

Analysis of the Web Graph Aggregated by Host and Pay-Level Domain

Agostino Funel
ENEA, Italy

agostino.funel@enea.it

Abstract

In this paper the web is analyzed as a graph aggregated by host and pay-level domain (PLD). The web graph datasets, publicly available, have been released by the Common Crawl Foundation ¹ and are based on a web crawl performed during the period May-June-July 2017. The host graph has ~ 1.3 billion nodes and ~ 5.3 billion arcs. The PLD graph has ~ 91 million nodes and ~ 1.1 billion arcs. We study the distributions of degree and sizes of strongly/weakly connected components (SCC/WCC) focusing on power laws detection using statistical methods. The statistical plausibility of the power law model is compared with that of several alternative distributions. While there is no evidence of power law tails on host level, they emerge on PLD aggregation for indegree, SCC and WCC size distributions. Finally, we analyze distance-related features by studying the cumulative distributions of the shortest path lengths, and give an estimation of the diameters of the graphs.

1 Introduction

The web is a complex system and its graph structure can be analyzed on different levels of granularity.

The page level is the World Wide Web (WWW) where a node is a webpage uniquely identified by its URL and an arc is a hypertextual link between two webpages. Many webpages can be hosted on a computer (web server) uniquely identified by an IP address. On the host level each node of the web graph is a web server and two nodes are connected by an arc if exists at least a hypertextual link between the webpages hosted on the corresponding servers. Similarly, a next level of aggregation can be obtained by grouping web servers into Internet domains. In this case a node is a domain and there is an arc between two domains if exist at least two servers, each belonging to one of the domains,

connected by an arc. The greater the level of aggregation the greater the scale at which the web is observed. A more precise definition of these levels will be given in Section 3. Knowledge of the web structure is not only fascinating in itself but also important for many reasons. For example, it can help search engine developers to find better ranking algorithms; it can be useful to design efficient crawling strategies and to predict the connectivity of the web in the case of a widespread disconnection of nodes or break of links.

The main purpose of this work is to provide additional information on the web graph structure. In particular, we show that there is no statistical support to affirm that on host level the heavy-tailed distributions of degree and sizes of the components of the web graph are power laws. Power laws emerge only on the macroscopic scale of PLD aggregation. It is an unanswered question which is the real mathematical form of the distributions of degree and components in the web. In this work we compare the power law with several alternative models by using statistical methods. Finally we analyze the connectivity of the web graph on host and PLD aggregation by studying the cumulative distributions of the shortest path lengths, and give an estimation of the diameters of the graphs.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we present the results of previous analysis of the web graph structure; in Section 3 we describe the datasets used in this work and introduce the terms which define the levels of aggregation; in Section 4 we explain the methodology of analysis. Sections 5 and 6 report the analysis of the web graph on host and PLD aggregation, respectively. In Section 7 we summarize the main results and make the final observations.

2 Related Work

The web graph structure has been studied by many authors. In the work of Broder *et al.* [1] the web graph structure was analyzed on the page level using two Altavista crawls (performed in May and October 1999) each with ~ 200 million pages and ~ 1.5 billion links. They found that the in and out degree distributions are power law with ex-

¹<http://commoncrawl.org>

ponent ~ 2.10 and ~ 2.72 respectively, in agreement (in the case of indegree) with the theoretical predictions of Kumar *et al.* [2] and Barabasi and Albert [3]. At macroscopic scale they found a single WCC containing over 90% of the nodes. This WCC breaks in four pieces (roughly of the same size) forming a "bow-tie" structure at the heart of which there is a giant SCC which contains $\sim 28\%$ of the nodes. The other pieces are called IN, OUT and TENDRILS. IN contains pages that can reach the SCC but can not be reached from it. OUT contains pages that can be reached from SCC but do not link back to it. TENDRILS contains pages completely disconnected from the SCC. The diameter of the central SCC was estimated to be at least 28 and that of the whole graph at least 500 and likely to be over 900. The percentage of connected pairs of nodes and their average distance were estimated $\sim 25\%$ and ~ 16 respectively. They also found a power law distribution with exponent ~ 2.54 for the sizes of the SCC and WCC, claiming it is a basic web property. However, no details were reported about the statistical plausibility of the power laws.

The web is a very large complex system and a detailed knowledge of its structure could be obtained only by crawling it completely. Moreover, the crawling process itself affects the global picture of the web [4], [5], [6].

