Abstract

There is an isomorphism between the moduli spaces of σ-stable holomorphic triples and some of the critical submanifolds of the moduli space of k-Higgs bundles of rank three, whose elements \((E, \varphi^k)\) correspond to variations of Hodge structure, VHS. There are special embeddings on the moduli spaces of \(k\)-Higgs bundles of rank three. The main objective here is to study the cohomology of the critical submanifolds of such moduli spaces, extending those embeddings to moduli spaces of holomorphic triples.
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Introduction

Consider a compact connected Riemann surface \(X\) of genus \(g \geq 2\). Algebraically, \(X\) is a complete irreducible non-singular curve over \(\mathbb{C}\). Let \(\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}(r, d)\) be the moduli space of polystable vector bundles of rank \(r\) and degree \(d\) over \(X\). In this paper, we consider the co-prime condition \((r, d) = 1\), which ensures that polystable implies stable. This space has been widely worked by Atiyah & Bott [4], Desale & Ramanan [10], Earl & Kirwan [11], among other authors. Here, we consider it as the corresponding minimal critical submanifold of \(\mathcal{M}(r, d)\), the moduli space of polystable Higgs bundles. A Higgs bundle over \(X\) is a pair \((E, \varphi)\) where \(E \to X\) is a holomorphic vector bundle and \(\varphi: E \to E \otimes K\) is an endomorphism twisted by the cotangent bundle \(K = T^*X\). Fixing rank \(r\) and degree \(d\) of the underlying vector bundle \(E\), the isomorphism classes of polystable Higgs bundles are parametrized by a quasiprojective variety: the moduli space of polystable Higgs bundles \(\mathcal{M}^{ps}(r, d)\). Again, since \((r, d) = 1\), polystability implies stability and then, the space \(\mathcal{M}^{ps}(r, d) = \mathcal{M}^{ss}(r, d) = \mathcal{M}^s(r, d)\) becomes a smooth projective variety. These spaces were first worked by Hitchin [32] and Simpson [45]. Since then, they have been around for more than thirty years, and have been studied extensively by a number of authors: e.g. [30, 31, 37, 1, 38, 28, 9, 27, 26, 17, 18, 42, 46].

Higgs bundles are an interesting topic of research because they have links with many other areas of mathematics such as integrable systems, mirror symmetry, Langlands programme, Hodge theory, among others. We are particularly interested on their link to
Hodge theory. We study the stabilization of some cohomology groups of \( \mathcal{N}_\sigma(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2) \), the moduli space of \( \sigma \)-stable holomorphic triples of type \((r, d) = (r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)\), through the comparison with critical submanifolds from the Morse function \( f : \mathcal{M}^k(3, d) \to \mathbb{R} \) defined by 

\[
f(E, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \| \varphi \|^2_{L^2} = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_X \text{tr}(\varphi \varphi^*)
\]

applied to the moduli spaces of rank three stable \( k \)-Higgs bundles \( \mathcal{M}^k(3, d) \), on \( X \). The co-prime condition \((3, d) = 1\) implies that the moduli space \( \mathcal{M}^k(3, d) \) is smooth. A \( k \)-Higgs bundle or Higgs bundle with poles of order \( k \), \((E, \varphi^k)\), is a Higgs bundle where the morphism \( \varphi^k \) is twisted by \( L_p \) \( k \)-times, where \( p \in X \) is an arbitrary fixed point and \( L_p \) is its associated line bundle: \( \varphi^k : E \to E \otimes K \otimes L_p^\otimes k = E \otimes K(p) \).

On the other hand, a holomorphic triple \( T = (E_1, E_2, \phi) \) on \( X \) is a pair of holomorphic vector bundles \( E_1 \) and \( E_2 \) over \( X \), of ranks \( r_1, r_2 \) and degrees \( d_1, d_2 \) respectively, and a holomorphic map \( \phi : E_2 \to E_1 \). The stability for triples depends on a parameter \( \sigma \in \mathbb{R} \), which gives a collection of moduli spaces \( \mathcal{N}_\sigma(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2) \) widely worked by several authors: e.g. \([6, 7, 8, 16, 39, 40]\). The range of \( \sigma \) is a closed interval \( I = [\sigma_m, \sigma_M] \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) split by a finite number of critical values \( \sigma_c \). This paper works with a very particular framework. We study the holomorphic triples on \( X \) of the form \( T = (\tilde{E}_1, \tilde{E}_2, \phi) \) with type \((2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)\), where ranks \( r_1 = 2 \), \( r_2 = 1 \) and degrees \( \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2 \) will be described later, in terms of \((1, 2)\)-variations of Hodge structure of the form 

\[
\left( E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in F^k_{d_1} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^k(3, d).
\]

We study as well the holomorphic triples \( T = (\tilde{E}_1, \tilde{E}_2, \phi) \) with type \((1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)\), related to \((2, 1)\)-VHS of the form 

\[
\left( E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in F^k_{d_2} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^k(3, d).
\]

Finally, we also study \((1, 1, 1)\)-VHS 

\[
\left( L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \in F^k_{m_1m_2} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^k(3, d),
\]

and those are related to symmetric products of the form 

\[
\text{Sym}^{m_1}(X) \times \text{Sym}^{m_2}(X) \times \mathcal{J}^d(X)
\]

where \( \mathcal{J}^d(X) \) is the Jacobian of \( X \), the moduli space of stable line bundles of degree \( d_3 \), and \( m_1, m_2 \) will be described below as the corresponding degrees of auxiliar bundles.

Our estimates are based on embeddings \( \mathcal{M}^k(3, d) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{M}^{k+1}(3, d) \) defined by 

\[
i_k : [(E, \varphi^k)] \longmapsto [(E, \varphi^k \otimes s_p)]
\]

where \( 0 \neq s_p \in H^0(X, L_p) \) is a nonzero fixed section of \( L_p = O_X(p) \).

The paper is organized as follows: in section 1 we recall some basic facts about holomorphic triples and Higgs bundles; in section 2, we present the effect of the embeddings on \( \sigma \)-stable triples; in subsection 2.1, we show that the embeddings preserve \( \sigma \)-stability, in subsection 2.2, we discuss the effect of the embeddings at the blow-up level, considering the flip loci, and present an original result, the so-called “Root Theorem”: 

2
**Theorem.** (Theorem 2.4) There exists an embedding at the blow-up level

\[ \tilde{i}_k : \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c(k)} \hookrightarrow \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c(k+1)} \]

such that the following diagram commutes:

\[ \frac{N_{\sigma_c(k+1)}}{N_{\sigma_c(k)}} \]  
\[ \frac{\tilde{N}_{\sigma_c(k+1)}}{\tilde{N}_{\sigma_c(k)}} \]  
\[ \frac{\tilde{N}_{\sigma_c(k)}}{N_{\sigma_c(k+1)}} \]  
\[ \frac{\tilde{N}_{\sigma_c(k+1)}}{\tilde{N}_{\sigma_c(k)}} \]  
\[ \frac{\tilde{N}_{\sigma_c(k)}}{N_{\sigma_c(k+1)}} \]

where \( \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c(k)} \) is the blow-up of \( N_{\sigma_c(k)} = N_{\sigma_c(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \) along the flip locus \( S_{\sigma_c(k)} \) and, at the same time, represents the blow-up of \( N_{\sigma_c^+(k)} = N_{\sigma_c^+(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \) along the flip locus \( S_{\sigma_c^+(k)} \).

Here, \( \sigma_c(k) \in [\sigma_m, \sigma_M] \), where \( \sigma_m = \mu_1 - \mu_2 = \tilde{d}_1/2 - \tilde{d}_2 \) and \( \sigma_M = 4\sigma_m \).

In section 3 we present the cohomology main results for triples: in subsection 3.1 appear some useful results about the cohomology of the symmetric product \( \text{Sym}^k(X) \).

In subsection 3.2 we present the stabilization of the cohomology at the blow-up level (Theorem 3.9) for certain indices:

**Theorem.** (Theorem 3.9) There is an isomorphism

\[ \tilde{i}_k^* : H^j(\tilde{N}_{\sigma_c(k+1)}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(\tilde{N}_{\sigma_c(k)}, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq n(k) \]

at the blow-up level, where \( n(k) := \min \{ \tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1, \quad 2(\tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g-2)) + 1 \} \).

And hence, the cohomology stabilization of the moduli spaces of triples:

**Corollary.** (Corollary 3.11) There is an isomorphism

\[ i_k^* : H^j(N_{\sigma_c(k+1)}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(N_{\sigma_c(k)}, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq n(k) \]

where \( n(k) \) as above.

In subsection 3.3 we show the stabilization of the \((1, 2)\)-VHS cohomology using the isomorphisms between them and the moduli spaces of triples:

**Theorem.** (Corollary 3.16) There is an isomorphism

\[ H^j(F_{d_1}^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F_{d_1}^k, \mathbb{Z}) \]

for all \( j \leq \sigma_H(k) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu) - 1 \).
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In subsection 3.4 we show the analogous dual result for $(2,1)$-VHS:

**Corollary. (Corollary 3.19)** For $k$ large enough, there is an isomorphism

$$H^j(F^{k+1}_{d_2}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F^k_{d_2}, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $j \leq \sigma_H(k) - 4(\mu_2 - \mu) - 1$ induced by the embedding 1.6.

Finally, in subsection 3.5 we described the cohomology for $(1,1,1)$-VHS and its relationship with the spaces $\text{Sym}^m(X) \times \text{Sym}^n(X) \times \mathcal{J}^d(X)$:

**Corollary (Corollary 3.21).** There is an isomorphism

$$H^j(F^\infty_{m_1m_2}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F^k_{m_1m_2}, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $j \leq \min(\bar{m}_1 + k, \bar{m}_2 + k) - 1$.

1 Preliminary definitions

Let $X$ be a compact connected Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$ and let $K = T^*X$ be the canonical line bundle of $X$. Note that, algebraically, $X$ is also a nonsingular complex projective algebraic curve.

**Definition 1.1.** For a (smooth or holomorphic) vector bundle $E \to X$, we denote the rank of $E$ by $\text{rk}(E) = r$ and the degree of $E$ by $\text{deg}(E) = d$. Its slope is defined to be

$$\mu(E) := \frac{\text{deg}(E)}{\text{rk}(E)} = \frac{d}{r}. \quad (1.1)$$

A vector bundle $E \to X$ is called semistable if $\mu(F) \leq \mu(E)$ for any nonzero $F \subseteq E$. Similarly, a vector bundle $E \to X$ is called stable if $\mu(F) < \mu(E)$ for any nonzero $F \subsetneq E$. Finally, $E$ is called polystable if it is the direct sum of stable subbundles, all of the same slope.

