Edge currents as a probe of the strongly spin-polarized topological noncentrosymmetric superconductors
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Recently the influence of antisymmetric spin-orbit coupling has been studied in novel topological superconductors such as half-Heslers and artificial hetero-structures. We investigate the effect of Rashba and/or Dresselhaus spin-orbit couplings on the band structure and topological properties of a two-dimensional noncentrosymmetric superconductor. For this goal, the topological helical edge modes are analyzed for different spin-orbit couplings as well as for several superconducting pairing symmetries. To explore the transport properties, we examine the response of the spin-polarized edge states to an exchange field in a superconductor-ferromagnet heterostructure. The broken chiral symmetry causes the uni-directional currents at opposite edges.

I. INTRODUCTION

Discovery of novel phases in the nodal systems has extended the classification of states of matter from the bulk gapped topological insulators to the gapless systems such as topological superconductors and semimetals. The former are new quantum states with unconventional pairing symmetries exhibiting edge modes. These zero-energy gapless modes can host Majorana fermions, which obey non-Abelian statistics unlike bosons and fermions. These exotic edge modes are topologically protected against disorders and perturbations that gives them many promising applications.

One of the most prominent platforms to realize the topological superconductivity is the class of noncentrosymmetric superconductors (NCSs). These materials lack inversion symmetry and are characterized by strong antisymmetric spin-orbit coupling (SOC), which induces a non-trivial topology for the electronic band structure. This leads to the existence of helical Majorana modes, zero-energy flat-bands and surface states. Recently attention has been attracted by the influence of SOC on structure of superconducting gap function and topological nature of superconductors, particularly in electronic and spintronic device design. The topological nature of NCSs and consequently the properties of the accompanied surface states can be controlled by SOC as well as the superconducting gap function. Moreover, SOC and relative amplitudes of singlet- and triplet-pairings in the superconducting gap can strongly affect the spin texture of the edge states in NCSs and eventually the transport properties at the surface. Thus, the symmetries of superconducting gap and SOC strength support the different types of topological surface states in noncentrosymmetric superconductors like LiPt$_3$B, CePt$_3$Si, CeRhSi$_3$, CeIrSi$_3$ and Mo$_3$Al$_2$C.

Depending on the origin, two different types of antisymmetric SOC can be considered in noncentrosymmetric systems, which derived from bulk (Dresselhaus) and structure (Rashba) inversion asymmetry. The effects of the Rashba and Dresselhaus SOCs have been studied in ultra-cold fermions, two-dimensional (2D) NSC systems, Weyl semimetals, transition metal dichalcogenides, and half-Hefers compounds. Recently, the ultra cold fermions and superconducting TMDs such as NbSe$_2$ have shown protected surface states in the presence of a magnetic field as a result of Rashba and/or Dresselhaus. The Dresselhaus contribution has a cubic dependency in momentum while for Rashba it is linear. Exceptionally, in quasi-two dimensional systems, due to the dimensional confinement and symmetry reduction, the leading term for Dresselhaus is linear in momentum. On top of that, regarding the point group symmetry of crystal, many restrictions are imposed to its mathematical form. The linear Dresselhaus SOC can be realized in the point groups such as $D_{2h}$.

In this paper, we investigate the topological properties of the 2D NCSs in the presence of Rashba and/or Dresselhaus antisymmetric spin-orbit couplings. We study the topological edge states using exact diagonalization of Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian on a ribbon geometry. We analyze the response of the spin-polarized edge modes to the exchange field for a superconductor-ferromagnet (FM) junction. Due to the coupling of spin-polarized edge states and exchange field, the edge modes...
may be chirally dispersing depending on the spin polarization direction. Therefore at the end, the response to the exchange field is studied as a nonchiral (unidirectional) charge current at opposite edges.

