Stochastic stability of invariant measures: The 2D Euler equation
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Abstract

In finite-dimensional dynamical systems, stochastic stability provides the selection of physical relevant measures from the myriad invariant measures of conservative systems. That this might also apply to infinite-dimensional systems is the inspiration for this work. As an example the 2D Euler equation is studied. Among other results this study suggests that the coherent structures observed in 2D hydrodynamics are associated to configurations that maximize stochastically stable measures uniquely determined by the boundary conditions in mode space.

1 Introduction

1.1 The physical relevance of stochastically stable invariant measures

For finite-dimensional systems the notions of physical measure and stochastically stable measure are closely related. Let \( M \) be the state space, \( f : M \rightarrow M \) a dynamical system defined by a smooth transformation and \( \mu \) a positive Borel measure on \( M \) such that

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^j(x)) \rightarrow \int_M \varphi d\mu
\]

for a positive measure set \( A \) of initial points \( x \) and any continuous function \( \varphi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \). It means that time averages of continuous functions are given by the corresponding spatial averages computed with respect to \( \mu \), at least for a large set of initial states \( x \). Such measure \( \mu \), when it exists, is called a physical measure (or Sinai-Bowen-Ruelle, SBR measure) [1] [2] [3] [4].

For uniformly hyperbolic systems there is a complete theory concerning existence and uniqueness of physical measures and partial results for non-uniformly hyperbolic and partially hyperbolic systems [5] [6].
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Consider now the stochastic process \( f_\varepsilon \) obtained by adding a small random noise to the deterministic system \( f \). Under very general conditions, there exists a stationary probability measure \( \mu_\varepsilon \) such that, almost surely,

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \varphi(f^j_\varepsilon(x)) \to \int \varphi d\mu_\varepsilon
\]  

(2)

Stochastic stability of the \( \mu \) measure means that \( \mu_\varepsilon \) converges to the physical measure \( \mu \) when the noise level \( \varepsilon \) goes to zero. There is stochastic stability for uniformly hyperbolic maps, for Lorenz strange attractors, Hénon strange attractors and also general results for partially hyperbolic systems [7] [8] [9] [10] [11] [12].

Existence and uniqueness of the invariant measure \( \mu_\varepsilon \) under general conditions provides a powerful tool to obtain the relevant physical measure of the dynamical system \( f \), by randomly perturbing it and then letting the noise level \( \varepsilon \to 0 \). That this might also be useful for infinite-dimensional systems is the source of inspiration for this work.

1.2 The 2D Euler equation and persistent large-scale structures in (quasi) two dimensional fluid motion

For definiteness, our study concentrates on the stability of invariant measures for the 2D Euler equation, an issue of current physical interest for the understanding of geophysical phenomena [13] [14]. A striking feature of (quasi) two-dimensional turbulent fluid motion is the emergence of large scale structures which persist for long time intervals. Another feature is the relaxation of the flow to a small number of patterns, as if they were attractors of the dynamics, a feature not to be expected in small dissipation systems. This last feature, is also contrary to the idea that viscosity is required to explain irreversibility in turbulent flows. These phenomena should hopefully be explained by the 2D Euler equation or by its quasi-geostrophic variants.

It has been suggested by many authors that the behavior of turbulent two-dimensional flows should be understood by the methods of equilibrium or non-equilibrium statistical mechanics (15 [16] [17] [18] [19] [13] and references therein). Modern studies in this direction concentrate in construction of microcanonical or more general invariant Young measures, on their relation to the small viscosity limit of the invariant measures of Navier-Stokes, relaxation of the dynamics and phase transitions.

Here, following the inspiration provided by the results on physical measures, as described above, we study the stochastic stability of the invariant measures. The plan of the paper is as follows: in Section 2, infinitesimally invariant measures of partial differential equations are related to the generator of the flow and in Section 3 the 2D Euler equation with periodic boundary conditions is written as a differential equation for its Fourier modes and it is shown that it has infinitely many invariant measures.

