**Abstract**

We find a novel phenomenon induced by the interplay between a strong magnetic field and finite orbital angular momenta in hadronic systems, which is analogous to the Paschen-Back effect observed in the field of atomic physics. This effect allows the wave functions to drastically deform. We discuss anisotropic decay from the deformation as a possibility to measure the strength of the magnetic field in high-energy heavy-ion collisions, which has not been measured experimentally. As an example, we investigate charmonia with a finite orbital angular momentum in a strong magnetic field. We calculate the mass spectra and mixing ratios. To obtain anisotropic wave functions, we apply the cylindrical Gaussian expansion method. There we use different extension parameters for the parallel and transverse directions to the magnetic field.

**1. Introduction**

The Paschen-Back effect (PBE) for a quantum system under a strong magnetic field is well-known in the field of atomic physics [1]. The effect occurs when the strength of the magnetic field is larger than the scale of the spin-orbit (LS) coupling of the system, called the Paschen-Back (PB) region. In the PB region, the state can be approximated by the state vector specified by $L_z$ and $S_z$, where $L_z$ and $S_z$ are the $z$ components (parallel to the magnetic field) of the orbital and spin angular momenta, respectively.

In this Letter, we consider *Hadronic Paschen-Back effect* (HPBE) for hadronic systems composed of constituent quarks. We note that hadrons are different from atomic systems since the quarks are confined in a confinement potential. In this work, we consider the charmonia, the bound states of a charm quark and a charm antiquark. Therefore we use the non-relativistic constituent quark model. The HPBE should be seen in all the hadronic systems with a finite orbital angular momentum ($L \neq 0$).

Heavy-ion collision (HIC) experiments provide us with a chance to search quark/hadronic degrees of freedom under extreme environments such as high temperature, density and vorticity. In particular, it is theoretically predicted that the strongest magnetic field in the present universe can be created at the Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider, RHIC ($|eB| \sim 0.1$ GeV$^2$ at most), and the Large Hadron Collider, LHC ($|eB| \sim 1.0$ GeV$^2$ at most) [2–13]. They are comparable to the typical scale of quantum chromodynamics (QCD), $\Lambda \sim 0.3$ GeV. On the other hand, there is no hard evidence of magnetic fields in HICs so far. One of the reasons of difficulties in measuring a magnetic field would be its short lifetime. In contrast, *relatively low-energy* collisions at the Super Proton Synchrotron (SPS) and the Beam Energy Scan (BES) program at RHIC can create a magnetic field with a long lifetime ($t \sim 2$ fm/$c$) and a maximum strength of $|eB| \sim 0.01$ GeV$^2$ (e.g., see Ref. [3] for SPS energy). The HPBE suggested in this Letter will provide a prospective probe of not only strong magnetic fields at RHIC and LHC but also even *relatively weak* magnetic fields at SPS and RHIC-BES. In particular, charmonia are quickly produced by nucleon-nucleon scattering in the initial stages of HICs, so that it can be a suitable probe of the magnetic fields.

**2. Formulation of HPBE**

Before numerical simulations, we formulate HPBE for the $P$-wave charmonia. In vacuum, the $P$-wave charmonia are classified by spin-singlet $h_c (|P_1\rangle)$ and spin-triplets $\chi_{c1} (|P_1\rangle)$ and $\chi_{c2} (|P_2\rangle)$, where the total angular momentum $J = L + S$, orbital angular momentum $L$, and spin angular momentum $S$ for $2S+1P_J$ states are the good quantum numbers because of the spherical symmetry of the vacuum (note that $L_z$ and $S_z$ are not conserved due to the LS and tensor coupling).

