Nonlinear Breit-Wheeler pair creation with bremsstrahlung γ rays
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Abstract. Electron-positron pairs are produced through the Breit-Wheeler process when energetic photons traverse electromagnetic fields of sufficient strength. Here we consider a possible experimental geometry for observation of pair creation in the highly nonlinear regime, in which bremsstrahlung of an ultrarelativistic electron beam in a high-Z target is used to produce γ rays that collide with a counterpropagating laser pulse. We show how the target thickness may be chosen to optimize the yield of Breit-Wheeler positrons, and verify our analytical predictions with simulations of the cascade in the material and in the laser pulse. The electron beam energy and laser intensity required are well within the capability of today’s high-intensity laser facilities.
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1. Introduction

Breit-Wheeler pair creation is an elementary process of quantum electrodynamics (QED) in which matter and antimatter are produced purely from light [1]. The two-photon, or linear, process has yet to be detected experimentally, as it is difficult to achieve a collision between photon beams where the flux is sufficiently high and the per-particle centre-of-mass energy exceeds twice the electron mass. Both these requirements have been met experimentally, and pair creation observed, in the multiphoton regime: [2] used Compton scattering of a 46.6 GeV electron beam in a laser pulse with strength parameter \( a_0 = 0.36 \) to produce γ rays that subsequently interacted with further laser photons to produce electron-positron pairs [3].

In this work we consider Breit-Wheeler pair creation in the highly nonlinear regime, which is relevant for the study of astrophysical plasmas in strong magnetic fields [4] and is expected to occur prolifically in the next generation of high-intensity laser experiments [5]. Prospects are good for experimental exploration of this regime with currently existing laser facilities, due to advances in laser wakefield acceleration (LWFA) [6] and increases in available laser power. It is now possible to accelerate electrons to multi-GeV energies in relatively compact setups [7–9] and to focus laser pulses to intensities > \( 10^{22} \) Wcm\(^{-2}\) [10, 11]. Combining these lets us study the
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Figure 1. An ultrarelativistic electron beam, produced by laser wakefield acceleration (not shown), strikes a high-$Z$ target. The bremsstrahlung $\gamma$ rays so produced are separated from the charged components of the cascade by magnetic deflection, and collide downstream with an intense laser pulse. Here they produce electron-positron pairs via the nonlinear Breit-Wheeler process.

The dynamics of energetic particles in electromagnetic fields of unprecedented strength using ‘all-optical’ designs [12]. Indeed, observation of radiation reaction (recoil due to photon emission) in the collision of a LWFA electron beam with an intense laser pulse has recently been reported [13,14].

The configuration we study is the collision of GeV $\gamma$ rays with a laser pulse that has $a_0 > 10$. A possible experimental realisation of this is illustrated in figure 1, following [15]. The $\gamma$ rays are created by bremsstrahlung of a LWFA electron beam in a high-$Z$ target; the ultrashort, energetic $\gamma$ ray bunches this produces already find applications in imaging and radioisotope generation (see [16, 17] and references therein). A gap is introduced between the solid target and point of collision with the laser to permit magnetic deflection of the source electrons and electron-positron pairs produced inside the target, ensuring that we have a pure light-by-light collision.

The importance of QED effects is measured by the parameter [18]

$$\chi_\gamma = \frac{a_0 \omega_0 \omega (1 + \cos \theta)}{m^2},$$

(1)

where $\omega$ is the photon energy, $a_0$ and $\omega_0$ are the laser strength parameter and frequency, $\theta$ is the collision angle between the two (see figure 1), and $m$ is the electron mass. (Natural units $\hbar = c = 1$ are used throughout this paper.) The onset of pair creation occurs for $\chi_\gamma \gtrsim 0.1$, or when $(\omega/\text{GeV})(a_0/20) \gtrsim 1$ at a wavelength of 0.8 $\mu$m.