1.1 Holomorphic Triples

**Definition 1.2.** Holomorphic Triples:

i. A holomorphic triple on $X$ is a triple $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ consisting of two holomorphic vector bundles $E_1 \to X$ and $E_2 \to X$ and a homomorphism $\phi: E_2 \to E_1$, i.e., an element $\phi \in \text{Hom}(E_2, E_1)$. Nevertheless, we often abuse of notation using $\phi \in H^0(\text{Hom}(E_2, E_1))$ for the class of the homomorphism.

ii. A homomorphism from a triple $T' = (E'_1, E'_2, \phi')$ to another triple $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ is a commutative diagram of the form:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
E'_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi'} & E'_1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
E_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & E_1
\end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows represent holomorphic maps.
iii. $T' \subset T$ is a subtriple if the sheaf homomorphisms $E'_1 \rightarrow E_1$ and $E'_2 \rightarrow E_2$ are injective. As usual, a subtriple is called proper if $0 \neq T' \subsetneq T$.

**Definition 1.3.** $\sigma$-Stability, $\sigma$-Semistability and $\sigma$-Polystability:

i. For any $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, the $\sigma$-degree and the $\sigma$-slope of $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ are defined as:

$$\deg_{\sigma}(T) := \deg(E_1) + \deg(E_2) + \sigma \cdot \text{rk}(E_2),$$

and

$$\mu_{\sigma}(T) := \frac{\deg_{\sigma}(T)}{\text{rk}(E_1) + \text{rk}(E_2)} = \frac{\mu(E_1 \oplus E_2) + \sigma \cdot \text{rk}(E_2)}{\text{rk}(E_1) + \text{rk}(E_2)}$$

respectively.

ii. $T$ is then called $\sigma$-stable [respectively, $\sigma$-semistable] if $\mu_{\sigma}(T') < \mu_{\sigma}(T)$ [respectively, $\mu_{\sigma}(T') \leq \mu_{\sigma}(T)$] for any proper subtriple $0 \neq T' \subsetneq T$.

iii. A triple is called $\sigma$-polystable if it is the direct sum of $\sigma$-stable triples of the same $\sigma$-slope.

Now we may use the following notation for moduli spaces of triples:

i. Denote $r = (r_1, r_2)$ and $d = (d_1, d_2)$, and then regard

$$\mathcal{N}_\sigma = \mathcal{N}_\sigma(r, d) = \mathcal{N}_\sigma(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$$

as the moduli space of $\sigma$-polystable triples $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$ such that $\text{rk}(E_j) = r_j$ and $\deg(E_j) = d_j$.

ii. Denote by $\mathcal{N}_\sigma^s = \mathcal{N}_\sigma^s(r, d)$ the subspace of $\sigma$-stable triples.

iii. Call $(r, d) = (r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2)$ the type of the triple $T = (E_1, E_2, \phi)$.

The reader may consult the works of Bradlow and García-Prada [6]; and Bradlow, García-Prada and Gothen [7] for the formal construction of the moduli space of triples. Also can see Muñoz, Oliveira and Sánchez [39]; and Muñoz, Ortega and Vázquez-Gallo [40] for other details.

1.2 Higgs Bundles

**Definition 1.4.** A Higgs bundle over $X$ is a pair $(E, \phi)$ where $E \rightarrow X$ is a holomorphic vector bundle and $\phi : E \rightarrow E \otimes K$ is an endomorphism of $E$ twisted by $K$, which is called a Higgs field. Note that $\phi \in H^0(X; \text{End}(E) \otimes K)$.

**Definition 1.5.** A subbundle $F \subset E$ is said to be $\varphi$-invariant if $\varphi(F) \subset F \otimes K$. A Higgs bundle is said to be semistable [respectively, stable] if $\mu(F) \leq \mu(E)$ [respectively, $\mu(F) < \mu(E)$] for any nonzero $\varphi$-invariant subbundle $F \subset E$ [respectively, $F \subsetneq E$]. Finally, $(E, \varphi)$ is called polystable if it is the direct sum of stable $\varphi$-invariant subbundles, all of the same slope.
Fixing the rank \( \text{rk}(E) = r \) and the degree \( \text{deg}(E) = d \) of a Higgs bundle \((E, \varphi)\), the isomorphism classes of polystable bundles are parametrized by a quasi-projective variety: the moduli space \( \mathcal{M}(r, d) \). Constructions of this space can be found in the work of Hitchin [32], using gauge theory, or in the work of Nitsure [41], using algebraic geometry methods.

An important feature of \( \mathcal{M}(r, d) \) is that it carries an action of \( \mathbb{C}^* \): \( z \cdot (E, \varphi) = (E, z \cdot \varphi) \). According to Hitchin [32], \( (\mathcal{M}, I, \Omega) \) is a Kähler manifold, where \( I \) is its complex structure and \( \Omega \) its corresponding Kähler form. Furthermore, \( \mathbb{C}^* \) acts on \( \mathcal{M} \) biholomorphically with respect to the complex structure \( I \) by the aforementioned action, where the Kähler form \( \Omega \) is invariant under the induced action \( e^{i\theta} \cdot (E, \varphi) = (E, e^{i\theta} \cdot \varphi) \) of the circle \( \mathbb{S}^1 \subset \mathbb{C}^* \).

Besides, this circle action is Hamiltonian, with proper moment map \( f: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) defined by:

\[
f(E, \varphi) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \| \varphi \|^2_2 = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_X \text{tr}(\varphi^* \varphi)
\]

where \( \varphi^* \) is the adjoint of \( \varphi \) with respect to the hermitian metric on \( E^2 \), and \( f \) has finitely many critical values.

There is another important fact mentioned by Hitchin (see the original version in Frankel [14], and its application to Higgs bundles in [32]): the critical points of \( f \) are exactly the fixed points of the circle action on \( \mathcal{M} \).

If \((E, \varphi) = (E, e^{i\theta} \varphi)\) then \( \varphi = 0 \) with critical value \( c_0 = 0 \). The corresponding critical submanifold is \( F_0 = f^{-1}(c_0) = f^{-1}(0) = \mathcal{N} \), the moduli space of stable bundles. On the other hand, when \( \varphi \neq 0 \), there is a type of algebraic structure for Higgs bundles introduced by Simpson [44]: a variation of Hodge structure, or simply a VHS, for a Higgs bundle \((E, \varphi)\) is a decomposition:

\[
E = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n E_j \quad \text{such that} \quad \varphi: E_j \rightarrow E_{j+1} \otimes K \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq j \leq n - 1.
\]

It has been proved by Simpson [45] that the fixed points of the circle action on \( \mathcal{M}(r, d) \), and so, the critical points of \( f \), are these variations of the Hodge structure VHS, where the critical values \( c_\lambda = f(E, \varphi) \) will depend on the degrees \( d_j \) of the components \( E_j \subset E \). By Morse theory, we can stratify \( \mathcal{M} \) in such a way that there is a nonzero critical submanifold \( F_\lambda := f^{-1}(c_\lambda) \) for each nonzero critical value \( 0 \neq c_\lambda = f(E, \varphi) \) where \((E, \varphi)\) represents a fixed point of the circle action, or equivalently, a VHS. We then say that \((E, \varphi)\) is a \( (\text{rk}(E_1), \ldots, \text{rk}(E_n)) \)-VHS.

**Definition 1.6.** Fix a point \( p \in X \), and let \( L_p \) be the associated line bundle to the divisor \( p \in \text{Sym}^1(X) = X \). A \( k \)-Higgs bundle (or Higgs bundle with poles of order \( k \)) is a pair \((E, \varphi^k)\) where:

\[
E \xrightarrow{\varphi^k} E \otimes K \otimes L_p^k = E \otimes K(k \cdot p)
\]

and where the morphism \( \varphi^k \in H^0\left(X, \text{End}(E) \otimes K(k \cdot p)\right) \) is what we call a Higgs field with poles of order \( k \). The moduli space of \( k \)-Higgs bundles of rank \( r \) and degree \( d \) is denoted by \( \mathcal{M}^k(r, d) \). For simplicity, we will suppose that \( \text{GCD}(r,d) = 1 \), and so, \( \mathcal{M}^k(r, d) \) will be smooth.

**Remark 1.7.** So far, everything we have said for Higgs bundles and the moduli space \( \mathcal{M}(r, d) \) also hold for \( k \)-Higgs bundles and the moduli spaces \( \mathcal{M}^k(r, d) \).

\(^2\)By Hitchin [32], there is a hermitian metric on \( E \).
There is a new tool for $k$-Higgs bundles: an embedding of the form
\[ i_k: \mathcal{M}^k(r, d) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^{k+1}(r, d): [(E, \varphi^k)] \mapsto [(E, \varphi^k \otimes s_p)] \] (1.4)
where $0 \neq s_p \in H^0(X, L_p)$ is a nonzero fixed section of $L_p$.

When the rank is $r = 2$ or $r = 3$, the map $i_k$ induces embeddings of the form
\[ F^k_\lambda \rightarrow F^{k+1}_\lambda \quad \forall \lambda, \]
for non-trivial\(^3\) critical submanifolds $F^k_\lambda$, where $\lambda$ is the Morse index of the submanifold.

For $\mathcal{M}^k(2, 1)$, when $r = 2$, $\lambda$ coincides with the Harder-Narasimhan type, $HNT(E)$, of the pairs $(E, \varphi^k) \in F^k_\lambda$. In such a case, $\lambda = d_1 = d_1/1 = \mu(E_1)$ where $d_1 = \deg(E_1)$ is the degree of the maximal destabilizing line subbundle $E_1 \subseteq E$. Strictly speaking, the Harder-Narasimhan type is a vector $HNT(E) = (d_1, 1 - d_1)$, and the Morse index is a number $\lambda = 2(g + 2d_1 - 2) + k$, but in this particular case, both entries will depend just on the same parameter $d_1 \in [1, g - 1 + k]$ since $d = \deg(E) = 1$ (coprime case $(r, d) = 1$), $g \geq 2$ is fixed, and $k$ is the order of the pole.

Hence, the embeddings for $(1, 1)$-critical submanifolds are well defined:
\[ F_{d_1}^k \cong \text{Sym}^{d_1+k}(X) \xrightarrow{i_k} \text{Sym}^{d_1+k+1}(X) \cong F_{d_1}^{k+1} \]
where $D \in \text{Sym}^{d_1+k}(X)$ is a divisor and $\bar{d}_1 = 2g - 2d_1 - 1$ for simplicity. The reader may see Hausel [25] and Hitchin [32] for details.

