

CLASSIFICATION OF TENSOR DECOMPOSITIONS OF II_1 FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH POLY-HYPERBOLIC GROUPS

ROLANDO DE SANTIAGO AND SUJAN PANT

ABSTRACT. We demonstrate von Neumann algebra arising from an icc group Γ in Chifan's, Ioana's, and Kida's class of $\text{poly-}\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}}$, such as a poly-hyperbolic group with no amenable factors in its composition series, satisfies the following rigidity phenomenon discovered in [DHI16] (see also [CdSS17]): every tensor decomposition of the II_1 factor $L(\Gamma)$ must arise from direct product decomposition of Γ by groups which are $\text{poly-}\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}}$. Through heavy usage and developments of the techniques in [CdSS15], we improve the second author's and their collaborator's work in [CKP14] by providing group-level criteria for determining whether a group von Neumann algebra is prime: $L(\Gamma)$ is prime precisely when the group is indecomposable as a direct product of non-amenable groups. We further demonstrate that all tensor decompositions of finite index subalgebras of $L(\Gamma)$ correspond to a splitting of Γ as a product by groups which are also $\text{poly-}\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}}$ *up to commensurability*.

1. INTRODUCTION

An important topic in structural properties of II_1 factors is the classification of an algebra's tensor decompositions. In his seminal work, Popa provided the first result in this direction by demonstrating that the non-separable von Neumann algebra arising from the free group on uncountably many generators, $L(\mathbb{F}_S)$, cannot be written as a tensor product of II_1 factors [Po83]. Popa called von Neumann algebras which exhibit this indecomposability property *prime*. Primeness results for separable II_1 factors remained elusive for over a decade; only after Ge's adaptation of Voiculescu's free probability theory were the separable free group factor(s), $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$ with $n \geq 2$, shown to be prime [Ge98]. Ge conjectured that the internal structure of $L(\mathbb{F}_n)$ should in fact have greater restriction on relative commutants of subalgebras. By developing C^* algebraic methods, Ozawa provided a sweeping generalization of previous works and confirmed Ge's suspicions by proving that all II_1 factors arising from non-amenable icc hyperbolic groups are in fact *solid*, i.e. the relative commutant of any diffuse subalgebra remains amenable. Developing the innovative framework of deformation/rigidity, Popa provided an alternative approach for establishing solidity for the free group factors exclusively contained within von Neumann algebra theory [Po06]. The advent of these methods paved the way for much of intense research activity over the past decade [Oz04, OP07, CH08, CI08, CP10, Fi10, Si10, Va10, CS11, CSU11, SW11, HV12, Bo12, BHR12, DI12, CKP14, Is14, HI15, Ho15, DHI16, Is16].

1.1. Statement of the main results. In [DHI16], Drimbe, Hoff and Ioana prove that if Γ is an icc group which is measure equivalent to a direct product of hyperbolic icc groups, then every tensor decomposition of $L(\Gamma)$ into II_1 factors $P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$ must arise from direct product decomposition of the group Γ . In an investigation independent of this project, Chifan,

R.dS. was partially supported by a grant at from Sloan Center for Exemplary Mentoring, NSF Grant DMS # 1600688 and NSF RTG DMS # 1344970.

Sucpikarnon, and the first author uncovered the same phenomenon occurs the setting of von Neumann algebras arising from a large collection of groups stemming from amalgamated free product and HNN extensions [CdSS17].

A natural extension to the result of [DHI16] is to investigate whether the algebras induced from a subcollection of poly-hyperbolic groups, those whose quotients are non-amenable hyperbolic and more generally Chifan, Ioana and Kida's class $\text{Quot}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$, admit similar classification of their respective tensor product decompositions. Chifan, Kida, and Pant established primeness for the group von Neumann algebras of icc groups in the collection $\text{Quot}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}}) \cap NC_1$ (see [CSU13, Notation 0.1]). Eliminating the assumption that the group lies in the class NC_1 , we combine the methods and results from [PV11, PV12, Va13, CIK13, CKP14, CdSS15] to demonstrate that whenever $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ is an icc group, every decomposition of $L(\Gamma)$ as a tensor product of II_1 factors is in correspondence with decomposition Γ as a direct product. Moreover, we are also able to show that each factor which decomposes a group Γ as a direct product is contained within the collection $\text{Quot}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ thereby providing a group-level criterion for deducing primeness of the resulting factors.

Theorem A. *Let $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ be an icc group and suppose there exist II_1 factors P_1, P_2 such that $L(\Gamma) \cong P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$. Then there exist subgroups $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \leq \Gamma$, a unitary $u \in \mathcal{U}(L(\Gamma))$, positive integers n_1, n_2 , and a scalar $t > 0$ such that:*

- (1) $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ with $\Gamma_i \in \text{Quot}_{n_i}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ and $n_1 + n_2 = n$; and
- (2) $P_1^t = uL(\Gamma_1)u^*$ and $P_2^{1/t} = uL(\Gamma_2)u^*$.

Recall that A. Connes' landmark result implies that no algebraic information of an icc amenable group Γ be recovered from the algebra $L(\Gamma)$ [Co76]. For instance, $L(S_\infty) \cong L(S_\infty \times S_\infty)$, but S_∞ does not admit a direct product decomposition. Despite the fact the assignment $\Gamma \mapsto L(\Gamma)$ often fails to retain properties of the underlying group, Theorem A demonstrates that the algebra $L(\Gamma)$ can indeed “remember” the direct product structure of the group Γ for a prominent class of groups.

Since the class \mathcal{C}_{rss} is closed under *commensurability*, so is each class $\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$. Notably, this property allows us to classify not only every tensor product decomposition of $L(\Gamma)$ but also classify every tensor product decomposition of finite index subalgebras of $L(\Gamma)$.

Theorem B. *Let $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ be an icc group and $p \in \mathcal{P}(L(\Gamma))$ be a projection. Suppose there exists $P_1, \dots, P_k \subset pL(\Gamma)p$ commuting diffuse subalgebras generating a finite index subalgebra of $pL(\Gamma)p$. Then there exists a projection $p_i \in P_i$, finite index subfactors $Q_i \subset p_i P_i p_i$, groups $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k$, and a unitary $u \in pL(\Gamma)p$ such that*

- (1) $Q_i \subset p_i u^* L(\Gamma_i) u p_i$ is a finite index inclusion of algebras so that $\Gamma_i \in \text{Quot}_{n_i}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$, and
- (2) Γ is commensurable to $\Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_k$.

In particular, $L(\Gamma)$ is prime if and only if Γ is not commensurable to a non-trivial direct product of groups in $\text{Quot}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$.

As a simple corollary to the theorems above, we obtain a unique prime decomposition similar to that of Ozawa and Popa for these group von Neumann algebras [OP03, Corollary 3].

Theorem C. *Suppose $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$ and $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_m$ are groups such that $\Gamma_i \in \text{Quot}_{n_i}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ and $\Lambda_i \in \text{Quot}_{m_j}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$. If $L(\Lambda_i)$ and $L(\Gamma_j)$ are prime II_1 factors so that $L(\Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_m) \cong L(\Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_m)$, then $n = m$ and we have $L(\Gamma_i) \cong L(\Lambda_i)$, up to permutation and amplification.*

1.2. Comments on the Proof. The class of groups \mathcal{C}_{rss} is comprised of all groups which satisfy the dichotomy result for the classification of normalizers for crossed products by non-elementary hyperbolic groups of Popa and Vaes [PV12]. We utilize this criterion to infer algebraic and analytic structural properties Γ and $L(\Gamma)$, respectively. To give a brief outline of the strategy involved, assume $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ is an n -step extension by groups in \mathcal{C}_{rss} .

We begin by exploiting the aforementioned analytic aspects of $L(\Gamma)$ to conclude that whenever $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$, then either: Γ_1 is finite and $\Gamma_2 \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$; Γ_2 is finite and $\Gamma_1 \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$; or there exist positive integers n_1, n_2 so that $\Gamma_i \in \text{Quot}_{n_i}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$. This result holds in greater generality. If we instead assume Γ has two commuting subgroups which generate a finite index subgroup of Γ , than a parallel statement holds.

In [CdSS15], Chifan, Sinclair and the first author prove that whenever two groups Γ and Λ are such that $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_k$ is a k -fold product of icc hyperbolic groups such with $L(\Gamma) \cong L(\Lambda)$, then Λ is necessarily a k -fold product of non-amenable groups. This so-called product rigidity result describes a non-trivial procedure whereby one transfers the existence of commuting algebras into the existence of large commuting subgroups of the mystery group Λ , which can then be perturbed to decompose the group Λ into a non-trivial direct product. Most notably, this provides a case where the analytic properties of the algebra $L(\Lambda)$ can be correlated to algebraic data of the group Λ . Adapting this procedure to the situation where $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ and $L(\Gamma) \cong P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$ for some pair P_i of II_1 factors, then the classification for normalizers allows us to assume that a corner of P_1 can be embedded, in the sense of Popa, into $L(\Gamma_1)$ for some normal subgroup $\Gamma_1 \triangleleft \Gamma$. Γ_1 is necessarily an $n - 1$ -step extension by groups in \mathcal{C}_{rss} . This, in conjunction with intertwining results found within [CKP14], will lead to the following two cases:

- (1) A corner of P_1 is conjugate to a finite index subalgebra of a corner of $L(\Lambda)$, or
- (2) A corner of P_1 and its relative commutant generate a finite index subalgebra of a corner of $L(\Lambda)$.

The former case allows us to identify P_1 with $L(\Gamma_1)$ and applying a procedure presented in [CdSS15] we have that Γ_1 the centralizer $\Gamma_2 = C_\Gamma(\Gamma_1)$ effectively decompose Γ as a direct product. While case (2) requires a more detailed analysis, the proof essentially follows the same line of argument as case (1).

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank Professor Ionuț Chifan for proposing the original idea from which this work was developed. We are deeply indebted to him for his persistence, patience, guidance, mentorship, and encouragement throughout the development of this work.

2. PRELIMINARIES

A von Neumann algebra M is a unital, SOT closed, $*$ -subalgebra of $B(H)$ for some Hilbert space H . As proven by Murray and von Neumann, $M \subset B(H)$ is a von Neumann algebra if and only if $M = M''$, where $M' = \{y \in B(H) : xy = yx \ \forall x \in M\}$ is the commutant of M [MvN36]. A von Neumann algebra M is termed a *factor* if the center is trivial, i.e. $\mathcal{Z}(M) = M \cap M' \cong \mathbb{C}$.

