Self-consistent modelling of self-organized patterns of spots on anodes of DC glow discharges
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Abstract

Self-organized patterns of spots on a flat metallic anode in a cylindrical glow discharge tube are simulated self-consistently. A standard model of glow discharges is used, comprising conservation and transport equations for a single species of ion and electrons, written with the use of the drift-diffusion and local-field approximations, and the Poisson equation. Only processes in the near-anode region are considered and the computation domain is the region between the anode and the discharge column. Multiple solutions, existing in the same range of discharge current and describing modes with and without anode spots, are computed for the first time. A reversal of the local anode current density in the middle of each of the spots was found, i.e. mini-cathodes are formed inside the spots or, as one could say, anode spots operate as a unipolar glow discharge. The solutions do not fit into the conventional pattern of self-organization in bistable nonlinear dissipative systems; e.g. the modes are not joined by bifurcations.

1 Introduction

For more than a century now beautiful patterns on anodes of DC glow discharges have been observed [1–8]. The patterns are of significant theoretical interest in themselves, and also because of their connection with various types of double layer structures and the so-called plasma balls, which have been studied at low gas pressures [9–16]. The patterns are interesting also from the point of view of their technological applications. As examples, we note that single spots have been utilized as ion sources with a stable and high-current ion beam extraction [17], and recently it has been shown that self-organized patterns on liquid anodes of atmospheric pressure glow microdischarges can reveal a nontrivial cancer-inhibiting capability [18].

Patterns of spots have been calculated by means of a phenomenological approach based on the general trends of self-organization in [6]. Numerical simulations have been performed which revealed current density stripes on anodes [19], a circular spot [20], and a circular spot surrounded by a ring [20]. A theoretical analysis, and experimental
investigation, of the anode layer region performed in [21] indicates that instabilities found
in the regions’ so-called subnormal regime are a precursor for the formation of anode
spots. Also in [21], the influence that the spots have on the homogeneity of the plasma
column is investigated.

Self-organized arrangements of spots and patterns on cathodes of DC arc and glow
discharges have been understood and systematically described in terms of multiple steady-
state solutions, which exist in conventional models of glow discharge over the same range of
discharge current and describe modes associated with different cathode spots and cathode
spot patterns; e.g., [22] and references therein. We hypothesize that the same approach is
applicable to spots and spot patterns on anodes of DC glow discharges. In other words, we
postulate that spots and spot patterns on anodes of DC glow discharges can be described
by a new class of solutions, that exist in conventional models of glow discharges, alongside
the solution associated with the spotless mode of current transfer. In this work we prove
this hypothesis. Two solutions, as examples, are computed over the same, wide, range of
current. One solution describes an axially symmetric diffuse, or spotless, mode, and the
other solution describes a three-dimensional mode with azimuthal periodicity comprising
a self-organized pattern of 8 anode spots.

The outline of the paper is as follows. The model is described in section 2. In section
3, results of the modelling are given and discussed. Conclusions are drawn in section 4.

2 The model

Consider a cylindrical DC glow discharge tube that is long enough that the effect of the
electrodes become obviated in the column. In the column the density of charged species
and electric field are independent of the axial coordinate. This invariance allows us to
choose an asymptotically accurate set of boundary conditions on a domain that contains
only the region from the anode to the column. The computation domain is adequate for
an investigation of anode spots, or patterns of spots, appearing as a result of processes of
plasma-anode interaction only.