II. THEORETICAL MODEL HAMILTONIAN

We consider a 2D single band superconductor without an inversion symmetry on the square lattice (lattice parameter set to $1$) and with the Hamiltonian given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} \Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^\dagger \mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}) \Psi_{\mathbf{k}},$$

where $\Psi_{\mathbf{k}} = (c_{\mathbf{k},\uparrow}^\dagger, c_{\mathbf{k},\downarrow}, c_{-\mathbf{k},\uparrow}^\dagger, c_{-\mathbf{k},\downarrow})$ is the Nambu space operator, and

$$\mathcal{H}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\h}(\mathbf{k}) & \Delta(\mathbf{k}) \\ \Delta^\ast(\mathbf{k}) & -\hat{\h}^\ast(-\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma}^\dagger (c_{\mathbf{k},\sigma})$ creates (annihilates) an electron with momentum $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y)$ and spin $\sigma$, and $\Delta(\mathbf{k})$ is the superconducting matrix gap function in spin basis. Furthermore, the normal state Hamiltonian, $\hat{\h}(\mathbf{k})$, is described by the $2 \times 2$ matrix

$$\hat{\h}(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon(\mathbf{k}) \sigma_0 + \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{k}) \cdot \sigma - \mu_B \mathbf{H} \cdot \sigma,$$

Assuming a nearest-neighbor tight binding model with a hopping $t$, for the conduction electron bands with a total band width $W = 8t$ and the chemical potential $\mu$, the dispersion is given by $\epsilon(\mathbf{k}) = -2t(\cos k_x + \cos k_y) - \mu$. Finally the effective field $\mathbf{H} = (H_x, H_y, H_z)$ is introduced with a Zeeman term, $\mathcal{H}_Z = -\mu_B \mathbf{H} \cdot \sigma$.

The broken spatial inversion symmetry induces an antisymmetric SOC originated from bulk or structure inversion asymmetries (BIA and SIA). Microscopically, BIA results from the absence of inversion symmetry in the bulk of the material and leads to the Dresselhaus SOC. However, SIA originates from the inversion asymmetry of the confining potential and generates the Rashba term in the Hamiltonian whose strength can be manipulated by an external electric field. In the mixed condition, fine tuning of Rashba and Dresselhaus SOCs is possible through external gates or doping profile. These SOCs are defined by a characteristic $g$-vector

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{k}) = \alpha \mathbf{g}^R(\mathbf{k}) + \beta \mathbf{g}^D(\mathbf{k}),$$

which is an odd function with respect to $\mathbf{k}$

$$\mathbf{g}(-\mathbf{k}) = -\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{k}),$$

and the Rashba and Dresselhaus $g$-vectors defined by

$$\mathbf{g}^R(\mathbf{k}) = g(\sin k_y, -\sin k_x);$$

$$\mathbf{g}^D(\mathbf{k}) = g(\sin k_x, -\sin k_y).$$

Here, $g$ is the SOC magnitude, and the control parameters $|\alpha|, |\beta| \in [0, 1]$, tune the magnitudes of Rashba and Dresselhaus SOCs.

Antisymmetric SOC, playing the role of a $\mathbf{k}$-dependent magnetic field, leads to a locking of the spin and orbital degrees of freedom. This results in lifting the two-fold spin degeneracy and splits the Fermi surface into two opposite-helicity sheets. In the diagonal helicity basis, the normal Hamiltonian is

$$\hat{\tilde{\h}}(\mathbf{k}) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varepsilon}_+(\mathbf{k}) & 0 \\ 0 & \tilde{\varepsilon}_-(\mathbf{k}) \end{pmatrix},$$

with the following eigenvalues

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_\pm(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon(\mathbf{k})$$

$$\pm \sqrt{\mu_B^2 H_z^2 + \left( g_x(\mathbf{k}) - \mu_B H_x \right)^2 + \left( g_y(\mathbf{k}) - \mu_B H_y \right)^2}. $$

