arXiv:1802.04196v3 [quant-ph] 23 Nov 2018

UNIVERSAL QUANTUM COMPUTING AND THREE-MANIFOLDS

MICHEL PLANAT†, RAYMOND ASCHHEIM‡,
MARCELO M. AMARAL‡ AND KLEE IRWIN‡

Abstract. A single qubit may be represented on the Bloch sphere or similarly on the 3-sphere S^3. Our goal is to dress this correspondence by converting the language of universal quantum computing (UQC) to that of 3-manifolds. A magic state and the Pauli group acting on it define a model of UQC as a positive operator-valued measure (POVM) that one recognizes to be a 3-manifold M^3. More precisely, the d-dimensional POVMs defined from subgroups of finite index of the modular group $PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ correspond to d-fold M^3- coverings over the trefoil knot. In this paper, one also investigates quantum information on a few 'universal' knots and links such as the figure-of-eight knot, the Whitehead link and Borromean rings, making use of the catalog of platonic manifolds available on the software SnapPy. Further connections between POVMs based UQC and M^3's obtained from Dehn fillings are explored.

PACS: 03.67.Lx, 03.65.Wj, 03.65.Aa, 02.20.-a, 02.10.Kn, 02.40.Pc, 02.40.Sf
MSC codes: 81P68, 81P50, 57M25, 57R65, 14H30, 20E05, 57M12
Keywords: quantum computation, IC-POVMs, knot theory, three-manifolds, branch coverings, Dehn surgeries.

Manifolds are around us in many guises.
As observers in a three-dimensional world, we are most familiar with two-manifolds: the surface of a ball or a doughnut or a pretzel, the surface of a house or a tree or a volleyball net...
Three-manifolds may be harder to understand at first. But as actors and movers in a three-dimensional world, we can learn to imagine them as alternate universes, William Thurston [1].

1. Introduction

Mathematical concepts pave the way for improvements in technology. As far as topological quantum computation is concerned, non-abelian anyons have been proposed as an attractive (fault-tolerant) alternative to standard quantum computing which is based on a universal set of quantum gates [2, 3, 4, 5]. Anyons are two-dimensional quasiparticles with world lines forming braids in space-time. Whether non-abelian anyons do exist in the real world and/or would be easy to create artificially, is still open to discussion. In this paper, we propose an alternative to anyon based universal quantum computation (UQC) thanks to three-dimensional topology. Our proposal relies on appropriate 3-manifolds whose fundamental group is used for building the magic states for UQC. Three-dimensional topological quantum computing would federate the foundations of quantum mechanics and

cosmology, a recurrent dream of many physicists. Three-dimensional topology was already investigated by several groups in the context of quantum information [6, 7], high energy physics [8, 9], biology [10] and consciousness studies [11].

Let us remind the context of our work and clarify its motivation. Bravyi & Kitaev introduced the principle of 'magic state distillation': universal quantum computation, the possibility to prepare an arbitrary quantum gate, may be realized thanks to the stabilizer formalism (Clifford group unitaries, preparations and measurements) and the ability to prepare an appropriate single qubit non-stabilizer state, called a 'magic state' [23]. Then, irrespectively of the dimension of the Hilbert space where the quantum states live, a non-stabilizer pure state was called a magic state [12]. An improvement of this concept was carried out in [13, 14] showing that a magic state could be at the same time a fiducial state for the construction of an informationally complete positive operator-valued measure, or IC-POVM, under the action on it of the Pauli group of the corresponding dimension. Thus UQC in this view happens to be relevant both to such magic states and to IC-POVMs. In [13, 14], a d-dimensional magic state follows from the permutation group that organize the cosets of a subgroup H of index d of a two-generator free group G. This is due to the fact that a permutation may be seen as a permutation matrix/gate and that mutually commuting matrices share eigenstates - they are either of the stabilizer type (as elements of the Pauli group) or of the magic type. In the calculation, it is enough to keep magic states that are simultaneously fiducial states for an IC-POVM and we are done. Remarkably, a rich catalog of the magic states relevant to UQC and IC-POVMs can be obtained by selecting G as the two-letter representation of the modular group $\Gamma = PSL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ [15]. The next step, developed in this paper, is to relate the choice of the starting group G to three-dimensional topology. More precisely, G is taken as the fundamental group $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$ of a 3-manifold M^3 defined as the complement of a knot or link K in the 3-sphere S^3. A branched covering of degree d over the selected M^3 has a fundamental group corresponding to a subgroup of index d of π_1 and may be identified as a sub-manifold of M^3, the one leading to an IC-POVM is a model of UQC. In the specific case of Γ, the knot involved is the left-handed trefoil knot T_1, as shown in Sec. 2.

More details are provided at the next subsections. The starting point consists of the Poincaré and Thurston conjectures, now theorems [1].

1.1. **From Poincaré conjecture to UQC.** The Poincaré conjecture is the elementary (but deep) statement that every simply connected, closed 3-manifold is homeomorphic to the 3-sphere S^3 [16]. Having in mind the correspondence between S^3 and the Bloch sphere that houses the qubits $\psi = a\,|0\rangle + b\,|1\rangle$, $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$, one would desire a quantum translation of this statement. For doing this, one may use the picture of the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{C} \cup \infty$ in parallel to that of the Bloch sphere and follow F. Klein lectures on the icosahedron to perceive the platonic solids within the landscape [17]. This picture fits well the Hopf fibrations [18], their entanglements described in [19, 20] and quasicrystals [21, 22]. But we can be more ambitious and dress S^3 in an alternative way that reproduces the historic

thread of the proof of Poincaré conjecture. Thurston's geometrization conjecture, from which Poincaré conjecture follows, dresses S^3 as a 3-manifold not homeomorphic to S^3. The wardrobe of 3-manifolds M^3 is huge but almost every dress is hyperbolic and W. Thurston found the recipes for them [1]. Every dress is identified thanks to a signature in terms of invariants. For our purpose, the fundamental group π_1 of M^3 does the job.

The three-dimensional space surrounding a knot K -the knot complement $S^3 \setminus K$- is an example of a three-manifold [1, 24]. We will be especially interested by the trefoil knot that underlies work of the first author [15] as well as the figure-of-eight knot, the Whitehead link and the Borromean rings because they are universal (in a sense described below), hyperbolic and allow to build 3-manifolds from platonic manifolds [25]. Such manifolds carry a quantum geometry corresponding to quantum computing and (possibly informationally complete) POVMs identified in our earlier work [15, 13, 14].

