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#### Abstract

This paper provides a Liouville principle for integration in terms of exponential integrals and incomplete gamma functions.


## 1 Introduction

Indefinite integration means that given $f$ in some set we want to find $g$ from possibly larger set such that $f=g^{\prime}$. The first step is to delimit possible form of $g$. In case when both $f$ and $g$ are elementary the Liouville-Ostrowski theorem says that only new transcendentals that can appear in $g$ are logarithms. More precisely, when $f \in L$ where $L$ is a differential field with algebraically closed constant field and $f$ has integral elementary over $L$, then

$$
f=v_{0}^{\prime}+\sum c_{i} \frac{v_{i}^{\prime}}{v_{i}}
$$

where $v_{i} \in L$ and $c_{i} \in L$ are constants.
Liouville-Ostrowski theorem was a starting step for elementary integration (10], [11], 3], 15, [1). However, it is interesting to take larger class of integrands $g$ allowing special functions in the answer. Attempts to do this started quite early. Starting point for us were [14] which forms basis for early work on integration in terms of logarithmic integrals and error functions ([2], [5] and [6]). We extend previous results allowing incomplete gamma function

$$
\Gamma(a, x)=\int_{x}^{\infty} t^{a-1} \exp (-t) d t
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{erf}(x)=1-\pi^{-1 / 2} \Gamma\left(1 / 2, x^{2}\right)
$$

incomplete gamma function is more general than error function. Let us add that Liouville principle in [14] from one point of view is very general and handles large
class of special functions, however this class has small intersection with classical special functions. So for the purpose of integration in terms of classical special functions [14] has limited use.

In first part we develop structural theory of exponentials and logarithms in a differential field which is of independent interest. We give conditions which propagate well trough towers of extensions. As result we generalise Risch structure theorem to large class of differential fields. Based on this we prove Liouville type principle for integration in terms of exponential integral and gamma functions. This principle leads to necessary conditions which are satisfied by parts of integral. In fact, development of ideas from this paper lead to a complete integration algorithm for integration in terms of exponential integral and incomplete gamma functions. We announced first version of the integration algorithm at ISSAC 2015 [4] and we will present details of the algorithm in a separate paper. We hope that other classes of special functions can be handled in a similar way. Polylogarithms are of particular interest, however they raise tricky theoretical questions.

Our main interest is allowing larger class of integrals. However structural theory should be also helpful in handling larger classes of integrands. We should mention here [9] where elementary integration is extended to larger class of differential fields (in particular allowing non-Liouvillian special functions).

After writing first version of this paper we learned about closely related paper [7] by U. Leerawat and V. Laohakosol. They define class of extensions called Ei-Gamma extensions which generalised extensions from [14 by allowing directly exponential integrals and incomplete gamma functions with rational first argument. Our work also allows incomplete gamma functions with irrational first argument so is more general in this aspect. If we ignore incomplete gamma functions with irrational first argument, then Theorem 3.1 in [7] would be more general than our Theorem 13. Our paper deals only with exponential integrals and incomplete gamma functions which means that we can use trace in straightforward way, avoiding extra arguments in [14] and [7] needed to handle nonclassical special functions. As our work is intended as first step towards integration algorithm we need more structural information about integrals which we give in Theorems 18, 19 and 21.

## 2 Preliminaries

We assume standard machinery of differential fields (see for example [12]).
If $K$ is a differential field, $v, u \in K$ and $v^{\prime}=u^{\prime} / u$ we say that $u$ is exponential element and that $v$ is logarithmic element. The set of exponential elements in $K$ is an abelian group with respect to multiplication. The set of logarithmic elements in $K$ is an abelian group with respect to addition.

We say that a differential field $k$ is l-closed iff for every algebraic extension $E$ of $k$ if $c_{i} \in E$ are constants linearly independent over rational numbers, $u_{i} \in E, \sum c_{i} u_{i}^{\prime} / u_{i}=v^{\prime}$, then some powers of $u_{i}$ are in $k$ modulo multiplicative constants. Natural constructions of differential fields lead to l-closed fields, in
particular algebraic extension, extension by logarithm, extension by exponential and extension by nonelementary primitive preserve l-closed fields (for algebraic extension this is immediate consequence of definition, the other are proved in the sequel). However, it is easy to build artificial examples which are not l-closed, so we state this assumption explicitly.

We say that a differential field $k$ is log-explicit if equation $\sum c_{i} \frac{u_{i}^{\prime}}{u_{i}}=v^{\prime}$ with $u_{i}$ and $v$ in an algebraic extension $E$ of $k$ and $c_{i} \in E$ being constants linearly independent over rational numbers implies that $u_{i}$ are exponential elements in $E$.

Let $K$ be a field. We say that $f \in K(\theta)$ is a proper rational function iff degree of numerator of $f$ is smaller than degree of denominator.

The following lemma is well-known, so we give it without proof.
Lemma 1 Let $K$ be a field and $f \in K(\theta)$. We can write $f=w+p$ where $w \in K\left[\theta, \theta^{-1}\right]$ and $p$ is a proper rational function with denominator relatively prime to $\theta$. Such decomposition is unique.

The method of proof used in the next lemma is well-known, but we give proof for readers convenience.

Lemma 2 Let $K$ be a differential field, $\theta$ an exponential over $K$, that is $\theta^{\prime}=\eta^{\prime} \theta$ with $\eta \in K$ and $\theta$ is transcendental over $K$. Assume that

$$
f=\sum c_{i} \frac{v_{i}^{\prime}}{v_{i}}
$$

with $v_{i} \in K(\theta)$ and constant $c_{i}$. Then there exist constant $a$ and $\bar{v}_{i} \in K$ such that

$$
f=a \eta^{\prime}+\sum c_{i} \frac{\bar{v}^{\prime}}{\bar{v}}+p
$$

where $p$ is a proper rational function with denominator relatively prime to $\theta$.
Proof: Without loss of generality we may assume that all $v_{j}$ are polynomials (just consider separately contribution from numerator and denominator). Write $v_{i}=\bar{v}_{i} s_{i}$ where $s_{i}$ is monic. We have

$$
\frac{s_{i}^{\prime}}{s_{i}}=l_{i} \frac{\theta^{\prime}}{\theta}+\text { proper rational function }
$$

where $l_{i}$ is degree of $s_{i}$ and proper rational part has denominator relatively prime to $\theta$. Next

$$
\frac{v_{i}^{\prime}}{v_{i}}=\frac{\bar{v}^{\prime}}{\bar{v}}+\frac{s_{i}^{\prime}}{s_{i}}=\frac{\bar{v}^{\prime}}{\bar{v}}+l_{i} \frac{\theta^{\prime}}{\theta}+\text { proper rational function }
$$

so

$$
\sum c_{i} \frac{v_{i}^{\prime}}{v_{i}}=\sum c_{i} \frac{\bar{v}^{\prime}}{\bar{v}}+\sum c_{i} l_{i} \eta^{\prime}+\text { proper rational function }
$$

and we get the result with $a=\sum c_{i} l_{i}$.