In Section 4.1 we revisit the question already addressed by other authors [20] [21] of whether an invariant measure of the 2D Euler equation remains invariant when the deterministic flow is replaced by an Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process. Some such measures are found, which however correspond both to a noise perturbation and to a change of the deterministic vector field. Therefore they are not
candidates for the stochastically stable measures in the sense described before. Finally in Section 4.2, we simply add a noise perturbation to the deterministic dynamics and study the problem either on infinite mode space or with boundary conditions. In particular it is shown that once the boundary condition on large modes is fixed, there is a unique measure which converges in the sense of viscosity solutions to a measure density of the deterministic equation. This result is obtained for the 2D Euler equation truncated to arbitrarily large \(N\) modes. How to generalize it to the infinite-dimensional case is indicated.

The result obtained in 4.1 provides a reasonable interpretation of the stability of the large scale structures in two-dimensional fluid motion. Because the stochastically stable invariant measure depends on the boundary conditions at large modes, we also understand why, depending on the particular physical environment, the structures display not a unique but several different shapes. It also provides a plausible explanation for the relaxation of the flow to selected structures, not as an effect of some residual viscosity but as a result of the noise always present in a physical system.

2 Infinitesimally invariant measures of partial differential equations

Let \(\phi_t\) be the flow of a partial differential equation and \(\phi_t^*\) the push-forward semigroup acting on measures. A measure \(\mu\) is invariant if
\[
\phi_t^* (\mu) = \mu
\]
and infinitesimally invariant if
\[
\int B\varphi d\mu = 0
\]
for any differentiable function \(\varphi\), \(B\) being the generator of the flow \(\phi_t\). Equivalently \(B^*1 = 0\).

Let the generator \(B\) be a first or second order differential operator on a discrete set of coordinates \(\omega = \{\omega_i\}\),
\[
B = \sum_{i,j} u_{ij} (\omega) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega_i \partial \omega_j} + \sum_i b_i (\omega) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_i}
\]
and consider a measure of the form
\[
d\mu = R(\omega) \prod_i d\omega_i
\]
To obtain the condition
\[
\int (B\varphi) R(\omega) \prod_i d\omega_i = 0
\]

\(^1\)Here and throughout most of the paper \(\prod_i d\omega_i\) stands for \(\prod_{i=1}^N d\omega_i\) with \(N\) an arbitrarily large integer. The infinite dimensional case will be discussed in the last part of the paper.
one computes the adjoint of $B$ obtaining

$$B^* = -\frac{1}{R} \left\{ \sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_i} (Rb_i) - \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega_i \partial \omega_j} (Ru_{ij}) \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_i \left\{ -b_i + \frac{1}{R} \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_j} \left[ R (u_{ij} + u_{ji}) \right] \right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_i} + \sum_{i,j} u_{ij} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega_i \partial \omega_j} \right\}$$

Therefore, to have $B^*1 = 0$, the first term in (7) should vanish leading to

**Proposition 1:** A generator $B$ of the form in Eq. (5), $u_{ij}$ and $b_i$ being differentiable functions, has

$$d\mu = R(\omega) \prod_i d\omega_i$$

($R(\omega)$ differentiable) as an infinitesimally invariant measure if and only if

$$\sum_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_i} (Rb_i) - \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega_i \partial \omega_j} (Ru_{ij}) = 0 \quad (8)$$

Equivalently

$$b_i = \frac{1}{R} \sum_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_j} (Ru_{ij}) + \frac{X_i}{R} \quad (9)$$

where $X_i$ is an arbitrary function satisfying $\sum_i \frac{\partial X_i}{\partial \omega_i} = 0$.

A similar result has been obtained in [22].

### 3 The 2D Euler equation on the torus

Consider the 2D Euler equations for an inviscid incompressible fluid

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = -(v \cdot \nabla)v - \nabla p \\ \text{div } v = 0 \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

subjected to periodic boundary conditions and initial data

$$v(x,0) = v_0(x), \quad (11)$$

where $v(x,t) = (v_1(x_1, x_2, t), v_2(x_1, x_2, t))$ is the velocity field of the fluid and $p = p(x,t)$ is the pressure.

