given by the absolute values of these parameters. In the case of isotropy, they are zero.
2 Effects of inhomogeneity on anisotropy

2.1 Alternating layers: Anisotropic medium

In the context of the Backus (1962) average, Thomsen (1986) parameters can be also used to infer the effects of inhomogeneity between layers. In general, as the inhomogeneity within a stack of layers increases, so does the anisotropy of the medium.

To exemplify this increase, let us consider a stack of identical isotropic layers. To introduce inhomogeneity, we multiply the two elasticity parameters of every second layer by \( a \); we obtain \( c_{1111}, c_{2323} \) and \( ac_{1111}, ac_{2323} \), for the adjacent layers. Using, for such a model, expressions (1)–(5), we obtain the parameters of a transversely isotropic medium, \( c_{TT_{ijkl}} \), which, in turn, we use in expressions (7)–(9) to obtain

\[
\gamma = \frac{(a - 1)^2}{8a}, \tag{10}
\]

\[
\delta = 0, \tag{11}
\]

\[
\epsilon = \frac{(a - 1)^2}{2a c_{1111}^2} (c_{1111} - c_{2323}) c_{2323}. \tag{11}
\]

In contrast to parameters (7) and (9), in general, their counterparts (10) and (11), for this model, can be only nonnegative. Also, \( \delta = 0 \) is a consequence of alternating layers whose both parameters are scaled by the same value of \( a \); it is not a general property for alternating isotropic layers in the context of the Backus (1962) average.

If \( a = 1 \), which means that all layers are the same, then also \( \gamma = \epsilon = 0 \); hence, in such a case, the averaged medium is isotropic, as expected. If \( a \to 0 \) or \( a \to \infty \), which is tantamount to increasing inhomogeneity between layers, then \( \gamma \) and \( \epsilon \) tend to infinity; in such a case, the averaged medium is extremely anisotropic.

To illustrate the relationship between inhomogeneity and anisotropy, let us consider a numerical example. We use \( c_{1111} = 12.15 \) and \( c_{2323} = 3.24 \), which are density-scaled elasticity parameters that correspond to sandstone. Their SI units are \( \text{km}^2/\text{s}^2 \), and their square roots are \( P \)-wave and \( S \)-wave speeds, respectively. Figure 1 illustrates a monotonic increase in anisotropy of the averaged medium with an increase of inhomogeneity between layers. At \( a = 1 \), which means that all layers are the same, \( \gamma = \epsilon = 0 \). As \( a \) tends to zero or to infinity, \( \gamma \) and \( \epsilon \) tend to infinity. For \( a \in (10^{-1}, 10^1) \), the values of the elasticity parameters of the alternating layer are progressively diminished by up to one order of magnitude; for \( a \in (10^0, 10^1) \), they are progressively increased by up to one order.
Anisotropy of the Backus (1962) average as a function of layer inhomogeneity: Thomsen (1986) parameters, $\gamma$ and $\epsilon$, plotted as grey and black lines, respectively, against logarithmic values of $a \in (10^{-1}, 10^1)$.

For the $SH$ and $qP$ waves, respectively, $\gamma$ and $\epsilon$ are measures of difference between propagation speeds along, and perpendicular to, the layers,

$$\frac{v^2_\parallel - v^2_\perp}{2 v^2_\perp}.$$  

Parameter $\delta$, whose definition does not have such a geometrical interpretation, remains equal to zero. If, however, the elasticity parameters of the alternate layers are $a c_{1111}$ and $\sqrt{a} c_{2323}$, $\delta$ asymptotically approaches a finite value, as $a$ tends to infinity; $\gamma$ and $\epsilon$ still tend to infinity and, as such, they are symptomatic of inhomogeneity among layers.

As illustrated in Figure 1, for a stack of isotropic layers, the strength of anisotropy of the resulting transversely isotropic medium is solely a function of inhomogeneity of that stack. In other words, herein, the strength of anisotropy is a measure of inhomogeneity.

A rather slow increase of values of $\gamma$ and $\epsilon$ as functions of $a$ supports the adequacy of weakly anisotropic models in many quantitative studies in seismology. Herein, according to the Backus (1962) average, even moderately inhomogeneous alternating layers result only in a weakly anisotropic medium.

### 2.2 Isotropic layers: Isotropic medium

Even though, in general, isotropic layers result—by the Backus (1962) average—in a transversely isotropic medium, there exists a case for which inhomogeneity of the stack of isotropic layers results in an isotropic medium. In such a case, the inhomogeneity among layers is expressed only by differences in $c_{1111} ; c_{2323}$ remains constant. Backus (1962, Section 6) states that
if a layered isotropic medium has constant \( \mu \), the STILWE medium is isotropic.\(^1\) This much was proved by Postma (1955) for periodic two-layered media.