In similar way as Lemma 2 we can prove the following:
Lemma 3 Let $K$ be a differential field, $\theta$ primitive over $K$, that is $\theta^{\prime} \in K$ and $\theta$ is transcendental over $K$. Assume that

$$
f=\sum c_{i} \frac{v_{i}^{\prime}}{v_{i}}
$$

with $v_{i} \in K(\theta)$ and constant $c_{i}$. Then there exist $\bar{v}_{i} \in K$ such that

$$
f=\sum c_{i} \frac{\bar{v}^{\prime}}{\bar{v}}+p
$$

where $p$ is a proper rational function.

## 3 Structure of fields

First we show that property of being l-closed or log-explicit is preserved by common extensions. Since the proofs are quite similar we will simultaneously state two versions, omitting text about log-explicit in parentheses one will get statements about l-closed fields. Replacing l-closed by log-explicit one will get second statement.

Lemma 4 Let $k$ be l-closed (or log-explicit) differential field of characteristic $0, L$ is an extension of $k$ with the same constants. Assume that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} u_{i}^{\prime} / u_{i}=v^{\prime}
$$

with $u_{i}, v$ algebraic over $L$, and $c_{i}$ being constants algebraic over $k$ and linearly independent over rational numbers implies that $u_{i}$ and $v$ are algebraic over $k$. Then $L$ is l-closed (respectively log-explicit). In particular the condition above is satisfied when $t$ is a nonelementary primitive over $k$, and $L=k(t)$.

Proof: The first part is clear. To prove claim about $k(t)$ let $E$ be algebraic over $k(t)$. Since $t$ is nonelementary any new constant in $E$ is algebraic over $k$. Without loss of generality we may assume that all constants in $E$ are in $k$ (we enlarge $k$ if needed). Assume $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} u_{i}^{\prime} / u_{i}=v^{\prime}$ with $u_{i}, v \in E$, and $c_{i}$ being constants linearly independent over rational numbers. By [12] Theorem 2 the $u_{i}$ are algebraic over $k$ and there is a constant $c$ such that $f=v-c t$ is algebraic over $k$. If $c=0$, then our assumption about $L=k(t)$ holds. If $c \neq 0$, then $t=(1 / c)(v-f)$ and $t^{\prime}=(1 / c)\left(-f^{\prime}+\sum c_{i} \frac{u_{i}^{\prime}}{u_{i}}\right)$, so $t$ is elementary, which contradicts assumption that $t$ is nonelementary.

Lemma 5 If $k$ is l-closed (or log-explicit) differential field of characteristic 0, $K$ is algebraic over $k(s, t), t^{\prime} / t=s^{\prime}$, transcendental degree of $K$ over $k$ is $1, K$ has the same constants as $k$, then $K$ is l-closed (respectively log-explicit).

Proof: Let $E$ be algebraic over $K$. Any new constant in $E$ is algebraic over $k$. Without loss of generality we may assume that all constants in $E$ are in $k$ (we enlarge $k$ if needed). Assume

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} u_{i}^{\prime} / u_{i}=v^{\prime}
$$

with $u_{i}, v \in E$ and $c_{i}$ being constants linearly independent over rational numbers. By [12] Theorem 1 forms $\omega_{1}=\frac{d t}{t}-d s$ and $d v-\sum c_{i} \frac{d u_{i}}{u_{i}}$ are linearly dependent over constants. Since at least one of $t$ and $s$ is transcendental over $k$, we have $\omega_{1} \neq 0$, so there is a constant $c$ such that

$$
d(v-c s)+c \frac{d t}{t}-\sum c_{i} \frac{d u_{i}}{u_{i}}=0 .
$$

If $c$ and $c_{i}$ are linearly independent over rationals, then by [12] Proposition 4 this implies that $v-c s, t$, and $u_{i}$ are algebraic over $k$. So

$$
-c t^{\prime} / t+\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} u_{i}^{\prime} / u_{i}=(v-c s)^{\prime}
$$

is equality with terms algebraic over $k$ and we can use our assumption about $k$. If $k$ is l-closed some power of $u_{i}$ is in $k$ modulo multiplicative constants, which is what we require. If $k$ is log-explicit than $u_{i}$ are exponential elements in $E$, which again is what we need. In case when $c$ are $c_{i}$ are linearly dependent over rationals, $c$ is a linear combination over rationals of $c_{i}$ so we can write $m c=\sum r_{i} c_{i}$ with $m, r_{i}$ being integers. Then

$$
m d(v-c s)-\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_{i} \frac{d w_{i}}{w_{i}}=0
$$

where $w_{i}=u_{i}^{m} / t^{r_{i}}$. By [12] Proposition 4 this means that $w_{i}$ and $v-c s$ are algebraic over $k$. Again, if $k$ is l-closed some powers of $w_{i}$ are in $k$ modulo multiplicative constants. But $u_{i}^{m}=w_{i} t^{r_{i}}$, so some powers of $u_{i}$ are in $k(t)$ modulo multiplicative constants. If $k$ is log-explicit, then $w_{i}$ are exponential elements in $E$. But $t$ is also exponential element in $E$ so $u_{i}^{m}$ are exponential elements in $E$. Hence $u_{i}$ are exponential elements in $E$.

In particular Lemma 5 handles extension by an exponential, by a logarithm or by Lambert $W$ function.
Lemma 6 If $k$ is l-closed differential field of characteristic $0, K$ is an algebraic extension of $k$ and $v$ is a logarithmic element in $K$, then there is a constant $c$ such that $v-c \in k$.

Proof: By definition, if $v$ is logarithmic element in $K$, then there exists $u \in K$ such that $u^{\prime} / u=v^{\prime}$. Since $k$ is l-closed there exist integer $n$ such that $u^{n}=c_{0} w$ for some $w \in k$ and a constant $c_{0}$. Then

$$
u^{\prime} / u=(1 / n) w^{\prime} / w \in k
$$

so $v^{\prime} \in k$. Taking trace from $K$ to $k$ we get

$$
m v^{\prime}=\operatorname{Tr}(v)^{\prime}
$$

when $m$ is degree of $K$ over $k$. Consequently putting $f=\operatorname{Tr}(v) / m$ we have $f \in k$ and $f^{\prime}=v^{\prime}$ so $v-f=c$ is a constant.

Lemma 7 If $K$ is extension of a differential field $k$ by algebraic constants, $u \in K-\{0\}$ is such that $u^{\prime} / u \in k$, then there is a constant $c \in K$ such that $u / c \in k$

Proof: Without loss of generality we may assume that $K$ is finitely generated over $k$. By induction we may assume that $K$ is generated over $k$ by a single algebraic constant $\alpha$, so that $K=k(\alpha)$. Then powers of $\alpha$ form a basis of $K$ over $k$ and we can write

$$
u=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{i} w_{i}
$$

where $n$ is degree of $\alpha$ over $k$ and $w_{i} \in k$. Next, let $v=u^{\prime} / u$. We have $u^{\prime}-v u=0$, so

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{i}\left(w_{i}^{\prime}-v w_{i}\right)=0
$$

Consequently for each $i$ we have $w_{i}^{\prime}-v w_{i}=0$. Since $u \neq 0$ there is $i$ such that $w=w_{i} \neq 0$. Then for each $i$ we have $\left(w_{i} / w\right)^{\prime}=0$, so $\beta_{i}=w_{i} / w$ are constants and we have

$$
u=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{i} \beta_{i} w=w \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{i} \beta_{i}=c w
$$

with $c=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha^{i} \beta_{i}$ being a constant.

