

A modification of the factorization method for scatterers with different physical properties

Takashi FURUYA

Abstract

We study an inverse acoustic scattering problem by the Factorization Method when the unknown scatterer consists of two objects with different physical properties. Especially, we consider the following two cases: One is the case when each object has the different boundary condition, and the other one is when different penetrability. Our idea here is to modify the far field operator depending on the cases to avoid unnecessary a priori assumptions.

1 Introduction

Sampling methods are proposed for reconstruction of shape and location in inverse acoustic scattering problems. In the last twenty years, sampling methods such as the Linear Sampling method of Colton and Kress [4], the Singular Sources Method of Potthast [16], the Factorization Method of Kirsch [5], have been introduced and intensively studied. As an advantage of these sampling methods, the numerical implementation are so simple and fast. However, as disadvantage of sampling methods except the Factorization Method, only sufficient conditions are given for the identification of unknown scatterers. To overcome this drawback, that is, to provide necessary and sufficient conditions, the Factorization Method was introduced and developed by a lot of researchers.

However, for rigorous justification of the original Factorization Method, we have to assume that the wave number of the incident wave is not an eigenvalue of the Laplacian on an obstacle with respect to the boundary condition of the scattering problem. Kirsch and Liu [9] eliminated this problem for the case of a single obstacle by assuming that a small ball is in the interior of the unknown obstacle. They modified the original far field operator by adding the far field operator corresponding to a small ball so that the Factorization Method can be applied to it. On the other hands, in the case of a scatterer consisting of two objects with different physical properties, this problem has been still open. For recent works discussing this case, we refer to [1, 2, 6, 11, 17].

In this paper, we study the Factorization Method for a scatterer consisting of two objects with different physical properties. Especially, we consider

the following two cases: One is the case when each object has the different boundary condition, and the other one is when different penetrability. For recent works discussing such a scatterer, we refer to [8, 10, 13]. We remark that these works have to assume that the wave number of the incident wave is not an eigenvalue of the Laplacian on impenetrable obstacles included in a scatterer. Our aim of this paper is to eliminate this restriction by developing the idea of [9].

We begin with the formulations of the scattering problems. Let $k > 0$ be the wave number and for $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^2$ be incident direction. Here, $\mathbb{S}^2 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : |x| = 1\}$ denotes the unit spherer in \mathbb{R}^3 . We set

$$u^i(x) := e^{ik\theta \cdot x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \quad (1.1)$$

where i in the left hand side stands for *incident plane wave*. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded open set and let its exterior $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}$ be connected. We assume that Ω consists of two bounded domains, i.e., $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ such that $\overline{\Omega_1} \cap \Omega_2 = \emptyset$. We consider the following two cases.

The first case. Ω_1 is an impenetrable obstacle with Dirichlet boundary condition, and Ω_2 with Neumann boundary condition. Find $u^s \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega})$ such that

$$\Delta u^s + k^2 u^s = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \quad (1.2)$$

$$u^s = -u^i \text{ on } \partial\Omega_1, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\frac{\partial u^s}{\partial \nu_{\Omega_2}} = -\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial \nu_{\Omega_2}} \text{ on } \partial\Omega_2, \quad (1.4)$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r \left(\frac{\partial u^s}{\partial r} - iku^s \right) = 0, \quad (1.5)$$

where $r = |x|$, and (1.5) is the *Sommerfeld radiation condition*. Here, $H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}) = \{u : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : u|_B \in H^1(B) \text{ for all open balls } B\}$ denotes the local Sobolov space of one order. $\nu_{\Omega_2}(x)$ denotes the unit normal vector at $x \in \partial\Omega_2$. We refer to Theorem 7.15 in [15] for the well posedness of the problem (1.2)–(1.5), and refer to [8] and [13] for the factorization method in this case.

The second case. Ω_1 is a penetrable medium modeled by a contrast function $q \in L^\infty(\Omega_1)$ (that is, $\Omega_1 = \text{supp} q$), and Ω_2 is an impenetrable obstacle with Dirichlet boundary condition. Find $u^s \in H_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2})$ such that

$$\Delta u^s + k^2(1+q)u^s = -k^2 q u^i \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2}, \quad (1.6)$$

$$u^s = -u^i \text{ on } \partial\Omega_2, \quad (1.7)$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} r \left(\frac{\partial u^s}{\partial r} - iku^s \right) = 0. \quad (1.8)$$

Note that we extend q by zero outside Ω_1 . The well posedness of the problem (1.6)–(1.8) and its factorization method was shown in [10].

In both cases, it is well known that the scattered wave u^s has the following asymptotic behavior:

$$u^s(x, \theta) = \frac{e^{ik|x|}}{4\pi|x|} u^\infty(\hat{x}, \theta) + O\left(\frac{1}{|x|^2}\right), \quad |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \hat{x} := \frac{x}{|x|}. \quad (1.9)$$

The function u^∞ is called the far field pattern of u^s . With the far field pattern u^∞ , we define the far field operator $F : L^2(\mathbb{S}^2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ by

$$Fg(\hat{x}) := \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} u^\infty(\hat{x}, \theta) g(\theta) ds(\theta), \quad \hat{x} \in \mathbb{S}^2. \quad (1.10)$$

We write the far field operator of the problem (1.2)–(1.5) as $F = F_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix}$, and (1.6)–(1.8) as $F = F_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix}$, respectively. The inverse scattering problem we consider is to reconstruct Ω from the far field pattern $u^\infty(\hat{x}, \theta)$ for all $\hat{x}, \theta \in \mathbb{S}^2$. In other words, given the far field operator F , reconstruct Ω .

Our contribution in this paper is, in both cases, to give the characterization of Ω_1 without a priori assumptions for the wave number $k > 0$. But we have to know the topological properties of Ω . More precisely, an *inner* domain B_1 of Ω_1 (based on [9]), and an *outer* domain B_2 of Ω_2 ([8]), have to be a priori known. Furthermore, we take an *additional* domain B_3 in the interior of B_2 . By adding artificial far field operators corresponding to B_1 , B_2 , and B_3 , we modify the original far field operator F .