A larger dataset was analyzed by Meusel *et al.* [7] from a crawl, provided by the Common Crawl Foundation, gathered in the first half of 2012 and released in August of the same year. Their analysis was conducted on page, host and PLD levels. The sizes (number of nodes/arcs in billion) of the graphs being respectively $\sim (3.6/128.7)$, $\sim (0.1/2.0)$, $\sim (0.04/0.6)$. They used statistical methods to test power laws and proved that the distributions of in/out degree and sizes of SCC/WCC are not power laws on page and host level, while power laws emerge for the indegree and PageRank distributions of the PLD graph. This would suggest that power laws might be due to aggregation or crawling artifacts rather than being a structural property of the web, living open the question which is the correct mathematical form of the distributions. Authors estimated also the percentage of pairs of connected nodes, their average distance and the lower bound of the diameters. The page graph has $\sim 48\%$ of connected pairs with average distance ~ 13 , resulting more connected than what estimated by Broder *et al.*, and a diameter of at least 5282. The host graph has $\sim 36\%$ of connected pairs with average distance ~ 5 , and a diameter at least 261. The PLD graph has $\sim 42\%$ of connected pairs with average distance ~ 4 and a diameter at least 48.

Inspired by this work, we perform the same statistical goodness of fit analysis on larger host and PLD graphs and, in addition, we examine the statistical plausibility of other models of distributions alternative to the power law.

3 Datasets and definitions

The host and PLD web graphs, publicly available, are provided by the Common Crawl Foundation. These graphs have been extracted from a web crawl which gathered data during the period May-June-July 2017. In Table 1 are shown the sizes of the datasets.

Aggregation	# Nodes	# Arcs
Host	1306661614	5268397861
PLD	91034128	1071173924

Table 1: Host and PLD web graph sizes.

Host: The name or address of a web server can be extracted by its URL by excluding protocol, authentication, port, path, query and fragment substrings. For example the web servers of the URLs <http://www.example.l.com> and <http://www.foo.a.b.co.uk:8080/path?query=answer> are www.example.l.com and www.foo.a.b.co.uk.

PLD: This level of aggregation is based on the Public Suffix List, an initiative of Mozilla.² It is a catalog of Internet domain name suffixes that can be directly registered by users. The PLD of a host is obtained by aggregating one dot above the public suffix. The PLD of the hosts of the example above are [l.com](http://www.example.l.com) and [b.co.uk](http://www.foo.a.b.co.uk) because [.com](http://www.example.l.com) and [.co.uk](http://www.foo.a.b.co.uk) are on the Public Suffix List.

The host graph includes ~ 1.2 billion nodes that have been identified as targets of a link from a crawled page. The number of domain name registrations at the end of the second quarter of 2017 was ~ 331.9 millions³ thus our PLD dataset contains $\sim 27.4\%$ of all PLDs registered at that time.

4 Methodology of analysis

For host and PLD aggregation we study the distributions of in/out degree and sizes of SCC and WCC and for each of them make a best fit to a power law. In order to decide the statistical plausibility of a power law we follow the procedure described in the work of Clauset *et al.* [8]. The best fit power law parameters x_{min} and α are calculated with the method of maximum likelihood. After that a goodness of fit test based on the Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistic provides a p -value. If $0 \leq p < 0.1$ the power law hypothesis is rejected if $0.1 \leq p \leq 1$ it is accepted. In the case of a power law detection as further check we compare the experimental data

²<https://www.publicsuffix.org/>

³<https://www.verisign.com/assets/domain-name-report-Q22017.pdf>

with synthetic data randomly generated from a power law with the same parameters of the detected one. Of course, there could be other distributions which might fit better the data. We test, both in the discrete and continuous fit formalism, the power law (*pl*) hypothesis against competing models and choose: exponential (*exp*), lognormal (*logn*), truncated power law (*tpl*) and stretched exponential (*sexp*). The degree and the size of a graph component are integers and the discrete formalism is more appropriate for studying the related distributions. However, for a very large graph the number of elements of its distributions is huge and the continuous formalism, which is computationally less intensive, provides a good approximation and might give additional clues on the properties of the data distributions. The continuous form of the tested models is shown in Table 2.

power law	$x^{-\alpha}$
alternative model	$f(x)$
exponential	$e^{-\lambda x}$
lognormal	$\frac{1}{x} \exp \left[-\frac{(\ln x - \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right]$
truncated power law	$x^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda x}$
stretched exponential	$x^{\beta-1} e^{-\lambda x^\beta}$

Table 2: Models of distributions $p(x) = Cf(x)$ whose statistical plausibility is compared. The constant C is obtained by the normalization $\int_{x_{min}}^{\infty} Cf(x) = 1$.

