arXiv:1802.05542v1 [math.NT] 13 Feb 2018

REPDIGITS IN EULER FUNCTIONS OF PELL AND ASSOCIATED PELL NUMBERS

M.K. SAHUKAR AND G.K. PANDA

Abstract. A natural number n is called a repdigit if all its digits are same. In this paper, we prove that Euler totient function of no Pell number is a repdigit with at least two digits. This study is also extended to certain subclass of associated Pell numbers.

Key words: Pell numbers, Associated Pell numbers, Euler totient function, Repdigits.
2010 Subject classification [A.M.S.]: 11A25, 11D61, 11B39.

1. introduction

The Pell sequence $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and the associated Pell sequence $\{Q_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ are defined by the binary recurrences

$$P_{n+1} = 2P_n + P_{n-1} \text{ and } Q_{n+1} = 2Q_n + Q_{n-1},$$

with the initial terms $P_0 = 0, P_1 = 1$ and $Q_0 = 1, Q_1 = 1$ respectively. If $\alpha = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ and $\beta = 1 - \sqrt{2}$, then $P_n = \frac{\alpha^n - \beta^n}{\alpha - \beta}$ and $Q_n = \frac{\alpha^n + \beta^n}{2}$ for all $n \geq 0$. The Euler totient function $\phi(n)$ of a positive integer n is the number of positive integers less than or equal to n and relatively prime to n. If n has the canonical decomposition $n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$, then it is well-known that

$$\phi(n) = p_1^{a_1 - 1}(p_1 - 1) \cdots p_r^{a_r - 1}(p_r - 1).$$

In [16], it was shown that if the Euler function of the n^{th} Pell number P_n or associated Pell number Q_n is a power of 2 then $n \leq 8$. In 2014, Damir and Faye[6] proved that if $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is the Lucas sequence defined by $u_0 = 0$, $u_1 = 1$ and $u_{n+2} = ru_{n+1} + su_n$ for all $n \leq 0$ with $s \in \{1, -1\}$, then there are finitely many n such that $\phi(|u_n|)$ is a power of 2.

Recall that a positive integer is called a repdigit if it has only one distinct digit in its decimal expansion. In particular, such numbers are of the form $d(10^m - 1)/9$ for some $m \geq 1$ and $1 \leq d \leq 9$. In [7], it was shown that there is no repdigit Pell or Pell-Lucas number larger than 10.

The study of repdigits in Euler functions of specified number sequences has attracted several number theorists. In 2002, Luca [14] proved that there exist only finitely many positive integer solution (m, n) satisfying the Diophantine equation $\phi(U_n) = V_m$ where $\{U_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ and $\{V_m\}_{m\geq 0}$ are two non-degenerate binary recurrence sequences. Taking $V_m = d \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9}$ where $d \in \{1, 2, \cdots, 9\}$, Luca[3, 15] investigated the presence of repdigits associated with the Euler functions of Fibonacci and Lucas numbers. In this paper, we follow the method described in [3, 15] to investigate the presence of repdigits with atleast two digits in the Euler functions of Pell and associated Pell numbers.

Through out this paper, we use p with or without subscripts as a prime number, $\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)$ as Legendre symbol of a and b and (a, b) as the greatest common divisor of a and b.

2. Preliminaries

To achieve the objective of this paper, we need the following results and definitions. We shall keep on referring this section with or without further reference.

Lemma 2.1. *If m and n be natural numbers, then*

(1) $P_{2n} = 2P_nQ_n$,

(2) $Q_n^2 - 2P_n^2 = (-1)^n$,

(3) $(P_n, Q_n) = 1$,

(4) $P_m|P_n$ *if and only if* $m|n$,

(5) $Q_m|Q_n$ *if and only if* $m|n$ *and* $\frac{n}{m}$ *is odd,*

(6) $v_2(P_n) = v_2(n)$ *and* $v_2(Q_n) = 0$ *where* $v_2(n)$ *is the exponent of* 2 *in the canonical decomposition of* n,

(7) $3|Q_n$ *if and only if* $n \equiv 2(\text{mod } 4)$,

(8) $5 \nmid Q_n$ *for any* n,

(9) $Q_{3\cdot 2^t} = Q_{2^t}(4Q_{2^t}^2 - 3)$.

For the proof of this lemma the readers are advised to refer to [8].