We will need the following lemma, which is a specialised version of 12 Theorem 2:

Lemma 8 Let $E$ be a differential field of characteristic $0, F$ a differential extension of $E$ having the same constants with $F$ algebraic over $E(\eta)$ for some given $\eta \in F$. Assume that $E$ algebraically closed in $F$. Suppose that $u, v \in F$ are such that $\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}=v^{\prime}$. If $\eta^{\prime} \in k$, then $u \in E$ and there is constant $c$ such that $v-c \eta \in E$. If $\frac{\eta^{\prime}}{\eta} \in E$, then $v \in E$ and there are integers $n$ and $j$ such that $\frac{u^{n}}{\eta^{j}} \in E$.

Now we give examples where our properties are violated.
Example 9 Let $C=\mathbb{Q}(a, b)$ where $a$ and $b$ are transcendental constants. Let $E=C(x, v, w)$ where $x^{\prime}=1, v^{\prime}=1 /(x-a)$, $w^{\prime}=1 /(x-b)$. That is, $C$ is field of constants and we extend $C(x)$ by $\log (x-a)$ and $\log (x-b)$. Let $\sigma$ be an automorphism of $E$ such that $\sigma(a)=b, \sigma(b)=a, \sigma(x)=x, \sigma(v)=w$, $\sigma(w)=v$. It is easy to check that $\sigma$ is a differential automorphism and $\sigma^{2}$ is identity. Let $F=\{f \in E: \sigma(f)=f\}$. Then $E$ is algebraic of degree 2 over $F$. By Lemma $5 E$ is log-explicit and l-closed. By definition of log-explicit also $F$ is log-explicit. However, $F$ is not l-closed. Namely, $v$ is a logarithmic element in an algebraic extension of $F$. If $F$ were l-closed by Lemma 6 there would be constant $c$ such that $v+c \in F$. But $\sigma(v+C)=w+C \neq v+C$, so this would be a contradiction.

Example 10 This is variant of predator-prey example from [8]. Let $C=$ $\mathbb{Q}(a, b)$ where $a$ and $b$ are transcendental constants. Let $E=C(x, y)$. We define derivation on $K$ by formulas

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{\prime}=1 \\
y^{\prime}=\frac{-b y+x y}{a x-x y} .
\end{gathered}
$$

By routine calculation we check that

$$
(x+y)^{\prime}-b \frac{x^{\prime}}{x}-a \frac{y^{\prime}}{y}=0
$$

It is easy to check that differential forms $\omega_{1}=d x$ and

$$
\omega_{2}=d(x+y)-b \frac{d x}{x}-a \frac{d y}{y}
$$

are linearly independent over $K$, so by [12] Theorem 1 transcendental degree of $K$ over constants is at least 2. This means that constant field of $K$ is $C$. Now, suppose

$$
v_{0}^{\prime}+\sum c_{i} \frac{v_{i}^{\prime}}{v_{i}}=0
$$

with $v_{i}$ in an algebraic extension $L$ of $K . B y$ [12] Theorem 1 there are constants $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that

$$
d v_{0}+\sum c_{i} \frac{d v_{i}}{v_{i}}-\alpha \omega_{1}-\beta \omega_{2}=0
$$

in $\Omega_{L / C}$. If $\beta=0$ this means that $v_{0}-\alpha x$ and $v_{i}$ for $i>0$ are constants. Otherwise without loss of generality we may multiply $v_{0}$ and $c_{i}$ by $1 / \beta$ and in the following only consider $\beta=1$.

Consider linear space $V$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ spanned by $-b,-a$, and $c_{i}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $e_{1}=-b, e_{2}=-a$, and $e_{i}=c_{i}$ for $i>2$ form basis of $V$ (we renumber $c_{i}-s$ and prepend zeros if needed). Now we write $c_{1}$
and $c_{2}$ as rational linear combination of $e_{i}-s$. Let $m$ be common denominator of coefficients of linear combination. Then we can write $m c_{i}=\sum n_{i, j} e_{j}$ with integer $n_{i, j}$. Put $w_{1}=x, w_{2}=y, w_{i}=v_{i}$ for $i>2$. We get equation

$$
d\left(v_{0}-(x+y)-\alpha x\right)+\sum_{i} e_{i}\left(\frac{d w_{i}}{w_{i}}+\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{2} n_{j, i} \frac{d v_{j}}{v_{j}}\right)
$$

which implies

$$
m d\left(v_{0}-(x+y)-\alpha x\right)+\sum_{i} e_{i} \frac{d u_{i}}{u_{i}}=0
$$

where $u_{i}=w_{i}^{m} \prod_{j=1}^{2} v_{j}^{n_{j, i}}$. By [12] Proposition 4 this implies that $u_{i}$ are algebraic over $C$, hence are constant. Also, note that matrix $\left\{n_{i, j}\right\}$ with $i=1,2$, $j=1,2$ must be invertible over $\mathbb{Q}$ (otherwise $d x / x$ and $d y / y$ would be linearly dependent over constants). So modulo multiplicative constants some powers of $v_{i}, i=1,2$ are power products of $x$ and $y$. In other words modulo multiplicative constants some powers of $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are in $K$. Using expression for $u_{i}$ with $i>2$ and known fact about $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ in similar way we see that some powers of $v_{i}$ for $i>2$ are in $K$ modulo multiplicative constants. So $K$ is l-closed. In the same way we show that there are no nonconstant exponential elements in $L$. Namely, equality $v_{0}^{\prime}=v_{1}^{\prime} / v_{1}$ means $\beta=0$ (otherwise matrix $\left\{n_{i, j}\right\}$ above would be singular which leads to contradiction). Now [12] Proposition 4 this implies that $v_{1}$ is algebraic over constants, hence constant. So $K$ is not log-explicit.

In case of log-explicit fields we can strengthen Lemma 8 .
Lemma 11 Assume that $k$ is log-explicit differential field of characteristic 0, $K$ is algebraic over $k(s, t), t^{\prime} / t=s^{\prime}$, transcendental degree of $K$ over $k$ is $1, K$ has the same constants as $k$ and $k$ is algebraically closed in $K$. Suppose that $u, v \in K$ are such that $\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}=v^{\prime}$. Then there exist rational number $r$ such that $v-r f \in k$.
Proof: As in proof of Lemma[5] we consider differential forms $\frac{d t}{t}-d s$ and $\frac{d u}{u}-d v$ getting equality

$$
\frac{d u}{u}-c s \frac{d t}{t}-d(v-c s)
$$

with constant $c$. By [12] Proposition 4 we have $v-c s$ algebraic over $k$, hence in $k$. If $c$ is rational, then we are done. Otherwise, if $c$ is irrational by [12] Proposition 4 we see that $v-c s, u$ and $t$ are algebraic over $k$, hence in $k$. We write

$$
\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}-c \frac{t^{\prime}}{t}-(v-c s)^{\prime}=0
$$

Since $k$ is log-explicit $t$ is an exponential element in $k$. In other words, there is $\eta \in k$ such that $\eta^{\prime}=t^{\prime} / t$. Then $(\eta-s)^{\prime}=0$. Since $K$ have the same constants as $k$ we have $s \in k$. But this gives contradiction with assumption that $K$ has transcendental degree 1 over $k$.