Using bremsstrahlung to produce the seed photons, rather than Compton scattering in a direct collision between electron beam and laser pulse [19], is motivated by the breadth of the energy spectrum [20]. As it extends up to the initial energy of the electron, using GeV electron beams will produce the GeV photons that are necessary for $\chi_\gamma \gtrsim 0.1$. Photons of this energy could also be used to study the linear Breit-Wheeler process, either by colliding the $\gamma$ rays with the high-temperature X-ray bath in a laser-irradiated hohlraum [21], or by colliding two such beams directly [22]. They could also be used to seed QED avalanches at intensities $> 10^{21}$ Wcm$^{-2}$ [23].

By using a laser pulse with $a_0 > 10$ as the target, we enter the strong-field regime where the pair creation probability increases non-perturbatively with the laser amplitude. This will permit the positron yield to be substantially higher than reported by [2] despite the lower electron beam energies we consider. To show this, we first calculate an estimate for the pair creation probability in section 2 and then show that bremsstrahlung is a good source of sufficiently energetic photons in section 3. Then we combine these two to estimate the number of pairs per electron in section 4. We
find that the thickness of the high-

\(Z\) target may be chosen to maximize the number of

positrons that are produced in the laser pulse and discuss the importance of reducing

the divergence of the \(\gamma\) ray beam.

2. Probability of Breit-Wheeler pair creation

We begin by determining an analytical estimate for the probability that an electron-

positron pair is created when a photon with energy \(\omega\) collides with a laser pulse that

has \(a_0 \gg 1\). We employ the locally constant field approximation (LCFA) \([18]\), using

probability rates that are calculated in an equivalent system of fields in which the

local value of \(\chi\) is the same \([24,25]\). This requires \(a_0^3/\chi \gg 1\), as will always be the case

here \([26]\). (See \([27]\) and references therein for a discussion of how the pair creation

probability may be calculated exactly in the framework of strong-field QED.)

While the \(\chi\) parameter, which determines the importance of QED effects, would

be maximized for a head-on collision between photons and laser pulse, we show in

figure 1 a crossing angle \(\theta > 0\). This is likely to be unavoidable in future laser

experiments, as it prevents damage to the focussing optics by transmitted light and

high-energy particles \([15]\). It is necessary therefore to take the transverse structure

of the focussed laser pulse into account when calculating the positron yield, as the

distance over which the \(\gamma\) rays are exposed to the strong fields depends upon both the

laser’s temporal duration and focal spot size.

Recent studies of strong-field QED processes in focussing laser fields include:

exact calculation from QED of the pair creation probability for the head-on collision

of a photon and a tightly-focussed laser pulse \([28]\); and determination of the intensity

threshold for a pair cascade to be launched by two counterpropagating, tightly focussed

laser pulses \([29]\). In both cases a description of the electromagnetic field that goes

beyond the paraxial approximation is used, e.g. \([30]\).

While this captures the angular divergence of a tightly-focussed laser pulse, the

transverse intensity profile measured at the focal plane is rarely so ideal \([31]\). To

capture the essential physics in our analytical calculation, we consider the laser pulse

to be a ‘light bullet’ with Gaussian transverse intensity profile of constant size. The

duration of the pulse, defined as the full width at half maximum (FWHM) of the

temporal intensity profile, is given by \(\tau\). The radius of the beam is given by \(r_0\),

the distance over which intensity falls to \(1/e^2\) of its central value. We expect that

additional effects, such as the finite size of the \(\gamma\) ray beam and spatiotemporal offsets,

may be accounted for approximately by modifying the effective peak amplitude \(a_0\) \([32]\).

The quantum nonlinearity parameter at time \(t\) of a photon with energy \(\omega\)

colliding with a linearly-polarized laser pulse with normalized amplitude \(a_0\) and

angular frequency \(\omega_0\) at crossing angle \(\theta\) is

\[
\chi_{\gamma} = \frac{a_0 \omega_0 \omega (1 + \cos \theta)}{m^2} \sin \phi \exp \left( -\frac{\ln 2 \phi^2}{2 \pi^2 n_{\text{eff}}^2} \right)
\]  