According to [29], the knot K and the fundamental group $G = \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$ are universal if every closed and oriented 3-manifold M^3 is homeomorphic to a quotient $\mathbb{H}/G$ of the hyperbolic 3-space $\mathbb{H}$ by a subgroup H of finite index d of G. The figure-of-eight knot and the Whitehead link are universal. The catalog of the finite index subgroups of their fundamental group G and of the corresponding 3-manifolds defined from the d-fold coverings [30] can easily been established up to degree 8, using the software SnapPy [27].




FIGURE 1. (a) The figure-of-eight knot: $K4a1 = \text{otet}02_{00001} = m004$, (b) the Whitehead link $L5a1 = \text{ooct}01_{00001} = m129$, (c) Borromean rings $L6a4 = \text{ooct}02_{00005} = t12067$.

In paper [15] of the first author, it has been found that minimal d-dimensional IC-POVMs (sometimes called MICs) may be built from finite index subgroups of the modular group $\Gamma = PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$. To such an IC (or MIC) is associated a subgroup of index d of Γ, a fundamental domain in the Poincaré upper-half plane and a signature in terms of genus, elliptic points and cusps as summarized in [15, Fig. 1]. There exists a relationship between the modular group Γ and the trefoil knot T_1 since the fundamental group $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus T_1)$ of the knot complement is the braid group B_3, the central extension of Γ. But the trefoil knot and the corresponding braid group B_3

are not universal [26] which forbids the relation of the finite index subgroups of B_3 to all three-manifolds.

It is known that two coverings of a manifold M with fundamental group $G = \pi_1(M)$ are equivalent if there exists a homeomorphism between them. Besides, a d-fold covering is uniquely determined by a subgroup of index d of the group G and the inequivalent d-fold coverings of M correspond to conjugacy classes of subgroups of G [30]. In this paper we will fuse the concepts of a three-manifold M^3 attached to a subgroup H of index d and the POVM, possibly informationally complete (IC), found from H (thanks to the appropriate magic state and related Pauli group factory).

1.2. **Minimal informationally complete POVMs and UQC.** In our approach [14, 15], minimal informationally complete (IC) POVMs are derived from appropriate fiducial states under the action of the (generalized) Pauli group. The fiducial states also allow to perform universal quantum computation [13].

A POVM is a collection of positive semi-definite operators $\{E_1, \ldots, E_m\}$ that sum to the identity. In the measurement of a state ρ, the i-th outcome is obtained with a probability given by the Born rule $p(i) = \mathrm{tr}(\rho E_i)$. For a minimal IC-POVM (or MIC), one needs d^2 one-dimensional projectors $\Pi_i = |\psi_i\rangle \langle\psi_i|$, with $\Pi_i = dE_i$, such that the rank of the Gram matrix with elements $\mathrm{tr}(\Pi_i\Pi_j)$, is precisely d^2. A SIC-POVM (the S means symmetric) obeys the relation$|\langle\psi_i|\psi_j\rangle|^2 = \mathrm{tr}(\Pi_i\Pi_j) = \frac{d\delta_{ij}+1}{d+1}$, that allows the explicit recovery of the density matrix as in [33, eq. (29)].

New minimal IC-POVMs (i.e. whose rank of the Gram matrix is d^2) and with Hermitian angles $|\langle\psi_i|\psi_j\rangle|_{i\neq j} \in A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_l\}$ have been discovered [15]. A SIC (i.e. a SIC-POVM) is equiangular with $|A| = 1$ and $a_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d+1}}$. The states encountered are considered to live in a cyclotomic field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Q}[\exp(\frac{2i\pi}{n})]$, with $n = \mathrm{GCD}(d, r)$, the greatest common divisor of d and r, for some r. The Hermitian angle is defined as $|\langle\psi_i|\psi_j\rangle|_{i\neq j} = \|(\psi_i, \psi_j)\|^{\frac{1}{\deg}}$, where $\|.\|$ means the field norm of the pair (ψ_i, ψ_j) in $\mathbb{F}$ and deg is the degree of the extension $\mathbb{F}$ over the rational field $\mathbb{Q}$ [14].

The fiducial states for SIC-POVMs are quite difficult to derive and seem to follow from algebraic number theory [34].

Except for $d = 3$, the IC-POVMs derived from permutation groups are not symmetric and most of them can be recovered thanks to subgroups of index d of the modular group Γ [15, Table 2]. The geometry of the qutrit Hesse SIC is shown in Fig 3a. It follows from the action of the qutrit Pauli group on magic/fiducial states of type $(0, 1, \pm 1)$. For $d = 4$, the action of the two-qubit Pauli group on the magic/fiducial state of type $(0, 1, -\omega_6, \omega_6 - 1)$ with $\omega_6 = \exp(\frac{2i\pi}{6})$ results into a minimal IC-POVM whose geometry of triple products of projectors Π_i turns out to correspond to the commutation graph of Pauli operators, see Fig. 3b and [15, Fig. 2]. For $d = 5$, the geometry of an IC consists of copies of the Petersen graph reproduced in Fig. 3c. For $d = 6$, the geometry consists of components looking like Borromean rings (see [15, Fig. 2] and Table 1 below).

While Γ serves as a motivation for investigating the trefoil knot manifold in relation to UQC and the corresponding ICs, it is important to put the




FIGURE 2. Geometrical structure of low dimensional MICs: (a) the qutrit Hesse SIC, (b) the two-qubit MIC that is the generalized quadrangle of order two $GQ(2,2)$, (c) the basic conponent of the 5-dit MIC that is the Petersen graph. The coordinates on each diagram are the d-dimensional Pauli operators that act on the fiducial state, as shown.

UQC problem in the wider frame of Poincaré conjecture, the Thurston's geometrization conjecture and the related 3-manifolds. E.g. ICs may also follow from hyperbolic or Seifert 3-manifolds as shown in tables 2 to 5 of this paper.

1.3. **Organization of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows. Sec. 2 deals about the relationship between quantum information seen from the modular group Γ and from the trefoil knot 3-manifold. Sec. 3 deals about the (platonic) 3-manifolds related to coverings over the figure-of-eight knot, Whitehead link and Borromean rings, and how they relate to minimal IC-POVMs. Sec. 4 describes the important role played by Dehn fillings for describing the many types of 3-manifolds that may relate to topological quantum computing.

2. Quantum information from the modular group Γ and the related trefoil knot T_1

In this section, we describe the results established in [15] in terms of the 3-manifolds corresponding to coverings of the trefoil knot complement $S^3 \setminus T_1$.

