

Rescaled Whittaker driven stochastic differential equations converge to the additive stochastic heat equation

Yu-Ting Chen*

March 15, 2022

Abstract

We study SDEs arising from limiting fluctuations in a $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional surface growth model called the Whittaker driven particle system, which is believed to be in the anisotropic Kardar–Parisi–Zhang class. The main result of this paper proves an irrelevance of nonlinearity in the surface growth model in the continuum by weak convergence in a path space; the first instance of this irrelevance is obtained recently for this model in terms of the covariance functions along certain diverging characteristics. With the same limiting scheme, we prove that the derived SDEs converge in distribution to the additive stochastic heat equation in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2))$. The proof addresses the solutions as stochastic convolutions where the convolution structures are broken by discretization of the diverging characteristics.

Keywords: Stochastic heat equations ; stochastic convolutions ; surface growth models.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 60H10, 60H15, 60G15

Contents

1	Introduction and main results	2
2	Fourier representations of the solutions	5
3	Setup for the main theorem	11
4	Convergence of the stochastic parts	13
4.1	Semi-discrete integration by parts	14
4.2	Stochastic integral representations	17
4.3	Removal of remainders: dampening oscillations	22
4.4	Removal of remainders: bounding convolution-like stochastic integrals	25
4.5	Characterization of limits	33
5	Convergence of the deterministic parts	34

*Department of Mathematics, University of Tennessee, Knoxville, United States of America.

1 Introduction and main results

In this paper, we consider rescaled limits of the Whittaker driven stochastic differential equations (SDEs) obtained in the recent work [7]. These SDEs arise as limiting fluctuations of an interacting particle system modeling $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional surface growth. The model is named the Whittaker driven particle system for its connections with methods in integrable probability (see [4] and the references therein) and is originally introduced in [9] to study the anisotropic Kardar–Parisi–Zhang class in $(2 + 1)$ dimensions. Mathematical results for this class are possible, but very little is known compared to the $(1 + 1)$ -dimensional class.

In [7], the SDEs derived from the Whittaker driven particle system obey the following linear system indexed by sites in a two-dimensional discrete torus \mathcal{R}_m with size m^2 :

$$d\xi_t^m(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{R}_m} A_{x,y} \xi_t^m(y) dt + \sqrt{v} dW_t(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{R}_m. \quad (1.1)$$

Here, $W = \{W(x); x \in \mathcal{R}_m\}$ is an m^2 -dimensional standard Brownian motion and v is a constant defined by the parameters of the particle system. In addition to the particular geometry of \mathcal{R}_m as a certain parallelogram in \mathbb{Z}^2 subject to periodic boundary conditions, the main characteristics of the derived SDEs come from the constant drift coefficient matrix A . See Proposition 2.1 and Example 2.3 for the precise forms. In more detail, these SDEs arise from the site-wise fluctuation fields of the Whittaker driven particle system mentioned above by taking central limit theorem type limits. Since the jump rates of this particle system are defined by total asymmetry and algebraic complexity in using the planarity of the space, the SDEs inherit these properties by the matrix A as well as the coefficient v . In particular, in terms of formal connections between the SDEs and stochastic heat equations, we note that the drift terms of the SDEs do not take the form of a discretization of the Laplacian (the matrix has zero row sums but is not even a generator matrix). See [9] and [7, Sections 1–3] for more details of this planar particle system and further connections with the SDEs.

The anisotropic Kardar–Parisi–Zhang class. The Whittaker driven particle system is introduced in [9] to study the anisotropic Kardar–Parisi–Zhang class in $(2 + 1)$ dimensions. This class goes back to Villain [31]. It consists of height functions in the continuum of generic surface growth models where growths along the two directions of a spatial coordinate frame are not related by symmetry. In this case, the time evolution of a height function $H(x, t)$ obeys the following singular stochastic partial differential equation (SPDE): for $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}(x, t) = \nu \Delta H(x, t) + \langle \nabla H, \Lambda \nabla H \rangle(x, t) + \sigma \dot{W}(x, t), \quad (1.2)$$

where \dot{W} is a space-time white noise and the three terms on the right-hand side physically capture surface tension, lateral surface growth, and random fluctuation, respectively, in the surface growth. Anisotropy refers to the property that the eigenvalues of the 2×2 symmetric matrix Λ in (1.2) have different signs. This complements the case in $(2 + 1)$ -dimensions studied earlier by Kardar, Parisi and Zhang [20], which defines the isotropic case where the eigenvalues of Λ have the same signs. Note that the case of two spatial dimensions is singled out in [20] for its criticality leading to a notion of marginal relevance of nonlinearity. See, for example, the lectures of Kardar [19] for more on the physical developments of the Kardar–Parisi–Zhang equations in one and two spatial dimensions and the monograph of Barabási and Stanley [3] for an introduction to these equations in all dimensions.

The most studied case of the Kardar–Parisi–Zhang class in one spatial dimension now leads to many-faceted mathematical investigations. See [1, 2, 14, 16, 17, 21], to name but a few. This class and the isotropic class both feature predicted nonlinearity in the roughness of height functions. By contrast, the anisotropic class is noted for the prediction by Wolf [33] on the irrelevance of nonlinearity. The prediction states that in the limit of large time, the coefficient σ of the space-time white noise in (1.2) is not pulled along significantly by the nonlinear term $\langle \nabla H, \Lambda \nabla H \rangle$ that is responsible for the singularity of the SPDE in (1.2). The overall effect is that the expected noise should behave like the expected noise in the corresponding Edwards–Wilkinson equation [12], that is, a $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional additive stochastic heat equation (e.g. Walsh’s lectures [32, Chapter 5]):

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t}(x, t) = \nu \Delta H(x, t) + \sigma \dot{W}(x, t). \quad (1.3)$$

Here, the SPDE in (1.3) was originally introduced in [12] for $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional surface growth without the asymmetry from lateral growth leading to the nonlinear term in (1.2). (To obtain (1.3), [12] imposed Langevin equations for the Fourier modes of the height function, which is reminiscent of the approach for the Whittaker drive SDEs discussed below.) In stark contrast to the additive stochastic heat equations, the anisotropic SPDE in (1.2) remains mathematically out of reach for several basic aspects including the existence of solutions. Accordingly mathematical results are very few. See [30] for a broad discussion of Wolf’s prediction and the mathematical progress.

Expected noise in the Whittaker driven SDEs. Our main object of this paper is a connection, among several other things, proven in [7]. By the Whittaker driven particle system, it gives the first instance to prove rigorously Wolf’s prediction on the irrelevance of nonlinearity in the form of expectations. The connection is established for the SDEs in (1.1) subject to general noise coefficients $v \in (0, \infty)$ and matrices A satisfying only key features of the drift coefficient matrices in the Whittaker driven SDEs (Assumption 2.2). The main quantitative assumption states that the Taylor expansion of the Fourier transform

$$\widehat{A}(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_m} A_{x,0} e^{-i\langle x, k \rangle}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (1.4)$$

takes the following form:

$$\widehat{A}(k) = -i\langle k, U \rangle + \frac{\langle k, Qk \rangle}{2} + \mathcal{O}(|k|^3), \quad k \rightarrow 0, \quad (1.5)$$

for a real vector U and a strictly negative definite matrix Q . The matrix A thus deviates from a “Laplacian” additively in its Fourier transform by the pure imaginary translation $-i\langle k, U \rangle$ as well as the error term $\mathcal{O}(|k|^3)$. In the rest of this section, the SDEs in (1.1) are assumed to be under this general setup unless otherwise mentioned.

The connection from [7] states that, with $V = \sqrt{-Q}$, the limiting covariance function

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \text{Cov}[X_s^m(x); X_t^m(y)], \quad 0 < s < t, \quad x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (1.6)$$

of the two-parameter processes

$$X_t^m(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \xi_{\delta^{-1}t}^m([\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1/2}z]) \quad (1.7)$$

exists. Moreover, the limit coincides with the covariance function $\kappa_{s,t}(x, y)$ of the $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -valued solution X to an additive stochastic heat equation as in (1.3):

$$\text{Cov}[X_s(\phi_1); X_t(\phi_2)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dy \kappa_{s,t}(x, y) \phi_1(x) \phi_2(y). \quad (1.8)$$

In addition to the usual diffusive rescaling $(\delta^{-1/2}z, \delta^{-1}t)$ of space and time in (1.7), as pointed out in [7], the main feature of the limit scheme in (1.6) is a discretization of space by the following sets of time-adaptive meshes:

$$[\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1/2}z], \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (1.9)$$

These meshes naturally induce distinguished characteristics in space and time that diverge as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$. See also [5, 6, 8] for rescaled limits of closely related growth models in $(2+1)$ dimensions and [23, 15] for convergences to the Edwards–Wilkinson equations in three and higher spatial dimensions.

The convergence in (1.6) brought to the process level is not a consequence given the convergence of the covariance functions already obtained, although the limiting SPDE is very simple. This is attributable to several features in the SDEs (1.1) and the time-dependent nature of the spatial discretization in (1.9). They begin with the fact that the useful positivity in matrix exponentials solving the mean functions of the rescaled densities does not hold for the SDEs derived from the Whittaker driven particle system (see (2.5) and Example 2.3). Further issues arise since the rescaled densities in (1.7) appear to have irregular discontinuity due to the diverging spatial mesh points and it is well-known that the limiting covariance kernel defined in (1.8) explodes at equal times and equal spatial points leading to non-solvability of the stochastic heat equation by mild solutions. It is neither clear to us whether X^δ obeys useful exact dynamics. We will give more detailed discussions below when explaining the proof of the main theorem.

Main theorem. We follow the same double limit scheme in (1.6) and prove that solutions to the generalized Whittaker driven SDEs (1.1) converge weakly to the solution of an additive stochastic heat equation as distribution-valued processes. This proves in particular the pathwise Edwards–Wilkinson fluctuation in the Whittaker driven particle system via the SDEs, and hence, may suggest the possibility of further pathwise investigations of the anisotropic SPDE (1.2). Note that [7, Theorem 1] proves weak convergence of the fluctuations of the particle system to these SDEs.

To carry out the double limit scheme in (1.6), we first embed \mathcal{R}_m increasingly into \mathbb{Z}^2 so that they fill the whole space as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Then the weak convergence proven in this paper is established by the following two separate results:

$$\{\xi_t^m(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \xrightarrow[m \rightarrow \infty]{(d)} \{\xi_t^\infty(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \quad \text{in } C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{Z}^2}, \quad (1.10)$$

$$X^\delta \xrightarrow[\delta \rightarrow 0+]{(d)} X \quad \text{in } C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)) \quad (1.11)$$

for the distribution-valued processes X^δ defined by

$$X_t^\delta(\phi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \, \xi_{\delta^{-1}t}^\infty([\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1/2}z])\phi(z). \quad (1.12)$$

Here in (1.10), $x \mapsto \xi^m(x)$ is understood to be zero outside \mathcal{R}_m and ξ^∞ is a Gaussian process with explicitly defined mean and covariance functions in terms of Fourier transforms (Proposition 2.6). Note that the density of X^δ in (1.12) is subject to the same rescaling of both space and time as in (1.6). Also, (1.10) and (1.11) can be integrated in the obvious way for the weak convergence of the distribution-valued processes with densities X^m defined by (1.7) if one passes the double limits in (1.6).

The main theorem of this paper is given by Theorem 3.1 for the proof of (1.11). We use Mitoma's conditions [24] on the tightness of probability measures on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -valued path spaces. The major argument here is devoted to proving tightness of the laws of the family $\{X^\delta(\phi)\}_{\delta \in (0,1)}$ defined in (1.12) for a Schwartz function ϕ . In particular, the proof of Theorem 3.1 does not use the asymptotics in (1.6) as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ obtained in [7].

To prove tightness of the family $\{X^\delta(\phi)\}_{\delta \in (0,1)}$, we first notice that the expected moduli of continuity in the densities of $X^\delta(\phi)$'s are complicated by the Fourier character of their covariance functions (defined by the Gaussian process ξ^∞ in (1.10)). We have to carefully address by precise calculations the feature of the density of X^δ that the time-adaptive spatial mesh points in (1.9) are in use and they are defined by mixtures of space and time subject to different scalings.

The key issue here arises from the presence of the floor function $z \mapsto \lfloor z \rfloor$ in (1.9). This function already defines discontinuity in the density of X^δ , and so it becomes natural to expect that the test function ϕ in $X^\delta(\phi)$ would help smooth things out. We use the following stochastic integral representation of $X^\delta(\phi)$ after re-centering to make explicit the smoothing effect as well as the whole process under consideration:

$$\sqrt{v} \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \Re \Phi_t^\delta(r, k) W^1(dr, dk) + \sqrt{v} \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \Im \Phi_t^\delta(r, k) W^2(dr, dk), \quad (1.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_t^\delta(r, k) &= e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)[\widehat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} \\ &\times \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \phi(z) e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1/2}z] \rangle - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut \rangle} \end{aligned} \quad (1.14)$$

and W^1 and W^2 are independent space-time white noises on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2$ (Section 4.2). Then (1.14) shows that the floor function interferes cancellation of the two growing, time-dependent factors $\delta^{-1}Ut$ in the Fourier transform of ϕ , since there is a discretization of the first of them by the floor function. Nevertheless, if this cancellation were viable, then the space-time stochastic integrals in (1.13) would reduce to convergent stochastic convolutions. We develop several methods to address this property which may be extended for proving convergence of more general stochastic integrals where convolution structures are broken by discretization.

By the stochastic integrals in (1.13), the proof of Theorem 3.1 leads to martingale problem characterizations for limits of the re-centered processes. As the reader may have already noticed, it gives an alternative explanation why the choice of the time-adaptive meshes (1.9) under the diffusive scaling $(\delta^{-1/2}z, \delta^{-1}t)$ is necessary. Moreover, the natural limit of (1.13) as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ arises under the assumption (1.5) and satisfies (1.13) with Φ_t^δ replaced by

$$\Phi^0(r, k) = e^{(t-r)Q(k)/2} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \phi(z) e^{i\langle k, V^{-1}z \rangle}. \quad (1.15)$$

The characteristic of the corresponding stochastic integral as a solution to an additive stochastic heat equation then follows upon Fourier inversions.

Organization of this paper. In Section 2, we discuss the explicit solutions of the system (1.1) and the proof of (1.10) in Proposition 2.6. In Section 3, we state Theorem 3.1. The steps of its proof are explained in more detail at the end of Section 3. In Section 4, details for the above discussions consist in the proof of the convergence of X^δ after re-centering. The convergence of the mean functional of X^δ is a real-analysis result and is proven in Section 5. As we need more complicated notation after Section 2, the reader can find a list of frequent notations for Sections 3–5 at the end of Section 6.

2 Fourier representations of the solutions

In this section, we describe the SDEs studied in [7] in more detail and discuss the Fourier transforms of the solutions. This section ends with a Fourier characterization of the solutions in the limit of infinite volume.




Figure 1: \mathcal{R}_m with $m = 4$ and $m_2 = 1$.

First, let us describe in more detail the discrete torus \mathcal{R}_m that parameterizes the SDEs (see [7, Section 2]). Given two positive integers m_2 and m such that $m_2/m \in (0, 1)$, the torus \mathcal{R}_m is defined to be the quotient group \mathbb{Z}^2/\sim , where the equivalence relation \sim is given by:

$$x \sim y \iff x + (j_1 m, j_2 m) = y + (j_2 m_2, 0) \quad \text{for some } j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (2.1)$$

The quotient group \mathbb{Z}^2/\sim can be identified with a discrete parallelogram subject to the periodic boundary conditions to be defined in (2.2), which is suitable for the purpose of this paper. Whenever \mathcal{R}_m is used as a set, we always refer to this discrete parallelogram unless otherwise mentioned. See Figure 1 for an example.

Proposition 2.1. *The quotient group \mathbb{Z}^2/\sim is isomorphic to the quotient group with points in the discrete parallelogram*

$$\left\{ (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid -\frac{m}{2} \leq x_2 < \frac{m}{2}, -\frac{m}{2} - \frac{m_2}{m}x_2 \leq x_1 < \frac{m}{2} - \frac{m_2}{m}x_2 \right\} \quad (2.2)$$

subject to the pasting rule \equiv defined as follows:

- (1) Points on the lower and upper edges are pasted together by the following rule:

$$\left(x_1, -\frac{m}{2}\right) \equiv \left(x_1 - m_2, \frac{m}{2}\right), \quad \forall x_1 \in \left[-\frac{m}{2} + \frac{m_2}{2}, \frac{m}{2} + \frac{m_2}{2}\right) \cap \mathbb{Z},$$

that is, along the direction defining the lateral edges.