Unless explicitly stated, we shall assume all von Neumann algebras considered are separable (in the sense that they act upon a countable Hilbert space) and all inclusions of von Neumann algebras $P \subseteq M$ are considered unital. Whenever $P \subseteq M$ is an inclusion of algebras, $P' \cap M$ is the *relative commutant of P inside M* . The *center* of a von Neumann algebra is $M \cap M'$, denoted simply as $\mathcal{Z}(M)$. Given von Neumann subalgebras $P, Q \subset M$, $P \vee Q$ denotes the smallest subalgebra of M containing both P and Q , and if $\{P_i \subseteq M : i \in I\}$ is a collection of von Neumann subalgebras of M , $\bigvee_{i \in I} P_i$ denotes the smallest subalgebra of M containing $\bigcup_{i \in I} P_i$. If $P \subseteq M$ is a von Neumann subalgebra, the *normalizer* of P inside M is the subgroup of unitaries $\mathcal{N}_M(P) = \{u \in \mathcal{U}(M) : uPu^* = P\}$. A von Neumann algebra is *tracial* if there exists a normal, faithful, tracial state $\tau : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and *finite* if $\tau(1_M) = 1$. When M is a factor, any tracial state τ will be the unique such state.

A von Neumann algebra M is type II_1 if M is infinite dimensional and is tracial. Whenever M is a type II_1 factor, $\tau(\mathcal{P}(M)) = [0, 1]$. For every scalar $0 \leq t \leq 1$, the *amplification of M by t* is the von Neumann algebra $M^t = pMp$, where $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ is any projection with $\tau(p) = t$. It is well-known that the isomorphism class of M^t is independent of the choice of projection p since for any projection q such that $\tau(p) = \tau(q) = t$, the algebras pMp and qMq are unitarily conjugate via a unitary in M . We may extend the definition M^t to all scalars $t > 0$ by taking a sufficiently large integer n and projection p in $M_n(M)$ with $\tau \circ \text{Tr}_n(p) = t$, where $\text{Tr}_n : M_n(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the standard trace on $n \times n$ matrices.

To a discrete countable group Γ , Murray and von Neumann describe how one associates a von Neumann algebra via the *left regular representation*: Consider the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\Gamma)$, the space of square summable sequences indexed by Γ . For every $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we can define a unitary $u_\gamma \in B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ by linearly extending the map $u_\gamma(\delta_g) = \delta_{\gamma g}$ where the collection $\{\delta_g\}_{g \in \Gamma}$ are the standard basis vectors for $\ell^2(\Gamma)$. The group von Neumann algebra $L(\Gamma) \subset B(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ is the von Neumann algebra generated by the *canonical unitaries* $\{u_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$. All groups considered herein will be discrete, unless specified otherwise.

Fix a group discrete Γ . We denote conjugation of $\gamma \in \Gamma$ by $\lambda \in \Gamma$ as $\gamma^\lambda = \lambda^{-1}\gamma\lambda$. For each group element $\gamma \in \Gamma$, and a subset $S \subset \Gamma$ we denote the orbit of γ under conjugation by S as $\gamma^S = \{\gamma^s : s \in S\}$. A group is said to be of *infinite conjugacy class*, hereby initialized as icc, if for every $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{e\}$ we have $|\gamma^\Gamma| = \infty$. A well-know result of Murray and von Neumann states the algebra $L(\Gamma)$ is a type II_1 factor if and only if Γ is an icc group [MvN43]. In this case, the the unique normal faithful tracial state $\tau : L(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\tau(x) = \langle x\delta_e, \delta_e \rangle$. We let $C_\Gamma(S) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : \gamma s = s\gamma \ \forall s \in S\}$ denote the centralizer of a set $S \subset \Gamma$, and $\mathcal{V}_\Gamma(S) = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma^S| < \infty\}$ will denote the *virtual centralizer of S inside Γ* . Given subsets $S, T \subset \Gamma$, $ST = \{st : s \in S, t \in T\}$ is the collection of all products of elements in S and T .

2.1. Inclusions of Algebras. A von Neumann algebra M is *diffuse* if there does not exist a non-zero projection $p \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ so that $pMp = \mathbb{C}p$, i.e. M does not contain any minimal projections. M is *prime* if M cannot be written as a tensor product of any two diffuse von Neumann algebras.

Given a tracial von Neumann algebra (M, τ) , we define $L^2(M)$ as the Hilbert space completion of M with regards to the sesquilinear form $\tau : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ given by $\langle x, y \rangle = \tau(y^*x)$. Let $P \subset M$ be an inclusion tracial of von Neumann algebras with trace τ . The *basic construction* [Ch79] $\langle M, e_P \rangle \subset \mathbb{B}(L^2(M))$ is the smallest von Neumann algebra generated by

M and the orthogonal projection $e_P : L^2(M) \rightarrow L^2(P)$. $\langle M, e_P \rangle$ is endowed with a faithful *semi-finite* trace Tr given by $Tr(xe_P y) = \tau(xy)$, for all $x, y \in M$. Also, we note that $E_P := e_{P|M} : M \rightarrow P$ is the unique τ -preserving conditional expectation onto P .

If $P \subset M$ is an inclusion of von Neumann algebras, a state $\phi : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is said to be P -central if $\phi(mx) = \phi(xm)$ for every $x \in P$ and every $m \in M$. A tracial von Neumann algebra (M, τ) is *amenable* if there exists an M -central state $\phi : B(L^2(M)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ so that $\phi|_M = \tau$. By the celebrated result of A. Connes, a von Neumann algebra is amenable if and only if it is approximately finite dimensional, i.e. $M = \varinjlim M_n$ for an increasing sequence of finite dimensional algebras M_n [Co76]. In the spirit of Popa's intertwining techniques (see 3.1), Ozawa and Popa described a relative version of this concept which proves useful in the analysis of structural properties of subalgebras.:

Definition 2.1. [OP07, Section 2.2] *Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra, $p \in M$ a projection, and $P \subset pMp, Q \subset M$ von Neumann subalgebras. We say that P is amenable relative to Q inside M if there exists a P -central state $\phi : p\langle M, e_Q \rangle p \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi(x) = \tau(x)$, for all $x \in pMp$.*

The classical notion of amenability is recovered by letting $Q = \mathbb{C}$. More generally, if Q is amenable and P is amenable relative to Q , then P is necessarily amenable. This definition is fruitful for numerous reasons, not the least of which its strong semblance to that definition of relative amenability for groups: as an amenable group von Neumann algebra corresponds to an amenable group, so does relative amenability of group von Neumann algebras coincide with relative amenability of the groups, i.e. given a group Γ and a pair of subgroups $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2 \leq \Gamma$, then $\Lambda_1 < \Gamma$ is amenable relative to Λ_2 inside Γ is amenable relative to Λ_2 inside Γ if and only if $L(\Lambda_1)$ is amenable relative to $L(\Lambda_2)$ inside $L(\Gamma)$. Should the ambient algebra M be understood from context, we simply state that P is amenable relative to Q .

Given an inclusion $P \subset M$ of II_1 factors, the *Jones index* of $P \subset M$, denoted $[M : P]$, is the dimension of $L^2(M)$ as a (left) P module. For an arbitrary inclusion of tracial von Neumann algebras $P \subset M$, Pimsner and Popa defined a probabilistic notion of index via the conditional expectation from M onto P .

Definition 2.2 (Pimsner & Popa, [PP86]). *Let (M, τ) be a tracial von Neumann algebra with a von Neumann subalgebra P . Let*

$$\lambda = \inf \{ \|E_P(x)\|_2^2 / \|x\|_2^2 : x \in M_+ \}.$$

The Pimsner-Popa index of the inclusion $P \subseteq M$ is defined as $[M : P]_{PP} = \lambda^{-1}$, under the convention that $\frac{1}{0} = \infty$.

Theorem 2.3 ([Jo81, PP86]). *Suppose $P \subset M$ is an inclusion of tracial von Neumann algebras. Then the following hold:*

- (1) *If $P \subset M$ is an inclusion of II_1 factors, then $[M : P]_{PP} = [M : P]$*
- (2) *If $[M : P]_{PP} < \infty$ and $p \in P$ is a projection, $[pMp : pPp] < \infty$;*
- (3) *If P is a II_1 factor and $[M : P]_{PP} < \infty$ then $P' \cap M$ is finite dimensional;*
- (4) *If $P \subset M$ is an inclusion of II_1 factors with $[M : P]_{PP} < \infty$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(P' \cap M) < \infty$.*

Whenever Γ a discrete group and Λ a finite index subgroup, it follows $[L(\Gamma) : L(\Lambda)]_{PP}$ is finite as well. In [CdSS15], the authors provide a generalized converse to this fact.

Proposition 2.4 ([CdSS15]). *Let $\Omega \leq \Lambda \leq \Theta$ be groups such that there exists projections $p \in L(\Omega)$ and $z \in L(\Lambda)' \cap L(\Theta)$ so that $pz \neq 0$ and $[pL(\Lambda)pz : pL(\Omega)pz]_{PP} < \infty$. Then $[\Lambda : \Omega] < \infty$.*

3. POPA'S INTERTWINING TECHNIQUES

To describe the structure of von Neumann algebras, Popa introduced a powerful new conceptual framework: *deformation/rigidity theory*. This methodology includes a powerful criteria for identifying intertwiners between subalgebras of type II_1 factors, now called *Popa's intertwining-by-bimodules techniques*. Much of the recent progress in classifying von Neumann algebras can be largely attributed to this philosophy.

Theorem 3.1 (Popa, [Po03]). *Let (M, τ) be a separable tracial von Neumann algebra and P, Q be two (not necessarily unital) von Neumann subalgebras of M . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exist non-zero projections $p \in P, q \in Q$, a $*$ -homomorphism $\theta : pPp \rightarrow qQq$ and a non-zero partial isometry $v \in qMp$ such that $\theta(x)v = vx$, for all $x \in pPp$.*
- (2) *Let $\mathcal{G} \subset P$ be a group of unitaries generating P . There is no sequence $u_n \in \mathcal{G}$ satisfying $\|E_Q(xu_n y)\|_2 \rightarrow 0$, for all $x, y \in M$.*

If either of the equivalent conditions hold, we say P *intertwines into* Q *over* M , denoted $P \prec_M Q$. Should $Pz \prec_M Q$ for every $z \in P' \cap M$, then we say P *strongly intertwines into* Q and denote this property by $P \prec_M^s Q$. Whenever the ambient algebra M is clear from context, we suppress the subscript and write $P \prec Q$ (or $P \prec^s Q$) whenever P (strongly) intertwines into Q .

Fix a trace preserving action $\Gamma \curvearrowright (N, \tau)$ of a group Γ on a tracial von Neumann algebra (N, τ) and let S be a collection of subgroups of Γ . A set $\mathcal{F} \subset \Gamma$ is *small relative to* S if \mathcal{F} is contained in a finite union of left/right translates of groups in S , i.e. there exist a finite collection $g_1, \dots, g_j, h_1, \dots, h_j \in \Gamma$, $\Sigma_i \in S$ so that $\mathcal{F} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^j g_i \Sigma_i$ [BO08]. Clearly, if S is a collection of normal subgroups of Γ , then we need only consider right translates of S . A resource detailing the interplay between small sets and intertwining techniques can be found in [Va10].