For $\mathcal{M}^k(3, d)$, when $r = 3$, we have three types of critical submanifolds. For $(1, 2)$-critical submanifolds $F^k_\lambda$, the Morse index is given by $\lambda = 2(3d_1 - d + 2g - 2 + k)$ and the Harder-Narasimhan type of underlying bundles of pairs $(E, \varphi^k) \in F^k_\lambda$ is given by $HNT(E) = (d_1, \frac{d - d_1}{2})$ where once again $d_1 = \deg(E_1)$ is the degree of the maximal destabilizing line bundle $E_1 \subseteq E$, and so, we are in a very similar situation than before. Without lost of generality, we may pick the same $\lambda = d_1$ for the embeddings
\[ i_k: F^k_{d_1} \rightarrow F^{k+1}_{d_1} \]
\[ (E, \varphi^k) = \left( E_1 \oplus E_2, \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{array} \right) \right) \mapsto (E, \varphi^k \otimes s_p) = \left( E_1 \oplus E_2, \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k \otimes s_p & 0 \end{array} \right) \right) \]
where $\phi^k: E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \otimes K(kp)$ and $\frac{4}{3} < d_1 < \frac{4}{3} + g - 1 + \frac{k}{2}$ as we shall see below (see Gothen [19] or Z-R [47] for interval details). Moreover
\[ (\phi^k \otimes s_p)(E_1) \subseteq \phi^k(E_1) \otimes L_p \subseteq E_2 \otimes K \otimes L_p^{\otimes k} \otimes L_p = E_2 \otimes K \otimes L_p^{\otimes k+1} \]
and therefore
\[ i_k(F^k_{d_1}) \subseteq F^{k+1}_{d_1}. \]

Lemma 1.8 ([5, Lema 2.3.1.]). Let $(E, \varphi^k) \in F^k_{d_1}$ be a $k$-Higgs bundle of the form
\[ (E, \varphi^k) = \left( E_1 \oplus E_2, \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{array} \right) \right). \]
Hence, $(E, \varphi^k)$ is stable if and only if the holomorphic triple $T = (E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p), E_1, \phi^k)$ is $\sigma_H$-stable where $\sigma_H = \sigma_H(k) = \deg \left( K(k \cdot p) \right) = 2g - 2 + k$.

\(^3\)For stable pairs in $F^0_\lambda = N_k$, the embeddings are trivial. Cf. Hausel [25, Ch. 3. Sec. 3.4.].
Proof. The pair \((E, \varphi^k)\) is stable if and only if the holomorphic chain

\[ C: E_1 = E_1 \to E_2 = E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p) \]

is \(\alpha = \left(\sigma_H(k), 0\right)\) stable, which means that any proper subchain \(C' : E'_1 \to E'_2\) has \(\alpha\)-slope \(\mu_\alpha(C') < \mu_\alpha(C)\); considering a subbundle \(E'_1 \subseteq E_1 = E_1\) with degree \(\deg(E'_1) = d'_1\) and a subbundle \(E'_2 \subseteq E_2\) with degree \(\deg(E'_2) = d'_2\), then \(E'_2 \otimes (K(k \cdot p))^{-1} \subseteq E_2\) is a subbundle with degree \(\deg(\{E'_2 \otimes (K(k \cdot p))^{-1}\} = d'_2 - r'_2(2g - 2 + k)\), and we have

\[
(E, \varphi^k) \text{ stable } \iff \frac{d'_1 + d'_2 - r'_2(2g - 2 + k)}{r'_1 + r'_2} < \frac{d_1 + d_2}{3}
\]

where \(r'_j = \text{rk}(E'_j)\).

On the other hand, suppose \((E, \varphi^k) = \left( E_1 \oplus E_2, \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{array} \right) \right)\) is stable. The holomorphic triple \(T = \left( E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p), E_1, \varphi^k \right)\) is \(\sigma\)-stable if and only if any proper subtriple \(T' = \left( E'_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p), E'_1, (\varphi^k)' \right)\) satisfies \(\mu_\sigma(T') < \mu_\sigma(T) \iff \frac{\deg(E'_1) + \deg(E'_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p)) + \text{rk}(E'_1) \cdot \sigma}{\text{rk}(E'_1) + \text{rk}(E'_2)} < \frac{\deg(E_1) + \deg(E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p)) + \text{rk}(E_1) \cdot \sigma}{\text{rk}(E_1) + \text{rk}(E_2)} \iff \frac{d'_1 + d'_2 + r'_2(2g - 2 + k) + r'_1 \sigma}{r'_1 + r'_2} < \frac{d_1 + d_2 + 2(2g - 2 + k) + \sigma}{1 + 2} \iff \frac{d'_1 + d'_2 + r'_2 \sigma_H(k) + r'_1 \sigma}{r'_1 + r'_2} < \frac{d_1 + d_2 + 2\sigma_H(k) + \sigma}{3}.
\]

Since \((E, \varphi^k)\) is stable, it is enough to take

\[
\frac{r'_2 \cdot \sigma_H(k) + r'_1 \cdot \sigma}{r'_1 + r'_2} = \frac{2 \cdot \sigma_H(k) + \sigma}{3} \iff r'_2 \sigma_H(k) - 2r'_1 \sigma_H(k) = r'_2 \sigma - 2r'_1 \sigma
\]

\[
\iff (r'_2 - 2r'_1)\sigma_H(k) = (r'_2 - 2r'_1)\sigma \iff \sigma_H(k) = \sigma
\]

and so, the triple \(T = \left( E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p), E_1, \varphi^k \right)\) is \(\sigma_H(k)\)-stable.

\[\square\]

Remark 1.9. Note that, at the last part of the proof above, the equality \(r'_2 = 2r'_1\) does not hold, because of the stability of \((E, \varphi^k)\).

Proposition 1.10 ([5, Proposição 2.3.2.]). For each \(d_1 \in \left\lbrack \frac{4}{3}, \frac{4}{3} + \frac{\sigma_H(k)}{2} \right\rbrack \cap \mathbb{Z}\) there is a \((1,2)\) critical submanifold of \(\mathcal{M}^{(3, d)}\) of the form

\[ F_{d_1}^k = \left\{(E, \varphi^k) = \left( E_1 \oplus E_2, \left( \begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{array} \right) \right) : d_1 = \deg(E_1), \ \text{rk}(E_1) = 1, \ \text{rk}(E_2) = 2 \right\}.
\]

Furthermore, there is an isomorphism

\[ F_{d_1}^k \cong N_{\sigma_H(k)}(2, 1, d - d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k), d_1)
\]

with the moduli space of \(\sigma_H(k)\)-stable triples of this type.
Proof. The isomorphism is given by:

\[ F_{d_2}^k \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(2, 1, d - d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k), d_1) \]

\[ (E, \varphi^k) = (E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \longrightarrow (E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p), E_1, \phi^k) \]

where \( \sigma_H(k) = 2g - 2 + k \) as above.

For the critical values \( \sigma_c \), we know that the interval is \( \sigma_m < \sigma_H(k) < \sigma_M \) where

\[ \sigma_m = \mu_2 - \mu_1 = \frac{\deg(E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p))}{r_2} - \frac{\deg(E_1)}{r_1} = \frac{d - d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k)}{2} - d_1 \]

and

\[ \sigma_M = \left(1 + \frac{r_2 + r_1}{|r_2 - r_1|}\right)(\mu_2 - \mu_1) = 4\sigma_m = 2\left(d - 3d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k)\right) \]

(see [7]). So, we have

\[ \sigma_H(k) > \sigma_m = \frac{d - d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k)}{2} - d_1 \iff \]

\[ 2\sigma_H(k) > d - d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k) - 2d_1 \iff d_1 > \frac{d}{3}. \]

On the other hand, we have

\[ \sigma_H(k) < \sigma_M = 2\left(d - 3d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k)\right) \iff \sigma_H(k) < 2d - 6d_1 + 4\sigma_H(k) \]

\[ \iff 6d_1 < 2d + 3\sigma_H(k) \iff d_1 < \frac{d}{3} + \frac{\sigma_H(k)}{2}. \]

Therefore,

\[ d_1 \in \left[\frac{d}{3}, \frac{d}{3} + \frac{\sigma_H(k)}{2}\right] \cap \mathbb{Z}. \]

For \((2, 1)\)-critical submanifolds \( F_{d_2}^k = F_{d_2}^k \), the Morse index is given by \( \lambda = 2(3d_2 - 2d + 2g - 2 + k) \) and the Harder-Narasimhan type of underlying bundles of pairs \((E, \varphi^k) \in F_{d_2}^k \) is given by \( \text{HNT}(E) = \left(\frac{d_2}{3}, d - d_2\right) \); here \( d_2 = \deg(E_2) \) is the degree of the maximal destabilizing bundle \( E_2 \subseteq E \) of rank two, and so, we are in a very similar situation than before:

\[ i_k : F_{d_2}^k \longrightarrow F_{d_2}^{k+1} \]

\[ (E, \varphi^k) = \left(E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \longrightarrow (E, \varphi^k \otimes s_p) = \left(E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k \otimes s_p & 0 \end{pmatrix}\right) \]

with \( \phi^k : E_2 \rightarrow E_1 \otimes K(kp) \) and \( \frac{2d}{3} < d_2 < \frac{2d}{3} + g - 1 + \frac{k}{2} \) instead (see Gothen [19] or Z-R [47]). Furthermore,

\[ (\phi^k \otimes s_p)(E_2) \subseteq \phi^k(E_2) \otimes L_p \subseteq E_1 \otimes K \otimes L_p^{\otimes k} \otimes L_p = E_1 \otimes K \otimes L_p^{\otimes k+1} \]

and hence

\[ i_k(F_{d_2}^k) \subseteq F_{d_2}^{k+1}. \]
Lemma 1.11 ([5, Lema 2.3.5.]). Let \((E, \varphi^k) \in F^k_{d_2}\) be a \(k\)-Higgs bundle of the form

\[
(E, \varphi^k) = \left( E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).
\]

Hence, \((E, \varphi^k)\) is stable if and only if the holomorphic triple \(T = (E_1 \otimes K(k \cdot p), E_2, \phi^k)\) is \(\sigma_H\)-stable where \(\sigma_H = \sigma_H(k) = \text{deg} \left( K(k \cdot p) \right) = 2g - 2 + k\).

Proof. The proof is very similar to that presented for the \((1, 2)\)-case in Lemma 1.8.

Proposition 1.12 ([5, Proposição 2.3.6.]). For each \(d_2 \in \left[ \frac{2d}{3}, \frac{2d}{3} + \frac{\sigma_H(k)}{2} \right] \cap \mathbb{Z}\) there is a \((2, 1)\) critical submanifold of \(\mathcal{M}^k(3, d)\) of the form

\[
F^k_{d_2} = \{(E, \varphi^k) = \left( E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right); d_2 = \text{deg}(E_2), \text{rk}(E_2) = 2, \text{rk}(E_1) = 1\}.
\]

Furthermore, there is an isomorphism

\[
F^k_{d_2} \cong \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(1, 2, d - d_2 + \sigma_H(k), d_2)
\]

with the moduli space of \(\sigma_H(k)\)-stable triples.

Proof. In this case, the isomorphism is given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
F^k_{d_2} & \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H(k)}(1, 2, d - d_2 + \sigma_H(k), d_2) \\
(E, \varphi^k) = (E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k & 0 \end{pmatrix}) & \longrightarrow (E_1 \otimes K(k \cdot p), E_2, \phi^k)
\end{align*}
\]

The rest of the proof is very similar to the \((1, 2)\)-case presented in Proposition 1.10.