In the first case, we give the following characterization:

Assumption 1.1. *Let bounded domain B_1 and B_2 be a priori known. Assume that $\overline{B_1} \subset \Omega_1$, $\overline{\Omega_2} \subset B_2$, $\overline{\Omega_1} \cap \overline{B_2} = \emptyset$.*



Figure 1:

Theorem 1.2. For $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{S}^2$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$, define

$$\phi_z(\hat{x}) := e^{-ikz \cdot \hat{x}}. \quad (1.11)$$

Let Assumption 1.1 hold. Take a positive number $\lambda_0 > 0$, and a bounded domain B_3 with $\overline{B_3} \subset B_2$. (See Figure 1.) Then, for $z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B_2}$

$$z \in \Omega_1 \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|(\phi_z, \varphi_n)_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}|^2}{\lambda_n} < \infty, \quad (1.12)$$

where (λ_n, φ_n) is a complete eigensystem of $F_{\#}$ given by

$$F_{\#} := |\operatorname{Re} F| + |\operatorname{Im} F|, \quad (1.13)$$

where $F := F_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{\operatorname{Mix}} + F_{B_2}^{\operatorname{Dir}} + F_{B_1 \cup B_3, i\lambda_0}^{\operatorname{Imp}}$. Here, $F_{B_2}^{\operatorname{Dir}}$ and $F_{B_1 \cup B_3, i\lambda_0}^{\operatorname{Imp}}$ are the far field operators for the pure Dirichlet boundary condition on B_2 , and for the pure impedance boundary condition on $B_1 \cup B_3$ with an impedance function $i\lambda_0$, respectively.

Latter, we explain artificial far field operators $F_{B_2}^{\operatorname{Dir}}$ and $F_{B_1 \cup B_3, i\lambda_0}^{\operatorname{Imp}}$ in Section 2, and prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 3.

In the second case, we give the following characterization:

Assumption 1.3. Let a bounded domain B_2 be a priori known. Assume the following assumptions:

- (i) $q \in L^\infty(\Omega_1)$ with $\operatorname{Im} q \geq 0$ in Ω_1 .
- (ii) $|q|$ is locally bounded below in Ω_1 , i.e., for every compact subset $M \subset \Omega_1$, there exists $c > 0$ (depend on M) such that $|q| \geq c$ in M .
- (iii) $\overline{\Omega_2} \subset B_2$, $\overline{\Omega_1} \cap \overline{B_2} = \emptyset$.
- (iv) There exists $t \in (\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$ and $C > 0$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}q) \geq C|q|$ a.e. in Ω_1 .

Theorem 1.4. Let Assumption 1.3 hold. Take a positive number $\lambda_0 > 0$, and a bounded domain B_3 with $\overline{B_3} \subset B_2$. (See Figure 2.) Then, for $z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B_2}$

$$z \in \Omega_1 \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|(\phi_z, \varphi_n)_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}|^2}{\lambda_n} < \infty, \quad (1.14)$$




Figure 2:

where (λ_n, φ_n) is a complete eigensystem of $F_\#$ given by

$$F_\# := |\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}F)| + |\operatorname{Im}F|, \quad (1.15)$$

where $F := F_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix} + F_{B_2}^{Dir} + F_{B_3, i\lambda_0}^{Imp}$. Here, the function ϕ_z is given by (1.11).

We prove Theorem 1.4 in Section. We can also give the characterization by replacing (iv) in Assumption 1.3 with

(iv') *There exists $t \in [0, \pi/2) \cup (3\pi/2, 2\pi]$ and $C > 0$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}q) \geq C|q|$ a.e. in Ω_1 .*

For details, see Assumption 4.5 and Theorem 4.6.

Let us compare our works (Theorems 1.2 and 1.4) with previous works from the mathematical point of view of a priori assumptions. For Theorem 1.2 we refer to Theorem 2.5 of [13], and for Theorems 1.4 we refer to Theorem 3.9 (b) of [10]. These previous works also gave the characterization of Ω_1 by assuming the existence of outer domain B_2 of Ω_2 and that the wave number k^2 is not an eigenvalue on an obstacle, while, in our work we can choose arbitrary wave number $k > 0$ by introducing extra artificial domains such as B_1 , B_2 , and B_3 , which are not so difficult topological assumptions.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall a factorization of the far field operator and its properties. In Section 3 and Section 4, we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.4, respectively.

2 A factorization for the far field operator

In Section 2, we briefly recall a factorization for the far field operators and its properties.

First, we consider a factorization of the far field operator for the pure boundary condition. Let B be a bounded open set and let $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B}$ be connected. Later, we will use the result of this section by regarding B as

auxiliary domains, like B_1 , B_2 , and B_3 in Theorems 1.2 and 1.4. We define $G_B^{Dir} : H^{1/2}(\partial B) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ by

$$G_B^{Dir} f := v^\infty, \quad (2.1)$$

where v^∞ is the far field pattern of a radiating solution v (that is, v satisfies the Sommerfeld radiation condition) such that

$$\Delta v + k^2 v = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$v = f \text{ on } \partial B. \quad (2.3)$$

Let $\lambda_0 > 0$. We also define $G_{B,i\lambda_0}^{Imp} : H^{-1/2}(\partial B) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ in the same way as G_B^{Dir} by replacing (2.3) with

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_B} + i\lambda_0 v = f \text{ on } \partial B. \quad (2.4)$$

We define the boundary integral operators $S_B : H^{-1/2}(\partial B) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\partial B)$ and $N_B : H^{1/2}(\partial B) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}(\partial B)$ by

$$S_B \varphi(x) := \int_{\partial B} \varphi(y) \Phi(x, y) ds(y), \quad x \in \partial B, \quad (2.5)$$

$$N_B \psi(x) := \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_B(x)} \int_{\partial B} \psi(y) \frac{\partial \Phi(x, y)}{\partial \nu_B(y)} ds(y), \quad x \in \partial B, \quad (2.6)$$

where $\Phi(x, y) := \frac{e^{ik|x-y|}}{4\pi|x-y|}$. We also define $S_{B,i}$ and $N_{B,i}$ by the boundary integral operators (2.5) and (2.6), respectively, corresponding to the wave number $k = i$. It is well known that $S_{B,i}$ is self-adjoint and positive coercive, and $N_{B,i}$ is self-adjoint and negative coercive. For details of the boundary integral operators, we refer to [7] and [15].

