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Abstract. The article is devoted to explicit one-step numerical methods with strong
order of convergence 2.5 for Ito stochastic differential equations with multidimensional non-
additive noise. We consider the numerical methods, based on the unified Taylor-Ito and
Taylor-Stratonovich expansions. For numerical modeling of multiple Ito and Stratonovich
stochastic integrals of multiplicities 1-5 we applying the method of multiple Fourier-Legendre
series, converging in the mean-square sense in the space \(L^2([t, T]^k); \ k = 1, \ldots, 5\). The article
is addressed to engineers who use numerical modeling in stochastic control and for solving
the non-linear filtering problem. The article can be interesting for the scientists who working
in the field of numerical integration of stochastic differential equations.

1. Introduction

Let \((\Omega, F, P)\) be a complete probability space, let \(\{F_t, t \in [0, T]\}\) be a nondecreasing right-continuous
family of \(\sigma\)-subfields of \(F\), and let \(f_t\) be a standard \(m\)-dimensional Wiener stochastic process, which
is \(F_t\)-measurable for any \(t \in [0, T]\). We assume that the components \(f_t^{(i)} (i = 1, \ldots, m)\) of this process
are independent. Consider an Ito stochastic differential equation in the integral form:

\[
\begin{align*}
x_t &= x_0 + \int_0^t a(x_\tau, \tau) d\tau + \int_0^t B(x_\tau, \tau) df_\tau, \quad x_0 = x(0, \omega).
\end{align*}
\]

Here \(x_t\) is some \(n\)-dimensional stochastic process satisfying Eq. (1). The nonrandom functions \(a : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n, B : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}\) guarantee the existence and uniqueness up to stochastic
equivalence of a solution of Eq. (1). The second integral on the right-hand side of (1) is interpreted
as an Ito integral. Let \(x_0\) be an \(n\)-dimensional random variable, which is \(F_0\)-measurable and \(M\{|x_0|^2\} < \infty; M\) denotes a mathematical expectation. We assume that \(x_0\) and \(f_t - f_0\) are independent when
\(t > 0\).

It is well known [2] - [4] that Ito stochastic differential equations are adequate mathematical models
of dynamic systems under the influence of random disturbances. One of the effective approaches to
numerical integration of Ito stochastic differential equations is an approach based on Taylor-Ito and
Taylor-Stratonovich expansions [2] - [8]. The most important feature of such expansions is a presence
in them of so-called multiple Ito and Stratonovich stochastic integrals, which play the key role for
solving the problem of numerical integration of Ito stochastic differential equations and has the
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following form:

\[
J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} = \int_{t}^{T} J \psi_k(t_k) \cdots \int_{t}^{t_2} J \psi_1(t_1) d\omega_{t_i}^{(i_1)} \cdots d\omega_{t_k}^{(i_k)},
\]

\[
J^*[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} = \int_{t}^{*} J \psi_k(t_k) \cdots \int_{t}^{* t_2} J \psi_1(t_1) d\omega_{t_i}^{(i_1)} \cdots d\omega_{t_k}^{(i_k)},
\]

where every \(\psi_l(\tau)\) \((l = 1, \ldots, k)\) is a continuous function on \([t, T]\); \(w^{(i)}_\tau = f^{(i)}_\tau\) for \(i = 1, \ldots, m\) and \(w^{(0)}_\tau = \tau; i_1, \ldots, i_k = 0, 1, \ldots, m\); and

\[
\int_{t}^{*} \text{and } \int_{t}^{*}
\]
denote Ito and Stratonovich integrals, respectively.

Note that \(\psi_l(\tau) \equiv 1 (l = 1, \ldots, k); i_1, \ldots, i_k = 0, 1, \ldots, m\) in [2] - [6] and \(\psi_l(\tau) \equiv (t - \tau)^{q_l}\)
\((l = 1, \ldots, k; q_1, \ldots, q_k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots); i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m\) in [7], [8].

We want to mention in short, that there are two main criteria of numerical methods convergence for Ito stochastic differential equations: a strong or mean-square criterion and a weak criterion where the subject of approximation is not the solution of Ito stochastic differential equation, simply stated, but the distribution of Ito stochastic differential equation solution. Both mentioned criteria are independent, i.e. in general it is impossible to state, that from execution of strong criterion follows execution of weak criterion and vice versa. Each of two convergence criteria is oriented on solution of specific classes of mathematical problems connected with stochastic differential equations.

Using the strong numerical methods, we may build sample paths of Ito stochastic differential equation numerically. These methods require the combined mean-square approximation for collections of multiple Ito and Stratonovich stochastic integrals. Effective solution of this task composes one of the subjects of this article. The strong numerical methods are using when building new mathematical models on the basis of Ito stochastic differential equations, when solving the task of numerical solution of filtering problem of signal under the influence of random disturbance in various arrangements, when solving the task connected with stochastic optimal control, and the task connected with testing procedures of evaluating parameters of stochastic systems and other tasks.

2. EXPLICIT ONE-STEP STRONG NUMERICAL SCHEME OF ORDER 2.5, BASED ON THE UNIFIED TAYLOR-ITO EXPANSION

Consider the partition \(\{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^{N}\) of the interval \([0, T]\) such that

\[
t = \tau_0 < \cdots < \tau_N = T, \quad \Delta_N = \max_{0 \leq j \leq N-1} \Delta\tau_j, \quad \Delta\tau_j = \tau_{j+1} - \tau_j.
\]

Let \(y_{\tau_j} \overset{\text{def}}{=} y_j; j = 0, 1, \ldots, N\) be a time discrete approximation of the process \(x_t; t \in [0, T]\), which is a solution of Ito stochastic differential equation (1).

**Definition 1.** [2] We shall say that a time discrete approximation \(y_j; j = 0, 1, \ldots, N\), corresponding to the maximal step of discretization \(\Delta_N\), converges strongly with order \(\gamma > 0\) at time moment \(T\) to the process \(x_t; t \in [0, T]\), if there exists a constant \(C > 0\), which does not depend on \(\Delta_N\), and a \(\delta > 0\) such that \(M\{x_T - y_T\} \leq C(\Delta_N)^{\gamma}\) for each \(\Delta_N \in (0, \delta)\).

Consider explicit one-step strong numerical scheme of order 2.5, based on so-called unified Taylor-ito expansion [9] - [13], [19].
Explicit one-step strong numerical methods of order 2.5

\[ y_{p+1} = y_p + \sum_{i_1=1}^{m} B_{i_1} I_{(0)p+1}^{(i_1)} + \Delta a + \sum_{i_1,i_2=1}^{m} G_{i_2} B_{i_1} I_{(0)p+1}^{(i_2,i_1)} + \]

\[ + \sum_{i_1=1}^{m} \left( G_{i_1} a \left( I_{(0)p+1}^{(i_1)} - L B_{i_1} I_{(0)p+1}^{(i_1)} \right) + \right. \]

\[ + \sum_{i_1,i_2,i_3=1}^{m} G_{i_3} G_{i_2} B_{i_1} I_{(000)p+1}^{(i_3,i_2,i_1)} + \]

\[ + \frac{1}{2} \left( L B_{i_1} I_{(0)p+1}^{(i_1)} - L G_{i_1} a \left( I_{(0)p+1}^{(i_1)} \right) + \right. \]

\[ + \sum_{i_1,i_2,i_3=1}^{m} \left( G_{i_3} L G_{i_2} B_{i_1} I_{(000)p+1}^{(i_3,i_2,i_1)} + \right. \]

\[ + G_{i_3} G_{i_2} L B_{i_1} I_{(001)p+1}^{(i_3,i_2,i_1)} + \]

\[ + G_{i_3} G_{i_2} a \left( I_{(001)p+1}^{(i_3,i_2,i_1)} \right) + \]

\[ + \left. G_{i_3} G_{i_2} G_{i_1} a, I_{(000)p+1}^{(i_3,i_2,i_1)} \right) \]

\[ = 1 \]

where \( \Delta = T/N \) \((N > 1)\) is a constant step of integration; \( \tau_p = p\Delta \) \((p = 0, 1, \ldots, N)\); \( I_{(l_1 \ldots l_k)t}^{(i_1 \ldots i_k)} \) is an approximation of multiple Ito stochastic integral of the form:

\[ I_{(l_1 \ldots l_k)t}^{(i_1 \ldots i_k)} = \int_{t}^{t_2} (t - \tau_1)^{l_1} \ldots \int_{t}^{t_2} (t - \tau_k)^{l_k} dF_{\tau_1}^{(i_1)} \ldots dF_{\tau_k}^{(i_k)} \]

\[ L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{n} B_{ij}(x,t) B_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} ; \]

\[ G_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m} B_{ij}(x,t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(x,t) ; \]

\( l_1, \ldots, l_k = 0, 1, 2; \ldots; i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m; \)

\( k = 1, 2, \ldots; B_i - \) is an \( i \)-th column of the matrix function \( B \) and \( B_{ij} - \) is an \( ij \)-th element of the matrix function \( B \); \( a_i - \) is an \( i \)-th element of the vector function
and $x_i$ — is an $i$-th element of the column $x$; columns $B_{i_1}$, $a$, $G_{i_2}B_{i_1}$, $G_{i_4}a$, $LB_{i_1}$, $G_{i_6}G_{i_2}B_{i_1}$, $La$, $LLa$, $G_{i_2}LB_{i_1}$, $LG_{i_2}B_{i_1}$, $G_{i_4}G_{i_2}G_{i_2}B_{i_1}$, $G_{i_4}La$, $LLB_{i_1}$, $LG_{i_4}a$, $G_{i_6}LG_{i_2}B_{i_1}$, $G_{i_6}G_{i_2}LB_{i_1}$, $G_{i_6}G_{i_2}G_{i_4}a$, $LG_{i_6}G_{i_2}B_{i_1}$, $G_{i_6}G_{i_4}G_{i_6}G_{i_2}B_{i_1}$ are calculated in the point $(y_p, p)$.

