Robust Control of Magnetic Disturbances, a Machine-Learning Approach
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We present a method developed to actively compensate common-mode magnetic disturbances on a multi-sensor device devoted to differential measurements. The system uses a field-programmable-gated-array card, and is operated in conjunction with a high sensitivity magnetometer: a compensated common-mode results in a relevant reduction of the difference-mode noise level. The digital nature of the system allows for the use of a machine-learning approach for the fine tuning of the feedback loop. The resulting adaptive filtering allows the common mode disturbance to be attenuated by 50 dB, with a final improvement of the differential noise floor by a factor of 10 over the whole spectral interval in question.

Machine learning (ML) is a popular field of research that, together with the continuous progress in digital electronics, renders advanced tools available that can be applied to various practical situations, including magnetometric instrumentation.

Optical atomic magnetometers (OAMs) provide extremely sensitive tools with noise floor orders of magnitude lower than the environmental magnetic disturbances. In multichannel magnetometry, the analysis of the differential mode (DM) allows for the detection of signals much weaker than the disturbances. The latter appear spuriously superimposed on the differential signal due to a limited common-mode (CM) rejection ratio. In order to counteract the CM terms, an ML method is applied for designing and tuning an adaptive digital filter in a feedback loop. This makes it possible to reach the noise level set by the sensitivity in the DM.

The OAMs are applied in fundamental research, as well as within a wide range of practical application areas, including medical diagnostics, ultra low field (ULF) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) and imaging (MRI), micro particle detection, geophysical and archaeological surveys. Most of the OAMs with ultra-high sensitivity are operated in shielded volumes in order to reduce environmental noise, as well as to operate at nT levels, which are necessary to run the most sensitive implementations. However, there are also applications for which unshielded operation is required. A partial compensation of the environmental field can be achieved with the help of specially designed coil sets. The development of OAMs that operate in unshielded (even if compensated) environments is of strategic importance whenever highly sensitive portable detectors equipped with tailored magnetic field compensation systems are used in areas like security, MRI of large objects, etc.

Besides the straightforward implementation of dc compensation systems, active methods may help to counteract time-dependent magnetic noise: an essential issue whenever the noise in question has important spectral components mimicking the signal dynamics.

There are several magnetic disturbances originating both from human and natural activities in the frequency range below a few hundred Hz. That range is of crucial importance to most of aforementioned applications.

A multi-channel OAM sensor can be profitably coupled with a magnetic field stabilization system. Consider the case in which a sample placed in proximity to the sensor generates a DM signal. The error signal extracted from the CM term, which reproduces the disturbance from distant sources, can be used for the stabilization. Aside from the limited CM rejection ratio, the CM stabilization is useful for applications in which the CM drives the dynamics of the analyzed signal, such as in ULF NMR experiments.

Figure 1. Single channel OAM schematics. The Cs cell is illuminated by two types of co-propagating radiation, which are appropriately polarized to pump (red) and probe (blue) the atomic magnetization. The Faraday rotation of the probe’s polarization is measured by a balanced polarimeter.

The magnetometric setup considered in this work generates a signal which, ideally, is linearly dependent upon the magnetic field, via a first-order response. This signal is extracted by one of the channels, converted into
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a field estimate, numerically filtered, and fed back to a large-size compensation Helmholtz pair.

The use of numerical filters allow for a digital topology design and the fine tuning of the parameters. This is provided by the ML procedure, which adapts the feedback loop in order to maximize the compensation of the CM disturbances. In this manner an incomplete knowledge of the noise characteristics and of the magnetometer response is successfully circumvented.

The OAM uses Cs vapor optically pumped into a stretched (maximally oriented) state by means of laser radiation at the milli-Watt level. This pump radiation is circularly polarized and tuned to the D1 line. The time evolution of the atomic state is probed by a weak (micro-Watt level), linearly polarized beam tuned to the proximity of the D2 line (see Fig.1). A transverse magnetic field $\vec{B}_0$ causes a precession of the induced magnetization. The magnetization decay is counteracted through synchronous optical pumping, which is obtained by modulating the pump laser wavelength at a frequency $\omega_M/2\pi$ that is resonant with the precession frequency $\omega_L/2\pi$. The precession causes a time-dependent Faraday rotation of the probe radiation. This Faraday rotation is driven to oscillate at $\omega_M$ (forcing term), to which it responds with a phase $\phi(t)$ evolving in accordance with the magnetic field.

The system contains two identical sensors, and the Faraday rotation in each channel is measured by a balanced polarimeter, which only analyzes the probe radiation, as the pump radiation is stopped by an interference device, in a homogeneous $\vec{B}_0$ field, which is obtained by partially compensating the environmental one, and is oriented along the y axis (see Fig.1).