The comparison between two distributions f_A and f_B is achieved by calculating the (normalized) loglikelihood ratio $R(f_A/f_B)$. The sign of R decides which is the best model: if $R > 0$ ($R < 0$) then f_A (f_B) is the favorite distribution. If $R = 0$ there is not a favorite model with respect to the other. The statistical significance of the sign of R depends on a q -value. If $0 \leq q < 0.1$ the sign of R is a reliable indicator of which model is the best one. If $0.1 \leq q \leq 1$ neither of the two models is favorite. Based on these observations we can judge the statistical plausibility of f as a better fit distribution compared to a power law as shown in Table 3. If there are many potential candidates we compare them pairwise to find out which one should be considered the best alternative to the power law. The software we use in this work are: `plfit`⁴ for the goodness of fit tests; `powerlaw`⁵, described in the work of Alstott *et al.* [9], for the comparison of models and the SNAP library [10] for the analysis of the structural properties of the graphs.

We also study the cumulative distributions of the shortest path lengths which are very computational intensive. The SNAP library adopts a fast and memory-efficient algorithm based on an approximation of the neighbourhood function

$R(pl/f) > 0$	$0 \leq q < 0.1$	none
$-\infty < R(pl/f) < -\infty$	$0.1 \leq q \leq 1$	undecidable
$R(pl/f) = 0$	$0 \leq q \leq 1$	undecidable
$R(pl/f) < 0$	$0 \leq q < 0.1$	strong

Table 3: Statistical plausibility of an alternative distribution f compared with a power law based on the result of the likelihood ratio test.

as described in the work of Palmer *et al.* [11]. In order to estimate the lower bound of the diameters we perform a breadth first search (BFS) over the graphs using 10000 starting test nodes.

5 Analysis of the host graph

The host graph has ~ 1.3 billion nodes and ~ 5.3 billion arcs. There are 16903 zero degree nodes ($\sim 0.0013\%$ of the total). The average degree is ~ 8.06 .

5.1 Degree distributions

In Figure 1 are shown the frequency plots of the indegree distribution and its complementary cumulative density function (CCDF) in log-log scale. In order to plot also the value of the distributions for the nodes with zero degree we manually shift the point $x = 0$ to 0.1 and label it 0 on the X-axis.




Figure 1: Host graph indegree distribution (left) and its CCDF (right). The best fit power law parameters are: $x_{min} = 250$, $\alpha = 2.193 \pm 0.001$. The p -value is 0.00 ± 0.01 .

The indegree distribution has a hump centered at $x \simeq 25$ with ~ 4 million nodes. This peak distorts the initial linear

⁴<http://github.com/ntamas/plfit>

⁵<https://pypi.python.org/pypi/powerlaw>

shape and is clearly visible as a concavity of the CCDF. In the region $10^3 \leq x \leq 10^6$ there are spikes which might indicate a deviation from power law. In fact, despite the shape of the CCDF appears almost linear, from the goodness of fit test we obtain $p = 0.00 \pm 0.01$. The best fit power law parameters are $x_{min} = 250$ and $\alpha = 2.193 \pm 0.001$. The nodes in the region $x \geq x_{min}$ are $\sim 0.082\%$ of the distribution. The dashed (solid) part of the black line in a plot of a distribution indicates the region $x < x_{min}$ ($x \geq x_{min}$). The number of nodes with indegree equal to zero is $\simeq 1.73 \cdot 10^7$ ($\sim 1.32\%$ of the distribution). The maximum indegree is 23055296.

In Table 4 are shown the results of the comparison between the power law and alternative models. In the case of the discrete formalism we find strong support for the lognormal while if we use the continuous form of the distributions for the fit we find that none of the tested models can be considered a plausible alternative to the power law.

discrete fit indegree host graph			
f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	74.652299	0	none
<i>logn</i>	-1.8227830	0.067575	strong
<i>tpl</i>	-0.584161	0.512644	undecidable
<i>sexp</i>	15.742337	0	none
f_A/f_B	$R(f_A/f_B)$	q	comment
<i>logn/tpl</i>	1.829324	0.067351	strong support for the <i>logn</i>

continuous fit indegree host graph			
f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	24.766065	0	none
<i>logn</i>	0.597332	0.550286	undecidable
<i>tpl</i>	-0.516431	0.629009	undecidable
<i>sexp</i>	43.152895	0	none
f_A/f_B	$R(f_A/f_B)$	q	comment
<i>logn/tpl</i>	-0.680971	0.495890	none of the tested models is favorite

Table 4: Results of the likelihood ratio test for the indegree distribution of the host graph. Discrete fit: the lognormal is the most statistically plausible alternative to the power law among all tested models. Continuous fit: none of the tested models is statistically plausible as alternative to the power law.

