Structural correlations and dependent scattering mechanism on the radiative properties of random media

B. X. Wang, C. Y. Zhao*

Institute of Engineering Thermophysics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai, 200240, People's Republic of China

Abstract

The dependent scattering mechanism is known to have a significant impact on the radiative properties of random media containing discrete scatterers. Here we theoretically demonstrate the role of dependent scattering on the radiative properties of disordered media composed of nonabsorbing, dual-dipolar particles. Based on our theoretical formulas for the radiative properties for such media, we investigate the dependent scattering effects, including the effect of modification of the electric and magnetic dipole excitations and the far-field interference effect, both induced and influenced by the structural correlations. We study in detail how the structural correlations play a role in the dependent scattering mechanism by using two types of particle system, i.e., the hard-sphere system and the sticky-hard-sphere system. We show that the inverse stickiness parameter, which controls the interparticle adhesive force and thus the particle correlations, can tune the radiative properties significantly. Particularly, increasing the surface stickiness can result in a higher scattering coefficient and a larger asymmetry factor. The results also imply that in the present system, the far-field interference effect plays a dominant role in the radiative properties while the effect of modification of the electric and magnetic dipole excitations is more subtle. Our study is promising in understanding and manipulating the radiative properties of dual-dipolar random media.

Keywords: random media, scattering coefficient, phase function, dependent scattering, multiple scattering

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

^{*}Corresponding author

Email address: Changying.zhao@sjtu.edu.cn (C. Y. Zhao)

1. Introduction

Studying the radiative properties of micro/nanoscale disordered media is not only of great fundamental importance in understanding the light-matter interaction physics, like Anderson localization [1-4] and anomalous transport behaviors of radiation (or light) [5–8], but also has profound implications in applications such as random lasers [9, 10], solar energy harvesting and conversion [11–14], radiative cooling [15, 16] and structural color generation [17], etc. In such media, radiation is scattered and absorbed in a very complicated way, which is usually described by the radiative transfer equation (RTE) in the mesoscopic scale. The radiative properties entering into RTE, including the scattering coefficient κ_s , absorption coefficient κ_a and phase function $P(\Omega', \Omega)$ (where Ω' and Ω denote incident and scattered directions, respectively), depend on the microstructures as well as the permittivity and permeability of the composing materials. Conventionally, for disordered media containing discrete scatterers, the radiative properties are theoretically predicted under the independent scattering approximation (ISA), i.e., in which the discrete inclusions scatter electromagnetic waves independently without any interference effects taken into account [18–21].

ISA is valid only when the scatterers are far apart from each other and each scatterer scatters light as if no other scatters exist [19–22]. As the concentration of scattering particles in disordered media rises, the scattered waves from different scatterers interfere and ISA fails [23–25]. This fact leads to many authors into the considerations on the effect of "dependent scattering" in the last a few decades [23–33], in order to correctly predict the radiative properties. Generally, the mechanisms of dependent scattering can be classified into two categories. The first category is the recurrent scattering mechanism [34, 35], which denotes the multiple scattering trajectories visiting the same particle more than once and resulting in a closed or half-closed loop. This includes the well-known phenomena such as Anderson localization [1] and the coherent backscattering cone [22]. The other category is the interference induced by the structural correlations. Taking the random media composed of hard particles as an example, the finite size of a particle would create a rigid exclusion volume that forbids other particles to penetrate into, which leads to structural correlations in terms of the particle position distribution probability functions [36–38]. The structural correlations will lead to definite phase differences among the scattered waves, which can well preserve over the statistical average procedure. Therefore constructive or destructive interferences among the scattered waves occur and thus affect the transport properties of radiation. This is also called "partial coherence" by Lax [39, 40]. Moreover, when the correlation length of particle positions is comparable with the wavelength, the structural correlations then play a central role in determining the radiative properties [36, 38, 41].

Generally speaking, the structural correlations are not only affected by the size and packing density (or volume fraction) of the scatterers, but also by the interaction potential between them. Several typical kinds of interaction potential among particles, for example, the pure hard-sphere potential [36, 42], the surface adhesive potential [43, 44] and the interparticle Coulombic electrostatic potential [38, 45], can be realized experimentally. By controlling the interaction potential and thus the structural correlations, a flexible manipulation of the radiative properties of random media can be achieved [46–48].

In this paper, we consider random media consisting of nonabsorbing, dualdipolar spherical nanoparticles, in which high-order Mie multipolar modes in the particles are negligible and only electric and magnetic dipoles are excited [49-53]. We aim to comprehensively reveal the dependent scattering effects on the radiative properties, which are induced and influenced by the structural correlations, based on our recently developed rigorous theory [33]. The theory provides analytical expressions for the effective propagation constant, scattering coefficient and phase function for the random media, by means of the multipole expansion method and quasicrystalline approximation (QCA) for the Foldy-Lax equations (FLEs). By investigating two types of particle systems, i.e., the hard-sphere system and the sticky-hard-sphere system, we demonstrate in detail how the structural correlations play a role in the dependent scattering mechanism, including the effect of modification of the electric and magnetic dipole excitations and the far-field interference effect. We show that the inverse stickiness parameter, which controls the interparticle adhesive force and thus the structural correlations, can tune the radiative properties significantly. The results imply that in the present system composed of moderate-refractive-index dual-dipolar particles, the farfield interference effect plays a dominant role in the radiative properties while the effect of modification of the electric and magnetic dipole excitations is more subtle. Our study is promising in understanding and manipulating the radiative properties of dual-dipolar random media.

2. Theory

In this paper, we will consider the radiative properties of a random medium consisting of N identical dual-dipolar particles. In the random media, all the particles are assumed to be isotropic, homogeneous and hard spheres with a radius of a. Their positions are regarded as fixed if they are static or move sufficiently slower than the electromagnetic waves [22, 54]. Furthermore, the random medium is also supposed to be statistically homogeneous and isotropic. We will not take any quantum or nonlinear effects into account. Under these assumptions, we will briefly summarize the main formulas of this theory to determine radiative properties of such media considering the dependent scattering effects [33].

2.1. Effective propagation constant and scattering phase function

Following from our assumptions on the random medium, the electromagnetic interaction of the incident light with it is then described by the well-known Foldy-Lax equations (FLEs), which are equivalent to Maxwell equations in terms of multiple scattering of light. The FLEs for N particles are given by [37, 39, 55, 56]

$$\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{exc}}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{inc}}(\mathbf{r}) + \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq j}}^{N} \mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{sca}}^{(i)}(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{E}_{inc}(\mathbf{r})$ is the electric field of the incident radiation, $\mathbf{E}_{exc}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r})$ is the electric component of the so-called exciting field impinging on the vicinity of the *j*-th particle, and $\mathbf{E}_{sca}^{(i)}(\mathbf{r})$ is electric component of partial scattered waves from the *i*-th particle. The schematic of FLEs is shown in Fig.1.

For spherical particles, it is convenient to expand the electric fields in VSWFs, where the expansion coefficients correspond to multipoles excited by the particles. The exciting field $\mathbf{E}_{\mathrm{exc}}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r})$ is then expanded as

$$\mathbf{E}_{\text{exc}}^{(j)}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{mnp} c_{mnp}^{(j)} \mathbf{N}_{mnp}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j), \qquad (2)$$

where $\mathbf{N}_{mnp}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j)$ is the regular VSWF, defined in Appendix A). The summation \sum_{mnp} is abbreviated for $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-n}^{m=n} \sum_{p=1}^{2}$. Here *n* is set to be 1 because only electric and magnetic dipole modes are taken into account. This is valid when the dual-dipolar particles are not so densely packed that



Figure 1: A schematic of Foldy-Lax equations for multiple scattering of electromagnetic waves in randomly distributed spherical particles. The dotted lines stand for an imaginary boundary of the random medium slab. The particles are denoted as i, j, l, etc. The dashed line stands for the pair distribution function (PDF) $g_2(\mathbf{r}_j, \mathbf{r}_i)$ between the *j*-th and *i*-th particle. The thick arrow indicates the propagation direction of the incident wave (which is along the *z*-axis), while the thin arrows stand for the propagation directions of the partial scattered waves from particle *i* to *j* and from *l* to *j*.