Finally, we consider the \((1, 1, 1)\)-critical submanifolds of the form

\[
F^k_\lambda = F^k_{d_1d_2d_3} = \left\{ \left( L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \phi^k_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \phi^k_{32} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^k(3, d),
\]

where \(L_j \subseteq E\) is a line bundle for \(j = \{1, 2, 3\}\), we denote \(d_j = \text{deg}(L_j)\) and so, the degree of \(E \rightarrow X\) could be write as \(\text{deg}(E) = d = d_1 + d_2 + d_3\). Using the fact that \(d_3 = d - d_1 - d_2\) and considering auxiliar bundles \(M_j = L_1 \otimes L_{j+1} \otimes K(k \cdot p) \rightarrow X\) of degree \(m_j = \text{deg}(M_j) = d_{j+1} - d_j + \sigma_H(k)\), we may write, for simplicity \(\varphi_j \in H^0(M_j)\) where \(\varphi_1 = \phi_{21}\) and \(\varphi_2 = \phi_{32}\), and hence \(M_j = \mathcal{O}(D_j)\) where \(D_j = \text{div}(\varphi_j)\).

Note that \(\varphi_j \neq 0 \Rightarrow m_j \geq 0\). Furthermore

\[
d_3 = d - d_1 - d_2 \iff d_3 = \frac{d + 2m_2 + m_1 - 3\sigma_H(k)}{3}
\]

and hence \(d + m_1 + 2m_2 = 0 \mod 3\).

Using all the above notation, we can re-write the \((1, 1, 1)\)-critical submanifolds as

\[
F^k_\lambda = F^k_{m_1m_2} = \left\{ \left( L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi^k_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi^k_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \right\} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^k(3, d),
\]

and conclude that
Proposition 1.13 ([5, Proposição 2.3.9.]). For each pair \((m_1, m_2) \in \Omega\), there is a \((1,1,1)\)-critical submanifold \(F^k_{m_1 m_2} \subseteq \mathcal{M}^k(3, d)\), where

\[
\Omega = \left\{ (x, y) \in \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{N}^* : \begin{array}{l}
d + x + 2y = 0 \mod 3 \\
2x + y < 3\sigma_H(k) \\
x + 2y < 3\sigma_H(k)
\end{array} \right\}.
\]

Proof. The stability conditions in this case are

\[
\mu(L_2 \oplus L_3) < \mu(E) \iff \frac{d_2 + d_3}{2} < \frac{d}{3}
\]

and

\[
\mu(L_3) < \mu(E) \iff d_3 < \frac{d}{3}.
\]

In terms of \(m_j \geq 0\) we get

\[
d_3 < \frac{d}{3} \iff \frac{d + 2m_2 + m_1 - 3\sigma_H(k)}{3} < \frac{d}{3} \iff d + 2m_2 + m_1 < d + 3\sigma_H(k) \iff 2m_2 + m_1 < 3\sigma_H(k)
\]

and

\[
\frac{d_2 + d_3}{2} < \frac{d}{3} \iff \frac{d_2 + \frac{d + 2m_2 + m_1 - 3\sigma_H(k)}{3}}{2} < \frac{d}{3} \iff 3d_2 + d + 2m_2 + m_1 - 3\sigma_H(k) < \frac{2d}{3} \iff 3d_2 - d + 2m_2 + m_1 < 3\sigma_H(k) \iff (3d_2 - d_1 - d_2 - d_3) + 2m_2 + m_1 < 3\sigma_H(k) \iff (2d_2 - d_1 - d_3 + \sigma_H(k) - \sigma_H(k)) + 2m_2 + m_1 < 3\sigma_H(k) \iff (m_1 - m_2) + 2m_2 + m_1 < 3\sigma_H(k) \iff 2m_1 + 2m_2 < 3\sigma_H(k)
\]

Remark 1.14. For the particular case of \((1,1,1)\)-critical submanifolds, note that

\[
(\varphi^k_j \otimes s_p)(L_j) \subseteq (\varphi^k_j)(L_j) \otimes L_p = L_{j+1} \otimes K \otimes L_p^k \otimes L_p = L_{j+1} \otimes K \otimes L_p^{k+1}
\]

and hence

\[
i_k(L^k_{m_1 m_2}) \subseteq F^k_{m_1 m_2}.
\]

Theorem 1.15. There is an isomorphism

\[
F^k_{m_1 m_2} \cong \text{Sym}^{\bar{m}_1 + k}(X) \times \text{Sym}^{\bar{m}_2 + k}(X) \times \mathcal{J}^{d_3}(X)
\]

for each pair \((m_1, m_2) \in \Omega\), where \(\bar{m}_j = m_j - k = d_{j+1} - d_j + 2g - 2\).

Proof. It is enough to take

\[
(F^k_{m_1 m_2} \longrightarrow \text{Sym}^{\bar{m}_1 + k}(X) \times \text{Sym}^{\bar{m}_2 + k}(X) \times \mathcal{J}^{d_3}(X))
\]

\[
(E, \varphi^k) = (L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \varphi^k_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varphi^k_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \longrightarrow (\text{div}(\varphi^k_1), \text{div}(\varphi^k_2), L_3)
\]

\[\square\]
In this case, the Morse index for \((1, 1, 1)\)-critical submanifolds \(F^k_\lambda = F^k_{m_1 m_2}\) is given by \(\lambda = 2\left(4(2g - 2) - m_1 - m_2 + 3k\right)\). The reader may consult Gothen [19] or Bento [5] for details.

**Remark 1.16.** Lemma 1.8, Proposition 1.10, Lemma 1.11, Proposition 1.12, and Proposition 1.13 are presented by Bento [5] for the general case of rank three Hitchin pairs. Here, we presented them for the particular case of rank three \(k\)-Higgs bundles.

From the embeddings

\[ F^k_\lambda \xrightarrow{i_k} F^{k+1}_\lambda \quad \forall \lambda, \]

above mentioned, we get induced isomorphisms in cohomology:

\[ H^j(F^{k+1}_\lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F^k_\lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \]

for all \(\lambda\), for certain values of \(j\) in terms of \(k\). Our goal is to find the range of \(j\) for which these isomorphisms holds.

The embeddings restricted to \((1, 1)\)-critical submanifolds in the rank two case, were studied by Hausel [25]. Here, we focus on rank three.

If we restrict the embeddings to critical manifolds of type \((1, 2)\):

\[ F^k_{d_1} \xrightarrow{i_k} F^{k+1}_{d_1} \]

\[
\left( E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{21}^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mapsto \left( E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{21}^k \otimes s_p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

then, the isomorphisms

\[ F^k_{d_1} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{N}_{\sigma^k_H}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \]

\[
\left( E_1 \oplus E_2, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{21}^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mapsto (V_1, V_2, \varphi)
\]

between \((1, 2)\) critical submanifolds and moduli spaces of triples, where we denote by \(V_1 = E_2 \otimes K(kp)\), by \(V_2 = E_1\), by \(\varphi = \varphi_{21}^k\) and \(\sigma^k_H = \deg(K(kp)) = 2g - 2 + k\), induce another embeddings:

\[ i_k : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma^k_H}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \to \mathcal{N}_{\sigma^{k+1}_H}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1 + 2, \tilde{d}_2) \]

\[ (V_1, V_2, \varphi) \mapsto (V_1 \otimes L_p, V_2, \varphi \otimes s_p) \]

where \(\tilde{d}_1 = \deg(V_1) = d_2 + 2\sigma^k_H\) and \(\tilde{d}_2 = \deg(V_2) = d_1\), and so, induce embeddings of the kind:

\[ i_k : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma^k_M}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \to \mathcal{N}_{\sigma^{k+1}_M}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1 + 2, \tilde{d}_2) \]

and

\[ i_k : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma^k_c}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \to \mathcal{N}_{\sigma^{k+1}_c}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1 + 2, \tilde{d}_2) \]

for \(\sigma_m < \sigma_c(k) < \sigma_M\).

The situation with critical manifolds of type \((2, 1)\)

\[ F^k_{d_2} \xrightarrow{i_k} F^{k+1}_{d_2} \]

\[
\left( E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{21}^k & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mapsto \left( E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \varphi_{21}^k \otimes s_p & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)
\]

\[(1.6)\]
is very similar to the $(1, 2)$ situation, using now isomorphisms

\[ F^k_{d_2} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H^k}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \]

\[
\left( E_2 \oplus E_1, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \varphi_{k}^{21} \\
0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right) \mapsto (V_1, V_2, \varphi)
\]

where by \( V_1 = E_1 \otimes K(k \cdot p) \), by \( V_2 = E_2 \), by \( \varphi = \varphi_{21}^{k} \) and \( \sigma_H^{k} = \deg(K(k \cdot p)) = 2g - 2 + k \), and the induced embeddings become:

\[ i_k : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H^k}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_H^{k+1}}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1 + 1, \tilde{d}_2) \]

\[(V_1, V_2, \varphi) \mapsto (V_1 \otimes L_p, V_2, \varphi \otimes s_p)\]

where \( \tilde{d}_1 = \deg(V_1) = d_1 + \sigma_H^{k} \) and \( \tilde{d}_2 = \deg(V_2) = d_2 \). Hence, for the flips on the \( \sigma_c \)-critical values, the induced embeddings become:

\[ i_k : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^{-k}}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^{-k+1}}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1 + 1, \tilde{d}_2) \]

and

\[ i_k : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^{+k}}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{\sigma_c^{+k+1}}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1 + 1, \tilde{d}_2) \]

for \( \sigma_m < \sigma_c(k) < \sigma_M \).

Critical submanifolds of type $(1, 1, 1)$ are different from the other two. The embeddings

\[ F^k_{m_1m_2} \xrightarrow{i_k} F^{k+1}_{m_1m_2} \]

\[
(L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\varphi_1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \varphi_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}) \mapsto (L_1 \oplus L_2 \oplus L_3, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\varphi_1 \otimes s_p & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \varphi_2 \otimes s_p & 0 \end{pmatrix})
\]

(1.7)

together with the isomorphisms

\[ F^k_{m_1m_2} \cong \text{Sym}^{m_1+k}(X) \times \text{Sym}^{m_2+k}(X) \times \mathcal{J}^{d_3}(X) \]

induce embeddings of the form:

\[
\text{Sym}^{m_1+k}(X) \times \text{Sym}^{m_2+k}(X) \times \mathcal{J}^{d_3}(X) \xrightarrow{\text{div}(\varphi_1^k, \varphi_2^k, L_3)} \text{Sym}^{m_1+k+1}(X) \times \text{Sym}^{m_2+k+1}(X) \times \mathcal{J}^{d_3}(X)
\]

2 Stable Holomorphic Triples and Roof Theorem

2.1 \( \sigma \)-Stability

For \((2, 1, \tilde{d}_2, \tilde{d}_1)\)-triples and \((1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)\)-triples, the embeddings \( i_k \) preserve \( \sigma \)-stability:

**Lemma 2.1.** A triple \( T \) of type \((2, 1, \tilde{d}_2, \tilde{d}_1)\) or type \((1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)\) is \( \sigma \)-stable \( \iff \) \( i_k(T) \) is \((\sigma + 1)\)-stable.
Proof. We will show the result holds for \((2,1,\tilde{d}_2,\tilde{d}_1)\)-triples, the proof of \((1,2,\tilde{d}_1,\tilde{d}_2)\)-triples is analogous.

