CONSTRUCTION OF ALMOST REVLEX IDEALS WITH HILBERT FUNCTION OF SOME COMPLETE INTERSECTIONS
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Abstract. We give a constructive proof of the existence of the almost revlex ideal $J \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ with the same Hilbert function of a complete intersection defined by $n$ forms of degrees $d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_n$, when for every $i \geq 4$ the degrees satisfy the condition $d_i \geq \bar{u}_{i-1} + 1 = \min\left\{\left\lfloor \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} d_j - i + 1 \right\rfloor, \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} d_j - i + 2\right\} + 1$. The further property that, for every $t \geq \bar{u}_{n+1}$, all terms of degree $t$ outside $J$ are divisible by the last variable has an important role in our inductive and constructive proof, which is different from the more general construction given by Pardue in [22].

Introduction

Given an Artinian strongly stable ideal $J \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ in $n$ variables, over a field $K$, it is straightforward that there exists an integer $\ell$ such that for every $t \geq \ell$ the terms of degree $t$ outside $J$ are divisible by the last variable. As a consequence, the Hilbert function of $K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/J$ is decreasing from $\ell$ on.

We observe that, in case we deal with the Hilbert function $H$ of a complete intersection, the minimal integer $\ell$ with the above property, which is strictly connected to the first reduction number (see [15, Corollary 1.4]), has a role in an explicit construction of the almost reverse lexicographic ideal with Hilbert function $H$.

More precisely, let $H$ be the Hilbert function of a complete intersection defined by $n$ forms of degrees $d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_n$ in $n$ variables over a field $K$. We prove that, if the following condition is satisfied for every $i \geq 4$,

\[ d_i \geq \min\left\{\left\lfloor \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} d_j - i + 1 \right\rfloor, \sum_{j=1}^{i-2} d_j - i + 2\right\} + 1, \]

then there exists the almost reverse lexicographic ideal $J$ with Hilbert function $H$; further, every term of degree $t$ outside $J$ is divisible by the last variable, for every $t \geq \min\left\{\left\lfloor \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_j - n + 1 \right\rfloor, \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} d_j - n + 1\right\} + 1$ (see Theorem 3.8).

In [22, Theorems 4 and 5, Corollary 6], K. Pardue gave a complete characterization of the Hilbert functions that admit almost revlex ideals, and among them there are the Hilbert functions of complete intersections, indeed. Our proof follows a different approach from that used in the general case by Pardue, thus still providing a new insight into the case of complete intersections.

The further property that, for suitable $t$, the terms of degree $t$ outside $J$ are divisible by the last variable is crucial in the inductive and constructive proof we provide, together with the combinatorial properties of the first expansion of the sous-escalier of a strongly
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stable ideal [17, 18, 19] and the particular structure of the Hilbert function of a complete intersection [23].

According to Moreno-Socías’ conjecture (see [20, Conjecture 4.1]), the almost revlex ideal \( J \) with the same Hilbert function of a complete intersection would be the generic initial ideal (with respect to degrevlex term order) of a polynomial ideal generated by generic forms. Indeed, the existence of \( J \) is interesting in the study of general schemes with a given Hilbert function in Algebraic Geometry.

To our knowledge, Moreno-Socías’ conjecture has already been proved, mostly in characteristic 0, for \( n = 2 \) [1], for \( n \leq 3 \) [20, Proposition 4.2], for \( n = 4 \) and \( d_1 = 2 \) [12], if \( d_i > (\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} d_j) - i + 1 \) for every \( i \geq 4 \) [4, Corollary 2.12], if \( d_i \geq (\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} d_j) - i - 1 \) for every \( i \) [3, Theorem 3.19], and also in other particular cases [5]. It is noteworthy that Moreno-Socías’ conjecture implies Fröberg’s conjecture (see [11, 22, 4] and the references therein). For recent contributions to Fröberg’s conjecture see [21, 25].

The arguments presented in this paper have been included in a contributed talk given by the second author at the conference XVI EACA (see [2]).

1. Background

Let \( R := K[x_1, \ldots, x_n] \) be the polynomial ring over an infinite field \( K \) in \( n \) variables endowed with the degree reverse lexicographic term order \( > \) such that \( x_1 > \cdots > x_n \). In this setting, for every term \( \tau := x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n} \neq 1 \) we let \( \deg(\tau) := \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i \) be its degree and we define \( \min(\tau) := \max\{i \mid \alpha_i \neq 0\} \), which is the index of the minimal variable that appears in \( \tau \) with a non-null exponent. If \( \min(\tau) = i \), we will say that “\( \tau \) has minimal variable \( x_i \)”.

For every integer \( t \), we denote by \( R_t \) the \( K \)-vector space of homogeneous polynomials of \( R \) of degree \( t \), for every subset \( \Gamma \subset R \), we let \( \Gamma_t := \Gamma \cap R_t \) and denote by \( (\Gamma_t) \) the \( K \)-vector space generated by \( \Gamma_t \).

**Definition 1.1.** A subset \( L \subset \mathbb{T}_t \) is a reverse lexicographic segment (revlex segment, for short) if, for every \( \tau \in L \) and \( \tau' \in \mathbb{T}_t \), \( \tau' > \tau \) implies that \( \tau' \) belongs to \( L \) (see the paper [6] for other types of segments).

We refer to [24, 26] for definitions and results about Hilbert functions of standard graded \( K \)-algebras. When we consider a homogeneous ideal \( I \subset R \) and the Hilbert function \( H_{R/I} \) of \( R/I \), we equivalently say “the Hilbert function of \( I \)”.

Given a monomial ideal \( J \subset R \), we denote by \( B_J \) the minimal monomial basis of \( J \) and by \( \mathcal{N}(J) \) the sous-escalier of \( J \), that is the set \( \mathbb{T} \setminus J \) of terms of \( R \) outside \( J \). Recall that, for every integer \( t \), the cardinality of \( \mathcal{N}(J)_t \) coincides with the value of the Hilbert function of \( R/J \) at the degree \( t \).