Therefore, in the absence of Zeeman field, the eigenvalues are given by

$$\varepsilon_\pm(\mathbf{k}) = \epsilon(\mathbf{k})$$

$$\pm g \sqrt{(\alpha^2 + \beta^2)(\sin^2 k_x + \sin^2 k_y) + 4\alpha \beta \sin k_x \sin k_y}. $$
Fig. 1 displays the evolution of Fermi surface for the different strength of Rashba and Dresselhaus. For pure Rashba (Dresselhaus), Fermi surface has an isotropic structure in the Brillouin zone (BZ) with $C_{4v}$ point group symmetry. The simultaneous presence of both SOCs leads to reduction of symmetry group to $C_{2v}$ with an anisotropic structure of Fermi surfaces. In two special cases with $\alpha = \pm \beta$, the SOC split bands touch each other at certain directions [11] and [11] with special consequences on topology of the electronic band structure, which will be discussed later.

Due to the broken inversion symmetry the parity is not a well-defined quantum number, therefore the gap function, $\Delta(k)$, has to include both singlet (even-parity) and triplet (odd-parity) components simultaneously, i.e.

$$\Delta(k) = (\psi_k \sigma_0 + \mathbf{d}_k \cdot \sigma)(i\sigma_y),$$

where the spin-singlet and spin-triplet components of the superconducting order parameter are described by

$$\psi_k = r\Delta_0 f(k),$$
$$\mathbf{d}_k = (1-r)\Delta_0 f(k)\mathbf{g}(k),$$

respectively. Here $\Delta_0$ is the superconducting pairing amplitude, and the dimensionless parameter $r$ varies from 0 (pure triplet) to 1 (pure singlet) determining the dominant component in the superconducting state, and $\sigma_i$ $(i = x, y, z)$ are the Pauli matrices in spin space. In the absence of the inversion symmetry the triplet component survives, provided that in the absence of the inversion symmetry the triplet component in the superconducting state, and $\sigma_i$ $(i = x, y, z)$ are the Pauli matrices in spin space. In the absence of the inversion symmetry the triplet component survives, provided that $\mathbf{d}_k$ is aligned with the SOC $\mathbf{g}$-vector, i.e. $\mathbf{d}_k || \mathbf{g}_k$. Moreover, the structure factor $f(k)$ is introduced to describe possible higher orbital angular momentum pairing for the superconducting gap. For a 2D system with $C_{4v}$ point group symmetry, the allowed irreducible representations are $A_1$, $B_1$ and $B_2$. Thus, only the singlet form factors with $k_x k_y$ and $k_x^2 \pm k_y^2$ symmetry are permitted to occur. In the following, we study the topology of electronic structures in prototypical noncentrosymmetric superconductors with (translationally invariant) structure factors

$$f(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & (s\text{-wave}) \\ \sin k_x \sin k_y & (d_{xy}\text{-wave}) \end{cases},$$

Because $\mathbf{d}_k || \mathbf{g}_k$ the odd parity triplet part corresponds to $p$-wave pairing and then the mixed singlet-triplet gap functions $\Delta(k)$ are either of $s + p$ or $d_{xy} + p$ type. Note that the triplet part of the latter has only two sign changes on each of the split Fermi surface sheets, therefore the designation $p$-wave may be used also in this case.

III. TOPOLOGICAL PROPERTIES

In order to study the topology of the electronic band structure and edge modes of a two-dimensional NCS, one has to examine the existence of ingap states. For this purpose, the model Hamiltonian is diagonalized on a ribbon geometry with cylindrical boundary condition, i.e. open and periodic boundaries along $x$- and $y$-directions, respectively. In this configuration, we suppose the 2D system as $N_x$ parallel rods along the $y$-direction. Therefore, the mapping of bulk BZ into rod geometry formalism can be done by a partial Fourier transform of electron creation operator,

$$c_{k,\sigma}^\dagger = \frac{1}{N_x} \sum_n e^{i\mathbf{k}_x \mathbf{x}_n} c_{n, k_y, \sigma}^\dagger.$$

Here $c_{n, k_y, \sigma}^\dagger$ creates an electron in $n$th rod $(n = 1, 2, ..., N_x)$ with momentum $k_y$ and spin $\sigma$.

The Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian within the generalized Nambu space $\Psi_{k_y}^\dagger = (\Phi_{1, k_y}^\dagger, \Phi_{2, k_y}^\dagger, ..., \Phi_{N_x, k_y}^\dagger)$ is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k_y} \Psi_{k_y}^\dagger \mathcal{H}_{BdG}(k_y) \Psi_{k_y},$$

where $\Phi_{n, k_y}^\dagger = (c_{n, k_y, \uparrow}, c_{n+1, k_y, \downarrow})$. Here the matrix form of Bogoliubov-de Gennes Hamiltonian including $4 \times 4$ matrices $T_1$, $T_2$ and $T_2$ is obtained by

$$\mathcal{H}_{BdG}(k_y) = \begin{bmatrix} M & T_1 & T_2 & 0 & \cdots \\ T_1^\dagger & M & T_1 & T_2 & 0 & \cdots \\ T_2^\dagger & T_1^\dagger & M & T_1 & T_2 & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix}.$$

The matrix $M$ stands for intra-rod hopping as well as on-site energies, however, the matrices $T_1$ and $T_2$ describe the inter-rod hopping between the nearest and the next nearest rods, respectively. These are satisfying the following recursive equation on the basis of $\Phi_{n, k_y}^\dagger$:

$$T_2 \Phi_{n-2, k_y, \sigma} + T_1 \Phi_{n-1, k_y, \sigma} + M \Phi_{n, k_y, \sigma} + T_1^\dagger \Phi_{n-1, k_y, \sigma} + T_2^\dagger \Phi_{n-2, k_y, \sigma} = \zeta_n \Phi_{n, k_y, \sigma},$$

with $\Phi_{0, k_y, \sigma} = \Phi_{N_x+1, k_y, \sigma} = 0$ as the boundary condition. Here $\zeta_n$ is a $4 \times 4$ diagonal matrix, whose elements give the energy spectrum of the system in the $n$th rod. Among the solutions of the recursive relation, we are interested in the solutions exponentially decaying along $x$-direction, which manifest the existence of nontrivial topological edge states. The definitions of $M$, $T_1$ and $T_2$ matrices depend on the symmetry of superconducting gap function. Following the discussions before Eq. (9), for an $s + p$-wave superconductor, the intra-rod energy is

$$M = - (\mu + 2t \cos k_y) \tau_z + g \sin k_y (\alpha \sigma_x - \beta \tau_z \sigma_y) - r \Delta_0 \tau_y \sigma_y - (1-r) \Delta_0 \sin k_y (\alpha \tau_x \sigma_z - \beta \tau_y).$$
FIG. 2. (Color online) Energy dispersion of the momentum-resolved and spin-resolved spectral functions for a triplet-dominant noncentrosymmetric superconductor ($r = 0.25$); Left panel represents the $s + p$, and right panel displays the $d_{xy} + p$ pairings. The first row shows the momentum-resolved spectral function, while the second to fourth rows denote $x$-, $y$-, and $z$-components of spin-resolved spectral function, respectively. At each panel, the first, second and third columns exhibit the pure Rashba ($\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$), mixed Rashba and Dresselhaus ($|\alpha| = |\beta| = 1/\sqrt{2}$), and pure Dresselhaus ($\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1$), respectively. Notes: Pure Rashba has no $y$-component, (c and o), and pure Dresselhaus has no $x$-component, (j and v), of spin-polarizations. For $d_{xy} + p$-wave with mixed Rashba-Dresselhaus the spin-polarization vector is in $xy$-plane, (t). In all sub-plots: The vertical axes refer to quasi-particle energy, $-t \leq \omega \leq t$, and the axes of abscissa represent the $k_y \in [-\pi/a, \pi/a]$.