Our results lead to generalisation of Risch structure theorem:
Lemma 12 Assume that $k$ is l-closed differential field of characteristic 0 . Let $W$ be a linear space over rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by derivatives of logarithmic elements in $K$. Assume that $k(\theta)$ is extension of $k$ with the same constants. If $f \in k, \theta^{\prime}=\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}$ then $\theta$ is transcendental over $k$ if and only if $\frac{f^{\prime}}{f} \notin W$. Similarly, if $f \in E, \theta^{\prime}=f^{\prime} \theta$, then $\theta$ is transcendental over $k$ if and only if $f^{\prime} \notin W$.

Proof: When $\theta^{\prime}=\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}$ and $\frac{f^{\prime}}{f} \in W$, then there exist logarithmic element $v \in k$ and integer $n$ such that $n \frac{f^{\prime}}{f}=v^{\prime}$. Then $(n \theta-v)^{\prime}=0$ so $(n \theta-v)$ is a constant, hence $c=(n \theta-v) i n k$, so $\theta=(c+v) / n \in k$. Similarly, when $\theta^{\prime}=f^{\prime} \theta$, and $f^{\prime} \in W$, then there exist logarithmic element $v \in k$ with corresponding exponential element $u$ and integer $n$ such that $n f^{\prime}=v$. Then

$$
\left(\frac{\theta^{n}}{u}\right)^{\prime}=0
$$

so it is a constant, hence in $k$. Consequently $\theta^{n}=c u$, so $\theta$ is algebraic over $K$. This proves implication in one direction.

It remains to prove that when $\theta$ is algebraic over $k$, then $\frac{f^{\prime}}{f} \in W$ (respectively $f^{\prime} \in W$ ). When $\theta^{\prime}=\frac{f^{\prime}}{f}$ write $u=f$ and $v=\theta$. When $\theta^{\prime}=f^{\prime} \theta$ write $u=\theta$ and $v=f$. Then $v$ is logarithmic element in $k(\theta)$ and $u$ is corresponding exponential element. Since $k(\theta)$ is algebraic extension of $k$ and $k$ is l-closed some power $u^{m}$ of $u$ is in $k$ modulo multiplicative constants. But $k(\theta)$ and $k$ have the same constants, so in fact $u^{m} \in k$. Then $m v$ is a logarithmic element in $k$ so $v \in W$.

Remark. Of course utility of Lemma 12 depends on our ability to compute space $W$. For towers it is natural to use inductive procedure. If in given step we get no new exponential elements (for example when we add a nonelementary primitive), then the space $W$ remains unchanged. Similarly, in case of algebraic extension space $W$ remains unchanged. Lemma 11 covers case of extensions by exponential, by logarithm and by Lambert $W$ function.

## 4 Structure of integrals

We say that a differential field $E$ is a gamma extension of $F$ if there exists $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n} \in E$ such that $E=F\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right)$ and for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, one of the following holds

1. $\theta_{i}$ is algebraic over $F_{i}$
2. $\frac{\theta_{i}^{\prime}}{\theta_{i}}=u^{\prime}$ for some $u \in F_{i}$
3. $\theta_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{u^{\prime}}{u}$ for some $u \in F_{i}$
4. $\theta_{i}^{\prime}=\frac{u^{\prime}}{v}$ for some $u, v \in F_{i}$ such that $u^{\prime}=v^{\prime} u$
5. there are $w, u, v \in F_{i}$ and integers $k, m$ such that $\theta_{i}^{\prime}=w u^{\prime}, u^{\prime}=v^{\prime} u$, $w^{k}=v^{m},-k<m<0$.
6. there are $w, u, v \in F_{i}$ and an irrational constant $a$ such that $\theta_{i}^{\prime}=w^{\prime} u$, $u^{\prime}=\left((a-1) v^{\prime}-w^{\prime}\right) u, v^{\prime}=w^{\prime} / w$.
where $F_{i}=F\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{i-i}\right)$. Intuitively clauses 2 to 6 above mean $\theta_{i}=\exp (u)$, $\theta_{i}=\log (u), \theta_{i}=\operatorname{Ei}(v), \theta_{i}=\Gamma((k+m) / k,-v)$ or $\theta_{i}=\Gamma(a, v)$ respectively. However, definitions above are a bit more general. Namely, let $u=x$ and $\theta=c \exp (x)$ where $c \neq 0$ is a constant. We have $\frac{\theta^{\prime}}{\theta}=u^{\prime}$, so condition 2 above is satisfied. In other words, differential conditions define exponential up to multiplication by constant. Similarly, logarithm is defined only modulo additive constant.

If the point 6 above is satisfied with $F_{i}$ replaced by $F$ abusing notation we say that $w^{\prime} u$ is an irrational gamma term over $F$ (strictly speaking we should consider tuple $(a, w, v, u))$.

If in the definition of gamma extension we allow only cases 1 to 5 , then we call the resulting extension rational gamma extension.

Theorem 13 Let $E$ be a log-explicit differential field of characteristic 0 . If $f$ has integral in a gamma extension of $E$, then there is algebraic extension $\bar{E}$ of $E$ such that we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=v_{0}^{\prime}+\sum_{i \in I_{1}} c_{i} \frac{v_{i}^{\prime}}{v_{i}}+\sum_{i \in I_{2}} c_{i} v_{i}^{\prime} \frac{u_{i}}{v_{i}}+\sum_{i \in I_{3}} c_{i} v_{i}^{\prime} w_{i} u_{i}+\sum_{i \in I_{4}} c_{i} w_{i}^{\prime} u_{i} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{i} \in \bar{E}$ are constants, $v_{0}, v_{i}, u_{i}, w_{i} \in \bar{E}, u_{i}^{\prime}=v_{i}^{\prime} u_{i}$, for $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{3}$, $u_{i}^{\prime}=\left(\left(a_{i}-1\right) v_{i}^{\prime}-w_{i}^{\prime}\right) u_{i}$ for $i \in I_{4}, a_{i} \in \bar{E}$ are irrational constants, $v_{i}^{\prime}=w_{i}^{\prime} / w_{i}$ for $i \in I_{4}, k_{i}$ are positive integers, $w_{i}^{k_{i}}=v_{i}^{m_{i}}$ for $i \in I_{3}$, with $m_{i}$ being integers such that $-k_{i}<m_{i}<0$ and $k_{i}$ and $m_{i}$ are relatively prime.

If $f$ has integral in a rational gamma extension, then the sum over $I_{4}$ does not appear in the result. Also in such case we can drop assumption that $E$ is log-explicit.

To prove Theorem 13 we use methods of Theorem 1.1 from [14. By assumption equality 1 holds, but with $v_{i}, u_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ in some gamma extension of $E$. Gamma extension is a tower with each step either adding algebraic element, or a logarithm or an exponential or a nonelementary primitive. Proceeding inductively it is enough to prove that if $f \in E$ and $v_{i}, u_{i}, w_{i}$ are in $F$ which is algebraic over $E(\eta)$, then we can find $v_{i}, u_{i}, w_{i}$ in $E$. Before actual proof we need several lemmas.