**Definition 1.2.** A monomial ideal \( J \subset R \) is a reverse lexicographic ideal (revlex ideal, for short) if \( J_t \cap \mathbb{T} \) is a revlex segment, for every degree \( t \). A monomial ideal \( J \subset R \) is an almost reverse lexicographic ideal (or weakly reverse lexicographic ideal or almost revlex ideal, for short) if, for every minimal generator \( \tau \in B_J \) of \( J \), a term \( \tau' \in \mathbb{T}_{\deg(\tau)} \) belongs to \( J \) if \( \tau' > \tau \) (see [8]).

**Example 1.3.** Let \( H \) be the Hilbert function of the general rational curve of degree 5 in \( \mathbb{P}^3_K \). The following almost revlex ideal \( J = (x_3^3, x_2^2x_1, x_2x_1^2, x_1^3, x_2^2x_1^2) \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_4] \) has Hilbert function \( H \) and is not a revlex ideal because \( J_4 \cap \mathbb{T} \) is not a revlex segment.
Remark 1.4. If $J$ is an almost revlex ideal in the polynomial ring in $n - 1$ variables $K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}] \subset R$, then the ideal $JR$ is an almost revlex ideal too.

**Definition 1.5.** A monomial ideal $J \subset R$ is strongly stable if, for all $\tau \in J$ and for every variable $x_i$ such that $\tau$ is divisible by $x_i$, the term $\frac{x_i^\tau}{x_i}$ belongs to $J$ for every $x_j \succ x_i$.

**Remark 1.6.** (See [13, Definitions 8 and 10, and Remark 11], for example). A revlex ideal is an almost revlex ideal. If $J, J' \subset S$ are almost revlex ideals such that $H_{S/J}(t) = H_{S/J'}(t)$ for every $t$, then $J = J'$. An almost revlex ideal is strongly stable, in particular a revlex ideal is strongly stable and an ideal generated by a revlex segment is strongly stable too.

If $I \subset R$ is a homogeneous ideal of $R$, we denote by $I_{\leq t}$ the ideal generated in $R$ by the homogeneous polynomials of $I$ of degree $\leq t$ and by $\alpha_t := \min\{t : H_{R/I}(t) < (t + n - 1)\}$ the initial degree of $I$. Moreover, we denote by $\text{reg}(I)$ the regularity of $I$, that is the minimal integer $m$ such that the $h$-th syzygy module of $I$ is generated in degrees $\leq m + h$, for every $h \geq 0$.

If $J \subset R$ is a strongly stable ideal, then the first expansion of $\mathcal{N}(J)_t$ is $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}(J)_t) := T_{t+1} \setminus \{\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\} \cdot J_t\}$.

**Remark 1.7.** [17, 18, 19] If $J \subset R$ is a strongly stable ideal, then it is well-known that, for every degree $t$, the first expansion $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}(J)_t)$ of $\mathcal{N}(J)_t$ is equal to $\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{J}_{\leq t})_{t+1}$. The first expansion can be directly computed without repetitions and in increasing order with respect to the reverse lexicographic order as follows, where in square brackets we denote a list of terms of $T_t$ that is increasingly ordered with respect to $\succ$:

$$
\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}(J)_t) = \bigsqcup_{i=0}^{n-1} x_{n-i} \cdot [\tau \in \mathcal{N}(J)_t : \min(\tau) \leq n - i].
$$

Thus, if $\ell$ is an integer such that $\mathcal{N}(J)_\ell \cap K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}] = \emptyset$ and $H$ is the Hilbert function of $R/J$, then for every $t \geq \ell$ we immediately obtain:

(i) $\mathcal{N}(J)_t \cap K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}] = \emptyset$,

(ii) $H(t) \geq H(t + 1)$.

This is a weaker situation than that considered in [18, Proposition 2.13].

Given a homogeneous ideal $I \subset R$, we denote by $\text{in}(I)$ its initial ideal with respect to the degree revlex term order. The ideals $I$ and $\text{in}(I)$ have the same Hilbert function.

Referring to [9, Section 15.9], we recall the well-known result that there is a non-empty open subset $\mathcal{A}$ of the general linear group $\text{GL}_K(n)$ of the invertible matrices of order $n$ with entries in $K$ such that $\text{in}(g(I))$ is a constant monomial ideal, for every $g \in \mathcal{A}$. This constant ideal $\text{in}(g(I))$ is denoted by $\text{gin}(I)$ and called the generic initial ideal of $I$. In our setting, $\text{gin}(I)$ is invariant under the action of the Borel subgroup of lower-triangular invertible matrices, hence it is a Borel-fixed ideal. A strongly stable ideal is Borel-fixed and the converse holds in characteristic 0.

Following [23, 22], the set $\prod_{i=1}^r R_{d_i}$ of sequences $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ of $r$ homogeneous polynomials of degrees $d_1, \ldots, d_r$ can be considered as an affine space in which the coordinates of the points are the coefficients of the polynomials in the sequence. Thus, we can say that a property of a sequence of homogeneous polynomials $f_1, \ldots, f_r$ is generic if it holds on a nonempty Zariski-open subset of $\prod_{i=1}^r R_{d_i}$ and that a generic property holds for a generic sequence of forms or for generic forms. We have that $n$ generic forms in $R$ generate a complete intersection ideal $I \subset R$ (see [23, page 531] or [22, page 582]).
Remark 1.8. Referring to [16], a proper ideal \( I \) in a Noetherian ring is called a complete intersection if the length \( \mu(I) \) of the shortest system of minimal generators of \( I \) is equal to the height \( h(I) \) of \( I \). A proper ideal \( I \) that is generated by a regular sequence in a Noetherian ring is a complete intersection and the converse holds if the ring is Cohen-Macaulay, like a polynomial ring over a field is [16, Definition 3.11, Corollary 5.11, Theorem 3.14].