whereas the inter-rod hopping are given by

$$T_1 = -tr_z + \frac{1}{2}g \left( \alpha \tau_z \sigma_y - \beta \tau_x \sigma_x \right) - i(1-r) \Delta_0 \left( \alpha \tau_y - \beta \tau_x \sigma_z \right),$$

and $T_2 = 0$. Furthermore, in a superconducting system with $d_{xy} + p$-wave Cooper pairing, we can find

$$M = - (\mu + 2t \cos k_y) \tau_z + g \sin k_y \left( \alpha \tau_x - \beta \tau_z \sigma_z \right) + \frac{1}{2} (1-r) \Delta_0 \sin k_y \left( \alpha \tau_y - \beta \tau_x \sigma_z \right),$$

$$T_1 = -tr_z + \frac{1}{2}g \left( \alpha \tau_z \sigma_y - \beta \tau_z \sigma_y \right) + \frac{1}{2} r \Delta_0 \sin k_y \sigma_y \tau_y$$

$$+ \frac{i}{2} (1-r) \Delta_0 \sin^2 k_y \left( \alpha \tau_x \sigma_z - \beta \tau_y \right),$$

and

$$T_2 = -\frac{1}{4} (1-r) \Delta_0 \sin k_y \left( \alpha \tau_y - \beta \tau_x \sigma_z \right),$$

where $\tau_i$ ($i = x, y, z$) are the Pauli matrices in the particle-hole space. In our calculations, we set the values of parameters as $t = 2$, $\mu = 4$, $g = 2$, and $\Delta_0 = 0.5$. The latter are still small against the total electronic bandwidth $W = 8t$: $g/W = 1/8$ and $\Delta_0/W = 1/32$.

By use of the Matsubara and retarded Green’s functions for the ribbon geometry as

$$\hat{G}(k_y, i\omega) = [i\omega - H(k_y)]^{-1},$$

and

$$\hat{G}^{ret}(k_y, \omega) = \hat{G}(k_y, i\omega)|_{i\omega \rightarrow \omega + i0^+},$$

one can define the local density of states (LDOS) for the $n$th rod as

$$N_n(\omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k_y} \text{Im} \left[ \text{Tr} \left\{ G^{ret}_{nn}(k_y, \omega) \right\} \right].$$  \ (13)

Calculation of LDOS in the triplet-dominant case ($r = 0.25$) shows that both symmetries realize nontrivially
FIG. 3. (Color online) Same as Fig. 2 except that it is depicted for the singlet-dominant pairing ($r = 0.75$). Notes: Compared to Fig. 2, the singlet-dominant $s + p$-wave shows a trivial topology.

FIG. 4. (Color online) Schematic diagram of the NCS-FM heterostructure considered in this work. The NCS and FM occupy the $z < 0$ and $z > 0$ half spaces, respectively. The FM magnetization, $M$, points in $x$-direction. Then $\mathbf{H} = (z/J/g^2\mu_B)\mathbf{M}$ is the effective exchange field in Eq. (3) generated by the FM magnetization $\mathbf{M}$ (per site) at the FM/NCS interface, here $J = $ FM-exchange constant, $g = g$-factor of ordered moments, and $z = $ coordination number in FM. To avoid the electron tunneling between NCS and FM a very thin insulating barrier is placed at $z = 0$ or a bulk insulating FM phase is used.

To consider the realization of nontrivial topology in the band structure on an NCS, we define the momentum resolved spectral function, which for $n$th rod is given by

$$\rho_n(k_y, \omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \left[ \text{Tr} \left\{ \mathcal{G}_{n}^{\text{ret}}(k_y, \omega) \right\} \right].$$

(14)

Due to the scattering of electron spin by SOC, the topological modes are strongly spin-polarized. Therefore, to study the spin texture of the topological edge states, we obtain the spin-resolved spectral function for $n$th rod as

$$\rho_{\nu}^n(k_y, \omega) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \text{Im} \left[ \text{Tr} \left\{ \tilde{S}_{\nu}^n \mathcal{G}_{n}^{\text{ret}}(k_y, \omega) \right\} \right],$$

