

A remark about a theorem of Skandalis

Michael Puschnigg

In 1988 Georges Skandalis proved the remarkable

Theorem 0.1. *[Sk] Let Γ be a word-hyperbolic group with Kazhdan's property (T) and let $C_r^*(\Gamma)$ be its reduced group C^* -algebra. Then the canonical homomorphism*

$$C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes_{\max} C_r^*(\Gamma) \longrightarrow C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes_{\min} C_r^*(\Gamma) \quad (1.1)$$

from the maximal to the minimal tensor square of $C_r^(\Gamma)$ does not induce an isomorphism of topological K -groups:*

$$K_*(C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes_{\max} C_r^*(\Gamma)) \not\rightarrow K_*(C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes_{\min} C_r^*(\Gamma)). \quad (1.2)$$

He deduced that Kasparov's γ -element [Ka] in equivariant bivariant K -theory is different from the unit element for such groups:

$$\gamma \neq 1 \in KK^\Gamma(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}). \quad (1.3)$$

Skandalis theorem left unanswered the question whether it is the injectivity, the surjectivity, or both that fail for the map (1.2). We observe

Theorem 0.2. *Let Γ be a word-hyperbolic group. Then the homomorphism (1.2) is surjective.*

Proof: Let Γ be a word-hyperbolic group. The full and reduced assembly maps [Ka] with coefficients in the C^* -algebra $C_r^*(\Gamma)$, equipped with the trivial Γ -action, yield the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K_*^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma, C_r^*(\Gamma)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\max}} & K_*(C_{\max}^*(\Gamma) \otimes_{\max} C_r^*(\Gamma)) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ & & K_*(C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes_{\max} C_r^*(\Gamma)) \\ & & \downarrow \\ K_*^\Gamma(\underline{E}\Gamma, C_r^*(\Gamma)) & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\text{red}}} & K_*(C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes_{\min} C_r^*(\Gamma)) \end{array} \quad (1.4)$$

According to Lafforgue [La], the Baum-Connes conjecture with coefficients holds for word-hyperbolic groups, so that the lower horizontal map in the previous diagram is an isomorphism. It follows that the lower vertical map on the right hand side of the diagram is surjective, which is the content of theorem (0.2). \square

Having a closer look at the proof of Skandalis' theorem we observe

Theorem 0.3. *Let Γ be a word-hyperbolic group with Kazhdans Property (T) and let $p_{Kaz} \in C_{max}^*(\Gamma)$ be the Kazhdan projection. (It is characterized by the fact that for a unitary representation (π, \mathcal{H}) of Γ the operator $\pi(p_{Kaz})$ equals the orthogonal projection onto the $\pi(\Gamma)$ -fixed vectors in \mathcal{H} .) Let $\Delta : C_{max}^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes_{max} C_r^*(\Gamma)$ be the homomorphism of C^* -algebras induced by the diagonal map $\Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \times \Gamma$. Then*

$$[\Delta(p_{Kaz})] \neq 0 \in K_0(C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes_{max} C_r^*(\Gamma)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}. \quad (1.5)$$

Moreover this element lies in the kernel of the homomorphism (1.2).

Proof: We recall Skandalis' proof of theorem (0.1) [Sk]. He establishes a commutative diagram of C^* -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & J & \xrightarrow{j} & C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes_{max} C_r^*(\Gamma) & \rightarrow & C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes_{min} C_r^*(\Gamma) \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\Gamma)) & \rightarrow & \mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\Gamma)) & & \end{array} \quad (1.6)$$

with right vertical arrow given by the biregular representation of Γ and with exact upper line. The image of the projection $\Delta(p_{Kaz})$ in $C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes_{min} C_r^*(\Gamma) = C_r^*(\Gamma \otimes \Gamma)$ is zero (the regular representation of $\Gamma \times \Gamma$ has no invariant vectors), while its image in $\mathcal{L}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$ is not (the adjoint representation of Γ has invariant vectors). So $\Delta(p_{Kaz}) \in J$ maps to a non-zero projection in $\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\Gamma))$. Because the class of such a projection is non-trivial in $K_0(\mathcal{K}(\ell^2(\Gamma)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Q}$, Skandalis deduces that the class $[\Delta(p_{Kaz})] \in K_0(J) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ cannot be zero. As $j_* : K_0(J) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow K_0(C_r^*(\Gamma) \otimes_{max} C_r^*(\Gamma)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$ is injective by theorem (0.2) the assertion follows. \square

References

- [Ka] G. KASPAROV, Equivariant KK -theory and the Novikov conjecture, Invent.Math. 91 (1988), 147-201
- [La] V. LAFFORGUE, La conjecture de Baum-Connes à coefficients pour les groupes hyperboliques, J. Noncommut. Geom. 6 (2012), 1–197.
- [Sk] G. SKANDALIS, Une notion de nucléarité en K -théorie, (d'après J. Cuntz), K -Theory 1 (1988), 549–573.