Let us introduce to the group representation of a knot complement $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K)$. A Wirtinger representation is a finite representation of π_1 where the relations are of the form $wg_iw^{-1} = g_j$ where w is a word in the k generators $\{g_1, \cdots, g_k\}$. For the trefoil knot $T_1 = K3a1 = 3_1$ shown in Fig. 2a, a Wirtinger representation is [35]

$$\pi_1(S^3 \setminus T_1) = \langle x, y | yxy = xyx \rangle \text{ or equivalently } \pi_1 = \left\langle x, y | y^2 = x^3 \right\rangle.$$

In the rest of the paper, the number of d-fold coverings of the manifold M^3 corresponding to the knot T will be displayed as the ordered list $\eta_d(T)$, $d \in \{1..10\ldots\}$. For T_1 it is




FIGURE 3. (a) The trefoil knot $T_1 = K3a1 = 3_1$, (b) the link $L7n1$ associated to the Hesse SIC, (c) the link $L6a3$ associated to the two-qubit IC.

$$\eta_d(T_1) = \{1, 1, 2, 3, 2,\ 8, 7, 10, 18, 28, \ldots\}.$$

Details about the corresponding d-fold coverings are in Table 1. As expected, the coverings correspond to subgroups of index d of the fundamental group associated to the trefoil knot T_1.

Cyclic branched coverings over the trefoil knot. Let p, q, r be three positive integers (with $p \leq q \leq r$), the Brieskorn 3-manifold $\Sigma(p, q, r)$ is the intersection in $\mathbb{C}^3$ of the 5-sphere S^5 with the surface of equation $z_1^p + z_2^q + z_3^r = 1$. In [37], it is shown that a r-fold cyclic covering over S^3 branched along a torus knot or link of type (p, q) is a Brieskorn 3-manifold $\Sigma(p, q, r)$ (see also Sec 4.1). For the spherical case $p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} > 1$, the group associated to a Brieskorn manifold is either dihedral [that is the group D_r for the triples $(2, 2, r)$], tetrahedral [that is A_4 for $(2, 3, 3)$], octahedral [that is S_4 for $(2, 3, 4)$] or icosahedral [that is A_5 for $(2, 3, 5)$]. The Euclidean case $p^{-1} + q^{-1} + r^{-1} = 1$ corresponds to $(2, 3, 6)$, $(2, 4, 4)$ or $(3, 3, 3)$. The remaining cases are hyperbolic.

The cyclic branched coverings with spherical groups for the trefoil knot [which is of type $(2, 3)$] are identified in right hand side column of Table 1.

Irregular branched coverings over the trefoil knot. The right hand side column of Table 1 shows the subgroups of Γ identified in [15, Table 1] as corresponding to a minimal IC-POVM. Let us give a few more details how to attach a MIC to some coverings/subgroups of the trefoil knot fundamental group $\pi_1(T_1)$. Columns 1 to 6 in Table 1 contain information available in SnapPy [27], with d, ty, hom, cp, gens and CS the degree, the type, the first homology group, the number of cusps, the number of generators and the Chern-Simons invariant of the relevant covering, respectively. In column 7, a link is possibly identified by SnapPy when the fundamental group and other invariants attached to the covering correspond to those of the link. For our purpose, we also are interested in the possible recognition of a MIC behind some manifolds in the table.

d	ty	hom	cp	gens	CS	link	type in [15]
2	cyc	$\frac{1}{3}+1$	1	2	-1/6		
3	irr	$1+1$	2	2	1/4	L7n1	$\Gamma_0(2)$, Hesse SIC
.	cyc	$\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}+1$	1	3	.		A_4
4	irr	$1+1$	2	2	1/6	L6a3	$\Gamma_0(3)$, 2QB IC
.	irr	$\frac{1}{2}+1$	1	3	.		$4A^0$, 2QB-IC
.	cyc	$\frac{1}{3}+1$	1	2	.		S_4
5	cyc	1	1	2	5/6		A_5
.	irr	$\frac{1}{3}+1$	1	3	.		$5A^0$, 5-dit IC
6	reg	$1+1+1$	3	3	0	L8n3	$\Gamma(2)$, 6-dit IC
.	cyc	$1+1+1$	1	3	.		Γ', 6-dit IC
.	irr	$1+1+1$	3	3	.		
.	irr	$\frac{1}{2}+1+1$	2	3	.		$3C^0$, 6-dit IC
.	irr	$\frac{1}{2}+1+1$	2	3	.		$\Gamma_0(4)$, 6-dit IC
.	irr	$\frac{1}{2}+1+1$	2	3	.		$\Gamma_0(5)$, 6-dit IC
.	irr	$\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}+1$	1	4	.		
.	irr	$\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3}+1$	1	3	.		
7	cyc	1	1	2	-5/6		
.	irr	$1+1$	2	3	.		NC 7-dit IC
.	irr	$\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}+1$	1	4	.		$7A^0$ 7-dit IC
8	irr	$1+1$	2	2	-1/6		
.	cyc	$\frac{1}{3}+1$	2	2	.		
.	cyc	$\frac{1}{3}+1+1$	2	3	.		
.	cyc	$\frac{1}{6}+1$	1	4	.		$8A^0$, $\sim$ 8-dit IC

TABLE 1. Coverings of degree d over the trefoil knot found from SnapPy [27]. The related subgroup of modular group Γ and the corresponding IC-POVM [15] (when applicable) is in the right column. The covering is characterized by its type ty, homology group hom (where 1 means $\mathbb{Z}$), the number of cusps cp, the number of generators gens of the fundamental group, the Chern-Simons invariant CS and the type of link it represents (as identified in SnapPy). The case of cyclic coverings corresponds to Brieskorn 3-manifolds as explained in the text: the spherical groups for these manifolds is given at the right hand side column.

For the irregular covering of degree 3 and first homology $\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}$, the fundamental group provided by SnapPy is $\pi_1(M^3) = \langle a, b | ab^{-2}a^{-1}b^2 \rangle$ that, of course, corresponds to a representative H of one of the two conjugacy classes of subgroups of index 3 of the modular group Γ, following the theory of [30]. The organization of cosets of H in the two-generator group $G = \langle a, b | a^2, y^3 \rangle \cong \Gamma$ thanks to the Coxeter-Todd algorithm (implemented in the software Magma [32]) results into the permutation group $P = \langle 3 | (1,2,3), (2,3) \rangle$, as in [15, Sec. 3.1]. This permutation group is also the one obtained from the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(2) \cong S_3$ of Γ (where S_3 is the three-letter symmetric group) whose fundamental domain is in [15, Fig. 1b]. Then, the eigenstates

of the permutation matrix in S_3 of type $(0, 1, \pm 1)$ serve as magic/fiducial state for the Hesse SIC [15, 14].