2. Preliminary results

In this section, we formulate in terms of generic forms some results that are well-known for complete intersections and which have some important consequences for our aims.

Proposition 2.1. The Hilbert function \( H \) of an ideal \( I \subset R \) generated by \( n \) generic forms of degrees \( d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_n \) is symmetric and the regularity of \( I \) is \( \text{reg}(I) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_j - n + 1 \).

Proof. We know that \( n \) generic forms in \( R \) generate an Artinian complete intersection and a complete intersection is Gorenstein. Then, the first assertion is a consequence of [7, Theorem (Hilbert Functions under Liaison)]. The second assertion directly follows from the shape of the minimal free resolution of a complete intersection, which is obtained from a Koszul complex [14, Example 13.16]. \( \square \)

Example 2.2.

(a) The Hilbert function \( H \) of the ideal \( I \) generated by 3 generic forms of degree 2 in \( K[x_1, x_2, x_3] \) assumes the values \( H(0) = 1, H(1) = 3, H(2) = 3, H(3) = 1, \) and \( H(t) = 0 \) for every \( t \geq 4 \). Indeed, \( H \) is symmetric and \( \text{reg}(I) = 4 \). The function \( H \) also is the Hilbert function of the revlex ideal \( J = (x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3^2, x_3^4) \). We have \( \text{gin}(J) = J \) because \( J \) is strongly stable, and hence Borel-fixed.

(b) The Hilbert function \( H \) of the ideal generated by 4 generic forms of degree 2 in \( K[x_1, \ldots, x_4] \) assumes the values \( H(0) = 1, H(1) = 4, H(2) = 6, H(3) = 4, H(4) = 1, \) and \( H(t) = 0 \) for every \( t \geq 5 \). Indeed, \( H \) is symmetric and \( \text{reg}(I) = 5 \). The function \( H \) also is the Hilbert function of the revlex ideal \( J = (x_1^2, x_1x_2, x_2^2, x_1x_3, x_2x_3^2, x_3^3, x_2x_3x_4, x_3^4x_4, x_1x_4^3, x_2x_4^3, x_3x_4^3, x_4^4) \).

The following very classical result connects the Hilbert function of a \( K \)-algebra with the Hilbert function of a general hypersurface section (for example, see [14, Lecture 13]).

Lemma 2.3. If \( M \subset R \) is a homogeneous ideal and \( F \in R \) is a form of degree \( d \) that is not a zero-divisor in \( R/M \), then \( H_{R/M}(t) - H_{R/M}(t-d) = H_{R/(I,F)}(t) \), for every \( t \).

Proof. It is enough to apply the additive property of a Hilbert function on the short exact sequence \( 0 \longrightarrow \left( \frac{R}{M} \right)_{t-d} \xrightarrow{F} \left( \frac{R}{M} \right)_{t} \longrightarrow \left( \frac{R}{(M,F)} \right)_{t} \longrightarrow 0 \). \( \square \)

Proposition 2.4. Let \( H \) be the Hilbert function of the ideal \( I \subset R \) generated by \( n \) generic forms of degrees \( d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_{n-1} \leq d_n \) and \( H' \) the Hilbert function of the ideal \( I' \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}] \) generated by \( n-1 \) generic forms of degrees \( d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_{n-1} \). For every \( t \)

\[
(2.1) \quad H(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{t} H'(j) - \sum_{j=0}^{t-d_n} H'(j).
\]

In particular, \( \Delta H(t) = H'(t) - H'(t-d_n) \).
Proof. The Hilbert function of \( J' := \text{gin}(I')R \) is \( \sum_{j=0}^{t} H'(j) \), for every \( t \). We can also observe that \( H(t) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{t} H'(j) \), for every \( t \geq 0 \), because \( I \) contains the ideal \( \bar{I} \) generated by the given first \( n-1 \) generic forms of \( I \) and \( I \) has the same Hilbert function as \( J' \). By applying Lemma 2.3 to \( M = \bar{I} \) and \( I = (M, F) \), we obtain formula (2.1).

**Notation 2.5.** Let \( d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_n \) be \( n \) positive integers. For every \( 1 \leq i \leq n \), we will need the following notation:

- \( m_i := (\sum_{j=1}^{i} d_j) - i \);
- \( \bar{u}_1 := 0 \) and \( \bar{u}_i := \min\left\{ \left\lfloor \frac{m_i}{2} \right\rfloor, m_{i-1} \right\} \) for \( i > 1 \).

The following result is a weaker version of [23, Theorem 1 and Corollary 2], where the behavior of the Hilbert function \( H \) of a complete intersection is precisely described. Here, we only recall the conditions we need in order to prove our main result.

**Theorem 2.6.** The Hilbert function \( H \) of an ideal \( I \subset R \) generated by \( n \) generic forms of degrees \( d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_n \) is strictly increasing in the range \( [0, \bar{u}_n] \) and is decreasing in the range \( [\bar{u}_n, \text{reg}(I)] \).

**Proof.** We can refer to [23, Theorem 1 and Corollary 2], because \( n \) generic forms in \( R \) generate a complete intersection. \( \square \)

**Corollary 2.7.** In the same setting of Proposition 2.4, we have:

1. \( H(t + 1) + H'(t) - H'(t + 1) + H'(t - d_n + 1) - H'(t - d_n) = H(t) + H'(t) - H'(t - d_n) \), for every \( t \);
2. if \( d_n \geq \bar{u}_n \), then \( H'(t + 1 - d_n) - H'(t + 1) \geq 0 \), for every \( t \geq d_n \);
3. if \( d_n < \bar{u}_n \), then \( H'(t + 1) - H'(t + 1 - d_n) > 0 \), for every \( d_n \leq t < \bar{u}_n \).