It is well known [2] that under the standard conditions the numerical scheme [1] has strong order of convergence 2.5. Among these conditions we consider only the condition for approximations of multiple Ito stochastic integrals from the numerical scheme [1] [2], [9]:

$$\lim_{\Delta \to 0} M \left\{ \left( I_{(l_1, \ldots, l_k) T, \tau} - I_{(l_1, \ldots, l_k) T, \tau} \right)^2 \right\} \leq C \Delta^6,$$

where $I_{(l_1, \ldots, l_k) T, \tau}$ is an approximation of $I_{(l_1, \ldots, l_k) T, \tau}$, constant $C$ does not depend on $\Delta$.

Note that the truncated unified Taylor-Ito and Taylor-Stratonovich expansions [7] - [13], [19] contain the less number of various types of multiple stochastic integrals (moreover, their major part will have less multiplicity) in comparison with classic Taylor-Ito and Taylor-Stratonovich expansions [2], [6].


### 3. Approximation of Multiple Ito Stochastic Integrals. Direct Approach

Suppose that every $\psi_l(\tau)$ $(l = 1, \ldots, k)$ is a continuous on $[t, T]$ function. Define the following function on a hypercube $[t, T]^k$:

$$K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) = \prod_{l=1}^k \psi_l(t_l) \prod_{l=1}^{k-1} 1_{\{t_l < t_{l+1}\}}; \ t_1, \ldots, t_k \in [t, T]; \ k \geq 2,$$

and $K(t_1) = \psi_1(t_1); \ t_1 \in [t, T]$, where $1_A$ is the indicator of the set $A$.

Suppose that $\{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^\infty$ is a complete orthonormal system of functions in $L_2([t, T])$.

The function $K(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ is sectionally continuous in the hypercube $[t, T]^k$. At this situation it is well known, that the multiple Fourier series of $K(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ in $L_2([t, T]^k)$ is converging to $K(t_1, \ldots, t_k)$ in the hypercube $[t, T]^k$ in the mean-square sense, i.e.

$$\lim_{p_1, \ldots, p_k \to \infty} \int_{[t, T]^k} \left( K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) - \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} \prod_{l=1}^k \phi_{j_l}(t_l) \right)^2 dt_1 \ldots dt_k = 0,$$

where

$$C_{j_k \ldots j_1} = \int_{[t, T]^k} K(t_1, \ldots, t_k) \prod_{l=1}^k \phi_{j_l}(t_l) dt_1 \ldots dt_k.$$

Consider the partition $\{\tau_j\}_{j=0}^N$ of the interval $[t, T]$ such that

$$t = \tau_0 < \ldots < \tau_N = T, \ \Delta N = \max_{0 \leq j \leq N-1} \Delta \tau_j \to 0 \text{ if } N \to \infty, \ \Delta \tau_j = \tau_{j+1} - \tau_j.$$
**Theorem 1** (see [9] - [20]). Suppose that every $\psi_l(\tau)$ $(l = 1, \ldots, k)$ is a continuous on $[t, T]$ function and $\{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{\infty}$ is a complete orthonormal system of continuous functions in $L_2([t, T])$. Then

$$J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} = \lim_{p_1, \ldots, p_k \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \cdots \sum_{j_k=0}^{p_k} C_{j_k \cdots j_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{k} \zeta_{j_l}^{(i)} \right)$$

$$- \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{(l_1, \ldots, l_k) \in G_k} \phi_{j_1}(\tau_{l_1}) \Delta w_{j_1}^{(i)} \cdots \phi_{j_k}(\eta_{l_k}) \Delta w_{l_k}^{(i)}$$

(11)

where

$$G_k = H_k \setminus L_k; \quad H_k = \{(l_1, \ldots, l_k); \ l_1, \ldots, l_k = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1\};$$

$$L_k = \{(l_1, \ldots, l_k); \ l_1, \ldots, l_k = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1; \ l_g \neq l_r (g \neq r); \ g, r = 1, \ldots, k\};$$

i.m. is a limit in the mean-square sense; $i_1, \ldots, i_k = 0, 1, \ldots, m$; every

$$\zeta_{j}^{(i)} = \int_{t}^{T} \phi_{j}(s)dw_{s}^{(i)}$$

is a standard Gaussian random variable for various $i$ or $j$ (if $i \neq 0$); $C_{j_k \cdots j_1}$ is the Fourier coefficient [9]; $\Delta w_{j_1}^{(i)} = w_{\tau_{l+1}}^{(i)} - w_{\tau_{l}}^{(i)}$ $(i = 0, 1, \ldots, m)$; $\{\tau_{j_1} \}_{j_1=0}^{N-1}$ is a partition of the interval $[t, T]$, which satisfies the condition [10].

Let’s denote as $J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t}^{p}$ the prelimit expression in (11) if $p_1 = \ldots = p_k = q$. We will interpret $J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t}^{q}$ as an approximation of $J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t}$.

From the theorem 1 we obtain [9] - [20]:

$$J[\psi^{(1)}]_{T,t}^{q} = \sum_{j_1=0}^{q} C_{j_1} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i)}$$

(13)

$$J[\psi^{(2)}]_{T,t}^{q} = \sum_{j_1,j_2=0}^{q} C_{j_2 j_1} \left( \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} - \mathbf{1}_{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{j_1 = j_2} \right)$$

(14)

$$J[\psi^{(3)}]_{T,t}^{q} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3=0}^{q} C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} \left( \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} \mathbf{1}_{i_1 = i_2 = i_3 \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{j_1 = j_2 = j_3} \right)$$

(15)

$$J[\psi^{(4)}]_{T,t}^{q} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4=0}^{q} C_{j_4 j_3 j_2 j_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{4} \zeta_{j_l}^{(i_l)} - \right.$$

$$\left. \mathbf{1}_{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{j_1 = j_2} \mathbf{1}_{j_3} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} \mathbf{1}_{j_4} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} - \mathbf{1}_{i_1 = i_3 \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{j_1 = j_3} \mathbf{1}_{j_2} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \mathbf{1}_{j_4} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} - \mathbf{1}_{i_1 = i_4 \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{j_1 = j_4} \mathbf{1}_{j_2} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \mathbf{1}_{j_3} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} \mathbf{1}_{j_4} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} - \mathbf{1}_{i_2 = i_4 \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{j_2 = j_4} \mathbf{1}_{j_1} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \mathbf{1}_{j_3} \zeta_{j_3}^{(i_3)} \mathbf{1}_{j_4} \zeta_{j_4}^{(i_4)} + \mathbf{1}_{i_1 = i_2 \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{j_1 = j_2} \mathbf{1}_{i_3 = i_4 \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{j_3 = j_4} \mathbf{1}_{j_1} \zeta_{j_1}^{(i_1)} \mathbf{1}_{j_2} \zeta_{j_2}^{(i_2)} \right.$$}

(16)

$$J[\psi^{(5)}]_{T,t}^{q} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4,j_5=0}^{q} C_{j_5 j_4 j_3 j_2 j_1} \left( \prod_{l=1}^{5} \zeta_{j_l}^{(i_l)} - \right.$$

$$\left. \mathbf{1}_{i_1 = i_5 \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{j_1 = j_5} \mathbf{1}_{i_2 = i_3 \neq 0} \mathbf{1}_{j_2 = j_3} \right).$$
The value \( E_k^q \) can be calculated exactly.

**Theorem 2** (see [19], [21]). Suppose that the conditions of the theorem 1 are satisfied. Then

\[
E_k^q = I_k - \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_k=0}^{q} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} M \left\{ J_{\psi(k)}^{(q)} s_{j_k} \cdots s_{j_1} \right\} = I_k - \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_k=0}^{q} C_{j_k \ldots j_1} M \left\{ J_{\psi(k)}^{(q)} s_{j_k} \cdots s_{j_1} \right\}
\]

where \( i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m \); expression

\[
\sum_{(j_1, \ldots, j_k)}
\]
means the sum according to all possible derangements \((j_1, \ldots, j_k)\), at the same time if \(j_q\) changed places with \(j_r\) in the derangement \((j_1, \ldots, j_k)\), then \(i_r\) changes places with \(i_q\) in the derangement \((i_1, \ldots, i_k)\); another denotations see in the theorem 1.