- (2) Points on the left and right edges are pasted together horizontally.

Proof. Write \mathcal{P}_m for the discrete set defined in (2.2). For $x, y \in \mathcal{P}_m$, $x \sim y$ implies that $j_2 = 0$ since $-m/2 \leq x_2, y_2 < m/2$, and hence, $x_2 = y_2$. Similarly, $j_1 = 0$ and $x_1 = y_1$. Also, any point in \mathbb{Z}^2 is \sim -equivalent to a point in \mathcal{P}_m . We conclude that there is a natural isomorphism between equivalence classes in \mathbb{Z}^2/\sim and those in \mathcal{P}_m/\equiv . \blacksquare

Next, we restate the assumptions in [7, Section 4] for the SDEs (1.1).

Assumption 2.2 (Coefficients of the SDEs). From now on, we assume unless otherwise mentioned that, the coefficients of the SDEs in (1.1) are given by a constant $v \in (0, \infty)$ and a constant matrix A indexed by $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ such that, for some integer $m_0 \geq 2$, the following five conditions are satisfied for every $m \geq m_0$:

- (1) The matrix A is translation-invariant on the quotient group \mathcal{R}_m :

$$A_{x,y} = A_{x+z,y+z}, \quad \forall x, y, z \in \mathcal{R}_m.$$

- (2) The Fourier transform $\widehat{A}(k)$ (1.4) of A is 2π -periodic and in $\mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$.
- (3) $\widehat{A}(0) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_m} A_{x,0} = 0$.
- (4) The function

$$R(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \widehat{A}(k) + \widehat{A}(-k) = 2\Re \widehat{A}(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (2.3)$$

expands as

$$R(k) = Q(k) + \mathcal{O}(|k|^3), \quad k \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where $Q(k) = \langle k, Qk \rangle$ for a strictly negative definite matrix Q .

- (5) The function $R(k)$ defined by (2.3) is nonpositive and its only zero in \mathbb{T}^2 is $k = 0$. Here and throughout this paper, $\mathbb{T}^d = [-\pi, \pi]^d$ for $d \geq 1$ is a set and no periodic boundary conditions are imposed. ■

Conditions (2)–(5) in Assumption 2.2 are imposed for the Fourier transform of the sub-matrix of A restricted to $\mathcal{R}_m \times \mathcal{R}_m$ for every $m \geq m_0$. The Fourier transform does not depend on the set representation of the quotient group \mathcal{R}_m , and so the choice in Proposition 2.1 applies. Moreover, according to the applications of these assumptions in [7], it is understood that the Fourier transform of A restricted to $\mathcal{R}_m \times \mathcal{R}_m$ is identical to the Fourier transform of the full matrix A on $\mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ defined by (1.4) with \mathcal{R}_m replaced by \mathbb{Z}^2 . It follows that $x \mapsto A_{x,0}$ has a finite support.

Example 2.3. In [7], the SDEs derived from the Whittaker driven particle system on \mathcal{R}_m are defined by (1.1) with the following coefficients:

$$v = [(1 - e^{-B})(1 - e^{-D})]/(1 - e^{-C})$$

and

$$A_{x,y} = \begin{cases} -\frac{e^{-B}(1 - e^{-D})}{1 - e^{-C}} - \frac{e^{-C}(1 - e^{-B})(1 - e^{-D})}{(1 - e^{-C})^2} + \frac{e^{-D}(1 - e^{-B})}{1 - e^{-C}}, & y = x, \\ \frac{e^{-B}(1 - e^{-D})}{1 - e^{-C}} & y = x + (1, -1), \\ \frac{e^{-C}(1 - e^{-B})(1 - e^{-D})}{(1 - e^{-C})^2} & y = x + (0, -1), \\ -\frac{e^{-D}(1 - e^{-B})}{1 - e^{-C}} & y = x + (-1, 0), \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for $D \in (0, \infty)$, $C \in (0, D)$, and $B = D - C$ with $C/D = m_2/m$.

Obviously, this matrix A satisfies Assumption 2.2 (1). By this translation invariance of A and the property $\mathcal{R}_m = -\mathcal{R}_m$, the functions $\widehat{A}(k)$ and $R(k)$ defined by (1.4) and (2.3) take the following simple forms: for all $k \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$\widehat{A}(k) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_m} A_{0,x} e^{i\langle x, k \rangle} = A_{0,0} + A_{0,(1,-1)} e^{i(k_1 - k_2)} + A_{0,(0,-1)} e^{-ik_2} + A_{0,(-1,0)} e^{-ik_1},$$

$$R(k) = A_{0,0} + A_{0,(1,-1)} \cos(k_1 - k_2) + A_{0,(0,-1)} \cos(k_2) + A_{0,(-1,0)} \cos(k_1),$$

which clearly give (2)–(3) in Assumption 2.2. The strict negative definiteness of Q in (4) and the conditions in (5) need some algebra to verify [7, Appendix B]. See [7, Proposition 2] for these five properties. ■

Recall that the explicit solution to the system (1.1) is given by

$$\xi_t^m(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{R}_m} e^{tA}(x, y) \xi_0^m(y) + \sum_{y \in \mathcal{R}_m} \sqrt{v} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)A}(x, y) dW_s(y), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{R}_m \quad (2.5)$$

(cf. [18, Eq.(6.6) in Section 5.6]). Here in (2.5), e^{tA} is understood to be the usual matrix exponential of the sub-matrix of A restricted to $\mathcal{R}_m \times \mathcal{R}_m$. Henceforth, we decompose the Gaussian process ξ^m into

$$\xi^m = \eta^m + \zeta^m, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\eta_t^m(x)$ and $\zeta_t^m(x)$ are defined by the first and second sums in (2.5) and called the **deterministic part** and **stochastic part** of ξ^m , respectively.

To apply Assumption 2.2, we turn to the Fourier transform of ξ^m . Define

$$f_k(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{m} e^{-i\langle k, x \rangle} \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$\widehat{\xi}(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{R}_m} \xi(x) f_k(x), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{R}_m}. \quad (2.8)$$

Then Assumption 2.2 (1) and the definition (1.4) of $\widehat{A}(k)$ imply that for any analytic function F , the usual multiplier formula holds:

$$\widehat{F(A)\xi}(k) = F(\widehat{A}(k)) \widehat{\xi}(k), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (2.9)$$

To represent the processes η^m and ζ^m by their Fourier transforms $\widehat{\eta^m}(k)$ and $\widehat{\zeta^m}(k)$, it is enough to require k be points in the following set:

$$\mathcal{K}_m \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ \left(\frac{2\pi}{m} r_1, \frac{2\pi}{m} \left(\frac{m_2}{m} r_1 + r_2 \right) \right) \middle| r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, -\frac{m}{2} \leq r_1, r_2 < \frac{m}{2} \right\}. \quad (2.10)$$

The additional properties that we need are summarized in Lemma 2.4 below (see [29, Chapter 1] or [7, Section 3.1]). For any subset E of \mathbb{Z}^2 , write

$$\langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle_E = \sum_{x \in E} \phi(x) \overline{\phi_2(x)}. \quad (2.11)$$

Lemma 2.4. *Let $f_k(x)$ and \mathcal{K}_m be defined by (2.7) and (2.10), respectively. Then the following properties hold:*

- (1) *For any $k \in \mathcal{K}_m$, f_k is well-defined on the quotient group (\mathcal{R}_m, \sim) , where the equivalence relation \sim is defined by (2.1).*
- (2) *The set $\{f_k\}_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m}$ forms an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{C}^{\mathcal{R}_m}$ with respect to the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{R}_m}$ defined by (2.11).*
- (3) *The inversion formula holds:*

$$\xi(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \widehat{\xi}(k) \overline{f_k(x)}, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{R}_m. \quad (2.12)$$

Corollary 2.5. *With respect to the decomposition in (2.6), it holds that*

$$\eta_t^m(x) = \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} e^{t\hat{A}(k)} \widehat{\xi}_0^m(k) \overline{f_k(x)}, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\zeta_t^m(x) = \sqrt{v} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\hat{A}(k)} d\widehat{W}_s(k) \overline{f_k(x)} \quad (2.14)$$

for all $x \in \mathcal{R}_m$, where $\{\widehat{W}(k); k \in \mathcal{K}_m\}$ is an m^2 -dimensional complex-valued centered Brownian motion defined by

$$\widehat{W}_t(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{R}_m} W_t(y) f_k(y). \quad (2.15)$$

Proof. By (2.9) and the inversion formula in (2.12), (2.13) follows and, for (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_t^m(x) &= \sqrt{v} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{R}_m} \int_0^t \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} e^{(t-s)\hat{A}(k)} \widehat{\mathbb{1}}_y(k) \overline{f_k(x)} dW_s(y) \\ &= \sqrt{v} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \int_0^t e^{(t-s)\hat{A}(k)} d\widehat{W}_s(k) \overline{f_k(x)}. \end{aligned}$$

■

The following theorem uses (2.13) and (2.14) to characterize the limit of ξ^m as $m \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, the limiting mean function immediately implied by [7, (6.4)] and the limiting covariance function in [7, (6.8)] are recovered. Below for all $m \geq m_0$, we extend ξ^m to the whole space \mathbb{Z}^2 by setting

$$\xi^m(x) \equiv 0, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{R}_m^c.$$

Similar extension applies to η^m and ζ^m . Also, we write $\text{Cov}[X; Y] = \mathbb{E}[X\overline{Y}] - \mathbb{E}[X]\mathbb{E}[\overline{Y}]$ for complex-valued random variables X and Y .

Proposition 2.6. *Suppose that m_2 's defining \mathcal{R}_m 's are chosen such that*

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m_2/m = \overline{m} \in (0, 1)$$

and, for some continuous function $\widehat{\mu}$ on \mathcal{K}_∞ ,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} m \widehat{\xi}_0^m(k_m) = \widehat{\mu}(k) \quad \text{boundedly} \quad (2.16)$$

for all sequences (k_m) such that $k_m \in \mathcal{K}_m$ and $k_m \rightarrow k \in \mathcal{K}_\infty$. Here, \mathcal{K}_∞ is the limiting parallelogram of \mathcal{K}_m in \mathbb{R}^2 as $m \rightarrow \infty$:

$$\mathcal{K}_\infty \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ (k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid -\pi \leq k_1 \leq \pi, -\pi \leq k_2 - \overline{m}k_1 \leq \pi \right\}. \quad (2.17)$$

Then the sequence of laws of $\{\xi^m(x); x \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$ converge in distribution in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})^{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ to a Gaussian process $\xi^\infty = \{\xi^\infty(x); x \in \mathbb{Z}^2\}$ characterized by the following equations: for all $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^2$,

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi_t^\infty(x)] = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} dk e^{t\hat{A}(k)} e^{i\langle k, x \rangle} \widehat{\mu}(k), \quad (2.18)$$

$$\text{Cov}[\xi_s^\infty(x); \xi_t^\infty(y)] = \frac{v}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^s dr \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} dk e^{(s-r)\hat{A}(k)} e^{i\langle k, x \rangle} e^{(t-r)\hat{A}(-k)} e^{-i\langle k, y \rangle}. \quad (2.19)$$

In particular, ξ^∞ admits a natural extension, still denoted by ξ^∞ , which is a jointly continuous real-valued Gaussian process indexed by $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. We compute the mean function and covariance function of ξ^m in the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$ first. By (2.13), (2.16) and dominated convergence,

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \eta_t^m(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathcal{K}_\infty} dk e^{t\hat{A}(k)} \hat{\mu}(k) e^{i\langle k, x \rangle} = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} dk e^{t\hat{A}(k)} \hat{\mu}(k) e^{i\langle k, x \rangle}, \quad (2.20)$$

where the last equality follows from the 2π -periodicity of the integrand.

As for the covariance function of ξ^m in the limit $m \rightarrow \infty$, notice that by Lemma 2.4 (2), the complex-valued Brownian motion in (2.15) satisfies

$$\text{Cov}[\widehat{W}_s(k); \widehat{W}_t(k')] = \delta_{k=k'} s, \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty, \quad k, k' \in \mathcal{K}_m. \quad (2.21)$$

Hence, for any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ and m large such that $x, y \in \mathcal{R}_m$, (2.14) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Cov}[\xi_s^m(x); \xi_t^m(y)] \\ &= \frac{v}{m^2} \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{k, k' \in \mathcal{K}_m} \int_0^s e^{(s-r)\hat{A}(k)} e^{i\langle k, x \rangle} d\widehat{W}_r(k) \times \int_0^t e^{(t-r)\hat{A}(-k')} e^{-i\langle k', y \rangle} d\widehat{W}_r(k') \right] \\ &= \frac{v}{m^2} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \int_0^s dr e^{(s-r)\hat{A}(k)} e^{i\langle k, x \rangle} e^{(t-r)\hat{A}(-k)} e^{-i\langle k, y \rangle} \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

$$\xrightarrow{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{v}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^s dr \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} dk e^{(s-r)\hat{A}(k)} e^{i\langle k, x \rangle} e^{(t-r)\hat{A}(-k)} e^{-i\langle k, y \rangle} \quad (2.23)$$

by dominated convergence and the 2π -periodicity of the integrand as above in (2.20).

We are ready to prove the weak convergence of ξ^m ; then (2.18) and (2.19) will follow from (2.20) and (2.23), respectively, by the closure of centered Gaussians under weak convergence. By [13, Proposition 3.2.4], it suffices to show that for any fixed $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, the sequence of laws of the real-valued processes $\xi^m(x)$, $m \geq m_0$, is weakly relatively compact in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$. For this purpose, by Kolmogorov's criterion [28, Theorem XIII.1.8] and the convergence of the mean functions of ξ^m 's in (2.20), the following uniform modulus of continuity is enough: For any fixed $T \in (0, \infty)$, we can find some constants $C_{2.24}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{E}[|\zeta_t^m(x) - \zeta_s^m(x)|^4] \leq C_{2.24} |t - s|^{1+\varepsilon}, \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T. \quad (2.24)$$

Recall the function $R(k)$ defined by (2.3). To obtain (2.24), first we use (2.22) with $x = y$ to compute the second moments of the (real) Gaussian variables in (2.24): For any $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[|\zeta_t^m(x) - \zeta_s^m(x)|^2] \\ &= \frac{v}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^s dr \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \left(\frac{e^{tR(k)} - 1}{R(k)} - 2 \frac{e^{sR(k)} - 1}{R(k)} e^{(t-s)\hat{A}(k)} + \frac{e^{sR(k)} - 1}{R(k)} \right) \\ &= \frac{v}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^s dr \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} \left(\frac{e^{(t-s)R(k)} (e^{sR(k)} - 1) - (e^{sR(k)} - 1) e^{(t-s)\hat{A}(k)}}{R(k)} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{e^{(t-s)R(k)} - 1}{R(k)} - \frac{(e^{sR(k)} - 1)(e^{(t-s)\hat{A}(k)} - 1)}{R(k)} \right) \\ &\leq \frac{(t-s)v}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^T dr \frac{1}{m^2} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}_m} (s|\hat{A}(k) - R(k)| + 1 + s|\hat{A}(k)|) \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

by the following inequality:

$$|e^{z_1} - e^{z_2}| \leq \max\{|e^{z_1}|, |e^{z_2}|\} \cdot |z_1 - z_2|, \quad \forall z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}. \quad (2.26)$$

The required inequality in (2.24) thus follows upon applying to (2.25) Assumption 2.2 (2) and the fact that the fourth moment of a centered, real-valued Gaussian with variance σ^2 is given by $3\sigma^4$.

Next, we show that ξ^∞ admits an extension to a jointly continuous Gaussian process as defined in the statement of the present proposition. The extension to a two-parameter real-valued Gaussian process, say ζ^∞ , follows readily from the standard reproducing kernel argument for Gaussian processes. In more detail, we use the Hilbert space $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}^2, dr dk)$ and the real and imaginary parts of the following functions to construct ζ^∞ :

$$(r, k) \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{v}}{2\pi} \mathbb{1}_{[0,s]}(r) e^{(s-r)\hat{A}(k)} e^{i\langle k, x \rangle}, \quad (s, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (2.27)$$

(See also Section 4.2.) To obtain a jointly continuous modification of ζ^∞ , notice that, for $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$, (2.19) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}[|\zeta_s^\infty(x) - \zeta_t^\infty(y)|^2] \\ &= \frac{v}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^s dr \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} dk |e^{(s-r)\hat{A}(k)} e^{i\langle k, x \rangle} - e^{(t-r)\hat{A}(k)} e^{i\langle k, y \rangle}|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2v}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^s dr \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} dk |e^{(s-r)\hat{A}(k)} - e^{(t-r)\hat{A}(k)}|^2 + |e^{i\langle k, x \rangle} - e^{i\langle k, y \rangle}|^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2vs}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} dk \left(|\hat{A}(k)|^2 |s - t|^2 + |x - y|^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

where the next to the last equality uses Assumption 2.2 (5) and the last equality follows from the same assumption and (2.26).