**Proof.** Item (i) is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.4. Items (ii) and (iii) follow from item (i) and Theorem 2.6. \( \square \)

**Example 2.8.** If \( n = 4 \) and \( d_1 = 4, d_2 = 5, d_3 = 7, d_4 = 8 \), then \( m_1 = 3, m_2 = 7, m_3 = 13, m_4 = 20, \bar{u}_2 = 3, \bar{u}_3 = 6, \bar{u}_4 = 10 \) and the Hilbert functions of Proposition 2.4 are:

| \( t \) | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| \( H(t) \) | 1 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 34 | 51 | 70 | 89 | 105 | 116 | 120 | 116 | 105 | 89 | 70 | ... |
| \( H'(t) \) | 1 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 17 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 14 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | ... |
| \( \sum_{j=0}^{t} H'(j) \) | 1 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 34 | 51 | 70 | 89 | 106 | 120 | 130 | 136 | 139 | 140 | 140 | ... |

3. Main result

Let \( d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_{n-1} \leq d_n \) be \( n \) positive integers. In this section, we continue to denote by \( H \) the Hilbert function of the ideal \( I \) generated by \( n \) generic forms in \( R \) of degrees \( d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_{n-1} \leq d_n \) and by \( H' \) the Hilbert function of the ideal \( I' \) generated by \( n-1 \) generic forms in \( K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}] \) of degrees \( d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_{n-1} \).

We will prove that under the assumption (\( \star \)), i.e. \( d_i \geq \bar{u}_{i-1} + 1 \) for every \( i \geq 4 \), there exists the almost revlex ideal \( J \) with Hilbert function \( H \) and the terms of degree \( t \) outside \( J \) are divisible by \( x_n \), for every \( t \geq \bar{u}_n + 1 \). We will repeatedly use Remark 1.7.

From now, we denote by \( J^{[i]} \) the generic initial ideal of the ideal generated by \( i \) generic forms of degrees \( d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_i \) in \( K[x_1, \ldots, x_i] \), for every \( 1 \leq i \leq n \).

**Proposition 3.1.** (Base Case) If \( n = 2 \), there exists the revlex ideal in \( K[x_1, x_2] \) with Hilbert function \( H \). In particular, \( \alpha_{J^{[1]}} = d_1 = \bar{u}_2 + 1 \).
Lemma 3.2. Let $1 < d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_{n-1} \leq d_n$ be $n \geq 3$ positive integers. Then:

(i) $d_3 \geq d_2 \geq \bar{u} + 1$.
(ii) If $\bar{u}_n \geq t \geq d_n$, then $\bar{u}_{n-1} > t - d_n$.
(iii) If $\bar{u}_n \leq \bar{u}_{n-1}$ then $d_1 = d_n$, $n$ is odd and $d_1 = 2$.

Proof. For item (i), it is enough to observe that $\bar{u}_2 = d_1 - 1 \leq d_2 - 1$ by the definition.

For item (ii), the hypotheses are $\lfloor \frac{m_n}{2} \rfloor \geq t \geq d_n$ and $m_{n-1} \geq t \geq d_n$. Then, we have $\lfloor \frac{m_n}{2} \rfloor = [d_1 + \cdots + d_{n-1} - n + 1] + 1 \geq d_n - m_{n-1} - d_n \geq t - d_n$.

For item (iii), on the contrary assume $d_1 < d_n$. We have four possible cases.

If $\bar{u}_n = \lfloor \frac{m_n}{2} \rfloor \leq m_{n-1}$ and $\bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 = \lfloor \frac{m_n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, then $\bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 = [d_1 + \cdots + d_{n-1} - n + 1] + 1 \leq \lfloor \frac{m_n}{2} \rfloor$, because $1 - d_n \leq -2$ is impossible.

If $\bar{u}_n = \lfloor \frac{m_n}{2} \rfloor \leq m_{n-1}$ and $\bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 = \lfloor \frac{m_n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, then $\bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 \leq \lfloor \frac{m_n}{2} \rfloor + 1 \leq \lfloor \frac{m_n}{2} \rfloor$, as before.

If $\bar{u}_n = m_{n-1} - \lfloor \frac{m_n}{2} \rfloor$ and $\bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 = \lfloor \frac{m_n}{2} \rfloor + 1$, then $m_{n-2} + 1 = d_1 + \cdots + d_{n-2} - n + 2 + 1 \leq m_{n-1}$, because $2 - d_{n-1} \leq 0$.

If $\bar{u}_n = m_{n-1} - \lfloor \frac{m_n}{2} \rfloor$ and $\bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 = \lfloor \frac{m_n}{2} \rfloor + 1 < m_{n-2} + 1$, we have $\bar{u}_n \leq \bar{u}_{n-1}$ only if $d_1 + \cdots + d_n \leq n - 1$, that is impossible.

We now have $d_1 = d_n$ and let $d := d_1$. By straightforward calculations, we obtain $\bar{u}_n = \lfloor \frac{n(d-1)}{2} \rfloor$ and $\bar{u}_{n-1} = \lfloor \frac{(n-1)d-n+1}{2} \rfloor$. Moreover, under the given hypothesis, the integer $n$ must be odd and $d = 2$.

Example 3.3. In order to have an example in which the hypothesis of the statement of Lemma 3.2(iii) holds, we can consider $n = 3$, and then $\bar{u}_3 = 1 - \bar{u}_2$ and $2 = d_1 = d_2 = d_3$.