Since $\text{div } v = 0$ and $\text{div } v_0 = 0$, there is a function $\psi(x,t)$ such that

$$v = \nabla^\perp \psi = (-\partial_{x_2} \psi, \partial_{x_1} \psi)$$

and the Euler equation becomes

$$\partial_t \Delta \psi = -\nabla^\perp \psi \cdot \nabla \Delta \psi \quad (12)$$

As in [20] we consider solutions of (12) on the 2-dimensional torus that we identify with $T^2 = [0,1] \times [0,1]$ subjected to periodic boundary conditions

$$\psi(0,x_2,t) = \psi(1,x_2,t), \quad \psi(x_1,0,t) = \psi(x_1,1,t) \quad (13)$$
∀x = (x_1, x_2) ∈ T^2, ∀t ∈ [0, T]. Let us denote by e_k(x) = e^{i2πk·x}, k ∈ Z^2 the eigenfunctions for the operator −Δ with eigenvalues 4π^2(k_1^2 + k_2^2), where k · x = k_1x_1 + k_2x_2. They form a complete set of orthonormal functions in L^2(T^2). We expand the solution ψ(x, t) of (12) as a Fourier series

ψ(x, t) = \sum_k ω_k(t)e_k(x).

Since ψ is a real function and we can assume \int_{T^2} ψ dx = 0, then ω_{−k} = \overline{ω}_k (\overline{z} being the complex conjugate of z) and

ψ(x, t) = \sum_{k ∈ Z^2_+} ω_k(t)e_k(x),

where Z^2_+ denotes the set \{k ∈ Z^2 : k_1 > 0, k_2 ∈ Z or k_1 = 0, k_2 > 0\}.

By (14), the function ψ is identified with an infinite vector of Fourier coefficients

ψ = {ω_k}_{k ∈ Z^2_+}

where k ∈ Z^2. We define C^∞ = \{ω = {ω_k}_{k ∈ Z^2_+} : ω_k ∈ C\}.

Substituting (14) in equation (12) and introducing the operator B with coefficients B_k = B_k(ω)

B_k(ω) = \left(\sum_{h \neq k, h,k ∈ Z^2_+} (k^⊥ · h) (k - h)^2 ω_hω_{k-h}\right)

where k^⊥ = (−k_2, k_1), the system (10) becomes the following infinite dimensional ordinary differential equation

\frac{d}{dt}ω_k = B_k(ω) \quad k ∈ Z^2_+

and

\frac{∂B_k}{∂ω_k} = 0

We may now find the (infinitesimaly) invariant measures of the Euler equation on the torus. For the measure (6) we see from (5) that with u_{ij}(ω) = 0, the condition (8) is simply

\sum_i \frac{∂}{∂ω_i}(Rv_i) = 0

that is,

\sum_i \frac{∂}{∂ω_i}(RB_i) = 0
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or from \[17\]

\[\sum_i B_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_i} R = \sum_i \frac{d}{dt} \omega_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_i} R = \frac{d}{dt} R = 0\]

In conclusion: any constant of motion of the Euler equation generates an (infinitesimally) invariant measure. Among them we mention the energy \(E\) and the enstrophy \(S\) which in this setting read

\[E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k k^2 \omega_k^2\]

\[S = \frac{1}{2} \sum_k k^4 \omega_k^2\]

The Poisson structure of the Euler 2D equation being degenerate, there is a set of Casimir invariants \[24\], which are invariant for any Hamiltonian flow with that Poisson structure. In this case they are

\[C_f = \int f (\triangle \psi) d^2x\]

\(f\) being an arbitrary differentiable function. Therefore there are infinitely many invariant measures for the 2D Euler equation. The enstrophy is the Casimir invariant for \(f (x) = x^2\).

4 Stochastic perturbations of the 2D Euler equation and invariant measures

Here we discuss stochastic stability of invariant measures in two different settings. First, given an invariant measure of the deterministic equation, we find the stochastic perturbation which preserves that measure when also the deterministic part is allowed to change. Second, we discuss the invariant measures of the stochastically perturbed system, with the deterministic part kept fixed and also the convergence of the perturbed measure when the perturbation tends to zero. It is this second study that is in the spirit of the identification of the physical measure by stochastic perturbations as it is done for finite-dimensional systems.