Let us examine such a case. Following expressions (1)–(5), and using a symbolic-calculation software—without any assumption of periodicity (Postma, 1955, p. 788)—we obtain,

\[
\begin{align*}
\bar{c}_{1111} & = \left( \frac{1}{c_{1111}} \right)^{-1}, \\
\bar{c}_{1133} & = \left( \frac{1}{c_{1111}} \right)^{-1} - 2c_{2323}, \\
\bar{c}_{1212} & = c_{2323}, \\
\bar{c}_{2323} & = c_{2323}, \\
\bar{c}_{3333} & = \left( \frac{1}{c_{1111}} \right)^{-1},
\end{align*}
\]

respectively. Since \( \bar{c}_{1111} = \bar{c}_{3333} \), \( \bar{c}_{1212} = \bar{c}_{2323} \) and \( \bar{c}_{1133} = \bar{c}_{1111} - 2 \bar{c}_{2323} \), the medium is isotropic.

In view of the mechanical interpretation of \( c_{1111} \) and \( c_{2323} \) (e.g., Slawinski, 2015, Section 5.12.4), expressed in terms of the Lamé parameters, this result shows that the anisotropy of the Backus (1962) average is not a consequence of inhomogeneity, in general, but of the difference in the rigidity among the layers. The difference in compressibility alone does not result in an anisotropic medium.

In terms of wave propagation, the speed of a shear wave, \( v^2_S = \bar{c}_{2323} = c_{2323} \), depends on rigidity, which is constant, and the speed of a pressure wave, \( v^2_P = \bar{c}_{1111} \), on the average compressibility. Since, as shown by Rochester (2010), in the context of the necessary and sufficient conditions, the shear wave is due to an equivoluminal deformation, \( \nabla \times u \), and the pressure wave is due to dilatation, \( \nabla \cdot u \), where \( u \) stands for displacement, it is reasonable to expect anisotropy to originate in a vectorial, not a scalar, quantity.

### 2.3 Transversely isotropic layers: Isotropic medium

Even though, in general, transversely isotropic layers result—by the Backus (1962) average—in a transversely isotropic medium, there exists a case for which inhomogeneity of the stack of transversely isotropic layers results in an isotropic medium. Let us examine such a case.

\(^1\)In this quote, \( \mu \equiv c_{2323} \) and STILWE stands for smoothed, transversely isotropic, long-wave equivalent.
**Lemma 2.1.** A transversely isotropic tensor with $c_{1111} = c_{3333}$, $c_{1133} = c_{1111} - 2c_{2323}$, $c_{1212} \neq c_{2323}$, and $c_{2323}$ being constant is transversely isotropic.

**Proof.** Consider

$$C = \begin{bmatrix}
    c_{1111} & c_{1111} - 2c_{1212} & c_{1111} - 2c_{2323} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    c_{1111} - 2c_{1212} & c_{1111} & c_{1111} - 2c_{2323} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    c_{1111} - 2c_{2323} & c_{1111} - 2c_{2323} & c_{1111} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 2c_{2323} & 0 & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2c_{2323} & 0 \\
    0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2c_{1212}
\end{bmatrix}. $$

Its eigenvalues are

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{3}{2} c_{1111} - c_{1212} - \frac{\sqrt{9c_{1111}^2 - 32c_{1111}c_{2323} - 4c_{1111}c_{1212} + 32c_{2323}^2 + 4c_{1212}^2}}{2},$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{3}{2} c_{1111} - c_{1212} + \frac{\sqrt{9c_{1111}^2 - 32c_{1111}c_{2323} - 4c_{1111}c_{1212} + 32c_{2323}^2 + 4c_{1212}^2}}{2},$$

$$\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 2c_{2323},$$

$$\lambda_5 = \lambda_6 = 2c_{1212},$$

which—due to the eigenvalue multiplicities—implies that $C$ is a transversely isotropic tensor (Bóna et al., 2007), as required. \( \Box \)

**Proposition 2.1.** The Backus (1962) average of a stack of transversely isotropic layers with $c_{1111} = c_{3333}$, $c_{1133} = c_{1111} - 2c_{2323}$, $c_{1212} \neq c_{2323}$, and $c_{2323}$ being constant (Lemma 2.1), can result—depending on the values of parameters—in an isotropic medium.