Compensation coils are used to fully control the static terms of the field components and their inhomogeneities. Under operating conditions, the transverse magnetization relaxation rate is inferred from the resonance width, and is $\Gamma = 2\pi \times 25$ rad/sec. The polarimetric signal is modeled as:

$$A \exp i(\omega_M t + \phi(t)), \quad (1)$$

with a phase $\phi(t)$, which in a first-order approximation responds to a time-dependent field variation $\delta B_\parallel(t)$ according to:

$$\phi(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma} (\omega_L - \omega_M + \omega_1(t) + \phi), \quad (2)$$

where $\omega_1 = \gamma \delta B_\parallel(t)$ is set by the disturbance component parallel to $\vec{B}_0$, $\gamma$ being the gyromagnetic factor.

After conversion into magnetic units, in the absence of any active compensation, the CM noise spectrum appears as shown in Fig.2. The magnetometer generates the quasi-harmonic polarimetric signal described in Eq.[1] whose carrier frequency is set by the forcing term and whose phase is extracted by demodulation.

The real-time numeric demodulation is based on the standard technique of multiplying the signal by a reference signal at $\omega_M$. The resulting $2\omega_M$ terms are notched out by summing the data of an integer number $N$ of periods. An estimation delay $\Delta t = N\pi/\omega_M$ is consequently introduced.

In the presented solution, the feedback loop is provided by a field programmable gate array (FPGA), a method that – at the expense of some complexity – offers superior flexibility and adaptability with respect to analog approaches. The FPGA includes a 16 bit 500 KSa/s analog-to-digital converter (ADC) and a 16 bit 100 KSa/s digital-to-analog converter (DAC), and implements the demodulation and filtering software necessary to generate the feedback signal. The low-latency digital signal processing offered by modern electronics makes the limited bandwidth of the digital approach a secondary (but non-negligible) problem at the frequencies in question. It is crucial for the FPGA to execute ADC, data processing, and DAC operation as quickly as possible, as the cycle duration sets the delay time in the feedback application. In our implementation, a cycle duration as short as $\Delta = 14 \mu s$ is achieved.

The block diagram of the compensation system is outlined in Fig.3. The magnetometer followed by the FPGA ADC and demodulator is, to a good approximation, a linear time-invariant (LTI) system with delayed output, the delay being introduced both at the demodulation/filtering and at the ADC/DAC stages. The loop filter has the two-fold task of compensating both the delay and the low-pass response of the magnetometer.

The loop design starts based on the ideal magnetometer response (Eq.[2]). At resonance ($\omega_M = \omega_L$), the sampled estimation of the error signal has the form of a finite-impulse-response (FIR) output. Namely, $\gamma \delta B_n = \Gamma \phi_n + \dot{\phi}_n$, where $\phi$ is evaluated at $t = t_n$ as $\dot{\phi}_n = [(11/6)\phi_{n-1} - 3(3/2)\phi_{n-2} - (1/3)\phi_{n-3}]$ in a third-order finite-difference estimation.

A comparison between plots (a) and (b) in Fig.3 shows that feeding back the control with this error signal generates an improvement of the spectrum. Further improvements require a more accurate design of the control loop, with the need to adjust a large set of parameters based on the system details (including detector bandwidth, exact
magnetometer, and the field control (current amplifier and coils); the real-time module (FPGA); and the computer for off-line data analysis and optimization. The elements in the dashed box constitute a linear time-invariant (LTI) system. A linear control approach for field stabilization through a feedback loop is implemented in the FPGA, and is trained by optimization procedures running on the PC.

Delayed error signals constitute a well-known problem, which could potentially be faced substituting the FIR loop filter with an infinite-impulse-response (IIR) filter. Such filter may introduce a delayed-feedback element within the control loop, thus enhancing the response to the error signal transients. The loop filter design includes both forward coefficients, which mainly serve to implement the \( \varphi \rightarrow \delta B \) conversion, and a few backward coefficients aimed at compensating the delay. The optimization of the control loop in systems with poorly known response is a typical application eligible for a supervised ML approach, which thus offers the opportunity of designing robust compensation loops.

The ML works on a model consisting of the experiment and the FPGA module, sketched in the upper part of Fig. 3. The ML acts on the IIR filter, adjusting its forward and backward coefficients during a training stage aimed at optimizing the feedback loop performance. The training stage is executed on-line and the optimal parameter set is explored by an optimization algorithm with exit conditions defined by the programmer. A particular object function, described below, drives the algorithm in order to find the optimal IIR filter coefficients.

The ideal target would be to turn the polarimetric signal into a pure sinusoid at \( \omega_M \), i.e. to make the magnetometer works in a constant magnetic field. As can be seen from Fig. 2, the unshielded operation suffers from low frequency noise in dc to 100 Hz range. In order to train the ML to counteract the low frequency noise, some magnetic field components at discrete frequencies are appropriately introduced in the range of interest (dc to 100 Hz).

The object function is primarily designed to maximize the ratio between the carrier peak at \( \omega_M \) and the sidebands peaks emerging around it in the polarimetric spectrum. Good performance of the feedback loop can be obtained at the expense of the appearance of noise sidebands (loop resonances), which emerge at some detuning from \( \omega_M \). A secondary feature of the object function is to take into account the loop resonances and to keep them below a given threshold level. Namely, the object function is defined as a product of the ratio between the \( \omega_M \) peak and its sidebands with a sigmoid function that turns to zero when the loop resonances exceed a threshold value.