Lemma 2.2. ([20],Theorem 2,[5], Theorem 1). *The only solutions of Diophantine equation $P_n = y^m$ in positive integers n, y, m with $m \geq 2$ are $(n, y, m) = (1, 1, m)$, $(7, 13, 2)$.*

Lemma 2.3. ([17],Theorem 1). *The solutions of the Diophantine equation $P_mP_n = x^2$ with $1 \leq m < n$ are $(m, n) = (1, 7)$ or $n = 3m$, $3 \nmid m$, m is odd.*

Lemma 2.4. ([2], Theorem A). *If n, y, m are positive integers with $m \geq 2$, then the equation $Q_n = y^m$ has the only solution $(n, y) = (1, 1)$.*

Lemma 2.5. ([17], Theorem 3). *The solutions of the Diophantine equation $Q_mQ_n = x^2$ with $0 \leq m < n$ are $n = 3m$, $3 \nmid m$, m is odd.*

Lemma 2.6. *If m and n are positive integers and p is an odd prime then the Diophantine equation $P_n = 4p^m$ has only one integer solution $n = 4, p = 3, m = 1$.*

Proof. Suppose that $P_n = 4p^m$ where p is a prime and m and n are positive integers. Since $4|P_n$, $n = 4k$ for some k. Hence $P_n = P_{4k} = 2P_{2k}Q_{2k} = 4p^m$. Since $(P_{2k}, Q_{2k}) = 1$ and Q_n is odd for all $n \geq 0$, it follows that $P_{2k} = 2$ and $Q_{2k} = p^m$. □

Lemma 2.7. ([2], Lemma 2.1). *Let $(u_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a binary recurrence sequence. If there exists a prime p such that $p|u_n$ and $p \nmid \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i$, then p is called as primitive prime factor of u_n and is always congruent to ± 1 modulo n.*

Lemma 2.8. ([2], Lemma 2.1). *A Primitive prime factor of P_n exists if $n \geq 3$ and that of Q_n exists if $n \geq 2$.*

Lemma 2.9. ([18], Theorem 4) *There exist a prime factor p of P_n such that $p \equiv 1 (\mod\ 4)$ if $n \neq 0, 1, 2, 4, 14$.*

Lemma 2.10. ([22], Pell-Lucas numbers). *If the associated Pell number Q_n is a prime then n is either a prime or a power of 2.*

Lemma 2.11. ([10], Lemma 3). *If $n \neq 1, 2, 6$ then $\phi(n) \geq 2\sqrt{\frac{n}{3}}$.*

3. Repdigits in Euler functions of Pell numbers

We start the section by computing the least residues and periods of the Pell sequence $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ modulo 11, 20, 40 to use then subsequently

Table 1. Periods of P_n

k	$P_n (\mod\ k)$	Period
11	0, 1, 2, 5, 1, 7, 4, 4, 1, 6, 2, 10, 0, 10, 9, 6, 10, 4, 7, 7, 10, 5, 9, 1	24
20	0, 1, 2, 5, 12, 9, 10, 9, 8, 5, 18, 1	12
40	0, 1, 2, 5, 12, 29, 30, 9, 8, 25, 18, 21, 20, 21, 22, 25, 32, 9, 10, 29, 28, 5, 38,1	24

The following theorem which proves the nonexistence of repdigits with atleast two digits in the Euler function of Pell numbers is one of the main results of this paper.

Theorem 3.1. *The equation*

$$\phi(P_n) = d \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9} \tag{3.1}$$

has no solution in the positive integers n, m, d such that $m \geq 2$ and $d \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 9\}$.

Proof. For $n \leq 16$, it is easy to see that there is no Pell number P_n such that $\phi(P_n)$ is a repdigit with atleast two digits. Assume to the contrary that for some $n > 16$, $\phi(P_n)$ is a repdigit, that is

$$\phi(P_n) = d \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9}$$

for some $d \in \{1, 2, \ldots, 9\}$ and for some n. Let $v_2(n)$ be the exponent of 2 in the factorization of a positive integer n. Since $\frac{10^m-1}{9}$ is odd, it follows that

$$v_2(\phi(P_n)) = v_2(d) \leq 3. \tag{3.2}$$

By virtue of Lemma 2.8, there always exists a prime factor p_1 of P_n such that $p_1 \equiv 1 (\mod\ 4)$. Clearly, $p_1 - 1 | \phi(P_n)$ and $v_2(d) \geq 2$ which implies that either there exists another odd prime factor p_2 of P_n such that $p_2 \equiv 3 (\mod\ 4)$ or p_1 is the only odd prime factor of P_n.

Firstly, assume that P_n has two distinct prime factors p_1 and p_2 such that $p_1 \equiv 1 (\mod\ 4)$, $p_2 \equiv 3 (\mod\ 4)$. If n is odd then reducing the relation (2) in Lemma 2.1 modulo p_2, we get $Q_n^2 \equiv -1 (\mod\ p_2)$ which implies that -1 is a quadratic residue modulo p_2. But this is possible only when $p_2 \equiv 1 (\mod\ 4)$ which is a contradiction to $p_2 \equiv 3 (\mod\ 4)$. If n is even then P_n is even. Let $P_n = 2^a \cdot p_1^b \cdot p_2^c$. If $a > 1$, then $2(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) | \phi(P_n)$, which implies $v_2(\phi(P_n)) \geq 4$, which contradicts (3.2). Hence, $a = 1$ and consequently $P_n = 2 \cdot p_1^b \cdot p_2^c$. Let $n = 2n_1$. If n_1 is even, then $4|n$ and hence $4|P_n$ leading to $a \geq 2$. Hence, n_1 must be odd. Since $P_{2n_1} = 2P_{n_1}Q_{n_1}$ and $(P_{n_1}, Q_{n_1}) = 1$ from Lemma 2.1, it follows that $P_{n_1} = p_1^b$ and $Q_{n_1} = p_2^c$. Since $n_1 > 8$, it follows from Lemma 2.2 and 2.4 that $b = c = 1$ and consequently