**Proof.** In general, the Backus (1962) average of transversely isotropic layers is (e.g., Slawinski, 2016, Section 4.2.3)

$$c^{TT}_{1111} = \left( c_{1111} - \frac{c_{1133}^2}{c_{3333}} \right) + \left( \frac{c_{1133}}{c_{3333}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{1}{c_{3333}} \right)^{-1},$$

$$c^{TT}_{1133} = \left( \frac{c_{1133}}{c_{3333}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{c_{3333}} \right)^{-1},$$

$$c^{TT}_{1212} = c_{1212},$$

$$c^{TT}_{2323} = \left( \frac{1}{c_{2323}} \right)^{-1},$$

$$c^{TT}_{3333} = \left( \frac{1}{c_{3333}} \right)^{-1}. $$
Isotropy of the average requires
\[ c_{\text{TI}1212} = c_{\text{TI}2323}, \tag{22} \]
\[ c_{\text{TI}1111} = c_{\text{TI}3333}, \tag{23} \]
\[ c_{\text{TI}1133} = c_{\text{TI}1111} - 2c_{\text{TI}2323}. \tag{24} \]
To satisfy condition (22), we equate relations (19) and (20). Since \( c_{\text{TI}2323} \) is constant,
\[ c_{\text{TI}2323} = \left( \frac{1}{c_{\text{TI}2323}} \right)^{-1} = c_{2323} = c_{1212} = c_{\text{TI}1212}. \]

To satisfy condition (23), we equate relations (17) and (21). Since \( c_{1111} = c_{3333} \),
\[ c_{\text{TI}1111} = \left( \frac{c_{1111} - 2c_{2323}c_{1111}}{c_{1111}} \right)^{-1} = \left( \frac{1}{c_{3333}} \right)^{-1} = c_{3333}, \]
as required. To satisfy condition (24), we equate relations (17), (18), (20). Since \( c_{1111} = c_{3333} \), \( c_{1133} = c_{1111} - 2c_{2323} \), and \( c_{2323} \) is constant,
\[ c_{\text{TI}1133} = \left( \frac{c_{1133}}{c_{3333}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{c_{3333}} \right)^{-1} = \left( \frac{c_{1111} - 2c_{2323}}{c_{1111}} \right) \left( \frac{1}{c_{1111}} \right)^{-1} \]
\[ = \left( \frac{1}{c_{1111}} \right)^{-1} - 2c_{2323} = c_{\text{TI}1111} - 2c_{\text{TI}2323}, \]
as required, which completes the proof.

3 Conclusions

For a stack of isotropic layers, the strength of anisotropy—resulting from the Backus (1962) average—is solely a measure of inhomogeneity. However, if \( c_{2323} \) is constant, then that inhomogeneity of \( c_{1111} \) alone does not result in anisotropy. In other words, the anisotropy of the Backus (1962) average is a consequence of the difference in rigidity among layers, not in compressibility.

A physical counterpart of such a mathematical model might be a porous rock of constant rigidity, whose compressibility varies depending on the amount of liquid within its pores. Following such a physical interpretation, and according
to the Backus (1962) average, the level of saturation alone has no effect on the isotropy of the medium, even though it has an effect on the value of $c_{TT_{1111}}$, whose value determines the $P$-wave propagation speed.

It is impossible to distinguish—from the Backus (1962) average—if the stack of isotropic layers is homogeneous in both elasticity parameters or homogeneous in $c_{2323}$ only. Let us consider a numerical example.

If $c_{1111} = 10$ and $c_{2323} = 2$, then—regardless of the number of layers—$c_{TT_{1111}} = 10$, $c_{TT_{1133}} = 6$, $c_{TT_{1212}} = 2$, $c_{TT_{2323}} = 2$, $c_{TT_{3333}} = 10$; the average is isotropic. For a case discussed in Section 2.2, we let $c_{1111} = 20, 10, 20, 5, 20, 20, 5, 5, 20, 20$, and we let $c_{2323} = 2$, for all layers. The Backus (1962) average is the same as for $c_{1111} = 10$ and $c_{2323} = 2$.

Furthermore, as illustrated in Appendix A, the Backus (1962) average of transversely isotropic layers can again result in the same values of the isotropic elasticity parameters. Thus, from the Backus (1962) average that results in an isotropic medium, it is possible to infer neither the material symmetry of layers nor the constancy of $c_{2323}$.
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A  Transversely isotropic layers: special case

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$c_{1111}$</th>
<th>$c_{1133}$</th>
<th>$c_{1212}$</th>
<th>$c_{2323}$</th>
<th>$c_{3333}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Elasticity parameters of ten transversely isotropic layers

For the values in Table 1, the Backus (1962) average is

\[
c_{1111}^{TT} = 10, \quad c_{1133}^{TT} = 6, \quad c_{1212}^{TT} = 2, \quad c_{2323}^{TT} = 2, \quad c_{3333}^{TT} = 10.
\]