(15)

where

$$\tilde{S}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ (1 + \tau_z)\hat{\sigma}_{\nu} - (1 - \tau_z)\hat{\sigma}_{\nu}^* \right],$$

and $\nu = (x, y, z)$. The traces in the Eqs. (13-15) perform over particle-hole and spin spaces. Fig. 2 shows the momentum- and spin-resolved spectral functions for a triplet-dominant $s + p$- (left panel), and $d_{xy} + p$-wave (right panel) NCS. Figs. 2(a & m) present a system with pure Rashba SOC ($\alpha = 1, \beta = 0$) for $s + p$- and $d_{xy} + p$-pairings, respectively. In addition, Figs. 2(e & q) and Figs. 2(i & u) correspond to a NCS with the interplay of Rashba and Dresselhaus with the same strength ($\alpha = \pm \beta = 1/\sqrt{2}$), and with pure Dresselhaus ($\alpha = 0, \beta = 1$), respectively. These results obviously reflect the consequences of superconducting gap on the type of ingap
states, which belong to DIII class of topological superconductors \cite{82,83}. Due to the nodal structure of \( d_{xy} + p \)-wave state, the zero-energy edge modes appear as flat-bands. Note that none of the global topological invariants characterize these flat bands, in contrast to the \( s + p \)-wave state described by the global \( \mathbb{Z}_2 \) number. All of these states are topologically non-trivial and potentially host Majorana fermions, except the case of a \( s + p \)-wave NCS with the same contributions of Rashba and Dresselhaus. As it can be seen in Fig. 1(b & d), two helical bands touch each other at certain directions for \( |\alpha| = |\beta| \). This is equivalent with the local disappearance of SOC \( g \)-vector. Since the triplet component, \( d_k \), is tied to the existence of \( g(k) \), the superconducting gap function should be fully \( s \)-wave with trivial topology for special points on the Fermi surface that justify the condition of \( \sin k_x = -\sin k_y \).

First row in Fig. 3 shows the momentum-resolved spectral function at the surface of a singlet-dominant \( s + p \)-wave (a, e, and i), and \( d_{xy} + p \)-wave (m, q and u) NCS. Because of fully gapped structure, the singlet-dominant \( s + p \)-wave NCS does not show nontrivial topology. Second to fourth rows of Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 represent the \( x- \), \( y- \), and \( z- \) components of spin-resolved spectral functions in NCSs. One can easily observe that the existence of strong SOC causes both electron- and hole-like parts of the edge states exhibiting a distinct spin texture. Therefore, as a result of time-reversal symmetry two counter-propagating modes flow at each edge with opposite spin polarization. Figs. 2 and 3 show that for both pairing symmetries the spin-polarization vectors are in \( xz \)- \((yz-)\) plane for pure Rashba (Dresselhaus). In a \( s + p \)-wave NCS with \( |\alpha| = |\beta| \), the spin polarization vector has all \( x- \), \( y- \) and \( z- \) components, while the \( d_{xy} + p \)-wave one has only \( x- \) and \( y- \) elements.

IV. NONCHIRAL EDGE CURRENTS

In the presence of time-reversal symmetry, there are two helical modes with opposite spin polarizations at each edge of NCSs. These modes propagate in opposite directions with a zero total charge current. Broken time-reversal symmetry together with a slight shift in the energy of edge state result in generation of a net charge current at the edge. In this section, we investigate the edge modes at the interface of an NCS and a ferromagnet (NCS-FM) junction. In a ferromagnet, the spins of individual atoms are coupled by the direct- or super-exchange interactions. The resulting net magnetic moment oriented along the easy direction leads to an effective exchange field \( |H| \) in Eq. (3) in the ordered phase. At an NCS-FM junction it also modifies the energy of spin-polarized states on the NCS side. Schematically, Fig. 4 shows a planar junction between the 2D NCS and the ferromagnet that are separated by a very thin insulating barrier to avoid electron tunneling between the superconducting and ferromagnetic environments. Equivalently the FM bulk may be assumed to be an insulator. We restrict the current study only for the in plane magnetization because of completely different topological behaviors in \( z \)-direction \cite{33}.