near-field coupling may excite higher order multipoles. The subscript p = 1, 2 denotes magnetic (TM) or electric (TE) modes, respectively. From the expansion coefficients of the exciting field, the scattering field from the *i*-th particle can be expressed as [20, 56]

$$\mathbf{E}_{\text{sca}}^{(i)}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{n=1,mp} c_{mnp}^{(i)} T_{np} \mathbf{N}_{m1p}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i), \qquad (3)$$

where $\mathbf{N}_{mnp}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j)$ is the outgoing VSWF, defined in Appendix A). For a homogeneous spherical particle the *T*-matrix elements T_{np} are Mie coefficients, i.e., $T_{12} = a_1$ for the electric dipole and $T_{11} = b_1$ for the magnetic dipole, which can be found from standard textbooks [57, 58] and are not listed here. Inserting Eqs.(2) and (3) into Eq.(1) and using the translation addition theorem for VSWFs at different origins as well as the orthogonal relation of VSWFs with different orders and degrees (see Appendix A), we can obtain

$$c_{mp}^{(j)} = a_{mp}^{(j)} + \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i\neq j}}^{N} \sum_{\mu q} c_{\mu q}^{(i)} T_{1q} A_{mp\mu q}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i),$$
(4)

where $A_{mp\mu q}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i)$ can translate the outgoing VSWFs centered at \mathbf{r}_i of de-

gree μ and polarization q to regular VSWFs centered at \mathbf{r}_j of degree m and polarization p. Here n = 1 in the subscript of $c_{mnp}^{(j)}$ and $a_{mnp}^{(j)}$ is omitted. $a_{mp}^{(j)}$ is the expansion coefficient of the incident wave in terms of regular VSWFs centered at \mathbf{r}_j . Since the particles can be seen as fixed, the electromagnetic response after a long period of time or over a sufficient large spatial range is computed by taking average of all possible configurations of particle distributions [22, 54]. The ensemble average of Eq.(4) with respect to a fixed particle centered at \mathbf{r}_j is given by

$$\langle c_{mp}^{(j)} \rangle_j = \langle a_{mp}^{(j)} \rangle_j + \left\langle \sum_{\substack{i=1\\i \neq j}}^N \sum_{\mu q} c_{\mu q}^{(i)} T_{1q} A_{mp\mu q}^{(3)} (\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i) \right\rangle_j, \tag{5}$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_j$ represents the ensemble average procedure with \mathbf{r}_j fixed. For statistically homogeneous random media, ensemble average procedure restores the translational symmetry. The ensemble-averaged electromagnetic field in random media, namely, the coherent field, is a plane wave as proved by Lax [40]. Here we only consider transverse electromagnetic wave propagation and assume the random medium only supports a transverse coherent mode with an effective propagation wave vector \mathbf{K} . Therefore, the effective exciting field for particle j, which is equal to the total coherent field minus the field scattered by the investigated scatterer j, takes the following form [40]:

$$\langle c_{mp}^{(j)} \rangle_j \approx C_{mp} \exp(i \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j),$$
 (6)

where C_{mp} is the expansion coefficient of effective exciting wave amplitude at the origin [39, 40]. C_{mp} only depends on the overall property of the random media. Note under ISA, C_{mp} is always equal to unity. In this spirit, C_{mp} thus quantifies the modification of electric and magnetic dipole excitations. Furthermore the QCA, which expresses high-order correlations among three or more particles using two-particle statistics, is introduced as

$$\langle c_{mp}^{(i)} \rangle_{ij} \approx \langle c_{mp}^{(i)} \rangle_i \approx C_{mp} \exp(i \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i),$$
(7)

where $\langle \cdot \rangle_{ij}$ denotes the ensemble average procedure with \mathbf{r}_j and \mathbf{r}_i fixed simultaneously. This approximation also amounts to neglecting the fluctuation of the effective exciting field impinging on the *i*-th particle due to a shift of the *j*-th particle from its average position. Strictly speaking, it is valid for a periodic or crystalline medium, and to some extent it is a reasonable approximation for a densely packed medium possessing some partial

order (short-range order). QCA was initially developed for both quantum and classical waves [40], and further verified by well-controlled experiments as well as numerical simulations [59–61]. It is widely used in the prediction of radiative transport properties of disordered materials for applications in remote sensing [62] as well as thermal radiation transfer [24, 63].

Without loss of generality, here we assume an incident plane wave linearly polarized over the y axis and propagating along the z-axis as shown in Fig.1, with an amplitude of unity. Inserting Eqs.(6-7) into Eq.(5), after some manipulations, we are finally able to obtain the following equations containing three unknowns, K, C_{11} and C_{12} as

$$(1 - n_0 \tilde{A}_{1111}(K)b_1)C_{11} - n_0 \tilde{A}_{1211}(K)a_1C_{12} = 0,$$
(8)

$$(1 - n_0 \tilde{A}_{1111}(K)a_1)C_{12} - n_0 \tilde{A}_{1211}(K)b_1C_{11} = 0.$$
(9)

In this circumstance, $C_{-11} = -C_{11}$, $C_{01} = C_{02}$ and $C_{-12} = -C_{12}$. Eliminating C_{11} and C_{12} , therefore we get the final dispersion relation for the effective propagation constant as

$$[1 - n_0 \tilde{A}_{1111}(K)b_1][1 - n_0 \tilde{A}_{1111}(K)a_1] - n_0^2 \tilde{A}_{1211}^2 a_1 b_1 = 0, \qquad (10)$$

where the effective propagation constant K can be solved in the upper complex plane. The elements of $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}(\mathbf{K})$ appearing in the above equations are obtained using the following relations:

$$\tilde{A}_{m1\mu1}(\mathbf{K}) = \tilde{A}_{m2\mu2}(\mathbf{K}) = \sqrt{\frac{(1-\mu)!(1+m)!}{(1+\mu)!(1-m)!}} (-1)^m$$

$$\cdot \sum_n a(\mu, 1| - m, 1|n)a(1, 1, n)I_n^{m,\mu}(\mathbf{K}),$$

$$\tilde{A}_{m2\mu1}(\mathbf{K}) = \tilde{A}_{m1\mu2}(\mathbf{K}) = \sqrt{\frac{(1-\mu)!(1+m)!}{(1+\mu)!(1-m)!}} (-1)^{m+1}$$

$$\cdot \sum_n a(\mu, 1| - m, 1|n, n-1)b(1, 1, n)I_n^{m,\mu}(\mathbf{K}),$$
(12)

where

$$I_n^{m,\mu}(\mathbf{K}) = \int_0^\infty 4\pi (-i)^n h_n(kr) j_n(Kr) Y_n^{\mu-m}(\theta_K, \phi_K) g_2(r) r^2 dr$$
(13)

is an integral involving the PDF, and can be evaluated numerically for a known $g_2(r)$. Note throughout this paper, all the integrals, if not specified, are performed over the entire real (for position vector) or reciprocal (for reciprocal vector) spaces. Here $Y_n^m(\theta, \phi)$ are spherical harmonics, and coefficients that contain m, μ, n including $a(m, 1| - \mu, 1|n), a(\mu, 1| - m, 1|n), a(1, 1, n), b(1, 1, n)$ are related to Wigner 3-*j* symbols and listed in Appendix A.

An additional relation is necessary to solve C_{12} and C_{11} on the basis of Eqs.(8) and (9). This can be done by considering the relationship between the effective propagation constant and the transmission coefficient of the coherent field. According to Ref.[33], we obtain

$$K^{2} - k^{2} = \frac{6\pi i n_{0}}{k} (a_{1}C_{12} + b_{1}C_{11}).$$
(14)

By combining Eqs.(8),(10) and (14), the effective exciting field amplitudes C_{12} and C_{11} can be solved, which allow us to determine how the dependent scattering effects, induced by the structural correlations, play a role in the modification of the electric and magnetic dipole excitations.