4.1 Stochastic perturbations preserving a deterministic invariant measure

A similar such study has been performed before and we use the same setting and notation as in \[20\] [21]. We introduce the Sobolev spaces of order \(\beta \in \mathbb{R}\) on the torus \(T^2\)

\[H^\beta = \left\{ \varphi = \sum_k \varphi_k e_k : \sum_k k^{2\beta} |\varphi_k|^2 < +\infty, \varphi_{-k} = \overline{\varphi_k} \right\}\]

\[= \left\{ \varphi = (\varphi_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \in C^\infty : \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2} k^{2\beta} |\varphi_k|^2 < +\infty \right\}\]

(18)
The spaces $H^\beta$ are complex Hilbert spaces with inner product and norm given by
\[ \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{H^\beta} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_+} k^{2\beta} \varphi_k \overline{\psi}_k, \quad \|\varphi\|_{H^\beta}^2 = \langle \varphi, \varphi \rangle_{H^\beta}. \]

**Definition:** An arbitrary complex function $f = f(\omega) : C^\infty \rightarrow C$ is a cylindrical function if, for some integer $N$, we have $f = f(\omega) \equiv F(\omega_{\alpha_1}, \ldots, \omega_{\alpha_N})$, where $F$ is a $C^1_0(C^d(\mathbb{N}))$ - smooth function depending only on the components $\omega_{\alpha_i}, \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}^2_+$. Let us consider the following infinite dimensional parametric Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator $\varepsilon Q$ defined by
\[
\varepsilon Q f(\omega) = \varepsilon \sum_k \left\{ a_k(\omega) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_k} f(\omega) + \sigma_k(\omega) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega_k^2} f(\omega) \right\}
\]
for every cylindrical function.

If we consider the operator
\[
Lf(\omega) = \varepsilon Q f(\omega) + \sum_k B_k(\omega) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_k} f(\omega)
\]
we can see this operator as the infinitesimal generator for a stochastically perturbed Euler flow.

Let $W(t) = \sum_k \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} b_k(t) e_k$ be a normalized cylindrical brownian motion on $H^1$, $b_k(t)$ being independent copies of a complex brownian motion. To the generator \ref{eq:20} corresponds the following perturbed Euler equation
\[
X_k(t) = X_k(0) + \int_0^t \left\{ B_k(X(s)) + \varepsilon a_k(X_k(s)) \right\} ds + \int_0^t \sqrt{2\varepsilon \sigma_k(X_k(s))} db_k(s), \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^2_+.
\]

**Proposition 2:** If $d\mu = R(\omega) \prod_i d\omega_i$ is an invariant measure for the unperturbed Euler equation, then this is also an invariant measure for the perturbed equation \ref{eq:21} if $a_k(\omega)$ and $\sigma_k(\omega)$ in \ref{eq:19} satisfy
\[
\sum_k \left\{ \left( a_k - 2 \frac{\partial \sigma_k}{\partial \omega_k} \right) \frac{\partial R}{\partial \omega_k} + R \left( \frac{\partial a_k}{\partial \omega_k} - \frac{\partial^2 \sigma_k}{\partial \omega_k^2} \right) - \sigma_k \frac{\partial^2 R}{\partial \omega_k^2} \right\} = 0
\]
This is a direct consequence of Eq.\ref{eq:8}. As an example, for the Gaussian measure constructed from the enstrophy
\[
d\mu_S = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_k k^4 \omega_k^2} \prod_j d\omega_j
\]
Eq.\ref{eq:22} is satisfied by
\[
a_k = -k^2 \omega_k, \quad \sigma_k = \frac{1}{k^2}
\]
and for the Gaussian measure constructed from the renormalized energy
\[
d\mu_E = e^{-\varepsilon E} \prod_j d\omega_j
\]
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\[ a_k = -\omega_k, \quad \sigma_k = \frac{1}{k^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

where: \( E := \frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_k k^2 \omega_k^2 - \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_k k^2 \omega_k^2 \right] \right) \).

One sees that for these invariant measures of the unperturbed Euler equation, there are specific Ornstein-Uhlenbeck perturbations that preserve it as an invariant measure. However, in each case we are not only adding noise but also modifying the deterministic part. In the first (enstrophy) case we are actually adding noise to a Navier-Stokes equation

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta \psi = -\nabla \perp \psi \cdot \nabla \Delta \psi + \epsilon \Delta^2 \psi
\]

and in the renormalized energy case

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Delta \psi = -\nabla \perp \psi \cdot \nabla \Delta \psi - \epsilon \Delta \psi
\]

Therefore, because invariance of these measures requires that both the deterministic and the stochastic component be modified with the same intensity \( \epsilon \), they do not seem to be the right candidates for the physical measures of the 2D Euler equation. The same applies to the results of Kuksin [25] who, using a viscosity of intensity \( \epsilon \) and a \( \sqrt{\epsilon} \) noise, shows that the collection of unique invariant measures so obtained is tight and converges in the \( \epsilon \to 0 \) limit to a measure of the deterministic Euler equation.