Note that

\[
M \left\{ J[\psi^{(k)}]_{T,t} \int \phi_{j_k}(t_k) \cdots \int \phi_{j_1}(t_1) dI_{t_1}^{(i_1)} \cdots dI_{t_k}^{(i_k)} \right\} = C_{j_k \cdots j_1}.
\]

Then from the theorem 2 for pairwise different \(i_1, \ldots, i_k\) and for \(i_1 = \ldots = i_k\) we obtain [19], [21]:

\[
E_k^q = I_k - \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_k = 0}^q C_{j_k \cdots j_1}^2,
\]

\[
E_k^q = I_k - \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_k = 0}^q C_{j_k \cdots j_1} \left( \sum_{(j_1, \ldots, j_k)} C_{j_k \cdots j_1} \right),
\]

where

\[
\sum_{(j_1, \ldots, j_k)}
\]

is a sum according to all possible derangements \((j_1, \ldots, j_k)\).

Consider some examples [19], [21] of application of the theorem 2 \((i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m)\):

\[
E_2^q = I_2 - \sum_{j_1, j_2 = 0}^q C_{j_2 j_1}^2 - \sum_{j_1, j_2 = 0}^q C_{j_2 j_1} C_{j_1 j_2} (i_1 = i_2),
\]

\[
E_3^q = I_3 - \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_1 = 0}^q C_{j_3 j_2 j_1}^2 - \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_1 = 0}^q C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} C_{j_2 j_1 j_2} (i_1 = i_2 \neq i_3),
\]

\[
E_3^3 = I_3 - \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_1 = 0}^q C_{j_3 j_2 j_1}^2 - \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_1 = 0}^q C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} C_{j_2 j_1 j_2} (i_1 \neq i_2 = i_3),
\]

\[
E_3^3 = I_3 - \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_1 = 0}^q C_{j_3 j_2 j_1}^2 - \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_1 = 0}^q C_{j_3 j_2 j_1} C_{j_2 j_1 j_2} (i_1 = i_3 \neq i_2),
\]

The values \(E_2^q\) and \(E_3^q\) were calculated exactly for all possible \(i_1, \ldots, i_5 = 1, \ldots, m\) in [19], [21].

Let’s consider approximations of multiple Ito stochastic integrals from [41] using [13] – [17] and complete orthonormal system of Legendre polynomials in the space \(L_2([\tau_p, \tau_{p+1}])\) \((\tau_p = p\Delta, N\Delta = T; \ p = 0, 1, \ldots, N)\) [9] - [19], [22]:

\[
I^{(i_1)}_{(0)}|_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} = \sqrt{\Delta} \zeta_0^{(i_1)},
\]

\[
I^{(i_1)}_{(1)}|_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} = -\frac{\Delta^{3/2}}{2} \left( \zeta_0^{(i_1)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \zeta_1^{(i_1)} \right),
\]

\[
I^{(i_1)}_{(2)}|_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} = \frac{\Delta^{5/2}}{3} \left( \zeta_0^{(i_1)} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \zeta_1^{(i_1)} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \zeta_2^{(i_1)} \right),
\]

\[
I^{(i_1 i_2)}_{(00)}|_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} = \frac{\Delta}{2} \left( \zeta_0^{(i_1)} \zeta_0^{(i_2)} + \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{1}{\sqrt{i^2 - 1}} \left( \zeta_0^{(i_1)} \zeta_0^{(i_2)} - \zeta_i^{(i_1)} \zeta_i^{(i_2)} - 1_{\{i_1 = i_2\}} \right) \right),
\]
\[ I_{(01)}^{(i_1i_2)}_{\tau p+1,\tau p} = -\frac{\Delta}{2} I_{(00)}^{(i_1i_2)}_{\tau p+1,\tau p} - \frac{\Delta^2}{4} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} s_0^{(i_1)} s_1^{(i_2)} + \right. \]
\[ + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \left( \frac{(i+2)\zeta_{i+2}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{i+2}^{(i_2)} - (i+1)\zeta_{i+2}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{i+2}^{(i_2)}}{\sqrt{(2i+1)(2i+5)(2i+3)}} - \zeta_{i}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{i}^{(i_2)} \right) \]
\[ \left. \frac{1}{(2i-1)(2i+3)} \right) \]
\[ (29) \]
\[ I_{(10)}^{(i_1i_2)}_{\tau p+1,\tau p} = -\frac{\Delta}{2} I_{(00)}^{(i_1i_2)}_{\tau p+1,\tau p} - \frac{\Delta^2}{4} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} s_0^{(i_2)} s_1^{(i_1)} + \right. \]
\[ + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \left( \frac{(i+1)\zeta_{i+2}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{i}^{(i_2)} - (i+2)\zeta_{i}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{i}^{(i_2)}}{\sqrt{(2i+1)(2i+5)(2i+3)}} + \zeta_{i}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{i}^{(i_2)} \right) \]
\[ \left. \frac{1}{(2i-1)(2i+3)} \right) \]
\[ (30) \]
\[ I_{(00)}^{(i_1i_2i_3)}_{\tau p+1,\tau p} = \sum_{i,j,k=0}^{q} C_{kji} \left( \zeta_{i}^{(i_1)} \zeta_{j}^{(i_2)} \zeta_{k}^{(i_3)} - \right. \]
\[ -1_{\{i_1=i_2\}} 1_{\{i\}} \zeta_{k}^{(i_3)} - 1_{\{i_2=i_3\}} 1_{\{j\}} \zeta_{i}^{(i_1)} - 1_{\{i_1=i_3\}} 1_{\{k\}} \zeta_{j}^{(i_2)} \right) \]
\[ -1_{\{i_1=i_2\}} 1_{\{i\}} \zeta_{k}^{(i_3)} - 1_{\{i_2=i_3\}} 1_{\{j\}} \zeta_{i}^{(i_1)} - 1_{\{i_1=i_3\}} 1_{\{k\}} \zeta_{j}^{(i_2)} \right) \]
\[ (31) \]
where

\[ C_{kji} = \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_p} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_p} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_p} \phi_k(z) \phi_j(y) \phi_i(x) dx dy dz = \frac{\sqrt{(2l+1)(2j+1)(2k+1)}}{8} \Delta^3 \hat{C}_{kji}, \]

\[ C_{kji}^{001} = \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_p} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_p} (\tau_p - z) \phi_k(z) \phi_j(y) \phi_i(x) dx dy dz = \frac{\sqrt{(2l+1)(2j+1)(2k+1)}}{16} \Delta^5 \hat{C}_{kji}^{001}, \]

\[ C_{kji}^{010} = \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_p} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_p} (\tau_p - y) \phi_k(z) \phi_j(y) \phi_i(x) dx dy dz = \frac{\sqrt{(2l+1)(2j+1)(2k+1)}}{16} \Delta^5 \hat{C}_{kji}^{010}, \]

\[ C_{kji}^{100} = \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_p} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_p} (\tau_p - x) \phi_k(z) \phi_j(y) \phi_i(x) dx dy dz = \frac{\sqrt{(2l+1)(2j+1)(2k+1)}}{16} \Delta^5 \hat{C}_{kji}^{100}, \]

\[ C_{lji} = \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_p} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_p} \phi_i(u) \phi_k(z) \phi_j(y) \phi_i(x) dx dy dz du = \frac{\sqrt{(2l+1)(2j+1)(2k+1)}}{16} \Delta^2 \hat{C}_{lji}, \]

\[ C_{etlji} = \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_p} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_p} \phi_v(v) \phi_i(u) \phi_k(z) \phi_j(y) \phi_i(x) dx dy dz du dv = \]
\[ \sqrt{(2i+1)(2j+1)(2k+1)(2l+1)(2r+1)} \frac{\Delta^{5/2}}{32} \bar{C}_{rlkji}, \]

where

\[ C_{kji} = \int_{-1}^{1} P_k(z) \int_{-1}^{1} P_j(y) \int_{-1}^{1} P_i(x) dx dy dz, \]

\[ C_{kji}^{100} = -\int_{-1}^{1} P_k(z) \int_{-1}^{1} P_j(y) \int_{-1}^{1} P_i(x)(x+1) dx dy dz, \]

\[ C_{kji}^{010} = -\int_{-1}^{1} P_k(z) \int_{-1}^{1} P_j(y)(y+1) \int_{-1}^{1} P_i(x) dx dy dz, \]

\[ C_{kji}^{001} = -\int_{-1}^{1} P_k(z)(z+1) \int_{-1}^{1} P_j(y) \int_{-1}^{1} P_i(x) dx dy dz, \]

\[ C_{lkji} = \int_{-1}^{1} P_l(u) \int_{-1}^{1} P_k(z) \int_{-1}^{1} P_j(y) \int_{-1}^{1} P_i(x) dx dy dz du, \]

\[ C_{rlkji} = \int_{-1}^{1} P_r(v) \int_{-1}^{1} P_l(u) \int_{-1}^{1} P_k(z) \int_{-1}^{1} P_j(y) \int_{-1}^{1} P_i(x) dx dy dz du dv, \]

where \( P_i(x); i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) is a Legendre polynomial and

\[ \phi_i(x) = \sqrt{\frac{2i+1}{\Delta}} P_i \left( \frac{x - \tau_p - \frac{\Delta}{2}}{\Delta} \right); i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \]

Fourier-Legendre coefficients \( \bar{C}_{kji}, \bar{C}_{kji}^{100}, \bar{C}_{kji}^{010}, \bar{C}_{lkji}, \bar{C}_{rlkji} \) can be calculated exactly using DERIVE (computer packs of symbol transformations). In [9] - [19], [22] several tables with these coefficients can be found. Note that mentioned Fourier-Legendre coefficients not depend on the step of integration \( \tau_{p+1} - \tau_p \), which can be not a constant in a general case.