A similar reasoning applied to the irregular coverings of degree 4, and first homology $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}$ and $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2} + \mathbb{Z}$ leads to the recognition of congruence subgroups $\Gamma_0(3)$ and $4A^0$, respectively, behind the corresponding manifolds. It is known from [15, Sec. 3.2] that they allow the construction of two-qubit minimal IC-POVMs. For degree 5, the equiangular 5-dit MIC corresponds to the irregular covering of homology $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{3} + \mathbb{Z}$ and to the congruence subgroup $5A^0$ in Γ (as in [15, Sec. 3.3]).

Five coverings of degree 6 allow the construction of the (two-valued) 6-dit IC-POVM whose geometry contain the picture of Borromean rings [15, Fig. 2c]. The corresponding congruence subgroups of Γ are identified in table 1. The first, viz $\Gamma(2)$, define a 3-manifold whose fundamental group is the same than the one of the link $L8n3$. The other three coverings leading to the 6-dit IC are the congruence subgroups γ', $3C^0$, $\Gamma_0(4)$ and $\Gamma_0(5)$.

3. Quantum information from universal knots and links

At the previous section, we found the opportunity to rewrite results about the existence and construction of d-dimensional MICs in terms of the three-manifolds corresponding to some degree d coverings of the trefoil knot T_1. But neither T_1 nor the manifolds corresponding to its covering are hyperbolic. In the present section, we proceed with hyperbolic (and universal) knots and links and display the three-manifolds behind the low dimensional MICs. The method is as above in Sec. 2 in the sense that the fundamental group of a 3-manifold M^3 attached to a degree d-covering is the one of a representative of the conjugacy class of subgroups of the corresponding index in the relevant knot or link.

3.1. **Three-manifolds pertaining to the figure-of-eight knot.**

The fundamental group for the figure-of-eight knot K_0 is

$$\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K_0) = \left\langle x, y | y * x * y^{-1} xy = xyx^{-1}yx \right\rangle .$$

and the number of d-fold coverings is in the list

$$\eta_d(K_0) = \{1, 1, 1, 2, 4,\ 11, 9, 10, 11, 38, \dots\}.$$

Table 2 establishes the list of 3-manifolds corresponding to subgroups of index $d \leq 7$ of the universal group $G = \pi_1(S^3 \setminus K_0)$. The manifolds are labeled otetN_n in [25] because they are oriented and built from $N = 2d$ tetrahedra, with n an index in the table. The identification of 3-manifolds of finite index subgroups of G was first obtained by comparing the cardinality list $\eta_d(H)$ of the corresponding subgroup H to that of a fundamental group of a tetrahedral manifold in SnapPy table [27]. But of course there is more straightforward way to perform this task by identifying a subgroup H to a degree d covering of K_0 [30]. The full list of d-branched coverings over the figure eight knot up to degree 8 is available in SnapPy. Extra invariants of the corresponding M^3 may be found there. In addition, the lattice of branched coverings over K_0 was investigated in [38].

d	ty	M^3	cp	rk	pp	comment
2	cyc	otet04_{00002}, $m206$	1	2		
3	cyc	otet06_{00003}, $s961$	1	3		
4	irr	otet08_{00002}, $L10n46$, t_{12840}	2	4		Mom-4s [39]
	cyc	otet08_{00007}, $t12839$	1	16	1	2-qubit IC
5	cyc	otet10_{00019}	1	21		
	irr	otet10_{00006}, $L8a20$	3	15,21		
	irr	otet10_{00026}	2	25	1	5-dit IC
6	cyc	otet12_{00013}	1	28		
	irr	otet12_{00041}	2	36	2	6-dit IC
	irr	otet12_{00039}, otet12_{00038}	1	31		
	irr	otet12_{00017}	2	33		
	irr	otet12_{00000}	2	36	2	6-dit IC
7	cyc	otet14_{00019}	1	43		
	irr	otet14_{00002}, $L14n55217$	3	49	2	7-dit IC
	irr	otet14_{00035}	1	49	2	7-dit IC

TABLE 2. Table of 3-manifolds M^3 found from subgroups of finite index d of the fundamental group $\pi_1(S^3 \setminus K_0)$ (alias the d-fold coverings of K_0). The terminology in column 3 is that of Snappy [27]. The identified M^3 is made of $2d$ tetrahedra and has cp cusps. When the rank rk of the POVM Gram matrix is d^2 the corresponding IC-POVM shows pp distinct values of pairwise products as shown.

Let us give more details about the results summarized in Table 2. Using Magma, the conjugacy class of subgroups of index 2 in the fundamental group G is represented by the subgroup on three generators and two relations as follows $H = \langle x, y, z | y^{-1}zx^{-1}zy^{-1}x^{-2}, z^{-1}yxz^{-1}yz^{-1}xy \rangle$, from which the sequence of subgroups of finite index can be found as $\eta_d(M^3) = \{1, 1, 5, 6, 8, 33, 21, 32, \cdots\}$. The manifold M^3 corresponding to this sequence is found in Snappy as otet04_{00002}, alias $m206$.

The conjugacy class of subgroups of index 3 in G is represented as
$H = \langle x, y, z | x^{-2}zx^{-1}yz^2x^{-1}zy^{-1}, z^{-1}xz^{-2}xz^{-2}y^{-1}x^{-2}zy \rangle$,
with $\eta_d(M^3) = \{1, 7, 4, 47, 19, 66, 42, 484, \cdots\}$ corresponding to the manifold otet06_{00003}, alias $s961$.

As shown in Table 2, there are two conjugacy classes of subgroups of index 4 in G corresponding to tetrahedral manifolds otet08_{00002} (the permutation group P organizing the cosets is $\mathbb{Z}_4$) and otet08_{00007} (the permutation group organizing the cosets is the alternating group A_4). The latter group/manifold has fundamental group
$H = \langle x, y, z | yx^{-1}y^{-1}z^{-1}xy^{-2}xyzx^{-1}y, zx^{-1}yx^{-1}yx^{-1}zyx^{-1}y^{-1}z^{-1}xy^{-1} \rangle$,
with cardinality sequences of subgroups as $\eta_d(M^3) = \{1, 3, 8, 25, 36, 229, 435 \cdots\}$. To H is associated an IC-POVM [14, 15] which follows from the action of the two-qubit Pauli group on a magic/fiducial state of type $(0, 1, -\omega_6, \omega_6 - 1)$, with $\omega_6 = \exp(2i\pi/6)$ a six-root of unity.




FIGURE 4. Two platonic three-manifolds leading to the construction of the two-qubit MIC. Details are given in Tables 2 and 3.

For index 5, there are three types of 3-manifolds corresponding to the subgroups H. The tetrahedral manifold otet10_{00026} of cardinality sequence $\eta_d(M^3) = \{1, 7, 15, 88, 123, 802, 1328 \cdots\}$, is associated to a 5-dit equiangular IC-POVM, as in [14, Table 5].