Proposition 3.4. (Core of the Inductive Step) Let $\bar{u}_n \geq t \geq d_n \geq \bar{u}_{n-1} + 1$ and $\bar{J} = \bar{J}_{t+1} \subset R$ be an almost revlex ideal with $H_{R/\bar{J}}(h) = H(h)$, for every $h \leq t$, and $\mathcal{N}(\bar{J})_{t+1}$ consisting of

- $H(t-1)$ terms with minimal variable $x_n$,
- $H'(t) - H'(t - d_n)$ terms with minimal variable $x_{n-1}$.

Then, we can construct an almost revlex ideal $J = J_{t+1}$ so that $H_{R/J}(h) = H(h)$ for every $h \leq t + 1$ and

(1) if $\bar{u}_n > t$, then $\mathcal{N}(J)_{t+1}$ consists of

- $H(t)$ terms with minimal variable $x_n$,
- $H'(t+1) - H'(t + 1 - d_n)$ terms with minimal variable $x_{n-1}$;

(2) if $\bar{u}_n = t$, then $\mathcal{N}(J)_{\bar{u}_{n+1}}$ consists of terms with minimal variable $x_n$.

Proof. In both cases the first expansion $E(\mathcal{N}(\bar{J})_t)$ consists of

- $H(t) = H(t-1) + H'(t) - H'(t - d_n)$ terms with minimal variable $x_n$,
- $H'(t) - H'(t - d_n)$ terms with minimal variable $x_{n-1}$,

which are $N := H(t + 1) + H'(t) - H'(t + 1) + H'(t + 1 - d_n) - H'(t - d_n)$ terms of degree $t + 1$, by Corollary 2.7(i).
If \( t < \bar{u}_n \), then \( N \geq H(t + 1) \), because \( H'(t) - H'(t + 1) \geq 0 \) by Theorem 2.6, being \( t \geq d_n \geq \bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 \), and \( H'(t+1-d_n) - H'(t-d_n) > 0 \) by Theorem 2.6, being \( t-d_n+1 \leq \bar{u}_{n-1} \) thanks to Lemma 3.2(ii). Hence, we can remove from \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}(\tilde{J})_t) \) exactly \( s := N - H(t+1) \) terms that by construction we can choose to be the highest possible \( \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_s \) divisible by \( x_{n-1} \) because \( N - H(t+1) = H'(t) - H'(t+1) + H'(t+1-d_n) - H'(t-d_n) \leq H'(t) - H'(t-d_n) \) by Corollary 2.7(iii). Thus, we define \( J \) so that \( J_{\leq t} = \tilde{J}_{\leq t} \), \( J_{t+1} := \langle J_{t+1} \cup \{ \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_s \} \rangle \), hence \( J \) is almost revlex and \( \mathcal{N}(J)_{t+1} \) consists of

- \( H(t) \) terms with minimal variable \( x_n \).
- \( H'(t+1) - H'(t + 1 - d_n) \) terms with minimal variable \( x_{n-1} \).

If \( t = \bar{u}_n \), we now show that the number \( H'(\bar{u}_n) - H'(\bar{u}_n - d_n) \) of terms with minimal variable \( x_{n-1} \) in \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}(\tilde{J})_{\bar{u}_n}) \) is lower than or equal to the number \( s \) of terms of degree \( \bar{u}_n + 1 \) that we need to remove from the first expansion \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}(\tilde{J})_{\bar{u}_n}) \). By Corollary 2.7(i) we have

\[
H(\bar{u}_n + 1) + H'(\bar{u}_n) - H'(\bar{u}_n + 1) + H'(\bar{u}_n + 1 - d_n) - H'(\bar{u}_n - d_n) =
\]

and, being \( H(\bar{u}_n) \geq H(\bar{u}_n + 1) \) due to Theorem 2.6, we obtain the claim. Denoting by \( \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_s \) the greatest terms in \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}(\tilde{J})_{\bar{u}_n}) \), we define \( J \) so that \( J_{\leq \bar{u}_n} = \tilde{J}_{\leq \bar{u}_n} \), \( J_{\bar{u}_n+1} := \langle J_{\bar{u}_n+1} \cup \{ \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_s \} \rangle \), hence \( J \) is almost revlex and every term in \( \mathcal{N}(J)_{\bar{u}_n+1} \) has minimal variable \( x_n \).

**Proposition 3.5.** (Ending of the Inductive Step) Let \( t > \bar{u}_n \) and \( \tilde{J} = \tilde{J}_{\leq t} \subset R \) be an almost revlex ideal with \( H_{R/J}(h) = H(h) \), for every \( h \leq t \), and \( \mathcal{N}(\tilde{J})_t \) consisting of terms with minimal variable \( x_n \). Then, we can construct the almost revlex ideal \( J \) so that, for every \( v \geq t \), \( H_{R/J}(v) = H(v) \) and every term in \( \mathcal{N}(J)_v \) is divisible by \( x_n \).

**Proof.** By the hypothesis, \( \mathcal{N}(\tilde{J})_t \) consists of \( H(t) \) terms with minimal variable \( x_n \). Then, the first expansion \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}(\tilde{J})_t) \) exactly contains \( H(t) \) terms of degree \( v := t+1 \) with minimal variable \( x_n \), by Remark 1.7. Being \( v > \bar{u}_n \), we have \( H(v-1) \geq H(v) \), by Theorem 2.6. Letting \( s := H(v-1) - H(v) \), we take the greatest \( s \) terms \( \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_s \) in \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}(\tilde{J})_t) \) and define \( J \) so that \( J_{\leq t} = \tilde{J}_{\leq t} \), \( J_v := \langle J_v \cup \{ \tau_1, \ldots, \tau_s \} \rangle \), hence \( J \) is almost revlex and \( \mathcal{N}(J)_v \) consists of terms with minimal variable \( x_n \). We repeat this construction until \( v = \text{reg}(J^{[\bar{u}]} \rangle) \), so that \( H(v) = 0 \).

