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Abstract

The paper is concerned with an in-body system gathering data for medical purposes. It is focused on communication between the following two components of the system: liposomes gathering the data inside human veins and a detector collecting the data from liposomes. Foerster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) is considered as a mechanism for communication between the system components. The usage of bioluminescent molecules as an energy source for generating FRET signals is suggested and the performance evaluation of this approach is given. FRET transmission may be initiated without an aid of an external laser, which is crucial in case of communication taking place inside of human body. It is also shown how to solve the problem of FRET signals recording. The usage of channelrhodopsin molecules, able to receive FRET signals and convert them into voltage, is proposed. The communication system is modelled with molecular structures and spectral characteristics of the proposed molecules and further validated by using Monte Carlo computer simulations, calculating the data throughput and the bit error rate.
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1. Introduction

Remote medical applications are commonly understood as maintenance of health, diagnostics, prevention and cure of diseases and even surgery operations performed on a distance. The potential of such medical applications grows enormously, as we have more and more technologies that guarantee wireless access to the medical data in real time and the possibility to remotely control medical devices. These technologies are sensor networks, wireless body area networks, all the access technologies like Wi-Fi, mobile networks, Bluetooth and communication with millimetre waves: everything that will probably be finally gathered under the umbrella of Internet of Things. The last step is to go inside of the human body. While a wide market of medical in-body devices already exists, including, e.g. capsule endoscopes, sondes and intravascular ultrasound probes, there is an open problem how all these devices are going to communicate each other, in order to ensure their efficient cooperation. This kind of problems is now widely investigated under the paradigm of nanocommunications, which concerns communication between nano-machines, but also between devices on micro-scale [Akyildiz2008].

In this paper, we consider an in-body system that aims at gathering medical data inside human veins and delivering the data to some external medical devices. The system consists of a) liposomes, i.e. small vesicles circulating in the vascular system and collecting medical data and b) a detector, i.e. a thin wire put into a vein and receiving the data from liposomes (see Fig. 1). The focus of this paper is on communication between the liposomes and the detector. We consider Foerster Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) as a phenomenon enabling communication between the devices inside human veins. FRET, characterized by very small propagation delays and quite a good throughput, has already been proposed for nanocommunications [Akyildiz2008] and has been proved to be an efficient mechanism for transferring data at nanodistances [Kuscu2013, Wojcik2015, Solarczyk2016].
The main contribution of the paper is solving two issues that, until now, were not solved for FRET-based communications [Kulakowski2017]. The first issue is concerned with FRET signal storage. A molecule receiving a FRET signal cannot hold it and must quickly release it, usually emitting a photon. Here, we propose to use channelrhodopsins which are molecules that can be used as nano-converters, changing FRET signals into a voltage. The second issue concerns FRET signal generation. In FRET nanocommunication experiments so far, a FRET transmission was initiated by an external laser source, which is not feasible inside human body. Instead, we propose bioluminescent luciferase molecules as FRET transmitters, which are able to generate FRET signals themselves, taking energy from chemical reactions. In the paper, we describe the whole in-body medical system architecture. The luciferase molecules attached to the liposomes work as transmitters, while the channelrhodopsins located at the detector play the role of receivers (Fig. 2). We model layers of luciferase and channelrhodopsin molecules based on their molecular structure and then, we use their spectral characteristics to assess the efficiency of the FRET communications. Finally, we perform computer-based Monte Carlo simulations to calculate the trade-off between the data throughput and the bit error rate (BER) in the communication from the liposomes to the detector.
The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the FRET phenomenon is explained, including the scenario when the energy comes from a chemical reaction. In Section 3, we present the architecture of the discussed in-body medical system, its components and the nanocommunication mechanism. Then, we present the model of the communication and the system performance results in Section 4. Finally, in Section 5, we conclude and discuss open issues.
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Fig. 2. The layers of luciferases and channelrhodopsins are bind with intermolecular bonds. FRET transmission is initiated, resulting in opening pores for cations.