In Figure 2 are shown the frequency plots of the outdegree distribution and its CCDF. The nodes with outdegree equal to zero are $\sim 93\%$ of the distribution and their number is $\sim 1.2 \cdot 10^9$ which is the number of dangling hosts included during the crawling process. These nodes are not directly gathered by the crawler yet are pointed to from a link on a crawled page. In the region $1 \leq x \leq 30$ there is a concavity. There are many spikes in the region $200 \leq x \leq 40000$ which however contains $\sim 0.24\%$ of the distribution. The notched shape of the outdegree distribution causes the shape

of the CCDF to be highly non linear. The best fit power law parameters are $x_{min} = 23$ and $\alpha = 2.3242 \pm 0.0001$. The region $x \geq x_{min}$ contains $\sim 1.69\%$ of the distribution. The p -value is 0.00 ± 0.01 . The maximum outdegree is 15090917.




Figure 2: Host graph outdegree distribution (left) and its CCDF (right). The best fit power law parameters are: $x_{min} = 23$, $\alpha = 2.3242 \pm 0.0001$. The p -value is 0.00 ± 0.01 .

discrete fit outdegree host graph			
f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	455.329430	0	none
<i>logn</i>	-239.275758	0	strong
<i>tpl</i>	-36.993154	0	strong
<i>sexp</i>	3.288489	0.001	none
f_A/f_B	$R(f_A/f_B)$	q	comment
<i>logn/tpl</i>	52379.86440	0	strong support for the <i>logn</i>

continuous fit outdegree host graph			
f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	141.351591	0	none
<i>logn</i>	-187.661597	0	strong
<i>tpl</i>	-34.653582	0	strong
<i>sexp</i>	-87.928342	0	strong
f_A/f_B	$R(f_A/f_B)$	q	comment
<i>tpl/sexp</i>	-14.999285	0	<i>sexp</i> most plausible than <i>tpl</i>
<i>logn/sexp</i>	48.827806	0	strong support for the <i>logn</i>

Table 5: Results of the likelihood ratio test for the outdegree distribution of the host graph. Both the discrete and continuous fit calculations assign to the lognormal the strongest support.

The results of the comparison between the power law

and other models are shown in Table 5. In the discrete fit calculations the lognormal and the truncated power law are the eligible candidates however from their comparison the lognormal is the most plausible. In the continuous fit calculations there are three models, lognormal, truncated power law and stretched exponential as eligible alternative to the power law. However from their comparison it results that the lognormal is the best alternative. It is interesting to note that in both cases the lognormal has the strongest support.

5.2 Components

Almost all nodes of the host graph are weakly connected. The fraction of nodes in the largest WCC is $\sim 99.7\%$. The largest SCC is considerably smaller and contains $\sim 4.5\%$ of the nodes. In the analysis of Meusel *et al.* the largest WCC and SCC contain respectively $\sim 87\%$ and $\sim 47\%$ of the whole host graph whose size, however, is about one order of magnitude smaller than that of the host graph analyzed in this work. The marked difference between the two sizes of the largest SCC could be due to different methodologies in the process of graph extraction from the gathered data. However, this analysis confirms the presence of a giant WCC in the host graph. In Figure 3 are shown the distributions of the sizes of the SCC and WCC.

The best fit power law parameters of the SCC distribution are $x_{min} = 4$, $\alpha = 2.367 \pm 0.005$. The p -values is 0.00 ± 0.01 . The region $x \geq x_{min}$ covers $\sim 13.2\%$ of the distribution.

For the WCC distribution we have $x_{min} = 22$, $\alpha = 1.684 \pm 0.001$ and $p = 0.00 \pm 0.01$. The region $x \geq x_{min}$ covers $\sim 2\%$ of the distribution.

A visual inspection shows that the points of the WCC distributions are widely spread around the best fit power law line while the ones of the SCC are not. However from the goodness of fit test we ascertain that for neither of the two distributions the power law model is statistically plausible.

Also for the SCC and WCC distributions we test alternative models to the power law. The results are shown in Table 6 and 7. While from the continuous fit calculations we infer that none of the tested models has statistical support, the calculations in the discrete formalism indicate that both for the SCC and WCC distributions the lognormal is the best alternative.