Lemma 14 Let $E$ be a differential field of characteristic 0 . Assume $F$ is algebraic over $E(\eta), \eta$ is an exponential over $E, E$ is algebraically closed in $F$ and $F$ has the same constants as $E$. If $w^{\prime} u$ is an irrational gamma term in $F$, then $w \in E, v \in E$ and there are integers $n$ and $j$ such that $\frac{u^{n}}{\eta^{j}} \in E$.

Proof: Applying Lemma 8 to $u$ and $(a-1) v-w$ we get $\frac{u^{n}}{\eta^{j}} \in E$ for appropriate $n$ and $j$ and $(a-1) v-w \in E$. Similarly, applying Lemma 8 to $w$ and $v$ we get $v \in E$. Consequently also $w \in E$.

Lemma 15 Let $E$ be a differential field of characteristic $0, F$ be a differential field algebraic over $E(\eta), \eta^{\prime} / \eta=v^{\prime}$ with $v \in E$. Suppose that $F$ has the same constants as $E$ and equation 11 holds with $f \in E, v_{i}, u_{i}, w_{i} \in F$, then we can find new $v_{i}, u_{i}, w_{i}$ algebraic over $E$ and new constant $c_{i}$ such that 1 holds.

Proof: Replacing $E$ by its algebraic closure in $F$ we may assume that $E$ is algebraically closed in $F$. For $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{3}$ we have $\frac{u_{i}^{\prime}}{u_{i}}=v_{i}^{\prime}$, so by Lemma 8 we have $v_{i} \in E$ and there are integers $n_{i}, j_{i}$ such that $u_{i}^{n_{i}} / \eta^{j_{i}} \in E$. For $i \in I_{4}$ we have $u_{i}^{n_{i}} / \eta^{j_{i}} \in E$ by Lemma 14. Without loss of generality we may assume that $n_{i}=1$. Namely, let $n$ be the least common multiple of $n_{i}$. We extend $F$ by adding element $\bar{\eta}$ such that $\bar{\eta}^{n}=\eta$. Then, $\bar{\eta}^{\prime} / \bar{\eta}=\eta^{\prime} /(n \eta)=v^{\prime} / n$, so if we replace $F$ by $F(\bar{\eta})$ and $\eta$ by $\bar{\eta}$ we still have fields of required form. After that $u_{i} / \bar{\eta}^{j_{i} n / n_{i}}$ is algebraic over $E$, so in $E$. We shall keep old notation but from now on we will assume that $n_{i}=1$, so in particular $u_{i} \in E(\eta)$.

Note that for $i \in I_{3}$ corresponding $w_{i}$ are algebraic over $E$ extended by $v_{i}$, so also $w_{i}$ are algebraic over $E$, hence in $E$. For $i \in I_{4}$ by Lemma $14 w_{i} \in E$. Together with previous remarks it means that terms of sum over $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{3} \cup I_{4}$ are all in $E(\eta)$. Consequently, by taking trace of both sides of equation 1 we may assume that $v_{0}$ and $v_{i}$ for $i \in I_{1}$ are in $E(\eta)$, so all terms are in $E(\eta)$. Now we use expansion into partial fractions. For $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{3} \cup I_{4}$ corresponding terms are in $E\left[\eta, \eta^{-1}\right]$. Terms of sum over $I_{1}$ are logarithmic derivatives, so have only simple poles. All finite poles of $v_{0}^{\prime}$ are multiple, so except possibly for pole at $\eta=0$ can not cancel with other terms. Consequently $v_{0}$ has no finite poles except possibly at $\eta=0$, so $v_{0} \in E\left[\eta, \eta^{-1}\right]$. By Lemma 2 sum over $I_{1}$ is equal to sum with terms in $E$ plus proper rational function with denominator relatively prime to $\theta$. By Lemma 1 decomposition of $f$ into proper rational function with denominator relatively prime to $\theta$ and element of $E\left[\eta, \eta^{-1}\right]$ is unique, so proper rational part must be 0 . Now, we have equality with all terms in $E\left[\eta, \eta^{-1}\right]$, so coefficients of powers of $\eta$ must be equal. Taking coefficient of power 0 we see that equation 1 is satisfied with all $v_{i}, u_{i}, w_{i} \in E$.

Lemma 16 Let $E$ be a differential field of characteristic 0 . Assume $F$ is algebraic over $E(\eta), \eta$ is logarithmic or nonelementary primitive over $E, E$ is algebraically closed in $F, F$ and $E$ have the same constants and $E$ is log-explicit. If
$w^{\prime} u$ is an irrational gamma term in $F$, then $w^{\prime} u$ is an irrational gamma term in $E$.

Proof: $u$ and $w$ are exponential elements in $F$. Since $\eta$ is a primitive over $E$, by Lemma 8 they are in $E . v$ and $(a-1) v-w$ are logarithmic elements in $F$. Since $E$ is log-explicit by Lemma 11 we have $v=r \eta+\tilde{v}$ and $(a-1) v-w=q \eta+g$ with rational $r, q$ and $\tilde{v}, g$ in $E$. Since $\eta$ is transcendental over $F$, this means that $(a-1) r=q$. But $a$ is irrational, so the last equality is possible only if $q=r=0$. Hence $v \in E$ and $(a-1) v-w \in E$. So indeed all parts of the term $w^{\prime} u$ are in $E$.

Lemma 17 Let $E$ be a log-explicit differential field of characteristic 0, $F$ be a differential field algebraic over $E(\eta), \eta^{\prime}=u^{\prime} / u$ with $u \in E$. Suppose that $F$ has the same constants as $E$ and equation 1 holds with $f \in E, v_{i}, u_{i}, w_{i} \in F$, then we can find new $v_{i}, u_{i}, w_{i}$ algebraic over $E$ and new constant $c_{i}$ such that 1 holds.

Proof: Again, we may assume that $E$ is algebraically closed in $F$. Since $E$ is log-explicit by Lemma 16 terms of sum over $I_{4}$ are in $E$, so we may disregard them below. By Lemma 8 for $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{3}$ we have $u_{i} \in E, v_{i}=d_{i} \eta+\bar{v}_{i}$ with constant $d_{i}$ and $\bar{v}_{i} \in E$. In particular $v_{i} \in E(\eta)$ for $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{3}$. $w_{i}$ are roots of $v_{i}$, so taking trace from $F$ to $E(\eta)$ we get $\operatorname{Tr}\left(w_{i}\right)=0$ if $w_{i} \notin E(\eta)$ and $\operatorname{Tr}\left(w_{i}\right)=M w_{i}$ where $M=[F: E(\eta)]$ if $w_{i} \in E(\eta)$. So taking trace of both sides of equation 1 and dividing by $M$ we get equality with all terms in $E(\eta)$. Now, $w_{i}$ are in $E(\eta)$ and $v_{i}$ are in $E[\eta]$ of degree at most 1 . Since $k_{i} \geq 2$ and $m_{i}$ is relatively prime to $k_{i}$ equality $w_{i}^{k_{i}}=v_{i}^{m_{i}}$ is only possible when $w_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ are in $E$. Consequently for $i \in I_{3}$ we have $u_{i}, v_{i}, w_{i}$ in E . If $i \in I_{2}$ if $v_{i} \notin E$, then the corresponding term is a proper rational function. Similarly, for $i \in I_{1}$ by Lemma 3 we have

$$
\frac{v_{i}^{\prime}}{v_{i}}=\frac{\bar{v}_{i}^{\prime}}{\bar{v}_{i}}+\text { proper rational function }
$$

so we can rewrite sum over $I_{1}$ as sum with terms in $E$ plus proper rational function. Writing $v_{0}$ as sum of polynomial and proper rational function we see that right hand side of equation 1 can be rewritten as sum with $v_{0}$ being a polynomial and other terms in $E$ plus a proper rational function. Since decomposition of rational function into polynomial and proper rational function is unique, this means that proper rational function above in fact equals 0 . So now we have $v_{0} \in E[\eta]$ and all other terms in $E$. Standard argument from proof of Liouville theorem shows that $v_{0}$ must be a polynomial of degree at most 1 . Term of degree one in $v_{0}$ has form $a \eta$ with $a$ being a constant, and

$$
a \eta^{\prime}=a \frac{u^{\prime}}{u}
$$

so derivative of this term can be added to sum over $I_{1}$. Consequently, we have all terms in $E$.