The following properties of far field operators F_B^{Dir} and $F_{B,i\lambda_0}^{Imp}$ are given by previous works in [7] and [9]:

Lemma 2.1 (Lemma 1.14 in [7], Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.2 in [9]).

(a) The far field operators F_B^{Dir} and $F_{B,i\lambda_0}^{Imp}$ have a factorization of the form

$$F_B^{Dir} = -G_B^{Dir} S_B^* G_B^{Dir} *, \quad F_{B,i\lambda_0}^{Imp} = -G_{B,i\lambda_0}^{Imp} T_{B,i\lambda_0}^{Imp} * G_{B,i\lambda_0}^{Imp} *. \quad (2.7)$$

(b) The operators $S_B : H^{-1/2}(\partial B) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\partial B)$ and $T_{B,i\lambda_0}^{Imp} : H^{1/2}(\partial B) \rightarrow H^{-1/2}(\partial B)$ is of the form

$$S_B = S_{B,i} + K, \quad T_{B,i\lambda_0}^{Imp} = N_{B,i} + K', \quad (2.8)$$

where K and K' are some compact operators.

- (c) $\text{Im}\langle\varphi, S_B\varphi\rangle \leq 0$ for all $\varphi \in H^{-1/2}(\partial B)$. Furthermore, if we assume that k^2 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in B , then $\text{Im}\langle\varphi, S_B\varphi\rangle < 0$ for all $\varphi \in H^{-1/2}(\partial B)$ with $\varphi \neq 0$.
- (d) $\text{Im}\langle T_{B,i\lambda_0}^{Imp}\varphi, \varphi\rangle > 0$ for all $\varphi \in H^{1/2}(\partial B)$ with $\varphi \neq 0$.

Secondly, we consider the far field operator $F_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix}$ for the problem (1.2)–(1.5). Recall that $\Omega = \Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$, and Ω_1 is an impenetrable obstacle with Dirichlet boundary condition, and Ω_2 with Neumann boundary condition. We define $G_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix} : H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_1) \times H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega_2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ by

$$G_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} := v^\infty, \quad (2.9)$$

where v^∞ is the far field pattern of a radiating solution v such that

$$\Delta v + k^2 v = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$v = f \text{ on } \partial\Omega_1, \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu_{\Omega_2}} = g \text{ on } \partial\Omega_2. \quad (2.11)$$

The following properties of $F_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix}$ are given by previous works in [7]:

Lemma 2.2 (Theorem 3.4 in [7]). **(a)** *The far field operator $F_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix}$ has a factorization of the form*

$$F_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix} = -G_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix} T_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix} * G_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix} *. \quad (2.12)$$

(b) *The middle operator $T_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix} : H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_2) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_1) \times H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega_2)$ is of the form*

$$T_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix} = \begin{pmatrix} S_{\Omega_1, i} & 0 \\ 0 & N_{\Omega_2, i} \end{pmatrix} + K, \quad (2.13)$$

where K is some compact operator.

(c) $\text{Im}\langle T_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix}\varphi, \varphi\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\varphi \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_2)$.

Thirdly, we consider the far field operator $F_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix}$ for the problem (1.6)–(1.8). Here, Ω_1 is a penetrable medium modeled by a contrast function $q \in L^\infty(\Omega_1)$, and Ω_2 is an impenetrable obstacle with Dirichlet boundary condition. We define $G_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix} : L^2(\Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ by

$$G_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} := v^\infty, \quad (2.14)$$

where v^∞ is the far field pattern of a radiating solution v such that

$$\Delta v + k^2(1+q)v = -k^2 \frac{q}{\sqrt{|q|}} f \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2}, \quad (2.15)$$

$$v = -g \text{ on } \partial\Omega_2. \quad (2.16)$$

The following properties of $F_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix}$ are given by previous works in [10]:

Lemma 2.3 (Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 3.3 in [10]). **(a)** *The far field operator $F_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix}$ has a factorization of the form*

$$F_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix} = G_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix} M_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix *} G_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix *}. \quad (2.17)$$

(b) *The middle operator $M_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix} : L^2(\Omega_1) \times H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega_2) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_2)$ is of the form*

$$M_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{|q|}{k^2 q} & 0 \\ 0 & -S_{\Omega_2, i} \end{pmatrix} + K, \quad (2.18)$$

where K is some compact operator.

(c) $\text{Im}\langle \varphi, M_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix} \varphi \rangle \geq 0$ for all $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega_1) \times H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega_2)$.

(d) If $M_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix} \varphi = 0$, $\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix} \in L^2(\Omega_1) \times H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega_2)$, then $\varphi_1 = 0$.

Finally, we give the following functional analytic theorem behind the factorization method. The proof is completely analogous to previous works, e.g., Theorem 2.15 in [7], Theorem 2.1 in [12], and Theorem 2.1 in [13].

Theorem 2.4. *Let $X \subset U \subset X^*$ be a Gelfand triple with a Hilbert space U and a reflexive Banach space X such that the imbedding is dense. Furthermore, let Y be a second Hilbert space and let $F : Y \rightarrow Y$, $G : X \rightarrow Y$, $T : X^* \rightarrow X$ be linear bounded operators such that*

$$F = GTG^*. \quad (2.19)$$

We make the following assumptions:

- (1)** G is compact with dense range in Y .
- (2)** There exists $t \in [0, 2\pi]$ such that $\text{Re}(e^{it}T)$ has the form $\text{Re}(e^{it}T) = C + K$ with some compact operator K and some self-adjoint and positive coercive operator C , i.e., there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$\langle \varphi, C\varphi \rangle \geq c \|\varphi\|^2 \text{ for all } \varphi \in X^*. \quad (2.20)$$

(3) $\text{Im}\langle\varphi, T\varphi\rangle \geq 0$ or $\text{Im}\langle\varphi, T\varphi\rangle \leq 0$ for all $\varphi \in X^*$.

Furthermore, we assume that one of the following assumptions:

(4) T is injective.