From (2.28) and the Gaussian property of ζ^∞ , we deduce from Kolmogorov's continuity theorem [28, Theorem I.2.1] that ζ^∞ admits a jointly continuous modification. The proof is complete. \blacksquare

3 Setup for the main theorem

In this section, we recall the rescaling from [7, Corollary 3.1] for the limiting Gaussian process defined in Proposition 2.6 and then state the main theorem of this paper.

Let U be a real vector defined by

$$U = i\nabla A(0) \quad (3.1)$$

and V be the square root of $-Q^{-1}$ so that

$$Q = -(V^{-1})^2. \quad (3.2)$$

(Recall that Q is the strictly negative definite matrix in Assumption 2.2 (4).) Then for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we define an $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -valued process X^δ by

$$X_t^\delta(\phi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \xi_{\delta^{-1}t}^{\infty, \delta}(|\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}z|) \phi(z), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad (3.3)$$

where $\xi^{\infty, \delta}$ is the limiting Gaussian process in Proposition 2.6 and has a constant initial condition μ^δ .

Our goal in the rest of this paper is to prove the full convergence of X^δ to the solution of a stochastic heat equation. The main result is stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 (Main theorem). *Let Assumption 2.2 be in force and write $V = \sqrt{-Q^{-1}}$ for Q chosen in Assumption 2.2 (4). In addition, let a family of functions $\{\mu^\delta\}_{\delta \in (0,1)}$ in $\ell_1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ be given such that*

$$\sum_{y \in \delta^{1/2}V\mathbb{Z}^2} \delta \mu^\delta(\delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}y)\phi(y) \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \mu^0(\phi), \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad (3.4)$$

for some $\mu^0 \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then the rescaled processes X^δ defined by (3.3) satisfy

$$X^\delta \xrightarrow[\delta \rightarrow 0+]{(d)} X^0 \quad \text{in} \quad C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)).$$

The limiting process X^0 is the pathwise unique solution to the following additive stochastic heat equation:

$$\frac{\partial X^0}{\partial t} = \frac{\Delta X^0}{2} + \sqrt{v|\det(V)|} \dot{W}, \quad X^0_0 = |\det(V)|\mu^0, \quad (3.5)$$

subject to a $(2+1)$ -dimensional space-time white noise \dot{W} on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2$.

In the case that $\mu^\delta(x) \equiv \psi(\delta^{1/2}x)$ for some $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the assumed convergence in (3.4) holds and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y \in \delta^{1/2}V\mathbb{Z}^2} \delta \mu^\delta(\delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}y)\phi(y) &= \sum_{y \in \delta^{1/2}V\mathbb{Z}^2} \delta \psi(V^{-1}y)\phi(y) \\ &\xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{|\det(V)|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi(V^{-1}y)\phi(y)dy. \end{aligned}$$

For the proof of Theorem 3.1, we decompose the Gaussian process $\xi^{\infty,\delta}$ according to its deterministic part and stochastic part as before in Section 2:

$$\xi_t^{\infty,\delta}(x) = \eta_t^{\infty,\delta}(x) + \zeta_t^{\infty,\delta}(x). \quad (3.6)$$

That is, $\eta_t^{\infty,\delta}(x)$ is the mean function of $\xi_t^{\infty,\delta}(x)$ in (2.18) and $\zeta^{\infty,\delta}$ is a centered Gaussian process with a covariance function given by (2.19). The analogous decomposition of $X^\delta(\phi)$ is defined by:

$$X_t^\delta(\phi) = Y_t^\delta(\phi) + Z_t^\delta(\phi), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2),$$

where

$$Y_t^\delta(\phi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \eta_{\delta^{-1}t}^{\infty,\delta}(\lfloor \delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}z \rfloor) \phi(z), \quad (3.7)$$

$$Z_t^\delta(\phi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \zeta_{\delta^{-1}t}^{\infty,\delta}(\lfloor \delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}z \rfloor) \phi(z). \quad (3.8)$$

We also define a counterpart of Z^δ where the floor function is removed:

$$Z_t^{\delta,c}(\phi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \zeta_{\delta^{-1}t}^{\infty,\delta}(\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}z) \phi(z). \quad (3.9)$$

Organization of the proof of Theorem 3.1. We study the convergence of Z^δ in Section 4 and the convergence of Y^δ in Section 5. The main result of Section 4 (Proposition 4.19) shows that the family of laws $\{Z^\delta\}_{\delta \in (0,1)}$ is tight as probability measures on $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2))$. Moreover, its distributional

limit as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ is unique and is given by the law of a $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2))$ -valued random element Z^0 which satisfies the following equation. For some space-time white noise $W(dr, dk)$ with covariance measure $dr dk$ on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$Z_t^0(\phi) = \int_0^t Z_s^0 \left(\frac{\Delta \phi}{2} \right) ds + \sqrt{v |\det(V)|} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(k) W(dr, dk). \quad (3.10)$$

Then the main result of Section 5 (Proposition 5.1) shows that Y^δ converges to the solution Y^0 of a heat equation in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2))$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$:

$$Y_t^0(\phi) = |\det(V)| \mu^0(\phi) + \int_0^t Y_s^0 \left(\frac{\Delta \phi}{2} \right) ds. \quad (3.11)$$

In summary, writing $\xrightarrow[\delta \rightarrow 0+]{(d)}$ for convergence in distribution as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$, we obtain from (3.10) and (3.11) that

$$X^\delta = Y^\delta + Z^\delta \xrightarrow[\delta \rightarrow 0+]{(d)} Y^0 + Z^0 = X^0$$

and X^0 solves the additive stochastic heat equation defined in (3.5). ■

4 Convergence of the stochastic parts

This section is devoted to the proof of weak convergence of the stochastic parts Z^δ defined in (3.8) as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$. We will verify Mitoma's conditions for weak convergence in the space of probability measures on $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2))$ (cf. [24, Theorem 3.1]) and characterize all the subsequential limits. For the present setup, the first of Mitoma's conditions requires that Z^δ is $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2))$ -valued for every $\delta \in (0, 1)$. This is satisfied by the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. *The stochastic part ζ^∞ of the Gaussian process ξ^∞ in Proposition 2.6 continuously extended to $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2$ satisfies the following growth bounds:*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{1}{1 + \|x\|_\infty^{2r}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{y \in x + [0, 1]^2} |\zeta_t^\infty(y)|^{2r} \right] < \infty, \quad \forall r \in (1, \infty). \quad (4.1)$$

Hence, for every $\delta \in (0, 1)$, Z^δ and $Z^{\delta, c}$ take values in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2))$ almost surely.

Proof. We partition $\mathbb{Z}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ according to the level sets $E_n = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2; 2^{n-1} \leq \|x\|_\infty < 2^n\}$ for $n \geq 1$. Since $\{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2; \|x\|_\infty = n\} = 8n$, we have $|E_n| = \sum_{j=2^{n-1}}^{2^n-1} 8j \leq 3 \cdot 2^{2n}$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \frac{1}{1 + \|x\|_\infty^{2r}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{y \in x + [0, 1]^2} |\zeta_t^\infty(y)|^{2r} \right] \\ & \leq \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{y \in [0, 1]^2} |\zeta_t^\infty(y)|^{2r} \right] + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{x \in E_n} \frac{1}{1 + \|x\|_\infty^{2r}} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{y \in x + [0, 1]^2} |\zeta_t^\infty(y)|^{2r} \right] \\ & \leq \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{3 \cdot 2^{2n}}{1 + 2^{2r(n-1)}} \right) \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{y \in [0, 1]^2} |\zeta_t^\infty(y)|^{2r} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Here, in the second inequality, we use the spatial translation invariance of ζ^∞ by the analogous property of the covariance function in (2.19). By (2.28), the Gaussian property of ζ^∞ and Kolmogorov's criterion

for continuity [28, Theorem I.2.1], we deduce that the expectation of ζ^∞ in (4.2) is finite. Then (4.1) follows.

The required properties of Z^δ and $Z^{\delta,c}$ follow from the almost surely polynomial growth of ζ^∞ implied by (4.1) (see [27, Example 4 on page 136]). ■

The other condition of Mitoma requires that the laws of $\{Z^\delta(\phi)\}_{\delta \in (0,1)}$ is tight in the space of probability measures on $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$ for any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The proof is carried out in Sections 4.1–4.5. Before proving the stochastic integral representations of $Z^\delta(\phi)$'s in (1.13) for this purpose, we derive in Section 4.1 a semi-discrete integration by parts for functions taking the following form:

$$k \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \phi(z) e^{i(\delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}z]) - i(\delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut)} : \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

(recall the integrands in (1.14)). The semi-discrete integration by parts has an obvious analogue for the integration by parts of the usual Fourier transform $\int dz \phi(z) e^{i\langle k, V^{-1}z \rangle}$. It will handle the discontinuity of the floor function $[\cdot]$ in cancelling the two large factors $\delta^{-1}Ut$. Then in Section 4.2, we prove a slightly more detailed form of (1.13) by representing

$$D^\delta(\phi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z^\delta(\phi) - Z^{\delta,c}(\phi) \quad \text{and} \quad Z^{\delta,c}(\phi) \quad (4.3)$$

as a vector of stochastic integrals with respect to space-time white noises. The convergence of $D^\delta(\phi)$ to zero in probability uniformly on compacts and the convergence of $Z^{\delta,c}$ to the space-time stochastic integral in (1.13) with Φ_t^δ replaced by Φ^0 (1.15) occupy Sections 4.3 and 4.4. The characterization of the limit of Z^δ is given in Section 4.5.

4.1 Semi-discrete integration by parts

We write

$$\mathbb{S}_\delta(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{e^{i\delta^{1/2}k/2} (e^{i\delta^{1/2}k/2} - e^{-i\delta^{1/2}k/2})}{i\delta^{1/2}}, \quad k \in \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}, \delta \in (0,1). \quad (4.4)$$

This sine-like function \mathbb{S}_δ will be used repeatedly in the rest of Section 4, along with the following two properties:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{2}{\pi}|k| \leq |\mathbb{S}_\delta(k)| \leq |k|, & \forall k \in \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}; \\ \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \mathbb{S}_\delta(k) = k, & \forall k \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

Note that the first property in (4.5) follows from Jordan's inequality.

Proposition 4.2. *For any $f \in \ell_1(\mathbb{Z})$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $k_1 \in \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T} \setminus \{0\}$, we have*

$$\sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1 x_1} f(x_1) = \frac{(-1)^n}{(i\mathbb{S}_\delta(k_1))^n} \sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1 x_1} \Delta_\delta^n f(x_1), \quad (4.6)$$

where \mathbb{S}_δ is defined in (4.4) and Δ_δ is the ordinary difference operator defined by

$$\Delta_\delta f(x_1) = \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_1 - 1)}{\delta^{1/2}}. \quad (4.7)$$

Proof. It suffices to prove (4.6) for $n = 1$, and then the case of general n follows from iteration. By summation by parts, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1x_1} f(x_1) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x_1=-N}^N e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1x_1} f(N) - \sum_{x_1=-N}^{N-1} \sum_{m=-N}^{x_1} e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1m} [f(x_1+1) - f(x_1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $k_1 \in \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T} \setminus \{0\}$, we have

$$\sum_{m=-N}^{x_1} e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1m} = \frac{e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1(x_1+1)} - e^{-i\delta^{1/2}k_1N}}{e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1} - 1}.$$

Then by telescoping and the assumption that $f \in \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, we get from the last two equalities that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x_1 \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1x_1} f(x_1) \\ &= - \sum_{x_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\frac{e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1(x_1+1)}}{e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1} - 1} \right) [f(x_1+1) - f(x_1)] \\ &= \frac{-1}{e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1/2}(e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1/2} - e^{-i\delta^{1/2}k_1/2})\delta^{-1/2}} \sum_{x_1=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1x_1} \frac{f(x_1) - f(x_1-1)}{\delta^{1/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the notations \mathbb{S}_δ and Δ_δ to the last equality proves (4.6) for $n = 1$. This completes the proof. \blacksquare

To state the next result, we introduce few more notations. First, $\lfloor z_j \rfloor_{\delta,t,j}$ denotes the nearest point in $\delta^{1/2}\mathbb{Z} - \delta^{-1/2}U_j t$ to the left of $z_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\lfloor z \rfloor_{\delta,t} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\lfloor z_1 \rfloor_{\delta,t,1}, \lfloor z_2 \rfloor_{\delta,t,2}), \quad z = (z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (4.8)$$

With a slight abuse of notation, we also write $\lfloor z \rfloor_{\delta,t,j}$ for $\lfloor z_j \rfloor_{\delta,t,j}$. Then the following inequalities hold:

$$0 \leq z_j - \lfloor z_j \rfloor_{\delta,j,t} < \delta^{1/2}, \quad \forall z_j \in \mathbb{R}, \delta \in (0,1), j \in \{1,2\}, t \in \mathbb{R}_+. \quad (4.9)$$

Also, we define a partial difference operator $\Delta_{\delta,1}$ by

$$\Delta_{\delta,1}\phi(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\phi(z_1, z_2) - \phi(z_1 - \delta^{1/2}, z_2)}{\delta^{1/2}}. \quad (4.10)$$

The operator $\Delta_{\delta,2}$ is similarly defined. In contrast to Δ_δ defined in (4.7), a scaling of space by $\delta^{1/2}$ is now in the definitions of $\Delta_{\delta,j}$'s.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\delta \in (0,1)$, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $j \in \{1,2\}$. Then for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, multi-indices $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ and $k \in \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2$ with $k_j \neq 0$ when $n > 0$, it holds that*

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial k^\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z] \rangle - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut \rangle} \phi(z) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n \mathbf{i}^{|\alpha|}}{(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{S}_\delta(k_j))^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz e^{i\langle k, \lfloor z \rfloor_{\delta,t} \rangle} \Delta_{\delta,j}^n (\lfloor \cdot \rfloor_{\delta,t}^\alpha \phi)(z), \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2$ and $z^\alpha = z_1^{\alpha_1} z_2^{\alpha_2}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Proof. The integral on the left-hand side of (4.11) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial k^\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z] \rangle - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut \rangle} \phi(z) \\ &= \mathbf{i}^{|\alpha|} |\delta|^{\alpha/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z] \rangle - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut \rangle} \\ & \quad \times ([\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z] - \delta^{-1}Ut)^\alpha \phi(z). \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

Below we prove the required formula (4.11) for $j = 1$ by (4.12).

Now, we partition \mathbb{R}^2 by the semi-closed squares $I_{\delta^{1/2}x - \delta^{-1/2}Ut}^\delta$ for x ranging over \mathbb{Z}^2 , where

$$I_y^\delta = [y, y + \delta^{1/2}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} [y_1, y_1 + \delta^{1/2}) \times [y_2, y_2 + \delta^{1/2}), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^2. \quad (4.13)$$

These squares $I_{\delta^{1/2}x - \delta^{-1/2}Ut}^\delta$ are chosen such that

$$[\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z] = x, \quad \forall z \in I_{\delta^{1/2}x - \delta^{-1/2}Ut}^\delta, \quad x \in \mathbb{Z}^2.$$

Then by the foregoing display, the right-hand side of (4.12) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{i}^{|\alpha|} |\delta|^{\alpha/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z] \rangle - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut \rangle} ([\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z] - \delta^{-1}Ut)^\alpha \phi(z) \\ &= \mathbf{i}^{|\alpha|} |\delta|^{\alpha/2} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, x \rangle} \int_{I_{\delta^{1/2}x - \delta^{-1/2}Ut}^\delta} dz e^{-i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut \rangle} (x - \delta^{-1}Ut)^\alpha \phi(z) \\ &= \mathbf{i}^{|\alpha|} |\delta|^{\alpha/2} \sum_{x_1 = -\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1(x_1 - \delta^{-1}U_1t)} \Phi_\delta(x_1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\delta(x_1) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1t}^{\delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1t + \delta^{1/2}} dz_1 \sum_{x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_2(x_2 - \delta^{-1}U_2t)} \\ & \quad \times \int_{\delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2t}^{\delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2t + \delta^{1/2}} dz_2 (x - \delta^{-1}Ut)^\alpha \phi(z). \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

By Proposition 4.2, (4.12) and (4.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial k^\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z] \rangle - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut \rangle} \phi(z) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^n \mathbf{i}^{|\alpha|} |\delta|^{\alpha/2}}{(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{S}_\delta(k_1))^n} \sum_{x_1 = -\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1(x_1 - \delta^{-1}U_1t)} \Delta_\delta^n \Phi_\delta(x_1), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{aligned} \quad (4.16)$$

Our next step is to rewrite the last sum as an integral. We claim that, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\sum_{x_1 = -\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1(x_1 - \delta^{-1}U_1t)} \Delta_\delta^n \Phi_\delta(x_1) = \delta^{-|\alpha|/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz e^{i\langle k, [z]_{\delta,t} \rangle} \Delta_{\delta,1}^n ([\cdot]_{\delta,t}^\alpha \phi)(z), \quad (4.17)$$

where $[\cdot]_{\delta,t}$ and $\Delta_{\delta,1}$ are defined in (4.8) and (4.10), respectively.