(2)

where \(\phi = (1 + \cos \theta) \omega_0 t\) and

\[
n_{\text{eff}} = \frac{\omega_0 \tau}{2 \pi} \left[ 1 + \frac{\pi^2 \tan^2 (\theta/2)}{r_0^2 \ln 4} \right]^{-1/2}
\]  

(3)

is the number of wavelengths that characterizes the effective pulse duration.
Integrating the probability rate for pair creation from [24] over the trajectory specified by (2), using the same saddle-points method as [19], we find that the pair creation probability

$$P_\pm \simeq \alpha a_0 n_{\text{eff}} R \left[ \frac{a_0 \omega \epsilon (1 + \cos \theta)}{m^2} \right]$$

where $\alpha$ is the fine-structure constant, $n_{\text{eff}}$ is as given in (3) and

$$R(x) = \frac{0.453 K^2_{1/3}(4/3x)}{1 + 0.145x^{1/4} \ln(1 + 2.26x) + 0.330x}$$

as in [19]. The argument of $R$ in (4) is the peak $\chi_\gamma$ of the photon.

This analytical scaling may be verified against numerical integration of the pair creation rate. In the latter we explicitly account for the effects of tight focusing and model the spatial dependence of the pulse as a Gaussian beam of spot size $r_0$ and Rayleigh range $z_R = \pi r_0^2 / \lambda$. The fields are calculated to fourth-order in the diffraction angle $\epsilon = r_0/ z_R$, i.e. beyond the paraxial approximation [30]. The temporal envelope of the pulse remains a Gaussian with FWHM duration $\tau$. For definiteness, we fix the $\gamma$-ray energy $\omega = 1000 \text{m}$ and the laser $a_0 = 30$ at a wavelength $\lambda = 0.8 \text{\mu m}$, which corresponds to a peak intensity of $2 \times 10^{21} \text{Wcm}^{-2}$. The pair creation probability predicted by (4) is compared to the numerical results for varying collision angle $\theta$, pulse duration $\tau$ and focal spot size $r_0$ in figure 2.

Figure 2a and figure 2b show that the pair creation probability is maximized for a head-on collision and decreases with increasing collision angle. This is because both $\chi_\gamma$ and $n_{\text{eff}}$ are reduced for $\theta > 0$ (in the latter case, because $r_0 < \tau$). The two effects may be separated by comparing the results for different spot sizes: at $r_0 = 10 \lambda$ (in red), the pulse is effectively a plane wave and the decrease in $P_\pm$ is entirely due to the geometric dependence of $\chi_\gamma$. 

**Figure 2.** The probability of pair creation $P_\pm$ in the collision of a $\gamma$ ray with energy $\omega = 1000 \text{m}$ and laser pulse with $a_0 = 30$ and wavelength $0.8 \text{\mu m}$, as a function of the crossing angle $\theta$, the laser (FWHM) duration $\tau$ and focal spot size $r_0$: (lines) from (4) and (points) numerical integration.
We expect that agreement between the analytical and numerical results should be better for larger spot sizes, because we assumed a plane wave in deriving (4). Our scaling does capture with good accuracy the dependence of the pair creation probability on collision angle for the $2\lambda$ and $10\lambda$ spots. However, for the case that $r_0 = \lambda$, there is good agreement only if $\theta \gtrsim 0.2$. Otherwise the analytical result overestimates $P_{\pm}(\theta = 0)$ by 30% if the pulse duration is 30 fs or 210% if it is 100 fs.

This error arises when the pulse duration $\tau$ becomes larger than the confocal parameter $2z_R$, as the probe photon can then ‘observe’ the variation in intensity caused by the contraction and expansion of the laser pulse as it passes through focus; were $\tau \ll 2z_R$ instead, this variation would be small compared to that of the pulse temporal envelope.