On the basis of presented expansions of multiple stochastic integrals we can see, that increasing of multiplicities of these integrals or degree indexes of their weight functions leads to noticeable complication of formulas intended for mentioned expansions.

However, increasing of mentioned parameters lead to increasing of orders of smallness according to \( \Delta \) in the mean-square sense for multiple stochastic integrals, that lead to sharp decrease of member quantities in the expansions of multiple stochastic integrals, which are required for achieving acceptable accuracies of approximation.

Let's consider exact and estimate calculation of mean-square errors of approximations of multiple Ito stochastic integrals.

Using the theorem 2 (see [20] - [21]) we get [9] - [19], [22]:

\[(32) \quad M\left\{ \left( I_{(00)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p}^{(i_1i_2)} - I_{(00)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p}^{(i_1i_2)q} \right)^2 \right\} = \frac{\Delta^2}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} - \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{1}{4i^2 - 1} \right), \]

\[ M\left\{ \left( I_{(01)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p}^{(i_1i_2)} - I_{(10)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p}^{(i_1i_2)q} \right)^2 \right\} = \right\} = \]

\[ M\left\{ \left( I_{(01)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p}^{(i_1i_2)} - I_{(01)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p}^{(i_1i_2)q} \right)^2 \right\} = \right\} = \]
\[
(33) \quad M \left\{ \left( I(\xi_1 \xi_1)_{(000)_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}} - I(\xi_1 \xi_1)_{(000)_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}} \right)^2 \right\} = \frac{\Lambda_4^3}{6} - \sum_{j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^q C_{j_3 j_2 j_1}^2 (i_1 \neq i_2, i_1 \neq i_3, i_2 \neq i_3),
\]

if \( i_1 \neq i_2 \) and

\[
M \left\{ \left( I(\xi_1 \xi_1)_{(010)_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}} - I(\xi_1 \xi_1)_{(010)_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}} \right)^2 \right\} = \frac{\Lambda_4^3}{6} - \sum_{j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^q C_{j_3 j_2 j_1}^2 (i_1 \neq i_2 = i_3),
\]

or for \( i_1, i_2, i_3 = 1, \ldots, m \) from (18) we obtain:

\[
M \left\{ \left( I(\xi_1 \xi_1)_{(000)_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}} - I(\xi_1 \xi_1)_{(000)_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}} \right)^2 \right\} \leq 6 \left( \frac{\Lambda_4^3}{6} - \sum_{j_3, j_2, j_1 = 0}^q C_{j_3 j_2 j_1}^2 \right).
\]

Moreover for \( i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5 = 1, \ldots, m \) from (18) we have:

\[
M \left\{ \left( I(\xi_1 \xi_1 \xi_1)_{(100)_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}} - I(\xi_1 \xi_1 \xi_1)_{(100)_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}} \right)^2 \right\} \leq 6 \left( \frac{\Lambda_5^5}{60} - \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3 = 0}^q (C_{j_1 j_2 j_3}^{100})^2 \right),
\]

\[
M \left\{ \left( I(\xi_1 \xi_1 \xi_1)_{(010)_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}} - I(\xi_1 \xi_1 \xi_1)_{(010)_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}} \right)^2 \right\} \leq 6 \left( \frac{\Lambda_5^5}{20} - \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3 = 0}^q (C_{j_1 j_2 j_3}^{010})^2 \right),
\]

\[
M \left\{ \left( I(\xi_1 \xi_1 \xi_1)_{(001)_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}} - I(\xi_1 \xi_1 \xi_1)_{(001)_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}} \right)^2 \right\} \leq 6 \left( \frac{\Lambda_5^5}{10} - \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3 = 0}^q (C_{j_1 j_2 j_3}^{001})^2 \right),
\]

\[
M \left\{ \left( I(\xi_1 \xi_1 \xi_1 \xi_1)_{(0000)_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}} - I(\xi_1 \xi_1 \xi_1 \xi_1)_{(0000)_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}} \right)^2 \right\} \leq 24 \left( \frac{\Lambda_4^4}{24} - \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4 = 0}^q C_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4}^2 \right).
\]
\[
M \left\{ \left( I_{(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5)}^{(00000)} - I_{(t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4,t_5)}^{(00000)} \right)^2 \right\} \leq 120 \left( \frac{\Delta^5}{120} - \sum_{j_1, \ldots, j_5=0}^q C_{j_3j_4j_5j_2j_1}^2 \right).
\]

The number \( q \) in each formula (see (32) – (44)) must be choosen such that the right parts of (32) – (44) were bounded the value \( C\Delta^6 \), where \( C \) is a constant from the condition (30).

4. APPROXIMATION OF MULTIPLE ITO AND STRATONOVICH STOCHASTIC INTEGRALS. COMBINED APPROACH

As it turned out, the theorem 1 can be adapts for multiple Stratonovich stochastic integrals. Expansions of these multiple Stratonovich stochastic integrals turned out simpler, than the appropriate expansions of multiple Ito stochastic integrals from the theorem 1. Appling this feature and standard relations between multiple Ito and Stratonovich stochastic integrals we will get simpler expansions of multiple Ito stochastic integrals from the theorem 1. Applying this feature and standard relations between multiple Ito and Stratonovich stochastic integrals we will get simpler expansions of multiple Ito stochastic integrals, than the expansions from the previous section.

Let’s formulate some theorems for expansions of multiple Stratonovich stochastic integrals.

**Theorem 3** (see [16] – [19], [23], [24]). Assume, that the following conditions are met:
1. The function \( \psi_3(\tau) \) is continuously differentiable at the interval \([t, T]\) and the function \( \psi_1(\tau) \) is two times continuously differentiable at the interval \([t, T]\).
2. \( \{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^\infty \) — is a complete orthonormal system of Legendre polynomials or system of trigonometric functions in the space \( L_2([t, T]) \).

Then, the multiple Stratonovich stochastic integral of the second multiplicity
\[
\int_t^T \psi_2(t_2) \int_t^{t_2} \psi_1(t_1)dt_{t_1}^{(i_1)} dt_{t_2}^{(i_2)} \quad (i_1, i_2 = 1, \ldots, m)
\]
is expanded into the converging in the mean-square sense multiple series
\[
\int_t^T \psi_2(t_2) \int_t^{t_2} \psi_1(t_1)dt_{t_1}^{(i_1)} = \lim_{p_1, p_2 \to \infty} \sum_{j_1=0}^{p_1} \sum_{j_2=0}^{p_2} C_{j_2j_1} \psi_{j_1}(i_1) \psi_{j_2}(i_2),
\]
where
\[
C_{j_2j_1} = \int_t^T \psi_2(s_2) \phi_{j_2}(s_2) \int_t^{s_2} \psi_1(s_1) \phi_{j_1}(s_1) ds_1 ds_2;
\]
another denotations see in the theorem 1.

**Theorem 4** (see [17] – [19], [24]). Assume, that \( \{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^\infty \) — is a complete orthonormal system of Legendre polynomials or trigonometric functions in the space \( L_2([t, T]) \), function \( \psi_2(s) \) — is continuously differentiable at the interval \([t, T]\) and functions \( \psi_1(s), \psi_3(s) \) — are two times continuously differentiable at the interval \([t, T]\).