5.3 Distances and diameters

In the host graph $\sim 90\%$ of all pairs of nodes have distance within 5.6 ± 0.6 as shown in Figure 4 where is plotted the cumulative distribution of the shortest path lengths (hop plot). The lower bound of the full diameter, estimated with a BFS algorithm with 10000 random starting nodes, is 970.




Figure 3: SCC (left) and WCC (right) size distributions of the host graph. The best fit power law parameters for the SCC are: $x_{min} = 4$, $\alpha = 2.367 \pm 0.005$. For the WCC we have $x_{min} = 22$, $\alpha = 1.684 \pm 0.001$. The two distributions have $p = 0.00 \pm 0.01$.

discrete fit SCC host graph			
f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	13.295007	0	none
<i>logn</i>	-2.677685	0.007413	strong
<i>tpl</i>	-1.323552	0.005272	strong
<i>sexp</i>	7.573920	0.001	none
f_A/f_B	$R(f_A/f_B)$	q	comment
<i>logn/tpl</i>	6.068555	0	strong support for the <i>logn</i>

continuous fit SCC host graph			
f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	6.281507	0	none
<i>logn</i>	1.85880	0.063044	none
<i>tpl</i>	1.110213	0.009236	none
<i>sexp</i>	7.328739	0	none

Table 6: Results of the likelihood ratio test for the size distribution of the SCC of the host graph. Discrete fit: the lognormal is the most statistically plausible alternative to the power law among all tested models. Continuous fit: none of the tested models can be considered a statistically plausible alternative to the power law.

discrete fit WCC host graph			
f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	4.933097	0	none
<i>logn</i>	-7.670585	0	strong
<i>tpl</i>	0.713476	0	none
<i>sexp</i>	103.577509	0	none

continuous fit WCC host graph			
f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	8.466598	0	none
<i>logn</i>	182.567972	0	none
<i>tpl</i>	0.007864	0.962260	undecidable
<i>sexp</i>	160.308865	0	none

Table 7: Results of the likelihood ratio test for the size distribution of the WCC of the host graph. Discrete fit: the log-normal is the most statistically plausible alternative to the power law among all tested models. Continuous fit: none of the tested models can be considered a statistically plausible alternative to the power law.

6 Analysis of the PLD graph

The PLD graph has ~ 9.1 millions nodes and ~ 1.1 billion arcs. There are 90629 zero degree nodes ($\sim 0.1\%$ of the total). The average degree is ~ 23.56 . For the PLD graph we follow the same analysis procedure adopted for the host graph.

6.1 Degree distributions

In Figure 5 are shown the frequency plots of the indegree distribution and the relative CCDF. There are $\sim 8.6 \cdot 10^6$ nodes with indegree equal to zero ($\sim 9.4\%$ of the distribution). The maximum indegree is 12896169. There is a concavity in the region $1 \leq x \leq 30$ also visible in the CCDF plot. There are high spikes in region $2000 \leq x \leq 6000$ and even if the tail of the CCDF is not linear the best fit power law parameters are $x_{min} = 2858$, $\alpha = 2.21 \pm 0.01$ and $p = 0.69 \pm 0.01$. For the first time we observe a statistical evidence of a power law tail. The region $x \geq x_{min}$ contains $\sim 0.02\%$ of the distribution. We note that the presence of spikes is not a sufficient condition for excluding a power law as well as a linear shape of the log-log plot does not imply it. As a further check we compare the distribution of the experimental data in the region $x \geq x_{min}$ with that of a synthetic dataset containing the same number of samples randomly generated from a power law with the same parameters of the detected one. There is a good agreement between the distributions of the two datasets as shown in Figure 6.




Figure 4: Cumulative distribution of the shortest path lengths of the host graph. The Y-axis shows $N(h)$, the number of pairs of nodes with distance within h hops. The effective diameter is 5.6 ± 0.6 .




Figure 5: PLD graph indegree distribution (left) and its CCDF (right). The best fit power law parameters are: $x_{min} = 2858$, $\alpha = 2.21 \pm 0.01$. The p -value is 0.69 ± 0.01 .

As in the case of the host graph analysis we now compare the power law with alternative models. The results are shown in Table 8. Both the discrete and continuous fit calculations indicate that none of the tested models has statistical significance as better alternative to the power law.

We now examine the outdegree distribution whose plot along with the one of its CCDF is shown in Figure 7. The number of nodes with outdegree equal to zero is 50659245. The most part are dangling nodes and constitute $\sim 55.7\%$ of the distribution. The maximum outdegree is 14903607.




