

1-Shell totally symmetric plane partitions (TSPPs) modulo powers of 5

Shane Chern

Abstract. Let $s(n)$ be the number of 1-shell totally symmetric plane partitions (TSPPs) of n . In this paper, an infinite family of congruences modulo powers of 5 for $s(n)$ will be deduced through an elementary approach. Namely,

$$s(2 \cdot 5^{2\alpha-1}n + 5^{2\alpha-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{5^\alpha}.$$

Keywords. Partitions, 1-shell TSPPs, congruences, powers of 5.

2010MSC. 11P83, 05A17.

1. Introduction

The notion of *1-shell totally symmetric plane partitions*, or *1-shell TSPPs*, was introduced by Blecher [1]. Here a 1-shell TSPP is a plane partition with a self-conjugate first row/column (as an ordinary partition) and all other entries being 1. We have examples like

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{cccc} 4 & 4 & 2 & 2 \\ 4 & 1 & 1 & \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & \\ 2 & & & \end{array}.$$

Let $s(n)$ denote the number of 1-shell TSPPs of n . The following generating function identity was obtained by Blecher [1]:

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} s(n)q^n = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} q^{3n-2} \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} (1 + q^{6i+3}). \quad (1.1)$$

In [8], Hirschhorn and Sellers further proved that $s(n) = 0$ if $n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{3}$ for $n \geq 1$. It was also shown by them that for $n \geq 0$,

$$s(6n+1) = g(n), \quad (1.2)$$

where $g(n)$ is defined by

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} g(n)q^n = \frac{(q^2; q^2)_\infty^3}{(q; q)_\infty^2}. \quad (1.3)$$

Throughout, we adopt the standard notation

$$(a; q)_\infty := \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 - aq^n).$$

Like other partition functions, the arithmetic properties of $s(n)$ were extensively studied as well. In particular, congruences modulo small powers of 5 include:

$$s(10n+5) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}, \quad (1.4)$$

$$s(250n + 125) \equiv 0 \pmod{25} \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$s(1250n + 125, 1125) \equiv 0 \pmod{125}, \quad (1.6)$$

the first of which was shown by Hirschhorn and Sellers [8], the second of which was shown by Xia [10], and the last of which was due to the author [2]. From these instances, it is natural to expect an infinite family of congruences modulo arbitrary powers of 5.

In this paper, along with other separate congruences, we shall settle this problem.

Theorem 1.1. *For $\alpha \geq 1$ and $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$s(2 \cdot 5^{2\alpha-1}n + 5^{2\alpha-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{5^\alpha}. \quad (1.7)$$

Remark 1.1. Taking $\alpha = 1$ and 2, respectively, in (1.7), one may recover (1.4) and (1.5). To obtain (1.6), we need one more step of 5-dissection; the details will be discussed in Section 4.2.

Instead of using the well-known approach of Gordon and Hughes [5], which relies on modular forms, we will give an elementary proof of Theorem 1.1. Our idea is similar to that in the work of Hirschhorn and the author [3].

2. Fundamental relations

We require two auxiliary functions:

$$\xi = \xi(q) := q^{-4} \frac{E(q^2)^3 E(q^{25})^2}{E(q)^2 E(q^{50})^3}$$

and

$$X = X(q) := \frac{E(q^2)^2 E(q^5)^4}{E(q)^4 E(q^{10})^2},$$

where $E(q) := (q; q)_\infty$. Also, the U -operator is defined by

$$U\left(\sum_{n \geq n_0} a_n q^n\right) = \sum_{5n \geq n_0} a_{5n} q^n.$$

2.1. Initial relations. We begin with several initial relations.

$$U(X) = 11X - 60X^2 + 175X^3 - 250X^4 + 125X^5, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U(X^2) = & -24X + 675X^2 - 6700X^3 + 37300X^4 - 132500X^5 \\ & + 316875X^6 - 512500X^7 + 531250X^8 - 312500X^9 + 78125X^{10}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U(X^3) = & 21X - 2010X^2 + 49865X^3 - 615750X^4 + 4744125X^5 \\ & - 25301250X^6 + 98718750X^7 - 290062500X^8 + 649187500X^9 \\ & - 1103906250X^{10} + 1401562500X^{11} - 1281250000X^{12} \\ & + 791015625X^{13} - 292968750X^{14} + 48828125X^{15}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U(X^4) = & -8X + 2984X^2 - 164200X^3 + 3899475X^4 \\ & - 54212000X^5 + 508067500X^6 - 3469550000X^7 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + 18095862500X^8 - 74240000000X^9 + 243974062500X^{10} \\
& - 648743750000X^{11} + 1400732421875X^{12} - 2449687500000X^{13} \\
& + 3439882812500X^{14} - 3816406250000X^{15} + 3260253906250X^{16} \\
& - 2060546875000X^{17} + 903320312500X^{18} - 244140625000X^{19} \\
& + 30517578125X^{20}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
U(X^5) = & X - 2650X^2 + 316275X^3 \\
& - 13553000X^4 + 314189375X^5 \\
& - 4710706250X^6 + 50353584375X^7 \\
& - 407308906250X^8 + 2592548671875X^9 \\
& - 13334386718750X^{10} + 56443662109375X^{11} \\
& - 199097265625000X^{12} + 589929902343750X^{13} \\
& - 1474730957031250X^{14} + 3113805664062500X^{15} \\
& - 5542136230468750X^{16} + 8271881103515625X^{17} \\
& - 10261718750000000X^{18} + 10441009521484375X^{19} \\
& - 8547973632812500X^{20} + 5478668212890625X^{21} \\
& - 2639770507812500X^{22} + 896453857421875X^{23} \\
& - 190734863281250X^{24} + 19073486328125X^{25}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Also,