**Lemma 3.6.** Assume \( 1 < d = d_1 = d_2 = \cdots = d_n \) and \( n \geq 3 \).

(i) If \( d \geq d_{i-1} + 1 \) for every \( i \geq 4 \), then either \( n = 3 \) or \( n = 4 \) and \( d = 2 \).

(ii) If \( \bar{u}_i \geq d \geq \bar{u}_{i-1} + 1 \) for every \( i \geq 3 \), then \( n = 3 \) and \( d \geq 3 \).

**Proof.** For item (i), from Lemma 3.2(i) we have \( d_3 = d \geq \bar{u}_2 + 1 = d \) and, if \( d_4 = d \geq \bar{u}_3 + 1 = \lfloor \frac{3d-3}{2} \rfloor + 1 = \lfloor \frac{3d-1}{2} \rfloor \), then \( d = 2 \). Moreover, we have \( 2 = d_5 < \bar{u}_4 + 1 \). For item (ii), we observe that \( \bar{u}_3 = \lfloor \frac{3d-3}{2} \rfloor \geq d \) only if \( d \geq 3 \).

**Proposition 3.7.** (Inductive Step) Let \( n \geq 3 \) and \( \bar{u}_n \geq d_n \geq \bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 \). If there is the almost revlex ideal \( J \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}] \) with Hilbert function \( H' \) and all terms in \( \mathcal{N}(J)_t \) divisible by \( x_{n-1} \), for every \( t \geq \bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 \), then there is the almost revlex ideal \( J \subset R \) with Hilbert function \( H \) and all terms in \( \mathcal{N}(J)_t \) divisible by \( x_n \), for every \( t \geq \bar{u}_n + 1 \).

**Proof.** Excluding the case \( d_1 = \cdots = d_n = 1 \), which is obvious, we have \( 1 < d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_n \).
Recall that \( H'(t) \) is the Hilbert function of the ideal \( I' \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}] \) generated by \( n - 1 \) generic forms in \( K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}] \). By the hypothesis, there exists the almost revlex ideal \( J \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}] \) with Hilbert function \( H'(t) \) and all terms in \( \mathcal{N}(J)_t \) divisible by \( x_{n-1} \), for every \( t \geq \bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 \). Consider the ideal \( \bar{J} := \bar{J}R \subset R \).

Recall that \( H(t) \) denotes the Hilbert function of an ideal generated by \( n \) generic forms in \( R \) of degrees \( d_1 \leq \cdots \leq d_n \). Starting from \( \bar{J} \), we now construct the almost revlex ideal \( J \) with Hilbert function \( H(t) \) such that all terms in \( \mathcal{N}(J)_t \) are divisible by \( x_n \), for every \( t \geq \bar{u}_n + 1 \). We transform \( \bar{J} \) by removing suitable terms from the sous-escalier of \( \bar{J} \) at every degree \( t \geq d_n \), in order to decrease its Hilbert function, which is greater than \( H(t) \) by Proposition 2.4.

From the hypothesis, for every \( t \geq d_n \geq \bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 > 1 \), \( \mathcal{N}(\bar{J})_t \) consists of \( H'(t) \) terms with minimal variable \( x_{n-1} \) and \( \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} H'(j) \) terms with minimal variable \( x_n \).

We begin our construction by setting \( J_t = \tilde{J}_t \), for every \( t < d_n \), and observing that \( H(d_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{d_n} H'(j) - H'(0) \). Hence, at degree \( t = d_n \) we need to remove only \( 1 = H'(0) \) term from \( \mathcal{N}(\tilde{J})_{d_n} \). From the hypothesis we have \( d_n \leq \bar{u}_n \leq m_{n-1} = \text{reg}(J^{[n-1]}) - 1 \). Then, we can choose the highest possible term \( \tau \) in \( \mathcal{N}(J'_{d_n}) \) divisible by \( x_{n-1} \), because \( H'(d_n) \neq 0 \). So, we define \( J_{d_n} := \{ \bar{J}_{d_n} \cup \{ \tau \} \} \) and obtain \( \mathcal{N}(J)_{d_n} \) consisting of

\[
\begin{align*}
H(d_n - 1) & \text{ terms with minimal variable } x_n, \\
H'(d_n) - H'(0) & \text{ terms with minimal variable } x_{n-1}.
\end{align*}
\]

Now, we can assume to have \( J_{\leq t} \) satisfying the hypotheses of Proposition 3.4.

If \( t < \bar{u}_n \), then we are in the case (1) of Proposition 3.4, and if \( t + 1 < \bar{u}_n \) then we can apply again Proposition 3.4.

If \( t = \bar{u}_n \), then we are in the case (2) of Proposition 3.4 and, for every \( t \geq \bar{u}_n + 1 \), we obtain \( J_{\leq t} \) with \( \mathcal{N}(J)_t \) only consisting of terms with minimal variable \( x_n \), applying Proposition 3.5.

**Theorem 3.8.** (Main Result) Assume \( d_i \geq \bar{u}_{i-1} + 1 \), for every \( i \geq 4 \). Then, there exists the almost revlex ideal \( J \subset R \) with Hilbert function \( H(t) \) and all terms in \( \mathcal{N}(J)_t \) are divisible by \( x_n \), for every \( t \geq \bar{u}_n + 1 \).

**Proof.** By induction on \( n \), if \( n = 2 \) the statement holds by Proposition 3.1. Assume \( n \geq 3 \). By Lemma 3.6, we have either \( d_n > d_1 \) or \( n = 3 \) or \( n = 4 \) and \( 2 = d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = d_4 \). For this last case, we refer to Example 2.2(b) where \( \bar{u}_4 + 1 = d_4 = 2 \). So, we now have \( d_n > d_1 \) or \( n = 3 \). By the hypothesis, there exists the almost revlex ideal \( J \subset K[x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}] \) with Hilbert function \( H'(t) \) and all terms in \( \mathcal{N}(J)_t \) divisible by \( x_{n-1} \), for every \( t \geq \bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 \).