Figure 6: Comparison between the indegree distribution of the PLD graph in the region $x \geq x_{min}$ and a distribution of synthetic data randomly generated from a power law having the same parameters of the detected one.

There is a concavity in the region $1 \leq x \leq 60$ which is also evident in the CCDF plot. In the region $230 \leq x \leq 20000$ there are spikes. The best fit power law parameters are: $x_{min} = 279$, $\alpha = 2.164 \pm 0.002$. The p -value is 0.00 ± 0.01 indicating that the tail is not power law. The points in the region $x \geq x_{min}$ are $\sim 0.5\%$ of the distribution.




Figure 7: PLD graph outdegree distribution (left) and its CCDF (right). The best fit power law parameters are: $x_{min} = 279$, $\alpha = 2.164 \pm 0.002$. The p -value is 0.00 ± 0.01 .

For the outdegree distribution both the discrete and continuous fit calculations indicate strong support for the lognormal as the best alternative model to the power law, as shown in Table 9.

discrete fit indegree PLD graph

f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	9.375661	0	none
<i>logn</i>	1.558554	0.119102	undecidable
<i>tpl</i>	0.055049	0.988221	undecidable
<i>sexp</i>	76.482004	0	none
f_A/f_B	$R(f_A/f_B)$	q	comment
<i>logn/tpl</i>	-1.548861	0.121415	none of the tested models is favorite

continuous fit indegree PLD graph

f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	9.269584	0	none
<i>logn</i>	1.278359	0.201123	undecidable
<i>tpl</i>	0.009616	0.99352	undecidable
<i>sexp</i>	6.13434	0	none
f_A/f_B	$R(f_A/f_B)$	q	comment
<i>logn/tpl</i>	-1.298115	0.194248	none of the tested models is favorite

Table 8: Results of the likelihood ratio test for the indegree distribution of the PLD graph. Both the discrete and continuous fit calculations indicate that none of the tested models can be considered a plausible alternative to the power law.

discrete fit outdegree PLD graph

f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	149.413009	0	none
<i>logn</i>	-59.471894	0	strong
<i>tpl</i>	-4.724054	0	strong
<i>sexp</i>	17.653723	0	none
f_A/f_B	$R(f_A/f_B)$	q	comment
<i>logn/tpl</i>	10.801170	0	strong support for the <i>logn</i>

continuous fit outdegree PLD graph

f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	14.338776	0	none
<i>logn</i>	-17.432687	0	strong
<i>tpl</i>	-1.618388	0	strong
<i>sexp</i>	2.110114	0.034849	none
f_A/f_B	$R(f_A/f_B)$	q	comment
<i>logn/tpl</i>	12.103655	0	strong support for the <i>logn</i>

Table 9: Results of the likelihood ratio test for the outdegree distribution of the PLD graph. The lognormal is the best alternative among all tested models.

6.2 Components

The fraction of nodes in the largest WCC and SCC of the PLD graph are $\sim 99.4\%$ and $\sim 32.7\%$ respectively. The difference between these sizes has been reduced for the PLD graph. The largest WCC and SCC of the PLD graph analyzed by Meusel *et al* contain $\sim 91.8\%$ and $\sim 51.9\%$ of all nodes. Also for the PLD graph this analysis confirms the

presence of a giant WCC.

In Figure 8 are shown the distributions of the sizes of the SCC and WCC of the PLD graph.

The best fit power law parameter of the SCC distribution are: $x_{min} = 7$, $\alpha = 2.63 \pm 0.04$ and $p = 0.41 \pm 0.01$. The region $x \geq x_{min}$ covers $\sim 3.2\%$ of the distribution.

For the WCC we obtain: $x_{min} = 8$, $\alpha = 3.12 \pm 0.06$ and $p = 0.34 \pm 0.01$. The region $x \geq x_{min}$ covers $\sim 0.6\%$ of the distribution.

Both the SCC and WCC distributions have $p > 0.1$ indicating that their tails are very likely power law.




Figure 8: SCC (left) and WCC (right) size distributions of the PLD graph. The best fit power law parameters for the SCC are: $x_{min} = 7$, $\alpha = 2.63 \pm 0.04$ and $p = 0.41 \pm 0.01$. For the WCC we have $x_{min} = 8$, $\alpha = 3.12 \pm 0.06$ and $p = 0.34 \pm 0.01$. Because $p > 0.1$ for both distributions the power law hypothesis has statistical support.

As in the case of the indegree, we make a further check of the power law hypothesis by comparing the two distributions with that of synthetic datasets in the region $x \geq x_{min}$ and find a good agreement as shown in Figure 9.