$$U(\xi) = 5X, \tag{2.6}$$

$$U(\xi X) = -20X + 305X^2 - 1475X^3 + 3875X^4 - 5625X^5 + 3125X^6, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U(\xi X^2) = & 24X - 1460X^2 + 23800X^3 - 191375X^4 + 948125X^5 \\
& - 3156250X^6 + 7284375X^7 - 11625000X^8 + 12187500X^9 \\
& - 7421875X^{10} + 1953125X^{11},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U(\xi X^3) = & -9X + 2705X^2 - 109575X^3 + 1915000X^4 \\
& - 19542500X^5 + 133646875X^6 - 658471875X^7 \\
& + 2433656250X^8 - 6892656250X^9 + 15069921875X^{10} \\
& - 25314453125X^{11} + 32082031250X^{12} - 29580078125X^{13} \\
& + 18603515625X^{14} - 7080078125X^{15} + 1220703125X^{16}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
U(\xi X^4) = & X - 2685X^2 + 254975X^3 - 8602875X^4 \\
& + 156808125X^5 - 1845540625X^6 + 15434215625X^7 \\
& - 97122593750X^8 + 476838593750X^9 - 1869609765625X^{10} \\
& + 5939105859375X^{11} - 15403876953125X^{12} + 32685605468750X^{13}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -56548388671875X^{14} + 79031005859375X^{15} - 87777099609375X^{16} \\
& + 75495605468750X^{17} - 48303222656250X^{18} + 21545410156250X^{19} \\
& - 5950927734375X^{20} + 762939453125X^{21}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Here we only prove (2.1) and (2.6). The rest can be demonstrated analogously but with lengthier calculation.

Recall some preliminary results. Let

$$R(q) = \left(\begin{matrix} q, q^4 \\ q^2, q^3; q^5 \end{matrix} \right)_{\infty}.$$

Then ([6, (8.1.1)])

$$E(q) = E(q^{25})(R(q^5)^{-1} - q - q^2R(q^5)) \tag{2.11}$$

and ([6, (8.4.4)])

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{E(q)} &= \frac{E(q^{25})^5}{E(q^5)^6} (R(q^5)^{-4} + qR(q^5)^{-3} + 2q^2R(q^5)^{-2} + 3q^3R(q^5)^{-1} \\
&\quad + 5q^4 - 3q^5R(q^5) + 2q^6R(q^5)^2 - q^7R(q^5)^3 + q^8R(q^5)^4).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Let (note that at this place we have an extra factor of q^{-1} comparing with the K defined in [3])

$$K = q^{-1} \frac{E(q^2)E(q^5)^5}{E(q)E(q^{10})^5}.$$

Then ([3, (9.10)])

$$K + 1 = q^{-1} \frac{E(q^2)^4 E(q^5)^2}{E(q)^2 E(q^{10})^4}, \tag{2.13}$$

([3, (9.12)])

$$K - 4 = q^{-1} \frac{E(q)^3 E(q^5)}{E(q^2) E(q^{10})^3} \tag{2.14}$$

and ([3, (9.11)])

$$X = \frac{E(q^2)^2 E(q^5)^4}{E(q)^4 E(q^{10})^2} = \frac{K}{K - 4}. \tag{2.15}$$

Further, for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, we define

$$P(\alpha, \beta) := \frac{1}{q^{\alpha} R(q)^{\alpha+2\beta} R(q^2)^{2\alpha-\beta}} + (-1)^{\alpha+\beta} q^{\alpha} R(q)^{\alpha+2\beta} R(q^2)^{2\alpha-\beta}. \tag{2.16}$$

The following results were shown by Tang and the author [4] (see also [3] in which the definition of $P(\alpha, \beta)$ is slightly different).

$$P(\alpha, \beta + 1) = 4K^{-1}P(\alpha, \beta) + P(\alpha, \beta - 1) \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$P(\alpha + 2, \beta) = KP(\alpha + 1, \beta) + P(\alpha, \beta), \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$P(0, 0) = 2, \tag{2.19}$$

$$P(0, 1) = \frac{R(q^2)}{R(q)^2} - \frac{R(q)^2}{R(q^2)} = 4K^{-1}, \tag{2.20}$$

$$P(1, 0) = \frac{1}{qR(q)R(q^2)^2} - qR(q)R(q^2)^2 = K \quad (2.21)$$

and

$$P(1, -1) = \frac{R(q)}{qR(q^2)^3} + \frac{qR(q^2)^3}{R(q)} = 4K^{-1} - 2 + K. \quad (2.22)$$

Hence, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, $P(\alpha, \beta)$ can be represented in $\mathbb{Z}[K, K^{-1}]$ by the above recurrences.