The simplest model of a glow discharge is used, which is well-known but briefly sum-
marized here for completeness. It comprises equations for conservation of electrons and
a single ion species, the transport equations, written in the drift-diffusion approximation,
and Poisson’s equation:

\[
\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot J_i = n_e \alpha E - \beta n_e n_i, \quad J_i = -D_i \nabla n_i - n_i \mu_i \nabla \varphi, \\
\frac{\partial n_e}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot J_e = n_e \alpha E - \beta n_e n_i, \quad J_e = -D_e \nabla n_e + n_e \mu_e \nabla \varphi, \\
\varepsilon_0 \nabla^2 \varphi = -e (n_i - n_e).
\]  

(1)

Here \( n_i, n_e, J_i, J_e, D_i, D_e, \mu_i, \) and \( \mu_e \) are number densities, densities of transport fluxes,
diffusion coefficients, and mobilities of the ions and electrons, respectively; \( \alpha \) is Townsend’s
ionization coefficient; \( \beta \) is coefficient of dissociative recombination; \( \varphi \) is electrostatic po-
tential, \( E = |\nabla \varphi| \) is electric field strength; \( \varepsilon_0 \) is permittivity of free space; and \( e \) is
elementary charge. The local-field approximation is employed, i.e., electron transport
and kinetic coefficients are assumed to depend on the local electric field only.

2
Let us introduce cylindrical coordinates \((r, \phi, z)\) with the longitudinal axis in line with the axis of the discharge tube. The computation domain is a cylinder \(\{0 \leq r \leq R, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi, 0 \leq z \leq h\}\), where \(R\) is the tube radius and the boundary \(z = h\) is positioned in the discharge column.

Standard boundary conditions are used for the lateral dielectric wall, \(r = R\), describing absorption of ions and electrons, and electrical insulation:

\[
J_{in} = \frac{\sqrt{8 k_B T_i}}{\pi m_i} n_i, \quad J_{en} = \frac{\sqrt{8 k_B T_e}}{\pi m_e} n_e, \quad J_{in} - J_{en} = 0.
\]  

Here subscript \(n\) represents the projection of the corresponding vector along \(n\) the normal directed outside the computation domain, \(k_B\) is Boltzmann’s constant, \(T_i\) and \(T_e\) are ion and electron temperatures (known parameters), \(m_i\) and \(m_e\) are the ion and electron masses. When a time-dependent solver is used, the last condition in equation (2) is replaced by the following boundary condition

\[
-\varepsilon_0 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} = \rho_s, \quad \frac{\partial \rho_s}{\partial t} = e \left( J_{in} - J_{en} \right),
\]

which describes surface charge accumulation; here \(\varepsilon_0\) is permittivity of free space and \(\rho_s\) is surface charge density. If a steady-state has been reached, these conditions are equivalent to the last condition in equation (2).

Boundary conditions at the anode surface \((z = 0)\) are

\[
J_{in} = \frac{\sqrt{8 k_B T_i}}{\pi m_i} n_i, \quad J_{en} = \frac{\sqrt{8 k_B T_e}}{\pi m_e} n_e - \delta \gamma J_{in}, \quad \varphi = 0.
\]  

The conditions for the ions and the electrons are similar to the ones for the dielectric wall (2), except for the second term on the rhs of the boundary condition for the electrons (the second equation in (4)). This term describes secondary electron emission, which may become relevant if the local electric field is directed from the plasma to the anode. A parameter \(\delta\) is introduced which is 1 if the local electric field is directed to the anode, and 0 otherwise. Note that the choice of which secondary electron emission coefficient, \(\gamma\), to use was not clear as the anode sheath voltage and, consequently, the energy of incident ions are small. In any case, this term produces a small effect even for \(\gamma\) of order unity, since its magnitude for comparable \(n_i\) and \(n_e\) is of the order of \(\gamma \sqrt{m_e T_i / (m_i T_e)}\) with respect to the first term on the rhs of the second equation in (4). The third condition in (4) defines the zero of potential.