From Table 10 and 11 we see that the only indication of a statistically supported model alternative to the power law comes from the continuous fit of the SCC distribution which gives strong support to the lognormal.

6.3 Distances and diameters

In the PLD graph $\sim 90\%$ of all pairs of nodes have distance within 3.8 ± 0.4 . In Figure 10 is shown the hop plot of the PLD graph. The lower bound of the full diameter estimated with a BFS using 10000 random starting nodes is 34.




Figure 9: Comparison between the SCC (left) and WCC (right) size distributions of the PLD graph in the region $x \geq x_{min}$ and that of synthetic data randomly generated from power laws with the same parameters of the detected ones.




Figure 10: Cumulative distribution of the shortest path lengths of the PLD graph. The Y-axis shows $N(h)$, the number of pairs of nodes with distance within h hops. The effective diameter is 3.8 ± 0.4 .

discrete fit SCC PLD graph			
f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	1.755426	0.079186	none
<i>logn</i>	0.320868	0.748310	undecidable
<i>tpl</i>	0.999271	0	none
<i>sexp</i>	46.723807	0	none

continuous fit SCC PLD graph			
f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	6.59299	0	none
<i>logn</i>	-241911.686943	0	strong
<i>tpl</i>	-241911.686659	0	strong
<i>sexp</i>	54.903007	0	none
f_A/f_B	$R(f_A/f_B)$	q	comment
<i>logn/tpl</i>	241911.686564	0	strong support for the <i>logn</i>

Table 10: Results of the likelihood ratio test for the size distribution of the SCC of the PLD graph. Discrete fit: none of the tested models can be considered a statistically plausible alternative to the power law. Continuous fit: the lognormal is the most statistically plausible alternative to the power law among all tested models.

discrete fit WCC PLD graph			
f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	1.786023	0.074096	none
<i>logn</i>	0.431812	0.665878	undecidable
<i>tpl</i>	0.139838	0.530106	undecidable
<i>sexp</i>	45.928895	0	none
f_A/f_B	$R(f_A/f_B)$	q	comment
<i>logn/tpl</i>	-0.100918	0.919616	none of the tested models is favorite

continuous fit WCC PLD graph			
f	$R(pl/f)$	q	statistical plausibility of f as alternative to the power law
<i>exp</i>	10.383199	0	none
<i>logn</i>	1.125393	0.260423	undecidable
<i>tpl</i>	0.999392	0	none
<i>sexp</i>	1.364604	0.172378	undecidable
f_A/f_B	$R(f_A/f_B)$	q	comment
<i>logn/sexp</i>	1.366401	0.171813	none of the tested models is favorite

Table 11: Results of the likelihood ratio test for the size distribution of the WCC of the PLD graph. Both the discrete and continuous fit calculations indicate that none of the tested models can be considered a statistically plausible alternative to the power law.

7 Conclusion

The results obtained so far are summarized in Table 12. The first observation is that from this analysis we infer that there is no statistical evidence of power law tails on host level for the distributions of degree and sizes of SCC and

WCC. From the comparison between the power law and the models reported in Table 2, we find that for the host graph the lognormal is the most statistically plausible alternative. Power laws emerge on PLD aggregation for indegree, SCC and WCC size distributions. It is interesting to note that even in the case of the PLD graph the lognormal is the only model which is not ruled out by the likelihood ratio test. Of course, there might be other models which fit better the data.

May-June-July 2017	Host graph	PLD graph
# nodes	1306661614	91034128
# arcs	5268397861	1071173924
indegree		
α	2.193 ± 0.001	2.21 ± 0.01
x_{min}	250	2858
largest	23055296	12896169
outdegree		
α	2.3242 ± 0.0001	2.164 ± 0.002
x_{min}	23	279
largest	15090917	14903607
SCC		
α	2.367 ± 0.005	2.63 ± 0.04
x_{min}	4	7
largest	$\sim 4.5\%$	$\sim 32.7\%$
WCC		
α	1.684 ± 0.001	3.12 ± 0.06
x_{min}	22	8
largest	$\sim 99.7\%$	$\sim 99.4\%$
Effective diameter	5.6 ± 0.6	3.8 ± 0.4
Full diameter (lower bound)	970	34

Host graph			
distribution	statistical support for the power law	statistical support for alternative models (discrete fit)	statistical support for alternative models (continuous fit)
indegree	none	lognormal (strong)	none
outdegree	none	lognormal (strong)	lognormal (strong)
SCC	none	lognormal (strong)	none
WCC	none	lognormal (strong)	none

PLD graph			
distribution	statistical support for the power law	statistical support for alternative models (discrete fit)	statistical support for alternative models (continuous fit)
indegree	yes	none	none
outdegree	none	lognormal (strong)	lognormal (strong)
SCC	yes	none	lognormal (strong)
WCC	yes	none	none

Table 12: Summary of the analysis of the web graph aggregated by host and PLD.