With a magnetic field along the $z$ direction, spherical symmetry is broken and only $J_z$ is conserved. When the magnetic field is stronger than the spin-orbit splitting, i.e., the PB region, $L_z$ and $S_z$ are also conserved approximately $^1$. The eigenstates of the $P$-wave charmonia can be represented by the PB configuration as follows $^2$:

$$Ψ_{L_zS_z}(\rho, \phi) = \Phi_{L_z}(\rho, \phi)Y_{L_z}(\theta, \phi)χ(S_1, S_2),$$

where

$^1$Precisely speaking, the existence of the tensor coupling mixes $L_z$ and $S_z$ even in the PB limit.

$^2$As an alternative notation, we can also use $|LL_z; SS_z\rangle \equiv Y_{LL_z}S_S\chi$. 
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where $\tan \theta = \rho / z$, $S_1(S_2)$ is the third component of the spin of the charm (anticharm) quark, $Y_{1L}(\theta, \phi)$ is the spherical harmonics, $\chi(S_1, S_2)$ is the spin wave function, and $\mathbf{\Phi}(\rho, z)$ is the spatial wave function in the cylindrical coordinate $\mathbf{r}$. Since the spatial distributions of $L_z = \pm 1$ and 0 are different because of the factor of the spherical harmonics, the transition of the $|L_z, J\rangle$ states in a weak field to the $|L_z; S_1, S_2\rangle$ ones in a PB region is associated with deformation of the wave functions.

We emphasize that this is qualitatively different from the deformation of the S-wave charmonia ($\eta_c$ and $J/\psi$) in a magnetic field. In fact, the way functions of the ground states are not so sensitive to magnetic fields, and the deformation requires a strong magnetic field, $|eB| > 1.5$ GeV$^2$, compared with the scale of charm-quark mass [14, 15]. This is because the deformation of S-wave comes from only Landau levels (LLs) of charm quarks, while that of the P-wave is induced by HPBE as well as LLs (also see Table 1). Therefore, the P-wave charmonia can be more sensitive to magnetic fields than the S-wave charmonia.

### 3. Numerical setup

We utilize the constituent quark model in a magnetic field [14–17]. The properties of the S-wave charmonia ($J/\psi$ and $\eta_c$) in a magnetic field are well understood from the numerical approaches in this model [14–17]. Some of their properties were confirmed also by the analyses in an effective Lagrangian [15, 18, 19] and QCD sum rules [18, 19]. We start from

\[
H = \sum_{i=1}^2 \left[ \frac{1}{2m_i} \left( \mathbf{p}_i - q_i \mathbf{A}(r_i) \right)^2 - \mu_i \cdot \mathbf{B} + m_i \right] + V(r),
\]

where $m_i$ is the constituent quark mass, and $q_i$, $p_i$, $\mu_i = gq_i S_i / 2m_i$, and $S_i$ are the electric charge, momentum and the spin operator of the $i$th charm quark, respectively. Now we assume a uniform constant magnetic field, and then we choose the gauge: $\mathbf{A}(r) = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{r}$. We rewrite the Hamiltonian above in terms of the center of mass and relative coordinates, $\mathbf{R} = (m_r r_1 + m_r r_2)/M$ and $\mathbf{r} = r_1 - r_2$, where $M = 2m_c$ is the total mass of the two constituent charm quarks.

Here we just offset the coordinate so that the center-of-mass of the charmonium is at rest at $\mathbf{R} = 0$. Hence we can factorize the total wave function into a component including only $\mathbf{r}$. The relative Hamiltonian can be written as

\[
H_{rel} = H_{diag} + H_m + V_{LS} + V_T,
\]

\[
H_{diag} = \left[ \frac{1}{2\mu} \nabla^2 + \frac{ q_i^2 B^2}{8\mu^2 r^2} \right] + \sigma r - \frac{4}{3} \frac{\alpha_s}{r},
\]

\[
V_{LS} = \frac{1}{m_c^2} \left( 2\alpha_s A_{LS} \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha_s r^2}}{r^3} - \frac{\sigma r}{2r} \right) \mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{S},
\]