In general, if $P_1, P_2 \subset M$ are subalgebras such that P_1 and P_2 both intertwine into a common algebra Q , one cannot make any conclusion about $P_1 \cap P_2$ or $P_1 \vee P_2$ without additional assumptions. We proceed by describing an instance where if one has multiple commuting subalgebras P_1, \dots, P_k of M each of which strongly intertwine into subalgebras Q_1, \dots, Q_k respectively, one can construct a common subalgebra $Q \subset M$ where $\bigvee_{i=1}^k P_i \prec Q$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\Gamma \curvearrowright (N, \tau)$ be a trace preserving action of a group on a II_1 factor N and denote by $M = N \rtimes \Gamma$.*

Suppose there exists normal subgroups $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_k \triangleleft \Gamma$ and pairwise commuting subalgebras $P_1, \dots, P_k \subset M$ so that $P_i \prec_M^s N \rtimes \Sigma_i$. Then if $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cdots \Sigma_k$,

$$\bigvee_{i=1}^k A_i \prec_M N \rtimes \Sigma.$$

Proof. Given a set $\mathcal{F} \subset \Gamma$, $P_{\mathcal{F}}$ is the orthogonal projection from $L^2(M)$ to the closed linear span of $\{n_g u_g : n_g \in n, g \in \mathcal{F}\}$. Fixing $1 > \varepsilon > 0$, $P_1 \prec_M^s N \rtimes \Sigma_1$ implies there exists a set

$\mathcal{F}_1 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{j_1} \Sigma_1 \gamma_i$ small relative to Σ_1 so that

$$\|a_1 - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_1}(a_1)\| < \varepsilon/2.$$

Recursively choose $\mathcal{F}_i \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{j_i} \Sigma_i \gamma_{j,i}$ small relative to Σ_i so that whenever $a_i \in A_i$ with $\|a_i\| \leq 1$ we have

$$(1) \quad \|a_i - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_i}(a_i)\| \leq \varepsilon/(kj_1 \cdots j_{i-1}).$$

Now since the algebras P_1, \dots, P_k pairwise commute, we see

$$(2) \quad \|a_1 \cdots a_k - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}}(a_1 \cdots a_k)\|_2 \leq \|a_1 \cdots a_k - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_1}(a_1) \cdots \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_k}(a_k)\|_2$$

Combining equations 1 and (2), we now have

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_1 \cdots a_k - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}}(a_1 \cdots a_k)\|_2 &\leq \|(a_1 - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_1}(a_1))(a_2 \cdots a_k)\|_2 \\ &\quad + \|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_1}(a_1)(a_2 \cdots a_k - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_2}(a_2) \cdots \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_k}(a_k))\|_2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon/k + \|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_1}(a_1)(a_2 \cdots a_k - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_2}(a_2) \cdots \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_k}(a_k))\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since \mathcal{F}_1 is contained j_1 left translates of Σ_1 , $\|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_1}(a_1)\|_2 \leq j_1\|a_1\|_2$. Thus the inequality above implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_1 \cdots a_k - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}}(a_1 \cdots a_k)\|_2 &\leq \varepsilon/k + j_1\|a_1\| \|a_2 \cdots a_k - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_2}(a_2) \cdots \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_k}(a_k)\|_2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon/k + j_1\|(a_2 - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_2}(a_2))(a_3 \cdots a_k)\|_2 \\ &\quad + j_1\|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_2}(a_2)(a_3 \cdots a_k - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_3}(a_3) \cdots \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_k}(a_k))\|_2 \\ &\leq \varepsilon/k + j_1\|a_2 - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_2}(a_2)\|_2 \\ &\quad + j_1\|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_2}(a_2)(a_3 \cdots a_k - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_3}(a_3) \cdots \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_k}(a_k))\|_2 \\ &\leq 2\varepsilon/k + j_1j_2\|a_3 \cdots a_k - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_3}(a_3) \cdots \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}_k}(a_k)\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Repeated application of this procedure will then yield

$$(3) \quad \|a_1 \cdots a_k - \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}}(a_1 \cdots a_k)\|_2 < \varepsilon.$$

Note that the normality of the groups Σ_i yield that the set $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_1 \cdots \mathcal{F}_k$ is contained in a finite union of right translates of $\Sigma = \Sigma_1 \cdots \Sigma_k \triangleleft \Gamma$. Thus there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \Gamma$ so that

$$\mathcal{F} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \Sigma \lambda_i.$$

Thus $\|\sum_{i=1}^n P_{\mathcal{F}}(a_1 \cdots a_k u_{\lambda_i})\|_2^2 \geq \|\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{F}}(a_1 \cdots a_k)\|_2^2 > 1 - \varepsilon$ for every $a_- \in P_i$ with $\|a_i\| \leq 1$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \|E_{N \rtimes \Sigma}(w u_{\lambda_i})\|_2^2 \geq \|\sum_{i=1}^n E_{N \rtimes \Sigma}(w u_{\lambda_i})\|_2^2 > 1 - \varepsilon$$

where w is any unitary of the form $w = u_1 \cdots u_k$ with $u_i \in \mathcal{U}(P_i)$. As unitaries of this form generate $P_1 \vee \cdots \vee P_k$, Theorem 3.1 establishes the result. \square

4. FINITE STEP EXTENSIONS OF GROUPS

Fixing a class of groups \mathcal{C} , a discrete countable group Γ is a *finite step extension by \mathcal{C}* if there exists a chain of groups and homomorphisms

$$(4) \quad \Gamma = \Gamma_n \xrightarrow{\pi_n} \Gamma_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} 1$$

such that for every $1 \leq k \leq n$, $\pi_k : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma_{k-1}$ is a surjective homomorphism with $\ker(\pi_k) \in \mathcal{C}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C})$ denotes the collection of all groups which are n -step extensions by \mathcal{C} . We denote the collection of all finite step extension by \mathcal{C} by $\text{Quot}(\mathcal{C}) = \cup \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C})$. Combining the results in both [CIK13] and [CKP14], we have the following facts for the collection of groups $\text{Quot}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proposition 4.1 ([CIK13, CKP14]). *Let \mathcal{C} be any class of groups.*

- (1) *If $\rho : \Lambda \rightarrow \Gamma$ with $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C})$ and $\ker(\rho) \in \mathcal{C}$, then $\Lambda \in \text{Quot}_{n+1}(\mathcal{C})$.*
- (2) *If $\Gamma_i \in \text{Quot}_{n_i}(\mathcal{C})$, $\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_k \in \text{Quot}_{n_1 + \cdots + n_k}(\mathcal{C})$.*
- (3) *If \mathcal{C} is closed under commensurability (up to finite kernel), then so is $\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C})$.*
- (4) *If $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C})$ with $\pi_n : \Gamma_k \rightarrow \Gamma_{k-1}$ a family as in the definition of $\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C})$ and $\rho_k := \pi_2 \circ \cdots \circ \pi_n$, then $\ker \rho_n \in \text{Quot}_{n-1}(\mathcal{C})$.*

A generalization of part (4) of Proposition 4.1 may be verified using the following construction. Given any group $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C})$ with $n > 2$ we may recursively define the following family of groups: First let $\Gamma_n^{(0)} = \Gamma_n$. For $0 < j \leq n-1$, suppose we have

$$\Gamma_n^{(j-1)} \xrightarrow{\pi_n} \Gamma_{n-1}^{(j-1)} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_{j+1}} \Gamma_j^{(j-1)} \rightarrow 1$$

with be a sequence of surjections with $\ker(\pi_i) \in \mathcal{C}$. Defining $\rho_k^{(j-1)} = \pi_{j+1} \circ \cdots \circ \pi_k$ and $\Gamma_k^{(j)} = \ker \rho_k^{(j-1)}$, by appropriately restricting π_k we now have

$$\Gamma_n^{(j)} \xrightarrow{\pi_n} \Gamma_{n-1}^{(j)} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_{j+1}} \Gamma_j^{(j)} = 1$$

is a chain satisfying the conditions implying $\Gamma_n^{(j)} \in \text{Quot}_{n-j}(\mathcal{C})$. More generally we have for $0 \leq j < k \leq n$:

- $\Gamma_k^{(j)} \in \text{Quot}_{k-j}(\mathcal{C})$,
- $\Gamma_k^{(j)} \triangleright \Gamma_k^{(j-1)}$,
- $\Gamma_k^{(j)} / \Gamma_k^{(j-1)} \in \mathcal{C}$,
- $\Gamma_n \triangleright \Gamma_n^{(1)} \triangleright \cdots \triangleright \Gamma_n^{(n-1)} \triangleright 1$ with $\Gamma_{n-1}^{(j)} / \Gamma_n^{(j+1)} \in \mathcal{C}$

Hence an equivalent characterization of $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C})$ is Γ is *poly- \mathcal{C}* with Hirsch length n .

If \mathcal{C} is a class of groups closed under commensurability (up to finite kernel), then we generalize the definition of $\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C})$ there exists a chain as in (4)

$$(5) \quad \Gamma_n \rightarrow \Gamma_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \Gamma_1 \rightarrow 1$$

with Γ commensurable (up to finite kernel) to Γ_n . In this situation, we must take care as commensurability may introduce unexpected variability. For instance, if we take the family of all non-amenable free groups \mathcal{F} , naturally $\mathbb{F}_4 \in \text{Quot}_1(\mathcal{F})$. The canonical surjection $\mathbb{F}_4 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ demonstrates the fact $\mathbb{F}_4 \in \text{Quot}_2(\mathcal{F})$. In general, $\mathbb{F}_{2n} \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{F})$. As all non-amenable free groups are commensurable, $\mathbb{F}_2 \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{F})$ for every n . Thus we impose the following minimality condition in the definition of $\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C})$:

Definition 4.2. Let \mathcal{C} be a class of groups closed under commensurability (up to finite kernel). $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}(\mathcal{C})$ if there exists a chain of surjections

$$\Gamma_n \xrightarrow{\pi_n} \Gamma_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-1}^{-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\pi_3} \Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} 1$$

so that Γ is commensurable to Γ_n , $\ker(\pi_k) \in \mathcal{C}$. $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C})$ if n is the smallest positive integer such that Γ is a k -step extension by \mathcal{C} .

5. RELATIVE STRONG SOLIDITY AND POLY-HYPERBOLIC GROUPS

A von Neumann algebra M is said to be *solid* if for any diffuse subalgebra $D \subset M$, the relative commutant $D' \cap M$ is amenable; M is *strongly solid* if the normalizer $\mathcal{N}_M(A)$ of any diffuse amenable subalgebra $A \subseteq M$ generates an amenable subalgebra of M . When M is non-amenable, strong solidity implies solidity, which in turn yields primeness.