After calculating the effective propagation constant for the coherent wave, we compute the scattering intensity to derive the scattering phase function defined for incoherent waves [64]. The ensemble averaged total intensity is given by

$$I(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r})\mathbf{E}^*(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \langle [\mathbf{E}_{\rm inc}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{E}_{\rm s}(\mathbf{r})] \cdot [\mathbf{E}_{\rm inc}^*(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{E}_{\rm s}^*(\mathbf{r})] \rangle.$$
(15)

where $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{E}_{inc}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{E}_{s}(\mathbf{r})$ is the total field, and \mathbf{E}_{s} is the total scattered field generated by all the particles. The superscript * denotes the complex conjugate. The coherent intensity is defined as

$$I_{\rm coh}(\mathbf{r}) = \mathbf{E}_{\rm coh}(\mathbf{r})\mathbf{E}_{\rm coh}^*(\mathbf{r})$$
(16)

where $\mathbf{E}_{coh}(\mathbf{r})$ is the coherent field that is the ensemble averaged total field $\mathbf{E}_{coh}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle = \langle \mathbf{E}_{inc}(\mathbf{r}) + \mathbf{E}_{s}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle$. Therefore the incoherent intensity, defined as the difference between total intensity and coherent intensity, is given by

$$I_{\rm ich}(\mathbf{r}) = I(\mathbf{r}) - I_{\rm coh}(\mathbf{r}) = \langle \mathbf{E}_{\rm s}(\mathbf{r})\mathbf{E}_{\rm s}^*(\mathbf{r}) \rangle - \langle \mathbf{E}_{\rm s}(\mathbf{r}) \rangle \langle \mathbf{E}_{\rm s}^*(\mathbf{r}) \rangle, \qquad (17)$$

The incoherent intensity denotes the light intensity generated by random fluctuations of the medium, which is also called diffuse intensity by some authors [65]. By expressing the total scattered wave in VSWFs, applying the far-field and on-shell approximations as well as Fourier transform technique, we can finally derive the following expression for the incoherent intensity [33]:

$$I_{\rm ich}(\mathbf{r}) = n_0 \sum_{mpm'p'} \iiint d\hat{\mathbf{p}} d\mathbf{p}' d\mathbf{s} [1 + n_0 (2\pi)^3 H(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p})] T_{1p} T_{1p'}^* C_{mp} C_{m'p'}^*$$
$$\times \mathbf{N}_{m1p}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s}/2) \mathbf{N}_{m1p}^{(3)*}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{s}/2) \exp(-i\mathbf{p}' \times \mathbf{s}) \langle \mathbf{E}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}K) \mathbf{E}^*(\hat{\mathbf{p}}K) \rangle,$$
(18)

where $H(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p})$ is the Fourier transform of pair correlation function (PCF) $h_2(\mathbf{r}) = g_2(\mathbf{r}) - 1$ as

$$H(\mathbf{p}'-\mathbf{p}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d\mathbf{r} h_2(r) \exp\left[-i(\mathbf{p}'-\mathbf{p})\cdot\mathbf{r}\right].$$
 (19)

The physical significance of Eq.(18) is that the incoherent intensity arises from the process in which the total intensity propagating along $\hat{\mathbf{p}}$ is scattered into the direction $\hat{\mathbf{p}}'$, and the total incoherent intensity should be integrated over all possible incident and scattering directions. It is the process that is described by the conventional RTE [22]. Therefore, the quantity in the integral is indeed the different scattering coefficient, which is given by

$$\frac{d\kappa_{\mathbf{s}}}{d\Omega_{\mathbf{s}}} = n_0 \sum_{mpm'p'} \int d\mathbf{s} [1 + n_0 (2\pi)^3 H(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p})] T_{1p} T_{1p'}^* C_{mp} C_{m'p'}^* \times \mathbf{N}_{m1p}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{s}/2) \mathbf{N}_{m1p}^{(3)*}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{s}/2) \exp(-i\mathbf{p}' \cdot \mathbf{s}),$$
(20)

where Ω_s indicates the scattering solid angle defined as the angle between incident direction **p** and scattering direction **p**'. By utilizing the asymptotic property for VSWFs in the far field (see Appendix B), above equation can be calculated as [33]

$$\frac{d\kappa_{\rm s}}{d\theta_{\rm s}} = \frac{9n_0}{4k^2} [1 + n_0(2\pi)^3 H(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p})] \Big[|a_1 C_{21} \pi_n(\cos\theta_{\rm s}) + b_1 C_{11} \tau_n(\cos\theta_{\rm s})|^2
+ |b_1 C_{11} \pi_n(\cos\theta_{\rm s}) + a_1 C_{21} \tau_n(\cos\theta_{\rm s})|^2 \Big],$$
(21)

where θ_s is the polar scattering angle, and the dependency on azimuth angle is integrated out. The functions $\tau_n(\cos\theta_s)$ and $\pi_n(\cos\theta_s)$ are defined in Appendix B. Under the on-shell and far-field approximations [33], the argument in the Fourier transform of the PCF is given by $|\mathbf{p}'-\mathbf{p}| = \sqrt{K^2 + k^2 - 2Kk\cos\theta_s}$. Eq.(21) is the main formula providing the scattering coefficient and phase function with considerations on the dependent scattering effects. Accordingly, the structural correlations among particles, i.e., $h_2(r)$, induce and influence the dependent scattering mechanism in two ways. The first is manifested in the structure factor defined as $S(\mathbf{q}) = 1 + n_0(2\pi)^3 H(\mathbf{p'} - \mathbf{p})$ where $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{p'} - \mathbf{p}$. The structure factor is widely used by many authors as the first order dependent-scattering correction to the differential scattering coefficient of ISA, for instance, Refs.[14, 36, 38, 41, 66, 67], which describes the far-field interference between first-order scattered waves of different particles, also named as the interference approximation (ITA) by some authors [68]. The second role of the structural correlations is to introduce the effective exciting field amplitudes C_{12} and C_{11} , which are not accounted by either ISA or ITA. If they are substantially different from unity, the scattering coefficient and phase function will also be affected. In Section 3, we will discuss in detail the two effects induced and influenced by the structural correlations.

Note our dependent scattering model does not account for the recurrent scattering effect [69], which indicate the multiple scattering trajectories visiting the same particle more than once and resulting in a closed loop. This effect is significant for extremely strong scattering media, for instance, cold atomic clouds [35], and can occur even when there are no structural correlations [70]. However, since we only focus on moderately scattering media and the dependent scattering effects due to the structural correlations, the recurrent scattering effect is beyond the scope of this paper.

2.2. Pair correlation function

By now the undetermined quantities in the theoretical formulas are the PCF $h_2(r)$ and its Fourier transform $H(\mathbf{q})$. The PCF directly stands for two-particle statistics in the structural correlations. In order to explore how a manipulation of structural correlations can induce and influence the dependent scattering mechanism and thus the radiative properties, in this section, we consider two kinds of disordered particle systems with different structural correlations, including the hard-sphere (HS) system [42] and the sticky-hard-sphere (SHS) system [43].

For the HS system, all particles are randomly distributed and the only restriction for their positions is that they do not be deform by or penetrate each other. The interacting potential is thus given by

$$U_{\rm HS}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} \infty & 0 < r < d \\ 0 & r \ge d \end{cases}$$
(22)

where d = 2a is the diameter of the sphere. Inserting this potential into the well known Ornstein-Zernike integral equation and using the Percus-Yevick approximation [42], a closed form solution of the PCF can be obtained. The Fourier transform of the PCF is solved and given by Refs.[37, 42] as

$$H(\mathbf{q}) = \frac{F(q)}{1 - n_0 (2\pi)^3 F(q)},\tag{23}$$

where

$$n_{0}(2\pi)^{3}F(q) = 24f_{v}\left[\frac{\alpha+\beta+\delta}{u^{2}}\cos u - \frac{\alpha+2\beta+4\delta}{u^{3}}\sin u - 2\frac{\beta+6\delta}{u^{4}}\cos u + \frac{2\beta}{u^{4}} + \frac{24\delta}{u^{5}}\sin u + \frac{24\delta}{u^{6}}(\cos u - 1)\right]$$
(24)

with $q = |\mathbf{q}|, u = 2qa, \alpha = (1+2f_v)^2/(1-f_v)^4, \beta = -6f_v(1+f_v/2)^2/(1-f_v)^4, \delta = f_v(1+2f_v)^2/[2(1-f_v)^2]$. By taking the inverse Fourier transform, we are able to obtain the PCF for this system as

$$h_2(\mathbf{r}) = h_2(r) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(\mathbf{p}) \exp\left(i\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}\right) d\mathbf{r}$$
(25)

This model is capable to reproduce the position relations between pairs of spherical particle analytically with a high accurateness [37].