We first show by an induction on n that

$$\Delta_\delta^n \Phi_\delta(x_1) = \delta^{-|\alpha|/2} \int_{y_1}^{y_1 + \delta^{1/2}} dz_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz_2 e^{ik_2[z_2]_{\delta,t,2}} \Delta_{\delta,1}^n ([\cdot]_{\delta,t}^\alpha \phi)(z), \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad (4.18)$$

where the following change of variables for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ is in use:

$$y = \delta^{1/2}x - \delta^{-1/2}Ut \in \delta^{1/2}\mathbb{Z}^2 - \delta^{-1/2}Ut. \quad (4.19)$$

First, (4.17) for $n = 0$ follows immediately from the definition (4.15) of Φ_δ :

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\delta(x_1) &= \delta^{-|\alpha|/2} \int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta^{1/2}} dz_1 \sum_{y_2 \in \delta^{1/2}\mathbb{Z} - \delta^{-1/2}Ut} e^{ik_2 y_2} \int_{y_2}^{y_2+\delta^{1/2}} dz_2 y^\alpha \phi(z) \\ &= \delta^{-|\alpha|/2} \int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta^{1/2}} dz_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz_2 e^{ik_2 \lfloor z_2 \rfloor_{\delta,t,2}} \lfloor z \rfloor_{\delta,t}^\alpha \phi(z), \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

where the last equality uses the definition in (4.8). In general, if (4.18) holds for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we write

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\delta^{n+1} \Phi(x_1) &= \frac{\Delta_\delta^n \Phi_\delta(x_1) - \Delta_\delta^n \Phi_\delta(x_1 - 1)}{\delta^{1/2}} \\ &= \frac{\delta^{-|\alpha|/2}}{\delta^{1/2}} \int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta^{1/2}} dz_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz_2 e^{ik_2 \lfloor z_2 \rfloor_{\delta,t,2}} \Delta_{\delta,1}^n (\lfloor \cdot \rfloor_{\delta,t}^\alpha \phi)(z_1, z_2) \\ &\quad - \frac{\delta^{-|\alpha|/2}}{\delta^{1/2}} \int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta^{1/2}} dz_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz_2 e^{ik_2 \lfloor z_2 \rfloor_{\delta,t,2}} \Delta_{\delta,1}^n (\lfloor \cdot \rfloor_{\delta,t}^\alpha \phi)(z_1 - \delta^{1/2}, z_2) \\ &= \delta^{-|\alpha|/2} \int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta^{1/2}} dz_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz_2 e^{ik_2 \lfloor z_2 \rfloor_{\delta,t,2}} \Delta_{\delta,1}^{n+1} (\lfloor \cdot \rfloor_{\delta,t}^{\alpha_2} \phi)(z), \end{aligned}$$

which gives (4.18) for n replaced by $n + 1$. Hence, by mathematical induction, (4.18) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

In summary, from (4.18) and the definition in (4.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{x_1=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\delta^{1/2}k_1(x_1 - \delta^{-1}U_1t)} \Delta_\delta^n \Phi_\delta(x_1) \\ &= \delta^{-|\alpha|/2} \sum_{y_1 \in \delta^{1/2}\mathbb{Z} - \delta^{-1/2}U_1t} \int_{y_1}^{y_1+\delta^{1/2}} dz_1 e^{ik_1 \lfloor z_1 \rfloor_{\delta,t,1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dz_2 e^{ik_2 \lfloor z_2 \rfloor_{\delta,t,2}} \Delta_{\delta,1}^n (\lfloor \cdot \rfloor_{\delta,t}^\alpha \phi)(z) \\ &= \delta^{-|\alpha|/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz e^{i\langle k, \lfloor z \rfloor_{\delta,t} \rangle} \Delta_{\delta,1}^n (\lfloor \cdot \rfloor_{\delta,t}^\alpha \phi)(z), \end{aligned}$$

which gives the required identity in (4.17). The proof of (4.11) with $j = 1$ is complete upon combining (4.16) and (4.17). \blacksquare

4.2 Stochastic integral representations

Our goal in this subsection is to obtain joint stochastic integral representations of the two-dimensional Gaussian process $(D^\delta(\phi), Z^{\delta,c}(\phi))$, which is defined by (3.8), (3.9) and (4.3). By definition, the process $D^\delta(\phi)$ can be written as

$$D_t^\delta(\phi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \left(\zeta_{\delta^{-1}t}^{\infty, \delta} (\lfloor \delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}z \rfloor) - \zeta_{\delta^{-1}t}^{\infty, \delta} (\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}z) \right) \phi(z). \quad (4.21)$$

To lighten the stochastic integral representations to be introduced below, we use the following ad hoc notation:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V}\Phi(r, k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \Re \Phi(r, k) W^1(dr, dk) \\ &+ \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \Im \Phi(r, k) W^2(dr, dk). \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Here, W^1 and W^2 are independent space-time white noises. The covariance measure of W^j is given by $dr dk$:

$$\mathbb{E}[W_s^j(\phi_1) W_t^j(\phi_2)] = \min\{s, t\} \langle \phi_1, \phi_2 \rangle_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^2, dk)}.$$

When using the notation in (4.22), we always let \mathbb{V} act on the whole function before $\mathbb{W}(dr, dk)$. Also, we define a change-of-variable operator T_V on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by

$$\phi_V(z) = T_V \phi(z) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\det(V)| \phi(Vz) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2). \quad (4.23)$$

Proposition 4.4. *For fixed $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the two-dimensional process $(D^\delta(\phi), Z^{\delta,c}(\phi))$ defined by (4.3) and the following two-dimensional process $(\tilde{D}^\delta(\phi), \tilde{Z}^{\delta,c}(\phi))$ have the same law:*

$$\tilde{D}_t^\delta(\phi) = \sqrt{v} \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)[\hat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} \varphi_t^\delta(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk), \quad (4.24)$$

$$\tilde{Z}_t^{\delta,c}(\phi) = \sqrt{v} \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)[\hat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} \mathcal{F}\phi_V(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk), \quad (4.25)$$

where $\varphi_t^\delta(k)$ and $\mathcal{F}\phi_V(k)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_t^\delta(k) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \phi_V(z) e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z] \rangle - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut \rangle} \\ &- \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \phi_V(z) e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z \rangle - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut \rangle}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.26)$$

$$\mathcal{F}\phi_V(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \phi_V(z) e^{i\langle k, z \rangle}. \quad (4.27)$$

The notation defined in (4.22) and (4.23) is used here.

Proof. First, we show that for all $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[D_s^\delta(\phi) D_t^\delta(\phi)] &= v \int_0^s dr \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} dk e^{\delta^{-1}(s-r)[\hat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} \varphi_s^\delta(k) \\ &\times e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)[\hat{A}(-\delta^{1/2}k) - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} \overline{\varphi_t^\delta(k)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

By the change of variables $z \mapsto Vz$, it follows from (4.21) that

$$\mathbb{E}[D_s^\delta(\phi) D_t^\delta(\phi)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \phi_V(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz' \phi_V(z') \kappa_{s,t}(z, z'),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_{s,t}(z, z') &= \mathbb{E}[\zeta_{\delta^{-1}s}^{\infty, \delta}([\delta^{-1}Us + \delta^{-1/2}z]) \zeta_{\delta^{-1}t}^{\infty, \delta}([\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z'])] \\ &- \mathbb{E}[\zeta_{\delta^{-1}s}^{\infty, \delta}([\delta^{-1}Us + \delta^{-1/2}z]) \zeta_{\delta^{-1}t}^{\infty, \delta}(\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z')] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\mathbb{E}[\zeta_{\delta^{-1}s}^{\infty,\delta}(\delta^{-1}Us + \delta^{-1/2}z)\zeta_{\delta^{-1}t}^{\infty,\delta}([\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z'])] \\
& + \mathbb{E}[\zeta_{\delta^{-1}s}^{\infty,\delta}(\delta^{-1}Us + \delta^{-1/2}z)\zeta_{\delta^{-1}t}^{\infty,\delta}(\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z')] \\
& = \kappa_{s,t}^1(z, z') - \kappa_{s,t}^2(z, z') - \kappa_{s,t}^3(z, z') + \kappa_{s,t}^4(z, z').
\end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Recall the definition (2.3) of $R(k)$. By (2.19), $\kappa_{s,t}^1(z, z')$ defined by the last equality admits the following integral representation:

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_{s,t}^1(z, z') &= \frac{v}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^{\delta^{-1}s} dr \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} dk e^{\delta^{-1}(s-\delta r)\hat{A}(k)} e^{i\langle k, [\delta^{-1}Us + \delta^{-1/2}z] \rangle} \\
&\quad \times e^{\delta^{-1}(t-\delta r)\hat{A}(-k)} e^{-i\langle k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z'] \rangle} \\
&= \frac{v}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^{\delta^{-1}s} dr \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} dk e^{\delta^{-1}(s-\delta r)[\hat{A}(k) + i\langle k, U \rangle]} \\
&\quad \times e^{i\langle k, [\delta^{-1}Us + \delta^{-1/2}z] \rangle - \delta^{-1}s i\langle k, U \rangle} \\
&\quad \times e^{\delta^{-1}(t-\delta r)[\hat{A}(-k) - i\langle k, U \rangle]} \\
&\quad \times e^{-i\langle k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z'] \rangle + \delta^{-1}t i\langle k, U \rangle} \\
&= \frac{v}{(2\pi)^2} \int_0^s dr' \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} dk' e^{\delta^{-1}(s-r')[\hat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k') + i\langle \delta^{1/2}k', U \rangle]} \\
&\quad \times e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k', [\delta^{-1}Us + \delta^{-1/2}z] \rangle - \delta^{-1}s i\langle \delta^{1/2}k', U \rangle} \\
&\quad \times e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r')[\hat{A}(-\delta^{1/2}k') - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k', U \rangle]} \\
&\quad \times e^{-i\langle \delta^{1/2}k', [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z'] \rangle + \delta^{-1}t i\langle \delta^{1/2}k', U \rangle},
\end{aligned}$$

where the third equality follows by changing variables to $\delta^{1/2}k' = k$ and $\delta^{-1}r' = r$. That is, we apply the usual diffusive scaling to exchange the scales of time and space in the last equality.

Next, integrating both sides of the last equality against $dz\phi_V(z)dz'\phi_V(z')$ gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \phi_V(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz' \phi_V(z') \kappa_{s,t}^1(z, z') \\
&= v \int_0^s dr \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} dk e^{\delta^{-1}(s-r)[\hat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \phi_V(z) e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Us + \delta^{-1/2}z] \rangle - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Us \rangle} \\
&\quad \times e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)[\hat{A}(-\delta^{1/2}k) - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz' \phi_V(z') e^{-i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z'] \rangle + i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut \rangle}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.30}$$

With respect to the other kernels $\kappa_{s,t}^j(z, z')$ defined by (4.29), similar integral representations hold for

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \phi_V(z) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz' \phi_V(z') \kappa_{s,t}^j(z, z'), \quad 2 \leq j \leq 4; \tag{4.31}$$

the minor differences are about whether one should remove the floor functions in (4.30) or not. The formula (4.28) follows from (4.30) and the analogous identities for the integrals in (4.31).

To see that $(D^\delta(\phi), Z^{\delta,c}(\phi))$ has the same law as $(\tilde{D}^\delta(\phi), \tilde{Z}^{\delta,c}(\phi))$, we first note that for all $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$, the definition of $\tilde{D}(\phi)$ in (4.24) implies

$$\mathbb{E}[\tilde{D}_s^\delta(\phi)\tilde{D}_t^\delta(\phi)] = v \int_0^s dr \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} dk \Re\left(e^{\delta^{-1}(s-r)[\hat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} \varphi_s^\delta(k)\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \times \Re \left(e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)[\widehat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} \varphi_t^\delta(k) \right) \\
& + v \int_0^s dr \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} dk \Im \left(e^{\delta^{-1}(s-r)[\widehat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} \varphi_s^\delta(k) \right) \\
& \times \Im \left(e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)[\widehat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} \varphi_t^\delta(k) \right) \\
& = \mathbb{E}[D_s^\delta(\phi) D_t^\delta(\phi)]
\end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

by (4.28) since $D^\delta(\phi)$ is a real-valued process so that the imaginary part of the integral in (4.28) vanishes. Similarly, along with the definition in (4.25), we get

$$\mathbb{E}[\widetilde{D}_s^\delta(\phi) \widetilde{Z}_t^{\delta,c}(\phi)] = \mathbb{E}[D_s^\delta(\phi) Z_t^{\delta,c}(\phi)] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{E}[\widetilde{Z}_s^{\delta,c}(\phi) \widetilde{Z}_t^{\delta,c}(\phi)] = \mathbb{E}[Z_s^{\delta,c}(\phi) Z_t^{\delta,c}(\phi)]$$

for all $0 \leq s, t < \infty$. Since $(D^\delta(\phi), Z^{\delta,c}(\phi))$ and $(\widetilde{D}^\delta(\phi), \widetilde{Z}^{\delta,c}(\phi))$ are both two-dimensional Gaussian processes with càdlàg paths, (4.32) and the last display show that they have the same law. The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Henceforth, we identify $(D^\delta(\phi), Z^{\delta,c}(\phi))$ with the two-dimensional vector of stochastic integrals defined in (4.24) and (4.25).

Our next step is to introduce decompositions of $D^\delta(\phi)$ and $Z^{\delta,c}(\phi)$ which will be used for the rest of Section 4. For the decomposition of $D^\delta(\phi)$, we use the following representations of the function φ_t^δ defined by (4.26). They show the precise decay rate of the function.