(5) $\text{Im}\langle\varphi, T\varphi\rangle > 0$ or $\text{Im}\langle\varphi, T\varphi\rangle < 0$ for all $\varphi \in \overline{\text{Ran}(G^*)}$ with $\varphi \neq 0$.

Then, the operator $F_{\#} := |\text{Re}(e^{it}F)| + |\text{Im}F|$ is positive, and the ranges of $G : X \rightarrow Y$ and $F_{\#}^{1/2} : Y \rightarrow Y$ coincide with each other.

Remark that, in this paper, the real part and the imaginary part of an operator A are self-adjoint operators given by

$$\text{Re}(A) = \frac{A + A^*}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Im}(A) = \frac{A - A^*}{2i}. \quad (2.21)$$

3 The first case

In section 3, we prove Theorem 1.2. Let Assumption 1.1 hold. We define $R_1 : H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_1) \times H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega_2) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial B_2)$ by

$$R_1 \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ v_1|_{\partial B_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.1)$$

where v_1 is a radiating solution such that

$$\Delta v_1 + k^2 v_1 = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$v_1 = f_1 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_1, \quad \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial \nu_{\Omega_2}} = g_1 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_2. \quad (3.3)$$

Then, from the definition of R_1 , we obtain

$$G_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix} = G_{\Omega_1, B_2}^{Dir} R_1, \quad (3.4)$$

where $G_{\Omega_1, B_2}^{Dir} : H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial B_2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ is also defined for the pure Dirichlet boundary condition on Ω_1 and B_2 in the same way as $G_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix}$. (See (2.9).)

Next, we define $R_2 : H^{1/2}(\partial B_2) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\partial\Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial B_2)$ by

$$R_2 f_2 := \begin{pmatrix} v_2|_{\partial\Omega_1} \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.5)$$

where v_2 is a radiating solution such that

$$\Delta v_2 + k^2 v_2 = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B_2}, \quad (3.6)$$

$$v_2 = f_2 \text{ on } \partial B_2, \quad (3.7)$$

Then, from the definition of R_2 , we obtain

$$G_{B_2}^{Dir} = G_{\Omega_1, B_2}^{Dir} R_2. \quad (3.8)$$

Here, take a positive number $\lambda_0 > 0$, and a bounded domain B_3 with $\overline{B_3} \subset B_2$. We define $R_3 : H^{-1/2}(\partial B_1 \cup \partial B_3) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial B_2)$ by

$$R_3 f_3 := \begin{pmatrix} v_3|_{\partial \Omega_1} \\ v_3|_{\partial B_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.9)$$

where v_3 is a radiating solution such that

$$\Delta v_3 + k^2 v_3 = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B_1 \cup B_3}, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial \nu_{B_1 \cup B_3}} + i\lambda_0 v_3 = f_3 \text{ on } \partial B_1 \cup \partial B_3. \quad (3.11)$$

Then, from the definition of R_3 , we obtain

$$G_{B_1 \cup B_3, i\lambda_0}^{Imp} = G_{\Omega_1, B_2}^{Dir} R_3. \quad (3.12)$$

By (3.4), (3.8), (3.12), and the factorization of the far field operator in Section 2, we have

$$F_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix} + F_{B_2}^{Dir} + F_{B_1 \cup B_3, i\lambda_0}^{Imp} = G_{\Omega_1, B_2}^{Dir} T G_{\Omega_1, B_2}^{Dir*}, \quad (3.13)$$

where $T := \begin{bmatrix} -R_1 T_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix*} R_1^* - R_2 S_{B_2}^* R_2^* - R_3 T_{B_1 \cup B_3, i\lambda_0}^{Imp*} R_3^* \end{bmatrix}$.

The following properties of G_{Ω_1, B_2}^{Dir} are given by the same argument in Theorem 1.12 and Lemma 1.13 in [7]:

Lemma 3.1. (a) *The operator $G_{\Omega_1, B_2}^{Dir} : H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial B_2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ is compact with dense range in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$.*

(b) *For $z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B_2}$*

$$z \in \Omega_1 \iff \phi_z \in \text{Ran}(G_{\Omega_1, B_2}^{Dir}), \quad (3.14)$$

where the function ϕ_z is given by (1.11).

To prove Theorem 1.2, we apply Theorem 2.4 to this case. First of all, we show the following lemma:

Lemma 3.2. (a) $R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $R_2 = P_2$, R_3 are compact. Here, $P_2 : H^{1/2}(\partial B_2) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial B_2)$ is defined by

$$P_2 h := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.15)$$

(b) R_3^* is injective.

Proof. (a) The mappings $R_1 = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega_1) \times H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega_2) \rightarrow H^1(\partial \Omega_1) \times H^1(\partial B_2)$, $R_2 = P_2 : H^{1/2}(\partial B_2) \rightarrow H^1(\partial \Omega_1) \times H^1(\partial B_2)$, and $R_3 : H^{-1/2}(\partial B_1 \cup \partial B_3) \rightarrow H^1(\partial \Omega_1) \times H^1(\partial B_2)$ are bounded since they are given by $\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ v_1|_{\partial B_2} \end{pmatrix}$, $f_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} v_2|_{\partial \Omega_1} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and $f_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} v_3|_{\partial \Omega_1} \\ v_3|_{\partial B_2} \end{pmatrix}$, respectively. By Rellich theorem, they are compact.

(b) Let $\phi \in H^{-1/2}(\partial \Omega_1)$ and $\psi \in H^{-1/2}(\partial B_2)$. Assume that $R_3^* \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = 0$. Using the same argument as done in Theorem 2.5 in [14], one knows the existence of a radiating solution w such that

$$\Delta w + k^2 w = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega_1 \cup B_2}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\Delta w + k^2 w = 0 \text{ in } \Omega_1 \setminus \overline{B_1}, \text{ in } B_2 \setminus \overline{B_3}, \quad (3.17)$$

$$w_+ - w_- = 0, \quad \frac{\partial w_+}{\partial \nu_{\Omega_1}} - \frac{\partial w_-}{\partial \nu_{\Omega_1}} = \overline{\phi} \text{ on } \partial \Omega_1, \quad (3.18)$$

$$w_+ - w_- = 0, \quad \frac{\partial w_+}{\partial \nu_{B_2}} - \frac{\partial w_-}{\partial \nu_{B_2}} = \overline{\psi} \text{ on } \partial B_2, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu_{B_1}} + i\lambda_0 w = 0 \text{ on } \partial B_1, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu_{B_3}} + i\lambda_0 w = 0 \text{ on } \partial B_3, \quad (3.20)$$

where the subscripts $+$ and $-$ denote the trace from the exterior and interior, respectively. (See Figure 3).