2. FRET communication and the signal source

Foerster Resonance Energy Transfer is a phenomenon where a signal may be passed between two molecules irradiatively [Förster1948]. Application of this phenomenon to nanocommunications was already proposed and thoroughly investigated. In FRET, there is an excited molecule, called donor, at the transmitter side and another molecule, called acceptor, at the receiver side of the communication channel. If the emission spectrum of the donor matches the absorption spectrum of the acceptor, the excitation energy may pass, without any radiation, from the transmitter, i.e. the donor molecule, to the receiver, i.e. the acceptor molecule. The donor and acceptor must be located very close to each other, usually few of
nanometers, so this is a short range communication mechanism. FRET has proved to have very small signal propagation delays, on the order of nanoseconds, and a throughput of several Mbit/s [Solarczyk2016], and therefore it is very suitable for future networks of nanomachines when cooperating and exchanging information between each other. Recently, routing techniques for FRET-based nanonetworks have also been proposed [Kulakowski2017]. The FRET phenomenon between a single donor and single acceptor is not very efficient, as its efficiency decreases with the sixth power of the donor-acceptor distance \( r \) [Lakowicz2006]:

\[
E = \frac{R_0^6}{r^6 + R_0^6},
\]

where \( R_0 \) is so called Foerster distance, which is a value characterising the spectral match of donor emission and acceptor absorption spectra. Foerster distance can be obtained experimentally or calculated when the donor and acceptor spectral characteristics are known [Lakowicz2006]:

\[
R_0^6 = 0.211 \cdot \kappa^2 n^4 Q_D \int_0^\infty F_D(\lambda) \varepsilon_A(\lambda) \lambda^4 d\lambda,
\]

where \( F_D(\lambda) \) is the donor emission spectrum with the total intensity normalized to one and \( \varepsilon_A(\lambda) \) is the acceptor molar extinction coefficient in the function of wavelength, which can be directly derived from the acceptor emission spectrum. The integral of the product of these two spectra is called the overlap integral. \( Q_D \) is the quantum yield of the donor molecule in absence of the acceptor molecule – this value describes the ratio of emitted photons to absorbed photons, \( n \) is the refractive index which is assumed to be 1.4 for biomolecules in aqueous solutions and \( \kappa^2 \) is a parameter describing a relative orientation of donor and acceptor dipoles which is assumed to be equal 2/3.

While FRET between single molecules is not efficient, it has been already shown that using multiple donors and acceptors, in the so called MIMO-FRET communications, FRET efficiency may be quite high and the transmission bit error rate below \( 10^{-3} \) may be easily reached [Wojcik2015, Solarczyk2016]. Transmission of ‘0’ and ‘1’ bits can be realized with the ON-OFF modulation [Kuscu2012]. While sending a bit ‘0’, donors are not excited, resulting in no energy transfer to acceptors. Such a transmission is always correct, assuming a proper synchronization between the transmitter and the receiver. When a bit ‘1’ is going to be sent, all donors are excited at the same time. If at least one of them passes its excitation
energy to any of the acceptors, the transmission is successful. With $n$ donors and $m$ acceptors, FRET efficiency is equal to [Solarczyk2016]:

$$E_{n,m} = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{m \cdot R_0^6}{r^6 + m \cdot R_0^6}\right)^n$$ (3)

The respective bit error rate is given by:

$$BER_{n,m} = 0.5 \left(\frac{r^6}{r^6 + m \cdot R_0^6}\right)^n$$ (4)