Recall that \(T = (V_1, V_2, \varphi)\) is \(\sigma\)-stable if and only if \(\mu_\sigma(T') < \mu_\sigma(T)\) for any \(T'\) proper subtriple of \(T\).

Denote by \(S = i_k(T) = (V_1 \otimes L_p, V_2, \varphi \otimes s_p)\). Is easy to check that \(\mu_{\sigma+1}(S) = \mu_\sigma(T) + 1:\)

\[
\mu_{\sigma+1}(S) = \frac{\deg_{\sigma+1}(S)}{\text{rk}(V_1 \otimes L_p) \oplus \text{rk}(V_2)} = \frac{1}{1 + 2} \frac{\deg(V_1 \otimes L_p) + \deg(V_2) + (\sigma + 1) \text{rk}(V_2)}{3} = \frac{\deg(V_1) + \deg(L_p) + \deg(V_2) + \sigma \text{rk}(V_2) + \text{rk}(V_2)}{3} = \mu_\sigma(T) + 1
\]

since \(\deg(L_p) = 1\) and \(\text{rk}(V_2) = 2\).

Any \(S'\) proper subtriple of \(S\) is of the form \(S' = i_k(T')\) for some \(T'\) subtriple of \(T\), or equivalently:

\[S' = (V_1' \otimes L_p, V_2', \varphi \otimes s_p)\]

and there are injective sheaf homomorphisms \(V_1' \to V_1\) and \(V_2' \to V_2\). This statement is justified since the following diagram commutes:

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
S & \xrightarrow{2} & S' & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & T' & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & T \\
& & V_2 & \xrightarrow{\varphi \otimes s_p} & B & \xleftarrow{\varphi} & V_2 \\
V_1 \otimes L_p & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & A & \xrightarrow{\varphi \otimes s_p} & A \otimes L_p^* & \xleftarrow{(\varphi \otimes s_p) \otimes s_p^{-1}} & V_1 \\
\end{array}
\]

\text{i.e. there is a one–to–one correspondence between the proper subtriples} \(S' \subset S\) \text{ and the proper subtriples } \(T' \subset T\). \text{Taking} \(A = V_1' \otimes L_p\), \(B = V_2'\) \text{ and } \(T' = (V_1', V_2', \varphi)\), \text{we can easily see that} \(\mu_{\sigma+1}(S') = \mu_\sigma(T') + 1\) \text{ and hence:}

\[
\mu_{\sigma+1}(S') < \mu_{\sigma+1}(S) \iff \mu_\sigma(T') + 1 < \mu_\sigma(T) + 1 \iff \mu_\sigma(T') < \mu_\sigma(T).
\]

Therefore, \(T\) is \(\sigma\)-stable \(\iff S = i_k(T)\) is \((\sigma + 1)\)-stable.

\[\square\]

Corollary 2.2. The embedding

\[i_k : \mathcal{N}_\sigma(2,1,\tilde{d}_1,\tilde{d}_2) \to \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k+1)}(2,1,\tilde{d}_1+2,\tilde{d}_2)\]

is well defined for any \(\sigma(k)\) such that \(\sigma_m < \sigma(k) < \sigma_M\). \text{In particular, the embedding} \(i_k\) \text{restricted to} \(F^k_{\tilde{d}_i}\) \text{see (1.5)) is well defined and we have a commutative diagram of the}
form:

\[ (E_1 \oplus E_2, \varphi^k) \xrightarrow{F_{d_1}} (E_1 \oplus E_2, \varphi^k \otimes s_p) \]

where \( \tilde{E}_1 = E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p), \tilde{E}_2 = E_1, \) and \( \varphi^k_{21} : E_1 \to E_2 \otimes K(k \cdot p). \)

Corollary 2.3. The embedding

\[ i_k : \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_2, \tilde{d}_1) \to \mathcal{N}_{\sigma(k+1)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_2 + 1, \tilde{d}_1) \]

is well defined for any \( \sigma(k) \) such that \( \sigma_m < \sigma(k) < \sigma_M. \) In particular, the embedding \( i_k \) restricted to \( F_{d_2}^k \) (see (1.6)) is well defined and we have a commutative diagram of the form:

\[ (E_2 \oplus E_1, \varphi^k) \xrightarrow{F_{d_2}^k} (E_2 \oplus E_1, \varphi^k \otimes s_p) \]

where \( \tilde{E}_1 = E_1 \otimes K(k \cdot p), \tilde{E}_2 = E_2, \) and \( \varphi^k_{21} : E_2 \to E_1 \otimes K(k \cdot p). \)

These results allow us to conclude that there is an interesting and important correspondence between the \( \sigma \)-stability values of moduli spaces of holomorphic triples:

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\sigma_m(k) & \sigma_H(k) & \sigma_M(k) \\
\sigma_m(k+1) & \sigma_H(k+1) & \sigma_M(k+1) \\
\end{array}
\]

where \( \sigma_m(k) = \tilde{\mu}_1 - \tilde{\mu}_2, \sigma_M(k) = 4(\tilde{\mu}_1 - \tilde{\mu}_2), \sigma_H(k) = \text{deg}(K(kp)) = 2g - 2 + k, \) and the correspondence gives us \( \sigma_m(k+1) = \sigma_m(k) + 1, \sigma' = \sigma_M(k) + 1, \sigma_M(k+1) = \sigma_M(k) + 3, \) and \( \sigma_H(k+1) = \sigma_H(k) + 1. \)
2.2 Blow-UP and The Roof Theorem

Recall that $\tilde{N}_{\sigma_c^-}(k)$, the blow-up of $N_{\sigma_c^-}(k) = N_{\sigma_c^-}(k)(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ along the flip locus $S_{\sigma_c^-}(k)$, is isomorphic to $\tilde{N}_{\sigma_c^+}(k)$, the blow-up of $N_{\sigma_c^+}(k) = N_{\sigma_c^+}(k)(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ along the flip locus $S_{\sigma_c^+}(k)$. From now on, we will denote just $\tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k)$ whenever no confusion is likely to arise.

**Theorem 2.4.** For each $k$, there exists an embedding at the blow-up level

$$\tilde{i}_k : \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k) \hookrightarrow \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k+1)$$

such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k+1) & \xrightarrow{\exists i_k} & \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
N_{\sigma_c^-}(k+1) & & N_{\sigma_c^-}(k) \\
\downarrow & \xrightarrow{i_k} & \downarrow \\
N_{\sigma_c^+}(k+1) & & N_{\sigma_c^+}(k) \\
\end{array}$$

where $\tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k)$ is the blow-up of $N_{\sigma_c^-}(k) = N_{\sigma_c^-}(k)(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ along the flip locus $S_{\sigma_c^-}(k)$ and, at the same time, represents the blow-up of $N_{\sigma_c^+}(k) = N_{\sigma_c^+}(k)(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$ along the flip locus $S_{\sigma_c^+}(k)$.

**Proof.** Recall that $T$ is $\sigma$-stable if and only if $i_k(T)$ is $(\sigma + 1)$-stable. Furthermore, by [40], note that any triple

$$T = (V_1, V_2, \varphi) \in S_{\sigma_c^+}(k) \subset N_{\sigma_c^+}(k)(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2)$$

is a non-trivial extension of a subtriple $T' \subset T$ of the form $T' = (V'_1, V'_2, \varphi') = (M, 0, \varphi')$ by a quotient triple of the form $T'' = (V''_1, V''_2, \varphi'') = (L, V_2, \varphi'')$, where $M$ is a line bundle of degree $\deg(M) = d_M$ and $L$ is a line bundle of degree $\deg(L) = d_L = \tilde{d}_1 - d_M$. Besides, also by [40], the non-trivial critical values $\sigma_c \neq \sigma_m$ for $\sigma_m < \sigma_c < \sigma_M$ are of the form
\[ \sigma_c = 3d_M - \tilde{d}_1 - \tilde{d}_2. \]

Then, we can visualize the embedding \( i_k : T \hookrightarrow i_k(T) \) as follows:

```
0 \rightarrow T' \rightarrow T \rightarrow T'' \rightarrow 0
```

where \( \text{deg}(V_1 \otimes L_p) = \tilde{d}_1 + 2 \) and \( \text{deg}(M \otimes L_p) = d_M + 1 \), and so \( L \otimes L_p \) verifies that

\[
\text{deg}(L \otimes L_p) = \text{deg}(V_1 \otimes L_p) - \text{deg}(M \otimes L_p): \\
\text{deg}(L \otimes L_p) = d_L + 1 = \tilde{d}_1 - d_M + 1 = (\tilde{d}_1 + 2) - (d_M + 1) = \text{deg}(V_1 \otimes L_p) - \text{deg}(M \otimes L_p).
\]

Hence, \( \sigma_c(k + 1) \) verifies that \( \sigma_c(k + 1) = \sigma_c(k) + 1:\n\]

\[
\sigma_c(k + 1) = 3 \text{deg}(M \otimes L_p) - \text{deg}(V_1 \otimes L_p) - \text{deg}(V_2) = 3d_M + 3 - \tilde{d}_1 - 2 - \tilde{d}_2 = (3d_M - \tilde{d}_1 - \tilde{d}_2) + 1 = \sigma_c(k) + 1
\]

and where \( i_k(T') = (M \otimes L_p, 0, \varphi' \otimes s_p) \) is the maximal \( \sigma^+_c(k + 1) \)-destabilizing subtriple of \( i_k(T) \).

Similarly, also by \([40]\), any triple \( T \in S_{\sigma_c(k)} \subset N_{\sigma_c(k)}(2,1,\tilde{d}_1,\tilde{d}_2) \) is a non-trivial extension of a subtriple \( T' \subset T \) of the form \( T' = (V_1',V_2',\varphi') = (L,V_2,\varphi') \) by a quotient triple of the form \( T'' = (V_1'',V_2'',\varphi'') = (M,0,\varphi'') \), where \( M \) is a line bundle of degree \( \text{deg}(M) = d_M \) and \( L \) is a line bundle of degree \( \text{deg}(L) = d_L = \tilde{d}_1 - d_M \). Then, the embedding \( i_k : T \hookrightarrow i_k(T) \) looks like:

```
0 \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow V_2 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow 0
```

...
where \( i_k(T') = (L, V_2, \varphi') \) is the maximal \( \sigma^+_c(k + 1) \)-destabilizing subtriple of \( i_k(T) \).