For index 6, the 11 coverings define six classes of 3-manifolds and two of them: otet12_{00041} and otet12_{00000} are related to the construction of ICs. For index 7, one finds three classes of 3-manifolds with two of them: otet14_{00002} (alias $L14n55217$) and otet14_{00035} are related to ICs. Finally, for index 7, 3 types of 3-manifolds exist, two of them relying on the construction of the 7-dit (two-valued) IC. For index 8, there exists 6 distinct 3-manifolds (not shown) none of them leading to an IC.

A two-qubit tetrahedral manifold. The tetrahedral three-manifold otet08_{00007} is remarkable in the sense that it corresponds to the subgroup of index 4 of G that allows the construction of the two-qubit IC-POVM. The corresponding hyperbolic polyhedron taken from SnapPy is shown in Fig. 4a. Of the 29 orientable tetrahedral manifolds with at most 8 tetrahedra, 20 are two-colorable and each of those has at most 2 cusps. The 4 three-manifolds (with at most 8 tetrahedra) identified in Table 2 belong to the 20's and the two-qubit tetrahedral manifold otet08_{00007} is one with just one cusp [40, Table 1].

3.2. **Three-manifolds pertaining to the Whitehead link.** One could also identify the 3-manifold substructure of another universal object, viz the Whitehead link L_0 [36].

The cardinality list corresponding to the Whitehead link group $\pi_1(L_0)$ is

$$\eta_d(L_0) = \{1, 3, 6, 17, 22,\ \ 79, 94, 412, 616, 1659 \ldots\},$$

d	ty	M^3	cp	rk	pp	comment
2	cyc	$\mathrm{ooct02}_{00003}$, $t12066$, $L8n5$	3	2		Mom-4s[39]
	cyc	$\mathrm{ooct02}_{00018}$, $t12048$	2	2		Mom-4s[39]
3	cyc	$\mathrm{ooct03}_{00011}$, $L10n100$	4	3		
	cyc	$\mathrm{ooct03}_{00018}$	2	3		
	irr	$\mathrm{ooct03}_{00014}$, $L12n1741$	3	9	1	qutrit Hesse SIC
4	irr	$\mathrm{ooct04}_{00058}$	4	16	2	2-qubit IC
	irr	$\mathrm{ooct04}_{00061}$	3	16	2	2-qubit IC
5	irr	$\mathrm{ooct05}_{00092}$	3	25	1	5-dit IC
	irr	$\mathrm{ooct05}_{00285}$	2	25	1	5-dit IC
	irr	$\mathrm{ooct05}_{00098}$, $L13n11257$	4	25	1	5-dit IC
6	cyc	$\mathrm{ooct06}_{06328}$	5	36	2	6-dit IC
	irr	$\mathrm{ooct06}_{01972}$	3	36	2	6-dit IC
	irr	$\mathrm{ooct06}_{00471}$	4	36	2	6-dit IC

TABLE 3. A few 3-manifolds M^3 found from subgroups of the fundamental group associated to the Whitehead link. For $d \geq 4$, only the M^3's leading to an IC are listed.




FIGURE 5. (a) The link $L12n1741$ associated to the qutrit Hesse SIC, (b) The octahedral manifold $\mathrm{ooct03}_{00014}$ associated to the 2-qubit IC.

Table 3 shows that the identified 3-manifolds for index d subgroups of $\pi_1(L_0)$ are aggregates of d octahedra. In particular, one finds that the qutrit Hesse SIC can be built from $\mathrm{ooct03}_{00014}$ and that the two-qubit IC-POVM may be buid from $\mathrm{ooct04}_{00058}$. The hyperbolic polyhedron for the latter octahedral manifold taken from SnapPy is shown on Fig. 4b. The former octahedral manifold follows from the link $L12n1741$ shown in Fig. 5a and the corresponding polyhedron taken from SnapPy is shown in Fig. 5b.

3.3. **A few three-manifolds pertaining to Borromean rings.** Three-manifolds corresponding to coverings of degree 2 and 3 of the 3-manifold branched along the

d	ty	hom	cp	M^3	comment
2	cyc	$\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}+1+1+1$	3	$\mathrm{ooct04}_{00259}$	
.	.	$\frac{1}{2}+1+1+1+1$	4	$\mathrm{ooct04}_{00055}$	
.	.	$1+1+1+1+1$	5	$\mathrm{ooct04}_{00048}$, $L12n2226$	
3	cyc	$\frac{1}{3}+\frac{1}{3}+1+1+1$	3	$\mathrm{ooct06}_{07427}$	
.	.	$\frac{1}{3}+1+1+1+1+1+1$	5	$\mathrm{ooct06}_{00463}$	
.	.	$1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1+1$	7	$\mathrm{ooct06}_{00411}$	
.	irr	$1+1+1+1$	4	$\mathrm{ooct06}_{00466}$	Hesse SIC
.	.	$1+1+1+1+1+1$	4	$\mathrm{ooct06}_{00398}$	Hesse SIC
.	.	$1+1+1+1+1+1$	5	$\mathrm{ooct06}_{00407}$, L14n63856	
4	irr	$\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}+1+1+1+1$	4	$\{63, 300, 10747\cdots\}$	2QB MIC
.	.	$\frac{1}{2}+1+1+1+1+1+1$	4	$\{127, 2871, 478956, \cdots\}$	2QB MIC

TABLE 4. Coverings of degrees 2 to 4 branched over the Borromean rings. The identification of the corresponding hyperbolic 3-manifold M^3 is at the 5th column. Only two types of 3-manifolds allow to build the Hesse SIC. The two 3-manifolds of degree 4 allowing the construction of the two-qubit MIC are identified by the cardinality structure of their subgroups/coverings.

Borromean rings $L6a4$ [that is a not a (3,3)-torus link but an hyperbolic link] (see Fig. 1c) are given in Table 4. The identified manifolds are hyperbolic octahedral manifolds of volume 14.655 (for the degree 2) and 21.983 (for the degree 3).

4. A few Dehn fillings and their POVMs

To summarize our findings of the previous section, we started from a building block, a knot (viz the trefoil knot T_1) or a link (viz the figure-of-eight knot K_0) whose complement in S^3 is a 3-manifold M^3. Then a d-fold covering of M^3 was used to build a d-dimensional POVM, possibly an IC. Now we apply a kind of 'phase surgery' on the knot or link that transforms M^3 and the related coverings while preserving some of the POVMs in a way to be determined. We will start with our friend T_1 and arrive at a few standard 3-manifolds of historic importance, the Poincaré homology sphere [alias the Brieskorn sphere $\Sigma(2,3,5)$], the Brieskorn sphere $\Sigma(2,3,7)$ and a Seifert fibered toroidal manifold Σ'. Then we introduce the 3-manifold Σ_Y resulting from 0-surgery on the figure-of-eight knot [45]. Later in this section, we will show how to use the $\{3,5,3\}$ Coxeter lattice and surgery to arrive at a hyperbolic 3-manifold Σ_{120e} of maximal symmetry whose several coverings (and related POVMs) are close to the ones of the trefoil knot [41].