Proof:(Of theorem 13). First, note that if 1 holds in a gamma extension $F$, than it holds in a gamma extension having constants algebraic over constants of $E$. Namely, $F=E\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right)$ where each $\theta_{i}$ satisfies differential equation over $E\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{i-1}\right)$ (note that algebraic equation is treated as differential equation of order 0 ). In other words, $F=K\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right)$ is a gamma extension of $E$ if and only if $\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}$ satisfy appropriate system of differential equations. $f=\gamma^{\prime}$ is also a differential equation. Like in Lemma 2.1 [14] clearing denominators we convert system of differential equations to a differential ideal $I$ plus an inequality $g \neq 0$ (which is responsible for non-vanishing of denominators) and use result of Kolchin which says that differential ideal $I$ which has zero in some extension satisfying $g \neq 0$ has zero in extension having constants algebraic over constants of $E$ and satisfying $g \neq 0$. Solution clearly gives us gamma extension with constants algebraic over constants of $E$ such that $f=\gamma^{\prime}$ has solution. Next we inductively prove that for each $i$ equation 1 holds with terms in a field algebraic over $E_{i}=E\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{i}\right)$. For $i=n$ this is our assumption. Before inductive step let us remark that each $E_{i}$ is log-explicit: this follows by an easy induction using Lemma 4 and Lemma5. To pass from $i$ to $i-1$ note that if $\theta_{i}$ is algebraic than the claim is trivial. When $\theta_{i}$ is an exponential or a logarithm we may use Lemma 1517 respectively). If $\theta_{i}$ is a Ei term or gamma term, we argue like in case of logarithm, but in the last step we get extra term in sum over $I_{2}$ (or $I_{3}$ or $I_{4}$ ). So by induction principle equation 1 holds with all terms algebraic over E.

Note that assumption that $E$ is log-explicit was used only in the proof of Lemma 17 to handle irrational gamma terms: if such terms are absent, then we can drop assumption that $E$ is log-explicit. Also, if irrational gamma terms are not present in original integral, then they will not appear during the proof. This proves the clam when $f$ has integral in rational gamma extension.

Theorem 18 Let $K, E$ be differential fields of characteristic 0 such that $K$ is lclosed and log-explicit, has a constant field $C$ and is algebraically closed in $E, E$ is algebraic over $K(\theta)$ and $\theta$ is an exponential, a logarithm or a nonelementary primitive monomial. If $\theta$ is an exponential additionally assume that for any algebraic extension $L$ of $K$ exponential elements in $L$ together with $\theta$ generate group of exponential elements in LE. If $f$ has integral in a gamma extension of $E$, then there is algebraic extension $\bar{K}$ of $K$ and an algebraic extension $\bar{C}$ of constants $C$ such that in Theorem 13 we can require that $a_{i}, c_{i} \in \bar{C}, v_{0}, v_{i} \in \bar{C} E$ for $i \in I_{1}, v_{i} \in K(\theta)+\bar{C}$ for $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{3}, v_{i}, w_{i} \in K+\bar{C}$ for $i \in I_{4}, u_{i} \in K(\theta)$ for $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{4}, u_{i} \in \bar{K}(\theta), w_{i} \in \bar{K} E, w_{i} u_{i} \in \bar{C} E$ for $i \in I_{3}$. If $\theta$ is an exponential or a nonelementary primitive, then we can take $v_{i} \in K+\bar{C}, i \in I_{2} \cup I_{3}, w_{i} \in \bar{K}$, $w_{i} u_{i} \in \bar{C} K(\theta)$ for $i \in I_{3}$. If $\theta$ is a nonelementary primitive, then we can take
$u_{i} \in K$ for $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{4}, u_{i} \in \bar{K}, u_{i} w_{i} \in \bar{C} K$ for $i \in I_{3}$. If $\theta$ is a logarithm we can take $u_{i} \in K$ for $i \in I_{4}$.

If $f$ has integral in a rational gamma extension of $E$, then sum over $I_{4}$ does not appear in the result and we can drop assumption that $E$ is log-explicit.

Proof: The proof uses method from proof of Theorem 4.1 in [14. By Theorem 13 equation 1 holds with $c_{i}, v_{i}, u_{i}, w_{i}$ algebraic over $E$. Let $\bar{C}$ be field of constants of algebraic extension of $E$ generated by $c_{i}, v_{i}, u_{i}, w_{i}$. By assumption $K(\theta)$ has the same constants as $K$, so $\bar{C}$ is algebraic over $C$.

Note that by Lemmas 4 and $5(\theta)$ is l-closed. For $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{3} \cup I_{4}$ correspond$\operatorname{ing} v_{i}$ are logarithmic elements in algebraic extension of $K(\theta)$ so by Lemma 6 we have $v_{i} \in K(\theta)+\bar{C}$. Similarly if $i \in I_{4}$ then $(a-1) v_{i}-w_{i} \in K(\theta)+\bar{C}$ so also $w_{i} \in K(\theta)+\bar{C}$. For $i \in I_{4}$ by Lemma 14 and 16 we have $v_{i}, w_{i}$ algebraic over $K$. Consequently since $v_{i}, w_{i} \in K(\theta)+\bar{C}$ we have $v_{i}, w_{i} \in K+\bar{C}$ for $i \in I_{4}$. Also for $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{3} \cup I_{4}$ for each $u_{i}$ some power is in $K(\theta)$ modulo multiplicative constants. If needed changing constants $c_{i}$ in equation 1 we may assume that some power of $u_{i}$ is in $K(\theta)$, so $u_{i}$ are radicals over $K(\theta)$. By definition $w_{i}$ for $i \in I_{3}$ are radicals over $K(\theta)+\bar{C}$. Note that all terms of sums over $I_{2} \cup I_{2} \cup I_{4}$ in equation 1 are in $\bar{C} K\left(\theta,\left\{u_{i}\right\},\left\{w_{i}\right\}\right)$, so taking trace we may assume that all terms are in $E_{2}=\bar{C} E\left(\theta,\left\{u_{i}\right\},\left\{w_{i}\right\}\right)$. Next, $E_{2}$ is an abelian extension of $\bar{C} E$, so Galois group will act on $u_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ multiplying them by roots of unity. Consequently after taking trace from $E_{2}$ to $\bar{C} E$ terms of the sum over $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{4}$ will vanish for $i$ such that $u_{i} \notin \bar{C} E$. Similarly, terms of the sum over $i \in I_{3}$ will vanish for $i$ such that $w_{i} u_{i} \notin \bar{C} E$. So we have $v_{0} \in \bar{C} E$ and $v_{i} \in \bar{C} E$ for $i \in I_{1}$, $u_{i} \in \bar{C} E$ for $i \in I_{2}, w_{i} u_{i} \in \bar{C} E$ for $i \in I_{3}$. If $\theta$ is not an exponential, then by Lemma 8, $u_{i}$ are algebraic over $K$, so taking $\bar{K}=\bar{C} K\left(\left\{u_{i}\right\}\right)$ where $i \in I_{3}$ by definition we have $u_{i} \in \bar{K} E$ and since $w_{i}=\left(w_{i} u_{i}\right) / u_{i}$ and $w_{i} u_{i} \in \bar{C} E$ we have $w_{i} \in \bar{K} E$. If $\theta$ is an exponential, than $v_{i}$ for $i \in I_{3}$ are algebraic over $K$, so also $w_{i}$ are algebraic over $K$ and taking $\bar{K}=\bar{C} K\left(\left\{w_{i}\right\}\right)$ where $i \in I_{3}$ by definition we have $w_{i} \in \bar{K} E$ and since $u_{i}=\left(w_{i} u_{i}\right) / w_{i}$ and $w_{i} u_{i} \in \bar{C} E$ we have $u_{i} \in \bar{K} E$.