Hence, \( i_k \) restricts to the flip loci \( S^+_{\sigma_c^+_c}(k) \) and \( S^-_{\sigma_c^-}(k) \). Recall that, by definition, the blow-up of \( \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c^+_c}(k) \) along the flip locus \( S^+_{\sigma_c^+_c}(k) \), is the space \( \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k) \) together with the projection
\[
\pi : \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k) \to N_{\sigma_c^+_c}(k)
\]
where \( \pi \) restricted to \( \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c^+_c}(k) - S^+_{\sigma_c^+_c}(k) \) is an isomorphism and the \textit{exceptional divisor} \( \mathcal{E}^+ = \pi^{-1}(S^+_{\sigma_c^+_c}(k)) \subset \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k) \) is a fiber bundle over \( S^+_{\sigma_c^+_c}(k) \) with fiber \( \mathbb{P}^{n - k - 1} \), where \( n = \dim(N_{\sigma_c^+_c}(k)) \) and \( k = \dim(S^+_{\sigma_c^+_c}(k)) \). So, the embedding can be extended to \( \mathcal{E}^+ \) in a natural way. Same argument remains valid when we consider \( \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k) \) as the blow-up of \( \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k) \) along the flip locus \( S_{\sigma_c^-}(k) \) with exceptional divisor \( \mathcal{E}^- = \pi^{-1}(S_{\sigma_c^-}(k)) \subset \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k) \). Therefore, the embedding can be extended to the whole \( \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k) \).

\textbf{Lemma 2.5.} There is an isomorphism
\[
N_{\sigma}(1, 2, d_1, d_2) \cong N_{\sigma}(2, 1, -d_2, -d_1)
\]
for all \( \sigma \).

\textit{Proof.} It is enough to consider the dual morphism
\[
T = (E_1, E_2, \varphi) \mapsto T = (E^*_2, E^*_1, \varphi^*)
\]
where \( E^*_j \) is the dual bundle of \( E_j \) and \( \varphi^* = \varphi^t \) is the conjugate transpose of \( \varphi \). \( \square \)

Hence, the analogous dual Roof-Theorem also holds:

\textbf{Theorem 2.6.} For each \( k \), there exists an embedding at the blow-up level
\[
i_{\sigma} : \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k) \to \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k+1)
\]
such that the following diagram commutes:

\[\begin{array}{ccc}
\tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k+1) & \xrightarrow{\exists i_k} & N_{\sigma_c^+_c}(k+1) \\
\downarrow i_k & & \downarrow \phi_k \\
\tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k) & & N_{\sigma_c^+_c}(k) \\
\end{array}\]

where \( \tilde{N}_{\sigma_c}(k) \) is the blow-up of \( N_{\sigma_c^-}(k) = N_{\sigma_c^-}(k)(1, 2, \tilde{d}_2, \tilde{d}_1) \) along the flip locus \( S_{\sigma_c^-}(k) \) and, at the same time, represents the blow-up of \( N_{\sigma_c^+_c}(k) = N_{\sigma_c^+_c}(k)(1, 2, \tilde{d}_2, \tilde{d}_1) \) along the flip locus \( S_{\sigma_c^+_c}(k) \).

\textit{Proof.} Follows from \textbf{Theorem 2.4} and \textbf{Lemma 2.5}. \( \square \)

\textbf{Remark 2.7.} The construction of the blow-up may be found in the book of Griffiths and Harris \cite{21}. 

VHS of rank 3 \( k \)-Higgs bundles
3 Cohomology

We want to show that the embeddings $i_k : F^k_\lambda \hookrightarrow F^{k+1}_\lambda$ induce covariant isomorphisms in cohomology:

$$H^j(F^{k+1}_\lambda, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F^k_\lambda, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all $\lambda$ and certain $j$. To do that, we need to study $F^k_{d_1}$, $F^k_{d_2}$ and $F^{k+m_1 m_2}_j$ separately. Because of Lemma 2.5, the cohomology of $F^k_{d_1}$ and $F^k_{d_2}$ are similar, so it will be enough to analyze $F^k_{d_1}$. The cohomology of $F^{k+m_1 m_2}_j$ will be completely different.

We shall start by describing the cohomology of $\text{Sym}^k(X) = X^k/S_k$, the $k$-th symmetric product in subsection 3.1, which is related to the cohomology of the rank three VHS.

For $(1, 2)$-VHS, we will prove that the embeddings $i_k : F^k_{d_1} \hookrightarrow F^{k+1}_{d_1}$ induce isomorphisms in cohomology:

$$H^j(F^{k+1}_{d_1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F^k_{d_1}, \mathbb{Z})$$

for certain $j$, or equivalently:

$$H^j(N^{k+1}_{\sigma_H}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(N^k_{\sigma_H}, \mathbb{Z}),$$

where we denote $N^k_{\sigma_H} = N_{\sigma_H(k)}(2, 1, \hat{d}_1, \hat{d}_2)$. We do that in two steps. First, in subsection 3.2, we get that

$$H^j(N^{k+1}_{\sigma^c}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(N^k_{\sigma^c}, \mathbb{Z})$$

for all critical $\sigma_x = \sigma(k)$ such that $\sigma_m(k) < \sigma_x(k) < \sigma_M(k)$, and for all $j \leq n(k)$, where the bound $n(k)$ is known. We first analyze the embedding restricted to the flip loci, $i_k : S_{\sigma^c(z)}(k) \hookrightarrow S_{\sigma^c(z)+1}(k)$ and $i_k : S_{\sigma^c(z)}(k) \hookrightarrow S_{\sigma^c(z)+1}(k)$. For simplicity, we will denote from now on $S^k = S_{\sigma^c(z)}(k)$ and $S^k = S_{\sigma^c(z)+1}(k)$ whenever no confusion is likely to arise about the critical value.

In subsection 3.3, we stabilize the cohomology of the $(1, 2)$-VHS, using useful results from the work of Bradlow, García-Prada, Gothen [7]. In subsection 3.4, we present the dual results for $(2, 1)$-VHS.

Finally, in subsection 3.5, we study the case of the $(1, 1, 1)$-VHS.

3.1 Cohomology of Symmetric Products

We begin by recalling some cohomology features of $\text{Sym}^k(X) = X^k/S_k$, the symmetric product with quotient topology, where $X^k$ is the $k$-times cartesian product and $S_k$ is the order $k$ symmetric group. Obviously $\text{Sym}^1(X) = X$.

The $k$-th symmetric product $\text{Sym}^k(X)$ is a smooth projective variety of dimension $k \in \mathbb{N}$, that could be interpreted as the moduli space of degree $k$ effective divisors.

It is well known that

$$H^0(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}, \quad H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^{2g}, \quad H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

According to Macdonald [36], there is a generator $\beta \in H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ induced by the orientation of $X$. Moreover, there are $2g$ generators $\alpha_1$, $\alpha_2$, $\ldots$, $\alpha_{2g} \in H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ such that

$$\alpha_i \cup \alpha_j = -\alpha_j \cup \alpha_i = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j \quad \text{and} \quad i, j \in \{1, \ldots, 2g\}$$

and

$$\alpha_i \cup \alpha_{i+j} = -\alpha_{i+j} \cup \alpha_i = \beta \quad \text{for} \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, g\}.$$
with the usual cup product $\cup$. Hence

$$\alpha_i \cup \beta = \beta \cup \alpha_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta^2 = \beta \cup \beta = 0.$$  

For the usual cartesian product $X^k$, we get that the ring $H^*(X^k, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(X, \mathbb{Z})^{\otimes k}$ is generated by $\{\alpha_{ir}\}_{i=1}^{2g}$ and $\beta_r$ with $1 \leq r \leq k$, which are elements of the form

$$\alpha_{ir} = 1 \otimes \ldots \otimes 1 \otimes \alpha_i \otimes 1 \otimes \ldots \otimes 1 \in H^1(X^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$\beta_r = 1 \otimes \ldots \otimes 1 \otimes \beta \otimes 1 \otimes \ldots \otimes 1 \in H^2(X^k, \mathbb{Z})$$

where $\alpha_i$ and $\beta$ fill the $r$-th entry of $\alpha_{ir}$ and $\beta_r$ respectively, and they are subject to the relations

$$\alpha_{ir} \cup \alpha_{jr} = -\alpha_{jr} \cup \alpha_{ir} = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad i - j \neq \pm g \quad \text{for} \quad i, j \in \{1, \ldots, 2g\}$$

and

$$\alpha_{ir} \cup \alpha_{i+g} \cup \alpha_{ir} = -\alpha_{i+g} \cup \alpha_{ir} = \beta_r \quad \text{for} \quad i \in \{1, \ldots, g\}.$$  

Hence

$$\alpha_{ir} \cup \beta_r = \beta_r \cup \alpha_{ir} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_r^2 = \beta_r \cup \beta_r = 0.$$  

Besides, each $\beta_r$ commutes with every element of $H^*(X^k, \mathbb{Z})$.

Finally, the symmetric product $\text{Sym}^k(X)$ has a cohomology ring $H^*(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z})$ generated by elements of the form

$$\zeta_i = \alpha_{i1} + \cdots + \alpha_{ik} = \sum_{r=1}^{k} \alpha_{ir} \in H^1(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i \leq 2g$$

and

$$\eta = \beta_1 + \cdots + \beta_k = \sum_{r=1}^{k} \beta_r \in H^2(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z})$$

where

$$\zeta_i \cup \zeta_j = -\zeta_j \cup \zeta_i \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_i \cup \eta = \eta \cup \zeta_i$$


According to Arbarello et.al. [2], there is $\triangle_k \in \text{Sym}^{k+1}(X) \cong \text{Sym}^k(X) \times X$, a universal divisor such that

$$\triangle_k\big|_{\{p\} \times X} = D \quad \text{for every divisor} \quad D \in \text{Sym}^k(X).$$

Therefore, the first Chern class $c_1(\triangle_k) \in H^2(\text{Sym}^{k+1}(X), \mathbb{Z})$ of this universal divisor is given by

$$c_1(\triangle_k) = \gamma \otimes k + \sum_{i=1}^{g} (\zeta_i \otimes \alpha_{i+g} - \zeta_{i+g} \otimes \alpha_i) + \eta \otimes 1 \in \sum_{j=0}^{2} H^j(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^{2-j}(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

where

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2} H^j(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H^{2-j}(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H^2(\text{Sym}^{k+1}(X), \mathbb{Z})$$
and
\[ \gamma = \sum_{i=1}^{g} \zeta_i \cup \zeta_{i+g} \in H^2(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z}). \]

The reader may see Macdonald [36], Arbarello et.al. [2], and Hausel [25] for details. Our embedding \( i_k : F^k_{\lambda} \rightarrow F^k_{\lambda+1} \) is in fact related to the embedding
\[ \text{Sym}^k(X) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{k+1}(X), \]
\[ D \mapsto D + p \]
for a fixed point \( p \in X \). We will abuse notation and call this last embedding also \( i_k \). We get a sequence
\[ X = \text{Sym}^1(X) \subseteq \text{Sym}^2(X) \subseteq \ldots \subseteq \text{Sym}^k(X) \subseteq \ldots \]
and so, we may consider its direct limit
\[ \text{Sym}^\infty(X) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \text{Sym}^k(X). \]

Hence, what we have shown is that

**Theorem 3.1.** The pull-back
\[ i_k^* : H^*(\text{Sym}^{k+1}(X), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z}) \]
induced by the embedding \( i_k : \text{Sym}^k(X) \rightarrow \text{Sym}^{k+1}(X) \), is surjective.