Figure 3:

By using the boundary conditions (3.11), (3.18), (3.19), (3.20), and Green's theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \left\langle f_3, R_3^* \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} v_3|_{\partial\Omega_1} \\ v_3|_{\partial B_2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega_1} v_3 \bar{\phi} ds + \int_{\partial B_2} v_3 \bar{\psi} ds \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega_1 \cup \partial B_2} v_3 \left(\frac{\partial w_+}{\partial \nu} - \frac{\partial w_-}{\partial \nu} \right) ds - \int_{\partial\Omega_1 \cup \partial B_2} \frac{\partial v_3}{\partial \nu} (w_+ - w_-) ds \\
&= \int_{\partial\Omega_1 \cup \partial B_2} \left[\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial \nu} w_- - v_3 \frac{\partial w_-}{\partial \nu} \right] ds - \int_{\partial\Omega_1 \cup \partial B_2} \left[\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial \nu} w_+ - v_3 \frac{\partial w_+}{\partial \nu} \right] ds \\
&= \int_{\partial B_1} \left[\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial \nu} w - v_3 \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \right] ds + \int_{\partial B_3} \left[\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial \nu} w - v_3 \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \right] ds \\
&= \int_{\partial B_1 \cup \partial B_3} f_3 w ds, \tag{3.21}
\end{aligned}$$

which proves that $w = 0$ in $\partial B_1 \cup \partial B_3$. Holmgren's uniqueness theorem (See e.g., Theorem 2.3 in [4]) implies that w vanishes in $\Omega_1 \setminus \overline{B_1}$ and $B_2 \setminus \overline{B_3}$. Equations (3.18) and (3.19) yield $w_+ = 0$ on $\partial\Omega_1 \cup \partial B_2$ which implies that w vanishes also outside of Ω_1 and B_2 by the uniqueness of the exterior Dirichlet problem. Therefore, equations (3.18) and (3.19) yield $\phi = 0$ and $\psi = 0$. \square

By Lemma 3.2, the middle operator T of (3.13) has the following properties:

Lemma 3.3. (a) $\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\pi}T)$ has the form $\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\pi}T) = C + K$ with some self-adjoint and positive coercive operator C and some compact operator K .

(b) $\operatorname{Im}\langle \varphi, T\varphi \rangle < 0$ for all $\varphi \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega_1) \times H^{-1/2}(\partial B_2)$ with $\varphi \neq 0$.

Proof. (a) By Lemma 2.1 (b), Lemma 2.2 (b), and Lemma 3.2 (a),

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}(e^{i\pi}T) &= \operatorname{Re}\left(R_1 T_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix*} R_1^* + R_2 S_{B_2}^* R_2^* + R_3 T_{B_1 \cup B_3, i\lambda_0}^{Imp*} R_3^*\right) \\
&= R_1 \begin{pmatrix} S_{\Omega_1, i} & 0 \\ 0 & N_{\Omega_2, i} \end{pmatrix} R_1^* + R_2 S_{B_2, i} R_2^* + K \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S_{\Omega_1, i} & 0 \\ 0 & N_{\Omega_2, i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + P_2 S_{B_2, i} P_2^* + K' \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} S_{\Omega_1, i} & 0 \\ 0 & S_{B_2, i} \end{pmatrix} + K', \tag{3.22}
\end{aligned}$$

where K and K' are some compact operators. Since the boundary integral operators $S_{\Omega_1, i}$ and $S_{B_2, i}$ are self-adjoint and positive coercive, (a) holds.

(b) By Lemma 2.1 (c) (d), Lemma 2.2 (c), and Lemma 3.2 (b), especially, by the strictly positivity of the operator $\operatorname{Im} T_{B_1 \cup B_3, i\lambda_0}^{Imp}$, and the injectivity of R_3^* , for all $\varphi \in H^{-1/2}(\partial\Omega_1) \times H^{-1/2}(\partial B_2)$ with $\varphi \neq 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im}\langle \varphi, T\varphi \rangle &= -\operatorname{Im}\langle T_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix} R_1^* \varphi, R_1^* \varphi \rangle + \operatorname{Im}\langle R_2^* \varphi, S_{B_2} R_2^* \varphi \rangle \\
&\quad -\operatorname{Im}\langle T_{B_1 \cup B_3, i\lambda_0}^{Imp} R_3^* \varphi, R_3^* \varphi \rangle < 0. \tag{3.23}
\end{aligned}$$

□

Therefore, by Lemma 3.3, we can apply Theorem 2.4 to this case. From Lemma 3.1 (b), and applying Theorem 2.4, we obtain Theorem 1.2.

Remark 3.4. Unknown obstacle Ω_2 may consist of finitely many connected components whose closures are mutually disjoint. Furthermore, the boundary condition on Ω_2 can not be only Neumann but also Dirichlet, impedance, and not only impenetrable obstacles but also penetrable mediums, and their mixed situations by the same argument in Theorem 1.2. In all cases, we can choose arbitrary wave numbers $k > 0$.

Remark 3.5. If we assume that k^2 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in artificial domains B_1, B_2 , then we do not need to take an additional domain B_3 . In such a case, we only use $F_{B_1 \cup B_2}^{Dir}$ as artificial far field operators since $F_{B_1 \cup B_2}^{Dir}$ has a role to keep the strictly positivity of the imaginary part of the middle operator of F . (See Lemma 2.1 (c).) That is, we can give the following characterization by the same argument in Theorem 1.2:

Theorem 3.6. *In addition to Assumption 1.1, we assume that k^2 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in B_1, B_2 . Take a positive number $\lambda_0 > 0$.*

Then, for $z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B_2}$

$$z \in \Omega_1 \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|(\phi_z, \varphi_n)_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}|^2}{\lambda_n} < \infty, \quad (3.24)$$

where (λ_n, φ_n) is a complete eigensystem of $F_{\#}$ given by

$$F_{\#} := |\operatorname{Re} F| + |\operatorname{Im} F|, \quad (3.25)$$

where $F := F_{\Omega_1, \Omega_2}^{Mix} + F_{B_1 \cup B_2}^{Dir}$. Here, the function ϕ_z is given by (1.11).