A FRET transmitter, i.e. a donor, may be excited externally, e.g. via a laser beam. In an in-body medical system, like considered in this paper (see Fig. 1), such an approach is yet questionable, as it is the transmitting molecule itself which should be responsible for initiating the data transmission. In this case, instead of typical FRET donors, it is much better to use bioluminescent molecules. For bioluminescent donors, the source of excitation energy is not external; instead it lies in a biochemical reaction occurring close to such a molecule. Thus, the transmitter may be excited by the transmitting nanomachine itself, and after that, the signal passes to the receiver in the same manner as in typical FRET transmission, i.e. without any radiation. The bioluminescent donors are, e.g. luciferase molecules [Pfleger2006]. A luciferase is an enzyme that converts chemical energy to light or FRET signals using ATP (adenosine triphosphate) and luciferin or furimazine alone [Suzuki2012] as substrates. The main drawback of luciferases is their quite long time of relaxation, as e.g. NanoLuc luciferase reaction turnover is ca. 3.8 s$^{-1}$, assuming the ambient temperature about 36 Celsius degrees [Suzuki2016, Kotz2009]. It is, however, not a crucial problem in the case of the proposed medical system, as the system requires sending short messages only, like few bytes of information, about the human body condition gathered by a liposome particle.

3. In-body medical system architecture

In this section, the system architecture is discussed focusing on the following communication aspects: information signals generation, the communication channel, acquiring the collected data and sending it outside the human body.

---

1 FRET with a bioluminescent donor is also called BRET (Bioluminescent Resonance Energy Transfer)
The system is based on two main components: (a) liposome particles circulating in human blood vessels and gathering data about the human body condition and tissues pathology, e.g. tumour occurrence; (b) a detector, i.e. a data sink node located in one of main veins, e.g. the aorta, collecting the data from liposomes. The liposomes, when flowing through the aorta, may temporarily attach to the detector, via an intermolecular chemical bond, and transmit the collected data there. After successful data transmission, the bond may be deactivated and the liposomes may be used again to gather data in human blood vessels. The whole system is presented in Fig. 1, and its components, i.e. the liposomes and the detector, are briefly described in Sections 3.1 and 3.2 respectively. Section 3.3 discusses the main focus of the paper, i.e. the communication mechanisms.

3.1. Liposomes

The liposomes work as mobile nanosensors. These particles have size up to few micrometers in diameter and they circulate in the human vascular system gathering specific data, depending on their application. At the current level of biotechnology, liposomes may be designed from basic blocks in laboratories and many components may be added inside and on their surface [Akbarzadeh2013]. Liposomes can be used for diagnostic and therapeutic applications – containing various markers or drugs [Maltzahn2011, Tayo2017]. Here, we assume that a layer of luciferase molecules is attached to each liposome. A single luciferase molecule is of oblong shape, less than 10 nm large, so the layer can be built by placing luciferase molecules next to each other (Fig. 2). The whole layer, even consisting of hundreds of luciferases, is very small comparing with the liposome which size is on the order of few micrometres. Vesicles containing furimazine are located under the membrane with luciferase layer, to provide substrates for luciferase molecule excitations. When a liposome flows through the inferior vena cava close to the detector, an intermolecular bond is created, linking the luciferases with channelrhodopsin molecules located in the detector [Elgueta2009]. After establishing the bond, the data transmission via FRET is initiated. The bond is kept as long as the data transmission occurs and is released after that. The liposome then returns to circulate through the blood vessels gathering data again.

We assumed here that there is a mechanism for attracting the liposome, floating in a vein, close to the detector. This issue is out of the scope of this paper, but it gains much attention currently, with mechanisms like magnetic field or dielectrophoresis (DEP) proposed and thoroughly studied [Ibsen2015].
3.2. The detector with channelrhodopsins

The detector is a device that can be mechanically put into the human large vein, like inferior vena cava. Many devices of this type exist and are popular on the medical market; the examples are vein filters and catheters used for prevention of pulmonary embolism and drug delivery [Kaufman2018]. Here, we assume that the top of the detector is covered with a layer of channelrhodopsin molecules arranged in a squared matrix. Above the channelrhodopsin layer (Fig. 2), there are two electrodes to measure the voltage changes caused by ions entering through channelrhodopsins.