$P_n = 2p_1p_2$ which implies that $v_2(d) \geq 3$. Hence, the only possible value of d is 8 and from Equation (3.1) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 8 \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9} &= \phi(P_n) = \phi(2p_1p_2) \\ &= (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \\ &= p_1p_2 + 1 - (p_1 + p_2) \\ &= \frac{P_n}{2} + 1 - (p_1 + p_2). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$p_1 + p_2 = \frac{P_n}{2} + 1 - 8 \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9}$$

and $p_1p_2 = \frac{P_n}{2}$. Thus, the quadratic equation with p_1 and p_2 as roots is :

$$x^2 - \left(\frac{P_n}{2} + 1 - 8 \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9}\right)x + \frac{P_n}{2} = 0$$

Since this equation has integer solutions, it's discriminant $\triangle$ must be a perfect square. But

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle &= \left(\frac{P_n}{2} + 1 - 8 \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9}\right)^2 - 4 \cdot \frac{P_n}{2} \\ &\equiv (6P_n + 1 - 8 \cdot 9^{-1}((-1)^m - 1))^2 - 2P_n \pmod{11}. \end{aligned}$$

If m is even, then

$$\triangle \equiv (6P_n + 1)^2 - 2P_n \equiv 36P_n^2 + 1 + 10P_n \equiv 3P_n^2 - P_n + 1 \pmod{11}.$$

In view of Table 1, the possible values of P_n such that $3P_n^2 - P_n + 1$ is a quadratic residue modulo 11 are $P_n \equiv 0, 4, 6, 9 \pmod{11}$.

(1) If $P_n \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$ then $n \equiv 0, 12 \pmod{24}$ and consequently $n_1 \equiv 0, 6 \pmod{12}$. Hence, $p_1 = P_{n_1} \equiv 0, 10 \pmod{20}$ which is not possible since p_1 is a prime.

(2) If $P_n \equiv 4 \pmod{11}$ then $n \equiv 6, 7, 17 \pmod{24}$. Since $n = 2n_1$, the only possibility for n_1 is $n_1 \equiv 3 \pmod{12}$. But this implies that $p_1 = P_{n_1} \equiv 5 \pmod{20}$ which implies that $P_{n_1} = 5$, which implies that $n_1 = 3$ and consequently $n = 6$ which is not possible since we have assumed that $n > 16$.

(3) If $P_n \equiv 6 \pmod{11}$ then $n \equiv 9, 15 \pmod{24}$. Since n is even, this is not possible.

(4) If $P_n \equiv 9 \pmod{11}$ then $n \equiv 14, 22 \pmod{24}$ and then $n_1 \equiv 7, 11 \pmod{12}$. Consequently, $p_1 = P_{n_1} \equiv 1, 9 \pmod{20}$ and $p_2 \equiv Q_{n_1} \equiv 19 \pmod{20}$. Thus,

$$\phi(P_n) = (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{20}.$$

Substitution in Equation (3.1) gives $18 \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{20}$, which is not possible.

If m is odd then

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle &\equiv (6P_n - 8 \cdot 9^{-1}((-1)^m) - 1)^2 - 2P_n \\ &\equiv (6P_n + 4)^2 - 2P_n \\ &\equiv 3P_n^2 + 2P_n + 5 \pmod{11} \end{aligned}$$

Modulo 11, the possible values of P_n such that $3P_n^2+2P_n+5$ is a quadratic residue, are 6 and 7. We have seen that Equation (3.1) has no solution when $P_n \equiv 6(\text{mod } 11)$. If $P_n \equiv 7(\text{mod } 11)$ then $n \equiv 5, 18(\text{mod } 24)$. Since $n = 2n_1$ is even, the only possible value of n is 18. Thus, $n_1 \equiv 9(\text{mod } 12)$. Consequently, $p_1 = P_n \equiv 5(\text{mod } 20)$ which implies that $P_{n_1} = 5$ and hence $n_1 = 3$. But, this is not possible since we have assumed that $n_1 > 8$.