Definition 5.1 ([CKP14]). Given a group Σ , $\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}}(\Sigma)$ is the collection of all non-amenable, exact groups containing Σ as a proper malnormal subgroup so that the following holds: Assume $\Gamma \curvearrowright N$ is any trace preserving action on a tracial von Neumann algebra N and denote $M = N \rtimes \Gamma$. Let $p \in M$ be a projection and $P \subset pMp$ a subalgebra amenable relative to $N \rtimes \Sigma$. Then either

- (1) $P \prec_M B \rtimes \Sigma$, or
- (2) $\mathcal{N}_{pMp}(P)''$ is amenable relative to $N \rtimes \Sigma$.

Our work concerns the case where $\Sigma = \{e\}$ and thus for ease of notation we set $\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}} := \mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}}(\{e\})$. The following is a summary of known facts of the class \mathcal{C}_{rss}

- (1) [PV11] Any weakly amenable group with positive first ℓ^2 -Betti number is in \mathcal{C}_{rss} .
- (2) [PV12] Any non-amenable, weakly amenable, biexact group is in \mathcal{C}_{rss} , e.g. non-amenable hyperbolic groups.
- (3) [VV14] \mathcal{C}_{rss} is closed under commensurability up to finite kernel.

If \mathcal{F} denotes the collection of groups commensurable to the non-amenable free groups, \mathcal{H} non-elementary hyperbolic groups and all non-amenable, non-trivial free product of exact groups, then we have the following elementary inclusions:

$$\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}}.$$

Hence all poly-hyperbolic groups no amenable factors in its composition series are each poly- \mathcal{C}_{rss} groups. We note that In view of item (3) above, each collection of groups $\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ is closed under commensurability.

5.1. Mixed Surface Braid Groups. Let M be an orientable surface. For every fixed positive integer k , $F_k(M) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_k) \in M^k : x_i \neq x_k \forall i \neq j\}$ denotes the k -th configuration space of M . The symmetric group \mathcal{S}_k acts freely on $F_k(M)$ by permutation of the coordinates. The groups $PB_k(M) := \pi_1(F_k(M))$ and $B_k(M) := \pi_1(F_k(M)/\mathcal{S}_k)$ are the *pure braid group of k strands* and the *braid group of k strands* of the surface M , respectively. When $M = \mathbb{D}^2$, we recover the classical (pure/mixed) braid groups, and in this case we simply denote $B_k(\mathbb{D}^2)$, $P_k(\mathbb{D}^2)$, or $B_{k,j}(\mathbb{D}^2)$ by B_k , P_k and $B_{j,k}$, respectively.

Now, fix a pair of positive integers k and j . Regarded as a subgroup of S_{k+j} , $S_k \times S_j$ has a natural free action on $F_{k+j}(M)$ by allowing S_k to act on the first k coordinates and S_j on the remaining j coordinates. The group $B_{k,j}(M) := \pi_1(F_{k+j}(M)/(S_k \times S_j))$ is the *mixed braid group of (k, j) strands*. Note that there is a canonical embedding of $B_{k,j}(M)$

into $B_{k,j}(M)$. Following as in [CKP14], \tilde{P}_k , $\tilde{B}_{k,j}$, and \tilde{B}_j will denote the central quotients of the pure, mixed, and standard braid groups.

Fix j distinct points $x_1, \dots, x_j \in M$. The map $F_{k+j}(M)/(S_k \times S_j) \rightarrow F_j(M)/S_j$ given by forgetting the first k coordinates is a locally trivial fibration with fiber $F_k(M \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_j\})$ called the *Fadwell-Newarth fibration*. The long exact sequence in homotopy of this fibration yields the following short exact sequence for the mixed braid groups:

$$(6) \quad 1 \rightarrow B_k(M \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_j\}) \rightarrow B_{k,j}(M) \rightarrow B_j(M) \rightarrow 1$$

provided that $M \neq S^2$ or $\mathbb{R}P^2$ [FN62, Theorem 3, Corollary 2.2].

Let $M = \Sigma_{0,b}$ be an orientable genus $g = 0$ surface with b boundary components. Letting $k, j \geq 3$, Equation (6) becomes

$$1 \rightarrow B_k(\Sigma_{0,b+j}) \rightarrow B_{k,j}(M) \rightarrow B_j(M) \rightarrow 1,$$

where $\Sigma_{0,b+j}$ is a surface with $b+j$ boundary components. Passing to the central quotients, [CKP14, Theorem 3.5] implies that $\tilde{B}_{k,n}(M) \in \text{Quot}_{k+j-4}(\mathcal{F})$.

5.2. Direct Product Decompositions for $\text{Quot}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$. Fixing a group Γ in $\text{Quot}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$, we begin by demonstrating that the existence of large commuting subgroups Σ_1, Σ_2 inside the group Γ implies the existence of a *virtual* splitting of the group as a direct product. Essentially, we perturb the groups Σ_i to commensurable groups $\Lambda_i \leq \Gamma$ so that $\Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2$ are Γ are commensurable. This is achieved by examining the relationship between the analytic properties and algebraic properties of groups in the class \mathcal{C}_{rss} and subsequently investigating how these properties translate to all groups in $\text{Quot}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $p \in L(\Gamma)$ be a projection and $A, B \subset pL(\Gamma)p$ be two diffuse commuting subalgebras of $pL(\Gamma)p$ with $\Gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}}$. Then $[pL(\Gamma)p : A \vee B]_{PP} = \infty$. In particular if $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 < \Gamma$ are commuting subgroups such that $[\Gamma : \Sigma_1 \Sigma_2] < \infty$, then either Σ_1 or Σ_2 is finite.*

We omit the proof, as it is the base step of the induction argument of the main theorem of [CKP14].

Proposition 5.3. $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$. *Suppose there exist infinite groups such that Γ is commensurable to $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$. Then we may find $n_1, n_2 > 0$ such that $n_1 + n_2 = n$ with $\Sigma_i \in \text{Quot}_{n_i}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$.*

Proof. As $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$, there exists a chain

$$(7) \quad \Gamma_n \xrightarrow{\pi_n} \Gamma_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} 1$$

with Γ commensurable to Γ_n . As $\text{Quot}_j(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ is closed under commensurability for all j , after passing to a finite index subgroup, we may assume $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 = \Gamma_n$.

Let $\rho_n : \Gamma_n \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ be given by the concatenation of the homomorphisms given in (7). Note $\rho_n(\Sigma_1 \times \{e\}), \rho_n(\{e\} \times \Sigma_2)$ are commuting groups generating Γ_1 . Lemma 5.2 implies either $\rho_n(\Sigma_1 \times \{e\})$ or $\rho_n(\{e\} \times \Sigma_2)$ is finite. Symmetry allows us to assume $\ker(\pi_n|_{\Sigma_1}) = \Sigma < \Sigma_1$ is a finite index normal subgroup of Σ_1 with $\Sigma < \ker(\rho_n) = \Gamma_n^{(1)}$. $\Sigma \times \Sigma_2$ is a finite index subgroup of Γ_n . If we restrict ρ_n to $\Sigma \times \Sigma_2$, a simple calculation yields $\Gamma_n^{(1)} = \Sigma \times \Lambda$ where

$\Lambda = \ker(\rho_n|_{\Sigma_2})$. Thus we have

$$(8) \quad \frac{\Sigma_2}{\Lambda} \cong \frac{\Sigma \times \Sigma_2}{\Sigma \times \Lambda} < \frac{\Gamma_n}{\Gamma_n^{(1)}} \in \mathcal{C}_{rss}$$

Since Γ is commensurable to $\Sigma \times \Sigma_2$, the inclusion in (8) is a finite index inclusion of groups. Thus $\Sigma_2/\Lambda \in \mathcal{C}_{rss}$.

When $n = 2$, we have $\Gamma_2^{(1)} \in \mathcal{C}_{rss}$ and $\Gamma_2^{(1)} = \Sigma \times \Lambda$. Lemma 5.2 implies Λ is finite. Thus Σ is commensurable to $\Gamma_n^{(1)}$ yielding $\Sigma \in \text{Quot}_1(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$. Since $\Lambda \triangleleft \Sigma_2$ and Λ is finite, passing to a finite index subgroup of both Λ and Σ_2 , (8) shows $\Sigma_2 \in \mathcal{C}_{rss}$.

Now if the result holds for all groups in $\text{Quot}_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ up to some integer $n - 1$, we have $\Gamma_n^{(1)} \in \text{Quot}_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ with $\Gamma_n^{(1)} = \Sigma \times \Lambda$. If Λ is a finite group, then repeating the argument when $n = 2$ proves the result for n . If instead Λ is an infinite group, then by the induction hypothesis, we may find $n_1, n_2 > 0$ so that $n_1 + n_2 = n - 1$, $\Sigma \in \text{Quot}_{n_1}(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$, and $\Lambda \in \text{Quot}_{n_2}(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$. By (8), we then have $\Sigma_2 \in \text{Quot}_{n_2+1}(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$. Furthermore, Σ_1 is commensurable to Σ which by Propositions 4.1 ensures $\Sigma_1 \in \text{Quot}_{n_1}(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$. \square

Corollary 5.4. *Let $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ and suppose Γ is commensurable to $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$ with $\Sigma_1 \in \text{Quot}_j(\mathcal{C})$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Then either $n = j$ and Σ_2 is finite, or $j < n$ and $\Sigma_2 \in \text{Quot}_{n-j}(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$.*

Proof. By the minimality constraint in Definition 4.2, we naturally have $j \leq n$. If $j = n$ and Σ_2 were infinite, Proposition 5.3 yields $\Sigma_i \in \text{Quot}_{n_i}(\mathcal{C})$ for some $n > n_i \geq 1$, once again contradicting minimality.

Now suppose $1 \leq j < n$. If $n = 2$, by Proposition 5.3, we have the result. We momentarily define $\text{Quot}_0(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ as the collection of all finite groups. Proceeding as in the proof of the previous proposition, we have either

- (1) $\Gamma_n^{(1)}$ is commensurable to $\Sigma_1 \times \Lambda_2$
- (2) $\Gamma_n^{(1)}$ is commensurable to $\Lambda_1 \times \Sigma_2$,

where $\Lambda_i = \ker(\rho_n|_{\Sigma_i})$. In case (1), $\Sigma_1 \in \text{Quot}_a(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ and $\Lambda_2 \in \text{Quot}_b(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$, for $a, b \geq 0$ with $a + b = n - 1$. Hence $\Sigma_2 \in \text{Quot}_{b+1}(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$. By minimality $a \geq j$. If $a > j$, this would imply $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_{j+b+1}(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ where $j + b + 1 < n$ once again contradicting minimality. In case (2), a similar argument will guarantee $\Lambda \in \text{Quot}_{j-1}(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ and thus $\Sigma \in \text{Quot}_{n-j}(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$. \square

Further analysis of the claims above yields the following stronger statement. We will omit the proof as it follows almost identically

Corollary 5.5. *Let $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$. Suppose we have a chain witnessing $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C})_{rss}$*

$$\Gamma_n \xrightarrow{\pi_n} \Gamma_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\pi_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\pi_2} \Gamma_1 \xrightarrow{\pi_1} 1$$

with $[\Gamma_n : \Sigma_1 \Sigma_2] < \infty$ for some infinite commuting groups $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 < \Gamma_n$. Then there exists $n_1, n_2 > 0$ so that $n_1 + n_2 = n$ so that $\Sigma_i \in \text{Quot}_{n_i}(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$. Furthermore, if a priori we have $\Sigma_1 \in \text{Quot}_j$, then $\Sigma_2 \in \text{Quot}_{n-j}(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$.

6. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Through their analysis of the generalized comultiplication map described within [CIK13], Chifan, Kida, and the second authors work in [CKP14] establish primeness results for the

algebras coming from a large natural class of groups. These groups include most mapping class groups, central quotients of pure braid groups, Torelli groups, and Johnson kernels of punctured low-genus surfaces. We further Chifan's, Kida's and the second author's analysis of the algebras coming from groups in $\text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ by introducing to their work the techniques found within [CdSS15]. This combined approach will allow us to detect a direct product within the underlying group Γ whenever the algebra is non-prime.

To establish the main results, we set out the following notation taken [CIK13]. A group homomorphism $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$ lifts ρ to a $*$ -homomorphism of von Neumann algebras $\Delta : L(\Gamma) \rightarrow L(\Gamma) \bar{\otimes} L(\Lambda)$ by extending the map $u_\gamma \mapsto u_\gamma \otimes v_{\rho(\gamma)}$ where $\{u_\gamma\}_{\gamma \in \Gamma}, \{v_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ are the canonical unitaries of $L(\Gamma)$ and $L(\Lambda)$, respectively. When $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$ is the identity, this is precisely the comultiplication map along Γ . For a group $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$, we will consider the group homomorphism $\rho_n : \Gamma_n \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ as defined in the previous section. If $P_1, \dots, P_k \subset M$ are subalgebras of M , then $P_1 \vee \dots \vee P_k \subset M$ is defined to be the smallest von Neumann algebra in M containing $P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_k$. For every $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we denote $\hat{P}_j := P_1 \vee \dots \vee P_{j-1} \vee P_{j+1} \vee \dots \vee P_k$.

Lemma 6.1. *Let M be a type II_1 factor with $P_1, \dots, P_k \subset M$ diffuse commuting II_1 factors such that $P_1 \vee \dots \vee P_k \subset M$ is a finite index inclusion of algebras. Then there exists a projection $z \in M$ so that $\mathcal{Z}(Q_i z) \cong \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathcal{N}_M(P_i)'' = Q_i$.*

Proof. Letting $P = P_1 \vee \dots \vee P_k$, Theorem 2.3 part (d) implies $P' \cap M$ is finite dimensional. Notice $P \subset Q_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, k$ since P_i and $P'_i \cap M$ are both subalgebras of Q_i . Thus $\mathcal{Z}(Q_i)$ is finite dimensional since $\mathcal{Z}(Q_i) \subset Q'_i \cap M \subset P' \cap M$.

Now for any projection $z \in \mathcal{Z}(Q_i)$, we claim $Q_i z = \mathcal{N}_{zMz}(P_i z)''^1$. It suffices to show $\mathcal{N}_M(P_i)z = \mathcal{N}_{zMz}(P_i z)$. This follows clearly from the following facts: given any unitary $u \in \mathcal{N}_M(P_i)$, $(uz)^*uz = z = uz(uz)^*$; if $v \in \mathcal{U}(zMz)$ is a normalizing unitary of $P_i z$, then $v + (1 - z) \in \mathcal{U}(M)$ is a normalizing unitary of P_i .

Since the algebras $\mathcal{Z}(Q_i)$ pairwise commute, we may take any minimal projections $z_i \in \mathcal{Z}(Q_i)$ so that $z_i z_j = z_j z_i \neq 0$. Then

$$Az \subset zMz = N$$

is a finite index inclusion of algebras with $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{N}_N(P_i z)') = \mathcal{Z}(Q_i z) = \mathbb{C}z$. \square

Proposition 6.2. *Let $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ with $p \in L(\Gamma)$ a non-zero projection. Suppose there exist $A, B \subset pL(\Gamma)p$ diffuse commuting subalgebras so that $[pL(\Gamma)p : A \vee B]_{PP} < \infty$. Then either*

- (1) $A \prec_{L(\Gamma)} L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$, or
- (2) $B \prec_{L(\Gamma)} L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$.

Proof. First note $n \geq 2$ by Lemma 5.2. Let $P_0 \subset A$ be an amenable subalgebra. Letting $M = L(\Gamma)$, we see $\Delta(P_0)$ and $\Delta(B)$ are diffuse commuting subalgebras of $M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)$ with $\Delta(P_0)$ amenable. Since $\Gamma_1 \in \text{Quot}_1(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}}) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}}$, we have either

- (1) $\Delta(P_0) \prec M \bar{\otimes} 1$, or
- (2) $\mathcal{N}_{M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)}(\Delta(P_0))''$ is amenable relative to $M \bar{\otimes} 1$ in $M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)$.

Assume (2) holds. Noting $\Delta(B) \subset \mathcal{N}_{M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)}(\Delta(P_0))''$ yields $\Delta(B)$ is amenable relative to $M \bar{\otimes} 1$ inside $M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)$. Applying the dichotomy property of \mathcal{C}_{rss} either

¹This holds in much greater generality: the projection z can be taken to be any projection in $Q'_i \cap M$

- (3) $\Delta(B) \prec_{M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)} M \bar{\otimes} 1$, or
- (4) $\mathcal{N}_{M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)}(\Delta(B))''$ is amenable relative to $M \bar{\otimes} 1$ in $M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)$.

To summarize we have either

- (5) $\Delta(P_0) \prec_{M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)} M \bar{\otimes} 1$,
- (6) $\Delta(B) \prec_{M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)} M \bar{\otimes} 1$, or
- (7) $\Delta(A \vee B) \subset \mathcal{N}_{M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)}(\Delta(B))''$ is amenable relative to $M \bar{\otimes} 1$ in $M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)$.

We first show case (7) is impossible. Since $A \vee B$ is a finite index subalgebra of M , $M \prec^s A \vee B$ and hence M is amenable relative to $A \vee B$. By [OP07, Proposition 2.4], $\Delta(M)$ is amenable relative to $M \bar{\otimes} 1$ in $M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)$. However, [CIK13, Proposition 3.5] would imply $\rho(\Gamma) = \Gamma_1$ is amenable, a contradiction. Thus we only have case (5) and (6). Since P_0 was an arbitrary amenable subalgebra of A , by [BO08] we have either

- (8) $\Delta(A) \prec_{M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)} M \bar{\otimes} 1$, or
- (9) $\Delta(B) \prec_{M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)} M \bar{\otimes} 1$.

Noting $\ker(\rho_n) = L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$, the result follows from application of [CIK13, Proposition 3.4]. \square

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$. If $A, B \subset pL(\Gamma)p$ are diffuse commuting subalgebras with A amenable, then $[pL(\Gamma)p : A \vee B]_{PP} = \infty$.*

Proof. We proceed by induction on n . When $n = 1$, this follows from Lemma 5.2. Now assume the statement holds for all groups in $\text{Quot}_k(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ where $k \leq n - 1$ and take $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ with $A, B \subset pL(\Gamma)p$ as stated. Then $\Delta(A)$ is amenable and therefore amenable relative to $M \bar{\otimes} 1$ in $M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)$. Since $\Gamma_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{rss}$, either

- (1) $\Delta(A) \prec_{M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)} M \bar{\otimes} 1$, or
- (2) $\mathcal{N}_{M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)}(\Delta(A))''$ is amenable relative to $M \bar{\otimes} 1$ in $M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)$.

We first show (1) is impossible. If (1) were to hold, [CIK13, Proposition 3.4] implies $A \prec L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$. By [CKP14, Proposition 2.4], there exists a $*$ -isomorphism $\psi : p_1 A p_1 \rightarrow P_1 \subset qL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})q$ such that $P_1 \vee (P_1' \cap qL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})q) \subset qL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})q$ is a finite index inclusion of algebras. Since A is a diffuse amenable algebra, P_1 is also diffuse amenable. Since $\Gamma_n^{(1)}$ is non-amenable, $P_1' \cap qL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})q$ is non-amenable. Supposing $P_1' \cap qL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})q$ has an atomic corner, cutting by a minimal central projection z , $P_1 z \subset qzL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})qz$ is a finite index inclusion of algebra. Since $P_1 z$ is amenable is an amenable corner of $L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$, this would imply $\Gamma_n^{(1)}$ is an amenable group, a contradiction. If instead $P_1' \cap qL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})q$ were diffuse, this would contradict the induction hypothesis.

Now if (2) holds, the assumption $[M : A \vee B]_{PP} < \infty$ implies $\Delta(A \vee B) \subset M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)$ is also a finite index inclusion of algebras. Since $\Delta(A \vee B) \subset \mathcal{N}_{M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)}(\Delta(A))''$, $\Delta(A \vee B)$ is amenable relative to $M \bar{\otimes} 1$ in $M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)$. By [OP07, Proposition 2.4], $M \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma_1)$ is amenable relative to $M \bar{\otimes} 1$. However, this is impossible as [CIK13, Proposition 3.5] would imply $\Gamma_1 \in \mathcal{C}_{rss}$ is amenable. \square

To establish the main result, we show that the maximal number of commuting diffuse subalgebras is controlled by the Hirsh length. Note that we have an upper bound rather than equality. As an example of when we have a strict upper bound, central quotients of braid groups are poly-free groups which give rise to prime von Neumann algebras [CKP14, Theorem A].

Lemma 6.4. *Let $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ and suppose $P_1, \dots, P_k \subset qL(\Gamma)q$ are diffuse commuting II_1 factors. If $P_1 \vee \dots \vee P_k \subset qL(\Gamma)q$ generate a finite index subalgebra, then $k \leq n$.*

Proof. When $n = 1$, Lemma 5.2 proves the assertion. Now suppose the conclusion holds for all groups in $\text{Quot}_m(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ up to $m = n - 1$. Now let $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ and assume to the contrary there are $k > n$ diffuse subalgebras $P_1, \dots, P_k \subset qL(\Gamma)q$ generating a finite index subalgebra of $qL(\Gamma)q$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $k = n + 1$. Then by Proposition 6.2, for every $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, either $\hat{P}_j \prec_{L(\Gamma)} L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$ or $P_j \prec_{L(\Gamma)} L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$.