Channelrhodopsins, containing a retinal complex inside (see Fig. 3), are able to create naturally occurring ion channels (pores). An important property of retinal is that, after an excitation, i.e. absorption of a photon or FRET, it opens itself, creating a pore where cations (positive ions present in human blood, like Ca$^{2+}$, K$^+$, Na$^+$) may flow through. Such a pore remains open for at least 10 ms [Nagel2003], consequently, having in mind typical concentration of cations in human blood of 146 mmol/l, at least $3\times10^4$ cations per second flow through the channel in that time [Nagel2003]. It can be measured with electrodes (see Fig. 2), as it results in changing the potential behind the layer of channelrhodopsins by 50 mV or more$^2$ [Deisseroth2017]. This channelrhodopsin property means that such a molecule can serve as an energy-voltage nano-converter, which is extremely useful for the purpose of receiving FRET signals.

\[\text{Fig. 2. Transmitter (donor) and receiver (acceptor) molecules structures derived from Protein Data Bank: a) NanoLuc structure 5IBO [Lovell2016] b) an example of channelrhodopsin structure 3UG9 [Kato2012] with retinal marked in red.}\]

$^2$ In general, the change of this potential depends on many factors, like cation type and concentration, the pool volume, electrodes capacity, etc.
3.3. Luciferase-channelrhodopsin communication

Excitation of a channelrhodopsin may be realised via a photon and via FRET as well. Thus, the channelrhodopsins at the detector may work as acceptors and receive FRET signals from luciferase molecules located on the liposome surface and working as donors (see Fig. 4). As explained in Section 3.1, a liposome with luciferase layer binds to the detector via ligand receptor bond (binding a luciferase with a channelrhodopsin), then the communication occurs and finally, the bond is deactivated. When the bond holds, the luciferase and the channelrhodopsin layers are 4 nm from each other [Alsteens2015]. FRET efficiency between a single luciferase and a single channelrhodopsin is not enough for telecommunication purposes and this is why it is proposed to use large matrices of molecules to communicate, exploiting MIMO-FRET concept. As explained in Section 2, sending bit ‘0’ is always correct, while successful sending ‘1’ requires that at least one of channelrhodopsins receives the energy from a luciferase. In theory, there is a whole layer of channelrhodopsins that could receive the energy, but in practice some of them are still not active after receiving previous ‘1’ bits as discussed in Section 4. When the energy is properly received, channelrhodopsins open their pores, cations from the blood flow through them and the voltage inside the detector increases. Measuring the voltage changes, bits ‘0’ may be distinguished from bits ‘1’. The energy transfer between luciferases and channelrhodopsins is realised via FRET, but it may also happen that the channelrhodopsin layer catches a photon. These two events should be taken into account together and the probabilities of both events are considered in the performance analysis reported in Section 4.

Fig. 4. The luciferase excitation energy may be transmitted to a channelrhodopsin via FRET or a photon.
After being excited and sending a signal, the luciferase molecules also have a period of inactivity, similarly as channelrhodopsins. Luciferase turnover rate depends on the environmental conditions like temperature or substrate concentration [Feeney2016]. In this paper, the analysed communication system is located inside a human vein, so taking into account the blood parameters and its temperature³, we assume NanoLuc luciferases that may be excited once per 0.26 s [Suzuki2016, Kotz2009]. This is why we suggest exciting simultaneously only a subgroup of all the luciferases when transmitting bit ‘1’. Other luciferases may be used during the next ‘1’ transmission, what increases the transmission speed, i.e. the throughput of such a communication channel.

4. Performance analysis of the FRET communication

The communication between the luciferases attached to a liposome (transmitter side) and channelrhodopsins at the detector (receiver side) was further modelled and validated. As explained in Section 3, information signals may be passed from a luciferase to a channelrhodopsin via FRET or photon. As both these phenomena are probabilistic ones, computer simulations were conducted.