Let us start with a Lens space $L(p,q)$ that is 3-manifold obtained by gluing the boundaries of two solid tori together, so that the meridian of the first solid torus goes to a (p,q)-curve on the second solid torus [where a (p,q)-curve wraps around the longitude p times and around the meridian q times]. Then we generalize this concept to a knot exterior, i.e. the complement of an open solid torus knotted like the knot. One glues a solid torus so that its meridian curve goes to a (p,q)-curve on the torus boundary of the knot exterior, an operation called Dehn surgery [1, p. 275],[24, p 259],[42]. According to Lickorish's theorem, every closed, orientable, connected 3-manifold is obtained by performing Dehn surgery on a link in the 3-sphere. For example, surgeries on the trefoil knot allow to build the most important spherical 3-manifolds - the ones with a finite fundamental group - that are the basis

T	Name	$\eta_d(T)$
T_1	trefoil	{1,1,**2**,**3**,**2**, **8**,**7**,10,**10**,**28**, **27**,**88**,**134**,**171**,**354**}
$T_1(-1,1)$	$\Sigma(2,3,5)$	{1,0,0,0,**1**, **1**,0,0,0,**1**, 0,1,0,0,**1**}
$T_1(1,1)$	$\Sigma(2,3,7)$	{1,0,0,0,0, 0,**2**,1,**1**,0, 0,0,0,9,3}
$T_1(0,1)$	Σ'	{1,1,**2**,**2**,1, **5**,**3**,2,4,1, 1,12,3,3,**4**}
$K_0(0,1)$	Σ_Y	{1,1,1,**2**,2, **5**,1,2,2,4, **3**,17,1,1,2}
$v_{2413}(-3,2)$	Σ_{120e}	{1,1,**1**,**4**,1, **7**,2,25,**3**,**10**, **10**,**62**,1,30,23}

TABLE 5. A few surgeries (column 1), their name (column 2) and the cardinality list of d-coverings (alias conjugacy classes of subgroups). Plain characters are used to point out the possible construction of an IC-POVM in at least one the corresponding three-manifolds (see [15] and Sec. 2 for the ICs corresponding to T_1).

of ADE correspondence. The acronym ADE refers to simply laced Dynkin diagrams that connect apparently different objects such as Lie algebras, binary polyhedral groups, Arnold's theory of catastophes, Brieskorn spheres and quasicrystals, to mention a few [46].

4.1. **A few surgeries on the trefoil knot.**

The Poincaré homology sphere. The Poincaré dodecahedral space (alias the Poincaré homology sphere) was the first example of a 3-manifold not the 3-sphere. It can be obtained from $(-1,1)$ surgery on the left-handed trefoil knot T_1 [43].

Let p,q,r be three positive integers and mutually coprime, the Brieskorn sphere $\Sigma(p,q,r)$ is the intersection in $\mathbb{C}^3$ of the 5-sphere S^5 with the surface of equation $z_1^p + z_2^q + z_3^r = 1$. The homology of a Brieskorn sphere is that of the sphere S^3. A Brieskorn sphere is homeomorphic but not diffeomorphic to S^3. The sphere $\Sigma(2,3,5)$ may be identified to the Poincaré homology sphere. The sphere $\Sigma(2,3,7)$ [45] may be obtained from $(1,1)$ surgery on T_1. Table 5 provides the sequences η_d for the corresponding surgeries $(\pm 1,1)$ on T_1. Plain digits in these sequences point out the possibility of building ICs of the corresponding degree. This corresponds to a considerable filtering of the ICs coming from T_1.

For instance, the smallest IC from $\Sigma(2,3,5)$ has dimension five and is precisely the one coming from the congruence subgroup $5A^0$ in Table 1. But it is built from a non modular (fundamental) group whose permutation representation of the cosets is the alternating group $A_5 \cong \langle (1,2,3,4,5),(2,4,3)\rangle$ (compare [14, Sec. 3.3]).

The smallest dimensional IC derived from $\Sigma(2,3,7)$ is 7-dimensional and two-valued, the same than the one arising from the congruence subgroup $7A^0$ given in Table 1. But it arises from a non modular (fundamental) group with the permutation representation of cosets as $PSL(2,7) \cong \langle (1,2,4,6,7,5,3),(2,5,3)(4,6,7)\rangle$.

4.2. **The Seifert fibered toroidal manifold Σ'.**

An hyperbolic knot (or link) in S^3 is one whose complement is 3-manifold M^3 endowed with a complete Riemannian metric of constant negative curvature, i.e. it has a hyperbolic geometry and finite volume. A Dehn surgery on a hyperbolic knot is exceptional if it is reducible, toroidal or Seifert fibered (comprising a closed 3-manifold together with a decomposition into a disjoint union of circles called fibers). All other surgeries are hyperbolic. These categories are exclusive for a hyperbolic knot. In contrast, a non-hyperbolic knot such as the trefoil knot admits a toroidal Seifert fiber surgery Σ' obtained by $(0,1)$ Dehn filling on T_1 [44].

The smallest dimensional ICs built from Σ' are the Hesse SIC that is obtained from the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(2)$ (as for the trefoil knot) and the two-qubit IC that comes from a non modular fundamental group [with cosets organized as the alternating group $A_4 \cong \langle (2,4,3),(1,2,3)\rangle$].

4.3. **Akbulut's manifold Σ_Y.** Exceptional Dehn surgery at slope $(0,1)$ on the figure-of-eight knot K_0 leads to a remarkable manifold Σ_Y found in [45] in the context of 3-dimensional integral homology spheres smoothly bounding integral homology balls. Apart from its topological importance, we find that some of its coverings are associated to already discovered ICs and those coverings have the same fundamental group $\pi_1(\Sigma_Y)$.

The smallest IC-related covering (of degree 4) occurs with integral homology $\mathbb{Z}$ and the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(3)$ also found from the trefoil knot (see Table 1). Next, the covering of degree 6 and homology $\frac{\mathbb{Z}}{5}+\mathbb{Z}$ leads to the 6-dit IC of type $3C^0$ (also found from the trefoil knot). The next case corresponds to the (non-modular) 11-dimensional (3-valued) IC.