Remark 2.1. In a private communication, Mike Hirschhorn [7] further transformed (2.17)–(2.22) into a beautiful bivariate generating function for $P(\alpha, \beta)$:

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 0} P(\alpha, \beta) x^\alpha y^\beta = \frac{2 - Kx - 4K^{-1}y + (K + 2 + 4K^{-1})xy}{(1 - Kx - x^2)(1 - 4K^{-1}y - y^2)}. \quad (2.23)$$

Analogously, one could obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta \geq 0} P(\alpha, -\beta) x^\alpha y^\beta = \frac{2 - Kx + 4K^{-1}y + (K - 2 + 4K^{-1})xy}{(1 - Kx - x^2)(1 + 4K^{-1}y - y^2)}. \quad (2.24)$$

Now let us proceed with the proofs of (2.1) and (2.6). First,

$$\begin{aligned} U(X) &= \frac{E(q)^4}{E(q^2)^2} U\left(E(q^{50})^2 (R(q^{10})^{-1} - q^2 - q^4 R(q^{10}))^2 \right. \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{E(q^{25})^5}{E(q^5)^6} \right)^4 (R(q^5)^{-4} + qR(q^5)^{-3} + 2q^2 R(q^5)^{-2} + 3q^3 R(q^5)^{-1} \\ &\quad \left. + 5q^4 - 3q^5 R(q^5) + 2q^6 R(q^5)^2 - q^7 R(q^5)^3 + q^8 R(q^5)^4 \right)^4 \Big) \\ &\quad (2.25) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= q^4 \frac{E(q^5)^{20} E(q^{10})^2}{E(q)^{20} E(q^2)^2} (P(4, 6) - 4P(3, 6) - 80P(3, 5) + 212P(3, 4) \\ &\quad + 14P(2, 6) + 210P(2, 5) - 418P(2, 4) - 2200P(2, 3) \\ &\quad + 1860P(2, 2) + 708P(1, 4) + 2800P(1, 3) \\ &\quad - 1840P(1, 2) - 2400P(1, 1) + 60P(1, 0) \\ &\quad + 1815P(0, 2) + 3000P(0, 1) - 1015) \end{aligned} \quad (2.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= q^4 \frac{E(q^5)^{20} E(q^{10})^2}{E(q)^{20} E(q^2)^2} \frac{(K + 1)^4 (K - 4)^2}{K^6} \\ &\quad \times (K^4 + 144K^3 + 976K^2 + 1024K + 2816) \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= q^4 \frac{E(q^5)^{20} E(q^{10})^2}{E(q)^{20} E(q^2)^2} \frac{(K + 1)^4 (K - 4)^7}{K^7} \left(11 \left(\frac{K}{K - 4} \right) - 60 \left(\frac{K}{K - 4} \right)^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 175 \left(\frac{K}{K - 4} \right)^3 - 250 \left(\frac{K}{K - 4} \right)^4 + 125 \left(\frac{K}{K - 4} \right)^5 \right) \\ &= q^4 \frac{E(q^5)^{20} E(q^{10})^2}{E(q)^{20} E(q^2)^2} \left(q^{-1} \frac{E(q^2)^4 E(q^5)^2}{E(q)^2 E(q^{10})^4} \right)^4 \left(q^{-1} \frac{E(q)^3 E(q^5)}{E(q^2) E(q^{10})^3} \right)^7 \\ &\quad \times \left(q^{-1} \frac{E(q^2) E(q^5)^5}{E(q) E(q^{10})^5} \right)^{-7} (11X - 60X^2 + 175X^3 - 250X^4 + 125X^5) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 11X - 60X^2 + 175X^3 - 250X^4 + 125X^5.$$

We arrive at (2.1). Also,

$$\begin{aligned} U(\xi) &= \frac{E(q^5)^2}{E(q^{10})^3} U\left(q^{-4} E(q^{50})^3 (R(q^{10})^{-1} - q^2 - q^4 R(q^{10}))^3 \right. \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{E(q^{25})^5}{E(q^5)^6} \right)^2 (R(q^5)^{-4} + qR(q^5)^{-3} + 2q^2 R(q^5)^{-2} + 3q^3 R(q^5)^{-1} \\ &\quad \left. + 5q^4 - 3q^5 R(q^5) + 2q^6 R(q^5)^2 - q^7 R(q^5)^3 + q^8 R(q^5)^4)^2 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= q^2 \frac{E(q^5)^{12}}{E(q)^{12}} (-15P(2, 2) + 20P(2, 1) + 50P(1, 2) - 60P(1, 0) \\ &\quad - 20P(1, -1) - 5P(0, 3) - 60P(0, 2) + 75) \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

$$= q^2 \frac{E(q^5)^{12}}{E(q)^{12}} \frac{5(K+1)^2(K-4)^3}{K^3} \quad (2.30)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= q^2 \frac{E(q^5)^{12}}{E(q)^{12}} \frac{(K+1)^2(K-4)^4}{K^4} \left(5 \left(\frac{K}{K-4} \right) \right) \\ &= q^2 \frac{E(q^5)^{12}}{E(q)^{12}} \left(q^{-1} \frac{E(q^2)^4 E(q^5)^2}{E(q)^2 E(q^{10})^4} \right)^2 \left(q^{-1} \frac{E(q)^3 E(q^5)}{E(q^2) E(q^{10})^3} \right)^4 \\ &\quad \times \left(q^{-1} \frac{E(q^2) E(q^5)^5}{E(q) E(q^{10})^5} \right)^{-4} (5X) \\ &= 5X. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (2.6).