First, we modelled both luciferase and channelrhodopsin layers. Both molecules were modelled as cylinders with the diameter of 2.5 nm, the height of 4.6 nm for a luciferase and the diameter of 3.4 nm, the height of 5.7 nm for channelrhodopsin. We assumed having 900 luciferases arranged in a 30x30 square, therefore the size of the layer was 75x75 nm and its thickness was 4.6 nm. At the receiver side, we had 50x50, i.e. 2500 channelrhodopsins, so the size of the layer was 170x170 nm and it was 5.7 nm thick. Both layers were very small comparing with the dimensions of a liposome (1-10 µm) and the detector head (0.5 mm), so they could be easily mounted there. The ligand–receptor (e.g. CD40/CD40L) connection between the liposome and the detector is needed in order to create a close attachment between the luciferase and channelrhodopsin layers [Elgueta2009].

Second, we modelled FRET communication between the luciferases and channelrhodopsins layers. We calculated the Foerster distance $R_0$ for the luciferase–channelrhodopsin pair as 4.33

³The luciferase turnover rate increases about 2 times per each 10 degrees of temperature increase [Kotz2009].
nm on the basis of their spectral characteristics [Hall2012, Bamann2008] and Eq. (2). Each FRET transmission was considered separately, i.e. we calculated the probability of successful transmission from the donor molecule to the particular acceptor molecule in the presence of other acceptors:

\[
E_{ki} = \frac{R_{ki}^6 \frac{1}{r_{ki}}}{1 + R_{ki}^6 \sum_{l=1}^{m} r_{kl}}
\]

(5)

where \(k\) and \(l\) indicate the particular donor and acceptor, respectively, and \(m\) is the number of acceptors. These single probabilities can be summed over acceptors giving the total probability of successful transmission from \(k\)-th donor to any acceptor.

Third, we also considered a possibility of the acceptor excitation via photon. The probability of hitting retinal by photon was calculated based on simulation of 1 million photon emissions by single luciferase. Simulation was performed for each luciferase. We modelled retinal as a circle with the diameter of 1.35 nm, positioned in the middle of every channelrhodopsin. Direction of the emitted photon was the result of the composition of vertical and horizontal angles, which were uniformly distributed. Keeping in mind that it can happen only when no FRET occurred, the probability of successful photon transmission between particular donor–acceptor pair can be described as:

\[
E_{kl} = (1 - E_{m,n})p_{kl}
\]

(6)

where \(p_{kl}\) is the probability of the excitation of the \(l\)-th channelrhodopsin by photon emitted by the \(k\)-th luciferase.

Having modelled both probabilities, FRET and photon excitation and adding them to each other, we finally could calculate the total probability of a successful signal transmission between the luciferase and channelrhodopsin layers. As the luciferases are characterized by quite a long time of relaxation, we considered a possibility that only a fraction of total number of luciferases were excited at the moment of sending bit ‘1’. In such a case, even if these luciferases were still inactive, another bit ‘1’ could be sent exciting another group of luciferases; such an approach increases the transmission throughput. On the other hand, when the excited group of luciferases is smaller, the efficiency of successful transmission decreases, as there are less donors, see Eq. (3). Thus, there is a clear trade-off here between the bit error rate and the transmission throughput.
We analyzed seven scenarios, when 1, 2, 3, 5, 6.7, 7.8 and 10% of luciferases were excited at the same time. For each scenario, we ran Monte Carlo simulations with $10^6$ bits using Matlab computing environment. The bit error rate for all the scenarios is shown in Fig. 5.

Knowing how long luciferase molecules stay inactive after releasing energy (via FRET or photon emission), we can calculate the achievable data throughput. For the NanoLuc type of luciferases considered in this paper, the total cycle of their activity may be approximated as 0.26 s and this time can be modelled as constant [Suzuki2016]. Thus, if 100% of luciferases are excited for each bit ‘1’, the data throughput may be assessed as 3.8 bit/s. By exciting a fraction of $1/X$ of the total number of luciferases, we could increase the throughput by the factor of $X$. The trade-off between the assessed throughput and the bit error rate is shown in Fig. 6. Assuming that BER should be lower than $10^{-3}$, which is commonly accepted in wireless communications, we may conclude that transmission rates about 40 bit/s (5 bytes per second) may be achieved (it is the scenario when 10% of luciferases is excited at the same).