Since $K(\theta)$ is l-closed $u_{i}^{\prime} / u_{i} \in K(\theta)$ for $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{4}$. By Lemma 7 this means that $u_{i}$ are in $E$ modulo multiplicative constants. So if needed changing $c_{i}$-S in equation 1 we may take $u_{i} \in E$ for $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{4}$. If $\theta$ is not an exponential this means that $u_{i}$ are algebraic over $K$, so since $K$ is algebraically closed in $E$, we have $u_{i} \in K$. If $\theta$ is an exponential, then by assumption any exponential element in $E$ is in $K(\theta)$. So, in both cases we have $u_{i} \in K(\theta)$ for $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{4}$.

If $\theta$ is an exponential, then Lemma 8 implies that $v_{i}$ for $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{3}$ are algebraic over $K$, so $v_{i} \in K+\bar{C}$. Similarly, if $\theta$ is a nonelementary primitive, than proof of Lemma 4 implies that $v_{i}$ for $i \in I_{2} \cup I_{3}$ are algebraic over $K$, so again $v_{i} \in K+\bar{C}$. Consequently in both cases $w_{i}$ for $i \in I_{3}$ are algebraic over $K$ and we added them to $\bar{K}$.

If $\theta$ is an exponential, then by assumption $u_{i}$ for $i \in I_{3}$ being exponential elements in $\bar{K} E$ are in $\bar{K}(\theta)$. If $\theta$ is not an exponential, then $u_{i}$ for $i \in I_{3}$ are algebraic over $K$ and we added them to $\bar{K} \subset \bar{K}(\theta)$. So in both cases $u_{i}$ for $i \in I_{3}$ are in $\bar{K}(\theta)$.

If $\theta$ is an exponential or nonelementary primitive, then $w_{i}$ are in $\bar{K}$ and
$u_{i} \in \bar{K}(\theta)$ for $i \in I_{3}$. But we also showed that $w_{i} u_{i} \in \bar{C} E$. Consequently $w_{i} u_{i} \in$ $\bar{C} E \cap \bar{K}(\theta)=\bar{C} K(\theta)$ (the last equality follows because $\bar{C} K$ is algebraically closed in $\bar{C} E)$.

If $\theta$ is a nonelementary primitive, then we already showed that $u_{i}$ and $w_{i}$ for $i \in I_{3}$ are in $\bar{K}$, so also $w_{i} u_{i} \in \bar{K}$ and $w_{i} u_{i} \in \bar{K} \cap \bar{C} E=\bar{C} K$.

Note that when $f$ has integral in a rational gamma extension of $E$, Theorem 13 says that sum over $I_{4}$ does not appear in the result. Our proof does not introduce new terms, so this remains valid. Similarly, assumption that $E$ is log-explicit is only needed to use Theorem 13, so if $f$ has integral in a rational gamma extension of $E$, then we can drop it.

Theorem 19 Let $K, E$ be differential fields of characteristic 0 such that $K$ is $l$-closed and log-explicit and is algebraically closed in $E, E$ is algebraic over $K(\theta)$ and $\theta$ is an exponential. Assume that for any algebraic extension $L$ of $K$ exponential elements in $L$ together with $\theta$ generate group of exponential elements in LE. If $f$ has integral in a gamma extension of $E$, then there exists $f_{1} \in E$ and $f_{2} \in K\left[\theta, \theta^{-1}\right]$ such that $f=f_{1}+f_{2}, f_{1}$ has integral elementary over $E$, $f_{2}$ has integral in a gamma extension of $K(\theta)$. Moreover, there is effective procedure to find $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$.

If $f$ has integral in a rational gamma extension of $E$, then $f_{2}$ has integral in a rational gamma extension of $K(\theta)$ and we can drop assumption that $E$ is log-explicit.

Proof: By Theorem 18 there exists algebraic extension $\bar{K}$ of $K$ such that equation 1 holds with $v_{i} \in \bar{K}, u_{i} \in \bar{K}(\theta)$ for $I_{2} \cup I_{3} \cup I_{4}, w_{i} \in \bar{K}$. Since every exponential element $u$ in $\bar{K} E$ is of form $\bar{u} \theta^{j}$ with integer $j$ and $\bar{u} \in \bar{K}$ we see that $u_{j}$ in fact are in $\bar{K}\left[\theta, \theta^{-1}\right]$. Consequently sum over $I_{2} \cup I_{3} \cup I_{4}$ is in $\bar{K}\left[\theta, \theta^{-1}\right]$. Denote by $g_{2}$ sum over $I_{2} \cup I_{3} \cup I_{4}$ and by $g_{1}$ sum of $v_{0}^{\prime}$ and sum over $I_{1}$. Then $f=g_{1}+g_{2}, g_{1}$ has elementary integral, $g_{1} \in \bar{K} E$, $g_{2}$ has integral in a gamma extension of $E$ and $g_{2} \in \bar{K}\left[\theta, \theta^{-1}\right]$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\bar{K} E$ is a finite algebraic extension of $E$. Let $m$ be degree of $\bar{K} E$ over $E$ and put $f_{1}=\frac{1}{m} \operatorname{Tr}\left(g_{1}\right), f_{2}=\frac{1}{m} \operatorname{Tr}\left(g_{2}\right)$ where $\operatorname{Tr}$ denotes trace from $\bar{K} E$ to $E$. Of course $f=f_{1}+f_{2}$ and $f_{1}$ has integral elementary over $E$. Note that $f_{2}$ has integral in a gamma extension of $E$. Namely, trace is sum of terms like in sum over $I_{2} \cup I_{3} \cup I_{4}$, but we also get conjugates of terms from original sum. In particular each term is integrable in a gamma extension of $E$ so the whole sum is integrable. Also, gamma extension of $E$ is a gamma extension of $K(\theta)$, so $f_{2}$ is integrable in a gamma extension of $K(\theta)$. We claim that $f_{2} \in K\left[\theta, \theta^{-1}\right]$. Namely, since $\theta \in E$ trace acts separately on each coefficient of $g_{2} . K$ is algebraically closed in $E$ so coefficients of $f_{2}$ have values in $K$, that is $f_{2} \in K\left[\theta, \theta^{-1}\right]$.