4.4. **The hyperbolic manifold Σ_{120e}.** The hyperbolic manifold closest to the trefoil knot manifold known to us was found in [41]. The goal in [41] is the search of -maximally symmetric- fundamental groups of 3-manifolds. In two dimensions, maximal symmetry groups are called Hurwitz groups and arise as quotients of the $(2,3,7)$-triangle groups. In three dimensions, the quotients of the minimal co-volume lattice Γ_{min} of hyperbolic isometries, and of its orientation preserving subgroup Γ^+_{min}, play the role of Hurwitz groups. Let C be the $\{3,5,3\}$ Coxeter group, Γ_{min} the split extension $C \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ and Γ^+_{min} one of the index two subgroups of Γ_{min} of presentation

$$\Gamma^+_{min} = \left\langle x,y,z | x^3, y^2, z^2, (xyz)^2, (xzyz)^2, (xy)^5 \right\rangle .$$

According to [41, Corollary 5], all torsion-free subgroups of finite index in Γ^+_{min} have index divisible by 60. There are two of them of index 60, called Σ_{60a} and Σ_{60b}, obtained as fundamental groups of surgeries $m017(-4,3)$ and $m016(-4,3)$. Torsion-free subgroups of index 120 in Γ^+_{min} are given in Table 6. It is remarkable that these groups are fundamental groups of oriented three-manifolds built with a single icosahedron except for Σ_{120e} and Σ_{120g}.

manifold T	subgroup	$\eta_d(T)$
oicocld$01_{00001} = s897(-3,2)$	Σ_{120a}	{1,0,0,0,0, 8,2,1,1,8}
oicocld$01_{00000} = s900(-3,2)$	Σ_{120b}	{1,0,0,0,5, 8,10,15,5,24}
oicocld$01_{00003} = v2051(-3,2)$	Σ_{120c}	{1,0,0,0,0, 4,8,12,6,6}
oicocld$01_{00002} = s890(3,2)$	Σ_{120d}	{1,0,1,5,0, 9,0,35,9,2}
$v2413(-3,2) \neq$ oicocld01_{00004}	Σ_{120e}	{1,1,1,4,1, 7,2,25,3,10}
oicocld$01_{00005} = v3215(1,2)$	Σ_{120f}	{1,0,0,0,0, 14,10,5,10,17}
v3318(-1,2)	Σ_{120g}	{1,3,1,2,0, 11,0,23,12,14}

TABLE 6. The index 120 torsion-free subgroups of Γ^+_{min} and their relation to the single isosahedron 3-manifolds [41]. The icosahedral symmetry is broken for Σ_{120e} (see the text for details).

The group Σ_{120e} is special in the sense that many small dimensional ICs may be built from it in contrast to the other groups in Table 6. The smallest ICs that may be build from Σ_{120e} are the Hesse SIC coming from the congruence subgroup $\Gamma_0(2)$,

the two-qubit IC coming the congruence subgroup $4A^0$ and the 6-dit ICs coming from the congruence subgroups $\Gamma(2)$, $3C^0$ or $\Gamma_0(4)$ (see [15, Sec. 3 and Table 1]). Higher dimensional ICs found from Σ_{120e} does not come from congruence subgroups.

5. Conclusion

The relationship between 3-manifolds and universality in quantum computing has been explored in this work. Earlier work of the first author already pointed out the importance of hyperbolic geometry and the modular group Γ for deriving the basic small dimensional IC-POVMs. In Sec. 2, the move from Γ to the trefoil knot T_1 (and the braid group B_3) to non-hyperbolic 3-manifolds could be investigated by making use of the d-fold coverings of T_1 that correspond to d-dimensional POVMs (some of them being IC). Then, in Sec. 3, we went on to universal links (such as the figure-of-eight knot, Whitehead link and Borromean rings) and the related hyperbolic platonic manifolds as new models for quantum computing based POVMs. Finally, in Sec. 4, Dehn fillings on T_1 were used to explore the connection of quantum computing to important exotic 3-manifolds [i.e. $\Sigma(2,3,5)$ and $\Sigma(2,3,7)$], to the toroidal Seifert fibered Σ', to Akbulut's manifold Σ_Y and to a maximum symmetry hyperbolic manifold Σ_{120e} slightly breaking the icosahedral symmetry. It is expected that our work will have importance for new ways of implementing quantum computing and for the understanding of the link between quantum information and cosmology [47, 48, 49]. A subsequent paper of ours develops the field of 3-manifold based UQC with its relationship to Bianchigroups [50].

Author contributions statement

All authors contributed significantly to the content of the paper. M. P. wrote the manuscript and the co-authors rewiewed it.

Funding

The first author acknowledges the support by the French "Investissements d'Avenir" program, project ISITE-BFC (contract ANR-15-IDEX-03). The other ressources came from Quantum Gravity Research.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

References

[1] W. P. Thurston, Three-dimensional geometry and topology (vol. 1), (Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1997).
[2] A. Yu Kitaev, Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons, Annals Phys. **303** 2-30 (2003).
[3] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman and S. Das Sarma, Non-Abelian anyons and topological quantum computation, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **80** 1083 (2008).
[4] Z. Wang, Topological Quantum Computation, (American Mathematical Soc., Rhode Island, Number 112, 2010).
[5] J K. Pachos, Introduction to Topological Quantum Computation (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012).
[6] L. H. Kauffman and R. L. Baadhio, Quantum topology (Series on knots and everything), (World Scientific, 1993).