\[
V_T = \frac{4\alpha_s A_T}{m_c^2} \frac{1 - e^{-\alpha_s r^2}}{3r^2} \left[ 3(\mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \hat{r})(\mathbf{S}_2 \cdot \hat{r}) - \mathbf{S}_1 \cdot \mathbf{S}_2 \right],
\]

where $\hat{r} = r / |r|$. Here we write the Hamiltonian using the cylindrical coordinate $(\rho, z, \phi)$, $\mu = m_c/2$ is the reduced mass. The magnetic field is assumed to be parallel to the $z$-axis: $\mathbf{B} = (0, 0, B)$. $q_i = |q_i| = 2/3 e$ is the electric charge of the charm quark. $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_1 + \mathbf{S}_2$ is the total spin operator for the charmonium. $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{r} \times \mathbf{p}$ is the orbital angular momentum operator of relative motion between charm and anti-charm quark. Note that the $\mathbf{L} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ term cancels for the quarkonia because the masses of the two particles are the same and the total electric charge is zero. As a result, the $J_c = \pm 2$ components of quarkonia are degenerate, and $J_c = \pm 1$ components also are. Here, we emphasize that PBE will occur even though the coupling between orbital angular momentum and magnetic field vanishes. We adopt the model parameters from Barnes et al. [20]: $(\sigma, \alpha_s, A_T, A_{LS}, A_{TS}, A_{TT}) = (0.1425\text{GeV}^2, 0.5461, 1.0946\text{GeV}, 1.4794\text{GeV})$. To stabilize numerical computations, we smear the LS and the tensor terms by introducing smearing parameters ($A_{LS}, A_{TS}, A_{TT}$) = (0.2GeV, 7.3, 1.2GeV, 1.2), fixed so as to reproduce the experimental values of the masses of the 1P charmonia.

We do not consider the $B$-dependence of the potential. In fact, the anisotropy of the confinement potential in a magnetic field is indicated by phenomenological models [21–28] as well as lattice QCD simulations at zero [29] and finite temperature [30, 31]. Implementation of such anisotropy on the potential model as Ref. [17] would be interesting, but in this work we focus on HPBE in weak magnetic fields where the deformation of the potential can be safely neglected.

The Hamiltonian (3) is numerically solved by the cyndrical gaussian expansion method (CGEM) [14, 15].

### 4. Numerical results

The mass spectra are shown in Fig. 1 and their mixing ratios in Fig. 2. $H_m$ in Eq. (5) induces mixings between $S = 0$ and $S = 1$ states, and their levels repel each other. Therefore, as the magnetic field gets stronger, the 1st state for $J_c = \pm 1, 0$ tends to fall, while the 4th state in $J_c = 0$ and 3rd state in $J_c = \pm 1$, namely the heaviest states of 1P in each channel, goes upward.
rapidly. The 1P state that most rapidly goes upward meets with the 2P state that goes downward, so that their levels cross. We can see such level crossings at \(|eB| > 0.6\,\text{GeV}^2\) in \(J_z = \pm 1\) channel and, \(|eB| = 0.5\) and 0.8 \(\text{GeV}^2\) in \(J_z = 0\) channel.

Before we see the detail of the mass spectra, we move on to the mixing ratios in Fig. 2 to confirm HPBE. One sees that the saturation by the PB configurations is reached already at the saturation by the PB configurations is reached already at the magnetic field around \(|eB| \geq 0.2\,\text{GeV}^2\). We will explain the transition of mixing ratios in \(J_z = \pm 1\), and 0. Note that the \(J_z = \pm 2\) channels do not have mixing.