On the other hand, the SHS system is described by the following attractive potential as [20, 43, 44],

$$U_{\rm SHS}(\mathbf{r}) = \begin{cases} \infty & 0 < r < s \\ \ln\left[\frac{12\tau(d-s)}{d}\right] & s < r < d \\ 0 & r > d \end{cases}$$
(26)

where τ is a parameter whose inverse τ^{-1} measures the strength of particle surface adhesion (which will be called the inverse stickiness parameter later on). (d-s) stands for the range of potential, which is assumed to be infinitesimal because this potential is confined on particle surface [43]. Again, the Percus-Yevick approximation is utilized in the O-Z equation to solve the PCF of the SHS system using the factorization method of Baxter [37, 43]. Similarly, the PCF in reciprocal space is solved from

$$[1 + n_0 (2\pi)^3 H(\mathbf{q})]^{-1} = \left\{ \frac{f_v}{1 - f_v} \left[\left(1 - tf_v + \frac{3f_v}{1 - f_v} \right) \Phi(y) + [3 - t(1 - f_v)] \Psi(y) \right] + \cos y \right\}^2 + \left\{ \frac{f_v}{1 - f_v} [y \Phi(y)] + \sin y \right\}^2,$$
(27)

where y = qa, $\Psi(y) = 3(\sin y/y^3 - \cos y/y^2)$ and $\Phi(y) = \sin y/y$. And the parameter t satisfies the following equation for a given f_v and τ [37]

$$\frac{f_v}{12}t^2 - \left(\tau + \frac{f_v}{1 - f_v}\right)t + \frac{1 + f_v/2}{(1 - f_v)^2} = 0$$
(28)

Therefore, by taking the inverse Fourier transform for $H(\mathbf{q})$, the same as Eq. (25), the PCF for sticky-sphere system is also obtained.

Since the structure factor accounts for the far-field interference effect, in Fig.2, we show the structure factor $S(q) = 1 + n_0(2\pi)^3 H(\mathbf{q})$ of different random systems as a function of y = qa for particle volume fractions $f_v = 0.1$ and $f_v = 0.2$, to aid the analysis in the following section.

3. Results and Discussions

Based on the theoretical formulas on the radiative properties as well as the analytical expressions of HS and SHS PCFs, in this section, we present the obtained results and investigate the dependent scattering effects induced and influenced by the structural correlations. Here we consider a random medium consisting of nonabsorbing zirconia nanoparticles, whose refractive index is set to be n = 2.1. Porous coatings made of zirconia nanoparticles are widely used to provide high temperature thermal insulation, for which the protection over thermal conduction and radiation heat transfer is of paramount importance [24, 71–74]. Here we fix the radius a of the nanoparticle to be 0.23μ m and investigate the spectral response in the range of $1 \le \lambda \le 1.8\mu$ m, in which the electric and magnetic dipoles in the particles are excited while high-order Mie multipolar modes are negligible. This is demonstrated in the extinction efficiency spectra shown in Fig.3, where total the contributions of electric dipole (ED) and magnetic dipole (MD) as well as the sum of them (ED+MD) are also plotted for comparison.



Figure 2: The structure factor $S(q) = 1 + n_0(2\pi)^3 H(\mathbf{q})$ as a function of y = qa for different random systems with different inverse stickiness parameters for particle volume fractions $f_v = 0.1$ and $f_v = 0.2$.



Figure 3: Extinction efficiency $Q = C_{\rm ext}/(\pi a^2)$ for a single spherical nanoparticle with radius a = 230 nm as a function of the wavelength λ , where the contributions of electric dipole (ED) and magnetic dipole (MD) as well as the sum of them (ED+MD) are also shown. Here $C_{\rm ext}$ is the extinction cross section of a single spherical particle calculated from the Mie theory.

3.1. Asymmetry factor and phase function



Figure 4: The asymmetry factor g for random systems as a function of wavelength for random media with different stickiness parameters τ . (a) $f_v = 0.1$; (b) $f_v = 0.2$.

We first study the dependent scattering effects on the asymmetry factor as well as the phase function of the random media. The asymmetry factor as a function of the thermal radiation wavelength for the particle volume fraction $f_v = 0.1$ and $f_v = 0.2$ is shown in Figs.4a and 4b respectively. The comparison between different volume fractions will provide information on how the packing density influences the radiative properties.

It can be observed that the particle correlation affects the asymmetry factor substantially. For the HS systems, the asymmetry factor is much smaller than the single particle case, and for $f_v = 0.2$, in the wavelength range of $1.3 \leq \lambda \leq 1.8 \mu m$, we even obtain a negative asymmetry factor. This result is due to the suppression of forward scattering and the enhancement of backscattering in the HS systems. It is well known that randomly packed hard particles with no additional interparticle forces will show a forward scattering suppression [41] where the structure factor is much smaller than unity in the forward scattering direction (i.e., $q \sim 0$) according to Fig.2. This suppression becomes more significant when the volume fraction (packing density) is increased as shown in Fig.2. Moreover, if the size parameter a/λ is in an appropriate range, a backscattering enhancement can be achieved, as seen in Fig.2, where the structure factor in the backscattering direction is larger than unity (the normalized parameter in the exact backscattering direction $y_{\text{back}} \approx 2ka \gtrsim 2$), and this is the case for the present HS systems. This fact is further demonstrated in the phase function $P(\theta_s)$ as a function of the scattering angle θ_s at $\lambda = 1 \mu m$, as shown in Fig.4.

However, when the inverse stickiness parameter τ reduces (which indicates a stronger interparticle adhesive force), the asymmetry factor monotonously grows, irrespective of the wavelength. For the SHS systems with $\tau = 0.15$, the asymmetry factor even exceeds that of the single particle case for both $f_v = 0.1$ and $f_v = 0.2$. This is because the surface adhesiveness makes the particles inclined to aggregate and enhances the forward scattering of radiation. This can be further understood through Fig.2 that the structure factor is strongly improved at $q \sim 0$ as compared with the HS cases. Therefore the surface stickiness actually plays a competitive role against the random packing (density) effect in forward scattering. In terms of backscattering, both HS and SHS systems show an enhancement over the single particle case. However, for $f_v = 0.1$, we find that a higher level of surface stickiness leads to a reduction in backscattering (Fig.5a), while for $f_v = 0.2$ the trend is broken (Fig.5b) and the three SHS systems surprisingly exhibit a higher backscattering strength than the HS system. This observation can not be explained by the profile of the structure factor (see Fig.2, $y_{\text{back}} \approx 2ka = 2.89$ for $\lambda = 1 \mu m$).



Figure 5: The phase function $P(\theta_s)$ as a function of the scattering angle θ_s at $\lambda = 1\mu m$ for random systems with different inverse stickiness parameters. (a) $f_v = 0.1$; (b) $f_v = 0.2$

As mentioned in Section 2.1, in the present theory, the structural correlations, manifested as the inverse stickiness parameter τ (For the HS system, $\tau \to \infty$ or $\tau^{-1} \to 0$.), affect the asymmetry factor in two ways. The first way is to giving rise to the far-field interference effect as manifested by the structure factor, as analyzed in the above. The second way is to modify the effective electric and magnetic dipole excitations C_{12} and C_{11} . Therefore, aiming to find the underlying mechanism of the above observation, we show in Fig.6 the calculated effective exciting field amplitudes for electric and magnetic dipoles for $f_v = 0.1$ and $f_v = 0.2$ respectively.



Figure 6: The effective exciting field amplitudes C_{12} (for electric dipole) C_{11} (for magnetic dipole) as a function of the wavelength for random systems with different inverse stickiness parameters. (a) C_{12} for $f_v = 0.1$; (b) C_{11} for $f_v = 0.1$; (c) C_{12} for $f_v = 0.2$; (d) C_{11} for $f_v = 0.1$.

It can be observed from Fig.6 that the structural correlations substantially modify the effective exciting field amplitudes, which can be tuned by controlling the inverse stickiness parameter. The structural-correlation-induced effective exciting field amplitudes show a complicated interplay with the single scattering property of the particles. When the single particle is near its scattering resonance ($\lambda \sim 1 \mu m$), |C| is significantly enhanced over unity except for the $\tau = 0.15$ case, and increasing the particle stickiness suppresses this enhancement. On the other hand, when a single particle is off-resonance, it is found that increasing the particle stickiness in turn intensifies |C|. Note in the case C_{11} for $f_v = 0.2$ shown in Fig.6d, this transition still exists and is blue-shifted, thus not shown here. This transition can be qualitatively understood as follows. For strongly scattering particles, multiple scattering of electromagnetic waves initially gives rise to a larger exciting field for each particle. However, the surface stickiness makes particles to cluster, leading to a reduction in the exciting field for an individual particle due to the "screening effect" of other particles against electromagnetic waves [33]. On the contrary, when the particles are weakly scattering, stickiness-induced clustering can further enhance the exciting field for an individual particle, where no screening effect occurs. Another feature can be found from Fig.6 is that the higher packing density (volume fraction) can generally suppress the effective exciting field amplitudes for all inverse sticky parameters. This can be regarded as a screening effect induced by the packing density [33, 75].