Now if $\hat{P}_j \prec L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$, by [CKP14, Proposition 2.4] there exists a $*$ -isomorphism $\psi : p\hat{P}_j p \rightarrow A \subset rL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})r$ so that $A \vee A' \cap rL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})r \subset rL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})r$ is a finite index inclusion of algebras. We may assume $p = p_1 \cdots p_k$, $p_i \in P_i$ for $i \neq j$. Hence $\psi(p\hat{P}_j p) = \psi(\bigvee_{i \neq j} p_i P_i p) = \bigvee_{i \neq j} \psi(p_i P_i p)$. Thus

$$\bigvee_{i \neq j} \psi(p_i P_i p) \vee (\psi(p\hat{P}_j p)' \cap rL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})r) \subset rL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})r$$

is a finite index inclusion of algebras. By Lemma 6.3, the center $\mathcal{Z}(\bigvee_{i \neq j} \psi(p_i P_i p) \vee (\psi(p\hat{P}_j p)' \cap rL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})r))$ cannot be diffuse. Thus, cutting by a minimal central projection we may assume

$$\bigvee_{i \neq j} \psi(p_i P_i p) \vee (\psi(p\hat{P}_j p)' \cap rL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})r) \subset rL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})r$$

is a finite index inclusion of factors. However, this would contradict the induction hypothesis as it would allow for at least n commuting diffuse non-amenable subalgebras of $rL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})r$.

If instead $P_j \prec_{L(\Gamma)} L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$ for all j , [Va10, Lemma 2.5, Proposition 2.6], in conjunction with the factoriality of each P_j , imply $P_j \prec_{L(\Gamma)}^s L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$. Proposition 3.2 would then give $L(\Gamma) \prec_{L(\Gamma)} L(\Gamma)$, which implies $\Gamma_n^{(1)}$ is finite index in Γ once again leading to a contradiction. \square

The following proposition is the key ingredient in decomposing a group as a product: if we may find an subgroup of $\Sigma < \Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ then we may also find another subgroup commuting with Σ so that Γ is commensurable to the direct product $\Sigma \times \Omega$. The proof of this proposition closely follows the proof of [CdSS15, Theorem 4.3].

Proposition 6.5. *Let $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ be an icc group and denote by $L(\Gamma) = M$. Suppose we have subgroup $\Sigma < \Gamma$, and a projection $p \in L(\Sigma)' \cap M$ so that $\Sigma \in \text{Quot}_j(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ and*

$$p[L(\Sigma) \vee (L(\Sigma)' \cap M)]p \subset pMp$$

is a finite index inclusion of II_1 factors. Then we may find commuting subgroups $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2 < \Gamma$ such that $[\Sigma : \Sigma_1] < \infty$ and $[\Gamma : \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2] < \infty$. Furthermore, if $\Sigma \in \text{Quot}_j(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$, then $\Sigma_2 \in \text{Quot}_{n-j}(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$.

Proof. Letting $\Sigma_2 = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : |\gamma|^\Sigma < \infty\}$ and proceeding as in [CdSS15, claim 4.7], we see $[\Gamma : \Sigma_2 \Sigma] < \infty$. Now, the first half of [CdSS15, Claim 4.8] demonstrates $\Sigma \cap \Sigma_2$ is amenable since it can be written as an increasing tower of amenable groups. Let Γ_1 act trivially on \mathbb{C} , $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_n \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Gamma_1$ is a chain witnessing $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$. Since $L(\Sigma \cap \Sigma_2)$ is amenable and Σ normalizes $\Sigma_2 \cap \Sigma$, the dichotomy of \mathcal{C}_{rss} will imply $L(\Sigma_2 \cap \Sigma) \prec \mathbb{C}1$. Thus, [CdSS15, Proposition 2.6] implies $\Sigma \cap \Sigma_2$ is finite.

Claims 4.9–4.12 in the proof of [CdSS15, Theorem 4.3] provides the existence of a subgroup $\Sigma_1 \leq \Sigma$ satisfying $[\Sigma : \Sigma_1] < \infty$, $[\Gamma : \Sigma_1 \Sigma_2] < \infty$, and $[\Sigma_2, \Sigma_1] = \{e\}$. Since $\Sigma \cap \Sigma_2 \geq \Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$, it follows $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2$ is finite as well. Since Γ is icc and $[\Gamma : \Sigma_1 \Sigma_2] < \infty$ then $\Sigma_1 \cap \Sigma_2 = \{e\}$. Thus $[\Gamma : \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2] < \infty$.

Now if we also had assumed $\Sigma \in \text{Quot}_j(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$, Corollary 5.5 yields $\Sigma_2 \in \text{Quot}_{n-j}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$. \square

Theorem 6.6. *Let $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ be an icc group and $q \in L(\Gamma)$ a projection. Suppose $P_1, \dots, P_k \subset qL(\Gamma)q = M$ are diffuse commuting II_1 factors such that $[M : P_1 \vee \dots \vee P_k] < \infty$. Then there exist icc groups $\Sigma_i \in \text{Quot}_{n_i}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$, non-zero projections $p_i \in P_i$, finite index subfactors $D_i \subset p_i P_i p_i$, and a unitary $u \in M$ such that*

- Γ is commensurable to $\Sigma_1 \times \dots \times \Sigma_k$,
- $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$,
- $D_i \subset p_i u^* L(\Sigma_i) u p_i$ is a finite index inclusion of II_1 factors.

Proof. As our theorem is taken up to commensurability, we will treat the case when $\Gamma = \Gamma_n$ where

$$\Gamma_n \rightarrow \Gamma_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Gamma_1 \rightarrow 1$$

witnesses $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$. Furthermore, Lemma 6.1 implies we may assume $\mathcal{N}_{pMp}(P_i)''$ is a factor. By Proposition 6.2, for every i we have either $\hat{P}_i \prec_M L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$ or $P_i \prec_M L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$. If we assume $P_i \prec_M L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$, then $P_i \prec_M^s L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$ and hence $P_1 \vee \dots \vee P_k \prec L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$. Since $P_1 \vee \dots \vee P_k$ is a finite index subalgebra of $L(\Gamma)$, then $[\Gamma : \Gamma_n^{(1)}] < \infty$ contradicting that $\Gamma/\Gamma_n^{(1)} \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}}$. Thus, there exists i such that $\hat{P}_i \prec_M L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$ but $P_i \not\prec_M L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$. For simplicity, we consider the case $i = k$. [CKP14, Proposition 2.4] give the existence of projections $p \in \hat{P}_k$, $q_1 \in L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$, a partial isometry $v \in M$, and a $*$ -isomorphism $\psi : p\hat{P}_k p \rightarrow B \subset q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1$ such that

- (a) $B \vee (B' \cap q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1) \subset q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1$ is a finite index inclusion of algebras,
- (b) $\psi(x)v = vx$ for all $x \in p\hat{P}_k p$.

As in the proof of Lemma 6.4, we may assume $p = p_1 \dots p_{k-1}$ where $p_i \in P_i$ are projections such that $B = \psi(p\hat{P}_k p) = \psi(p_1 P_i p) \vee \dots \vee \psi(p_{k-1} P_{k-1} p) = B_1 \vee \dots \vee B_{k-1}$. Thus we have

- (c) $\psi(p_i P_i p) = B_i$,
- (d) $\psi(x)v = vx$ for all $x \in p\hat{P}_k p$,
- (e) $B_1 \vee \dots \vee B_{k-1} \vee (B' \cap q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1) \subset q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1$ is a finite index inclusion of algebras.

We first assume $n = 2$. In this case, Lemma 6.4 implies $k = 2$. Since $\Gamma_n^{(1)} \in \text{Quot}_1(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$, Lemma 5.2 implies $\mathcal{Z}(B' \cap q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1)$ cannot have any diffuse part and therefore is completely atomic. Thus multiplying v by some minimal central projection $q' \in B' \cap q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1$ so that $vq' \neq 0$, we may assume $\psi(pP_1 p) = B \subset q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1$ is a finite index inclusion of factors. Moreover, $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{Z}(qL(\Gamma_n^{(1)}))q) \leq [qL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})q : B]_{PP} < \infty$ since B is a II_1 factor. Thus, after multiplying again by a minimal central projection, we may assume $B \subset q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1$ is a finite index inclusion of II_1 factors. We claim there exists a projection $r \in L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})' \cap M$ such that.

$$(9) \quad r[L((\Gamma_n^{(1)}) \vee L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})' \cap M)]r \subset rMr$$

is a finite index inclusion of II_1 factors.

To this end, the downward basic construction [Jo81, Lemma 3.1.8] gives a projection $e \in q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1$ and a subfactor $C \subset B \subset q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1 = \langle B, e \rangle$ such that $[B : C] = [q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1 : B]$, $Ce = eL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})e$ and $Ce \cong C$. Then the restriction $\psi^{-1} : C \rightarrow D \subset pP_1p$ is a $*$ -isomorphism such that $[pP_1p : D] < \infty$ with $\psi^{-1}(y)v^* = v^*y$ for all $y \in C$. Let $\theta : Ce \rightarrow C$ be the $*$ -isomorphism given by $xe \mapsto x$ and denote by $v' = ev$. If we suppose $v' = 0$, we would have $vv^*xe = xvv^*e = 0$ for all $x \in B$. As $\langle B, e \rangle e = Be$, $vv^*t = 0$ for all $t \in \langle B, e \rangle$. However, since q is the central support of e in $\langle B, e \rangle$, this would yield $vv^* = 0$. Thus it follows that $\varphi = \psi^{-1} \circ \theta : eL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})e \rightarrow D$ is a $*$ -isomorphism satisfying

$$(10) \quad \varphi(y)w^* = w^*x \text{ for all } y \in eL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})e$$

where w^* is the partial isometry from the polar decomposition of $v^*e = |v^*e|w^*$. Note that $s = w^*w \in D' \cap pMp$ and $ww^* \leq e$. Thus equation (10), we obtain

$$(11) \quad w^*L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})w = \varphi(eL(\Gamma_n^{(1)})e)w^*w = Ds$$

$$(12) \quad (w^*L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})w)' \cap sMs = (Ds)' \cap sMs.$$

First note $P_2p \subset D' \cap pMp$. Since $D \subset pP_1p$ is a finite index inclusion, so are the inclusions $D \vee P_2p \subset p(P_1 \vee P_2)p \subset pMp$ and hence $D \vee P_2p \subset pMp$ is a finite index inclusion of algebras. By the local index formula, we also have $Ds \vee s(D' \cap M)s \subset sMs$ is also a finite index inclusion of II_1 factors.