The boundary \(z = h\) is positioned in the discharge column, where the charged species densities are independent of \(z\) and the axial electric field is constant (independent of \(r, \phi, z\)):

\[
\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial n} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial n} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} = E_z.
\]  

Here \(E_z\) is the axial electric field; a given parameter which may be chosen to ensure desired values of the discharge current \(I\). The parameter \(h\) has to be large enough so the conditions (5) are satisfied not just at the boundary \(z = h\), but also in a region adjacent to the boundary; in other words, \(h\) has to be larger than the thickness of the near-anode region.
We hypothesize that the problem (1)-(5) admits an axially symmetric (2D) steady-state solution, describing a spotless, or diffuse, mode of current transfer to the anode, and three-dimensional steady-state solutions, presumably describing modes with patterns of spots. By analogy with computed spot patterns on cathodes of DC glow discharges, and in qualitative agreement with experimental results on anode spot patterns, we assume that the 3D solutions are periodic in $\phi$ with the period $2\pi/n$, where $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$, then it is sufficient to limit the computation domain to a half-period of the desired 3D solution:

$$0 \leq \phi \leq \pi/n.$$  

Boundary conditions at $\phi = 0$ and $\phi = \pi/n$ are zero normal derivatives,

$$\frac{\partial n_i}{\partial n} = 0, \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial n} = 0, \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial n} = 0,$$

so that $\phi = 0$ and $\phi = \pi/n$ represent planes of symmetry of the solution considered.

Results reported in this work refer to a discharge in helium under the pressure of 5 Torr. The (only) ionic species considered is He$^+$. The transport and kinetic coefficients are the same as in [23]. The discharge tube radius is $R = 0.5$ mm and the height of the computation domain is $h = 5$ mm. It is set that $\gamma = 0.03$, $T_e = 1$ eV, and $T_i = 300$ K.

The modelling was performed in COMSOL Multiphysics. Both the steady-state and time-dependent forms of problem (1)-(6) have been solved. The Plasma Module with a stationary solver, and a time-dependent solver, have been employed. The Plasma Module was adapted so that it could be used in combination with a stationary solver and supplemented with a residual-based stabilization method.

### 3 Results and discussion

One of the computed solutions reported in this paper is 2D and describes the spotless mode. As an example, a 3D mode with $n = 8$ is also reported, and it describes a mode with eight spots. Note that the relatively high value of $n$ permits a relatively small computation domain and thus reduces the required RAM and computation time.

The 2D solution was computed in a standard way by means of a stationary solver. It has been found in this work that 3D solutions do not bifurcate from the 2D solution, in contrast to solutions describing cathodic spots and patterns of spots in arc and glow cathodes, which do bifurcate from a fundamental (generally 2D) solution. Therefore the approach developed for the systematic computation of multiple solutions describing spots and patterns on cathodes of arc and DC glow discharges [22] could not be used. To find the 3D solution reported in this work, we first solved the 1D axially symmetric and steady-state form of the problem (1)-(2), describing the discharge column. (Analytical solutions of this 1D problem for the limiting cases corresponding to free-fall and ambipolar diffusion [24] and a recombination-dominated discharge were used to validate the code.) In order to obtain the 3D solution, a solution of the 1D problem governing the column for the discharge current $I = 10$ mA was introduced as an initial condition for the time-dependent solver, the one solving the time-dependent form of the problem (1)-(6) including surface charge accumulation at the dielectric wall, equation (3). The computations have been performed with the value of $E_z$, the input parameter describing the axial electric field at the column boundary, corresponding to the $I = 30$ mA, and not to 10 mA. The time-dependent solver was ran; the mismatch in $E_z$ introduced a perturbation to the system that resulted in an evolution to a 3D time-independent solution to the problem. The stationary solver was then used to compute the 3D solution in a wide range of current.
3.1 Current-voltage characteristics of the anode region

Consider the potential distribution in the discharge column, $\varphi_c$, (which is axially symmetric),

$$\varphi_c(r, z) = -(z - h)E_z + \varphi_h(r),$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

where $\varphi_h(r)$ is the distribution of potential at the computational boundary, $z = h$. We define the near-anode voltage drop as the difference between the potential at the anode (equal to zero), and the potential that is obtained by extrapolation of the column solution (7) to the anode ($z = 0$):

$$U = -hE_z - \varphi_h(r).$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