In vacuum, we can see the proper mixing ratios of the \(S_{1/2}, S_{3/2}\) basis for each state. For \(J_z = \pm 1\), the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd states correspond to \(\chi_{c1}, h_c\), and \(\chi_{c2}\), respectively. For \(J_z = 0\), the four states are \(\chi_{c0}, \chi_{c1}, h_c\), and \(\chi_{c2}\), respectively. The probabilities of \(S_{1/2}, S_{3/2}\) states are given according to the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the total \(J\). When we turn on the magnetic field, one sees changes of the mixing ratios. As the magnetic field gets stronger, the mixing between \(S_1 = 0\) states, \(\frac{1}{2}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle - |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)\) and \(\frac{1}{2}(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle + |\downarrow\uparrow\rangle)\), increases. As a result, the ratio of the \(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle\) component in the lowest (highest) state of the 1P series converges into 1 as shown in Fig. 2. On the other hand, the other \(S_1 = \pm 1\) states \(|\uparrow\downarrow\rangle\) and \(|\downarrow\uparrow\rangle\) are left in the middle of 1P series, the 2nd state for \(J_z = \pm 1\), and the 2nd and 3rd for \(J_z = 0\). Additionally, near \(|eB| = 0.05\,\text{GeV}^2\), we can see that the mixing ratios switch because of the level crossing between the 2nd and 3rd in \(J_z = 0\).

Now we go back to the mass spectra. In the mass shifts of the 1st states, we can see the characteristic behaviors: the masses for \(J_z = \pm 1\) start to increase from \(|eB| = 0.4\,\text{GeV}^2\), and that for \(J_z = 0\) keeps falling over \(|eB| = 1.0\,\text{GeV}^2\). The difference comes from the spatial part of the wave functions. The HPBE approximately fix \(L_z\) in the 1st states: \(L_z = \pm 1\) and \(L_z = 0\) in \(J_z = \pm 1\) and \(J_z = 0\), respectively. Here the Hamiltonian in Eq. (4) has the term of the harmonic oscillator potential in \(\rho\) direction, which leads to the quark LLs. Thus the wave functions are squeezed along \(\rho\) direction as the magnetic field gets stronger. Hence the \(L_z = \pm 1\) states, which are extended in \(\rho\)
direction, are squeezed and get higher energy, while the $L_z = 0$ state stays at relatively lower energy, so that this level keeps going down by the level repulsion.

The $J_z = \pm 2$ channels, the dotted lines in Fig. 1, have only the $L_z = \pm 1$ component. There is no mixing, and it shows the pure effect from the quark LLs.

Finally, we comment on the tensor coupling. Without the tensor force, the 2nd and 3rd states in the $p$-wave show angular dependence. Thus the states with different $L_z$ emit photons with different angular distributions. Then the HPBE can be measured through such anisotropic radiative decays.

Here we focused on only radiative decays. However, the typical time scale of radiative decays should be comparable to that for the electromagnetic interactions: $\tau \sim 100 \text{ fm}/c$. This might be too slow to be observed because of the short lifetime of magnetic fields in heavy-ion collision experiments. On the other hand, as other observables, the time scales for the strong decays of quarkonia or quarkonium productions from heavy quarks should be that for the strong interaction: $\tau \sim 1 \text{ fm}/c$. Such processes could be possible and “more rapid” observables for the HPBE of P-wave quarkonia in the initial stage of heavy-ion collisions.

6. Discussion and conclusion

In this work we have focused on HPBE in a simplified situation with only a static and homogeneous magnetic field. To consider more realistic situations in heavy-ion collisions, we examine the influence of (i) finite temperature, (ii) time evolution of magnetic field, (iii) finite vorticity, on HPBE for the P-wave charmonia.

(i) After the collision at RHIC and LHC, if quark gluon plasma (QGP) is produced, and its temperature is higher than the melting temperature of a charmonium ($T_{cC} < T$), then the charmonium dissociates by the thermal effects, which is so-called charmonium suppression [51]. In QGP at lower temperature ($T_c < T < T_{cC}$, where $T_c$ is the critical temperature of QCD), the charmonium survives, but the confinement potential is modified by the Debye-screening. Under such a situation, implementation of the potentials modified by both the temperature and the magnetic field as estimated in Refs. [24, 27, 28, 30, 31]
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would be important. When QGP is not produced after the collision ($T < T_c$), and the P-wave charmonia do not suffer from the thermal effects (or can be slightly affected by thermal hadronic matter), then we can measure almost pure HPBE.