Notably, the derivation of (2.26), (2.27), (2.29) and (2.30) is somewhat intricate. Hence, it is helpful to give more details. I will use (2.29) and (2.30) as instances; one should be able to transplant this procedure to (2.26) and (2.27) with no difficulty. Also, a simple *Mathematica* program is implemented to verify related calculations. I am happy to supply the codes upon request.

From (2.28),

$$\begin{aligned} U(\xi) &= \frac{E(q^5)^{12}}{E(q)^{12}} U\left(q^{-4} (R(q^{10})^{-1} - q^2 - q^4 R(q^{10}))^3 \right. \\ &\quad \times (R(q^5)^{-4} + qR(q^5)^{-3} + 2q^2 R(q^5)^{-2} + 3q^3 R(q^5)^{-1} \\ &\quad \left. + 5q^4 - 3q^5 R(q^5) + 2q^6 R(q^5)^2 - q^7 R(q^5)^3 + q^8 R(q^5)^4)^2 \right) \\ &=: \frac{E(q^5)^{12}}{E(q)^{12}} U(\Pi(q)). \end{aligned}$$

Expanding $\Pi(q)$, selecting terms in which the power of q is a multiple of 5 and replacing q^5 by q (that is, applying the U -operator to $\Pi(q)$), one has

$$\begin{aligned} U(\Pi(q)) &= -\frac{15}{R(q)^6 R(q^2)^2} + \frac{20}{R(q)^4 R(q^2)^3} + q \frac{50}{R(q)^5} + \cdots + 75q^2 \\ &\quad + \cdots - 50q^3 R(q)^5 - 20q^4 R(q)^4 R(q^2)^3 - 15q^4 R(q)^6 R(q^2)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Grouping the first and last terms gives $-15q^2P(2, 2)$ by the definition (2.16). Likewise, we may obtain $20q^2P(2, 1)$, $50q^2P(1, 2)$ and all other terms in (2.26), and therefore complete the derivation.

To arrive at (2.27), one may carry out a careful implementation of the recurrences (2.17) and (2.18) for $P(\alpha, \beta)$ so that each $P(\cdot, \cdot)$ term in (2.26) is expressed in terms of K :

$$\begin{aligned} P(2, 2) &= 16K^{-2} + 16K^{-1} + 10 + 4K + K^2, \\ P(2, 1) &= 4K^{-1} + 4 + 2K + K^2, \\ P(1, 2) &= 16K^{-2} + 8K^{-1} + 4 + K, \\ P(1, 0) &= K, \\ P(1, -1) &= 4K^{-1} - 2 + K, \\ P(0, 3) &= 64K^{-3} + 12K^{-1}, \\ P(0, 2) &= 16K^{-2} + 2. \end{aligned}$$

Of course, a direct application of the “series expansion” command in most computer algebra systems to (2.23) and (2.24) will make our calculation much easier.

2.2. General relations. To state the general relations, let us define two infinite matrices $(a_{i,j})_{i \geq 1, j \geq 1}$ and $(b_{i,j})_{i \geq 0, j \geq 1}$ such that for $1 \leq i \leq 5$,

$$U(X^i) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a(i, j)X^j,$$

and for $0 \leq i \leq 4$,

$$U(\xi X^i) = \sum_{j \geq 1} b(i, j)X^j.$$

Both matrices $(a_{i,j})$ (for $i \geq 6$) and $(b_{i,j})$ (for $i \geq 5$) satisfy the same recurrence relation (here m stands for a matrix):

$$\begin{aligned} m(i, j) &= 55m(i-1, j-1) - 300m(i-1, j-2) + 875m(i-1, j-3) \\ &\quad - 1250m(i-1, j-4) + 625m(i-1, j-5) \\ &\quad - 60m(i-2, j-1) + 175m(i-2, j-2) - 250m(i-2, j-3) \\ &\quad + 125m(i-2, j-4) \\ &\quad + 35m(i-3, j-1) - 50m(i-3, j-2) + 25m(i-3, j-3) \\ &\quad - 10m(i-4, j-1) + 5m(i-4, j-2) \\ &\quad + m(i-5, j-1), \end{aligned}$$

in which the undefined entries are assumed to be 0.

Theorem 2.1. *We have, for $i \geq 1$,*

$$U(X^i) = \sum_{j \geq 1} a(i, j)X^j, \tag{2.31}$$

and for $i \geq 0$,

$$U(\xi X^i) = \sum_{j \geq 1} b(i, j)X^j. \tag{2.32}$$

Proof. It suffices to prove (2.31) for $i \geq 6$ and (2.32) for $i \geq 5$. Let $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/5}$. We define

$$x_t = X(\zeta^t q) \quad \text{for } t = 1, \dots, 5.$$

Further, let σ_t be the t -th elementary symmetric function of $x_1, \dots, x_5$, that is,

$$\sigma_t = \sum_{1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_t \leq 5} x_{k_1} x_{k_2} \cdots x_{k_t}.$$

For $i \geq 1$, let

$$p_i = \sum_{t=1}^5 x_t^i = 5U(X^i).$$

Note that p_i is obtained above for $i = 1, \dots, 5$. Therefore, we deduce from Newton's identities (cf. [9]) that