Remark 3.7. We can also give the characterization of the Neumann part Ω_2 if we assume $\overline{\Omega_1} \subset B_1$, $\overline{B_2} \subset \Omega_2$, $\overline{B_1} \cap \overline{\Omega_2} = \emptyset$ by the same argument in Theorem 1.2 (See Figure 4).




Figure 4:

4 The second case

In Section 4, we prove Theorem 1.4. Let Assumption 1.3 hold. We define $G_{\Omega_1 0, B_2}^{Mix} : L^2(\Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial B_2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ by

$$G_{\Omega_1 0, B_2}^{Mix} \begin{pmatrix} f \\ g \end{pmatrix} := v^{\infty}, \quad (4.1)$$

where v^{∞} is the far field pattern of a radiating solution v such that

$$\Delta v + k^2 v = -k^2 \frac{q}{\sqrt{|q|}} f \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B_2}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$v = g \text{ on } \partial B_2. \quad (4.3)$$

Note that we extend q by zero outside Ω_1 . Next, we define $R_1 : L^2(\Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega_2) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial B_2)$ by

$$R_1 \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} f_1 + \sqrt{|q|} v_1 \\ v_1|_{\partial B_2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.4)$$

where v_1 is a radiating solution such that

$$\Delta v_1 + k^2(1+q)v_1 = -k^2 \frac{q}{\sqrt{|q|}} f_1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2}, \quad (4.5)$$

$$v_1 = -g_1 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_2. \quad (4.6)$$

Then, from the definition of R_1 , we obtain

$$G_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix} = G_{\Omega_1 0, B_2}^{Mix} R_1. \quad (4.7)$$

We define $R_2 : H^{1/2}(\partial B_2) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial B_2)$ by

$$R_2 f_2 := \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ f_2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.8)$$

Then, from the definition of R_2 , we obtain

$$G_{B_2}^{Dir} = G_{\Omega_1 0, B_2}^{Mix} R_2. \quad (4.9)$$

Here, take a positive number $\lambda_0 > 0$, and a bounded domain B_3 with $\overline{B_3} \subset B_2$. We define $R_3 : H^{-1/2}(\partial B_3) \rightarrow H^{1/2}(\partial B_2)$ by

$$R_3 f_3 := v_3|_{\partial B_2}, \quad (4.10)$$

where v_3 is a radiating solution such that

$$\Delta v_3 + k^2 v_3 = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B_3}, \quad (4.11)$$

$$\frac{\partial v_3}{\partial \nu_{B_3}} + i\lambda_0 v_3 = f_3 \text{ on } \partial B_3. \quad (4.12)$$

Then, from the definition of R_3 , and (4.9), we obtain

$$G_{B_3, i\lambda_0}^{Imp} = G_{B_2}^{Dir} R_3 = G_{\Omega_1 0, B_2}^{Mix} R_2 R_3. \quad (4.13)$$

By (4.7), (4.9), (4.13), and the factorization of the far field operator in Section 2, we have

$$F_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix} + F_{B_2}^{Dir} + F_{B_3, i\lambda_0}^{Imp} = G_{\Omega_1 0, B_2}^{Mix} M G_{\Omega_1 0, B_2}^{Mix*}, \quad (4.14)$$

where $M := \left[R_1 M_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix*} R_1^* - R_2 S_{B_2}^* R_2^* - R_2 R_3 T_{B_3, i\lambda_0}^{Imp*} R_3^* R_2^* \right]$.

The following properties are given by the same argument in Theorem 3.2 (c) in [10]:

Lemma 4.1. (a) *The operator $G_{\Omega_1 0, B_2}^{Mix} : L^2(\Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial B_2) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$ is compact with dense range in $L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)$.*

(b) *For $z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B_2}$*

$$z \in \Omega_1 \iff \phi_z \in \text{Ran}(G_{\Omega_1 0, B_2}^{Mix}), \quad (4.15)$$

where the function ϕ_z is given by (1.11).

To prove Theorem 1.4, we apply Theorem 2.4 to this case with $F = F_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix*} + F_{B_2}^{Dir*} + F_{B_3, i\lambda_0}^{Imp*}$. First, we show the following lemma:

Lemma 4.2. (a) $R_1 - \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, R_3$ *are compact.*

(b) R_1 *is injective.*

(c) R_3^* *is injective.*

Proof. (a) The mappings $R_1 - \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : L^2(\Omega_1) \times H^{1/2}(\partial \Omega_2) \rightarrow H^1(\Omega_1) \times H^1(\partial B_2)$, and $R_3 : H^{-1/2}(\partial B_3) \rightarrow H^1(\partial B_2)$ are bounded since they are given by $\begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{|q|}v_1 \\ v_1|_{\partial B_2} \end{pmatrix}$, and $f_3 \mapsto v_3|_{\partial B_2}$, respectively. By Rellich theorem, they are compact.

(b) Assume that

$$R_1 \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ g_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_1 + \sqrt{|q|}v_1 \\ v_1|_{\partial B_2} \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Equation (4.5) yields that

$$\Delta v_1 + k^2 v_1 = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B_2}, \quad (4.17)$$

$$v_1 = 0 \text{ on } \partial B_2. \quad (4.18)$$

By the uniqueness of the exterior Dirichlet problem, v_1 vanishes outside of B_2 . Therefore, $f_1 = 0$. Furthermore, the analyticity of v_1 yields that v_1 also vanishes in $B_2 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2}$, which implies that $g_1 = 0$.

(c) The injectivity of R_3^* follows from the same argument as done in the proof of Lemma 3.2 in [9]. \square

By Lemma 4.2, the middle operator M of (4.14) has the following properties:

Lemma 4.3. (a) $\operatorname{Re}(e^{it}M^*)$ has the form $\operatorname{Re}(e^{it}M^*) = C + K$ with some self-adjoint and positive coercive operator C , and some compact operator K .