Then, for multiple Stratonovich stochastic integral of 3rd multiplicity
\[
\int_t^T \psi_3(t_3) \int_t^{t_3} \psi_2(t_2) \int_t^{t_2} \psi_1(t_1)dt_{t_1}^{(i_1)} dt_{t_2}^{(i_2)} dt_{t_3}^{(i_3)}
\]
\((i_1, i_2, i_3 = 1, \ldots, m)\) the following converging in the mean-square sense expansion
\[
\int_t^T \psi_3(t_3) \int_t^{t_3} \psi_2(t_2) \int_t^{t_2} \psi_1(t_1)dt_{t_1}^{(i_1)} dt_{t_2}^{(i_2)} dt_{t_3}^{(i_3)} = \lim_{p \to \infty} \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3 = 0}^p C_{j_3j_2j_1} \psi_{j_1}(i_1) \psi_{j_2}(i_2) \psi_{j_3}(i_3)
\]
is reasonable, where

\[ C_{j_3,j_2,j_1} = \int_{t}^{T} \psi_3(s_3)\phi_{j_3}(s_3) \int_{t}^{s_3} \psi_2(s_2)\phi_{j_2}(s_2) \int_{t}^{s_2} \psi_1(s_1)\phi_{j_1}(s_1)ds_1ds_2ds_3; \]

another notation see in the theorem 1.

\textbf{Theorem 5} (see [16 - 19, 24, 25]). Suppose that \( \{\phi_j(x)\}_{j=0}^{\infty} \) is a complete orthonormal system of Legendre polynomials or trigonometric functions in \( L_2([t,T]) \). Then, for multiple Stratonovich stochastic integrals of multiplicity 4 and 5

\[ I_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4,\lambda_5)}^{(i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4,i_5)}(T, t) = \int_{t}^{T} \int_{t}^{s_4} \int_{t}^{s_3} \int_{t}^{s_2} \int_{t}^{s_1} dw_{i_1}^{(i_1)} dw_{i_2}^{(i_2)} dw_{i_3}^{(i_3)} dw_{i_4}^{(i_4)} \]

\( (i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4, i_5 = 0, 1, \ldots, m) \) the following converging in the mean-square sense expansions

\[ I_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4,\lambda_5)}^{(i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4,i_5)}(T, t) = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4=0}^{p} C_{j_4,j_3,j_2,j_1} \phi_{j_4}(t_4) \phi_{j_3}(t_3) \phi_{j_2}(t_2) \phi_{j_1}(t_1)dt_1dt_2dt_3dt_4; \]

\[ I_{(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3,\lambda_4,\lambda_5)}^{(i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4,i_5)}(T, t) = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3,j_4,j_5=0}^{p} C_{j_4,j_3,j_2,j_1} \phi_{j_4}(t_4) \phi_{j_3}(t_3) \phi_{j_2}(t_2) \phi_{j_1}(t_1)dt_1dt_2dt_3dt_4dt_5; \]

\( w_t^{(i)} = \mathbf{f}_t^{(i)} - \) are independent standard Wiener processes \( i = 1, \ldots, m \) and \( w_t^{(0)} = \tau; \lambda_i = 0 \) if \( i_1 = 0 \) and \( \lambda_i = 1 \) if \( i_1 = 1, \ldots, m \) \((l = 1, \ldots, 5)\).

Let’s denote

\[ I_{(i_1,\ldots,i_k)}^{(i_1,\ldots,i_k)}(T, t) = \int_{t}^{T} (t - k_1)^{i_1} \ldots (t - k_k)^{i_k} dt_1^{(i_1)} \ldots dt_k^{(i_k)}; \]

\[ I_{(i_1,\ldots,i_k)}^{(i_1,\ldots,i_k)}(T, t) = \int_{t}^{T} (t - k_1)^{i_1} \ldots (t - k_k)^{i_k} dt_1^{(i_1)} \ldots dt_k^{(i_k)}; \]

where \( i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m; i_1, \ldots, i_k = 0, 1, \ldots \)

According to standard relations between multiple Ito and Stratonovich stochastic integrals and according to the theorem 4 we obtain:

\[ I_{(000)^{T_1+1},T_1}^{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} = I_{(000)^{T_1+1},T_1}^{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} + 1_{i_1 = i_2} \frac{1}{2} I_{(0)^{T_1+1},T_1}^{(i_3)} - 1_{i_2 = i_3} \frac{1}{2} \left( \Delta I_{(0)^{T_1+1},T_1}^{(i_1)} + I_{(0)^{T_1+1},T_1}^{(i_1)} \right) \text{ w. p. 1,} \]

where

\[ I_{(000)^{T_1+1},T_1}^{(i_1,i_2,i_3)} = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3=0}^{p} C_{j_3,j_2,j_1} \phi_{j_3}(i_1) \phi_{j_2}(i_2) \phi_{j_1}(i_3); \]
where

\[
C_{j_1j_2j_3} = \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \phi_{j_1}(z) \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \phi_{j_2}(y) \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \phi_{j_3}(x) dx dy dz = \frac{\sqrt{(2j_1+1)(2j_2+1)(2j_3+1)}}{8} \Delta^{3/2} C_{j_1j_2j_3},
\]

\[
P_{i}(x); \ i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \text{ \ is a Legendre polynomial.}
\]

From (47), (48), (49) we obtain the following expressions

\[
f^{(i_1i_2i_3)}_{100}(000)_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3=0}^{q} C_{j_1j_2j_3} \frac{\lambda(i_1) \lambda(i_2) \lambda(i_3)}{\lambda(j_1) \lambda(j_2) \lambda(j_3)} - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i_1=i_2,i_3} \Delta^{3/2} \left( \frac{\lambda(i_1)}{\lambda(0)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \lambda(i_3) \right)
\]

\[
I^{(i_1i_2i_3)}_{(000)\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} = \frac{1}{6} \Delta^{3/2} \left( \frac{\lambda(i_1)}{\lambda(0)} \right)^3 - 3 \lambda(i_3)
\]

For the case \(i_1 = i_2 = i_3\) it is comfortable to use the following well known relation

\[
I^{(i_1i_2i_3)}_{(000)\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} = \frac{1}{6} \Delta^{3/2} \left( \frac{\lambda(i_1)}{\lambda(0)} \right)^3 - 3 \lambda(i_3)
\]

From (51) - (53), (25) - (27) we obtain the following approximations

\[
f^{(i_1i_2i_3)}_{(010)\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3=0}^{q} C_{j_1j_2j_3} \frac{\lambda(i_1) \lambda(i_2) \lambda(i_3)}{\lambda(j_1) \lambda(j_2) \lambda(j_3)} + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{i_1=i_2,i_3} \Delta^{5/2} \left( \frac{\lambda(i_1)}{\lambda(0)} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \lambda(i_3) \right)
\]

\[
I^{(i_1i_2i_3)}_{(010)\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} = \frac{1}{6} \Delta^{5/2} \left( \frac{\lambda(i_1)}{\lambda(0)} \right)^3 - 3 \lambda(i_3)
\]

\[
I^{(i_1i_2i_3)}_{(100)\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3=0}^{q} C_{j_1j_2j_3} \frac{\lambda(i_1) \lambda(i_2) \lambda(i_3)}{\lambda(j_1) \lambda(j_2) \lambda(j_3)} + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i_1=i_2,i_3} \Delta^{5/2} \left( 2 \lambda(i_1) - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \lambda(i_3) - \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{3}} \lambda(i_3) \right)
\]
between multiple Ito and Stratonovich stochastic integrals and according to the theorem 5 we obtain:

$$I^{(i_1i_2i_3i_4)}_{(010)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3=0}^q C^{010}_{j_1j_2j_3} \phi_{j_1}(x) \phi_{j_2}(y) \phi_{j_3}(z) + \frac{1}{12} \{i_1=i_2\} \Delta^{3/2} \left( \phi_{0} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \phi_{1} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \phi_{2} \right) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{12} \{i_2=i_3\} \Delta^{5/2} \left( \phi_{0} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \phi_{1} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \phi_{2} \right),$$

(55)

$$I^{(i_1i_2i_3i_4)}_{(100)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3=0}^q C^{100}_{j_1j_2j_3} \phi_{j_1}(x) \phi_{j_2}(y) \phi_{j_3}(z) + \frac{1}{12} \{i_1=i_2\} \Delta^{3/2} \left( \phi_{0} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \phi_{1} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \phi_{2} \right) +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{12} \{i_2=i_3\} \Delta^{5/2} \left( \phi_{0} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \phi_{1} + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \phi_{2} \right),$$

(56)

where

$$C^{001}_{kji} = \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} (\tau_p - z) \phi_k(z) \int_{\tau_p}^{x} \phi_j(y) \int_{\tau_p}^{y} \phi_i(x) dxdydz = \frac{\sqrt{(2i+1)(2j+1)(2k+1)}}{16} \Delta^{3/2} \tilde{C}_{kji},$$

(57)

$$C^{010}_{kji} = \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \phi_k(z) \int_{\tau_p}^{x} \phi_j(y) \int_{\tau_p}^{y} \phi_i(x) dxdydz = \frac{\sqrt{(2i+1)(2j+1)(2k+1)}}{16} \Delta^{5/2} \tilde{C}_{kji},$$

(58)

$$C^{100}_{kji} = \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \phi_k(z) \int_{\tau_p}^{x} \phi_j(y) \int_{\tau_p}^{y} \phi_i(x) dxdydz = \frac{\sqrt{(2i+1)(2j+1)(2k+1)}}{16} \Delta^{5/2} \tilde{C}_{kji},$$

(59)

$$\tilde{C}_{kji} = - \frac{1}{1} P_k(z) \int_{-1}^{z} P_j(y) \int_{-1}^{y} P_i(x) dxdydz,$$

$$\tilde{C}_{kji} = - \frac{1}{1} P_k(z) \int_{-1}^{z} P_j(y) \int_{-1}^{y} P_i(x) dxdydz,$$

$$\tilde{C}_{kji} = - \frac{1}{1} P_k(z) \int_{-1}^{z} P_j(y) \int_{-1}^{y} P_i(x) dxdydz,$$

(60)

where $P_i(x); \ i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ is a Legendre polynomial.