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= p_1 \\ &= 55X - 300X^2 + 875X^3 - 1250X^4 + 625X^5, \\ \sigma_2 &= (\sigma_1 p_1 - p_2)/2 \\ &= 60X - 175X^2 + 250X^3 - 125X^4, \\ \sigma_3 &= (\sigma_2 p_1 - \sigma_1 p_2 + p_3)/3 \\ &= 35X - 50X^2 + 25X^3, \\ \sigma_4 &= (\sigma_3 p_1 - \sigma_2 p_2 + \sigma_1 p_3 - p_4)/4 \\ &= 10X - 5X^2, \\ \sigma_5 &= (\sigma_4 p_1 - \sigma_3 p_2 + \sigma_2 p_3 - \sigma_1 p_4 + p_5)/5 \\ &= X. \end{aligned}$$

Let u be a complex-valued function and write $u_t = u(\zeta^t q)$ for $t = 1, \dots, 5$. Since each x_t ($t = 1, \dots, 5$) satisfies

$$x_t^5 - \sigma_1 x_t^4 + \sigma_2 x_t^3 - \sigma_3 x_t^2 + \sigma_4 x_t - \sigma_5 = 0,$$

we conclude that, for $i \geq 6$,

$$\begin{aligned} 5U(uX^i) &= \sum_{t=1}^5 u_t x_t^i = \sum_{t=1}^5 u_t (\sigma_1 x_t^{i-1} - \sigma_2 x_t^{i-2} + \sigma_3 x_t^{i-3} - \sigma_4 x_t^{i-4} + \sigma_5 x_t^{i-5}) \\ &= 5U(uX^{i-1})\sigma_1 - 5U(uX^{i-2})\sigma_2 + 5U(uX^{i-3})\sigma_3 \\ &\quad - 5U(uX^{i-4})\sigma_4 + 5U(uX^{i-5})\sigma_5. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, (2.31) and (2.32) follow by taking $u = 1$ and $u = \xi X^{-1}$, respectively. $\square$

2.3. Dissections. Let us define a family of sequences $(d_\alpha)_{\alpha \geq 1}$ with d_1 being

$$(5, 0, 0, \dots),$$

and the remaining sequences being recursively defined for $\alpha \geq 2$ by

$$d_\alpha(j) = \begin{cases} \sum_{k \geq 1} a(k, j) d_{\alpha-1}(k) & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is even,} \\ \sum_{k \geq 1} b(k, j) d_{\alpha-1}(k) & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Here we write the j -th element in d_α as $d_\alpha(j)$.

Remark 2.2. It is not hard to see that the two infinite matrices $(a_{i,j})$ and $(b_{i,j})$ are row and column finite. We now define $(t_{i,j})_{i,j \geq 1}$ by

$$t(i, j) = \sum_{k \geq 1} a(i, k) b(k, j).$$

Note that this sum is indeed a finite sum for fixed i and j . Also, it follows from the recurrence relation of d_α that for $\alpha \geq 1$,

$$d_{2\alpha+1}(j) = \sum_{i \geq 1} t(i, j) d_{2\alpha-1}(i).$$

Recall that

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} g(n) q^n = \frac{E(q^2)^3}{E(q)^2}.$$

Theorem 2.2. *For $\alpha \geq 1$, we have*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} g\left(5^{2\alpha-1}n + \frac{5^{2\alpha}-1}{6}\right) q^n = \frac{E(q^{10})^3}{E(q^5)^2} \sum_{j \geq 1} d_{2\alpha-1}(j) X^j \quad (2.33)$$

and

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} g\left(5^{2\alpha}n + \frac{5^{2\alpha}-1}{6}\right) q^n = \frac{E(q^2)^3}{E(q)^2} \sum_{j \geq 1} d_{2\alpha}(j) X^j. \quad (2.34)$$

Proof. We know from (2.6) that

$$\begin{aligned} 5X = U(\xi) &= U\left(q^{-4} \frac{E(q^2)^3 E(q^{25})^2}{E(q)^2 E(q^{50})^3}\right) = U\left(\frac{E(q^{25})^2}{E(q^{50})^3} \sum_{n \geq 0} g(n) q^{n-4}\right) \\ &= \frac{E(q^5)^2}{E(q^{10})^3} \sum_{n \geq 0} g(5n+4) q^n. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the case $\alpha = 1$. Assuming that the theorem is true for some positive odd integer $2\alpha - 1$, namely,

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} g\left(5^{2\alpha-1}n + \frac{5^{2\alpha}-1}{6}\right) q^n = \frac{E(q^{10})^3}{E(q^5)^2} \sum_{k \geq 1} d_{2\alpha-1}(k) X^k,$$

we apply the U -operator to both sides and deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} g\left(5^{2\alpha}n + \frac{5^{2\alpha}-1}{6}\right) q^n &= \frac{E(q^2)^3}{E(q)^2} \sum_{k \geq 1} d_{2\alpha-1}(k) U(X^k) \\ &= \frac{E(q^2)^3}{E(q)^2} \sum_{k \geq 1} d_{2\alpha-1}(k) \sum_{j \geq 1} a(k, j) X^j \\ &= \frac{E(q^2)^3}{E(q)^2} \sum_{j \geq 1} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} a(k, j) d_{2\alpha-1}(k) \right) X^j \\ &= \frac{E(q^2)^3}{E(q)^2} \sum_{j \geq 1} d_{2\alpha}(j) X^j. \end{aligned}$$