We can therefore place a limit on the validity of (4) in terms of the effective number of cycles $n_{\text{eff}}$ (defined by (3)):}

$$n_{\text{eff}} < 2\pi (r_0/\lambda)^2$$

(6)

Alternatively, this may be expressed in terms of a minimum angle:

$$\theta > \theta_{\text{min}}, \quad \tan^2 \left( \frac{\theta_{\text{min}}}{2} \right) = \ln 4 \left[ \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\pi r_0} \right)^2 - \left( \frac{r_0}{\tau} \right)^2 \right].$$

(7)

Evaluating this for $\tau = 100$ fs, we find that $\theta_{\text{min}} = (0.36, 0.14, 0)$ for $r_0/\lambda = (1, 2, 10)$ respectively. Inspection of figure 2b shows that these bounds are consistent with the minimum angles for which our analytical scaling agrees with the numerical results.

We may further use (7) to determine the smallest spot size at given collision angle for which our analytical scaling is valid. For the range of angles $\theta = (0, \pi/8, \pi/4)$, we find $r_0/\lambda = (2.4, 0.93, 0.45)$. This is in good agreement with the results shown in figure 2c, where we compare the pair creation probability as a function of spot size at fixed pulse duration.

Finally, we show results with fixed $\theta = \pi/8$ and varying pulse duration in figure 2d. The minimum spot size at this collision angle is $r_0/\lambda = 0.93$, so we find excellent agreement between our analytical predictions and the numerical results across the explored parameter range.

Having verified its accuracy, we are now in a position to apply our analytical result to the case that the high-energy photons are generated by bremsstrahlung, as shown in figure 1.

3. Bremsstrahlung photon generation

The pair creation probability (4) is strongly suppressed for $\chi_\gamma \ll 1$. Reaching $\chi_\gamma \sim 1$ with the intensities that may be reached with today’s high-intensity lasers ($\sim 10^{22}$ W cm$^{-2}$), requires photon energies in the GeV range [19]. We now turn to how bremsstrahlung of an ultrarelativistic electron beam in a high-$Z$ material may be used as the source of such photons. In particular, we will use our analytical results to show how the bremsstrahlung process may be optimized to produce the greatest number of Breit-Wheeler positrons.

For the ultrarelativistic particles under consideration here, the two processes that dominate the evolution of an electromagnetic cascade within the material are bremsstrahlung photon emission and Bethe-Heitler pair creation. These occur when electrons (or positrons) and photons respectively interact with the Coulomb fields of individual heavy atoms. To a good approximation, the effect of the material properties,
such as atomic number $Z$ and mass density $\rho$, on the bremsstrahlung spectrum may be parametrized by using only its radiation length $L_{\text{rad}}$.

Under the approximations of complete screening and vanishing target thickness, the number of photons produced with fractional energy $f = \omega / E_0$ is

$$\frac{dN_{\gamma}}{df} \simeq \ell \left( \frac{4}{3} - \frac{4f^3}{3} + f^2 \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)$$

where $E_0 \gg m$ is the initial energy of the electron and $\ell = L / L_{\text{rad}}$, the target thickness $L$ scaled by the radiation length [33]. Equation (8) neglects attenuation of the photon beam due to pair creation within the solid target, thereby overestimating the high-energy tail of the spectrum even for $\ell \simeq 0.01$. This is particularly important here because the contribution to the Breit-Wheeler positron yield will be dominated by the highest-energy photons. A good approximation to attenuated bremsstrahlung spectrum for target thicknesses $0.5 < \ell < 2$ is given by [20]

$$\frac{dN_{\gamma}}{df} \simeq \frac{(1 - f)^{4/3} - e^{-7\ell/9}}{f \left[ \frac{6}{5} + \frac{4}{3} \ln(1 - f) \right]}. \hspace{1cm} (9)$$

We compare (8) and (9) to the results of GEANT4 simulations [34–36] for electrons with $E_0 = 2$ GeV striking lead targets of various thicknesses in figure 3a. (The radiation length of lead $L_{\text{rad}} = 5.6$ mm.) The spectra are broad, with substantial emission of photons with energy greater than 1 GeV. While the general shape of the spectrum at $L = 0.2$ mm is captured well by (8), it is not very accurate near $f \simeq 1$. For thicker targets, (9) gives better predictions in the range $f > 0.5$, particularly for photons near the bremsstrahlung tip. This will prove significant when we estimate the positron yield analytically, as this is dominated by the highest-energy photons.