Let’s consider multiple Ito stochastic integral of multiplicity 4. According to standard relations between multiple Ito and Stratonovich stochastic integrals and according to the theorem 5 we obtain:

$$I^{(i_1i_2i_3i_4)}_{(0000)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p} = C^{(i_1i_2i_3i_4)}_{(0000)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p} + \frac{1}{2} \{i_1=i_2\} I^{(i_1i_4)}_{(10)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p} -$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \{i_2=i_3\} \left( I^{(i_1i_4)}_{(10)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p} - I^{(i_1i_4)}_{(01)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \{i_3=i_4\} \left( \Delta I^{(i_1i_2)}_{(00)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p} + I^{(i_1i_2)}_{(01)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p} \right) -$$

$$- \frac{1}{8} \Delta^2 I^{(i_1i_2)}_{(11)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p} w. p. 1,$$

(60)
\[ I^{(i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4)}_{(0000)\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} = \text{l.i.m.}_{q \to \infty} \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4 = 0}^{q} C_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} \phi^{(i_1)}_{j_1} \phi^{(i_2)}_{j_2} \phi^{(i_3)}_{j_3} \phi^{(i_4)}_{j_4}, \]

\[ f^{(i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4)}_{(0000)\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} = \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4 = 0}^{q} C_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4} \phi^{(i_1)}_{j_1} \phi^{(i_2)}_{j_2} \phi^{(i_3)}_{j_3} \phi^{(i_4)}_{j_4} + \frac{1}{2} \{i_1 = i_2\} f^{(i_3 i_4)}_{(10)\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} - \frac{1}{2} \{i_2 = i_3\} \left( f^{(i_1 i_2)}_{(01)\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} + f^{(i_1 i_2)}_{(01)\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} \right) - \frac{1}{8} \Delta^2 \{i_1 = i_2\} \{i_3 = i_4\}, \]

where \( f^{(i_1 i_2)}_{(00)\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} \), \( f^{(i_1 i_2)}_{(01)\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} \), \( f^{(i_1 i_2)}_{(10)\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} \) defined by relations \( \ref{28} - \ref{30} \); \( i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 = 1, \ldots, m \);

\[ C_{ikji} = \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} u \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} z \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \phi_i(u) \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \phi_j(y) \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \phi_k(z) dx dy dz du = \]

\[ \frac{\sqrt{(2i + 1)(2j + 1)(2k + 1)(2l + 1)}}{16} \Delta^2 \bar{C}_{ikji}, \]

\[ \bar{C}_{ikji} = \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} \int_{-1}^{1} P_i(u) P_j(z) P_k(z) P_l(y) \phi_i(x) dx dy dz du, \]

where \( P_i(x); i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \) is a Legendre polynomial.

For the case \( i_1 = i_2 = i_3 = i_4 \) it is comfortable to use the following well known relation

\[ f^{(i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4)}_{(0000)\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} = \frac{1}{24} \Delta^2 \left( \left( \zeta_0^{(i_1)} \right)^4 - 6 \left( \zeta_0^{(i_1)} \right)^2 + 3 \right) \text{ w. p. 1.} \]

Let’s consider analogously multiple Ito stochastic integral of multiplicity 5 applying the theorem 5:

\[ f^{(i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 i_5)}_{(00000)\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p} = \text{l.i.m.}_{q \to \infty} \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4, j_5 = 0}^{q} C_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4 j_5} \phi^{(i_1)}_{j_1} \phi^{(i_2)}_{j_2} \phi^{(i_3)}_{j_3} \phi^{(i_4)}_{j_4} \phi^{(i_5)}_{j_5}, \]
Appling standard relations between multiple Ito and Strato novich stochastic integrals we get

\[
\int_{(000)}^{(100)} I_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}^{(i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4 i_5)q} = \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4, j_5 = 0}^{q} C_{j_1 j_2 j_3 j_4 j_5} \phi_{j_1} \phi_{j_2} \phi_{j_3} \phi_{j_4} \phi_{j_5} + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{i_1 = i_2} I_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}^{(i_1 i_2 i_3)q} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{i_3 = i_4} I_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}^{(i_1 i_2 i_4)q} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{i_4 = i_5} I_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}^{(i_1 i_2 i_5)q} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{i_1 = i_3} I_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}^{(i_1 i_3 i_4)q} - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{i_2 = i_4} I_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}^{(i_1 i_2 i_3)q},
\]

where

\[
\int_{(000)}^{(100)} I_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}^{(i_1 i_2 i_3)q} = \frac{\Delta T_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}^{(i_1)}}{120} \left( \left( \phi_{i_1} \right)^5 - 10 \left( \phi_{i_2} \right)^3 \Delta + 15 \phi_{i_2} \phi_{i_1} \Delta^2 \right) \text{ w. p. 1.}
\]

Note that the mean-square errors of approximation for approximations of multiple Ito stochastic integrals from this section are not equal with the appropriate mean-square errors from the previous section.

Let's consider the following triple Stratonovich stochastic integral:

\[
I_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}^{(i_1 i_2 i_3)q} = \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} d\sigma_{i_1} d\sigma_{i_2} d\sigma_{i_3} (i_1, i_2, i_3 = 1, \ldots, m).
\]

Applying standard relations between multiple Ito and Stratonovich stochastic integrals we get

\[
M \left\{ \left( I_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}^{(i_1 i_2 i_3)q} - I_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}^{(i_1 i_2 i_3)q} \right)^2 \right\} = \left( I_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}^{(i_1 i_2 i_3)q} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} d\sigma d\sigma_{i_3} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau_{p+1}} d\sigma d\sigma_{i_3} \right)^2
\]

where $\phi_{i_1}$, $\phi_{i_2}$, and $\phi_{i_3}$ are Legendre polynomials.

For the case $i_1 = i_2 = i_3 = i_4 = i_5$ it is comfortable to use the following well known relation

\[
I_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}^{(i_1 i_2 i_3)q} = \frac{\Delta T_{\tau_{p+1}, \tau_p}^{(i_1)}}{120} \left( \left( \phi_{i_1} \right)^5 - 10 \left( \phi_{i_2} \right)^3 \Delta + 15 \phi_{i_2} \phi_{i_1} \Delta^2 \right) \text{ w. p. 1.}
\]
Stratonovich stochastic integrals of multiplicities 4 and 5.

\[ I^{(i_1i_2i_3i_4)}_{(000)_{\tau_{p+1}}} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3=0}^{q} C_{j_3j_2j_1} \left( \gamma_{j_1} \gamma_{j_2} \gamma_{j_3} - 1 \{i_1=i_2\} 1\{j_1=j_2\} \gamma_{j_3} \right) \]

\[ -1\{i_2=i_3\} 1\{j_2=j_3\} \gamma_{j_1} - 1 \{i_1=i_3\} 1\{j_1=j_3\} \gamma_{j_2} \]

\[ I^{(i_1i_2i_3)}_{(000)_{\tau_{p+1}}} = \sum_{j_1,j_2,j_3=0}^{q} C_{j_3j_2j_1} \left( \gamma_{j_1} \gamma_{j_2} - 1 \{i_1=i_2\} 1\{j_1=j_2\} \right). \]

where \( I^{(i_1i_2i_3)}_{(000)_{\tau_{p+1}}} \) is an approximation of the form [15], and \( I^{(i_1i_2i_3)i_4}_{(000)_{\tau_{p+1}}} \) is an approximation on the base of the theorem 4.