Further, multiplying both sides of the above identity by $q^{-4}E(q^{25})^2/E(q^{50})^3$ gives

$$\frac{E(q^{25})^2}{E(q^{50})^3} \sum_{n \geq 0} g \left(5^{2\alpha}n + \frac{5^{2\alpha} - 1}{6} \right) q^{n-4} = \sum_{k \geq 1} d_{2\alpha}(k) \xi X^k.$$

It follows by applying the U -operator once again that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} g \left(5^{2\alpha+1}n + \frac{5^{2\alpha+2} - 1}{6} \right) q^n &= \frac{E(q^{10})^3}{E(q^5)^2} \sum_{k \geq 1} d_{2\alpha}(k) U(\xi X^k) \\ &= \frac{E(q^{10})^3}{E(q^5)^2} \sum_{k \geq 1} d_{2\alpha}(k) \sum_{j \geq 1} b(k, j) X^j \\ &= \frac{E(q^{10})^3}{E(q^5)^2} \sum_{j \geq 1} \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} b(k, j) d_{2\alpha}(k) \right) X^j \\ &= \frac{E(q^{10})^3}{E(q^5)^2} \sum_{j \geq 1} d_{2\alpha+1}(j) X^j. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore arrive at the desired result by induction. $\square$

3. 5-Adic orders

For any integer n , let $\pi(n)$ be the 5-adic order of n with the convention that $\pi(0) = \infty$. Let $\lfloor x \rfloor$ be the largest integer not exceeding x .

3.1. Matrices $(a_{i,j})$ and $(b_{i,j})$. We now determine the 5-adic orders of $(a_{i,j})$ and $(b_{i,j})$.

Theorem 3.1. *For positive integers i and j , we have*

$$\pi(a(i, j)) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$\pi(b(i, j)) \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i + 2}{6} \right\rfloor. \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. Since the first five rows of $(a_{i,j})$ are given, one may directly check (3.1) for $1 \leq i \leq 5$. Assume that (3.1) holds for $1, \dots, i-1$ with some $i \geq 6$. We have (for some undefined entries like $a(i, 0)$, since we assign its value to be 0, its 5-adic order is therefore ∞):

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(a(i-1, j-1)) + 1 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5(j-1) - (i-1) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + 1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor, \\ \pi(a(i-1, j-2)) + 2 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5(j-2) - (i-1) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + 2 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor, \\ \pi(a(i-1, j-3)) + 3 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5(j-3) - (i-1) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + 3 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor, \\ \pi(a(i-1, j-4)) + 4 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5(j-4) - (i-1) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + 4 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor, \\ \pi(a(i-1, j-5)) + 4 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5(j-5) - (i-1) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + 4 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(a(i-2, j-1)) + 1 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5(j-1) - (i-2) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + 1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor, \\
\pi(a(i-2, j-2)) + 2 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5(j-2) - (i-2) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + 2 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor, \\
\pi(a(i-2, j-3)) + 3 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5(j-3) - (i-2) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + 3 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor, \\
\pi(a(i-2, j-4)) + 3 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5(j-4) - (i-2) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + 3 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor, \\
\pi(a(i-3, j-1)) + 1 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5(j-1) - (i-3) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + 1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor, \\
\pi(a(i-3, j-2)) + 2 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5(j-2) - (i-3) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + 2 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor, \\
\pi(a(i-3, j-3)) + 2 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5(j-3) - (i-3) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + 2 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor, \\
\pi(a(i-4, j-1)) + 1 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5(j-1) - (i-4) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + 1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor, \\
\pi(a(i-4, j-2)) + 1 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5(j-2) - (i-4) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + 1 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor, \\
\pi(a(i-5, j-1)) + 0 &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5(j-1) - (i-5) - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + 0 \geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from the recurrence relation of $(a_{i,j})$ that $\pi(a(i, j))$ is at least the minimum of the left-hand sides of the above 15 inequalities. We therefore finish the proof of (3.1) by induction.

Note that $(b_{i,j})$ shares the same recurrence relation with $(a_{i,j})$. Therefore, (3.2) can be shown analogously and the details are omitted. $\square$

From the definition of $(t_{i,j})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\pi(t(i, j)) &\geq \min_{k \geq 1} \{ \pi(a(i, k)) + \pi(b(k, j)) \} \\
&\geq \min_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{5k - i - 1}{6} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{5j - k + 2}{6} \right\rfloor \right\}. \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

3.2. Sequences $d_{2\alpha-1}$. We next study the 5-adic order of $d_{2\alpha-1}(j)$ for positive integers α and j .

Theorem 3.2. *For positive integers α and j , we have*

$$\pi(d_{2\alpha-1}(j)) \geq \alpha + \left\lfloor \frac{5j - 5}{6} \right\rfloor. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. It follows from $d_1 = (5, 0, 0, \dots)$ that (3.4) is true for $\alpha = 1$. Assuming that the theorem holds for some positive α , we now show

$$\pi(d_{2\alpha+1}(j)) \geq \alpha + 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{5j - 5}{6} \right\rfloor$$

for all $j \geq 1$ and therefore conclude the theorem by induction.