Due to the ultrarelativistic nature of the incident electrons, the emitted photons are well-collimated around the forward direction: figure 3b shows that for $L = 2$ mm ($\ell = 0.36$) the typical divergence is 5 mrad and narrows slightly with increasing photon energy. Relativistic beaming means that we expect the divergence of the

\[ \text{Figure 3. Bremsstrahlung photon generation when a 2 GeV electron beam strikes a lead target of thickness } L: \text{ a) energy spectra from (solid) simulations, (dashed) (8) and (dotted) (9) for } L = 0.2 \text{ mm (blue), 2 mm (orange) and 5 mm (red); b) energy-divergence spectrum for } L = 2 \text{ mm; c) the root-mean-square divergence of photons with } f > 0.5 \text{ from (solid) GEANT4 simulations and (dashed) (10).} \]
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bremsstrahlung photons to be inversely proportional to the Lorentz factor of the electron beam: for $\ell \sim 1$, the root-mean-square (RMS) angle is approximately \[ \theta_{\text{RMS}} \simeq \frac{\sqrt{\ell}}{[E_0/(19.2 \text{ MeV})]} \]. \hfill (10)

A comparison with simulation results shown in figure 3c shows that this scaling works reasonably well.

We now discuss the implications of these results for the generation of Breit-Wheeler pairs. The divergence of the $\gamma$ ray beam will play an important role because it means that the beam will undergo transverse broadening as it propagates over the distance between the high-$Z$ target and the focal plane of the secondary laser pulse (see figure 1). This reduces the number of $\gamma$ rays that actually hit the region of highest intensity and so the positron yield. (It would also alter the pair creation probability even for those photons that do hit the pulse, as $\chi_\gamma$ depends on $\theta$. However, for milliradian-level shifts, this is a relatively small effect compared to that of the reduced overlap.)

Assuming a divergence given by (10), the fraction of photons $R$ that hit the focal spot (size $r_0$) after propagating a distance $D$ may be estimated as \[ R \simeq 3 \times 10^{-5} \frac{(E_0/\text{GeV})^2(r_0/\mu\text{m})^2}{\ell(D/\text{cm})^2} \]. \hfill (11)

The importance of this reduction becomes clear when we consider that $P_\pm \sim 10^{-4}$ (at $\tau = 30$ fs, see figure 2). Estimating the number of $\gamma$ rays to be equal to the number of electrons in the bunch, $N_\gamma \sim 10^9$, we find that (11) reduces the positron yield from $10^5$ to only one. A possible way to overcome this would be to focus the electron beam with a quadrupole magnet before it strikes the heavy target, compensating for the increase in divergence during development of the cascade [15], and the intrinsic divergence of the electrons (a few mrad in size for laser wakefield acceleration [6]).

The more positive result is that the photons produced in bremsstrahlung are sufficiently hard that they can used to probe nonlinear Breit-Wheeler pair creation. Equation (9) predicts that the number of photons per electron with $f > 0.5$ is as large as $N_\gamma/N_e \simeq 0.2$ for $\ell \simeq 1$. Thus for electron beam energies in excess of a GeV, as are available from laser wakefield acceleration, we can expect a large number of photons with $\chi_\gamma$ sufficient for pair creation to take place.

4. The positron yield and optimal target thickness

The number of electron-positron pairs, per electron of the incident beam, may be estimated by integrating the bremsstrahlung spectrum weighted by the pair creation probability (4):

\[ N_\pm \simeq \alpha a_0 n_{\text{eff}} \mathcal{B}(\ell, \chi_e). \] \hfill (12)

Here we have defined an auxiliary function $\mathcal{B}$ to absorb the positron yield’s dependence on the properties of the solid target:

\[ \mathcal{B}(\ell, \chi_e) = \int_0^1 R(f \chi_e) \frac{dN_\gamma}{df} df. \] \hfill (13)