Obviously, it is quite a low value comparing with typical throughputs in telecommunication networks, but for the purpose of collecting data from liposomes, it is sufficient. A liposome, performing as a mobile nanosensor, spends about one minute to make a whole circle through the human vascular system. Spending just few more seconds when being connected to the detector, the liposome may transmit about 20 bytes which is enough to pass the gathered data.

Finally, we should mention that using a layer of luciferases for FRET data transfer is a very energy efficient communication mechanism. The main wavelength of emission spectrum of
the NanoLuc luciferase is 460 nm. Consequently, the emission energy of a single NanoLuc luciferase (FRET or a photon) can be assessed, according to the Planck–Einstein relation (energy = Planck constant × frequency), as $4.32 \times 10^{-19}$ J. Transmitting bit ‘0’ costs no energy, while transmitting bit ‘1’ (assuming 10% of luciferases are excited, see above) means exciting 90 luciferases molecules, i.e. costs ca. $3.9 \times 10^{-17}$ J. Assuming equal number of ‘0’ and ‘1’ bits, we may assess that, on average, during transmission of a single bit, we have $1.95 \times 10^{-17}$ J transmitted. Additionally, taking into account the efficiency of luciferase reaction being about 85% [Greulich2004], we have $0.34 \times 10^{-17}$ J dissipated.

![Graph](image)

*Fig. 6. Bit error rate in the liposome-detector communication as a function of achieved throughput.*

5. **Conclusions and open issues**

In this paper, we propose and discuss an in-body system for medical applications. The system consists of two parts: a) liposomes, circulating with human blood and gathering data, and b) a detector, being a thin wire, collecting the data from liposomes. We focus on data transfer between liposomes and the detector, considering FRET as the communication mechanism. We suggest and evaluate the performance of luciferase molecules as an energy source (donors) for generating FRET signals. It allows to avoid external excitation and enables the whole communication system to operate inside of human body. We also show how to solve the problem of FRET signals storage. We propose to use channelrhodopsins, able to receive FRET signals and convert them into voltage. We model the whole communication system and validate it, calculating the data throughput and the bit error rate.
Still, there are some aspects of the system which, at the current technology stage, are not solved and require further studies. The first aspect concerns writing and reading the collected data in a liposome. Storing data in DNA could be an option and it is currently quite a popular research topic [Service2017]. Data storage in DNA was recently presented [Erlich2017]; the main advantage of this solution is its extremely high efficiency regarding the occupied space: 1.98 bits per nucleotide. The potential drawback is the relatively low reading rate compared to commonly used computer systems. Additionally, reading the information stored in DNA needs special enzymes and reaction conditions in vitro. Thus, it is an open problem how to organize data storage and reading in a simple way suitable for the nanocommunication system presented above. Moreover, reading the collected data should be associated with controlling and synchronizing the chemical reaction exciting luciferase molecules, as it initiates data transmission. Another issue is a mechanism of attracting liposomes by the detector. The electric field is rather not a solution, as it might influence other particles in human blood. Instead, the magnetic field or dielectrophoresis effect might be used [Pohl1978, Ramos1998, Ibsen2015]. Finally, there is a question regarding the throughput achievable in the liposome-channelrhodopsin communication. With NanoLuc luciferases considered in the paper, the throughput is about 40 bit/s, which is sufficient for systems focused on collecting medical data inside a human body. If, however, a higher throughput is required, one can consider using fluorescent dyes (e.g. Alexa, ATTO or DyLight) as donors at the liposome excited by the light provided by an optical fibre inside the detector. Fluorescent dyes are able to emit photons or FRET signals with much higher frequency, supporting data throughput of Mbit/s [Solarczyk2016]. Nonetheless, in this case, reading the data collected in the liposome should result in modulation of the light coming from the detector, which is a task still not solved.
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