Note that for $\alpha \geq 1$, we have

$$d_{2\alpha+1}(j) = \sum_{i \geq 1} t(i, j) d_{2\alpha-1}(i).$$

Hence,

$$\pi(d_{2\alpha+1}(j)) \geq \min_{i \geq 1} \{ \pi(d_{2\alpha-1}(i)) + \pi(t(i, j)) \}.$$

It follows from (3.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(d_{2\alpha-1}(i)) + \pi(t(i, j)) &\geq \alpha + \left\lfloor \frac{5i-5}{6} \right\rfloor + \min_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{5k-i-1}{6} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{5j-k+2}{6} \right\rfloor \right\} \\ &\geq \alpha + \left\lfloor \frac{5i-5}{6} \right\rfloor + \min_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{5j-i+4k-5}{6} \right\rfloor \right\} \\ &= \alpha + \left\lfloor \frac{5i-5}{6} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{5j-i-1}{6} \right\rfloor \\ &\geq \alpha + 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{5j-5}{6} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{4i-13}{6} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

Observing that $4i-13 > 0$ for $i \geq 4$, it turns out that we only need to examine the cases $1 \leq i \leq 3$.

For $i = 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(d_{2\alpha-1}(3)) + \pi(t(3, j)) &\geq \alpha + 1 + \min_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{5k-3-1}{6} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{5j-k+2}{6} \right\rfloor \right\} \\ &\geq \alpha + 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{5j-4}{6} \right\rfloor \geq \alpha + 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{5j-5}{6} \right\rfloor. \end{aligned}$$

For $i = 1$ and 2 , we have

$$\pi(d_{2\alpha-1}(i)) + \pi(t(i, j)) \geq \alpha + \min_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{5k-i-1}{6} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{5j-k+2}{6} \right\rfloor \right\}.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\lfloor \frac{5k-i-1}{6} \right\rfloor + \left\lfloor \frac{5j-k+2}{6} \right\rfloor &\geq \begin{cases} \left\lfloor \frac{5j+1}{6} \right\rfloor & \text{if } k = 1 \\ \left\lfloor \frac{5j+4k-7}{6} \right\rfloor & \text{if } k \geq 2 \end{cases} \\ &\geq \left\lfloor \frac{5j-5}{6} \right\rfloor + 1. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore have, for all $j \geq 1$,

$$\pi(d_{2\alpha+1}(j)) \geq \alpha + 1 + \left\lfloor \frac{5j-5}{6} \right\rfloor,$$

which is our desired inequality. $\square$

4. Congruences for 1-shell TSPPs

4.1. Proof of the main theorem. Now we are in the position of proving Theorem 1.1. By Theorems 2.2 and 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} g \left(5^{2\alpha-1}n + \frac{5^{2\alpha}-1}{6} \right) q^n &= \frac{E(q^{10})^3}{E(q^5)^2} \sum_{j \geq 1} d_{2\alpha-1}(j) X^j \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{5^\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

To show

$$s(2 \cdot 5^{2\alpha-1}n + 5^{2\alpha-1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{5^\alpha},$$

we first notice that

$$s(2 \cdot 5^{2\alpha-1}(3n) + 5^{2\alpha-1}) = s(6 \cdot 5^{2\alpha-1}n + 5^{2\alpha-1}) = 0$$

since $6 \cdot 5^{2\alpha-1}n + 5^{2\alpha-1} \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and

$$s(2 \cdot 5^{2\alpha-1}(3n+1) + 5^{2\alpha-1}) = s(6 \cdot 5^{2\alpha-1}n + 3 \cdot 5^{2\alpha-1}) = 0$$

since $6 \cdot 5^{2\alpha-1}n + 3 \cdot 5^{2\alpha-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$. Hence, we only need to examine

$$s(2 \cdot 5^{2\alpha-1}(3n+2) + 5^{2\alpha-1}) = s(6 \cdot 5^{2\alpha-1}n + 5^{2\alpha}) \equiv 0 \pmod{5^\alpha}.$$

Finally, it follows from (1.2) and (4.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} s(6 \cdot 5^{2\alpha-1}n + 5^{2\alpha}) &= s\left(6 \left(5^{2\alpha-1}n + \frac{5^{2\alpha} - 1}{6}\right) + 1\right) \\ &= g\left(5^{2\alpha-1}n + \frac{5^{2\alpha} - 1}{6}\right) \equiv 0 \pmod{5^\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

4.2. More congruences. We begin with one of Ramanujan's theta functions

$$\phi(q) := \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{n^2}.$$

It is known that

$$\phi(-q) = \frac{E(q)^2}{E(q^2)}.$$

Ramanujan obtained the 5-dissection formula of $\phi(-q)$ (cf. [6, (36.3.2) with q replaced by $-q$]) as follows.

Lemma 4.1. *We have*

$$\phi(-q) = \phi(-q^{25}) - 2qM_1(-q^5) + 2q^4M_2(-q^5), \quad (4.2)$$

where $M_1(-q) = (q^3, q^7, q^{10}; q^{10})_\infty$ and $M_2(-q) = (q, q^9, q^{10}; q^{10})_\infty$.

We also have (cf. [6, (36.3.4) with q^5 replaced by $-q$]) the following relation between $M_1(-q)$ and $M_2(-q)$.