**Proof.** It is enough to see that the cohomology ring \( H^*(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z}) \) is generated by the universal classes \( \{ \zeta_i \}_{i=1}^{g} \) and \( \eta \) mentioned above. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.2.** The cohomology ring of the direct limit \( \text{Sym}^\infty(X) \) is the covariant limit
\[ H^*(\text{Sym}^\infty(X), \mathbb{Z}) = \lim_{\infty \leftarrow k} H^*(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z}) \]
which is a graded free algebra generated by the classes \( \{ \zeta_i \}_{i=1}^{g} \) and \( \eta \).

**Corollary 3.3 ([36, (12.2)])**. There is a cohomology isomorphism
\[ H^j(\text{Sym}^{k+1}(X), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^j(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z}) \]
for all \( j \leq k - 1 \).

**Corollary 3.4.** There is an isomorphism
\[ H^j(\text{Sym}^\infty(X), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^j(\text{Sym}^k(X), \mathbb{Z}) \]
for all \( j \leq k - 1 \).

**Proof.** It follows directly from Theorem 3.1, Corollary 3.2 and Corollary 3.3. \( \square \)
3.2 Cohomology of Triples

Theorem 3.5. There is an isomorphism

\[ i_k^* : H^j(S^k_{-}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^j(S^k_{+}, \mathbb{Z}) \]

for all \( j \leq \tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1 = d_2 - d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k) - d_M \), where \( d_j = \text{deg}(E_j), \tilde{d}_j = \text{deg}(\tilde{E}_j) \), \( M \to X \) is a line bundle of degree \( d_M = \text{deg}(M) \), and \( \sigma_H(k) = \text{deg}(K(kp)) = 2g - 2 + k \).

Proof. Recall that, according to [40, Theorem 4.8.], \( S^k_\pm = P(V) \) is the projectivization of a bundle \( V \to N''_c \times N''_c \) of rank \( \text{rk}(V) = -\chi(T'', T') \), where

\[ N'_c = N_c(1, 1, \tilde{d}_1 - d_M, \tilde{d}_2) \cong \mathcal{J}^{d_2} \times \text{Sym}^{d_1 - d_M - d_2}(X) \]

and

\[ N''_c = N_c(1, 0, d_M, 0) \cong \mathcal{J}^{d_M}(X) \]

where any triple \( T = (V_1, V_2, \varphi) \in S^k_\pm \subset N_{\sigma_c(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \) is a non-trivial extension of a subtriple \( T' \subset T \) of the form \( T' = (V'_1, V'_2, \varphi') = (L, V_2, \varphi') \) by a quotient triple of the form \( T'' = (V''_1, V''_2, \varphi'') = (M, 0, \varphi'') \), where \( M \) is a line bundle of degree \( \text{deg}(M) = d_M \) and \( L \) is a line bundle of degree \( \text{deg}(L) = d_L = \tilde{d}_1 - d_M \). Then, the embedding \( i_k : T \to i_k(T) \) restricts to:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
(V'_1, V'_2, \varphi') \\
\cong \\
N'_{\sigma_c} \\
\cong \\
\mathcal{J}^{d_2} \times \text{Sym}^{d_1 - d_M - d_2}(X) \\
i_k \\
\mathcal{J}^{d_2} \times \text{Sym}^{d_1 - d_M - d_2 + 1}(X) \\
([V'_2], \text{div}(\varphi')) \rightarrow ([V'_2], \text{div}(\varphi' \otimes s_p))
\end{array}
\]

because \( \sigma_c(k + 1) = \sigma_c(k) + 1 \), and \( d_M(k + 1) = d_M(k) + 1 \), and because, by the proof of the Roof Theorem 2.4, \( i_k \) restricts to the flip locus \( S^k_\pm \).

Similarly, \( i_k \) restricts to:

\[
\begin{array}{c}
(V''_1, 0, 0) \\
\cong \\
N''_{\sigma_c} \\
\cong \\
\mathcal{J}^{d_M} \\
i_k \\
\mathcal{J}^{d_M} \\
[V''_1] \rightarrow [V''_1 \otimes L_p]
\end{array}
\]
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So, by Macdonald [36, (12.2)] (Theorem 3.3),
\[ i_k^*: H^j(N'_{c+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(N'_c, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq \tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1, \]
and hence
\[ i_k^*: H^j(S^{k+1}_+, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(S^k_+, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq \tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1. \]

Similarly, for the flip locus \( S^k_+ = S_{\sigma_+^*(k)} \) we have:

**Theorem 3.6.** There is an isomorphism
\[ i_k^*: H^j(S^{k+1}_+, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(S^k_+, \mathbb{Z}) \]
for all \( j \leq \tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1 = d_2 - d_1 + 2\sigma_H(k) - d_M \), where \( d_j = \deg(E_j), \tilde{d}_j = \deg(\tilde{E}_j) \), \( M \rightarrow X \) is a line bundle of degree \( d_M = \deg(M) \), and \( \sigma_H(k) = \deg(K(\text{tp}))(k) = 2g - 2 + k \).

**Proof.** Quite similar argument to the one presented above, except for the detail that this time is the other way around: according also to [40, Theorem 4.8.], \( S^k_+ = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{V}) \) is the projectivization of a bundle \( \mathcal{V} \rightarrow N'_c \times N''_c \) of rank \( \text{rk}(\mathcal{V}) = -\chi(T^n, T') \), but this time \( N'_c = N_c(1, 0, d_M, 0) \cong \mathcal{J}^{d_M}(X) \), and \( N''_c = N_c(1, 1, \tilde{d}_1 - d_M, \tilde{d}_2) \cong \mathcal{J}^{d_2} \times \text{Sym}^{d_1-d_M-d_2}(X) \) where any triple \( T = (V_1, V_2, \varphi) \in S^k_+ \subset N'_c(k)(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \) is a non-trivial extension of a subtriple \( T' \subset T \) of the form \( T' = (V'_1, V'_2, \varphi') = (M, 0, \varphi') \) by a quotient triple of the form \( T'' = (V''_1, V''_2, \varphi'') = (L, V_2, \varphi'') \), where \( M \) is a line bundle of degree \( \deg(M) = d_M \) and \( L \) is a line bundle of degree \( \deg(L) = d_L = \tilde{d}_1 - d_M \).

**Theorem 3.7.** There is an isomorphism
\[ i_k^*: H^j(N_{\sigma_+^-(k+1)}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(N_{\sigma_+^-}, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq 2(\tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2)) + 1. \]

Since the behavior of \( N_{\sigma_+^-} \), where \( \sigma^-_c = \sigma_c - \varepsilon \), is the same that the one of \( N_{\sigma_+^m} \), where \( \sigma^m_+ = \sigma_m + \varepsilon \), is enough to prove the following lemma:

**Lemma 3.8.** The relative cohomology groups
\[ H^j(N_{\sigma_+^m(k+1)}, N_{\sigma_+^m(k)}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0 \]
are trivial for all \( j \leq 2(\tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2)) \).

**Proof.** Note that \( N_{\sigma_+^m(k)} = \emptyset \), hence \( N_{\sigma_+^m(k+1)} = S^k_+ \), and according to [40, Theorem 4.10.], any triple \( T = (V_1, V_2, \varphi) \in S^k_+ = N_{\sigma_+^m(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \) is a non-trivial extension of a subtriple \( T' \subset T \) of the form \( T' = (V'_1, V'_2, \varphi') = (V_1, 0, 0) \) by a quotient triple of the form \( T'' = (V''_1, V''_2, \varphi'') = (V_2, \varphi'') \). Hence, there is a map
\[ \pi : N_{\sigma_+^m} \rightarrow N(2, \tilde{d}_1) \times \mathcal{J}^{d_2}(X) \]
\[ (V_1, V_2, \varphi) \mapsto ([V_1], [V_2]) \]
where the inverse image \( \pi^{-1}(N(2, \tilde{d}_1) \times \mathcal{J}^{d_2}(X)) = \mathbb{P}^N \) has rank \( N = -\chi(T'', T') = \tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2) \), and the proof follows. 

---
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Theorem 3.9. There is an isomorphism
\[ i_k^*: H^j(N_{\sigma'(k+1)}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^j(N_{\sigma(k)}, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq n(k) \]
at the blow-up level, where \( n(k) := \min(\tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1, \ 2(\tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2)) + 1) \).

Proof. By the Roof Theorem 2.4, \( i_k \) lifts to the blow-up level. We will denote \( N_{\sigma}^- = N_{\sigma_-(k)}(2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \) and \( N_{\sigma}^k = N_{\sigma(k)} \) its blow-up along the flip locus \( S_{\sigma}^k = S_{\sigma_-(k)} \). Recall that, from the construction of the blow-up, there is a map \( \pi_- : \tilde{N}^k \to N_{\sigma}^- \) such that
\[ 0 \to \pi_+^*(H^j(N_{\sigma}^+)) \to H^j(\tilde{N}^k) \to H^j(\mathcal{E}^k) / \pi_-^*(H^j(S_{\sigma}^k)) \to 0 \]
splits where \( \mathcal{E}^k = \pi_-^1(S_{\sigma}^k) \) is the so-called exceptional divisor. Hence, the following diagram
\[ \begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & \pi_+^*(H^j(N_{\sigma}^+)) & \to & H^j(\tilde{N}^k) & \to & H^j(\mathcal{E}^k) / \pi_-^*(H^j(S_{\sigma}^k)) & \to & 0 \\
\xrightarrow{\simeq} & & \uparrow i_k^* & & \uparrow & & \pi_k^* & & \xrightarrow{\simeq} \\
0 & \to & \pi_+^*(H^j(N_{\sigma}^+)) & \to & H^j(\tilde{N}^k) & \to & H^j(\mathcal{E}^k) / \pi_-^*(H^j(S_{\sigma}^k)) & \to & 0
\end{array} \]
commutes for all \( j \leq n(k) \), and the theorem follows. \( \square \)

Corollary 3.10. There is an isomorphism
\[ i_k^*: H^j(N_{\sigma'(k+1)}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^j(N_{\sigma^+}(k), \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq n(k) \]
where \( n(k) = \min(\tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1, \ 2(\tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2)) + 1) \) as before.