[7] L. H. Kauffman, Knot logic and topological quantum computing with Majorana fermions, in *Linear and algebraic structures in quantum computing*, (J. Chubb, A. Eskandarian and V. Harizanov eds, Lecture notes in logic 45, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2016).
[8] N. Seiberg, T. Senthil, C. Wang and E. Witten, A duality web in $2+1$ dimensions and condensed matter physics, *Ann. Phys.* **374** 395-433 (2016).
[9] D. Gang, Y. Tachikawa and K. Yonekura, Smallest $3d$ hyperbolic manifolds via simple $3d$ theories, *Phys. Rev. D* 96 061701(R) (2017).
[10] N. C. Lim and S. E. Jackson, Molecular knots in biology and chemistry, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **27** 354101 (2015).
[11] K. Irwin, Toward a unification of physics and number theory, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/314209738 _Toward_the_Unification_of_Physics_and_Number_Theory
[12] V. Veitch, S. A. Mousavian, D. Gottesman and J. Emerson, *New J. of Phys.* **16**, Article ID 013009 (2014).
[13] M. Planat and R. Ul Haq, The magic of universal quantum computing with permutations, *Advances in mathematical physics* **217**, ID 5287862 (2017) 9 pp.
[14] M. Planat and Z. Gedik, Magic informationally complete POVMs with permutations, *R. Soc. open sci.* **4** 170387 (2017).
[15] M. Planat, The Poincaré half-plane for informationally complete POVMs, *Entropy* **20** 16 (2018).
[16] J. Milnor, The Poincaré conjecture 99 years later: a progress report (The Clay Mathematics Institute 2002 Annual Report, 2003), http://www.math.sunysb.edu/∼ jack/PREPRINTS/poiproof.pdf, Retrieved 2018-01-01.
[17] M. Planat, On the geometry and invariants of qubits, quartits and octits, *Int. J. Geom. Methods in Mod. Phys.* **8** 303-313 (2011).
[18] N. S. Manton, Connections on discrete fiber bundles, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **113** 341-351 (1987).
[19] R. Mosseri and R. Dandoloff, Geometry of entangled states, Bloch spheres and Hopf fibrations, it J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **34** 10243 (2001).
[20] J. A. Nieto, Division-Algebras/Poincare-Conjecture Correspondence, *J. Mod. Phys.* (Scientific Research) **4** 32-36 (2013).
[21] F. Fang, D. Hammock and K. Irwin, Methods for calculating empires in quasicrystals, *Crystals* **7** 304 (1997).
[22] A. Sen, R. Aschheim and K. Irwin, Emergence of an aperiodic Dirichlet space from the tetrahedral units of an icosahedral internal space *Mathematics* **5** 29 (2017).
[23] S. Bravyi and A. Kitaev, Universal quantum computation with ideal Clifford gates and noisy ancillas, *Phys. Rev.* **A71** 022316 (2005).
[24] C. C. Adams, The knot book, An elementary introduction to the mathematical theory of knots (W. H. Freeman and Co, New York, 1994).
[25] E. Fominikh, S. Garoufalidis, M. Goerner, V. Tarkaev and A. Vesnin, A census of tethahedral hyperbolic manifolds, *Exp. Math.* **25** 466-481 (2016).
[26] H. M. Hilden, M. T. Lozano and J. M. Montesinoos, On knots that are universal, *Topology* **24** 499-504 (1985).
[27] M. Culler, N. M. Dunfield, M. Goerner, and J. R. Weeks, SnapPy, a computer program for studying the geometry and topology of 3-manifolds, http://snappy.computop.org.
[28] A. D. Mednykh, A new method for counting coverings over manifold with finitely generated fundamental group, *Dokl. Math.* **74** 498-502 (2006).
[29] H. M. Hilden, M. T. Lozano, J. M. Montesinos and W. C. Whitten, On universal groups and three-manifolds, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **87** 441-45 (1987).
[30] A. D. Mednykh, A new method for counting coverings over manifold with finitely generated fundamental group, *Dokl. Math.* **74** 498-502 (200
[31] S. Vijay and L. Fu, A generalization of non-abelian anyons in three dimensions, arXiv 1706.07070 (2017).
[32] W. Bosma, J. J. Cannon, C. Fieker, A. Steel (eds), *Handbook of Magma functions*, Edition 2.23 (2017), 5914pp.

[33] Chris A. Fuchs, On the quantumness of a Hibert space, *Quant. Inf. Comp.* **4** 467-478 (2004).
[34] M. Appleby, T. Y. Chien, S. Flammia and S. Waldron, Constructing exact symmetric informationally complete measurements from numerical solutions, Preprint 1703.05981 [quant-ph].
[35] D. Rolfsen, *Knots and links* (Mathematics Lecture Series 7, Houston, 1990).
[36] D. Gabai, The Whitehead manifold is a union of two Euclidean spaces, *J. Topol.* **4** 529-534 (2011).
[37] J. Milnor, On the 3-dimensional Brieskorn manifolds $M(p, q, r)$, in *Knots, groups and 3-manifolds* (ed. L. P. Neuwirth), Annals of Math. Study 84, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 175-225 (1975).
[38] J. Hempel, The lattice of branched covers over the Figure-eight knot, *Topol. Appl.* **34** 183-201 (1990).
[39] R. C. Haraway, Determining hyperbolicity of compact orientable 3-manifolds with torus boundary, Arxiv 1410.7115 [math.GT].
[40] S. A. Ballas, J. Danciger and G. S. Lee, Convex projective structures on non-hyperbolic three-manifolds, Arxiv 1508.04794 [math.GT].
[41] M. Conder, G. Martin and A. Torstensson, Maximal symmetry groups of hyperbolic 3-manifolds *New Zealand J. Math.* **35** 3762 (2006).
[42] C. M. Gordon, Dehn filling: a survey, Knot Theory, *Banach Center Publ.* **42** 129-144, Polish Acad. Sci., Warsaw (1998).
[43] R. C. Kirby and M. G. Scharlemann, Eight faces of the Poincaré homology 3-sphere, in Geometric Topology (Acad. Press, New York, 1979) pp 113-146.
[44] Ying-Qing Wu, Seifert fibered surgery on Montesinos knots, Arxiv 1207.0154 [math.GT], to appear in Comm. Anal. Geom.
[45] S. Akbulut and K. Larson, Brieskorn spheres bounding rational balls, ArXiv 1704.07739 [math.GT].
[46] Saul-Paul Sirag, ADEX Theory, How the ADE Coxeter graphs unify mathematics and physics (World Scientific, Singapore, 2016).
[47] K. T. Chan, H. Zainuddin, K. A. M. Atan and A. A. Siddig, Computing Quantum Bound States on Triply Punctured Two-Sphere Surface, Chin. Phys. Lett. **33** 090301 (2016).
[48] R. Aurich, F. Steiner and H. Then, Numerical computation of Maass waveforms and an application to cosmology, in *Hyperbolic Geometry and Applications in Quantum Chaos and Cosmology* (Jens Bolte and Frank Steiner eds, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2012), Preprint gr-qc/0404020.
[49] T. Asselmeyer-Maluga, Smooth quantum gravity: Exotic smoothness and Quantum gravity, in *At the Frontier of Spacetime Scalar-Tensor Theory, Bells Inequality, Machs Principle, Exotic Smoothness* (Fundamental Theories of Physics book series (FTP), T. Asselmeyer-Maluga ed. (2016), pp 247-308).
[50] M. Planat, R. Aschheim, M. M. Amaral and K. Irwin, Quantum computing with Bianchi groups, Preprint 1808.06831 [math-GT].

† Université de Bourgogne/Franche-Comté, Institut FEMTO-ST CNRS UMR 6174, 15 B Avenue des Montboucons, F-25044 Besançon, France.
E-mail address: michel.planat@femto-st.fr

‡ Quantum Gravity Research, Los Angeles, CA 90290, USA
E-mail address: raymond@QuantumGravityResearch.org
E-mail address: Klee@quantumgravityresearch.org
E-mail address: Marcelo@quantumgravityresearch.org