Note the second term on the rhs of this definition depends on $r$. In order to remove the dependence on $r$ and find an integral characteristic, one has, for example, to evaluate the rhs of equation (8) on the axis, or edge, of the discharge tube, or take an average value over the cross section. However, whatever choice is made is irrelevant in so far as a graphical representation of multiple solutions is concerned: different solutions with the same discharge current will coincide in the column to the accuracy of a shift of potential by a constant. Therefore, whatever way is chosen to evaluate the rhs of equation (8), as long as it is the same for different solutions, the difference in $U$ between the different solutions will be equal. We indicate for definiteness that in this work the rhs of equation (8) is evaluated on the axis.

Shown in Figure 1 are near-anode current-voltage characteristics (CVCs) of two solutions, existing in the same range of current. One solution describes a 3D mode that is azimuthally periodic, the other a 2D mode that is axially symmetric. The 3D mode has a negative value of the near-anode voltage in the range of the computed current, while the 2D mode has a positive value of the near-anode voltage in the range of the computed current.

It is of interest to compare the CVCs in figure 1 to the computed CVCs for DC glow discharges with self-organized cathode spots (e.g. figure 3 of [25]). In the case of the cathode spots there is an N-shaped CVC corresponding to the 2D solution, with the CVC corresponding to the 3D solutions branching off from near the falling section of the CVC of the 2D solution; as per the general pattern of self-organization in bistable nonlinear dissipative systems with a positive feedback. The CVCs shown in figure 1 are very different: no pronounced N-shape CVC was computed for the 2D solution, and no bifurcations were found in a wide current range.

3.2 Anode spot structure

The electron number density on the surface of the anode for the 3D mode at 10 mA is shown in figure 2. Electron density is organized in an azimuthally periodic pattern of spots. The pattern is similar to that observed experimentally [2, Figure 1].

Densities of ions and electrons are shown in figure 3 in the plane of symmetry $\phi = 0$ (a longitudinal cross section passing through the tube axis and the centre of a spot) for $I = 1$ mA. One can see that the electron and ion densities are distributed in a similar way to the so-called fireballs observed experimentally in [12].

The distribution of the charged particle densities along the axial direction from the centre of a spot to the end of the calculation domain (a 1D plot with constant $\phi, r$), for the
3D mode at $I = 0.1$ mA and 35 mA, are shown in figure 4. There is a region with $n_i > n_e$, i.e., an ion sheath, adjacent to the electrode. The ion densities in the sheath are of the same order of magnitude for the two discharge currents, while the densities of the charged particles in the column vary by more than an order of magnitude. For $I = 0.1$ mA, charge separation is seen also in the column, which is due to ambipolar diffusion coming into play near the (absorbing) lateral wall.

The distribution of the electric field for $I = 35$ mA is shown in figure 4. The electric field in the ion sheath is two orders of magnitude greater than that in the quasi-neutral region. The former points towards the anode, while the latter points away from the anode.

It is seen in figure 3 c) that near the spot, the electrode is biased below the potential of the adjacent plasma, and it is seen from figure 4 that quasi-neutral plasma is extended close, up to 1 $\mu$m, to the electrode. In the spotless mode at the same current, the electrode potential is above that of the adjacent plasma and the electron sheath extends 50 $\mu$m from the electrode. It is seen from figure 3 c) that the electrode has a positive bias with respect to the adjacent plasma at large distances from the spot; skipping for brevity results on the charge particle distribution, we only note that there is an electron sheath adjacent to the electrode far from the spot.

### 3.3 Near-anode physics

The distribution of current density and electric field along the anode surface in the plane of symmetry $\phi = 0$ (the longitudinal cross section that passes through the centre of a spot) is shown in figure 5. Plots are included for two different discharge currents. The current density has a large magnitude and is negative inside the spot, and turns positive outside. The spot behaves like a mini-cathode or, as one could say, operates as a unipolar glow discharge.