Proof. Recall that \( \tilde{N}^k = N_{\sigma_-(k)} \) is also the blow-up of \( N_{\sigma^+} = N_{\sigma_+(k)}(2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \) along the flip locus \( S_{\sigma}^k = S_{\sigma_+} \), so there is a map \( \pi_+ : \tilde{N}^k \to N_{\sigma^+} \) such that
\[ 0 \to \pi_+^*(H^j(N_{\sigma^+})) \to H^j(\tilde{N}^k) \to H^j(\mathcal{E}^k) / \pi_+^*(H^j(S_{\sigma}^k)) \to 0 \]
splits:
\[ H^j(\tilde{N}^k) = \pi_+^*(H^j(N_{\sigma^+})) \oplus H^j(\mathcal{E}^k) / \pi_+^*(H^j(S_{\sigma}^k)), \]
and by Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.9, the result follows. \( \square \)

Corollary 3.11. There is an isomorphism
\[ i_k^*: H^j(N_{\sigma(k+1)}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^j(N_{\sigma(k)}, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq n(k). \]

### 3.3 Cohomology of the (1, 2)-VHS

So far, we stabilize the cohomology of \( N_{\sigma(k)} \) for any critical \( \sigma(k) \). Here and after, \( \sigma_L \) represents the largest critical value in the open interval \([\sigma_m, \sigma_M]\), and \( N_{\sigma^+} \) (respectively \( N_{\sigma^-} \)) denotes the moduli space of \( \sigma_L \)-polystable (respectively \( \sigma_L \)-stable) triples for values \( \sigma_L < \sigma < \sigma_M \). The space \( N_{\sigma_L} \) is so-called the ‘large \( \sigma \)’ moduli space (see [7]). The following results will allow us to generalize the stabilization for all \( \sigma \in I = [\sigma_m(k), \sigma_M(k)] \):
Theorem 3.12 ([7, Th. 7.7]). Assume that \( r_1 > r_2 \) and \( \frac{d_1}{r_1} > \frac{d_2}{r_2} \). Then the moduli space 
\( \mathcal{N}_{s_1} = \mathcal{N}_{s_1}(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2) \) is smooth of dimension 
\[
(g - 1)(r_1^2 + r_2^2 - r_1r_2) - r_1d_2 + r_2d_1 + 1,
\]
and is birationally equivalent to a \( \mathbb{P}^N \)-fibration over \( M^s(r_1 - r_2, d_1 - d_2) \times M^s(r_2, d_2) \), where \( M^s(r, d) \) is the moduli space of stable bundles of degree \( r \) and degree \( d \), and 
\[
N = r_2d_1 - r_1d_2 + r_1(r_1 - r_2)(g - 1) - 1.
\]
In particular, \( \mathcal{N}_{s_1}(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2) \) is non-empty and irreducible.

If \( \text{GCD}(r_1 - r_2, d_1 - d_2) = 1 \) and \( \text{GCD}(r_2, d_2) = 1 \), the birational equivalence is an isomorphism.

Moreover, in all cases, \( \mathcal{N}_{s_1} = \mathcal{N}_{s_1}(r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2) \) is irreducible and hence, birationally equivalent to \( \mathcal{N}_{s_1} \). \( \square \)

Theorem 3.13 ([7, Th. 7.9]). Let \( \sigma \) be any value in the range \( \sigma_m < 2g - 2 \leq \sigma < \sigma_M \), then \( \mathcal{N}_\sigma \) is birationally equivalent to \( \mathcal{N}_{s_1} \). In particular it is non-empty and irreducible. \( \square \)

Corollary 3.14 ([7, Cor. 7.10.]). Let \( (r, d) = (r_1, r_2, d_1, d_2) \) be such that 
\[
\text{GCD}(r_2, r_1 + r_2, d_1 + d_2) = 1.
\]
If \( \sigma \) is a generic value satisfying \( \sigma_m < 2g - 2 \leq \sigma < \sigma_M \), then \( \mathcal{N}_{\sigma} \) is birationally equivalent to \( \mathcal{N}_{s_1} \), and in particular it is irreducible.

Proof. \( \mathcal{N}_{\sigma} = \mathcal{N}_{s_1} \) if \( \text{GCD}(r_2, r_1 + r_2, d_1 + d_2) = 1 \) and \( \sigma \) is generic. In particular, we have \( \mathcal{N}_{s_1} = \mathcal{N}_{s_1} \), and the result follows from the last theorem. The reader may see the full details in [7]. \( \square \)

Theorem 3.15. There is an isomorphism 
\[
\iota_k^*: H^j(\mathcal{N}_{s_H}^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(\mathcal{N}_{s_H}^k, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq \sigma_H(k) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu) - 1
\]
where \( \mathcal{N}_{s_H}^k = \mathcal{N}_{s_H(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \), \( \sigma_H = \sigma_H(k) = 2g - 2 + k \), and \( \mu_1 = \mu(E_1) > \mu(E) = \mu \).

Proof. In this case \( \text{GCD}(1, 3, \tilde{d}_1 + \tilde{d}_2) = 1 \) trivially, and \( \sigma_H = \sigma_H(k) \) is a \( \sigma \)-critical value that satisfies 
\[
\sigma_m < 2g - 2 \leq \sigma_H(k) < \sigma_M.
\]
Therefore, by the description of Muñoz et.al. [40] of the critical values ([40] Lemma 5.2. and Lemma 5.3.), the line bundle \( M \to X \) satisfies in this case, the following:
\[
\sigma_m < \sigma_H(k) = 3d_M - \tilde{d}_1 - \tilde{d}_2
\]
equivalently
\[
d_M = \sigma_H(k) + \mu
\]
and hence
\[
\tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1 = \sigma_H(k) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu) - 1.
\]
In such a case
\[ \tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2) = \sigma_H(k) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu) + k \geq \sigma_H(k) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu) - 1 \]
and then
\[ 2(\tilde{d}_1 - 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2)) \geq \tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1. \]
Therefore, in this case
\[ n(k) = \tilde{d}_1 - d_M - \tilde{d}_2 - 1 = \sigma_H(k) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu) - 1. \]

Finally, by Theorem 3.13 and by Corollary 3.14, the space \( N^s_{\sigma_H} = N_{\sigma_H(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \) is birationally equivalent to \( N_{\sigma_L^+} = N_{\sigma_L^+(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \), which is equal to the moduli space \( N_{\sigma_L^+}(k) = N_{\sigma_L^+(k)}(2, 1, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \) of holomorphic stable triples also by Theorem 3.13, where \( \sigma_L^+(k) \) is the maximal critical value, depending on \( k \) in this case. The isomorphism then follows by Corollary 3.11.

Corollary 3.16. There is an isomorphism
\[ H^j(F_{d_1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F_{d_2}, \mathbb{Z}) \]
for all \( j \leq \sigma_H(k) - 2(\mu_1 - \mu) - 1 \) induced by the embedding 1.5.

3.4 Cohomology of the (2,1)-VHS

Because of the duality
\[ N_\sigma(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2) \cong N_\sigma(2, 1, -\tilde{d}_2, -\tilde{d}_1) \]
from Lemma 2.5, we get

Theorem 3.17. There is an isomorphism
\[ i^*_k: H^j(N_{\sigma_L(k+1)}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(N_{\sigma_L}, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq m(k) \]
where \( m(k) = \min(-\tilde{d}_1 - d_M + \tilde{d}_2 - 1, 2(-\tilde{d}_1 + 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2)) + 1) \).

Proof. The result follows as the analogous to Corollary 3.11 varying \( \tilde{d}_1 \) and \( \tilde{d}_2 \) according to the duality from Lemma 2.5.

Theorem 3.18. For \( k \) large enough, there is an isomorphism
\[ i^*_k: H^j(N_{\sigma_L}^{k+1}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(N_{\sigma_L}^k, \mathbb{Z}) \quad \forall j \leq \sigma_H(k) - 4(\mu_2 - \mu) - 1 \]
where \( N_{\sigma_L}^{k+1} = N_{\sigma_H(k)}(1, 2, \tilde{d}_1, \tilde{d}_2), \quad \sigma_H = \sigma_H(k) = 2g - 2 + k, \) and \( \mu_2 = \mu(E_2) > \mu(E) = \mu \).

Proof. In this case, by the duality from Lemma 2.5, and by the description of the \( \sigma_c \) critical values (Muñoz et.al. [40] Lemma 5.2. and Lemma 5.3.), the line bundle \( M \rightarrow X \) satisfies in this case, the following:
\[ \sigma_m < \sigma_H(k) = 3d_M + \tilde{d}_1 + \tilde{d}_2 \]
equivalently
\[ d_M = -\mu > -\mu_2 \]
and hence
\[ -\tilde{d}_1 - d_M + \tilde{d}_2 - 1 = \sigma_H(k) - 4(\mu_2 - \mu) - 1, \]
where, once again, \( \sigma_H = \sigma_H(k) \) is a \( \sigma \)-critical value satisfying
\[ \sigma_m < 2g - 2 \leq \sigma_H(k) < \sigma_M. \]
In such a case
\[ -\tilde{d}_1 + 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2) = \sigma_H(k) - 6(\mu_2 - \mu) + k \geq 0 \]
if \( k > 2(\mu_2 - \mu) > 0 \) is large enough. Then
\[ 2(-\tilde{d}_1 + 2\tilde{d}_2 - (2g - 2)) \geq -\tilde{d}_1 - d_M + \tilde{d}_2 - 1. \]
Therefore, in this case
\[ m(k) = -\tilde{d}_1 - d_M + \tilde{d}_2 - 1 = \sigma_H(k) - 4(\mu_2 - \mu) - 1. \]
Hence, the result follows as the dual analogous to Theorem 3.15.

\[ \Box \]

**Corollary 3.19.** For \( k \) large enough, there is an isomorphism
\[ H^j(F^{k+1}_{d_2}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F^k_{d_2}, \mathbb{Z}) \]
for all \( j \leq \sigma_H(k) - 4(\mu_2 - \mu) - 1 \) induced by the embedding 1.6.

\[ \Box \]

### 3.5 Cohomology of the (1, 1, 1)-VHS

**Theorem 3.20.** The pull-back
\[ i_k^*: H^*(F^{k+1}_{m_1m_2}, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^*(F^k_{m_1m_2}, \mathbb{Z}) \]
induced by the embedding \( i_k: F^k_{m_1m_2} \to F^{k+1}_{m_1m_2} \), is surjective.

\[ \Box \]

**Proof.** This is a direct consequence of Theorem 1.15, Theorem 3.1 and the result from Macdonald [36] (12.2.) (Corollary 3.3).

**Corollary 3.21.** There is an isomorphism
\[ H^j(F^{\infty}_{m_1m_2}, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^j(F^k_{m_1m_2}, \mathbb{Z}) \]
for all \( j \leq \min(\bar{m}_1 + k, \bar{m}_2 + k) - 1. \)

**Proof.** It follows directly from Theorem 1.15, Macdonald [36] (12.2.) (Corollary 3.3) and Corollary 3.4.
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