Lemma 4.5. *For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\{\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m\}$ be a partition of \mathbb{R}^2 by Borel subsets, $(n_1, \dots, n_m) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$, and $(j_1, \dots, j_m) \in \{1, 2\}^m$ such that $k_{j_\ell} \neq 0$ for all $k = (k_1, k_2) \in \Gamma_\ell$ whenever $n_\ell > 0$. Then for any $\delta \in (0, 1)$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the function φ_t^δ defined on $\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2$ by (4.26) can be written as*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_t^\delta(k) \\
& = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_\ell}(k) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \left[\frac{(-1)^{n_\ell} e^{i\langle k, [z]_{\delta,t} \rangle}}{(i\mathbb{S}_\delta(k_{j_\ell}))^{n_\ell}} \Delta_{\delta,j_\ell}^{n_\ell} \phi_V(z) - \frac{(-1)^{n_\ell} e^{i\langle k, z \rangle}}{(ik_{j_\ell})^{n_\ell}} \partial_{j_\ell}^{n_\ell} \phi_V(z) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{4.33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \int_{\delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1t + \delta^{1/2}}^{\delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1t + \delta^{1/2}} dz_1 \int_{\delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2t}^{\delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2t + \delta^{1/2}} dz_2 \\
& \quad \times \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^m \varphi_{\delta^{1/2}x - \delta^{-1/2}Ut, z, j_\ell}^{\delta, n_\ell}(k) \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_\ell}(k) \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

where \mathbb{S}_δ and ϕ_V are defined in (4.4) and (4.23), respectively, $\partial_j = \partial/\partial z_j$, and

$$\varphi_{y,z,j}^{\delta,n}(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{(-1)^n e^{i\langle k, y \rangle}}{(i\mathbb{S}_\delta(k_j))^n} \Delta_{\delta,j}^n \phi_V(z) - \frac{(-1)^n e^{i\langle k, z \rangle}}{(ik_j)^n} \partial_j^n \phi_V(z) \right]. \tag{4.35}$$

Proof. For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $k = (k_1, k_2) \in \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2$ with $k_j \neq 0$ if $n > 0$, the first integral in the definition (4.26) of $\varphi_t^\delta(k)$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z] \rangle - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut \rangle} \phi_V(z) \\
& = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{(-1)^n}{(i\mathbb{S}_\delta(k_j))^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz e^{i\langle k, [z]_{\delta,t} \rangle} \Delta_{\delta,j}^n \phi_V(z)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

by (4.11) with $\alpha = (0, 0)$. Also, the second integral in the definition (4.26) of $\varphi_t^\delta(k)$ can be written as

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz e^{i\langle k, z \rangle} \phi_V(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{(-1)^n}{(ik_j)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz e^{i\langle k, z \rangle} \partial_j^n \phi_V(z) \quad (4.37)$$

by integration by parts and the fact that $\phi_V \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

From (4.36) and (4.37), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_t^\delta(k) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\frac{(-1)^n e^{i\langle k, [z]_{\delta, t} \rangle}}{(iS_\delta(k_j))^n} \Delta_{\delta, j}^n \phi_V(z) - \frac{(-1)^n e^{i\langle k, z \rangle}}{(ik_j)^n} \partial_j^n \phi_V(z) \right] \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \sum_{y \in \delta^{1/2}\mathbb{Z}^2 - \delta^{-1/2}Ut} \mathbb{1}_{I_y^\delta}(z) \varphi_{y, z, j}^{\delta, n}(k), \end{aligned}$$

where I_y^δ and $\varphi_{y, z, j}^{\delta, n}(k)$ are defined by (4.13) and (4.35), respectively. The last display is enough for both (4.33) and (4.34). \blacksquare

Assumption 4.6. Set $\Gamma_1 = [-1, 1]^2$, $j_1 = 1$, $n_1 = 0$ and $n_2 = \dots = n_m = 10$. Fix a choice of rectangles $\Gamma_2, \dots, \Gamma_m$ and $j_2, \dots, j_m \in \{1, 2\}^m$ for some $m \geq 2$ such that $k = (k_1, k_2) \mapsto |k_{j_\ell}|$ is bounded away from zero on Γ_ℓ , for all $2 \leq \ell \leq m$, and $\{\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m\}$ is a partition of \mathbb{R}^2 .

For every $\delta \in (0, 1)$, we decompose the function φ_t^δ , defined by (4.26), according to (4.33) as follows:

$$\varphi_t^\delta(k) = \varphi_t^{\delta, 1}(k) + \varphi_t^{\delta, 2}(k), \quad k \in \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2, \quad (4.38)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_t^{\delta, 1}(k) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_\ell}(k) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \left[\frac{(-1)^{n_\ell} e^{i\langle k, z \rangle}}{(iS_\delta(k_{j_\ell}))^{n_\ell}} \Delta_{\delta, j_\ell}^{n_\ell} \phi_V(z) - \frac{(-1)^{n_\ell} e^{i\langle k, z \rangle}}{(ik_{j_\ell})^{n_\ell}} \partial_{j_\ell}^{n_\ell} \phi_V(z) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\varphi_t^{\delta, 2}(k) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_\ell}(k) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \frac{(-1)^{n_\ell} (e^{i\langle k, [z]_{\delta, t} \rangle} - e^{i\langle k, z \rangle})}{(iS_\delta(k_{j_\ell}))^{n_\ell}} \Delta_{\delta, j_\ell}^{n_\ell} \phi_V(z). \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

\blacksquare

We stress that the function $\varphi_t^{\delta, 1}$ defined in (4.39) does not depend on t , as we let the factors $e^{i\langle k, [z]_{\delta, t} \rangle}$ in the representation (4.33) of φ_t^δ taken over by $\varphi_t^{\delta, 2}$.

Now we decompose $D^\delta(\phi)$ and $Z^{\delta, c}(\phi)$, using the notation in (4.22). Recall that these processes are now defined by the stochastic integrals in (4.24) and (4.25). The decomposition of $D_t^\delta(\phi)$ is given by

$$D_t^\delta(\phi) = D_t^{\delta, 1}(\phi) + D_t^{\delta, 2}(\phi) + D_t^{\delta, 3}(\phi), \quad (4.41)$$

where, with the notation in (4.38), the three processes in (4.41) are defined by

$$D_t^{\delta, 1}(\phi) = \sqrt{v} \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)Q(\delta^{1/2}k)/2} \varphi^{\delta, 1}(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk), \quad (4.42)$$

$$D_t^{\delta, 2}(\phi) = \sqrt{v} \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)Q(\delta^{1/2}k)/2} \varphi_t^{\delta, 2}(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk), \quad (4.43)$$

$$D_t^{\delta,3}(\phi) = \sqrt{v} \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} \left(e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)[\widehat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + \mathbf{i}\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} - e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)Q(\delta^{1/2}k)/2} \right) \times \varphi_t^\delta(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk). \quad (4.44)$$

The decomposition for $Z^{\delta,c}(\phi)$ is simpler:

$$Z_t^{\delta,c}(\phi) = Z_t^{\delta,c,1}(\phi) + Z_t^{\delta,c,2}(\phi), \quad (4.45)$$

where, with the notation in (4.27), $Z_t^{\delta,c,1}(\phi)$ and $Z_t^{\delta,c,2}(\phi)$ are defined by

$$Z_t^{\delta,c,1}(\phi) = \sqrt{v} \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)Q(\delta^{1/2}k)/2} \mathcal{F}\phi_V(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk), \quad (4.46)$$

$$Z_t^{\delta,c,2}(\phi) = \sqrt{v} \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} \left(e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)[\widehat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + \mathbf{i}\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} - e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)Q(\delta^{1/2}k)/2} \right) \times \mathcal{F}\phi_V(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk). \quad (4.47)$$

Proposition 4.7. *For any $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the family of laws of $\{Z^{\delta,c,1}(\phi)\}_{\delta \in (0,1)}$ defined above in (4.46) is tight in the space of probability measures on $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. For any $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left[|Z_s^{\delta,c,1}(\phi) - Z_t^{\delta,c,1}(\phi)|^2 \right] &= v \int_0^s dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk \left| e^{(s-r)Q(k)/2} \mathcal{F}\phi_V(k) - e^{(t-r)Q(k)/2} \mathcal{F}\phi_V(k) \right|^2 \\ &\leq (t-s)^2 v \int_0^T dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk e^{rQ(k)} |Q(k)/2|^2 |\mathcal{F}\phi_V(k)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

by (2.26) and the nonpositivity of $Q(k)$ (see Assumption 2.2 (4)). Since $\mathcal{F}\phi_V \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the proposition follows from the last inequality and Kolmogorov's criterion for weak compactness [28, Theorem XIII.1.8]. \blacksquare

We show the weak convergence to zero of $D^{\delta,3}(\phi)$ and $Z^{\delta,c,2}(\phi)$ in Section 4.3 and the weak convergence to zero of $D^{\delta,1}(\phi)$ and $D^{\delta,2}(\phi)$ in Section 4.4.

4.3 Removal of remainders: dampening oscillations

Our goal in this subsection is to show that the processes $D^{\delta,3}(\phi)$ and $Z^{\delta,c,2}(\phi)$ in (4.44) and (4.47) converge weakly to zero as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$. The proofs mainly handle the differences of exponentials in (4.44) and (4.47), and for (4.44), dampen oscillations in the functions $\varphi_t^\delta(k)$ arising from the floor function (recall (4.26)); the effect we also need is that the convergences to zero stay regularly in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$. Handling the differences of the exponentials amounts to removing the remainders in the following equations:

$$\delta^{-1}[\widehat{A}(\pm\delta^{1/2}k) \pm \mathbf{i}\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle] = \delta^{-1} \frac{Q(\delta^{1/2}k)}{2} + \text{remainder}. \quad (4.48)$$

Note that (4.48) follows from the Taylor expansion of \widehat{A} obtained by combining Assumption 2.2 (4) and the definition (3.1) of U .

We set some notation for the moduli of continuity of $D^{\delta,3}(\phi)$ and $Z^{\delta,2}(\phi)$. By polarization, the metrics ρ_δ^D and ρ_δ^Z induced by their covariance functions are given as follows: for $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\delta^D(s, t) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E} \left[|D_s^{\delta,3}(\phi) - D_t^{\delta,3}(\phi)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &= \left(v \int_0^s dr \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} dk |I_{4.49}(s, t; r, k)|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.49)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_\delta^Z(s, t) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathbb{E} \left[|Z_s^{\delta, c, 2}(\phi) - Z_t^{\delta, c, 2}(\phi)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &= \left(v \int_0^s dr \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} dk |I_{4.50}(s, t; r, k)|^2 \right)^{1/2},\end{aligned}\tag{4.50}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I_{4.49}(s, t; r, k) &= \left(e^{\delta^{-1}(s-r)[\widehat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + \mathbf{i}\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} - e^{\delta^{-1}(s-r)Q(\delta^{1/2}k)/2} \right) \varphi_s^\delta(k) \\ &\quad - \left(e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)[\widehat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + \mathbf{i}\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} - e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)Q(\delta^{1/2}k)/2} \right) \varphi_t^\delta(k), \\ I_{4.50}(s, t; r, k) &= \left(e^{\delta^{-1}(s-r)[\widehat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + \mathbf{i}\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} - e^{\delta^{-1}(s-r)Q(\delta^{1/2}k)/2} \right) \mathcal{F}\phi_V(k) \\ &\quad - \left(e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)[\widehat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + \mathbf{i}\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle]} - e^{\delta^{-1}(t-r)Q(\delta^{1/2}k)/2} \right) \mathcal{F}\phi_V(k).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} \rho_\delta^D(s, t)$ is a-priori finite for the following two reasons. First, $k \mapsto \sup_{0 \leq s \leq T} |\varphi_s^\delta(k)|$ decays polynomially of any order by (4.5) and Assumption 4.6. Second, we have the following bounds for the real and imaginary parts of the left-hand side of (4.48). To bound the real part, we use

$$-C_{4.51}^{-1}|k|^2 \leq \min\{Q(k), R(k)\} \leq \max\{Q(k), R(k)\} \leq -C_{4.51}|k|^2, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{T}^2 \tag{4.51}$$

for some $C_{4.51} \in (0, 1)$, which follows from Assumption 2.2 (4) and (5). For the imaginary part, we set

$$I(k) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\widehat{A}(k) - \widehat{A}(-k)}{\mathbf{i}}, \quad k \in \mathbb{T}^2,$$

so that

$$\widehat{A}(k) + \mathbf{i}\langle k, U \rangle = \frac{R(k)}{2} + \mathbf{i} \left(\frac{I(k)}{2} + \langle k, U \rangle \right), \tag{4.52}$$

and then use the following bound from the definition (3.1) of U :

$$\left| \frac{I(k)}{2} + \langle k, U \rangle \right| \leq C_{4.53}|k|^3, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{T}^2. \tag{4.53}$$

Since $\mathcal{F}\phi_V \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, (4.51)–(4.53) applied to $\sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} \rho_\delta^Z(s, t)$ shows that this supremum is also a-priori finite.

Lemma 4.8. *The metrics ρ_δ^D and ρ_δ^Z defined in (4.49) satisfy the following inequalities: for all $T \in (0, \infty)$, we can find $C_{4.54} > 0$ depending only on (ϕ, A, T, v) such that*

$$\sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} \max \{ \rho_\delta^D(s, t)^2, \rho_\delta^Z(s, t)^2 \} \leq C_{4.54}|s - t|^2, \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T. \tag{4.54}$$

Proof. The proof is stemmed from the following consequence of (2.26). Given $a \in [s, t]$, $r \in [0, s]$ and functions $A_\delta(k)$, $B_\delta(k)$ and $f_\delta(a, k)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \left| \frac{d}{da} \left[(e^{\delta^{1/2}(a-r)A_\delta(k)} - e^{\delta^{1/2}(a-r)B_\delta(k)}) f_\delta(a, k) \right] \right| \\ & \leq \left(|\delta^{1/2}A_\delta(k)e^{\delta^{1/2}(a-r)A_\delta(k)}| + |\delta^{1/2}B_\delta(k)e^{\delta^{1/2}(a-r)B_\delta(k)}| \right) |f_\delta(a, k)| \\ & \quad + \max \{ |e^{\delta^{1/2}(a-r)A_\delta(k)}|, |e^{\delta^{1/2}(a-r)B_\delta(k)}| \} \\ & \quad \times (a - r) |A_\delta(k) - B_\delta(k)| \cdot |\delta^{1/2}f'_\delta(a, k)|,\end{aligned}\tag{4.55}$$

where $f'_\delta(a, k) = (\partial/\partial a)f_\delta(a, k)$.

We prove the bound for $\sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} \rho_\delta^D(s, t)^2$ first. In this case, we apply (4.55) with the following choice of functions in $k \in \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} A_\delta(k) &= \delta^{-1}[\widehat{A}(-\delta^{1/2}k) - \mathbf{i}\langle \delta^{1/2}k, U \rangle], \\ B_\delta(k) &= \delta^{-1}Q(\delta^{1/2}k)/2, \\ f_\delta(a, k) &= \varphi_a^\delta(k). \end{aligned} \tag{4.56}$$

The real part of $A_\delta(k)$ is $\delta^{-1}R(-\delta^{1/2}k)/2$. The two functions A_δ and B_δ take values in $\mathbb{C}_- = \{\zeta \in \mathbb{C}; \Re(\zeta) \leq 0\}$ by Assumption 2.2 (4) and (5), and A_δ satisfies the following growth conditions by (4.51) and (4.53): for all $k \in \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2$,

$$-C_{4.51}^{-1}|k|^2 \leq \Re A_\delta(k) \leq -C_{4.51}|k|^2, \tag{4.57}$$

$$|A_\delta(k)| \leq C_{4.58}(\delta^{-1}|\delta^{1/2}k|^2 + \delta^{-1}|\delta^{1/2}k|^3) = C_{4.58}(|k|^2 + \delta^{1/2}|k|^3), \tag{4.58}$$

where $C_{4.58} = \max\{C_{4.51}^{-1}, C_{4.53}\}$ depends only on A .

To bound $\delta^{1/2}f'_\delta(a, k)$ in the last term of (4.55), we turn to the representation of $\varphi_a^\delta(k)$ chosen in (4.34) of Assumption 4.6. Then consider the following derivative: for $x \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $k \in \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2$ and a \mathcal{C}^1 -function $\Phi(b, z)$ on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{da} \int_{\delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1a}^{\delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1a + \delta^{1/2}} dz_1 \int_{\delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2a}^{\delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2a + \delta^{1/2}} dz_2 \\ & \times e^{\mathbf{i}\langle k, \delta^{1/2}x - \delta^{-1/2}Ua \rangle} \Phi(\delta^{-1/2}a, z) \\ &= -\delta^{-1/2}U_1 \int_{\delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2a}^{\delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2a + \delta^{1/2}} dz_2 e^{\mathbf{i}\langle k, \delta^{1/2}x - \delta^{-1/2}Ua \rangle} \\ & \times [\Phi(\delta^{-1/2}a, \delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1a + \delta^{1/2}, z_2) - \Phi(\delta^{-1/2}a, \delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1a, z_2)] \\ & - \delta^{-1/2}U_2 \int_{\delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1a}^{\delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1a + \delta^{1/2}} dz_1 e^{\mathbf{i}\langle k, \delta^{1/2}x - \delta^{-1/2}Ua \rangle} \\ & \times [\Phi(\delta^{-1/2}a, z_1, \delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2a + \delta^{1/2}) - \Phi(\delta^{-1/2}a, z_1, \delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2a)] \\ & - \delta^{-1/2}\mathbf{i}\langle k, U \rangle \int_{\delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1a}^{\delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1a + \delta^{1/2}} dz_1 \int_{\delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2a}^{\delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2a + \delta^{1/2}} dz_2 \\ & \times e^{\mathbf{i}\langle k, \delta^{1/2}x - \delta^{-1/2}Ua \rangle} \Phi(\delta^{-1/2}a, z_1, z_2) \\ & + \delta^{-1/2} \int_{\delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1a}^{\delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1a + \delta^{1/2}} dz_1 \int_{\delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2a}^{\delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2a + \delta^{1/2}} dz_2 \\ & \times e^{\mathbf{i}\langle k, \delta^{1/2}x - \delta^{-1/2}Ua \rangle} \partial_b \Phi(\delta^{-1/2}a, z) \\ &= \delta^{-1/2} \int_{\delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1a}^{\delta^{1/2}x_1 - \delta^{-1/2}U_1a + \delta^{1/2}} dz_1 \int_{\delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2a}^{\delta^{1/2}x_2 - \delta^{-1/2}U_2a + \delta^{1/2}} dz_2 \\ & \times e^{\mathbf{i}\langle k, \delta^{1/2}x - \delta^{-1/2}Ua \rangle} (-U_1\partial_1 - U_2\partial_2 - \mathbf{i}\langle k, U \rangle + \partial_b) \Phi(\delta^{-1/2}a, z), \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial_j = \partial/\partial z_j$. Then by the last equality, (4.5) and the choice of $f_\delta(a, k) = \varphi_a^\delta(k)$ in (4.56) represented according to (4.34), we deduce that

$$\sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} \sup_{a \in [0,T]} |\delta^{1/2}f'_\delta(a, k)| \leq \frac{C_{4.59}}{1 + |k|^9}, \quad \forall k \in \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2, \tag{4.59}$$

for some constant $C_{4.59}$ depending only on (ϕ, A) .