Lemma 4.2. *We have*

$$4qM_1(-q)M_2(-q) = \phi(-q^5)^2 - \phi(-q)^2. \quad (4.3)$$

Observing that $d_{2\alpha-1}(j) \geq \alpha + \lfloor (5j-5)/6 \rfloor \geq \alpha + 1$ for $j \geq 3$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} g\left(5^{2\alpha-1}n + \frac{5^{2\alpha} - 1}{6}\right) q^n \\ \equiv \frac{E(q^{10})^3}{E(q^5)^2} (d_{2\alpha-1}(1)X + d_{2\alpha-1}(2)X^2) \pmod{5^{\alpha+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

We further notice that

$$X = \frac{E(q^2)^2 E(q^5)^4}{E(q)^4 E(q^{10})^2} \equiv \left(\frac{E(q)^2}{E(q^2)}\right)^8 = \phi(-q)^8 \pmod{5}.$$

One may compute that

$$d_3(1) \equiv 3 \cdot 5^2 \pmod{5^3}$$

and

$$d_3(2) \equiv 5^2 \pmod{5^3}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} g(125n + 104) q^n \\ &\equiv 25 \frac{E(q^{10})^3}{E(q^5)^2} (3\phi(-q)^8 + \phi(-q)^{16}) \\ &\equiv 25 \frac{E(q^{10})^3}{E(q^5)^2} (3\phi(-q)^3 \phi(-q^5) + \phi(-q) \phi(-q^5)^3) \pmod{125}. \end{aligned}$$

We now apply Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} g(625n + 229) q^n &= \sum_{n \geq 0} g(125(5n + 1) + 104) q^n \\ &\equiv 25 \frac{E(q^2)^3}{E(q)^2} (3\phi(-q)M_1(-q) (4\phi(-q^5)^2 + 4qM_1(-q)M_2(-q)) + 3\phi(-q)^3 M_1(-q)) \\ &\equiv 75M_1(-q) \frac{E(q^2)^3}{E(q)^2} (4\phi(-q)\phi(-q^5)^2 + \phi(-q) (\phi(-q^5)^2 - \phi(-q)^2) + \phi(-q)^3) \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{125}. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} g(625n + 604) q^n &= \sum_{n \geq 0} g(125(5n + 4) + 104) q^n \\ &\equiv 50M_2(-q) \frac{E(q^2)^3}{E(q)^2} (4\phi(-q)\phi(-q^5)^2 + \phi(-q) (\phi(-q^5)^2 - \phi(-q)^2) + \phi(-q)^3) \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{125}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we arrive at an alternative (and indeed elementary) proof of (1.6):

$$s(1250n + 125, 1125) \equiv 0 \pmod{125},$$

since $s(n) = 0$ for $n \geq 1$ with $n \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{3}$, and $s(6n + 1) = g(n)$ for $n \geq 0$.

One may further compute that

$$d_5(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{5^4}$$

and

$$d_5(2) \equiv 4 \cdot 5^3 \pmod{5^4}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \geq 0} g(3125n + 2604) q^n &\equiv 4 \cdot 125 \frac{E(q^{10})^3}{E(q^5)^2} \phi(-q)^{16} \\ &\equiv 4 \cdot 125 \frac{E(q^{10})^3}{E(q^5)^2} \phi(-q) \phi(-q^5)^3 \pmod{625}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 4.1 that $\phi(-q)$ contains no terms in which the power of q is 2 or 3 modulo 5. Hence,

$$g(15625n + 8854) = g(3125(5n + 2) + 2604) \equiv 0 \pmod{625}$$

and

$$g(15625n + 11979) = g(3125(5n + 3) + 2604) \equiv 0 \pmod{625}.$$

Consequently, we have two congruences modulo 625 as follows.

Theorem 4.3. *For $n \geq 0$, we have*

$$s(31250n + 9375) \equiv 0 \pmod{625} \tag{4.4}$$

and

$$s(31250n + 21875) \equiv 0 \pmod{625}. \tag{4.5}$$

Acknowledgements. I would like to acknowledge my gratitude to the referee for helpful comments on an earlier draft of this paper.

References

1. A. Blecher, Geometry for totally symmetric plane partitions (TSPPs) with self-conjugate main diagonal, *Util. Math.* **88** (2012), 223–235.
2. S. Chern, Congruences for 1-shell totally symmetric plane partitions, *Integers* **17** (2017), Paper No. A21, 7 pp.
3. S. Chern and M. D. Hirschhorn, Partitions into distinct parts modulo powers of 5, *Ann. Comb.* **23** (2019), no. 3-4, 659–682.
4. S. Chern and D. Tang, The Rogers–Ramanujan continued fraction and related eta-quotient representations, submitted.
5. B. Gordon and K. Hughes, Ramanujan congruences for $q(n)$, *Analytic number theory* (Philadelphia, Pa., 1980), pp. 333–359, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, 899, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1981.
6. M. D. Hirschhorn, *The power of q . A personal journey*, *Developments in Mathematics*, 49, Springer, Cham, 2017.
7. M. D. Hirschhorn, private communication (August 2019).
8. M. D. Hirschhorn and J. A. Sellers, Arithmetic properties of 1-shell totally symmetric plane partitions, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **89** (2014), no. 3, 473–478.
9. D. G. Mead, Newton’s identities, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **99** (1992), no. 8, 749–751.
10. E. X. W. Xia, A new congruence modulo 25 for 1-shell totally symmetric plane partitions, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **91** (2015), no. 1, 41–46.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PENN STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802, USA
E-mail address: shanechern@psu.edu