To quantitatively demonstrate the role of the effective exciting field amplitudes C_{12} and C_{11} in the asymmetry factor, we plot the effective-field related asymmetry factor $g_{\rm C}$ for $f_v = 0.1$ and $f_v = 0.2$ in Fig.7, which is defined as follows [33, 50]:



Figure 7: The exciting field related asymmetry factor $g_{\rm C}$ for random systems with different stickiness. (a) $f_v = 0.1$ (b) $f_v = 0.2$

$$g_{\rm C} = \frac{\text{Re}(a_1 C_{12} b_1^* C_{11}^*)}{|a_1 C_{12}|^2 + |b_1 C_{11}|^2}.$$
(29)

The two subfigures show that when only considering the effect of modification of electric and magnetic dipole excitations, the asymmetry factors for different random systems are also different from that of a single particle. This indicates that this effect indeed plays a role in determining the asymmetry



Figure 8: The effective-field related phase function $P_{\rm C}(\theta_{\rm s})$ for random systems with different stickiness. (a) $f_v = 0.1$; (b) $f_v = 0.2$.

factor of the random media. However, although the effective exciting field amplitudes for various inverse stickiness parameters are substantially different as shown in Fig.6, their impacts on the obtained $g_{\rm C}$ are rather slight. Nevertheless, when considering the detailed phase function, we can find the difference among these random systems. This point can be demonstrated in Fig.8 under $\lambda = 1\mu$ m. It is shown that the modification of electric and magnetic dipole excitations by different inverse stickiness parameters substantially affects the backscattering probability. However, when increasing volume fraction to $f_v = 0.2$, the phase functions change a little, and only the difference among random systems in the backscattering direction is slightly magnified.

3.2. Scattering coefficient

In this subsection, we consider how the dependent scattering mechanism affects the scattering coefficient. The scattering coefficient as a function of the thermal radiation wavelength for the particle volume fraction $f_v = 0.1$ and $f_v = 0.2$ is shown in Figs.9a and 9b respectively.

It can be observed that the different structural correlations affect the scattering coefficient significantly, and the difference is further magnified by the packing density (volume fraction). When the surface stickiness grows, the scattering coefficient is also enhanced over the whole wavelength range, which is due to the enhancement of partial coherence originating from the clustering of the particles [40]. This result also provides a way to improve the scattering coefficient by introducing a surface stickiness for the particles.



Figure 9: The scattering coefficient κ_s for random systems with different stickiness in logarithmic scale. (a) $f_v = 0.1$ (b) $f_v = 0.2$.

We further use the method in the previous subsection to analyze the effect of modification of electric and magnetic dipole excitations. We define the following effective-field related scattering coefficient κ_{sC} as:

$$\kappa_{\rm sC} = \frac{9n_0}{4k^2} \int_0^{\pi} \left[|a_1 C_{21} \pi_n(\cos\theta_{\rm s}) + b_1 C_{11} \tau_n(\cos\theta_{\rm s})|^2 + |b_1 C_{11} \pi_n(\cos\theta_{\rm s}) + a_1 C_{12} \tau_n(\cos\theta_{\rm s})|^2 \right] \sin\theta_{\rm s} d\theta_{\rm s}.$$
(30)

Figure 10: The effective exciting field related scattering coefficient κ_{sC} for random systems with different stickiness. (a) $f_v = 0.1$ (b) $f_v = 0.2$

The computed results of κ_{sC} for $f_v = 0.1$ and $f_v = 0.2$ are shown in Fig.10. Consistent with the results in Figs.6 and 7, the effective exciting

field related scattering coefficient indeed exhibits a difference with the result of independent scattering approximation (ISA), and the difference is more significant for the higher packing density, although which is, to some extent, slight. However, the effect of the inverse stickiness parameter is not discriminable. Hence, it can be concluded that it is mainly the structure factor S(q), or the far-field interference effect, that influences the scattering coefficient for the present random media composed of moderate-refractive-index dual-dipolar particles. This result further elucidates the fact that many authors, who only used the ITA model (the far-field interference model) to predict the scattering and transport mean free paths of various random media, could obtained a rather good agreement with their experimental measurements [17, 27, 36, 41, 45, 46, 66, 67, 76], except for extremely dense media $(f_v > 0.4)$ [9, 25]. This is, to some extent, a valuable contribution of our present paper.

4. Conclusions

In this study, we reveal the role of dependent scattering mechanism on the radiative properties of a random system consisting of dual-dipolar particles. In particular, we investigate the effect of modification of the electric and magnetic dipole excitations and the far-field interference effect, both induced and influenced by the structural correlations. We study in detail how the structural correlations play a role in the dependent scattering mechanism by using two types of particle system, i.e., the hard-sphere system and the stickyhard-sphere system. We show that the inverse stickiness parameter, which controls the interparticle adhesive force and thus the particle correlations, can tune the radiative properties significantly. Particularly, increasing the surface stickiness can result in a higher scattering coefficient and a larger asymmetry factor. Additionally, the results show that in the present random media composed of moderate-refractive-index dual-dipolar particles, the farfield interference effect plays a dominant role in the radiative properties while the effect of modification of the electric and magnetic dipole excitations is more subtle.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos.51636004 and 51476097), Shanghai Key Fundamental Research Grant (16JC1403200) and the Foundation for Innovative Research Groups of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.51521004).

Appendix A. VSWFs and the translation addition theorem

The regular VSWFs $\mathbf{N}_{mnp}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r})$ for p = 2 (TE mode) and p = 1 (TM mode) are defined as [56–58, 65, 77]

$$\mathbf{N}_{mn2}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}) = \sqrt{\frac{(2n+1)(n-m)!}{4\pi n(n+1)(n+m)!}} \nabla \times (\mathbf{r}\psi_{mn}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r})), \qquad (A.1)$$

$$\mathbf{N}_{mn1}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{k} \nabla \times \mathbf{N}_{mn2}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r})$$
(A.2)

where $k = \omega/c$ is the wave number in free space and ω is the angular frequency of the electromagnetic wave. $\psi_{mn}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r})$ is regular (type-1) scalar wave function defined as

$$\psi_{mn}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}) = j_n(kr)Y_n^m(\theta,\phi), \qquad (A.3)$$

where $j_n(kr)$ is the spherical Bessel function and $Y_n^m(\theta, \phi)$ is spherical harmonics defined as

$$Y_n^m(\theta,\phi) = P_n^m(\cos\theta) \exp(im\phi), \tag{A.4}$$

where we use the convention of quantum mechanics, and $P_n^m(\cos\theta)$ is associated Legendre polynomials.

The outgoing (type-3) VSWFs have can be similarly defined by replacing above spherical Bessel functions with Hankel functions of the first kind $h_n(kr)$.

The translation addition theorem of VSWFs, which transforms the VSWFs centered in \mathbf{r}_i into those centered in \mathbf{r}_j , is given by

$$\mathbf{N}_{\mu\nu q}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_i) = \sum_{\mu\nu q} A_{mnp\mu\nu q}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j) \mathbf{N}_{mnp}^{(1)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j), \qquad (A.5)$$

which is valid for $|\mathbf{r}_i - \mathbf{r}_j| > |\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j|$, and therefore should be used in the vicinity of \mathbf{r}_j . The coefficient $A^{(3)}_{\mu qmp}$ is generally given by [37]

$$A_{mn1\mu\nu1}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}) = A_{mn2\mu\nu2}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\gamma_{\mu\nu}}{\gamma_{mn}}(-1)^m \\ \cdot \sum_{l} a(\mu,\nu|-m,n|l)a(\nu,n,l)h_l(kr)Y_l^{\mu-m}(\theta,\phi),$$
(A.6)

$$A_{mn1\mu\nu2}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}) = A_{mn2\mu\nu1}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r}) = \frac{\gamma_{\mu\nu}}{\gamma_{mn}} (-1)^{m+1}$$

$$\sum_{l} a(\mu,\nu|-m,n|l,l-1)b(\nu,n,l)h_{l}(kr)Y_{l}^{\mu-m}(\theta,\phi), \qquad (A.7)$$

where γ_{mn} is defined as

$$\gamma_{mn} = \sqrt{\frac{(2n+1)(n-m)!}{4\pi n(n+1)(n+m)!}}.$$
(A.8)