Incidentally, also the microcanonical measures, that have been studied by a number of authors, do not seem to qualify as stochastically stable measures even with reasonable modifications of the deterministic part of the equation.

That the selection of a unique invariant measure requires a fine tuning, of both the noise and of a correction to the deterministic terms, makes these nevertheless interesting results irrelevant for the interpretation of physical phenomena, where such fine tuning is not to be expected.

4.2 The zero noise limit of the invariant measure of a stochastic system

In the previous subsection we have dealt with stochastic perturbations which preserve invariant measures of (16). As stated before, of more interest for the characterization of the physical measures would be to find noise-perturbed systems with an unique invariant measure and to construct the zero-noise limit of that measure. This we discuss now, not for the infinite dimensional system but for its Galerkin approximations of arbitrary order \( N \) [26]

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \omega_k = B_k^N (\omega) \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2 \quad |k| \leq N \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

\[
B_k^N (\omega) = \frac{4 \pi^2}{k^2} \sum_{0 < |h| \leq N \atop 0 < |k - h| \leq N} \left( k \cdot h \right) \left( k - h \right) \omega_h \omega_{k - h} \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

When noise is added to (27), without changing the deterministic part, the equation for the density \( R(\omega) \) of the invariant measure becomes

\[
\sum_k B_k^N (\omega) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_k} R - \epsilon \sigma_k \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega_k^2} R = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (29)
Two cases are of physical interest, namely $\sigma_k = 1$ and $\sigma_k = \frac{1}{k}$, corresponding respectively to a uniform noise in all Fourier modes or to a decreasing noise intensity in higher modes. However, by the change of variables $z_k = |k| \omega_k$ and $B_k^N(\omega) = |k| B_k^N(\omega)$ the second case becomes identical to the first one and we have to deal with

$$ \sum_k B_k^N(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} R - \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_k^2} R = 0 \quad (30) $$

which we recognize as an elliptic regularization of a first order Hamilton-Jacobi equation. As shown before, this Hamilton-Jacobi equation ($\varepsilon = 0$) has at least as many generalized solutions as the number of constants of motion of the $N$--Galerkin approximation to the Euler equation. Hence, existence and uniqueness of a stochastically-stable solution for $R$ is equivalent to the establishment of a viscosity solution for this Hamilton-Jacobi problem [27] [28] [29], in particular in its vanishing viscosity modality [29] [30] (ch. 10).

However, the solution of this problem is strongly depend on the domain where the $R$ function is defined. Let the domain $br \ R^N$. The drift being $L^p_{loc}$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ it follows from [31] (Th. 1.3) that there is a unique solution $R$ which is the constant function. That is, the unique stochastically stable invariant measure in $\mathbb{R}^N$ is the Lebesgue measure. Hence, in $\mathbb{R}^N$ there would not be any physically interesting invariant measure. However, in any practical physical situation, the fluid is not freely evolving in $\mathbb{R}^N$, that is, is not exploring all possible intensities in all modes. Instead it is constrained by external conditions, which in the model must be coded by particular boundary conditions.

Associated to the uniformly elliptic equation (30) there is a diffusion process $X_{\varepsilon}(t)$ with diffusion coefficient $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and drift $B_k^N(z)$. In each bounded domain $D$ of $z$--space, the drift, being a quadratic polynomial, is uniformly Lipschitz continuous. Therefore the Dirichlet problem of Eq. (30) has a unique solution with stochastic representation

$$ R_{\varepsilon} (z)|_D = \mathbb{E}_z \{ f (X_{\varepsilon}(\tau)) \} \quad (31) $$

$f$ being the boundary condition at $\partial D$ and $\tau$ the first exit time from $D$ ([32] ch. 6).