For the optimization procedure the Nelder-Mead algorithm is selected, as it does not require the explicit computation of the gradients of the object function with respect to the filter coefficients. The optimization is based on repeated analyses of the spectra of the polarimetric signal. Each spectrum is evaluated from traces lasting about ten seconds, and the whole optimization procedure requires the analysis of several hundred spectra: the overall training time lasts typically a few tens of minutes. In the subsequent operation, provided that the environmental disturbances occur steadily within the spectral range where the training has been carried out, the system maintains its rejection efficiency. The actual performance can be tested verifying the efficiency in compensating other sets of artificial disturbances, as well as from the analyses of spectra recorded in the presence of environmental magnetic noise, as those shown later on.

The optimization of the adaptive loop-filter starts using the \( \varphi_n \) estimation to generate a DAC signal \( y_n \) in the form:

\[
y_n = \sum_{k=1}^{N} b_k \varphi_{n-k+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{M} c_j y_{n-j},
\]  

with the forward coefficients \( \{b_k\} \) designed based on the Eq. 2 and with the backward ones \( \{c_j\} \) enhancing the transient response. The transfer function of the filter (Eq. 3) is a rational function \( P(z^{-1})/Q(z^{-1}) = (b_1 + b_2 z^{-1} + \ldots + b_N z^{-N+1})/(1 - c_1 z^{-1} - \ldots - c_M z^{-M}) \), which can be decomposed as a sum of partial fraction: \( P(z^{-1})/Q(z^{-1}) = \sum_i A_i/(z^{-1} - z_i^{-1}) \) where \( z_i^{-1} \) are simple poles and \( A_i \) the corresponding residues. This result lets the FPGA computation be parallelized, with the ML algorithm working on the set \( \{A_i, z_i\} \) instead of \( \{b_k, c_j\} \), where typically \( 1 \leq i \leq 3 \), i.e. the ML works on 6 coefficients.

Fig. 4 summarizes the effects of CM rejection on the spectrum of the polarimetric signal. Plot (a) shows the noise spectrum of the polarimetric signal under open-loop conditions. After demodulation and conversion in a magnetic field, a spectrum like that in Fig. 2 is obtained. Under these uncompensated conditions, the carrier frequency emerges by about 110 dB from the flat noise floor.
Figure 4. Power spectrum density of the polarimetric signal from a single magnetometer channel as obtained: (a) under uncompensated conditions; (b) with an ideal FIR loop filter; (c) with an IIR filter after ML optimization. The upper-side plot in each panel shows spectra over a wider range, a loop-resonance appears in case (c).

It is surrounded by several discrete sidebands and by a pedestal. The sidebands and the pedestal reproduce the environmental magnetic noise, as recorded with the OAM bandwidth. Plots (b) and (c) are obtained under closed loop conditions before and after ML optimization, respectively. The best CM noise rejection is evaluated taking into account the attenuation of both the discrete peaks and the broadband terms, both in the proximity of the carrier frequency, and at frequency displacements well above $\Gamma$. As can be seen in Fig.4, the 50 Hz sidebands are attenuated by 15 dB in (b) and by 50 dB in (c). In a narrower range, pedestal attenuations of 15 dB (b) and 35 dB (c) are observed, respectively. One hundred Hz away from the peak, the attenuation of the pedestal is less than 10 dB in the case (b), while it exceeds 20 dB in case (c), down to the level of the noise floor.

The compensation efficiency has a broadband nature and is not markedly dependent on the spectrum of the artificial disturbances used for the training, this also guarantees a robust behavior in response to any environmental noise having comparable spectral width.

In conclusion, we have discussed an ML approach applied to compensate the environmental magnetic noise for improving a differential optical magnetometer. We consider an application in ULF NMR detection, where reducing the noise level in the frequency range from dc up to 100 Hz is of significant importance. The mentioned ULF NMR experiment is performed in a regime where the interaction between nuclear spins plays a role comparable to the Zeeman interaction. In this regime, the NMR spectra normally contain a large set of peaks in the above defined frequency range. Fig.5 shows the improvement of the DM noise in this range.

The differential response is obtained by acquiring two polarimetric signals (by means of a 16 bit 1 MSa/s/channel card). The decrease in the DM magnetic noise by a factor of 10 in the frequency range from 1 to 10 Hz extends the OAM’s applicability towards the zero-to-ULF NMR regime, where the interaction between nuclear spin becomes dominant with respect to the spin-field coupling.

The ML approach is a powerful tool for designing and optimizing the feedback loops of robust stabilization apparatuses when dealing with poorly known system responses and/or when high cancellation accuracy is required. This method could be applied to any differential system that provides for a feedback-based counteraction of CM noise. Our findings demonstrate the effectiveness of the ML approach for maximizing the CM magnetic noise rejection, which even results in a noticeable reduction of the noise level for the DM magnetic signal.
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