Secondly, assume that there exist only one odd prime factor p_1 of P_n. If n is even (say $n = 2n_1$), then P_n is even and then $P_n = 2P_{n_1}Q_{n_1} = 2^a p_1^b$. Then, of course, $P_{n_1} = 2^{a-1}$ and $Q_{n_1} = p_1^b$. If $P_{n_1} = 2^{a-1}$, then in view of Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4 the possible values of n_1 are $n_1 = 1, 2$ and consequently $n = 2, 4$ which contradicts our assumption of $n > 16$. If n is odd, then $P_n = p_1^b$. Once again by virtue of Lemma 2.2 if $P_n = p_1^b$ and $b \geq 2$, then the only non-trivial possibility is $n = 7$ which contradicts our assumption that $n > 16$. Hence $b = 1$ and consequently $P_n = p$. Therefore $\phi(P_n) = p - 1 = P_n - 1$ which is a multiple of 4. Thus, $d \in \{4, 8\}$.

If $d = 4$, then

$$P_n = 4 \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9} + 1 = \frac{4 \cdot 10^m + 5}{9}$$

which is a multiple of 5. Hence, P_n is not a prime. If $d = 8$, then Equation (3.1) can be written as

$$9P_n - 1 = 8 \cdot 10^m = 2^{m+3}5^m. \tag{3.3}$$

Since $m \geq 1$, from Table 1 $9P_n - 1 \equiv 0(\text{mod } 40)$ and then $n \equiv 7, 17(\text{mod } 24)$. But the Pell sequence modulo 11 has period 24. If $n \equiv 7, 17(\text{mod } 24)$, then $P_n \equiv 4(\text{mod } 11)$. Reducing Equation (3.3) modulo 11, we get $35 \equiv 8 \cdot 10^m(\text{mod } 11)$ which finally results in $3 \equiv \pm 1(\text{mod } 11)$, which is not possible. Hence, $\phi(P_n)$ cannot be a repdigit consisting of atleast two digits for any natural number n. □

4. Repdigits in Euler functions of associated Pell numbers

In this section, we prove that if the Euler function of an associated Pell number Q_n is of the form $d(10^m - 1)/9$ for some $n, m \in N$ and $d \in \{1, 2, \cdots, 9\}$, then it satisfies some conditions which is discussed in several theorems. We need the least residues and periods of associated Pell sequence $\{Q_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ modulo 4, 5, 8, 20 to prove the main results of this section. We list them in the following table.

Table 2. Periods of Q_n

k	$Q_n(\text{mod } k)$	Period
4	1, 1, 3, 3	4
5	1, 1, 3, 2, 2, 1, 4, 4, 2, 3, 3, 4	12
8	1, 1, 3, 7	4
20	1, 1, 3, 7, 17, 1, 19, 19, 17, 13, 3, 19	12

For $n \leq 16$, it is easy to see 1, 3, 7 are the only numbers in the associated Pell sequence $\{Q_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ such that $\phi(Q_n)$ is a repdigit. If $\phi(Q_n)$ is a repdigit for some $n > 16$, then by Lemma 2.10, $\phi(Q_n) \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\sqrt{Q_n} \geq \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}\sqrt{Q_{17}} > 450390 > 10^5$ and thus $m > 5$. Further, since Q_n is odd

for all integers n, its canonical decomposition can be written as

$$Q_n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_r^{a_r}, \tag{4.1}$$

where $r \geq 0$, $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r$ are distinct odd primes and $a_i > 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. Then

$$\phi(Q_n) = p_1^{a_1-1} p_2^{a_2-1} \cdots p_r^{a_r-1}(p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) \cdots (p_r - 1). \tag{4.2}$$

If $\phi(Q_n) = d(10^m - 1)/9$ for some n then

$$v_2(\phi(Q_n)) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} v_2(p_i - 1) = v_2(d), \tag{4.3}$$

where $v_2(d) \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

The following theorem deals with the nonexistence of repdigits for $d \neq 4, 8$.

Theorem 4.1. *If $d \in \{1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 9\}$ then there is no positive integer solutions of the equation*

$$\phi(Q_n) = d \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9} \tag{4.4}$$

for any $n > 16$.

Proof. In view of Equation (4.3), if $v_2(d) = 0$, then $d \in \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9\}$ and consequently $\phi(Q_n)$ is odd, which is only possible for $n = 0, 1$. If $v_2(d) = 1$, then $d = 2, 6$ and in view of Equation (4.3), $r = 1$ and $p_1 = 3 (\text{mod } 4)$. In particular, $Q_n = p_1^{a_1}$ and an application of Lemma 2.4 gives $a_1 = 1$ and hence

$$\phi(Q_n) = Q_n - 1 = d \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9},$$

implies that

$$Q_n = d \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9} + 1.$$

If $d = 2$, then $Q_n = 3 (\text{mod } 20)$ and using Table 2, we get $n \equiv 2, 10 (\text{mod } 12)$. Now, it follows from Lemma 2.9 that n is either prime or a power of 2. When $n \equiv 2 (\text{mod } 12)$, we can write n as $n = 2(6k + 1)$ for some $k \geq 0$, which is a power of 2 only if $k = 0$. Hence $n = 2$ which contradicts our assumption that $n > 16$. If $n \equiv 10 (\text{mod } 12)$, then we can write n as $n = 2(6l+5)$ for some $l \geq 0$ which is not a power of 2 for any l. If $d = 6$, then $Q_n = 7 (\text{mod } 20)$ and from Table 2 it follows that $n \equiv 3 (\text{mod } 12)$. Thus, $n = 3(4k + 1)$ which is a prime only if $k = 0$. Hence the only possibility left is $n = 3$, which contradicts our assumption that $n > 16$. □