By using (8) or (9) for $dN_\gamma/df$, $\mathcal{B}$ becomes a function of only two parameters: $\ell$, the scaled target thickness, and $\chi_e = E_0 a_0 \omega_0 (1 + \cos \theta)/m^2$. The former encapsulates the
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Figure 4. The number of positrons per pC of charge in the electron beam, when the bremsstrahlung photons it produces in a lead target with thickness $L$ collide with a laser pulse that has $a_0 = 30$ and $n_{\text{eff}} = 15$: (blue, solid) from simulations, (black, dashed) as predicted by (14).

material properties through $L_{\text{rad}}$. The latter would be the quantum parameter of the electron, if it, rather than its photons, collided with the laser pulse. It depends upon the initial energy of the electron beam $E_0$, the normalized amplitude $a_0$ and angular frequency $\omega_0$ of the intense laser pulse, and the crossing angle $\theta$ between the two.

Let us first consider the case where $\ell \ll 1$, so that we may use (8) for the photon spectrum. It is evident that the number of positrons increases linearly with target thickness, as the number of bremsstrahlung photons does as well. In the limit that $\chi_e \ll 1$, the integral in (13) may be performed analytically, with the result $B = \frac{3}{8} \ell \chi_e R(\chi_e)$. Otherwise, the integral must be performed numerically. A fit to these results, accurate to 5% over the range $0.01 < \chi_e < 10$ is

$$B(\ell, \chi_e) \simeq 0.375 \ell \chi_e R(\chi_e) \frac{1 + 0.574 \chi_e^{2/3}}{1 + 0.574 \chi_e^{2/3}}.$$  

(14)

Considering that the prefactor $\alpha a_0 n_{\text{eff}} \sim O(1)$ for near-term experimental parameters, this may be used for an order-of-magnitude estimate for the number of positrons per electron. For $\ell \sim 0.1$ and $\chi_e \sim 1$, $B \sim 10^{-3}$. Thus if the bremsstrahlung photons from a few picocoulombs of accelerated electrons reach the laser focal spot, we can expect thousands of Breit-Wheeler positrons to be produced.

To verify this, we use Geant4 to simulate the interaction of an electron beam with a solid target, then take the resultant photon spectrum as input to Circe [19,32], a single-particle Monte Carlo code that simulates strong-field QED cascades in intense laser pulses. It does this by factorising the cascade into a product of first-order processes (nonlinear Compton scattering and Breit-Wheeler pair creation), which occur along the particle trajectory at locations pseudorandomly determined according to the appropriate LCFA probability rate. (See [38, 39] for detailed discussion of this “QED-PIC” concept.)

We compare the positron yield predicted by (12) and (14) and by simulations in figure 4. The electron beam energy is 2 GeV, and all the bremsstrahlung photons it produces in a lead target collide head-on with a laser pulse that has $a_0 = 30$, duration $\tau = 40$ fs and wavelength 0.8 $\mu$m, i.e. $n_{\text{eff}} = 15$. We see that for $\ell < 0.1$, the positron yield increases linearly with target thickness, in good agreement with (14). As $\ell$ continues to increase, the yield reaches a maximum of $5 \times 10^3$ at $\ell = 0.7$ and then begins to decrease. This is readily explained as the effect of pair creation within the
The target thickness $L$ per unit radiation length $L_{\text{rad}}$ which maximizes Breit-Wheeler pair creation when the bremsstrahlung photons collide with an intense laser pulse: (grey) as predicted by (15) and (points) from simulations in which (blue) 2 GeV electrons hit a lead target, (orange) 1.5 GeV electrons hit copper and (red) 1 GeV electrons hit tantalum. Vertical bars indicate the range of $L/L_{\text{rad}}$ over which the Breit-Wheeler positron yield is at least 95% of maximum.

Figure 5. The target thickness $L$ per unit radiation length $L_{\text{rad}}$ which maximizes Breit-Wheeler pair creation when the bremsstrahlung photons collide with an intense laser pulse: (grey) as predicted by (15) and (points) from simulations in which (blue) 2 GeV electrons hit a lead target, (orange) 1.5 GeV electrons hit copper and (red) 1 GeV electrons hit tantalum. Vertical bars indicate the range of $L/L_{\text{rad}}$ over which the Breit-Wheeler positron yield is at least 95% of maximum.

solid target, which causes attenuation of the high-energy part of the photon spectrum. As it is these photons that are most likely to pair create, increasing $\ell$ eventually causes the Breit-Wheeler positron yield to decrease.