We are ready to prove the bound in (4.54) for the metric ρ^D defined by (4.49). We apply (4.57), (4.58) and (4.59) to (4.55) and then use the mean-value theorem. By (4.49), this leads to

$$\sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} \rho_\delta^D(s, t)^2 \leq v|s - t|^2 \int_0^s dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk \frac{C_{4.60}}{(1 + |k|^6)^2}, \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, \quad (4.60)$$

for some constant $C_{4.60}$ depending only on (ϕ, A, T) . The required inequality in (4.54) for ρ^D follows.

The bound for $\sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} \rho_\delta^Z(s, t)^2$ in (4.54) can be obtained by a simpler argument if we use (4.50), since $\mathcal{F}\phi_V$ is in place of the functions φ_s^δ and φ_t^δ in (4.49). The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Proposition 4.9. *The processes $D^{\delta,3}(\phi)$ and $Z^{\delta,c,2}(\phi)$ defined in (4.44) and (4.47) converge in distribution to zero in the space of probability measures on $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$.*

Proof. By dominated convergence, it follows from (2.26), (4.51) and (4.53) that $D_t^{\delta,3}(\phi)$ and $Z_t^{\delta,c,2}(\phi)$ converge to zero in $L_2(\mathbb{P})$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. We also have the weak compactness of the laws of $\{D^{\delta,3}(\phi)\}_{\delta \in (0,1)}$ and $\{Z^{\delta,c,2}(\phi)\}_{\delta \in (0,1)}$ by Kolmogorov's criterion [28, Theorem XIII.1.8] and the uniform modulus of continuity on compacts by Lemma 4.8. The asserted convergences to zero then follow from [13, Theorem 3.7.8 (b)]. \blacksquare

By (4.45) and Propositions 4.7 and 4.9, we have proved the tightness of the laws of $\{Z^{\delta,c}(\phi)\}_{\delta \in (0,1)}$ in the space of probability measures on $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$.

4.4 Removal of remainders: bounding convolution-like stochastic integrals

In this subsection, we prove that $D^{\delta,1}(\phi)$ and $D^{\delta,2}(\phi)$ converge weakly to zero as processes (Propositions 4.14 and 4.17). These together with Proposition 4.9 will prove the weak convergence of $D^\delta(\phi)$ to zero as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ according to the decomposition in (4.41).

Assumption 4.10 (Choice of auxiliary exponents). Let (p_1, q_1) and (p_2, q_2) be two pairs of Hölder conjugates such that

$$\frac{1}{2} > 1 + \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2}. \quad (4.61)$$

(For example, we can choose p_1 sufficiently close to $1+$ and $p_2 \in (1, 2]$ to satisfy (4.61).) Hence, we can choose $a \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ such that

$$p_2 \left(a - 1 - \frac{p_1 - 1}{p_1} \right) > -1. \quad (4.62)$$

We fix (p_1, q_1) , (p_2, q_2) and a chosen in this way throughout the present subsection. \blacksquare

We start with a slightly more general framework and bound expectations of the following form in the next few lemmas: for $\delta \in (0, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} \left| \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2} \mathbb{T}^2} e^{(t-r)Q(k)/2} v_t(k) W(dr, dk) \right| \right], \quad (4.63)$$

where $W(dr, dk)$ is a space-time white noise on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2$. The proofs of these preliminary results use the standard factorization method (cf. [11, Section 5.3.1]) and a factorization of Brownian transition densities. We write $(q_t(w_1, w_2))_{t \geq 0}$ for the transition densities of a centered two-dimensional Brownian motion with covariance matrix $-Q$ (chosen in Assumption 2.2 (4)) and $q_t(w) = q_t(0, w)$. Then for Borel measurable functions $(s, w_1) \mapsto v(s, w_1) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $v : \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we define two integral operators J^{a-1} and J^{-a} : for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $w_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$J^{a-1}v(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{\sin(\pi a)}{\pi} \int_0^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 (t-s)^{a-1} q_{t-s}(w_1) v(s, w_1), \quad (4.64)$$

$$J^{-a}v(s, w_1) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_0^s \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} (s-r)^{-a} e^{i\langle k, w_1 \rangle + (s-r)Q(k)/2} v(k) W(dr, dk) \quad (4.65)$$

$$= \int_0^s \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} (s-r)^{-a} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_2 q_{s-r}(w_1, w_2) e^{i\langle k, w_2 \rangle} \right) \times v(k) W(dr, dk). \quad (4.66)$$

See also [11] and [25, Appendix A] for these integral operators.

Lemma 4.11. *Let a be chosen as in Assumption 4.10. For $v \in L_2(\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2, dk)$, $J^{-a}v(s, w_1)$ and $J^{a-1}J^{-a}v(t)$ are well-defined integrals and we have*

$$J^{a-1}J^{-a}v(t) = \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} e^{(t-r)Q(k)/2} v(k) W(dr, dk). \quad (4.67)$$

Proof. By the Chapman-Kolmogorov equation, we can write

$$e^{(t-r)Q(k)/2} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw q_{t-r}(w) e^{i\langle k, w \rangle} \quad (4.68)$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 q_{t-s}(w_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_2 q_{s-r}(w_1, w_2) e^{i\langle k, w_2 \rangle}, \quad \forall 0 < r < s < t. \quad (4.69)$$

Note that (4.68) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} e^{(t-r)Q(k)/2} v(k) W(dr, dk) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw e^{i\langle k, w \rangle} q_{t-r}(w) \right) v(k) W(dr, dk). \end{aligned} \quad (4.70)$$

On the other hand, it follows from (4.64) and (4.66) that

$$\begin{aligned} J^{a-1}J^{-a}v(t) &= \frac{\sin(\pi a)}{\pi} \int_0^t ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 (t-s)^{a-1} q_{t-s}(w_1) \\ &\quad \times \int_0^s \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} (s-r)^{-a} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_2 q_{s-r}(w_1, w_2) e^{i\langle k, w_2 \rangle} \right) v(k) W(dr, dk) \\ &= \frac{\sin(\pi a)}{\pi} \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\int_r^t ds (t-s)^{a-1} (s-r)^{-a} \right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 q_{t-s}(w_1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_2 q_{s-r}(w_1, w_2) e^{i\langle k, w_2 \rangle} \right) v(k) W(dr, dk) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw e^{i\langle k, w \rangle} q_{t-r}(w) \right) v(k) W(dr, dk), \end{aligned} \quad (4.71)$$

where the second equality follows from the stochastic Fubini theorem (see [32, Theorem 2.6 on page 296]) and the third equality follows from the identity:

$$\int_r^t ds (t-s)^{\alpha-1} (s-r)^{-\alpha} = \frac{\pi}{\sin(\pi\alpha)}, \quad \forall 0 \leq r \leq t, \alpha \in (0, 1)$$

and (4.69). The last term in (4.71) is the same as the right-hand side of (4.70), and so the required identity (4.67) is proved. \blacksquare

The next two lemmas give bounds for J^{a-1} .

Lemma 4.12. *Let (p_1, q_1) , (p_2, q_2) and a be chosen in Assumption 4.10. For any $T, \lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and Borel measurable function $(s, w_1) \mapsto v(s, w_1)$ such that (4.64) converges absolutely for every $t \in [0, T]$, we have*

$$|J^{a-1}v(t)| \leq C_{4.72} \left(\int_0^t ds \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 |v(s, w_1)|^{q_1} e^{-q_1 \lambda |w_1|} \right)^{q_2/q_1} \right)^{1/q_2}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T], \quad (4.72)$$

where the constant $C_{4.72}$ depends only on (p_1, p_2, a) , T and λ .

Proof. In this proof, we write C for a constant depending only on (p_1, p_2, a) , T and λ , which may change from line to line. By the definition of $J^{a-1}v(t)$ in (4.64), it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |J^{a-1}v(t)| &\leq C \int_0^t ds (t-s)^{a-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 q_{t-s}(w_1) e^{\lambda |w_1|} \cdot |v(s, w_1)| e^{-\lambda |w_1|} \\ &\leq C \int_0^t ds (t-s)^{a-1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 q_{t-s}(w_1)^{p_1} e^{p_1 \lambda |w_1|} \right)^{1/p_1} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 |v(s, w_1)|^{q_1} e^{-q_1 \lambda |w_1|} \right)^{1/q_1} \\ &\leq C \int_0^t ds (t-s)^{a-1-\frac{p_1-1}{p_1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 |v(s, w_1)|^{q_1} e^{-q_1 \lambda |w_1|} \right)^{1/q_1} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_0^t ds (t-s)^{p_2(a-1-\frac{p_1-1}{p_1})} \right)^{1/p_2} \\ &\quad \times \left(\int_0^t ds \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 |v(s, w_1)|^{q_1} e^{-q_1 \lambda |w_1|} \right)^{q_2/q_1} \right)^{1/q_2} \\ &\leq C \left(\int_0^t ds \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 |v(s, w_1)|^{q_1} e^{-q_1 \lambda |w_1|} \right)^{q_2/q_1} \right)^{1/q_2}, \end{aligned}$$

where the second and last inequalities follow from Hölder's inequality and the last inequality also uses (4.62) so that the first integral on its left-hand side is finite. The last inequality proves (4.72). \blacksquare

Lemma 4.12 will be used in the following form.

Lemma 4.13. *Let (p_1, q_1) , (p_2, q_2) and a be chosen in Assumption 4.10. Fix $T, \lambda \in (0, \infty)$ and a jointly measurable function*

$$(s, t, w_1, \omega) \mapsto v_t(s, w_1)(\omega) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2 \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

such that, for \mathbb{P} -a.s. ω , the function $(s, w_1) \mapsto v_t(s, w_1)(\omega)$ is absolutely integrable under J^{a-1} for every $t \in [0, T]$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |J^{a-1} v_t(t)| \right] \\ & \leq C_{4.73} \left(\int_0^T ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [s, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |v_t(s, w_1)|^{q^*} \right] e^{-q^* \lambda |w_1|} \right)^{1/q^*}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.73)$$

where $q^* = \max\{q_1, q_2\}$ and the constant $C_{4.73}$ depends only on T, λ and (p_1, p_2, a) .

Proof. We use (4.72) with λ replaced by 2λ . Writing $C = C_{4.72}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |J^{a-1} v_t(t)| \right] \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} \left(\int_0^t ds \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 |v_t(s, w_1)|^{q_1} e^{-q_1 \cdot 2\lambda |w_1|} \right)^{q_2/q_1} \right)^{1/q_2} \right] \\ & \leq C \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_0^T ds \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 \sup_{t \in [s, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |v_t(s, w_1)|^{q_1} e^{-q_1 \cdot 2\lambda |w_1|} \right)^{q_2/q_1} \right)^{1/q_2} \right] \\ & \leq C \left(\int_0^T ds \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 \sup_{t \in [s, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |v_t(s, w_1)|^{q_1} e^{-q_1 \cdot 2\lambda |w_1|} \right)^{q_2/q_1} \right] \right)^{1/q_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.74)$$

where the second inequality follows from Hölder's inequality.

We bound the right-hand side of (4.74) in two different ways according to $q_2/q_1 < 1$ or not. If $q_2/q_1 < 1$, then applying Hölder's inequality twice gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^T ds \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 \sup_{t \in [s, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |v_t(s, w_1)|^{q_1} e^{-q_1 \cdot 2\lambda |w_1|} \right)^{q_2/q_1} \right] \right)^{1/q_2} \\ & \leq \left(\int_0^T ds \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [s, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |v_t(s, w_1)|^{q_1} \right] e^{-q_1 \cdot 2\lambda |w_1|} \right)^{q_2/q_1} \right)^{1/q_2} \\ & \leq C_{4.75} \left(\int_0^T ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [s, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |v_t(s, w_1)|^{q_1} \right] e^{-q_1 \lambda |w_1|} \right)^{1/q_1}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.75)$$

where $C_{4.75}$ depends only on T and (p_1, p_2) . If $q_2/q_1 \geq 1$, then we apply Hölder's inequality to the integral in (4.74) with respect to w_1 and get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_0^T ds \mathbb{E} \left[\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 \sup_{t \in [s, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |v_t(s, w_1)|^{q_1} e^{-q_1 \cdot 2\lambda |w_1|} \right)^{q_2/q_1} \right] \right)^{1/q_2} \\ & \leq C_{4.76} \left(\int_0^T ds \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dw_1 \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [s, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |v_t(s, w_1)|^{q_2} \right] e^{-q_2 \lambda |w_1|} \right)^{1/q_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.76)$$

where $C_{4.76}$ depends only on (p_1, p_2) and λ . Then we obtain (4.73) by applying the last two inequalities to (4.74) and using the notation $q^* = \max\{q_1, q_2\}$. \blacksquare

Proposition 4.14. *For the processes $\{D_t^{\delta,1}(\phi)\}_{\delta \in (0,1)}$ defined in (4.41), $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |D_t^{\delta,1}(\phi)|$ converge to zero in $L_1(\mathbb{P})$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ for all $T \in (0, \infty)$.*

Proof. Let (p_1, p_2, a) satisfy Assumption 4.10, and define $J^{-a}\varphi^{\delta,1}(s, w_1)$ and $J^{-a}\overline{\varphi^{\delta,1}}(s, w_1)$ according to (4.66). By (4.5) and the choice of $\varphi^{\delta,1}$ from Assumption 4.6,

$$\sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} |\varphi^{\delta,1}(k)| \leq \frac{C_{4.77}}{1 + |k|^{10}}, \quad \forall k \neq 0 \quad (4.77)$$

for some constant $C_{4.77}$ depending only on ϕ , and

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \varphi^{\delta,1}(k) = 0. \quad (4.78)$$

On the other hand, for all $q \in [1, \infty)$ and $T \in (0, \infty)$,

$$\sup_{w_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E} \left[|J^{-a}\varphi^{\delta,1}(s, w_1)|^q \right] \leq C_{4.79} \left(\int_0^T dr r^{-2a} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk e^{rQ(k)} |\varphi^{\delta,1}(k)|^2 \right)^{q/2}, \quad (4.79)$$

where the inequality uses the definition (4.65) of J^{-a} and the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality [28, Theorem IV.4.1].