Fig. 5 depicts the momentum-resolved spectral functions for the \( s + p \)-wave and \( d_{xy} + p \)-wave NCSs in the presence of an external magnetic (exchange) field in \( x \)-direction with \( \mu_B H_x = 0.005 \). With \( \mu_B H_x/\Delta_0 = 0.001 \) this is still far below the Pauli limiting field 0.7\( \Delta_0 \) of the singlet component so that the superconducting state may be assumed as unaffected. Energies of the left- and right-moving edge states become different for \( s + p \)-wave pairing, and it leads to a chirally dispersed band structure, in contrast to helical modes in Fig. 2. On top of this, the spin-polarized flat-bands shift in opposite direc-
and give a net charge current for the $d_{xy}+p$-pairing [see Fig. 5(d,e,j,k)]. One can easily observe that this energy modification shifts all of the edge states except those with pure Dresselhaus. This can be justified by the fact that the slight shift in the energy is the result of coupling of the exchange field and the spin-polarization at the edge. The edge modes are not influenced by $H_x$, hence $x$-component of the spin-polarization is absent for pure Dresselhaus. Therefore we do not expect to detect non-zero charge current in an NCS with pure Dresselhaus. Similarly, pure Dresselhaus shows a considerable contribution in charge current for the exchange field along $y$-direction, while pure Rashba generate no current.

In presence of exchange field the helical edge modes acquire different velocities that generate a non-zero current density. For $n$th rod, the current density for unit area is given by

$$ I_y^n = -e<v^y_n>, $$

with velocity operator $v^y_n = \partial h(k)/\partial k_y$ described by

$$ v^y_n = 
\left\{-2t \sin k_y \tau_z - g \cos k_y (\alpha \sigma_x - \beta \tau_z \sigma_y)\right\}_{\sigma} \langle c_{n,k_y,\sigma}^\dagger c_{n,k_y,\sigma} \rangle, $$

where $\langle \cdots \rangle$ defines an averaging over all quantum states at zero temperature, and $e$ is the electron charge. The expectation value of the velocity operator is given by

$$ \langle v^y_n \rangle = \frac{1}{N_y} \sum_{k_y} \int_{-\infty}^{0} d\omega \ Tr \left\{ v^y_n \right\}, $$

where $N_y$ is the number of $k_y$ points in the edge Brillouin zone. Since the energy spectrum of Bogoliubov quasiparticles is always particle-hole symmetric around $\mu$, then only states with $\omega < 0$ contribute to the current and the integration over frequency is performed for all filled states.

The total topological current is obtained by doing the summation over contributions from all rods, i.e.

$$ I_y = \sum_{n=1}^{N_y/2} \sum_{k_y} \frac{e}{N_y} \int_{-\infty}^{0} d\omega \ Tr \left[ 2t \sin k_y \sigma_0 (c_{n,k_y,\sigma}^\dagger c_{n,k_y,\sigma}) - g \cos k_y \left( \alpha \sigma_x c_{n,k_y,\sigma}^\dagger - \beta \tau_z \sigma_y c_{n,k_y,\sigma}^\dagger \right) \right], $$

(19)

Using the definition of the momentum- and spin-resolved spectral functions, Eqs. (14 and 15), and Matsubara Green’s function, $G_n(k_y,\omega) = \langle c_{n,k_y,\sigma}^\dagger c_{n,k_y,\sigma} \rangle$, the topological current along $y$-direction at the interface is given by

$$ I_y = \sum_{n=1}^{N_y/2} \sum_{k_y} \frac{e}{N_y} \int_{-\infty}^{0} d\omega \ \left[ 2t \sin k_y \rho_n(k_y,\omega) - g \cos k_y \left( \alpha \rho_n^x(k_y,\omega) - \beta \tau_z \rho_n^y(k_y,\omega) \right) \right]. $$

(20)