The direction of current density in the plane of symmetry is shown in figure 6. For convenience, the distribution of the ions number density (the same as in figure 3b) is shown as well. The unipolar glow discharge is clearly seen.

The electric field at the anode in figure 5 is seen to be both negative inside the spot and positive outside for 35 mA; it is negative everywhere for 1 mA. Directions of the electric field at the anode and of current density from the anode inside and outside the spot are summarized in table 1. Also shown are corresponding data for the 2D spotless mode, where $E_z > 0$, $j_z > 0$ for all values of current.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Current</th>
<th>Within the spot</th>
<th>Outside the spot</th>
<th>Spotless mode</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 mA</td>
<td>$E_z &lt; 0$, $j_z &lt; 0$ (cathode)</td>
<td>$E_z &lt; 0$, $j_z &gt; 0$ (field reversed-anode)</td>
<td>$E_z &gt; 0$, $j_z &gt; 0$ (regular anode)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 mA</td>
<td>$E_z &lt; 0$, $j_z &lt; 0$ (cathode)</td>
<td>$E_z &gt; 0$, $j_z &gt; 0$ (regular anode)</td>
<td>$E_z &gt; 0$, $j_z &gt; 0$ (regular anode)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Physics of the near-anode region for the 3D solution.
\section{Concluding remarks}

For the first time, a self-organized pattern of spots of plasma on an anode was computed self-consistently. A new class of stationary solutions, describing anode spots, was found in a conventional DC glow discharge model. The 3D solution was found to exist in the same range of currents as a 2D solution describing a spotless mode of current transfer.

There are similarities between the patterns of anode spots and the patterns of spots on cathodes of arc and DC glow discharge: both are described by multiple steady state solutions and reveal azimuthal periodicity. On the other hand, the spots on the anode are different to the spots on the cathode in following ways: the solution describing the spotless mode does not contain a pronounced N-shaped current-voltage characteristic; no bifurcations were found in a wide range of currents, i.e., the anode spots were found to exist isolated from the 2D spotless mode. The anode spots are apparently related to the change in the sign of the near anode voltage.

Inside the spots the anode behaves like a mini-cathode, in that the sign of the current density and electric field is reversed. In other words, anode spot operates as a unipolar glow discharge.

The above-described physics is different from the physics revealed by the recent modelling\cite{15,16} of plasma balls, that form on a small anode in contact with a low-pressure plasma and sometimes are termed anode spots. In particular, no double layers were found in the present modelling. One of the reasons of the difference is that the plasma balls appears not to be a self-organization phenomenon; note that its size is bigger than the width of the electrode. The difference in plasma pressure may contribute as well.

Bombardment on the anode by low-kinetic energy ions occurs inside the spots. An interesting hypothesis is that the ions incident on the anode contribute to or are responsible for the cancer-inhibiting effect reported in\cite{18}.

The modelling reported in this work should not be interpreted as an attempt to quantitatively describe parameters of anode spots. Merely, the aim was to prove the possibility of self-consistently describing self-organized anode spots on the basis of multiple solutions existing in the same range of discharge currents, which was achieved. The model may be used for qualitative analysis, and certainly some interesting trends have emerged. Nevertheless it is well known that a detailed account of the complex plasma chemistry of a helium discharge, and the nonlocality of electron energy ought to be included. Another aspect that needs to be improved is a description of the near-electrode sheath, which is collisionless inside the spot.

\section{Acknowledgments}

The work was supported by FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia of Portugal through the project Pest-OE/UID/FIS/50010/2013.

\section{References}


This figure "Figure1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/1802.07659v2
This figure "Figure2.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/1802.07659v2
This figure "Figure_3.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/1802.07659v2
This figure "figure4.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/1802.07659v2
This figure "figure5.jpg" is available in "jpg" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/1802.07659v2
This figure "Figure6.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arxiv.org/ps/1802.07659v2