Applying (4.77), (4.78), and the assumption $a \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ from Assumption 4.10 to (4.79), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} \sup_{w_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E} \left[|J^{-a}\varphi^{\delta,1}(s, w_1)|^q \right] &< \infty \\ \text{and } \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \sup_{w_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E} \left[|J^{-a}\varphi^{\delta,1}(s, w_1)|^q \right] &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4.80)$$

by dominated convergence. Then applying these two properties to Lemma 4.13 with $v_t(s, w_1)(\omega) \equiv J^{-a}\varphi^{\delta,1}(s, w_1)(\omega)$, we obtain from dominated convergence that

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0,T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |J^{a-1} J^{-a} \varphi^{\delta,1}(t)| \right] = 0.$$

The same limit holds with $\varphi^{\delta,1}$ replaced by $\overline{\varphi^{\delta,1}}$ since, in terms of complex conjugates, we have

$$J^{-a}\overline{\varphi^{\delta,1}}(s, w_1) = \overline{J^{-a}\varphi^{\delta,1}(s, w_1)}. \quad (4.81)$$

Now we have these limits, the stochastic integral form of $J^{a-1}J^{-a}$ in (4.67), the definition (4.42) of $D_t^{\delta,1}(\phi)$ and its continuity in t . Recalling the notation $\iint \nabla \Phi d\mathbb{W}$ defined in (4.22), we deduce that, as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$, $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |D_t^{\delta,1}(\phi)|$ converges to zero in $L_1(\mathbb{P})$. The proof is complete. \blacksquare

The convergence of the processes $D_t^{\delta,2}(\phi)$ defined in (4.43) follows from a more refined argument. We also need the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.15. Fix $q \in [1, \infty)$. For any $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $0 \leq s \leq T < \infty$ and $w_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [s, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |J^{-a} \varphi_t^{\delta, 2}(s, w_1)|^q \right]^{1/q} \\ & \leq C_{4.82} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \left(\int_0^T dr r^{-2a} I_{4.82}(\ell, n, j, \delta, r, w_1) \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned} \quad (4.82)$$

for some constant $C_{4.82}$ depending only on q , ϕ and the integers $n_1, \dots, n_m, j_1, \dots, j_m$ fixed in Assumption 4.6. Here in (4.82),

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{4.82}(\ell, n, j, \delta, r, w_1) \\ & = \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} (\delta^{1/2}k_1)^{2j} (\delta^{1/2}k_2)^{2(n-j)} \left| e^{i\langle k, w_1 \rangle + rQ(k)/2} \times \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_\ell}(k) \frac{(-1)^{n_\ell}}{(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{S}_\delta(k_{j_\ell}))^{n_\ell}} \right|^2 dk \end{aligned}$$

for Γ_ℓ and n_ℓ fixed in Assumption 4.6.

Proof. Let $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T < \infty$, and recall the definition of $\varphi_t^{\delta, 2}$ in (4.40). By the stochastic Fubini theorem [32, Theorem 2.6 on page 296] and the definition of $J^{-a} \varphi_t^{\delta, 2}(s, w_1)$ according to (4.65), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} J^{-a} \varphi_t^{\delta, 2}(s, w_1) & = \sum_{\ell=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \Delta_{\delta, j_\ell}^{n_\ell} \phi_V(z) \\ & \times \int_0^s \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} (s-r)^{-a} (e^{i\langle k, [z]_{\delta, t} \rangle} - e^{i\langle k, z \rangle}) I_{4.83}(\ell, \delta, s-r, w_1, k) W(dr, dk). \end{aligned} \quad (4.83)$$

where

$$I_{4.83}(\ell, \delta, r, w_1, k) = e^{i\langle k, w_1 \rangle + rQ(k)/2} \times \mathbb{1}_{\Gamma_\ell}(k) \frac{(-1)^{n_\ell}}{(\mathbf{i}\mathbb{S}_\delta(k_{j_\ell}))^{n_\ell}}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq m,$$

To handle the difference of complex exponentials, we write

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{i\langle k, [z]_{\delta, t} - z \rangle} - 1 \\ & = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\mathbf{i})^n}{n!} \left(k_1(z_1 - [z_1]_{\delta, t, 1}) + k_2(z_2 - [z_2]_{\delta, t, 2}) \right)^n \\ & = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\mathbf{i})^n}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \left(\frac{z_1 - [z_1]_{\delta, t, 1}}{\delta^{1/2}} \right)^j \left(\frac{z_2 - [z_2]_{\delta, t, 2}}{\delta^{1/2}} \right)^{n-j} (\delta^{1/2}k_1)^j (\delta^{1/2}k_2)^{n-j}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $k \mapsto \delta^{1/2}k$ is uniformly bounded on $\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2$, and we have (4.9) and $a \in (0, 1/2)$. Hence, combining the last two displays gives the following equation where the series on the right-hand side converges absolutely in $L_2(\mathbb{P})$:

$$\begin{aligned} & J^{-a} \varphi_t^{\delta, 2}(s, w_1) \\ & = \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-\mathbf{i})^n}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \Delta_{\delta, j_\ell}^{n_\ell} \phi_V(z) \\ & \times \left(\frac{z_1 - [z_1]_{\delta, t, 1}}{\delta^{1/2}} \right)^j \left(\frac{z_2 - [z_2]_{\delta, t, 2}}{\delta^{1/2}} \right)^{n-j} I_{4.84}(s, \delta, n, j, z, \ell, w_1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.84)$$

where

$$I_{4.84}(s, \delta, n, j, z, \ell, w_1) = \int_0^s \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} (s-r)^{-a} (\delta^{1/2}k_1)^j (\delta^{1/2}k_2)^{n-j} e^{-i\langle k, z \rangle} I_{4.83}(\ell, \delta, s-r, w_1, k) W(dr, dk).$$

We use (4.84) to obtain (4.82) by the following argument. First, (4.9) and (4.84) give

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in [s, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |J^{-a} \varphi_t^{\delta, 2}(s, w_1)| \\ & \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz |\Delta_{\delta, j\ell}^{n\ell} \phi_V(z)| \times |I_{4.84}(s, \delta, n, j, z, \ell, w_1)|. \end{aligned}$$

Then we apply Hölder's inequality with p being the Hölder conjugate of q to the dz -integrals above, take expectation, and finally apply Minkowski's inequality with respect to $L_q(\mathbb{P})$. These steps lead to

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [s, T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |J^{-a} \varphi_t^{\delta, 2}(s, w_1)|^q \right]^{1/q} \\ & \leq \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz |\Delta_{\delta, j\ell}^{n\ell} \phi_V(z)| \right)^{1/p} \\ & \quad \times \mathbb{E} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz |\Delta_{\delta, j\ell}^{n\ell} \phi_V(z)| |I_{4.84}(s, \delta, n, j, z, \ell, w_1)|^q \right]^{1/q} \\ & \leq C_{4.85} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz |\Delta_{\delta, j\ell}^{n\ell} \phi_V(z)| \right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\int_0^T dr' (r')^{-2a} I_{4.82}(\ell, n, j, \delta, r', w_1) \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.85}$$

where the last inequality follows from the Burkholder–Davis–Gundy inequality [28, Theorem IV.4.1], $C_{4.85}$ is a constant depending only on q , and we change variables to $r' = s - r$. Letting $C_{4.85}$ absorb the finite constant $\sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} \sup_{\ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz |\Delta_{\delta, j\ell}^{n\ell} \phi_V(z)|$, the required inequality (4.82) follows from (4.85). The proof is complete. \blacksquare

Lemma 4.16. *For any $T \in (0, \infty)$, we can find a constant $C_{4.86}$ depending only on $\{\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m\}$ such that*

$$\sup_{0 \leq j \leq n} \sup_{\ell \in \{1, \dots, m\}} \sup_{(r, w_1) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^2} \sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} |I_{4.82}(\ell, n, j, \delta, r, w_1)| \leq C_{4.86} \pi^{2n}, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{4.86}$$

Moreover, we have

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} I_{4.82}(\ell, n, j, \delta, r, w_1) = 0, \quad \forall r \in (0, \infty). \tag{4.87}$$

Proof. To see (4.86), we simply note that $|\delta^{1/2}k_j| \leq \pi$ for $k_j \in \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}$ and recall Assumption 4.6 and (4.5). For the proof of (4.87), first we change variables back to $k' = \delta^{1/2}k$:

$$I_{4.82}(\ell, n, j, \delta, r, w_1) = \delta^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (k'_1)^{2j} (k'_2)^{2(n-j)} |I_{4.83}(\ell, \delta, r, w_1, \delta^{-1/2}k')|^2 dk'.$$

Recall the choice of (n_1, \dots, n_m) and $(\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m)$ in Assumption 4.6 and the properties in (4.5). Since $k \mapsto e^{rQ(k)/2} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for every fixed $r > 0$, we can find a constant $C_{4.88}$ depending only on r such that

$$|I_{4.83}(\ell, \delta, r, w_1, k)|^2 \leq \frac{C_{4.88}}{|k|^3}, \quad \forall k \neq 0. \quad (4.88)$$

Now we use the assumption that $n \geq 1$. It follows from the last two displays that

$$\begin{aligned} |I_{4.82}(\ell, n, j, \delta, r, w_1)| &\leq C_{4.88} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |k'|^{2n} \frac{1}{\delta |\delta^{-1/2} k'|^3} dk' \\ &= C_{4.88} \delta^{1/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |k'|^{2(n-1)} \frac{1}{|k'|} dk' \\ &\leq C_{4.88} \delta^{1/2} (2\pi^2)^{(n-1)} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{dk'}{|k'|} \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0+} 0 \end{aligned}$$

since $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} dk'/|k'| \leq C \int_0^{10} r dr/r < \infty$ for a universal constant C . This proves (4.87). \blacksquare

Proposition 4.17. *For the processes $\{D_t^{\delta,2}(\phi)\}_{\delta \in (0,1)}$ defined in (4.41), $\sup_{t \in [0,T]} |D_t^{\delta,2}(\phi)|$ converge to zero in $L_1(\mathbb{P})$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ for all $T \in (0, \infty)$.*

Proof. The proof of this proposition is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.14. The new ingredients are Lemmas 4.15 and 4.16 in order to obtain an analogue of (4.80) and so

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [0,T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |J^{a-1} J^{-a} \varphi_t^{\delta,2}(t)|^q \right]^{1/q} = 0, \quad \forall T \in (0, \infty). \quad (4.89)$$

If (4.89) is proven valid, then by (4.67), the equality $J^{-a} \overline{\varphi_t^{\delta,2}} = \overline{J^{-a} \varphi_t^{\delta,2}}$, the definition of $D_t^{\delta,2}(\phi)$ and its continuity in time t , the proof of the proposition will follow.

Let $q \in [1, \infty)$ and $T \in (0, \infty)$. To obtain the analogue of (4.80) with $\varphi_t^{\delta,1}$ replaced by $\varphi_t^{\delta,2}$, we use Lemmas 4.15 and 4.16 to get the following two properties:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} \sup_{w_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [s,T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |J^{-a} \varphi_t^{\delta,2}(s, w_1)|^q \right]^{1/q} \\ &\leq C_{4.82} \sum_{\ell=1}^m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \left(\int_0^T dr r^{-2a} C_{4.86} \pi^{2n} \right)^{1/2} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows since $a \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ from Assumption 4.10, and

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \sup_{w_1 \in \mathbb{R}^2} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \mathbb{E} \left[\sup_{t \in [s,T] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |J^{-a} \varphi_t^{\delta,2}(s, w_1)|^q \right]^{1/q} = 0$$

by dominated convergence. Note that the sum in n in (4.82) starts with 1 so that (4.87) is applicable. Then we can apply dominated convergence and Lemma 4.13 to the above two displays as before in the proof of Proposition 4.14 and get (4.89). The proof is complete. \blacksquare

4.5 Characterization of limits

Let us summarize the results proven so far in Section 4. By Propositions 4.9, 4.14, and 4.17, $D^\delta(\phi)$ converges in distribution to zero in the space of probability measures on $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$. (Recall the decomposition of $D^\delta(\phi)$ in (4.41).) By Propositions 4.7 and 4.9, the family of laws $Z^{\delta,c}(\phi)$ is tight in the space of probability measures on $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$. (Recall the decomposition of $Z^{\delta,c}(\phi)$ in (4.45).) By (4.3), these two combined show that the family of laws $Z^\delta(\phi)$ is tight in the space of probability measures on $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R})$. Since Z^δ is $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2))$ -valued by Proposition 4.1, it follows from Mitoma's theorem [24, Theorem 3.1] that the family of laws of Z^δ for δ ranging over $(0, 1)$ is tight in the space of probability measures on $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2))$. Moreover, it is plain from (4.46) that the distributional limit of Z^δ in $C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2))$ can be written as

$$Z_t^0(\phi) = \sqrt{v} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathbb{V} e^{(t-r)Q(k)/2} \mathcal{F}\phi_V(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk) \quad (4.90)$$

and so is unique.

Our goal in this subsection is to show that Z^0 defined above in (4.90) solves an additive stochastic heat equation (driven by a single space-time white noise). We start with an application of Duhamel's principle.

Lemma 4.18. *Write $0^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2$ for \mathbb{R}^2 . Then for $\delta \in [0, 1)$ and any bounded continuous complex-valued function φ defined on $\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2$, the continuous process*

$$Z_t(\varphi) = \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} e^{(t-r)Q(k)/2} \varphi(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk)$$

solves the following SPDE:

$$Z_t(\varphi) = \int_0^t Z_r \left(\frac{Q\varphi}{2} \right) dr + \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} \varphi(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk). \quad (4.91)$$

Proof. We write out the right-hand side of (4.91) and then use the stochastic Fubini theorem [32, Theorem 2.6 on page 296] in the second equality below to get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t Z_s \left(\frac{Q\varphi}{2} \right) ds + \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} \varphi(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_0^s \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} \frac{Q(k)}{2} e^{(s-r)Q(k)/2} \varphi(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk) ds + \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} \varphi(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} \int_r^t \frac{Q(k)}{2} e^{(s-r)Q(k)/2} ds \varphi(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk) + \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} \varphi(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} (e^{(t-r)Q(k)/2} - 1) \varphi(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk) + \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} \varphi(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk) \\ &= \int_0^t \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \mathbb{V} e^{(t-r)Q(k)/2} \varphi(k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk) \\ &= Z_t(\varphi), \end{aligned}$$

which is (4.91). ■

Proposition 4.19. *The unique distributional limit Z^0 defined in (4.90) of Z^δ as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ solves the following SPDE: for some space-time white noise $W(dr, dk)$ with covariance measure $dr dk$ on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^2$,*

$$Z_t^0(\phi) = \int_0^t Z_s^0 \left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{2} \right) ds + \sqrt{v|\det(V)|} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi(k) W(dr, dk), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2). \quad (4.92)$$

Proof. Recall ϕ_V and T_V defined in (4.23). Using the bijectivity of \mathcal{F} and T_V on $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we define Z by $Z_t(\mathcal{F}T_V\phi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} Z_t^0(\phi)$ for $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then Lemma 4.18 implies that

$$Z_t(\mathcal{F}T_V\phi) - \int_0^t Z_s \left(\frac{Q\mathcal{F}T_V\phi}{2} \right) ds, \quad 0 \leq t < \infty, \quad (4.93)$$

is a continuous centered Gaussian process, and its covariance across times $0 \leq s \leq t < \infty$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} sv \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathcal{F}T_V\phi(k)|^2 dk &= sv \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathcal{F}\phi(V^{-1}k)|^2 dk \\ &= sv |\det(V)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\mathcal{F}\phi(k')|^2 dk' \\ &= sv |\det(V)| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |\phi(k')|^2 dk', \end{aligned}$$

where the first two equalities follow from the change of variables $Vz' = z$ (for the Fourier transforms) and $k' = V^{-1}k$, respectively, and the last equality follows from Plancherel's identity (we use the normalization of Fourier transforms as in [27, Section IX.1]). To rewrite the Riemann-integral term in (4.93) in terms of ϕ , we recall $V = \sqrt{-Q}^{-1}$ and then change variables to get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Q(k)}{2} \mathcal{F}T_V\phi(k) &= \frac{-\langle V^{-1}k, V^{-1}k \rangle}{2} \mathcal{F}\phi(V^{-1}k) \\ &= \mathcal{F} \left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{2} \right) (V^{-1}k) \\ &= \mathcal{F}T_V \left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{2} \right) (k). \end{aligned}$$

From the last three displays, we deduce that, for a space-time white noise W with covariance measure $drdk$, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{v|\det(V)|} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(k) W(dr, dk) &= Z_t(\mathcal{F}T_V\phi) - \int_0^t Z_s \left(\frac{Q\mathcal{F}T_V\phi}{2} \right) ds \\ &= Z_t(\mathcal{F}T_V\phi) - \int_0^t Z_s \left(\mathcal{F}T_V \left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{2} \right) \right) ds \\ &= Z_t^0(\phi) - \int_0^t Z_s^0 \left(\frac{\Delta\phi}{2} \right) ds, \end{aligned}$$

as required in (4.92). ■

Remark 4.20. Pathwise explicit solutions for additive stochastic heat equations in general can be found in [32, Theorem 5.1 on page 342]. See also [22] for uniqueness theorems for stochastic equations. ■

5 Convergence of the deterministic parts

In this section, we prove convergence of the $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -valued processes Y^δ defined by (3.7) as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let $\{\mu^\delta\}_{\delta \in (0,1)} \subset \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ satisfying (3.4) be given and (P_t) denote the transition semigroup of the two-dimensional standard Brownian motion. Then Y^δ is an $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -valued continuous process for every $\delta \in (0,1)$. Also it holds that, for all $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$,*

$$Y_t^\delta(\phi) \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0+} Y_t^0(\phi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} |\det(V)| \mu^0(P_t\phi) \quad \text{in } C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}). \quad (5.1)$$

Proof. We divide the proof into the following steps.