The following theorem gives a structure of prime factors of Q_n when $\phi(Q_n)$ is a repdigit consisting of 4's or 8's.

Theorem 4.2. *If n is even, $\phi(Q_n)$ is a repdigit for some $n > 16$, i.e, $\phi(Q_n) = d \cdot \frac{10^m-1}{9}$ where $d \in \{4, 8\}$, then all prime factors in the canonical decomposition of Q_n are congruent to 3 modulo 4.*

Proof. Since n is even, we can write n as $n = 2^t \cdot n_1$ where $t, n_1 \in \mathbb{N}$ and n_1 is odd. Assume to the contrary that there exists a prime $p \equiv 1 (\text{mod } 4)$ in the prime factorization of Q_n. It follows from Equation (4.3) that number of prime factors of Q_n is at most 2, that is $r \leq 2$. If $d = 4$ then $r = 1$ and $Q_n = p_1^{a_1}$. By virtue of Lemma 2.4, it follows that $Q_n = p_1$. Hence,

$$\phi(Q_n) = Q_n - 1 = 4 \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9} \equiv 4 (\text{mod } 10).$$

Thus,

$$Q_n \equiv 5 (\text{mod } 10),$$

which implies that $5|Q_n$ which is not true in view of Lemma 2.1. Reducing Identity (2) of Lemma 2.1, modulo p_1 where $p_1|Q_n$ and $p_1 \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4)$, we get $-2P_n^2 \equiv 1(\text{mod } p)$ which implies that $\left(\frac{-1}{p}\right) = 1$ and hence $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = 1$. Thus, $p \equiv 1(\text{mod } 8)$ and hence $d = 8$, $r = 1$ and $Q_n = p_1^{a_1}$ which implies that $Q_n = p_1$ by Lemma 2.4. Since $Q_{2^t}|Q_n$, there exist primitive prime factors of Q_{2^t} and Q_n which divides Q_n. Hence, $n = 2^t$, otherwise Q_n have more than one prime factors which contradicts $r = 1$. Now,

$$\phi(Q_{2^t}) = Q_{2^t} - 1 = 8 \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9} \equiv 3(\text{mod } 5)$$

implies that $Q_{2^t} \equiv 4(\text{mod } 5)$ which is not possible since $Q_{2^t} \equiv 2, 3(\text{mod } 5)$ (see Table 2). Hence there does not exist any prime factor p such that $p \equiv 1(\text{mod } 4)$.

□

The following theorem deals with the nonexistence of repdigits when n is even.

Theorem 4.3. *If $\phi(Q_n)$ is a repdigit consisting of 4's or 8's, then n is odd.*

Proof. Assume that Q_n has a canonical decomposition $Q_n = p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_r^{a_r}$ and $\phi(Q_n)$ is a repdigit consisting of 4's and 8's. We will show that n is odd. Assume to the contrary that n is even, say $n = 2^t \cdot n_1$, where $t \geq 1$ and n_1 is odd. In view of Theorem 4.2, if p_i is a prime factor of Q_n then $p_i \equiv 3(\text{mod } 4)$ and consequently $r = 2$ for $d = 4$ and $r = 3$ for $d = 8$, where r is the number of distinct prime factors of Q_n. Reducing Identity (2) of Lemma 2.1 modulo p_i, we get $\left(\frac{2}{p_i}\right) = -1$. Since p_i is a primitive factor of $Q_{2^t \cdot l}$ for some divisor l of n_1, by virtue of Lemma 2.7, it follows that $p_i \equiv -1(\text{ mod } 2^t \cdot l)$ and thus $p_i \equiv -1(\text{ mod } 2^t)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$.

If $d = 4$ then

$$\begin{aligned} d \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9} = \phi(Q_n) &= \phi(p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2}) \\ &= p_1^{a_1 - 1} p_2^{a_2 - 1}(p_1 - 1)(p_1 - 1) \\ &\equiv \pm 4(\text{mod } 2^t). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $d = 8$, then $d \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9} \equiv \pm 8(\text{mod } 2^t)$ which implies that $10^m \equiv 10, -8(\text{mod } 2^{max\{0, t-r\}})$ for $r \in \{2, 3\}$. If $10^m \equiv 10(\text{mod } 2^{max\{0,t-r\}})$ then $t \leq 3$ and if $10^m \equiv -8(\text{mod } 2^{max\{0,t-r\}})$ then $t \leq 5$. Hence, we conclude that $t \leq 5$. Since $Q_{2^t}|Q_n$, it follows that

$$Q_n = Q_{2^t} p_1^{a_1} \tag{4.5}$$

or

$$Q_n = Q_{2^t} p_2^{a_2} p_3^{a_3}. \tag{4.6}$$

We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: n_1 is not a multiple of 3.