Let $r = ww^*$ and $u \in M$ a unitary with $w^* = ur$. Conjugating (11) and (12) by u implies $r[L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) \vee L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})' \cap M]r = L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})r \vee (L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})' \cap rMr) \subset rMr$ is a finite index inclusion of II_1 factors (after shrinking r is necessary). By Proposition 6.5, there exists a finite index subgroup $\Sigma_1 < \Gamma_n^{(1)}$ such that $\Sigma_i \in \text{Quot}_1(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ with $[\Gamma : \Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2] < \infty$ and $rP_2r \subset ru^*L(\Sigma_2)ur$, where $\Sigma_2 = V_\Gamma(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$. Since $ru^*L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})ur \subset rP_1r$ is a finite index inclusion of II_1 factors, so is the inclusion $ru^*L(\Sigma_1)r \subset rP_1r$. Performing the downward basic construction gives a subfactor $P_1f \subset ru^*L(\Sigma_1)r$ where $f \in P_1' \cap rMr$.

Since $rP_2r \subset r(P_1' \cap M)r$ is a finite Pimsner-Popa index inclusion of algebras, so is the inclusion $rP_2r \subset ru^*L(\Omega)ur$. Thus cutting once again by a minimal projection we have $r_2P_2r_r \subset r_2u^*L(\Sigma_2)ur_2$ is a finite index inclusion of II_1 factors.

Now suppose the result holds for all icc groups $\Lambda \in \text{Quot}_{n-1}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Take $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ an icc group. Proceeding as in the case when $n = 2$, we may assume $\hat{P}_k \prec_M L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$. More precisely, since the center of $P_1 \vee \dots \vee P_k$ is trivial, by [Va10, Lemma 2.5, Proposition 2.6] $\hat{P}_k \prec_M^s L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$. [CKP14, Proposition 2.4] give the existence of projections $p \in P_1, q \in L(\Gamma_n^{(1)})$, a partial isometry $v \in M$ and a $*$ -isomorphism $\psi : pP_1p \rightarrow B \subset q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1$ such that

- (f) $B \vee (B' \cap q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1) \subset q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1$ is a finite index inclusion of algebras,
- (g) $\psi(x)v = vx$ for all $x \in pP_1p$.

If $B_k = B' \cap q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1$ was not diffuse, we cutting by a minimal central projection to obtain $B \subset q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1$ is finite Pimsner-Popa index inclusion of algebras. As before, $\mathcal{Z}(qL(\Gamma_n^{(1)}))$ is finite dimensional. Thus, we cut by an appropriate minimal central projection to obtain a finite index inclusion of II_1 factors and proceed exactly as in the case when $n = 2$.

Now suppose B and $B_k = B' \cap q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1$ are both diffuse. Then, by cutting by a minimal central projection if necessary, we have $B \vee B_k \subset q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1$ is a finite index inclusion of II_1 factors. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a unitary $w \in q_1 L(\Gamma_n^{(1)}) q_1$, subgroups $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_k$ of $\Gamma_n^{(1)}$ and projections $p_i \in B_i$, $q_i \in L(\Lambda_i)$ so that

- $w(p_1 B_1 p_1) w^* \subset q_1 L(\Lambda_1) q_1$ is a finite index inclusion of II_1 factors
- $\Gamma_n^{(1)}$ is commensurable to $\Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_k$
- $\Lambda \in \text{Quot}_{m_1}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ with $1 \leq m_1 < n - 1$.
- $\sum m_i = n - 1$.

Letting $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_k$ and proceeding as in the case when $n = 2$, there exists a projection s such that

$$s[L(\Lambda) \vee L(\Lambda)' \cap M]s \subset sMs$$

is a finite index inclusion of II_1 factors. Applying Lemma 6.5 and following the same procedure as in the case when $n = 2$, we may find a finite index subgroup $\Lambda_1 < \Lambda$ so that $r\hat{P}_j r \subset ru^* L(\Lambda_1) ur$ and $rP_k r \subset L(\Lambda_2)$ are finite index inclusions of II_1 factors with $[\Gamma : \Lambda_1 \times \Lambda_2] < \infty$. Furthermore, we may assume

$$r\hat{P}_j r = rP_1 r \vee \dots \vee rP_{k-1} r.$$

Letting $\Gamma_k = \Sigma_2$ and once again applying the induction hypothesis, we may appropriately identify corners of P_i with groups Γ_i so that $\Gamma_i \in \text{Quot}_{n_i}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ with $n_1 + \dots + n_k = n$. \square

The above result may be extended to amplifications of the algebra $L(\Gamma)$.

Corollary 6.7. *Let $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ be an icc group and denote by $M = L(\Gamma)^t$. If P_1, \dots, P_k are diffuse commuting II_1 factors such that $[M : P_1 \vee \dots \vee P_k] < \infty$, then $k \leq n$ and there exist icc groups $\Sigma_1 \in \text{Quot}_{n_1}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$, non-zero projections $p_i \in P_i$, finite index subfactors $D_i \subset p_i P_i p_i$ and a unitary $u \in M$ such that*

- Γ is commensurable to $\Sigma_1 \times \dots \times \Sigma_k$,
- $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$,
- $D_i \subset p_i u^* L(\Sigma_i) u p_i$ is a finite index inclusion of II_1 factors.

Proof. Fix an integer $N > t > 0$. Since $M = L(\Gamma)^t$, there exists a projection $q \in M_N(\mathbb{C}) \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma)$ so that $qM_N(\mathbb{C}) \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma)q = M$ and $\text{Tr}(q) = t$, where $\text{Tr} : M_N(\mathbb{C}) \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is the trace induced on $M_N(\mathbb{C}) \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma)$ from the trace τ on $L(\Gamma)$. Now fix a projection $r \in M$ with $\tau(r) = 1/N$ and $\tilde{r} \leq q$, where \tilde{r} is the image of r inside $M_n(\mathbb{C}) \bar{\otimes} L(\Gamma)$ in the obvious way. Then $\tilde{r} u_0^* M u_0 \tilde{r} = sL(\Gamma)s$ for some non-zero projection $s \in L(\Gamma)$ and unitary $u_0 \in M$.

Labeling $Q_i = ru_0^* P_i u_0 r$ for each $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$, we see $\bigvee_{i=1}^k Q_i \subset sL(\Gamma)s$ is a finite index inclusion of algebras. Theorem 6.6 now finished the proof. \square

Corollary 6.8. *Let $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ be an icc group and suppose $L(\Gamma)^t \cong P_1 \bar{\otimes} P_2$ for some diffuse von Neumann algebras P_i . Then there exist groups Γ_1, Γ_2 , a unitary $u \in \mathcal{U}(L(\Gamma))$, positive integers n_1, n_2 , and a scalar $s > 0$ such that:*

- (1) Γ is commensurable to $\Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2$ with $\Gamma_i \in \text{Quot}_{n_i}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ and $n_1 + n_2 = n$; and
- (2) $P_1^s = uL(\Gamma_1)u^*$ and $P_2^{t/s} = uL(\Gamma_2)u^*$.

Since the proof closely follow the proof of [CdSS15, Theorem 4.14], we include only the relevant details.

Proof. By Theorem 6.7, there exists groups $\Gamma_i \in \text{Quot}_{n_i}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$, a unitary $u \in L(\Gamma)^t$, and projections $p_i \in P_i$, and finite subfactors $D_i \subset p_i P_i p_i$ so that

- (1) Γ is commensurable to $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$,
- (2) $n_1 + n_2 = n$, and
- (3) $D_i \subset p_i u^* L(\Sigma_i) u p_i$.

Thus, all that remains to show is the existence of a scalar s so that $P_1^s = uL(\Gamma_1)u^*$ and $P_2^{t/s} = uL(\Gamma_2)u^*$. Since Γ is commensurable to $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2$, there exist commuting non-amenable subgroups $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \leq \Gamma$ such that $[\Gamma : \Omega_1 \Omega_2] < \infty$. Thus, we are now in position to follow the proof of [CdSS15, Theorem 4.14] exactly. \square

Proceeding by way of induction, we obtain the following generalization of Corollary 6.8

Corollary 6.9. *Let $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ be an icc group and suppose $L(\Gamma) \cong P_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} P_k$ for some diffuse von Neumann algebras P_1, \dots, P_k . Then there exist subgroups $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_k \leq \Gamma$, a unitary $u \in \mathcal{U}(L(\Gamma))$, positive integers n_1, \dots, n_k , and scalars $t_1, \dots, t_k > 0$ with $t_1 t_2 \cdots t_k = t$ such that:*

- (1) $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_k$ with $\Gamma_i \in \text{Quot}_{n_i}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k n_i = n$; and
- (2) $P_i^{t_i} = uL(\Gamma_i)u^*$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$.

Proof. When $k = 2$, this follows from Corollary 6.8. Now assume the conclusion of the corollary holds for all tensor decompositions with at most $k - 1$ factors. Writing $L(\Gamma)^t = Q_1 \bar{\otimes} Q_2$ where $Q_1 = P_1 \bar{\otimes} \cdots \bar{\otimes} P_{k-1}$ and $Q_2 = P_k$, Corollary 6.8 implies the existence of subgroups $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2 \leq \Gamma$, a unitary $u \in \mathcal{U}(L(\Gamma))$, and a scalar s_1 so that $Q_1^{s_1} = uL(\Gamma_1)u^*$ and $Q_2^{t/s_1} = uL(\Gamma_2)u^*$. Applying the induction hypothesis to Q_1 will give the conclusion of the corollary. \square

Corollary 6.10. *Suppose $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_m$ and $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_m$ are icc groups such that $\Gamma_i \in \text{Quot}_{n_i}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$ and $\Lambda_i \in \text{Quot}_{m_i}(\mathcal{C}_{\text{rss}})$. If $L(\Lambda_i)$ and $L(\Gamma_j)$ are prime II_1 factors so that $L(\Gamma_1 \times \cdots \times \Gamma_m) \cong L(\Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_n)$, then $n = m$ and we have $L(\Gamma_i) \cong L(\Lambda_i)$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, up to permutation and amplification.*

Proof. The statement that $n = m$ clearly follows from Lemma 6.4 and Theorem 6.6. Letting $P_i = L(\Lambda_i)$ for every $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, Corollary 6.9 immediately implies the conclusion. \square

We now provide explicit examples to which we apply our results.