The coefficients $a(\mu, \nu | -m, n | l)$ and $a(\mu, \nu | -m, n | l, l - 1)$ are given by

$$a(\mu,\nu|-m,n|l) = (-1)^{\mu-m} (2l+1) \begin{pmatrix} \nu & n & l \\ \mu & -m & \mu-m \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cdot \begin{pmatrix} \nu & n & l \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left[\frac{(\nu+\mu)!(n-m)!(l-\mu+m)!}{(\nu-\mu)!(n+m)!(l+\mu-m)!} \right]^{1/2},$$
(A.9)

$$a(\mu,\nu|-m,n|l,l-1) = (-1)^{\mu-m} (2l+1) \begin{pmatrix} \nu & n & l \\ \mu & -m & \mu-m \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\cdot \begin{pmatrix} \nu & n & l-1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left[\frac{(\nu+\mu)!(n-m)!(l-\mu+m)!}{(\nu-\mu)!(n+m)!(l+\mu-m)!} \right]^{1/2},$$
(A.10)

in which the variables in the form $\begin{pmatrix} j_1 & j_2 & j_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix}$ are Wigner-3*j* symbols. They can be found in Ref. [37, 78] and not shown in detail here. Other coefficients $a(\nu, n, l)$ and $b(\nu, n, l)$ are given as [37]

$$a(\nu, n, l) = \frac{i^{n+l-\nu}}{2n(n+1)} \Big[2n(n+1)(2n+1) + (n+1)(\nu+n) - l(\nu+l-n+1) - n(\nu+n+l+2)(n+l-\nu+1) \Big],$$
(A.11)

$$b(\nu, n, l) = -\frac{(2n+1)i^{n+l-\nu}}{2n(n+1)} \Big[(\nu+n+l+1)(n+l-\nu) + (\nu+l-n)(\nu+n-l+1) \Big]^{1/2}.$$
(A.12)

Appendix B. Far-field approximation for outgoing VSWFs

For outgoing (type-3) VSWFs $\mathbf{N}_{mnp}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j)$ centered at \mathbf{r}_j , their far-field forms (when $r \gg r_j$) are given by [56, 58, 77]

$$\mathbf{N}_{mn2}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j) \approx i^{-n} \sqrt{\frac{(2n+1)(n-m)!}{4\pi n(n+1)(n+m)!}} \frac{\exp(kr)}{kr}$$

$$\cdot \exp(-\mathbf{k}_s \cdot \mathbf{r}_j) \mathbf{B}_{mn}(\theta, \phi),$$
(B.1)

$$\mathbf{N}_{mn1}^{(3)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_j) \approx i^{-n} \sqrt{\frac{(2n+1)(n-m)!}{4\pi n(n+1)(n+m)!}} \frac{\exp(kr)}{kr}$$
(B.2)

$$\cdot \exp(-\mathbf{k}_s \cdot \mathbf{r}_j) \mathbf{C}_{mn}(\theta, \phi),$$

where $\mathbf{B}_{mn}(\theta, \phi)$ and $\mathbf{C}_{mn}(\theta, \phi)$ are vector spherical harmonics. In the present calculation for spheres, only $m = \pm 1$ are needed. In this condition,

$$\mathbf{B}_{1n}(\theta,\phi) = -[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\tau_n(\cos\theta) + \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\pi_n(\cos\theta)]\exp(i\phi), \qquad (B.3)$$

$$\mathbf{B}_{-1n}(\theta,\phi) = \frac{1}{n(n+1)} [\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}\tau_n(\cos\theta) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\pi_n(\cos\theta)] \exp(-i\phi), \qquad (B.4)$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{1n}(\theta,\phi) = -[\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}i\pi_n(\cos\theta) - \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}}\tau_n(\cos\theta)]\exp(i\phi), \qquad (B.5)$$

$$\mathbf{C}_{-1n}(\theta,\phi) = -\frac{1}{n(n+1)} [\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} i \pi_n(\cos\theta) + \hat{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \tau_n(\cos\theta)] \exp(-i\phi), \qquad (B.6)$$

where τ_n and π_n are functions defined as [58]

$$\tau_n(\cos\theta) = -\frac{dP_n^1(\cos\theta)}{d\theta},\tag{B.7}$$

$$\pi_n(\cos\theta) = -\frac{P_n^1(\cos\theta)}{\sin\theta}.$$
 (B.8)

References

References

 Diederik S Wiersma, Paolo Bartolini, Ad Lagendijk, and Roberto Righini. Localization of light in a disordered medium. *Nature (London)*, 390 (6661):671–673, 1997. doi: 10.1038/37757.

- [2] Martin Störzer, Peter Gross, Christof M. Aegerter, and Georg Maret. Observation of the critical regime near anderson localization of light. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 96:063904, Feb 2006. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.96. 063904. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.96. 063904.
- [3] Mordechai Segev, Yaron Silberberg, and Demetrios N Christodoulides. Anderson localization of light. *Nat. Photon.*, 7(February):197-204, 2013. ISSN 1749-4885. doi: 10.1038/nphoton.2013.30. URL http://www. nature.com/doifinder/10.1038/nphoton.2013.30.
- [4] T Sperling, L Schertel, M Ackermann, G J Aubry, C M Aegerter, and G Maret. Can 3d light localization be reached in white paint? New J. Phys., 18(1):013039, 2016. URL http://stacks.iop.org/1367-2630/ 18/i=1/a=013039.
- [5] Rik H. J. Kop, Pedro de Vries, Rudolf Sprik, and Ad Lagendijk. Observation of anomalous transport of strongly multiple scattered light in thin disordered slabs. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 79:4369–4372, Dec 1997. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.4369. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.79.4369.
- [6] D. A. Zimnyakov, L. V. Kuznetsova, and A. B. Pravdin. Anomalous diffusion of light in tio2-powder layers near the absorption edge. Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics Letters, 82(5):267–272, Sep 2005. ISSN 1090-6487. doi: 10.1134/1.2130910. URL https://doi.org/10.1134/1.2130910.
- [7] Pierre Barthelemy, Jacopo Bertolotti, and Diederik S Wiersma. A lévy flight for light. *Nature*, 453(7194):495, 2008.
- [8] Jacopo Bertolotti, Kevin Vynck, and Diederik S. Wiersma. Multiple scattering of light in superdiffusive media. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 105:163902, Oct 2010. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.163902. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.105.163902.
- [9] Hui Cao, Y. Zhao, S. Ho, E. Seelig, Q. Wang, and R. Chang. Random Laser Action in Semiconductor Powder. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 82(11):2278– 2281, 1999. ISSN 0031-9007. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.82.2278.

- [10] Diederik S. Wiersma. The physics and applications of randomlasers. Nat. Phys., 4(5):359–367, 2008. ISSN 1745-2473. doi: 10.1038/nphys971.
- Kevin Vynck, Matteo Burresi, Francesco Riboli, and Diederik S. Wiersma. Photon management in two-dimensional disordered media. Nat. Mater., 11(12):1017-1022, 2012. ISSN 1476-1122. doi: 10.1038/nmat3442. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmat3442.
- [12] Xing Fang, Minhan Lou, Hua Bao, and C. Y. Zhao. Thin films with disordered nanohole patterns for solar radiation absorbers. J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 158:145 - 153, 2015. ISSN 0022-4073. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2015.01.002. URL http://www. sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022407315000035.
- [13] Seng Fatt Liew, Sbastien M. Popoff, Stafford W. Sheehan, Arthur Goetschy, Charles A. Schmuttenmaer, A. Douglas Stone, and Hui Cao. Coherent control of photocurrent in a strongly scattering photoelectrochemical system. ACS Photon., 3(3):449–455, 2016. doi: 10.1021/acsphotonics.5b00642.
- [14] M. Q. Liu, C. Y. Zhao, B. X. Wang, and Xing Fang. Role of short-range order in manipulating light absorption in disordered media. J. Opt. Soc. Am. B, 35(3):504–513, Mar 2018. doi: 10.1364/JOSAB.35.000504. URL http://josab.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=josab-35-3-504.
- [15] Yao Zhai, Yaoguang Ma, Sabrina N David, Dongliang Zhao, Runnan Lou, Gang Tan, Ronggui Yang, and Xiaobo Yin. Scalable-manufactured randomized glass-polymer hybrid metamaterial for daytime radiative cooling. *Science*, 355(6329):1062–1066, 2017. doi: 10.1126/science. aai7899.
- [16] Hua Bao, Chen Yan, B. X. Wang, X. Fang, C. Y. Zhao, and X. L. Ruan. Double-layer nanoparticle-based coatings for efficient terrestrial radiative cooling. *Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells*, 168:78 84, 2017. ISSN 0927-0248. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solmat.2017.04.020.
- [17] Ming Xiao, Ziying Hu, Zhao Wang, Yiwen Li, Alejandro Diaz Tormo, Nicolas Le Thomas, Boxiang Wang, Nathan C. Gianneschi, Matthew D.