If we use (9) rather than (8) to model the photon spectrum, then we may predict the $\ell$ which maximizes the yield of Breit-Wheeler positrons. This is given by the root of the following integral equation:

$$
\int_0^1 R(f, \chi_e) \frac{\partial^2 N_\gamma}{\partial f \partial f} df = 0,
$$

where the double differential photon spectrum is obtained from (9). For convenience we solve this numerically for a range of $\chi_e$ and fit a two-component power law to the results. We find that the optimal thickness $\ell_{\text{opt}} \approx 0.693 \chi_e^{1/4} + 0.0447 \chi_e^{-1/5}$ for $0.01 < \chi_e < 10$, over which range the fit is accurate to 0.5%. As an example, if $\chi_e = 0.71$ as in figure 4, (15) predicts that the positron yield is maximized at $\ell = 0.68$. This is in good agreement with the simulation results, where we find $\ell_{\text{opt}} = 0.67$.

Further verification of (15) is shown in figure 5. Each point represents the optimal $\ell$ found from a set of simulations in which the target thickness is varied, while the electron beam energy $E_0$, target material, and laser amplitude $a_0$ remain fixed. The materials under consideration are lead, copper and tantalum, which have radiation lengths of 5.61 mm, 14.4 mm and 4.09 mm respectively. The laser $a_0$ is one of 10, 30 and 100 and $n_{\text{eff}} = 15$ for all scans. Our analytical prediction agrees well with the simulation results across a broad range of electron beam and target parameters.

We find that the optimal target thickness increases only slowly with increasing $\chi_e$. Furthermore, the width of this maximum, indicated by vertical lines in figure 5, is large. Therefore across the whole range $0.1 < \chi_e < 10$, a positron yield close to maximum can be obtained simply by setting $\ell \approx 0.7$. This is well within expectations, as the radiation length is approximately the distance over which one photon or electron-positron pair is added to the QED cascade in the material. Keeping $\ell \lesssim 1$ ensures that there are sufficient high-energy photons emitted while minimising Bethe-Heitler pair creation.

This result further indicates that no special treatment is required for electron beams with broad energy spectra, i.e. a large spread in $\chi_e$. If $\ell \approx 0.7$, the target
Nonlinear Breit-Wheeler pair creation with bremsstrahlung γ rays

thickness is close to optimized for all components of the beam but the low-energy tail, which contributes negligibly to pair creation. Provided that there are picocoulombs of electrons with \( E_0 > 2 \text{ GeV} \), then as shown in figure 4, we expect thousands of positrons to be produced in a laser pulse with \( a_0 = 30 \), i.e. a peak intensity of \( 2 \times 10^{21} \text{ Wcm}^{-2} \).

5. Summary

In this paper we have discussed the prospects for experimental observation of nonlinear Breit-Wheeler pair creation, using the collision between an intense laser pulse and the γ rays produced by bremsstrahlung of a LWFA electron beam in a high-Z target. We have shown that the thickness of the high-Z target \( L \) may be optimized to maximize the number of Breit-Wheeler positrons: across a broad range of experimentally-accessible parameters, this is \( L/L_{rad} = 0.7 \), where \( L_{rad} \) is the radiation length.

However, we found that even though the divergence of the γ ray beam is small, it is sufficient to cause most of the photons to miss the laser focal spot. This due to transverse broadening of the γ ray beam as it traverses the spatial separation between the solid target and the focal plane of the laser pulse. (This separation is required for magnetic deflection of the source electrons and background electron-positron pairs.) As suggested in [15], this makes it necessary to focus the electron beam before it hits the high-Z target. Provided that this is done, the bremsstrahlung spectrum of a multi-GeV electron beam with picocoulombs of charge is sufficiently hard for thousands of positrons to be produced in the intense laser pulse.
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