Corollary 6.11. *If $k, j \geq 3$ and $\tilde{B}_{j,k} \leq \tilde{B}_{k+j}$ is a mixed braid subgroup, then $pL(\tilde{B}_{j,k})p$ is prime for every non-zero projection $p \in \mathcal{P}(L(\tilde{B}_{k,j}))$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a projection $p \in \mathcal{P}(L(\tilde{B}_{k,j}))$ so that $pL(\tilde{B}_{j,k})p$ is non-prime. The by Theorem 6.6, $\tilde{B}_{k,j}$ is commensurable to a product of groups. However, since $B_{k,j}$ is a finite index subgroup of \tilde{B}_{k+j} of index $k!j!$, this would imply that \tilde{B}_{k+j} is commensurable to a product of infinite groups, which contradicts [CKP14, Theorem A]. \square

Fix an integer $n \geq 3$ and choose a collection of at least two positive integers k_1, \dots, k_m such that $k_i \neq 2$ for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $k_1 + \cdots + k_m = n$. Then there exists a canonical

epimorphism $\pi : B_n \rightarrow S_{k_1} \times \cdots \times S_{k_m}$. If we denote by $B_{k_1, \dots, k_m} := \ker \pi$, then this generalizes the mixed braid groups. Note that since $[B : B_{k_1, \dots, k_m}] = \prod_{i=1}^m k_i!$, the proof of Corollary 6.11 can be modified to verify the following:

Corollary 6.12. *Let $\tilde{B}_{k_1, \dots, k_m}$ be as above. Then for any projection $p \in \mathcal{P}(L(\tilde{B}_{k_1, \dots, k_m}))$, $pL(\tilde{B}_{k_1, \dots, k_m})p$ is prime.*

7. CLOSING REMARKS

Note the analysis involved in the proofs of the theorems in Section 5 continue to hold if we forgo the factoriality assumption and instead assume $L(\Gamma)$ has a finite dimensional center, e.g. Γ is a finite-by-icc group. By carrying out the same procedure we have the following generalization of the main theorem:

Theorem 7.1. *Suppose $P_1 \vee \cdots \vee P_k \subset L(\Gamma)$ where $\Gamma \in \text{Quot}_n(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$. Then there exist commuting groups $\Sigma_i \in \text{Quot}_{n_i}(\mathcal{C}_{rss})$ so that Γ is commensurable to the product $\Sigma_1 \cdots \Sigma_k$.*

While our methods provide a criterion whereby one determines if a group von Neumann algebra which arises from a finite-step extension by \mathcal{C}_{rss} is prime, we have not addressed the question of [CKP14]: is the NC_1 condition sufficient to conclude that the resulting group von Neumann algebra is prime?

REFERENCES

- [BHR12] R. Boutonnet, C. Houdayer, and S. Raum, *Amalgamated free product type III factors with at most one Cartan subalgebra*, Compos. Math. **150** (2014), 143–174.
- [BM01] M. Burger and S. Mozes, *Lattices in products of trees*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Pub. Sér. I Math., **92** (2001), 151–194.
- [BO08] N. P. Brown, N. Ozawa, *C*-algebras and finite-dimensional approximations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 88, AMS, Providence, RI.
- [Bo12] R. Boutonnet, *On solid ergodicity for Gaussian action*, J. Funct. Anal. **263** (2012), 1040–1063.
- [CdSS15] I. Chifan, R. de Santiago, T. Sinclair, *W* rigidity for the von Neumann algebras of hyperbolic groups*, Geom. and Func. Analysis **26** (2016), 139–159.
- [CdSS17] I. Chifan, R. de Santiago, W. Sucikarnon, *Tensor product decompositions of II_1 factors arising from extensions of amalgamated free product groups*, Preprint. arXiv:1710.05019.
- [CP10] I. Chifan and J. Peterson, *Some unique group measure space decomposition results*, Duke Math. J. **162** (2013), 1923–1966.
- [CH08] I. Chifan and C. Houdayer, *Bass-Serre rigidity results in von Neumann algebras*, Duke Math. J. **153** (2010), 23–54.
- [CI08] I. Chifan, A. Ioana, *Ergodic subequivalence relations induced by a Bernoulli action*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **20** (2010), 53–67.
- [CIK13] I. Chifan, A. Ioana, and Y. Kida, *W*-superrigidity for arbitrary actions of central quotients of braid groups*, Mathematische Annalen **361** (2015), 563–582.
- [CKP14] I. Chifan, Y. Kida, S. Pant, *Primeness results for von Neumann algebras associated with surface braid groups*, Int. Math. Res. Not. Vol. 2016 (2016), no. 16, 4807–4848.
- [CS11] I. Chifan, T. Sinclair, *On the structural theory of II_1 factors of negatively curved groups*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup. **46** (2013), no. 1, 1–34.
- [CSU11] I. Chifan, T. Sinclair, and B. Udreă, *On the structural theory of II_1 factors of negatively curved groups, II. Actions by product groups*, Adv. Math. **245** (2013), 208–236.
- [CSU13] I. Chifan, T. Sinclair, and B. Udreă, *Inner amenability for groups and central sequences in factors*, Math. Ann. **361** (2015), 563–582.
- [Ch79] E. Christensen, *Subalgebras of a Finite Algebra*, Math. Ann. **243** (1979): 17–30.

- [Co76] A. Connes, *Classification of injective factor*, Ann. of Math., **101** (1976), 79–899.
- [DI12] Y. Dabrowski and A. Ioana, *Unbounded derivations, free dilations and indecomposability results for II_1 factors*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 7, 4525–4560.
- [DHI16] D. Drimbe, D. Hoff, and A. Ioana, *Prime II_1 factors arising from irreducible lattices in products of rank one simple Lie groups*, arXiv:1611.02209
- [FN62] E. Fadell and L. Neuwirth, *Configuration spaces*, Math. Scand. **10** (1962), 111–118.
- [Fi10] P. Fima, *A note on the von Neumann algebra of a Baumslag-Solitar group*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I **349** (2011), 25–27.
- [Ge98] L. Ge, *Applications of free entropy to finite von Neumann algebras. II*, Ann. of Math. (2) **147** (1998), no. 1, 143–157.
- [Ho15] D. Hoff, *Von Neumann Algebra of Equivalence Relation with Nontrivial One-Cohomology*, J. Funct. Anal., **270** (2016), no. 4, 1501–1536.
- [HI15] C. Houdayer, Y. Isono, *Unique prime factorization and the bicentralizer problem for a class of type III factors*, Adv. Math. **305** (2017), 402–455.
- [HPV10] C. Houdayer, S. Popa, S. Vaes, *A class of groups for which every action is W^* -superrigid*, Groups, Geometry, and Dynamics **7** (2013), 577–590.
- [HV12] C. Houdayer, S. Vaes, *Type III factors with unique Cartan decomposition*, J. Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées **100** (2013), 564–590.
- [Io12] A. Ioana, *Cartan subalgebras of amalgamated free product II_1 factors*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup., **48** (2015), no. 4, 71–130.
- [Is14] Y. Isono, *Some prime factorization results for free quantum group factors*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **722** (2017), 215–250.
- [Is16] Y. Isono, *On fundamental groups of tensor product II_1 factors*, preprint arXiv:1608.06426.
- [Jo81] V. F. R. Jones, *Index for subfactors*, Invent. Math. **72** (1983), 1–25.
- [JU15] M. Junge and B. Udea, *Generalized q -gaussian von Neumann algebras with coefficients, III. Unique prime factorization results*, preprint arXiv:1509.08832.
- [MP03] N. Monod and S. Popa, *On co-amenability for groups and von Neumann algebras*, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. R. Can. **25** (2003), 82–87.
- [MvN36] J. Murray and J. von Neumann, *On rings of operators*, Ann. Math. **37** (1936), 116–229.
- [MvN43] F. J. Murray, J. von Neumann, *Rings of operators IV*, Ann. Math. **44** (1943), 716–808.
- [Oz03] N. Ozawa, *Solid von Neumann algebras*, Acta Math., **192** (2004), 111–117.
- [Oz04] N. Ozawa, *A Kurosh-type theorem for type II_1 factors*, Int. Math. Res. Not. (2006), Art. ID 97560, 21.
- [OP03] N. Ozawa and S. Popa, *Some prime factorization results for type II_1 factors*, Invent. Math., **156** (2004), 223–234.
- [OP07] N. Ozawa and S. Popa, *On a class of II_1 factors with at most one Cartan subalgebra*, Ann. of Math. **172** (2010), 713–749.
- [Pe06] J. Peterson, *L^2 -rigidity in von Neumann algebras*, Invent. Math. **175** (2009), no. 2, 417–433.
- [PP86] M. Pimsner and S. Popa, *Entropy and index for subfactors*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **19** (1986), 57–106.
- [Po83] S. Popa, *Orthogonal pairs of $*$ -subalgebras in finite von Neumann algebras*, J. Operator Theory **9** (1983), no. 2, 253–268.
- [Po01] S. Popa, *On a class of type II_1 factors with Betti numbers invariants*, Ann. of Math. **163** (2006), 809–899.
- [Po03] S. Popa, *Strong Rigidity of II_1 Factors Arising from Malleable Actions of w -Rigid Groups I*, Invent. Math. **165** (2006), 369–408.
- [Po04] S. Popa, *Strong Rigidity of II_1 Factors Arising from Malleable Actions of w -Rigid Groups II*, Invent. Math. **165** (2006), 409–453.
- [Po06] S. Popa, *On Ozawa’s property for free group factors*, Int. Math. Res. Not. Vol. 2007 : article ID rnm036, 10 pages.
- [Po06b] S. Popa, *Deformation and rigidity for group actions and von Neumann algebras*, in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, (Madrid 2006), Volume I, EMS Publishing House, Zürich 2006/2007, pp. 445–479.

- [PV11] S. Popa and S. Vaes, *Unique Cartan decomposition for II_1 factors arising from arbitrary actions of free groups*, Acta Math. **212** (2014), 141–198.
- [PV12] S. Popa and S. Vaes, *Unique Cartan decomposition for II_1 factors arising from arbitrary actions of hyperbolic groups*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **694** (2014), 215–239.
- [Si10] T. Sinclair, *Strong solidity of group factors from lattices in $SO(n, 1)$ and $SU(n, 1)$* , J. Funct. Anal. **260** (2011), no. 11, 3209–3221.
- [SW11] J. O. Sizemore and A. Winchester, *Unique prime decomposition results for factors coming from wreath products*, Pacific J. Math. **265** (2013), no. 1, 221–232.
- [Va10] S. Vaes, *One-cohomology and the uniqueness of the group measure space decomposition of a II_1 factor*, Math. Ann. **355** (2013), 661–696.
- [Va13] S. Vaes, *Normalizers inside amalgamated free products von Neumann algebras*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **50** (2014), 695–721.
- [VV14] S. Vaes and P. Verraedt, *Classification of type III Bernoulli crossed products*, preprint, arXiv:1408.6414.
- [V94] D-V. Voiculescu, *The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory. II*, Invent. Math. **118** (1994), no. 3, 411–440.
- [V96] D-V. Voiculescu, *The analogues of entropy and of Fisher’s information measure in free probability theory: the absence of Cartan subalgebras*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **6** (1996), no. 1, 172–199.
- [VDN92] D-V. Voiculescu, K.J. Dykema, and A. Nica, *Free random variables*, CRM Monograph Series 1. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1992.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES, LOS ANGELES, CA, USA

E-mail address: rdesantiago@math.ucla.edu

DEPARTMENT OF SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS, ALVERNIA UNIVERSITY, READING, PA, USA

E-mail address: sujan.pant@alvernia.edu