If n_1 is not a multiple of 3 then $Q_{2^t \cdot n_1} \equiv Q_{2^t}(\text{mod } 5)$ for $t \leq 5$ and consequently Equation (4.4) reduces to $Q_{2^t} \equiv Q_{2^t} p_1^{a_1}(\text{mod } 5)$ and hence, $p_1^{a_1} \equiv 1(\text{mod } 5)$. If a_1 is odd then $p_1 \equiv 1(\text{mod } 5)$ and $5|p_1 - 1|\phi(Q_n) = d \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9}$, which is not possible since $d \in \{4, 8\}$. If

a_1 is even then $\frac{Q_n}{Q_{2^t}} = p_1^{a_1}$ which is impossible in view of Lemma 2.5. On the other hand, if Equation (4.6) holds then for $t \in \{2,3,4\}$, Q_{2^t} is a prime and $Q_{2^t} \equiv 1 (\text{mod } 16)$ and then $16|\phi(Q_{2^t})|\phi(Q_n) = 8 \cdot \frac{10^m-1}{9}$. This implies that $2|\frac{10^m-1}{9}$, which is a contradiction. Now if $t = 5$ then $Q_{2^5} = 257 \cdot 1409 \cdot 2448769$. Since all the prime factors of Q_{2^5} are congruent to 1 modulo 16, it follows that $16^3|\phi(Q_{2^t})|8 \cdot \frac{10^m-1}{9}$, which is not possible.

Finally, if $t = 1$ then $Q_{2^t \cdot n_1} \equiv 3 (\text{mod } 4)$. Reducing Equation (4.6) modulo 4, we get $3 \equiv 3^{a_2+a_3+1} (\text{mod } 4)$, and therefore $a_2 + a_3$ must be even. If a_2 is even, then a_3 is also even and then $\frac{Q_n}{3}$ is a perfect square, which is not possible in view of Lemma 2.5. Hence a_2 and a_3 are odd. Since n_1 is odd and $(n_1, 3) = 1$, it follows that $2n_1 \equiv 2 (\text{mod } 4)$ and $2n_1 \equiv 2, 4 (\text{mod } 6)$ implying that $2n_1 \equiv 2, 10 (\text{mod } 12)$. Since the period of $\{Q_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ modulo 8 is 12, it follows that $Q_{2n_1} \equiv 3 (\text{mod } 8)$ if $2n_1 \equiv 2, 10 (\text{mod } 12)$. Reducing Equation (4.6) modulo 8, we get $p_2^{a_2} p_3^{a_3} \equiv 1 (\text{mod } 8)$. Since a_2 and a_3 are odd, $p_2 p_3 \equiv 1 (\text{mod } 8)$, which, together with $p_2 \equiv p_3 \equiv 3 (\text{mod } 4)$ implies that $p_2 \equiv p_3 \equiv 3, 7 (\text{mod } 8)$ and then $\frac{p_2-1}{2} \equiv \frac{p_3-1}{2} \equiv \pm 1 (\text{mod } 4)$. Thus, $\frac{p_2-1}{2} \cdot \frac{p_3-1}{2} \equiv 1 (\text{mod } 4)$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(Q_n) = \phi(3p_2^{a_2}p_3^{a_3}) &= 2p_2^{a_2-1}p_3^{a_3-1}(p_2-1)(p_3-1) \\ &= 8 \cdot \frac{p_2-1}{2} \cdot \frac{p_3-1}{2} \cdot p_2^{a_2-1}p_3^{a_3-1} = 8 \cdot \frac{10^m-1}{9}\end{aligned}$$

implies that

$$\frac{p_2-1}{2} \cdot \frac{p_3-1}{2} \cdot p_2^{a_2-1}p_3^{a_3-1} = \frac{10^m-1}{9}. \tag{4.7}$$

Since

$$\frac{p_2-1}{2} \cdot \frac{p_3-1}{2} \cdot p_2^{a_2-1}p_3^{a_3-1} \equiv 1 (\text{mod } 4)$$

and $\frac{10^m-1}{9} \equiv 3 (\text{mod } 4)$, it follows from Equation (4.7) that $1 \equiv 3 (\text{mod } 4)$, which is a contradiction.

Case 2: n_1 is a multiple of 3.