(ii) Naively, the strength of the magnetic fields at RHIC and LHC rapidly decreases as the spectator nuclei go away (unless we take into account a lasting mechanism [35, 52–56] by the electric conductivity of QGP). However, relatively low-energy collisions at SPS and RHIC-BNS can produce a long-lived electric conductivity of QGP. Therefore, we can measure almost pure HPBE. On the other hand, the magnetic moment of bottom $\mu_b \sim b_0 \chi^6$, and the smaller electric charge $|e_b| = (1/3)e$, so that the spin mixing becomes weaker than the case of charmonia. Thus HPBE for the P-wave charmonia will be determined by the competition between these effects.

In summary, HPBE suggested in this work will be a good probe of the QCD physics under relatively small magnetic fields of the order of $|eB| \sim 0.01$ GeV$^2$ (and also larger magnetic fields), which can be realized in heavy-ion collisions and compact stars.
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**Appendix A. The basis of CGEM for the P-wave states**

The Schrödinger equation in this work is numerically solved by the cyrdindrical gaussian expansion method (CGEM) [14, 15]. In Refs. [14, 15], the basis functions for S-wave two-body systems in a magnetic field were introduced. The spatial part of the basis functions for P-wave two-body systems with a fixed $L_z$ are as follows:

$$\Psi_n(\rho, z, \phi; L_z) = N_n r Y_{L_z}(\theta, \phi) e^{-\mu r^2} e^{-\gamma z^2},$$  \hspace{1cm} \text{(A.1)}$$

where $N_n$ is the normalization constant of the $n$th basis, and $Y_{L_z}(\theta, \phi)$ is the spherical harmonics. $\beta_r$ and $\gamma_r$ are the range (variational) parameters which are optimized as the energy eigenvalue is minimized by the variational method. Note that, for the spin part, the $S_1 \cdot S_2$ term gives the factors of $-3/4$ and $1/4$ for the $S = 0$ and $1$ eigenstates, respectively.

**Appendix B. Clebsch-Gordan coefficients in a magnetic field**

The Clebsch-Gordan (CG) coefficients for the wave functions of P-wave charmonia in vacuum are summarized in Table A.2, where we used $Y_{L_L,\Lambda}$ basis. On the other hand, the expected bases in the PB limit, where the mixing by the LS coupling can be neglected, are summarized in Table A.3. Here, the states with $S_2 = J = 0$ and $Y_{10\chi^{10}}$ for $J_z = 0$ and $Y_{1\pm 1\chi^{10}}$ for $J_z = \pm 1$ are mixed by the magnetic moments. Furthermore, $Y_{11\chi^{11}}$ and $Y_{1-1\chi^{11}}$ for $J_z = 0$ are mixed by the tensor coupling even in the PB limit.

The numerical results of the mixing ratios and CG coefficients for the $Y_{L_L,\Lambda}$ basis in zero and nonzero magnetic fields are shown in Figs. A.4 and A.5, respectively. From these figures, we see that the CG coefficients in vacuum on Table A.2
are successfully reproduced. In the strong magnetic field (PB) limit, the CG coefficients converge into the constant values as Table A.3.
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Appendix C. Supplementary material for: “Hadronic Paschen-Back effect”

List of wave functions in a magnetic field

In the Figs. C.6, C.7, and C.8, we show all the wave functions for $J_z = \pm 2, \pm 1, 0$ channels, respectively. The corresponding mass spectra and mixing ratios are shown in the main text.

Figure C.6: Probability densities of wave functions of P-wave charmonia with $J_z = \pm 2$ in a magnetic field.

Figure C.7: Probability densities of wave functions of P-wave charmonia with $J_z = \pm 1$ in a magnetic field.

Figure C.8: Probability densities of wave functions of P-wave charmonia with $J_z = 0$ in a magnetic field.