Let’s substitute (65), (66) into (64):

\[ M\left\{ I^{(i_1i_2i_3)}_{(000)_{\tau_{p+1}}} - I^{(i_1i_2i_3)q}_{(000)_{\tau_{p+1}}} \right\}^{2} = \]

\[ = M\left\{ I^{(i_1i_2i_3)}_{(000)_{\tau_{p+1}}} - I^{(i_1i_2i_3)q}_{(000)_{\tau_{p+1}}} + 1\{i_1=i_2\} \left( \frac{\tau_{p+1}}{2} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau} ds d\tau \right) - \sum_{j_1,j_3=0}^{q} C_{j_3j_2j_1} \left( \gamma_{j_1} \gamma_{j_2} - 1 \{i_1=i_2\} 1\{j_1=j_2\} \right)^{2} \right\} \]

\[ \leq 4M\left\{ I^{(i_1i_2i_3)q}_{(000)_{\tau_{p+1}}} - I^{(i_1i_2i_3)q}_{(000)_{\tau_{p+1}}} \right\}^{2} + 1\{i_1=i_2\} F^{(i_3)}_{q} + \]

\[ + 1\{i_2=i_3\} G^{(i_1)}_{q} + 1\{i_1=i_3\} H^{(i_2)}_{q}, \]

where

\[ F^{(i_3)}_{q} = M\left\{ \left( \frac{\tau_{p+1}}{2} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau} ds d\tau \right) - \sum_{j_1,j_3=0}^{q} C_{j_3j_2j_1} \left( \gamma_{j_1} \gamma_{j_2} - 1 \{i_1=i_2\} 1\{j_1=j_2\} \right)^{2} \right\}, \]

\[ G^{(i_1)}_{q} = M\left\{ \left( \frac{\tau_{p+1}}{2} \int_{\tau_p}^{\tau} d\tau \right) - \sum_{j_1,j_3=0}^{q} C_{j_3j_2j_1} \left( \gamma_{j_1} \gamma_{j_2} - 1 \{i_1=i_2\} 1\{j_1=j_2\} \right)^{2} \right\}, \]

\[ H^{(i_2)}_{q} = M\left\{ \left( \sum_{j_1,j_2=0}^{q} C_{j_1j_2j_3} \gamma_{j_2} \right)^{2} \right\}. \]

From the proof of the theorem 4 [18, 19, 24] it follows (the case of Legendre polynomials and the case of trigonometric functions):

\[ \lim_{q \to \infty} F^{(i_3)}_{q} = 0, \lim_{q \to \infty} G^{(i_1)}_{q} = 0, \lim_{q \to \infty} H^{(i_2)}_{q} = 0. \]

However the right part of the (67) for finite \( q \) is complex. The same problem will be for multiple Stratonovich stochastic integrals of multiplicities 4 and 5.
5. Explicit One-Step Strong Numerical Scheme of Order 2.5, Based on the Unified Taylor-Stratonovich Expansion

Consider explicit one-step strong numerical scheme of order 2.5, based on so-called unified Taylor-Stratonovich expansion [9]-[19]:

\[
y_{p+1} = y_p + \sum_{i_1=1}^{m} B_{i_1} I_s^{(i_1)}(0)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} + \Delta a + \sum_{i_1,i_2=1}^{m} G_{i_2} B_{i_1} I_s^{(i_2i_1)}(00)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} + \\
+ \sum_{i_1=1}^{m} \left( G_{i_1} a \left( \Delta I_s^{(i_1)}(0)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} + I_s^{(i_1)}(1)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} \right) - \bar{L} B_{i_1} I_s^{(i_1)}(1)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} \right) + \\
+ \sum_{i_1,i_2,i_3=1}^{m} G_{i_3} G_{i_2} B_{i_1} I_s^{(i_3i_2i_1)}(000)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} + \frac{\Delta^2}{2} \bar{L} a + \frac{\Delta^3}{6} LLa + \\
+ \sum_{i_1,i_2=1}^{m} \left( G_{i_2} \bar{L} B_{i_1} \left( I_s^{(i_2i_1)}(10)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} - \bar{I}_s^{(i_2i_1)}(10)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} \right) - \bar{L} G_{i_2} B_{i_1} I_s^{(i_2i_1)}(10)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} + \\
+ G_{i_2} G_{i_1} a \left( I_s^{(i_2i_1)}(01)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} + \Delta I_s^{(i_2i_1)}(00)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} \right) \right) + \\
+ \sum_{i_2,i_3,i_4=1}^{m} G_{i_4} G_{i_3} G_{i_2} B_{i_1} I_s^{(i_4i_3i_2i_1)}(0000)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} + \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \bar{L} B_{i_1} I_s^{(i_1)}(2)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} - \bar{L} G_{i_1} a \left( I_s^{(i_1)}(2)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} + \Delta I_s^{(i_1)}(1)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} \right) \right) + \\
+ \sum_{i_1,i_2,i_3=1}^{m} \left( G_{i_3} \bar{L} G_{i_2} B_{i_1} \left( I_s^{(i_3i_2i_1)}(00)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} - \bar{I}_s^{(i_3i_2i_1)}(00)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} \right) + \\
+ G_{i_3} G_{i_2} \bar{L} B_{i_1} \left( I_s^{(i_3i_2i_1)}(01)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} - \bar{I}_s^{(i_3i_2i_1)}(01)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} \right) + \\
+ G_{i_3} G_{i_2} G_{i_1} a \left( \Delta I_s^{(i_3i_2i_1)}(00)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} + I_s^{(i_3i_2i_1)}(00)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} \right) - \\
- \bar{L} G_{i_3} G_{i_2} B_{i_1} I_s^{(i_3i_2i_1)}(00)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p} \right) + \\
+ \sum_{i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4=1}^{m} G_{i_4} G_{i_3} G_{i_2} G_{i_1} I_s^{(i_4i_3i_2i_1)}(0000)_{T_{p+1}, \tau_p}. \\
\tag{68}
\]

where \( \Delta = T/N \) (\( N > 1 \)) is a constant step of integration; \( \tau_p = p\Delta \) (\( p = 0, 1, \ldots, N \)); \( I_s^{(i_1, \ldots, i_k)}_{(l_1, \ldots, l_k), s, t} \) is an approximation of multiple Stratonovich stochastic integral of the form:

\[
I_s^{(i_1, \ldots, i_k)}_{(l_1, \ldots, l_k), s, t} = \int_t^{s} (t - \tau_k) \cdots \int_t^{s-\tau_2} (t - \tau_1) \ldots df_{l_k}^{(i_k)} \cdots df_{l_1}^{(i_1)} + \\
\bar{a}(x, t) = a(x, t) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} G_{j} B_{j}(x, t);
\]
\[ \tilde{L} = L - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} G_j G_j; \]

\[ L = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} B_{ij}(x, t) B_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}; \]

\[ G_i = \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{ij}(x, t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(x, t); \quad i = 1, \ldots, m; \]

\[ l_1, \ldots, l_k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots; i_2, \ldots, l_k = 1, \ldots, m; \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots; \quad B_i - \text{is an } i\text{-th column of the matrix function } B \quad \text{and } B_{ij} - \text{is an } ij\text{-th element of the matrix function } B; \quad a_i - \text{is an } i\text{-th element of the vector function } \text{and } x_i - \text{is an } i\text{-th element of the column } x; \quad \text{columns } B_{i_1}, a, G_i, G_{i_2} B_{i_1}, G_{i_3} G_{i_4} B_{i_1}, L \bar{a}, L L a, G_{i_2} L B_{i_1}, G_{i_3} G_{i_4} B_{i_1}, \bar{a}_{G_1} G_{i_2} G_{i_3} B_{i_1}, G_{i_4} G_{i_5} B_{i_1} \text{ are calculated in the point } (p, p). \]

It is well known \cite{2} that under the standard conditions the numerical scheme \cite{68} has strong order of convergence 2.5. Among these conditions we consider only the condition for approximations of multiple Stratonovich stochastic integrals from the numerical scheme \cite{68}:

\[ M \left\{ \left( I^{(i_1, \ldots, i_k)}_{(l_1, \ldots, l_k)} (t_{p+1}, t_p) - I^{(i_1, \ldots, i_k)}_{(l_1, \ldots, l_k)} (t_{p+1}, t_p) \right)^2 \right\} \leq C \Delta^6, \]

where \( I^{(i_1, \ldots, i_k)}_{(l_1, \ldots, l_k)} (t_{p+1}, t_p) \) is an approximation of \( I^{(i_1, \ldots, i_k)}_{(l_1, \ldots, l_k)} (t_{p+1}, t_p) \), constant \( C \) does not depend on \( \Delta \).