The first term in the above equation is the contribution of the nearest-neighbor hopping in tight-binding model, whereas the second and third terms originate from Rashba and Dresselhaus SOCs, respectively. Fig. 6 displays the topological nonchiral charge current at the interface of the NCS-FM junction for the triplet-dominant $s+p$ (a), the triplet-dominant $d_{xy}+p$ (b) and the singlet-dominant $d_{xy}+p$ (c). We do not show the singlet-dominant $s+p$-case because of its trivial topology. In general, although for both cases of pure Rashba and mixed Rashba-Dresselhaus the charge current increases with field strength, but it shows negligible dependency for pure Dresselhaus. The magnitude of the charge current for pure Rashba has maximum variation particularly for the triplet-dominant $d_{xy}+p$, but by introducing the Dresselhaus, it starts to decrease, and ends up to zero for pure Dresselhaus. Moreover, switching the exchange field reverses the direction of the nonchiral current.

Finally these results for the edge currents may be supported by symmetry arguments. In the BdG Hamiltonian without field the charge conjugation $C = \tau_x \sigma_0 K$ and time reversal are $T = i\tau_0 \sigma_y K$ are preserved symmetries\cite{24} where $K$ represents the complex conjugation operator. Their product, the chirality $\chi = C T = i \tau_0 \sigma_z \sigma_y$ is then also a symmetry. It expresses the equivalence of positive and negative energy states in the BdG Hamiltonian according to $\chi H(k) \chi^{-1} = -H(k)$. The Zeeman part in Eq. (2) which preserves $C$, however, breaks $T$ and hence $\chi$ because $\chi H Z \chi^{-1} = H_Z$. This symmetry breaking leads to the apperance of the edge currents calculated explicitly before. They have a different pattern for in-plane and out-of-plane fields. A schematic sketch and a comparison
We study the topological phases of a noncentrosymmetric superconductor with pure Rashba spin-orbit coupling and an exchange field, which is aligned in $z$-direction for the upper panel, and in the $x$-direction for the lower panel. For the cases of $H_z$ and $H_x$ the topological currents are chiral and nonchiral (unidirectional), respectively. (b) and (c) display topological currents at interface of NCS-FM junction for triplet-dominant ($\gamma = 0.25$) with $d_{xy} + p$-wave superconducting states for chiral and nonchiral situations, respectively. The currents have the same direction for the field aligned in $x$-direction, $H_x$. The current unit is given by $e\mu/2\hbar$.

of these two cases is shown in Fig. 7. In particular, in the Fig. 7(a) the edge current pattern for both situations is indicated, showing chiral and nonchiral (unidirectional) currents, respectively. This leads to a field dependent current indicated in the Fig. 7(b&c).

V. CONCLUSION

We study the topological phases of a noncentrosymmetric superconductor in the presence of Rashba and/or Dresselhaus SOCs, which are characterized by gapless edge states. Determination of the Fermi surface for different strength of Rashba or Dresselhaus shows that the helical split-bands touch each other at $[11]$- or $[1\bar{1}]$-directions for the condition of $|\alpha| = |\beta|$. We investigate the structure function of dispersive and flat band edge states inside the superconducting gap, indicative of a generally non-trivial topology of the SC state. However, in the special case $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ for an $s + p$-wave NCS we obtain trivial topology without edge states, even for a triplet-dominant state.

As a result of this coupling to an external exchange field along $x$-direction to the spin polarization of the topological edge modes, an energy gradient, i.e. a finite group velocity occurs for the originally flat band modes along $k_y$. This leads to emergence of a nonchiral charge current unidirectional along both edges in $y$-direction carried by the surface states which changes its direction with that of the exchange field. In this context we observe that a nonchiral current is generated whenever the NCS has non-zero spin polarization component along the exchange field. Calculation of charge current in the presence of an exchange field along $x$-direction shows that its magnitude is maximum in a triplet-dominant $d_{xy} + p$-wave NCS with pure Rashba SOC.
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