For a bounded smooth boundary condition the solution $R_{\varepsilon}$ in (31) is bounded and continuous on compact subsets of $D$. Then, when $\varepsilon \to 0$ $R_{\varepsilon}$ converges locally uniformly to a function $R$. This function is not necessarily a classical solution of $\sum_k B_k^N(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} R = 0$, but a standard construction ([30], ch.10) shows that it is a viscosity solution, in the sense that, given a $C^\infty$ function $g$, if $R - g$ has a local maximum at a point $z_0$ then $\sum_k B_k^N(z_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} g(z_0) \leq 0$ and if it is a local minimum $\sum_k B_k^N(z_0) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} g(z_0) \geq 0$. Hence,

**Proposition 3:** For each choice of boundary conditions in $z$–space and noise level ($\varepsilon$), one has a unique measure density $R_{\varepsilon}(z)$, solution of (31). Furthermore, in the $\varepsilon \to 0$ limit, $R_{\varepsilon}$ converges to a viscosity solution of $\sum_k B_k^N(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z_k} R = 0$.

For consistency with the $\varepsilon = 0$ case, it is convenient to have the boundary function at each $\partial D_\varepsilon$ constructed from a constant of motion of the 2D Euler equation, for example the enstrophy ($f_n|_{\partial D_\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{k} k^2 \omega_k^2$) as in [29]. Then the viscosity solution would provide a measure density which for very large mode amplitudes behaves like the enstrophy measure. In this construction the measures may be made to coincide in the boundary with one of the infinitely
many invariant measures discussed in section 2. However in the interior of the
specified domain the stochastically stable solution will not in general coincide
with the solution chosen for the boundary. Also, the solution that is obtained
is not in a strict sense an invariant measure for the original equation because
of the limitations put on the domain by the boundary conditions. However it
follows from [31] that, for a positive boundary condition, \( R \) is a positive density.

So far we have dealt with \( N \)-dimensional Galerkin approximations to the 2D
Euler equation. When \( N \to \infty \) several modifications are needed. The first one
is in the equation (29) because it makes no sense to define \( R(\omega) \) as a density of
the non-existent flat measure in infinite dimensions. Instead, \( R(\omega) \) should be
defined as the Radon-Nykodim derivative for some other measure, for example
the Gaussian enstrophy measure. Then the equation for the density \( R(\omega) \) would be

\[
\sum_k \left\{ B_k(\omega) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_k} - k^4 \omega_k B_k(\omega) \right\} R(\omega) = 0
\]

an Hamilton-Jacobi equation in infinite dimensions. Such equations have been
extensively studied [33] and given the appropriate boundary condition, for example
\( R(\omega) \to 1 \) for large \( |\omega| \), the construction of the density as a limiting
viscosity solution of

\[
\sum_k \left\{ B_k(\omega) \frac{\partial}{\partial \omega_k} - k^4 \omega_k B_k(\omega) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega_k^2} \right\} R(\omega) = 0
\]

would follow similar steps as in the finite dimensional case.

Proposition 3 establishes the existence of stochastically stable measures as
viscous solutions of an elliptic regularized Hamilton-Jacobi equation. The so-
lutions are defined once the boundary conditions at large \( \omega \)'s are fixed, for
example, by some invariant measure of the deterministic 2D Euler equation.

In conclusion, the present result provides an interpretation of the stability
of large coherent structures in two dimensional fluid motion somewhat different
from what has been suggested in the past. Some past treatments start from the
fact that the stationary points of constants of motion are steady state solutions
and choose an appropriate linear combination \( G \) of the constants of motion
as a potential and adding to the equations a \(-\alpha G\) term develop a dissipative
Langevin dynamics. Alternatively, other approaches look for maxima of the
entropy, which of course depend on a previous choice of measure. In particular
the microcanonical measure, that has been favored, is not a solution of the
elliptic regularization of the Hamilton-Jacobi equation for finite noise level \( \varepsilon \).
Whether it can, in some sense, be identified with a viscosity solution in the
\( \varepsilon \to 0 \) limit is an open question.

In contrast with previous interpretations, our analysis suggests that the co-
herent structures observed in 2D hydrodynamics are associated to configurations
that are stochastically stable measures uniquely determined by the boundary
conditions in \( \{\omega\} - \) space.
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