In the analysis of Meusel *et al.* it was found no statistical evidence of power laws on page and host levels but only for the indegree distribution of the PLD graph. Therefore the scale-free nature of the web, namely the coexistence of nodes with very low (or zero) degree and nodes with millions of links, is not necessarily a consequence of mechanisms which predict a power law form of the degree distributions.

Another observation is that while the fraction of nodes in the largest WCC is $\sim 99\%$ both in the host and PLD graphs, the fraction of nodes in the largest SCC varies considerably and is $\sim 4\%$ in the host graph and $\sim 33\%$ in the PLD graph. In the analysis of Broder *et al.* the size of the largest SCC is $\sim 28\%$ of the whole page graph and in the work of Meusel *et al.* it is roughly $\sim 50\%$ of the whole graph for all levels of aggregation. It is possible that the size of the largest SCC is significantly affected by the crawling strategy and the processes of graph extraction and level aggregation.

The distance between two randomly chosen nodes in the host and PLD graphs is very likely within ~ 5.6 and ~ 3.8 hops, respectively. This numbers should be compared with the average distance measured by Meusel *et al.* which is ~ 5.3 in the host graph and ~ 4.3 in the PLD graph. This small-world property of the web is particularly evident in the case of the host graph where the effective diameter remains almost the same even if the size changes by one order of magnitude.

8 Acknowledgements

The computing resources and the related technical support used for this work have been provided by CRESCO/ENEAGRID High Performance Computing infrastructure and its staff [12]. CRESCO/ENEAGRID High Performance Computing infrastructure is funded by ENEA, the Italian National Agency for New Technologies, Energy and Sustainable Economic Development and by Italian and European research programmes, see <https://www.eneagrid.enea.it> for information.

References

- [1] A. Broder, R. Kumar, F. Maghoul, P. Raghavan, S. Rajagopalan, R. Stata, A. Tomkins, and J. Wiener. Graph structure in the web. *Computer Networks*, 33 (1-6):309–320, June 2000.
- [2] R. Kumar, P. Raghavan, S. Rajagopalan, R. Stata, and A. Tomkins. Trawling the Web for emerging cyber-communities. *Computer Networks*, 31 (11-16):1481–1493, May 1999.
- [3] A. Barabasi and R. Albert. Emergence of scaling in random networks. *Science*, 286:509–512, October 1999.
- [4] M. A. Serrano, A. Maguitman, M. Boguñá, S. Fortunato, and A. Vespignani. Decoding the Structure of the WWW: A Comparative Analysis of Web Crawls. *ACM Transactions on the Web*, 1(2), August 2007.
- [5] D. Donato, S. Leonardi, S. Millozzi, and P. Tsaparas. Mining the inner structure of the Web graph. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 1(22), 2008.
- [6] J. J. H. Zhu, T. Meng, Z. Xie, G. Li, and X. Li. A Teapot Graph and Its Hierarchical Structure of the Chinese Web. Proc. WWW '08, April 2008.
- [7] R. Meusel, S. Vigna, O. Lehmberg, and C. Bizer. The Graph Structure in the Web – Analyzed on Different Aggregation Levels. *The Journal of Web Science*, 1:33–47, August 2015.
- [8] A. Clauset, C. R. Shalizzi, and M. E. J. Newman. Power law distributions in empirical data. *SIAM Rev.*, 51(4):661–703, November 2009.
- [9] J. Alstott, E. Bullmore, and D. Plenz. powerlaw: a Python package for analysis of heavy-tailed distributions. *PLoS ONE*, 9(1), 2014.
- [10] J. Leskovec and R. Sosič. Snap: A general-purpose network analysis and graph-mining library. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology (TIST)*, 8(1):1, 2016.
- [11] C. R. Palmer, P. B. Gibbons, and C. Faloutsos. ANF: A Fast and Scalable Tool for Data Mining in Massive Graphs. Proc. KDD '02, July 2002.
- [12] G. Ponti et al. The role of medium size facilities in the hpc ecosystem: the case of the new cresco4 cluster integrated in the eneagrid infrastructure. *PROC. HPCS*, pages 1030–1033, 2014.