(b) $\operatorname{Im}\langle\varphi, M^*\varphi\rangle \geq 0$ for all $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega_1) \times H^{-1/2}(\partial B_2)$.

(c) M^* is injective.

Proof. (a) By Lemma 2.1 (b), Lemma 2.3 (b), and Lemma 4.2 (a),

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Re}(e^{it}M^*) &= \operatorname{Re}\left(e^{it}R_1M_{\Omega_1q,\Omega_2}^{Mix}R_1^* - e^{it}R_2S_{B_2}R_2^* - e^{it}R_2R_3T_{B_3,i\lambda_0}^{Imp}R_3^*R_2^*\right) \\
&= R_1 \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(\frac{e^{it}|q|}{k^2q}) & 0 \\ 0 & -(\cos t)S_{\Omega_2,i} \end{pmatrix} R_1^* - R_2(\cos t)S_{B_2,i}R_2^* + K \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(\frac{e^{it}|q|}{k^2q}) & 0 \\ 0 & -(\cos t)S_{\Omega_2,i} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - R_2(\cos t)S_{B_2,i}R_2^* + K' \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Re}(\frac{e^{it}|q|}{k^2q}) & 0 \\ 0 & (-\cos t)S_{B_2,i} \end{pmatrix} + K', \tag{4.19}
\end{aligned}$$

where K and K' are some compact operators. The first term of the right hand side in (4.19) is self-adjoint and positive coercive since $(-\cos t) > 0$ when $t \in (\pi/2, 3\pi/2)$, and Assumption 1.3 (iv) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\langle \varphi, \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{e^{it}|q|}{k^2q}\right)\varphi \right\rangle &= \int_{\Omega_1} |\varphi|^2 \frac{\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}q)}{k^2|q|} dx \\
&\geq \int_{\Omega_1} |\varphi|^2 \frac{C|q|}{k^2|q|} dx \\
&= \frac{C}{k^2} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega_1)}^2. \tag{4.20}
\end{aligned}$$

(b) By Lemma 2.1 (c), Lemma 2.3 (c) (d), for all $\varphi \in L^2(\Omega_1) \times H^{-1/2}(\partial B_2)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Im}\langle\varphi, M^*\varphi\rangle &= \operatorname{Im}\langle R_1^*\varphi, M_{\Omega_1q,\Omega_2}^{Mix}R_1^*\varphi\rangle - \operatorname{Im}\langle R_2^*\varphi, S_{B_2}R_2^*\varphi\rangle \\
&\quad + \operatorname{Im}\langle T_{B_3,i\lambda_0}^{Imp}R_3^*R_2^*\varphi, R_3^*R_2^*\varphi\rangle \geq 0. \tag{4.21}
\end{aligned}$$

(c) Let $\phi \in L^2(\Omega_1)$ and $\psi \in H^{-1/2}(\partial B_2)$. Assume that $M^* \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = 0$.

Inequality (4.21) yields that

$$\operatorname{Im} \left\langle T_{B_3, i\lambda_0}^{Imp} R_3^* R_2^* \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}, R_3^* R_2^* \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = 0, \quad (4.22)$$

which implies that $R_3^* R_2^* \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = 0$ from Lemma 2.1 (d). By Lemma 4.2 (c), and the definition of R_2 , we have $\psi = 0$. Therefore,

$$M^* \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = R_1 M_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix} R_1^* \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (4.23)$$

From Lemma 4.2 (b) and Lemma 2.3 (d), we obtain

$$R_1^* \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ * \end{pmatrix}. \quad (4.24)$$

Finally, we will show $\phi = 0$. Let $f_1 \in L^2(\Omega_1)$. Take radiating solutions v_1 and w such that

$$\Delta v_1 + k^2(1+q)v_1 = -k^2 \frac{q}{\sqrt{|q|}} f_1 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2}, \quad (4.25)$$

$$v_1 = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_2, \quad (4.26)$$

$$\Delta w + k^2(1+q)w = \sqrt{|q|}\overline{\phi} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2}, \quad (4.27)$$

$$w = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_2. \quad (4.28)$$

By (4.24),

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, R_1^* \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle = \left\langle \begin{pmatrix} f_1 + \sqrt{|q|}v_1 \\ v_1|_{\partial B_2} \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{\Omega_1} f_1 \overline{\phi} dx + \int_{\Omega_1} v_1 \sqrt{|q|} \overline{\phi} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

By (4.25) and (4.27),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega_1} v_1 \sqrt{|q|} \overline{\phi} dx &= \int_{\Omega_1} v_1 (\Delta w + k^2(1+q)w) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega_1} \left(\Delta v_1 + k^2(1+q)v_1 + k^2 \frac{q}{\sqrt{|q|}} f_1 \right) w dx \\ &= - \int_{\Omega_1} k^2 \frac{q}{\sqrt{|q|}} f_1 w dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Omega_1} (\Delta w) v_1 - w (\Delta v_1) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

By using Green's theorem, (4.26), and (4.28),

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega_1} (\Delta w)v_1 - w(\Delta v_1)dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2}} (\Delta w)v_1 - w(\Delta v_1)dx \\
&= - \int_{\partial\Omega_2} \left[\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu_{\Omega_2}} v_1 - w \frac{\partial v_1}{\partial \nu_{\Omega_2}} \right] ds \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.31}$$

By (4.29)–(4.31),

$$\overline{\phi} = k^2 \frac{q}{\sqrt{|q|}} w \text{ in } \Omega_1. \tag{4.32}$$

From (4.32), (4.27), and (4.28), we obtain

$$\Delta w + k^2 w = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2}, \tag{4.33}$$

$$w = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega_2, \tag{4.34}$$

which proves that w vanishes in $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{\Omega_2}$ by the uniqueness of the exterior Dirichlet problem. Therefore, equation (4.32) yields that $\phi = 0$. \square

Therefore, by Lemma 4.3, we can apply Theorem 2.4 to this case with $F = F_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix*} + F_{B_2}^{Dir*} + F_{B_3, i\lambda_0}^{Imp*}$. From Lemma 4.1 (b), and applying Theorem 2.4, we obtain Theorem 1.4.