To compute some decomposition of this sort we may assume that $E$ is a finite algebraic extension of $K(\theta)$. Let $n$ be be degree of $E$ over $K(\theta)$. Put $h_{2}=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr}(f), h_{1}=f-h_{1}$. Of course $f=h_{1}+h_{2}$. Note that $h_{2}=\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr}\left(f_{1}\right)+f_{2}$,
so $h_{1}=f_{1}-\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Tr}\left(f_{1}\right)$. In particular $h_{1}$ has elementary integral, $h_{2}-f_{2}$ has elementary integral and $h_{2} \in K(\theta)$. So we reduced problem to functions from $K(\theta)$. Next, write $h_{2}=w+p$ where $w \in K\left[\theta, \theta^{-1}\right]$ and $p$ is a proper rational function with denominator relatively prime to $\theta$. Note that $w=f_{2}+w_{1}$, where $w_{2}$ is defined by equality $h_{2}-f_{2}=w_{2}+p$. Now, $h_{2}-f_{2}$ has elementary integral, say

$$
h_{2}-f_{2}=v_{0}^{\prime}+\sum c_{i} v_{i}^{\prime} v_{i}
$$

By Lemma 2

$$
\sum c_{i} v_{i}^{\prime} v_{i}=a \eta^{\prime}+\sum c_{i} \bar{v}_{i}^{\prime} \bar{v}_{i}+\text { proper rational function }
$$

where $a$ is a constant and $\bar{v}_{i} \in K /$ We also write $v_{0}=r+y$ where $r \in K\left[\theta, \theta^{-1}\right]$ and $y$ is proper rational function with denominator relatively prime to $\theta$. Now, $r^{\prime} \in K\left[\theta, \theta^{-1}\right]$ and derivative of $y$ is again proper rational function. So together, proper rational part $p$ of $h_{2}-f_{2}$ has integral elementary over $K(\theta)$, namely

$$
p=y^{\prime}+\sum c_{i} v_{i}^{\prime} v_{i}-\sum c_{i} \bar{v}_{i}^{\prime} \bar{v}_{i}-a \eta^{\prime}
$$

Consequently $h_{1}+p$ has integral elementary over $E$ and $w$ has integral in a gamma extension of $E$. So we can take $f_{1}=h_{1}+p$ and $h_{2}=w$. Since trace is effectively computable $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ are effectively computable. Also decomposition $h_{2}=w+p$ is effectively computable, so our choice of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ is effectively computable. The claim about rational gamma extension is clear.

Lemma 20 Let $K$ be a differential field of characteristic 0 . Let $\theta$ be an exponential over $K$, that is $\theta=\eta^{\prime} \theta$ for some $\eta \in K, \theta$ is transcendental over $K$ and $K(\theta)$ and $K$ have the same constants. Let $M$ be an algebraic extension of $K$. Then $\theta$ generates group of exponential elements of $M K(\theta)$ modulo $M$.
Proof: Note that $M$ is algebraically closed in $M(\theta)$ and that $M$ and $M(\theta)$ have the same constants. Let $u$ be an exponential element in $M$. By Lemma 8 there exist integers $n$ and $j$ such that $u^{n} / \theta^{j} \in M$. That is $u^{n}=s \theta^{j}$ with $s \in M$. When $l>0$, this means $u^{n} \in M[\theta]$. Since $M[\theta]$ is a unique factorisation domain we have $u \in M[\theta]$ and $u=t \theta^{m}$ for some $t \in M$ and integer $m$. Similar argument works for negative $l$, giving the claim.

Theorem 21 Let $K$ be a differential field of characteristic 0 which is l-closed and log-explicit. Let $\theta$ be an exponential over $K$, that is $\theta=\eta^{\prime} \theta$ for some $\eta \in K, \theta$ is transcendental over $K$ and $K(\theta)$ and $K$ have the same constants. If $f=\sum a_{j} \theta^{j}$ where $a_{j} \in K$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ have an integral in a gamma extension of $K(\theta)$, then for each $j$ term $a_{j} \theta^{j}$ have integral in a gamma extension of $K(\theta)$.

If $f$ has integral in a rational gamma extension of $K(\theta)$ then $a_{j} \theta^{j}$ have integrals a rational gamma extension of $K(\theta)$ and we can drop assumption that $E$ is log-explicit.

Proof: By Lemma 20 assumptions of exponential case of Theorem 18 are satisfied. So there exists algebraic extension $\bar{K}$ of $K$ such that equation 1 holds with $v_{i} \in \bar{K}, u_{i} \in \bar{K}(\theta)$ for $I_{2} \cup I_{3} \cup I_{4}, w_{i} \in \bar{K}$. Since $f \in K\left[\theta, \theta^{-1}\right]$ terms of sum over $I_{1}$ can be replaced by a sum with terms in $\bar{K}$. Similarly we can take $v_{0} \in \bar{K}\left[\theta, \theta^{-1}\right]$. Since every exponential element $u$ in $\bar{K}(\theta)$ is of form $\bar{u} \theta^{j}$ with integer $j$ and $\bar{u} \in \bar{K}$ we see that we can group terms in equation 1 according to powers of $\theta$ which gives the result.

It is tempting to weaken assumption about $\theta$ in exponential case of Theorem 18 and in Theorem 19. However, the following example shows that assumption that $\theta$ generates group of exponential elements in $E$ modulo exponential elements in $K$ is too weak.

Example 22 This is variant of Example 1.2 from 14. Let $K=\mathbb{Q}(x, \exp (x))$. Put $f=\exp (-x / 2) / \sqrt{x / 2}$ and $E=K(f) . f^{2}=2 \exp (-x) / x \in K$, so $E$ is an algebraic extension of $K$. Put $\left.g=\sqrt{\pi} \operatorname{erf}\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)\right)$. We have

$$
(2 g)^{\prime}=\frac{\exp \left(\frac{-x}{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{x}{2}}}=f
$$

so $f$ has integral in a gamma extension of $E$. It is easy to check that group of exponential elements in $E$ modulo multiplicative constants is generated by $\exp (x)$. However, trace of $f$ from $E$ to $K(\theta)$ is 0 , so conclusion of Theorem 19 does not hold.

## 5 Conclusion

Our Theorem 13 looks like a modest extension of Theorem 1.1 in 14 and Theorem 3.1 in [7], however it covers case of particular practical interest. Theorem 18 extends Theorem 4.1 in [14]. We allows larger class of integrals and it is worth noting that the class of l-closed fields allowed in Theorem 18 is much larger than class of Liouvillian fields allowed in [14]. We also get more information about needed algebraic extensions, but the price for this is more complicated statement of the theorem. In case when top transcendental is an exponential (which is most important case) Theorem 19 gives simple statement. Also Theorems 19 and 21 are a first step towards an algorithm: they effectively reduce problem of integrating elements of algebraic extension of $K(\theta)$ where $\theta$ is an exponential over $K$ to integrands of form $a \theta$ where $a$ is in $K$.
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