If n is even and $3|n_1$, then n is of the form $n = 2^t \cdot 3^s \cdot n_2$ where $t, s \geq 1$ and n_2 is odd. If $n_2 > 1$, then by Lemma 2.8, Q_n is a multiple of the primitive factors of Q_{2^t}, $Q_{3\cdot 2^t}$, $Q_{2^t \cdot n_2}$ and $Q_{2^t \cdot 3n_2}$ which implies that $r \geq 4$, which is not true since $r \leq 3$. Hence $n_2 = 1$ and $n = 2^t \cdot 3^s$. If $s \geq 2$, then Q_n is divisible by its own primitive prime factor as well as primitive prime factors of Q_{2^t}, $Q_{3\cdot 2^t}$ and $Q_{3^2\cdot 2^t}$, again this is not true since $r \leq 3$. Hence n is either $n = 3^2 \cdot 2^t$ or $n = 3 \cdot 2^t$. If $n = 3 \cdot 2^t$, then $Q_{3\cdot 2^t} = Q_{2^t}(4Q_{2^t}^2 - 3)$. If $t \geq 2$ then $(Q_{2^t}, 4Q_{2^t}^2 - 3) = (Q_{2^t}, 3) = 1$ and if $t = 1$ then $(Q_{2^t}, 4Q_{2^t}^2 - 3) = 3$. Assume that $t \geq 2$. Then Q_{2^t} and $4Q_{2^t}^2 - 3$ are relatively prime and hence we can write

$$Q_{2^t} = p_3^{a_3}, \tag{4.8}$$

$$4Q_{2^t}^2 - 3 = p_4^{a_4}, \tag{4.9}$$

where both $p_3, p_4 \equiv 3 (\text{mod } 4)$ are primes and in view of Lemma 2.4, $a_3 = 1$ and $Q_{2^t} = p_3$. Reducing Equation (4.9) modulo 4, we get $3^{a_4} \equiv 1 (\text{mod } 4)$ which implies that a_4 is even and consequently $4Q_{2^t}^2 - 3$ is a perfect square, which is possible only when $Q_{2^t} = 1$ i.e. $t = 0$ which contradicts $t \geq 2$. Hence we are left with $t = 1$ and then $n = 6$, which contradicts our

assumption that $n > 16$.

If $n = 2^t \cdot 3^2$ then $Q_{2^t 3^2} = Q_{2^t}(4Q_{2^t}^2 - 3)(4Q_{2^t 3}^2 - 3)$. If $t \geq 2$, then the factors on the right hand side are relatively prime and can be written as

$$Q_{2^t} = p_5^{a_5}, \tag{4.10}$$

$$4Q_{2^t}^2 - 3 = p_6^{a_6}, \tag{4.11}$$

$$4Q_{2^t 3}^2 - 3 = p_7^{a_7}, \tag{4.12}$$

where $p_5, p_6, p_7 \equiv 3 (\text{mod } 4)$ are all primes. Since no terms of the associated Pell sequence is a perfect power by Lemma 2.4, it follows that $a_5 = 1$. Hence Equation (4.11) implies that a_6 is even. Therefore $4Q_{2^t}^2 - 3$ is a perfect square. As we have seen it has no solution for any $t \geq 2$. Hence, we are left with case $t = 1$, that is $n = 18$. One can easily check that $\phi(Q_{18})$ is not a repdigit. Hence n is odd. □

Theorem 4.4. *If $\phi(Q_n) = 4 \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9}$, then n is an odd prime such that $n^2 | 10^m - 1$.*

Proof. If $\phi(Q_n) = 4 \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9}$ then n is odd in view of Theorem 4.3 and if p is a prime factor of Q_n then by Theorem 4.2 $p \equiv 3 (\text{mod } 4)$, which implies that $r = 2$ and $p \equiv 3, 7 (\text{mod } 8)$. Reducing $Q_n^2 - 2P_n^2 = -1$ modulo p, we get $2P_n^2 \equiv 1 (\text{mod } p)$ which implies that $\left(\frac{2}{p}\right) = 1$ and hence $p \equiv 7 (\text{mod } 8)$ and $\frac{p-1}{2} \equiv 3 (\text{mod } 4)$. If Q_n has the prime factorization $Q_n = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2}$, then

$$\phi(Q_n) = 4 p_1^{a_1 - 1} p_2^{a_2 - 1} \cdot \frac{p_1 - 1}{2} \cdot \frac{p_2 - 1}{2} = 4 \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9}$$

implies that $3^{a_1 + a_2 - 2} \equiv 3 (\text{ mod } 4)$. But it holds only when $a_1 + a_2 - 2$ is odd and so that a_1 and a_2 are of opposite parity. If $n = pq$ then Q_n is divisible by the primitive prime factors of Q_p, Q_q and Q_n, which is not true since $r = 2$. Hence $n = p^2$ or p. If $n = p^2$ then $Q_{p^2} = Q_p \cdot \frac{Q_{p^2}}{Q_p}$ where both the factors are relatively prime and therefore we take $Q_p = p_1^{a_1}$ and $\frac{Q_{p^2}}{Q_p} = p_2^{a_2}$. Since one of a_1 and a_2 is even, either Q_p or $\frac{Q_{p^2}}{Q_p}$ is a square, which is not possible in view of Lemma 2.4, 2.5. If $n = p$, all prime factors of Q_p are primitive prime factors of Q_p and $p_1, p_2 \equiv 1 (\text{ mod } p)$, which implies that $p^2 | (p_1 - 1)(p_2 - 1) | \phi(Q_p) | 4 \cdot \frac{10^m - 1}{9}$ and hence $p^2 | 10^m - 1$. □