Take the ideal \( \tilde{J} := \bar{J}R \subset R \) and let \( J_t := \tilde{J}_t \), for every \( t < d_n \). We distinguish four cases.

If \( \bar{u}_n \geq d_n \geq \bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 \), then we argue as in Proposition 3.7.

If \( d_n \geq \bar{u}_n + 1 \) and \( \bar{u}_n + 1 \leq \bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 \), then by Lemma 3.6(i) and (iii) we have \( d_n = d_1 \), \( n = 3 \) and \( d_1 = 2 \). For this case we refer to Example 2.2(a).

If \( d_n \geq \bar{u}_n + 1 > \bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 \) and \( d_n \geq \text{reg}(J^{[n-1]}) \), then \( \mathcal{N}(	ilde{J})_{d_n} = \mathcal{N}(ar{J}_{\leq d_{n-1}})_{d_n} \) only consists of terms with minimal variable \( x_n \) and we can apply Proposition 3.5. Moreover, we have \( H(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{d_n} H'(t) \), for every \( t < d_n \), and then \( \text{reg}(J^{[n-1]}) = \bar{u}_n + 1 = d_n \).

If \( d_n \geq \bar{u}_n + 1 > \bar{u}_{n-1} + 1 \) and \( d_n < \text{reg}(J^{[n-1]}) \) then we can begin our construction as in the proof of Proposition 3.7, as follows. We have \( H(d_n) = \sum_{j=0}^{d_n} H'(j) - H'(0) \) so that at degree \( t = d_n \) we need to remove only \( 1 = H'(0) \) term from \( \mathcal{N}(	ilde{J})_{d_n} \). Assuming
$d_n \leq \text{reg}(J^{n-1}) - 1$, then we can choose the highest possible term $\tau$ in $\mathcal{N}(\overline{J})_{d_n}$ divisible by $x_{n-1}$, because $H'(d_n) \neq 0$. So, we define $J_{d_n} := (\overline{J}_{d_n} \cup \{\tau\})$ and obtain $J$ almost degrevlex with Hilbert function $H(t)$ for every $t \leq d_n$ and $\mathcal{N}(J)_{d_n}$ consisting of

\[
H(d_n - 1) \text{ terms with minimal variable } x_n,
H'(d_n) - H'(0) \text{ terms with minimal variable } x_{n-1}.
\]

By Corollary 2.7(i) we have:

\[
H(d_n) + H'(d_n - 1) - H'(0) - H'(-1) = H(d_n - 1) + H'(d_n - 1) - H'(-1)
\]

hence $H(d_n) - H'(d_n) + H'(0) = H(d_n - 1)$ and $H'(d_n) - H'(0) \leq 0$ because $H(d_n - 1) \geq H(d_n)$ by Theorem 2.6. In particular, we obtain $H'(d_n) - H'(0) = 0$ because $H'(d_n) > 0$ and $H'(0) = 1$. Thus, we can repeatedly apply Proposition 3.5 obtaining that all the terms of degree $t$ outside $J$ have minimal variable $x_n$, for every $t \geq d_n = \text{reg}(J^{n-1}) - 1$. Further, $d_n = \text{reg}(J^{n-1}) - 1$ and $H(d_n) = H(d_n - 1)$. Moreover, we have $H(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{t} H'(t)$, for every $t < d_n = \text{reg}(J^{n-1}) - 1$, and then $\text{reg}(J^{n-1}) - 1 = \bar{u}_n + 1 = d_n$ by the property of $\bar{u}_n$ described in Theorem 2.6.

\[\square\]

Remark 3.9. In [22], K. Pardue characterizes all the Hilbert functions that admit an almost revlex ideal performing a hyperplane section by $x_n$ and using the formula of Eliashou and Kervaire [10] for the Hilbert series of a stable ideal, which is based on the shape of the minimal generators of the ideal.

Remark 3.10. We highlight that, given a degree $t$, the condition that the terms in $\mathcal{N}(J)_t$ are divisible by $x_n$ is weaker than the condition that $J_t \cap \mathbb{T}$ is a revlex segment. Indeed, we can construct the almost revlex ideal $J$ with the same Hilbert function of a complete intersection generated by forms of degrees $d_1 = d_2 = d_3 = 4, d_4 = 5, d_5 = 6$ in $K[x_1, \ldots, x_5]$, although Theorem 3.8 does not apply to this case. We can see that $\mathcal{N}(J)_{10}$ only contains terms divisible by $x_5$, but $J_{10} \cap \mathbb{T}$ is not a revlex segment. Instead, in next Example 3.11, we construct an almost revlex ideal which becomes a revlex segment at the minimal degree at which its sous-escalier only contains terms divisible by the last variable.

Example 3.11. The Hilbert function $H$ of a complete intersection generated by 3 forms of degrees $d_1 = 3, d_2 = 4, d_3 = 4$ in $K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$H(t)$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have $\bar{u}_2 = 2$ and $\bar{u}_3 = 4$ and, as expected, there exists the following almost revlex ideal $J \subset K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ with Hilbert function $H$:

\[
J = (x_1^3, x_2^3x_1, x_2^3x_1^2, x_2^2x_1^3, x_2^3x_2, x_1^5, x_2x_1^4, x_1^3x_2^2x_1, x_1^3x_2^2x_1^2, x_1^3x_2^2x_1^3, x_1^5x_2x_1, x_1^5x_2x_1^2, x_3^5x_2x_1, x_3^5x_2x_1^2, x_3^5x_2x_1^3, x_3^7x_2x_1^7, x_3^7x_2x_1^8).
\]

We can observe that the term $x_1^2$ belongs to $\mathcal{N}(J)_4$. Hence, there is a term of degree $\bar{u}_3 = 4$ outside $J$ which is not divisible by the last variable $x_3$. Thus, the result of Theorem 3.8 concerning the divisibility of the terms in $\mathcal{N}(J)$ by the last variable is sharp.