Step 1. We begin with the observation that all the functionals in (3.4) are in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and the convergence holds uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. To see the former, simply note that, by the assumption that $\mu^\delta \in \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$, each functional in (3.4) for $\delta \in (0, 1)$ is in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Hence, the convergence in (3.4) is with respect to the weak topology of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Since $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is a Frechét space [27, Theorem V.9], it follows from [27, Theorem V.8] that the tempered distributions in (3.4) converge uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$.

Step 2. Let us start the proof of (5.1) in this step and derive an explicit formula of $Y^\delta(\phi)$ for a fixed $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

To get the formula, first we use (2.18):

$$\begin{aligned}
& \eta_{\delta^{-1}t}^{\infty, \delta}([\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}z]) \\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} dk e^{\delta^{-1}t\hat{A}(k)} e^{i\langle k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}z] \rangle} \widehat{\mu^\delta}(k) \\
&= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \mu^\delta(x) \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} dk e^{\delta^{-1}t\hat{A}(k)} e^{-i\langle k, x \rangle} e^{i\langle k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}z] \rangle} \\
&= \sum_{y \in \delta^{1/2}V\mathbb{Z}^2} \delta\mu^\delta(\delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}y) \\
&\quad \times \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} dk e^{\delta^{-1}t\hat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k)} e^{-i\langle k, V^{-1}y \rangle} e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}z] \rangle},
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the assumption that $\mu^\delta \in \ell_1(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ in the second equality. By the definition (3.7) of $Y^\delta(\phi)$ and the last equality, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_t^\delta(\phi) &= \sum_{y \in \delta^{1/2}V\mathbb{Z}^2} \delta\mu^\delta(\delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}y) \\
&\quad \times \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} dk e^{\delta^{-1}t\hat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + i\langle k, \delta^{-1/2}Ut \rangle} e^{-i\langle k, V^{-1}y \rangle} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}z] \rangle - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut \rangle} \phi(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Step 3. To find the limiting process of $Y^\delta(\phi)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ by (5.2), we claim in this step and the next steps that the following convergence holds for functions of y in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$: for all $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and sequences $t_\delta \rightarrow t$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} dk e^{\delta^{-1}t_\delta\hat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut_\delta \rangle} e^{-i\langle k, V^{-1}y \rangle} \\
&\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Ut_\delta + \delta^{-1/2}V^{-1}z] \rangle - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut_\delta \rangle} \phi(z) \\
&\quad \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk e^{tQ(k)/2 - i\langle k, V^{-1}y \rangle} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz e^{i\langle k, V^{-1}z \rangle} \phi(z).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

With the notation ϕ_V defined by (4.23), proving the convergence in (5.3) amounts to showing that, for any multi-indices $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$, the following convergence holds uniformly as functions of $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$:

$$\int_{\delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} dk y^\beta k^\gamma e^{\delta^{-1}t_\delta\hat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut_\delta \rangle} e^{-i\langle k, V^{-1}y \rangle}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Ut_\delta + \delta^{-1/2}z] \rangle - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut_\delta \rangle} \phi_V(z) \\ & \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0+} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk y^\beta k^\gamma e^{tQ(k)/2 - i\langle k, V^{-1}y \rangle} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz e^{i\langle k, z \rangle} \phi_V(z). \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

That is, after a change of variables in z , we add multiplicative factors $y^\beta k^\gamma$ to the integrands of all the integrals in (5.3) with respect to dk and then consider the corresponding uniform convergence.

Step 4. We prove (5.4) in this step and make two observations before that.

First, observe that for any $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we can find a constant $C_{5.5} > 0$ independent of δ such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\alpha: |\alpha|=m} \sup_{\delta \in (0,1)} \sup_{t \in [0,T]} \left| \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial k^\alpha} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} e^{i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, [\delta^{-1}Ut + \delta^{-1/2}z] \rangle - i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Ut \rangle} \phi_V(z) \right| \\ & \leq \frac{C_{5.5}}{1 + |k|^n}, \quad \forall k \in \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

To see (5.5), we apply Proposition 4.3 with the following two inputs: (1) the discrete Leibniz rule for $\Delta_{\delta,1}$ defined by (4.10):

$$\Delta_{\delta,1}^n(fg)(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^n \binom{n}{\ell} \Delta_{\delta,1}^\ell(f)(z) \times \Delta_{\delta,1}^{n-\ell}(g)(z_1 - \ell\delta^{1/2}, z_2), \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad (5.6)$$

and its analogue for $\Delta_{\delta,2}$ to expand the partial difference $\Delta_{\delta,j}^n([\cdot]_{\delta,t}^\alpha \phi_V)$ in (4.11) into sums of products of $\Delta_{\delta,j}^{\ell_1} \phi_V$ and $\Delta_{\delta,j}^{\ell_2} [\cdot]_{\delta,t,j}$ and then (2) the fact that the partial differences $\Delta_{\delta,j}^{\ell_2}([\cdot]_{\delta,t,j}) \equiv 1$ if $\ell_2 = 1$ by the definition (4.8) of $[\cdot]_{\delta,t,j}$ and so $\equiv 0$ whenever $\ell_2 \geq 2$.

The second observation for the proof of (5.4) is that we can use (4.51), (4.52) and (4.53) to get the following bound:

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2: |\alpha|=m} \sup_{s \in [0,T]} \sup_{k \in \delta^{-1/2}\mathbb{T}^2} \left| \frac{\partial^\alpha}{\partial k^\alpha} e^{\delta^{-1}s\hat{A}(\delta^{1/2}k) + i\langle \delta^{1/2}k, \delta^{-1}Us \rangle} \right| < \infty, \quad \forall T \in (0, \infty). \quad (5.7)$$

Note that Assumption 2.2 (4) and (5) are used to obtain (5.7).

The two observations (5.5) and (5.7) can be applied to the integrals in (5.4) indexed by δ by integration by parts with respect to y_j , $|\beta|$ times for each $j \in \{1, 2\}$. Indeed, integration by parts with respect to k_j once brings out a multiplicative factor $1/[-i\langle V^{-1}y, j \rangle]$ from $e^{-i\langle k, V^{-1}y \rangle}$ (whenever $(V^{-1}y)_j \neq 0$) and the boundary terms vanish as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ by (5.5) and (5.7). This proves (5.4), and hence, the convergence in (5.3).

Step 5. In this step, we evaluate the limit of $Y_t^\delta(\phi)$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0+$ for fixed t .

The limiting integral in (5.3) with respect to k over \mathbb{R}^2 can be simplified as follows: with the change of variables $k = Vj/\sqrt{t}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk e^{tQ(k)/2 - i\langle k, V^{-1}y \rangle + i\langle k, V^{-1}z \rangle} \\ & = \frac{|\det(V)|}{(2\pi)^2 t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dj e^{-|j|^2/2 - i\langle j, y/\sqrt{t} \rangle + i\langle j, z/\sqrt{t} \rangle} = \frac{|\det(V)|}{2\pi t} \exp\left(-\frac{|y-z|^2}{2t}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dz \phi(z) \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk e^{tQ(k)/2 - i\langle V^{-1}y, k \rangle + i\langle k, V^{-1}z \rangle} = |\det(V)| P_t \phi(y), \quad (5.8)$$

where (P_t) is the semigroup of the two-dimensional standard Brownian motion.

Step 6. By the remark at the beginning of this proof, (5.2), (5.3) and (5.8), we deduce the uniform convergence of $Y_t^\delta(\phi)$ to $|\det(V)|\mu^0(P_t\phi)$ on compacts in t . This completes the proof of (5.1). ■

6 List of frequent notations for Sections 3–5

- D^δ : the difference $Z^\delta - Z^{\delta,c}$ defined in (4.3).
- $\mathcal{F}\phi$: the Fourier transform of ϕ with a normalization in (4.27).
- J^{a-1} : the integral operator defined in (4.64).
- J^{-a} : the stochastic integral operator defined in (4.66).
- Q : the 2×2 strictly negative definite matrix defined in Assumption 2.2 (4).
- $Q(k)$: the function $\langle k, Qk \rangle$ also defined in Assumption 2.2 (4).
- $R(k)$: twice the real part of $\hat{A}(k)$ defined in (2.3).
- \mathbb{S}_δ : the sine-like function defined in (4.4) with main properties used in (4.5).
- U : the two-dimensional real vector defined in (3.1).
- V : the square root of $-Q^{-1}$. See (3.2).
- $\int \int \mathbb{V}\Phi(r, k) \mathbb{W}(dr, dk)$: a sum of stochastic integrals defined in (4.22).
- X^δ : the rescaled $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ -valued process defined in (3.3).
- Y^δ : the deterministic part of X^δ defined in (3.7).
- Z^δ : the stochastic part of X^δ defined in (3.8).
- $Z^{\delta,c}$: the stochastic part defined in (3.9) without the floor function in Z^δ .
- $\Delta_{\delta,1}$: the partial difference operator defined in (4.10).
- $\varphi_t^\delta = \varphi_t^{\delta,1} + \varphi_t^{\delta,2}$: an auxiliary function defined in (4.26) decomposed in (4.38).
- $\phi_V(z) = T_V\phi(z)$: an auxiliary function for $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ defined in (4.23).
- $\lfloor z \rfloor_{\delta,t}, \lfloor z \rfloor_{\delta,t,j}, \lfloor z_j \rfloor_{\delta,t,j}$: the modified floor functions on rescaled lattices defined in (4.8).

7 References

- [1] Amir, G., Corwin, I. and Quastel, J. (2010). Probability distribution of the free energy of the continuum directed random polymer in $1 + 1$ dimensions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **64**, 466–537. [doi:10.1002/cpa.20347](https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.20347)
- [2] Balázs, M., Quastel, J. and Seppäläinen, T. (2011). Fluctuation exponent of the Kardar–Parisi–Zhang/stochastic Burgers equation. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **24**, 683–708. [doi:10.1090/S0894-0347-2011-00692-9](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0894-0347-2011-00692-9)
- [3] Barabási, A.-L. and Stanley, H. E. (1995). *Fractal Concepts in Surface Growth*. Cambridge University Press. [MR1600794](https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511526119)
- [4] Borodin, A. and Corwin, I. (2014). Macdonald processes. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields.* **158**, 225–400. [doi:10.1007/s00440-013-0482-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-013-0482-3)
- [5] Borodin, A. and Ferrari, P. (2014). Anisotropic growth of random surfaces in $2 + 1$ dimensions. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **325**, 603–684. [doi:10.1007/s00220-013-1823-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00220-013-1823-x)
- [6] Borodin, A., Corwin, I. and Ferrari, P. L. (2017). Anisotropic $(2+1)d$ growth and Gaussian limits of q -Whittaker processes. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields.* [doi:10.1007/s00440-017-0809-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00440-017-0809-6)
- [7] Borodin, A., Corwin, I. and Toninelli, F. L. (2017). Stochastic heat equation limit of a $(2 + 1)d$ growth model. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **350**, 957–984. [doi:10.1007/s0022](https://doi.org/10.1007/s0022)
- [8] Caravenna, F., Sun, R. and Zygouras, N. (2017). Universality in marginally relevant disordered systems. *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **27**, 3050–3112. [doi:10.1214/17-AAP1276](https://doi.org/10.1214/17-AAP1276)

- [9] Corwin, I. and Toninelli, F. L. (2016). Stationary measure of the driven two-dimensional q -Whittaker particle system on the torus. *Electron. Commun. Probab.* **21**, paper no. 44. doi:10.1214/16-ECP4624
- [10] Da Prato, G., Kwapień, S. and Zabczyk, J. (1987). Regularity of solutions of linear stochastic equations in Hilbert spaces. *Stochastics* **23**, 1–23. doi:10.1080/17442508708833480
- [11] Da Prato, G. and Zabczyk, J. (2014). *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions* (Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications). Cambridge University Press. doi:10.1017/CBO9781107295513
- [12] Edwards, S. F. and Wilkinson, D. R. (1982). The surface statistics of a granular aggregate. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, Mathematical and Physical Sciences* **381**, 17–31. doi: 10.1098/rspa.1982.0056
- [13] Ethier, S. N. and Kurtz, T. G. (1986). *Markov Processes: Convergence and Characterization*. John Wiley & Sons, New Jersey. MR0838085
- [14] Gonçalves, P. and Jara, M. (2014). Nonlinear fluctuations of weakly asymmetric interacting particle systems. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **212**, 597–644. doi:10.1007/s00205-013-0693-x
- [15] Gu, Y., Ryzhik, L. and Zeitouni, O. (2018). The Edwards–Wilkinson limit of the random heat equation in dimensions three and higher. Available at arXiv:1710.00344
- [16] Gubinelli, M., Imkeller, P. and Perkowski, N. (2015). Paracontrolled distributions and singular PDEs. *Forum of Mathematics, Pi* **3**, E6. doi:10.1017/fmp.2015.2
- [17] Hairer, M. (2014). A theory of regularity structures. *Invent. Math.* **198**, 269–504. doi:10.1007/s00222-014-0505-4
- [18] Karatzas, I. and Shreve, S. E. (1998). *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*, 2nd edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-0949-2
- [19] Kardar, M. (1998). Nonequilibrium dynamics of interfaces and lines. *Physics Reports* **301**, 85–112. doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(98)00007-6
- [20] Kardar, M., Parisi, G., and Zhang, Y.-C. (1986). Dynamic scaling of growing interfaces. *Physical Review Letters* **56**, 889–892. doi:10.1103/physrevlett.56.889
- [21] Kupiainen, A. (2016). Renormalization group and stochastic PDEs. *Ann. Henri Poincaré* **17**, 497–535. doi:10.1007/s00023-015-0408-y
- [22] Kurtz, T. G. (2007). The Yamada-Watanabe-Engelbert theorem for general stochastic equations and inequalities. *Electron. J. Probab.* **12**, paper no. 33, 951–965. doi:10.1214/EJP.v12-431
- [23] Magnen, J. and Unterberger, J. (2018). The scaling limit of the Kardar–Parisi–Zhang equation in space dimension 3 and higher. Preprint. Available at arXiv:1702.03122
- [24] Mitoma, I. (1983). Tightness of probabilities on $C([0, 1]; \mathcal{S}')$ and $D([0, 1]; \mathcal{S}')$. *Ann. Probab.* **11**, 989–999. doi:10.1214/aop/1176993447
- [25] Mytnik, L., Perkins, E. A., and Sturm, A. (2006). On pathwise uniqueness for stochastic heat equations with non-Lipschitz coefficients. *Ann. Probab.* **34**, 1910–1959. doi:10.1214/009117906000000331
- [26] Prähofer, M. and Spohn, H. (1997). An exactly solved model of three dimensional surface growth in the anisotropic Kardar–Parisi–Zhang regime. *J. Stat. Phys.* **88**, 999–1012. doi:10.1007/BF02732423
- [27] Reed, M. and Simon, B. (1980). *Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics: Functional Analysis*. Academic Press, New York-London. MR0493419

- [28] Revuz, D. and Yor, M. (2005). *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion*, 3rd corrected edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin. [doi:10.1007/978-3-662-06400-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-06400-9)
- [29] Rudin, W. (1962). *Fourier Analysis on Groups*. Interscience Tracts in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 12 Interscience Publishers (a division of John Wiley and Sons), New York-London. [MR0152834](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-06400-9)
- [30] Toninelli, F. L. (2017). $(2+1)$ -dimensional interface dynamics: mixing time, hydrodynamic limit and Anisotropic Kardar–Parisi–Zhang growth. Preprint. Available at [arXiv:1711.05571](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.05571).
- [31] Villain, J. (1991). Continuum models of crystal growth from atomic beams with and without desorption. *Journal de Physique I* **1**, 19–42. [doi:10.1051/jp1:1991114](https://doi.org/10.1051/jp1:1991114).
- [32] Walsh, J. B. (1983). *An Introduction to Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*. In: Hennequin P.L. (eds) École d’Été de Probabilités de Saint Flour XIV - 1984. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol 1180. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. [doi:10.1007/BFb0074920](https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0074920)
- [33] Wolf, D. E. (1991). Kinetic roughening of vicinal surfaces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **67**, 1783–1786. [doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.1783](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.67.1783)