Remark: Using the proof of Theorem 3.1, one can also conclude thet the Euler function of non of the odd indexed balancing number B_n is a repdigit with atleast two digits, since $P_{2n} = 2B_n$(See [1, 19, 21]). One can also conclude that no Euler function of Lucas balancing number C_n is a repdigit since Lucas balancing numbers are nothing but even indexed associated Pell numbers(See [1, 21]) and $\phi(Q_{2n})$ cannot be a repdigit in view of Theorem 4.3. It would be interesting to find a bound of n for which repdigits in Euler functions of associated Pell numbers exist and explore more properties corresponding to odd prime n in Theorem 4.4. We leave these as open problems to the reader.

References

[1] A. Behera and G. K. Panda, *On the square roots of triangular numbers*, Fib. Quart., 37(2) (1999), 98 - 105.

[2] J. J. Bravo, P. Das, S. G. Snchez and S. Laishram, *Powers in products of terms of Pell's and PellLucas Sequences,* International J. Number Theory 11(04) (2015), 1259–1274.

[3] J. J. Bravo, B. Faye, F. Luca, A. Tall, *Repdigits as Euler functions of Lucas numbers,* An. St. Univ. Ovidius, Constanta, Vol.24(2) (2016), 105-126.

[4] R. D. Carmichael, *On the Numerical Factors of Arithmetic Forms* $\alpha^n - \beta^n$. Ann. of Math. 15 (1913-1914):30–70.

[5] J. H. E. Cohn, *Perfect Pell powers,* Glasgow Math. J. 38 (1996), 19-20.

[6] M. T. Damir, B. Faye, F. Luca and A. Tall, *Members of Lucas sequences whose Euler function is a power of 2*, Fib. Quart. (2014).

[7] B. Faye, F. Luca , *Pell and Pell-Lucas numbers with only one distinct digit*, Ann. Math. et Informaticae. 45 (2015), 55–60.

[8] T. Koshy, *Pell and PellLucas numbers with applications,* Springer,(2014).

[9] D.H. Lehmer, *On Euler totient function*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **38** (1932), 745–751.

[10] F. Luca. *Euler indicators of Lucas sequences*, Bull. Math. Soc. Math. Roumanic Tome 40(88) (1997), 3–4.

[11] F. Luca. *On the equation* $\phi(x^m - y^m) = x^n + y^n$, Bull. Irish Math. Soc.40 (1998) 46-55.

[12] F. Luca, *Arithmetic functions of Fibonacci numbers,* (1997-1998).

[13] F. Luca, On the equation $\phi(|x^m + y^m|) = |x^n + y^n|$, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 30 (1999), 183-197.

[14] F. Luca, *Euler indicators of binary recurrence sequences,* Collect. Math. 53, 2 (2002), 133-156.

[15] F. Luca and M. Mignotte, $\phi(F_{11}) = 88$, Divulgaciones Mat. 14 (2006), 101-106.

[16] F. Luca and P. Stanica, *Equations with arithmetic functions of Pell numbers,* Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie Tome 57(105) No. 4 (2014), 409-413.

[17] W. L. McDaniel and P. Ribenboim, *Square-classes in Lucas sequences having odd parameters,* J. Number Theory 73 (1998), 14-27.

[18] W. L. McDaniel, *On Fibonacci and Pell numbers of the form* kx^2, Fibonacci quarterly,(2000).

[19] G. K. Panda and P.K. Ray *Some links of balancing and cobalancing numbers with Pell and associated Pell numbers*, Bull. Inst. Math., Acad. Sinica (New Series), **6(1)** (2011), 41-72.

[20] A. Petho, *The Pell sequence contains only trivial perfect powers.* Sets, graphs and numbers (Budapest, 1991), 561-568, Colloq. Math. Soc. Janos Bolyai, 60, NorthHolland, Amsterdam, 1992. MR94e:11031.

[21] P. K. Ray, *Balancing and cobalancing numbers, Ph.D. Thesis*, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela, India, (2009).

[22] `https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Pell_number`.

Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela,orissa, India
E-mail address: manasi.sahukar@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Rourkela,orissa, India
E-mail address: gkpanda_nit@rediffmail.com