Remark 4.4. We can also consider various situations on Ω_2 like Remark 3.4, and replace the assumption of taking B_3 with that k^2 is not a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in an artificial domain B_2 like Remark 3.5.

We can also give the characterization by replacing (iv) in Assumption 1.3 with

(iv') *There exists $t \in [0, \pi/2) \cup (3\pi/2, 2\pi]$ and $C > 0$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}q) \geq C|q|$ a.e. in Ω_1 .*

by the same argument in Theorem 1.4:

Assumption 4.5. *Let a bounded domain B_2 be a priori known. Assume the following assumptions:*

- (i) $q \in L^\infty(\Omega_1)$ with $\operatorname{Im} q \geq 0$ in Ω_1 .
- (ii) $|q|$ is locally bounded below in Ω_1 , i.e., for every compact subset $M \subset \Omega_1$, there exists $c > 0$ (depend on M) such that $|q| \geq c$ in M .

(iii) $\overline{\Omega_2} \subset B_2$, $\overline{\Omega_1} \cap \overline{B_2} = \emptyset$.

(iv') There exists $t \in [0, \pi/2) \cup (3\pi/2, 2\pi]$ and $C > 0$ such that $\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}q) \geq C|q|$ a.e. in Ω_1 .

Theorem 4.6. *Let Assumption 4.5 hold. Take a positive number $\lambda_0 > 0$. Then, for $z \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{B_2}$*

$$z \in \Omega_1 \iff \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|(\phi_z, \varphi_n)_{L^2(\mathbb{S}^2)}|^2}{\lambda_n} < \infty, \quad (4.35)$$

where (λ_n, φ_n) is a complete eigensystem of $F_{\#}$ given by

$$F_{\#} := |\operatorname{Re}(e^{-it}F)| + |\operatorname{Im}F|, \quad (4.36)$$

where $F := F_{\Omega_1 q, \Omega_2}^{Mix} + F_{B_2, i\lambda_0}^{Imp}$. Here, the function ϕ_z is given by (1.11).

Conclusion

In this paper, we give the characterization of the unknown domain Ω_1 in a scatterer consisting of two objects with different physical properties without the assumption of the wave number $k > 0$. To realize it, we modify the original far field operator F by adding artificial far field operators corresponding to an inner domain B_1 , an outer domain B_2 , and an additional domain B_3 . This idea is mainly based on [9], which treats only a scattering by an obstacle with the pure Dirichlet or Neumann boundary condition. In Section 4 of [9], numerical examples are given to compare modification method (which use the artificial far field operator corresponding to an inner domain) with previous method numerically, where we find that the modification method provides numerically a better reconstruction than previous one. Therefore, we expect that even in a scatterer consisting of two objects with different physical properties, our modification method (which use several artificial far field operators) would also provide a better reconstruction than previous ones such as [10, 13].

Acknowledgments

First, the author would like to express his deep gratitude to Professor Mitsuru Sugimoto, who always supports him in his study. Secondly, he thanks to Professor Masaru Ikehata and Professor Sei Nagayasu, who read this paper carefully and gave him many helpful comments. The author also thanks

to Professor Andreas Kirsch, and Professor Xiaodong Liu, and the referees who gave him valuable comments which helped to improve this paper.

References

- [1] K. A. Anagnostopoulos and A. Charalambopoulos and A. Kleefeld, *The factorization method for the acoustic transmission problem*, Inverse Problems **29**, (2013), 115015.
- [2] O. Bondarenko and A. Kirsch and X. Liu, *The factorization method for inverse acoustic scattering in a layered medium*, Inverse Problems **29**, (2013), 045010.
- [3] D. Colton and A. Kirsch, *A simple method for solving inverse scattering problems in the resonance region*, Inverse Problems **12**, (1996), 383–393.
- [4] D. Colton and R. Kress, *Inverse acoustic and electromagnetic scattering theory*, Third edition. Applied Mathematical Sciences, **93** Springer, New York, (2013).
- [5] A. Kirsch, *Characterization of the shape of a scattering obstacle using the spectral data of the far field operator*, Inverse Problems **14**, (1998), 1489–1512.
- [6] A. Kirsch and A. Kleefeld, *The factorization method for a conductive boundary condition*, J. Integral Equations Appl. **24**, (2012), 575–601.
- [7] A. Kirsch and N. Grinberg, *The factorization method for inverse problems*, Oxford University Press, (2008).
- [8] A. Kirsch and N. Grinberg, *The factorization method for obstacles with a priori separated sound-soft and sound-hard parts*, Math. Comput. Simulation **66** (2004), 267–279.
- [9] A. Kirsch and X. Liu, *A modification of the factorization method for the classical acoustic inverse scattering problems*, Inverse Problems **30**, (2014), 035013.
- [10] A. Kirsch and X. Liu, *Direct and inverse acoustic scattering by a mixed-type scatterer*, Inverse Problems **29** (2013), 065005.
- [11] A. Kirsch and X. Liu, *The factorization method for inverse acoustic scattering by a penetrable anisotropic obstacle*, Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **37**, (2014), 1159–1170.

- [12] A. Lechleiter, *The factorization method is independent of transmission eigenvalues*, Inverse Probl. Imaging **3** (2009), 123–138.
- [13] X. Liu, *The factorization method for scatterers with different physical properties*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S **8**, (2015), 563–577.
- [14] X. Liu and B. Zhang and G. Hu, *Uniqueness in the inverse scattering problem in a piecewise homogeneous medium*, Inverse Problems **26** (2010), 015002.
- [15] W. McLean, *Strongly elliptic systems and boundary integral equations*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2000).
- [16] R. Potthast, *Stability estimates and reconstructions in inverse acoustic scattering using singular sources*, J. Comput. Appl. Math. **114**, (2000), 247–274.
- [17] J. Yang and B. Zhang and H. Zhang, *The factorization method for reconstructing a penetrable obstacle with unknown buried objects*, SIAM J. Appl. Math. **73**, (2013), 617–635.

Graduate School of Mathematics, Nagoya University, Furocho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, 464-8602, Japan
e-mail: takashi.furuya0101@gmail.com