According to the theorems 3 - 5 we obtain the following approximations of multiple Stratonovich stochastic integrals from \cite{68}:

\[ I^{(i_1)}_{(0)} (t_{p+1}, t_p) = \sqrt{\Delta} \zeta^{(i_1)}_0, \]

\[ I^{(i_1)}_{(1)} (t_{p+1}, t_p) = -\frac{\Delta^3/2}{2} \left( \frac{\zeta^{(i_1)}_0}{s_0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \zeta^{(i_1)}_1 \right), \]

\[ I^{(i_1)}_{(2)} (t_{p+1}, t_p) = \frac{\Delta^5/2}{3} \left( \frac{\zeta^{(i_1)}_0}{s_0} + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \zeta^{(i_1)}_1 + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \zeta^{(i_1)}_2 \right), \]

\[ I^{(i_1, i_2)}_{(0)} (t_{p+1}, t_p) = \frac{\Delta}{2} \left( \frac{\zeta^{(i_1)}_0}{s_0} \zeta^{(i_2)}_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{q} \frac{1}{\sqrt{4i^2 - 1}} \left( \frac{\zeta^{(i_1)}_0 \zeta^{(i_2)}_0}{s_{i-1} s_i} - \frac{\zeta^{(i_1)}_0 \zeta^{(i_2)}_0}{s_i s_{i-1}} \right) \right), \]

\[ I^{(i_1, i_2)}_{(0)} (t_{p+1}, t_p) = -\frac{\Delta^2}{4} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \zeta^{(i_1)}_0 \zeta^{(i_2)}_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \left( \frac{(i + 2) \zeta^{(i_1)}_{i+2} \zeta^{(i_2)}_{i+2} - (i + 1) \zeta^{(i_1)}_{i+2} \zeta^{(i_2)}_{i+2}}{\sqrt{(2i + 1)(2i + 5)(2i + 3)}} - \frac{\zeta^{(i_1)}_{i+2} \zeta^{(i_2)}_{i+2}}{(2i - 1)(2i + 3)} \right) \right), \]

\[ I^{(i_1, i_2)}_{(0)} (t_{p+1}, t_p) = \frac{\Delta}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \zeta^{(i_1)}_0 \zeta^{(i_2)}_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \left( \frac{(i + 1) \zeta^{(i_1)}_{i+2} \zeta^{(i_2)}_{i+2} - (i + 2) \zeta^{(i_1)}_{i+2} \zeta^{(i_2)}_{i+2}}{\sqrt{(2i + 1)(2i + 5)(2i + 3)}} + \frac{\zeta^{(i_1)}_{i+2} \zeta^{(i_2)}_{i+2}}{(2i - 1)(2i + 3)} \right) \right), \]

\[ I^{(i_1, i_2)}_{(0)} (t_{p+1}, t_p) = \frac{\Delta}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \zeta^{(i_1)}_0 \zeta^{(i_2)}_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{q} \left( \frac{(i + 1) \zeta^{(i_1)}_{i+2} \zeta^{(i_2)}_{i+2} - (i + 2) \zeta^{(i_1)}_{i+2} \zeta^{(i_2)}_{i+2}}{\sqrt{(2i + 1)(2i + 5)(2i + 3)}} + \frac{\zeta^{(i_1)}_{i+2} \zeta^{(i_2)}_{i+2}}{(2i - 1)(2i + 3)} \right) \right), \]

\[ I^{(i_1, i_2)}_{(0)} (t_{p+1}, t_p) = \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3 = 0}^{q} C_{j_1 j_2 j_3} \zeta^{(i_1)}_{j_1} \zeta^{(i_2)}_{j_2} \zeta^{(i_2)}_{j_3}, \]

\[ I^{(i_1, i_2)}_{(0)} (t_{p+1}, t_p) = \sum_{j_1, j_2, j_3 = 0}^{q} C_{j_1 j_2 j_3}^{100} \zeta^{(i_1)}_{j_1} \zeta^{(i_2)}_{j_2} \zeta^{(i_2)}_{j_3}. \]
where $C_{(71)}$, $M_{(71)}$ are defined by (32), (33).

From (32) and since $q$ is the approximation of multiple Stratonovich stochastic integral $I_{(l_1,\ldots,l_k)\tau_{p+1},\tau_p}$.

(69) \[ M \left\{ \left( I^{*}_{(i_1,i_2)} - I^{*}_{(i_2,i_1)} - I^{*}_{(i_1,i_2)} - I^{*}_{(00)} \right) \right\} \leq \frac{\Delta^2}{2} \sum_{i=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4i^2 - 1} \leq C_1 \frac{\Delta^2}{q}, \]

where constant $C_1$ does not depend on $\Delta$.

Since the value $\Delta$ plays the role of integration step in the numerical procedures for Ito stochastic differential equations, then this value is sufficiently small.

Keeping in mind this circumstance, it is easy to note, that there is such constant $C_2$, that

(70) \[ M \left\{ \left( I^{*}_{(i_1,i_2)} - I^{*}_{(i_2,i_1)} - I^{*}_{(i_1,i_2)} - I^{*}_{(00)} \right) \right\} \leq C_2 M \left\{ \left( I^{*}_{(i_1,i_2)} - I^{*}_{(i_2,i_1)} - I^{*}_{(00)} \right) \right\}, \]

where $I^{*}_{(i_1,i_2)}$ is the approximation of multiple Stratonovich stochastic integral $I^{*}_{(i_1,i_2)}$.

From (69) and (70) we finally get:

(71) \[ M \left\{ \left( I^{*}_{(i_1,i_2)} - I^{*}_{(i_2,i_1)} - I^{*}_{(i_1,i_2)} - I^{*}_{(00)} \right) \right\} \leq \frac{\Delta^2}{2} \sum_{i=q+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4i^2 - 1} \leq C_1 \frac{\Delta^2}{q}, \]

where constant $K$ does not depend on $\Delta$.

The same idea can be found in [2] for the case of trigonometric functions.

Essentially more information about numbers $q$ we can get by another approach. We have

$I^{*}_{(i_1,i_2)} = I^{*}_{(i_2,i_1)}$ w. p. 1

for pairwise different $i_1, \ldots, i_k = 1, \ldots, m$.

Then for $i_1 \neq i_2$ the following mean-square errors

\[ M \left\{ \left( I^{*}_{(i_1,i_2)} - I^{*}_{(00)} \right)^2 \right\}, M \left\{ \left( I^{*}_{(i_1,i_2)} - I^{*}_{(10)} \right)^2 \right\}, M \left\{ \left( I^{*}_{(i_1,i_2)} - I^{*}_{(01)} \right)^2 \right\}, \]

are defined by (48), (57) – (63).
Moreover for pairwise different $i_1, \ldots, i_k$ from $[20]$ we obtain:

\[
\begin{align*}
M \left\{ \left( I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} - I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} \right) q \right\}^2 = \frac{\Delta^3}{6} - \sum_{j_3,j_2,j_1=0}^q C_{j_3,j_2,j_1}^2,
M \left\{ \left( I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} - I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} \right) q \right\}^2 = \frac{\Delta^4}{24} - \sum_{j_3,j_2,j_4=0}^q C_{j_3,j_2,j_4}^2,
M \left\{ \left( I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} - I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} \right) q \right\}^2 = \frac{\Delta^5}{60} - \sum_{j_3,j_2,j_3=0}^q (C_{j_3,j_2,j_3}^{100})^2,
M \left\{ \left( I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} - I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} \right) q \right\}^2 = \frac{\Delta^5}{20} - \sum_{j_3,j_2,j_3=0}^q (C_{j_3,j_2,j_3}^{010})^2,
M \left\{ \left( I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} - I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} \right) q \right\}^2 = \frac{\Delta^5}{10} - \sum_{j_3,j_2,j_3=0}^q (C_{j_3,j_2,j_3}^{001})^2,
M \left\{ \left( I^{(1,i_2,i_3,i_4)}_{(0000)} - I^{(1,i_2,i_3,i_4)}_{(0000)} \right) q \right\}^2 = \frac{\Delta^5}{120} - \sum_{j_3,j_2,j_4,j_3=0}^q C_{j_3,j_2,j_3,j_4}^2.
\end{align*}
\]

For example $[9], [19]$:

\[
\begin{align*}
M \left\{ \left( I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} - I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} \right) q \right\}^2 = \frac{\Delta^3}{6} - \sum_{j_3,j_2,j_1=0}^6 C_{j_3,j_2,j_1}^2 \approx 0.01956000 \Delta^3,
M \left\{ \left( I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} - I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} \right) q \right\}^2 = \frac{\Delta^5}{60} - \sum_{j_3,j_2,j_3=0}^2 (C_{j_3,j_2,j_3}^{100})^2 \approx 0.00815429 \Delta^5,
M \left\{ \left( I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} - I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} \right) q \right\}^2 = \frac{\Delta^5}{20} - \sum_{j_3,j_2,j_3=0}^2 (C_{j_3,j_2,j_3}^{010})^2 \approx 0.01739030 \Delta^5,
M \left\{ \left( I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} - I^{(1,i_2,i_3)}_{(000)} \right) q \right\}^2 = \frac{\Delta^5}{10} - \sum_{j_3,j_2,j_3=0}^2 (C_{j_3,j_2,j_3}^{001})^2 \approx 0.02528010 \Delta^5,
M \left\{ \left( I^{(1,i_2,i_3,i_4)}_{(0000)} - I^{(1,i_2,i_3,i_4)}_{(0000)} \right) q \right\}^2 = \frac{\Delta^5}{120} - \sum_{j_3,j_2,j_3,j_4=0}^1 C_{j_3,j_2,j_3,j_4}^2 \approx 0.00759105 \Delta^5.
\end{align*}
\]
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