Shawkey, and Ali Dhinojwala. Bioinspired bright noniridescent photonic melanin supraballs. *Science Advances*, 3(9), 2017. doi: 10.1126/ sciadv.1701151. URL http://advances.sciencemag.org/content/3/ 9/e1701151.

- [18] Ad Lagendijk and Bart A Van Tiggelen. Resonant multiple scattering of light. *Phys. Rep.*, 270(3):143–215, 1996.
- [19] M. C. W. van Rossum and Theo M. Nieuwenhuizen. Multiple scattering of classical waves: from microscopy to mesoscopy and diffusion. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 71(1):86, 1998. ISSN 0034-6861. doi: 10. 1103/RevModPhys.71.313. URL http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/ 9804141.
- [20] Leung Tsang and Jin Au Kong. Scattering of Electromagnetic Waves: Advanced Topics. John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
- [21] Ping Sheng. Introduction to Wave Scattering, Localization and Mesoscopic Phenomena. Springer Science & Business Media, 2006.
- [22] Michael I Mishchenko, Larry D Travis, and Andrew A Lacis. Multiple scattering of light by particles: radiative transfer and coherent backscattering. Cambridge University Press, 2006.
- [23] P. D. García, R. Sapienza, J. Bertolotti, M. D. Martín, A Blanco, A. Altube, L. Viña, D. S. Wiersma, and C. López. Resonant light transport through mie modes in photonic glasses. *Phys. Rev. A*, 78: 023823, Aug 2008. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevA.78.023823. URL https: //link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.78.023823.
- [24] B X Wang and C Y Zhao. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer Modeling radiative properties of air plasma sprayed thermal barrier coatings in the dependent scattering regime. *International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer*, 89:920–928, 2015. ISSN 0017-9310. doi: 10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2015.06.017. URL http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.ijheatmasstransfer.2015.06.017.
- [25] R. Rezvani Naraghi, S. Sukhov, J. J. Sáenz, and A. Dogariu. Near-field effects in mesoscopic light transport. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 115:203903, Nov 2015. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.203903. URL http://link.aps. org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.203903.

- [26] Chang-Lin Tien and BL Drolen. Thermal radiation in part1culate media with dependent and independent scattering. Annual Review of Heat Transfer, 1(1), 1987.
- [27] S Kumar and CL Tien. Dependent absorption and extinction of radiation by small particles. *Journal of heat transfer*, 112(1):178–185, 1990.
- [28] Siu-Chun Lee. Dependent scattering by parallel fibers: effects of multiple scattering and wave interference. Journal of thermophysics and heat transfer, 6(4):589–595, 1992.
- [29] Z. Ivezi and M. Pinar Meng. An investigation of dependent/independent scattering regimes using a discrete dipole approximation. International Journal of Heat and Mass Transfer, 39(4):811 822, 1996. ISSN 0017-9310. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0017-9310(95) 00142-5. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0017931095001425.
- [30] Stéphane Durant, Olivier Calvo-Perez, Nicolas Vukadinovic, and Jean-Jacques Greffet. Light scattering by a random distribution of particles embedded in absorbing media: full-wave monte carlo solutions of the extinction coefficient. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, 24(9):2953-2962, Sep 2007. doi: 10.1364/JOSAA.24.002953. URL http://josaa.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=josaa-24-9-2953.
- [31] V. Duc Nguyen, D. J. Faber, E. van der Pol, T. G. van Leeuwen, and J. Kalkman. Dependent and multiple scattering in transmission and backscattering optical coherence tomography. *Opt. Express*, 21 (24):29145-29156, Dec 2013. doi: 10.1364/OE.21.029145. URL http: //www.opticsexpress.org/abstract.cfm?URI=oe-21-24-29145.
- [32] LX Ma, JY Tan, JM Zhao, FQ Wang, and CA Wang. Multiple and dependent scattering by densely packed discrete spheres: Comparison of radiative transfer and maxwell theory. *Journal of Quantitative Spec*troscopy and Radiative Transfer, 187:255–266, 2017.
- [33] B. X. Wang and C. Y. Zhao. Achieving a strongly negative scattering asymmetry factor in random media composed of dual-dipolar particles. *Phys. Rev. A*, 97:023836, Feb 2018. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevA.97.023836. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.97.023836.

- [34] B A van Tiggelen, A Lagendijk, and A Tip. Multiple-scattering effects for the propagation of light in 3d slabs. J. Phys.: Cond. Mat., 2(37): 7653, 1990. URL http://stacks.iop.org/0953-8984/2/i=37/a=010.
- [35] Nicolas Cherroret, Dominique Delande, and Bart A. van Tiggelen. Induced dipole-dipole interactions in light diffusion from point dipoles. *Phys. Rev. A*, 94:012702, Jul 2016. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevA.94.012702. URL http://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevA.94.012702.
- [36] Seth Fraden and Georg Maret. Multiple light scattering from concentrated, interacting suspensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 65:512-515, Jul 1990. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.65.512. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/ 10.1103/PhysRevLett.65.512.
- [37] Leung Tsang, Jin Au Kong, Kung-Hau Ding, and Chi On Ao. Scattering of Electromagnetic Waves: Numerical Simulations. John Wiley & Sons, 2004.
- [38] L. F. Rojas-Ochoa, J. M. Mendez-Alcaraz, J. J. Sáenz, P. Schurtenberger, and F. Scheffold. Photonic properties of strongly correlated colloidal liquids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 93:073903, Aug 2004. doi: 10.1103/ PhysRevLett.93.073903. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/ PhysRevLett.93.073903.
- [39] Melvin Lax. Multiple scattering of waves. Rev. Mod. Phys., 23:287-310, Oct 1951. doi: 10.1103/RevModPhys.23.287. URL https://link.aps. org/doi/10.1103/RevModPhys.23.287.
- [40] Melvin Lax. Multiple scattering of waves. II. the effective field in dense systems. *Physical Review*, 85(4):621–629, 1952. ISSN 0031899X. doi: 10.1103/PhysRev.85.621.
- [41] Michael I. Mishchenko. Asymmetry parameters of the phase function for densely packed scattering grains. Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 52(1):95 - 110, 1994. ISSN 0022-4073. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-4073(94)90142-2. URL http://www. sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0022407394901422.
- [42] M. S. Wertheim. Exact solution of the percus-yevick integral equation for hard spheres. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 10:321–323, Apr 1963. doi:

10.1103/PhysRevLett.10.321. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10. 1103/PhysRevLett.10.321.

- [43] R. J. Baxter. Percusyevick equation for hard spheres with surface adhesion. J. Chem. Phys., 49(6):2770-2774, 1968. doi: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1063/1.1670482. URL http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/ journal/jcp/49/6/10.1063/1.1670482.
- [44] Daan Frenkel. Playing tricks with designer "atoms". Science, 296(5565):
 65–66, 2002. ISSN 0036-8075. doi: 10.1126/science.1070865.
- [45] L. Bressel, R. Hass, and O. Reich. Particle sizing in highly turbid dispersions by photon density wave spectroscopy. Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer, 126:122 129, 2013. ISSN 0022-4073. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2012.11. 031. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0022407312005596. Lasers and interactions with particles 2012.
- [46] X. T. Peng and A. D. Dinsmore. Light propagation in strongly scattering, random colloidal films: The role of the packing geometry. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 99:143902, Oct 2007. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.143902. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.99.143902.
- [47] O. Leseur, R. Pierrat, and R. Carminati. High-density hyperuniform materials can be transparent. *Optica*, 3(7):763-767, Jul 2016. doi: 10.1364/OPTICA.3.000763. URL http://www.osapublishing.org/ optica/abstract.cfm?URI=optica-3-7-763.
- [48] Luis S. Froufe-Pérez, Michael Engel, Juan José Sáenz, and Frank Scheffold. Band gap formation and anderson localization in disordered photonic materials with structural correlations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 114(36):9570–9574, 2017. ISSN 0027-8424. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1705130114. URL http://www.pnas.org/content/114/ 36/9570.
- [49] J M Geffrin, Braulio García-Cámara, R Gómez-Medina, P Albella, L S Froufe-Pérez, C Eyraud, a Litman, R Vaillon, F González, M Nieto-Vesperinas, J. J. Saenz, and F Moreno. Magnetic and electric coherence in forward- and back-scattered electromagnetic waves by a single dielectric subwavelength sphere. *Nature communications*, 3:1171, 2012. ISSN

2041-1723. doi: 10.1038/ncomms2167. URL http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/23132021.