In order to give a direct experience of computation, let us show how we obtain $J$ from the almost revlex ideal $J'(x_1^3, x_2^3x_1, x_2^4x_1, x_2^6)K[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, where note that $B_r'$ generates in $K[x_1, x_2]$ the almost revlex ideal having the same Hilbert function as the complete intersection generated by two forms of degrees $d_1 = 3, d_2 = 4$. We have
and \( d_3 = 4 \geq \bar{u}_2 + 1 = 3 \). We let \( J_{\leq 3} = J'_{\leq 3} \) and observe that the first expansion \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}(J))_3 \) contains 9 terms with minimal variable \( x_3 \) and 2 terms with minimal variable \( x_2 \):

\[
x_3^4, x_3^3 x_2, x_3^3 x_1, x_3^2 x_2^2, x_3 x_2 x_1 x_2, x_3 x_2 x_1^2, x_3 x_2^2 x_1, x_3 x_2^2 x_1^2, x_2 x_2 x_1^2, x_2 x_2^2 x_1.
\]

So, we need to remove the greatest term \( x_3^3 x_1 \) from this expansion obtaining \( J_{\leq 4} = J_{\leq 3} + (x_3^3 x_1) \). The first expansion \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}(J))_4 \) contains 10 terms with minimal variable \( x_3 \) and 1 with minimal variable \( x_2 \):

\[
x_3^5, x_3^4 x_2, x_3^4 x_1, x_3^3 x_2^2, x_3^3 x_2 x_1, x_3^2 x_2^3, x_3^2 x_2^2 x_1, x_3^2 x_2 x_1^2, x_3 x_2^2 x_1^2, x_3 x_2 x_1^3, x_2 x_1^4, x_2 x_1^3 x_1.
\]

So, we need to remove the greatest 3 terms \( x_3^3 x_2^2, x_3^3 x_2 x_1, x_3^2 x_2 x_1^2 \) from this expansion obtaining \( J_{\leq 5} = J_{\leq 4} + (x_3^3 x_2^2, x_3^3 x_2 x_1, x_3^2 x_2 x_1^2) \). The first expansion \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}(J))_5 \) contains 9 terms with minimal variable \( x_3 \):

\[
x_3^6, x_3^5 x_2, x_3^5 x_1, x_3^4 x_2^2, x_3^4 x_2 x_1, x_3^3 x_2^3, x_3^3 x_2^2 x_1, x_3^3 x_2 x_1^2, x_3^2 x_2 x_1^3, x_2 x_1^4, x_2 x_1^3 x_1.
\]

So, we need to remove the greatest 3 terms \( x_3^3 x_2 x_1 x_2, x_3^3 x_2 x_1^2, x_3^2 x_2 x_1^3, x_2^2 x_1^4, x_2^2 x_1^3 x_1 \) from this expansion obtaining \( J_{\leq 6} = J_{\leq 5} + (x_3^3 x_2 x_1 x_2, x_3^3 x_2 x_1^2) \). The first expansion \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}(J))_6 \) contains 6 terms with minimal variable \( x_3 \):

\[
x_3^7, x_3^6 x_2, x_3^6 x_1, x_3^5 x_2^2, x_3^5 x_2 x_1, x_3^4 x_2 x_1^2, x_3^3 x_2 x_1^3, x_3 x_2 x_1^4, x_2 x_1^5.
\]

So, we need to remove the greatest 3 terms \( x_3^5 x_2^2, x_3^5 x_2 x_1, x_3^4 x_2 x_1^2 \) from this expansion obtaining \( J_{\leq 7} = J_{\leq 6} + (x_3^5 x_2^2, x_3^5 x_2 x_1, x_3^4 x_2 x_1^2) \). The first expansion \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}(J))_7 \) contains 3 terms with minimal variable \( x_3 \):

\[
x_3^8, x_3^7 x_2, x_3^7 x_1.
\]

So, we need to remove the 2 greatest terms \( x_3^3 x_2^2, x_3^3 x_2 x_1 \) from this expansion obtaining \( J_{\leq 8} = J_{\leq 7} + (x_3^3 x_2^2, x_3^3 x_2 x_1) \). The first expansion \( \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{N}(J))_8 \) contains 1 term with minimal variable \( x_3 \). So we remove this last term \( x_3^3 \) from this expansion obtaining \( J = J_{\leq 8} \).

**Example 3.12.** Theorem 3.8 can be applied to the cases that have been considered in Examples 2.8 and 3.11 and also in the following cases.

If \( n = 4, d_1 = 3, d_2 = 4, d_3 = 4, d_4 = 14 \), then we have \( \bar{u}_2 = 2, \bar{u}_3 = 4, \bar{u}_4 = 8 \) and the Hilbert functions of Proposition 2.4 are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( t )</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>11</th>
<th>12</th>
<th>13</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>15</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( H(t) )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H'(t) )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Sigma_{j=0}^{t} H'(j) )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this case, we have \( d_4 \geq \bar{u}_4 + 1 > \bar{u}_3 + 1 = 3 \). Now we take \( d_4 = 8 \) instead of 14, then we have \( \bar{u}_4 + 1 = \text{reg}(J^{[n-1]}) - 1 = d_4 = 8 \) and

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
| t | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 \\
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|---|
| \( H(t) \) | 1 | 4 | 10 | 19 | 29 | 38 | 44 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 47 | 44 | 38 | 29 | 19 | 10 | 4 | 1
\end{array}
\]
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