- [50] R. Gómez-Medina, L. S. Froufe-Pérez, M. Yépez, F. Scheffold, M. Nieto-Vesperinas, and J. J. Sáenz. Negative scattering asymmetry parameter for dipolar particles: Unusual reduction of the transport mean free path and radiation pressure. *Phys. Rev. A*, 85:035802, Mar 2012. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevA.85.035802. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10. 1103/PhysRevA.85.035802.
- [51] X. Zambrana-Puyalto, I. Fernandez-Corbaton, M. L. Juan, X. Vidal, and G. Molina-Terriza. Duality symmetry and kerker conditions. *Opt. Lett.*, 38(11):1857–1859, Jun 2013. doi: 10.1364/OL.38.001857. URL http://ol.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=ol-38-11-1857.
- [52] Xavier Zambrana-Puyalto, Xavier Vidal, Mathieu L. Juan, and Gabriel Molina-Terriza. Dual and anti-dual modes in dielectric spheres. *Opt. Express*, 21(15):17520-17530, Jul 2013. doi: 10.1364/OE.21. 017520. URL http://www.opticsexpress.org/abstract.cfm?URI= oe-21-15-17520.
- [53] Mikolaj K Schmidt, Javier Aizpurua, Xavier Zambrana-puyalto, Xavier Vidal, Gabriel Molina-terriza, and J. J. Sáenz. Isotropically Polarized Speckle Patterns. *Physical Review Letters*, 113902(March):1–5, 2015. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.114.113902.
- [54] Michael I Mishchenko. *Electromagnetic scattering by particles and particle groups: an introduction.* Cambridge University Press, 2014.
- [55] V. V. Varadan, Y. Ma, and V. K. Varadan. Propagator model including multipole fields for discrete random media. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, 2(12): 2195-2201, Dec 1985. doi: 10.1364/JOSAA.2.002195. URL http:// josaa.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=josaa-2-12-2195.
- [56] Daniel W. Mackowski and Michael I. Mishchenko. Calculation of the t matrix and the scattering matrix for ensembles of spheres. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, 13(11):2266-2278, Nov 1996. doi: 10.1364/JOSAA.13.002266. URL http://josaa.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI=josaa-13-11-2266.
- [57] Hendrik Christoffel Hulst. *Light scattering by small particles*. Courier Corporation, 1957.

- [58] Craig F Bohren and Donald R Huffman. Absorption and scattering of light by small particles. John Wiley & Sons, 2008.
- [59] R. West, D. Gibbs, L. Tsang, and A. K. Fung. Comparison of optical scattering experiments and the quasi-crystalline approximation for dense media. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, 11(6):1854–1858, Jun 1994. doi: 10.1364/ JOSAA.11.001854. URL http://josaa.osa.org/abstract.cfm?URI= josaa-11-6-1854.
- [60] Adib Nashashibi and Kamal Sarabandi. Experimental Characterization of the Effective Propagation Constant of Dense Random Media. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 47(9):1454–1462. doi: 10. 1109/8.793326.
- [61] Paul R Siqueira, Kamal Sarabandi, and Senior Member. T-Matrix Determination of Effective Permittivity for Three-Dimensional Dense Random Media. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 48(2): 317–327, 2000. doi: 10.1109/8.833082.
- [62] D. Liang, X. Xu, L. Tsang, K. M. Andreadis, and E. G. Josberger. The effects of layers in dry snow on its passive microwave emissions using dense media radiative transfer theory based on the quasicrystalline approximation (qca/dmrt). *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 46(11):3663–3671, Nov 2008. ISSN 0196-2892. doi: 10.1109/TGRS.2008.922143.
- [63] Ravi Prasher. Thermal radiation in dense nano- and microparticulate media. Journal of Applied Physics, 102(7):074316, 2007. doi: 10.1063/ 1.2794703. URL http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.2794703.
- [64] Yushieh Ma, Vasundara V Varadan, and Vijay K Varadan. Scattered intensity of a wave propagating in a discrete random medium. *Applied Optics*, 27(12):2469–2477, 1988. doi: 10.1364/AO.27.002469.
- [65] D.W. Mackowski and M.I. Mishchenko. Direct simulation of extinction in a slab of spherical particles. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, 123(Supplement C):103 – 112, 2013. ISSN 0022-4073. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jqsrt.2013.02.008.
- [66] Y Yamada, J D Cartigny, and C L Tien. Radiatiwe Transfer With Dependent Scattering by Particles: Part 2Experimental Investigation

Dependent. Journal of Heat Transfer, 108(August 1986), 1986. doi: 10.1115/1.3246980.

- [67] Gaurasundar M. Conley, Matteo Burresi, Filippo Pratesi, Kevin Vynck, and Diederik S. Wiersma. Light transport and localization in twodimensional correlated disorder. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 112:143901, Apr 2014. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143901. URL https://link.aps.org/ doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.143901.
- [68] Vladimir P Dick and Arkady P Ivanov. Extinction of light in dispersive media with high particle concentrations : applicability limits of the interference approximation. J. Opt. Soc. Am. A, 16(5):1034–1039, 1999. doi: 10.1364/JOSAA.16.001034.
- [69] A. Aubry, L. A. Cobus, S. E. Skipetrov, B. A. van Tiggelen, A. Derode, and J. H. Page. Recurrent scattering and memory effect at the anderson localization transition. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 112:043903, Jan 2014. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.112.043903.
- [70] B. A. van Tiggelen and S. E. Skipetrov. Fluctuations of local density of states and C₀ speckle correlations are equal. *Phys. Rev. E*, 73:045601, Apr 2006. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevE.73.045601. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevE.73.045601.
- [71] W.B. Gong, C.K. Sha, D.Q. Sun, and W.Q. Wang. Microstructures and thermal insulation capability of plasma-sprayed nanostructured ceria stabilized zirconia coatings. *Surface and Coatings Technology*, 201(6): 3109 - 3115, 2006. ISSN 0257-8972. doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j. surfcoat.2006.06.041. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/ article/pii/S0257897206004956.
- [72] J. Marthe, E. Meillot, G. Jeandel, F. Enguehard, and J. Ilavsky. Enhancement of scattering and reflectance properties of plasma-sprayed alumina coatings by controlling the porosity. Surface and Coatings Technology, 220:80 84, 2013. ISSN 0257-8972. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.surfcoat.2012.05.048. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0257897212004513. Proceedings of the fifth workshop RIPT (Les Rencontres Internationales sur la Projection Thermique).

- [73] B.X. Wang and C.Y. Zhao. Effect of anisotropy on thermal radiation transport in porous ceramics. *International Journal of Thermal Sciences*, 111:301 – 309, 2017. ISSN 1290-0729. doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.ijthermalsci.2016.09.012. URL http://www.sciencedirect. com/science/article/pii/S1290072916303350.
- [74] X.W. Chen, C.Y. Zhao, and B.X. Wang. Microstructural effect on radiative scattering coefficient and asymmetry factor of anisotropic thermal barrier coatings. *Journal of Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, 210:116 – 126, 2018. ISSN 0022-4073. doi: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.jqsrt.2018.02.009. URL http://www.sciencedirect.com/ science/article/pii/S0022407317305873.
- [75] K. Busch and C. M. Soukoulis. Transport properties of random media: An energy-density cpa approach. *Phys. Rev. B*, 54:893-899, Jul 1996. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevB.54.893. URL https://link.aps.org/doi/10. 1103/PhysRevB.54.893.
- [76] S F Liew, J Forster, H Noh, C F Schreck, V Saranathan, X Lu, L Yang, Richard O Prum, C S O'Hern, E R Dufresne, and Hui Cao. Shortrange order and near-field effects on optical scattering and structural coloration. *Opt. Express*, 19(9):8208–8217, 2011. ISSN 1094-4087. doi: 10.1364/OE.19.008208.
- [77] Leung Tsang, Jin Au Kong, and Kung Hau Ding. Scattering of Electromagnetic Waves, Theories and Applications, vol. 1. New York: Wiley, 2000.
- [78] Milton Abramowitz and Irene A Stegun. Handbook of Mathematical Functions: with Formulas, Graphs, and Mathematical Tables, volume 55. Courier Corporation, 1964.