Morphological modification of the technical flax fibre bundles to improve the longitudinal tensile properties of flax fibre reinforced epoxy composites
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Abstract

Biodegradability and environmental sustainability are key features that give natural fibre reinforced composites the potential to be used in different sectors of structural application. However, efficient conversion of the fibre properties into their corresponding composite properties has been a challenge, due to the conventional textile processing methods that are utilised for the processing of flax fibres. These techniques impart disadvantageous features into the flax fibre preforms at both micro-scale and at meso-scale level, which severely degrade the mechanical performances of flax fibre reinforced composites (FFRC). Undulation of the fibres in a fabric, which is also known as ‘crimp’, is one of the detrimental features that is derived from the traditional fabric manufacturing route. This performance degradation due to fibre waviness is amplified when flax fibres are concerned. The waviness instigates micro-compressive defects, known as kink bands in elementary flax fibres, which significantly undermine the performance of flax fibre reinforced composites. Manufacturing flax fabric with minimal undulation could diminish the micro-compressive defects to a significant extent. In this research, nonwoven flax tapes of highly aligned flax fibres have been manufactured deploying a novel technique, and composites reinforced from those nonwoven tapes have been compared with flax composites reinforced with woven matt fabrics and warp knitted unidirectional (UD) fabrics, comprising undulating fibres. The composites reinforced with the highly aligned tape show 49\% higher fibre bundle strength, and 100\% higher fibre bundle stiffness in comparison with that of the Matt fabric reinforced composites. The results have been discussed in respect of fibre undulation, elementary fibre individualisation, homogeneity of fibre distribution, extent of resin rich areas, and impregnation of the fibre lumens.
1 Introduction

An Eco-friendly manufacturing process, bio-degradability, and lower production cost are some of the attractive features increasing the market for natural fibre reinforced composites. However, the highly crystalline structures of polymers in glass or carbon fibres inevitably endows composites which incorporate mineral fibre reinforcement with longitudinal tensile and compressive properties beyond the capability of composites reinforced with natural fibres, such as flax. In an effort to reduce this gap, several researchers have succeeded in improving the mechanical performance of flax fibre reinforced composites (FFRC) by modifying the flax fibre morphology through chemical treatment on fibres [1-5]. While chemical treatments involve an additional manufacturing step and increased cost, the performance of flax fibres inside composites can also be improved by optimising the fibre geometry such as by reduction of fibre waviness. The geometry of the fibres in composites directly influences the mechanical performance of the composites [6-18]. This research focuses on reducing the fibre waviness to improve the mechanical performance of FFRC. There will also be discussion as to why reduction of waviness plays a vital role in performance enhancement of FFRC.

A flax fibre is an assembly of technical fibres and a technical fibre in turn comprises between 10 and 40 elementary fibres. Elementary fibres can be from 20-50 mm in length and are bonded together with hemicellulose and pectin. Inside technical fibres, these elementary fibres tend to overlap each other by a substantial length [19]. Polyhedra in shape, an elementary fibre consists of an outer primary cell wall, an inner secondary cell wall (S2), and a hollow channel called the ‘lumen’, which runs through the core of the fibre. The secondary cell wall comprises micro-fibrils and accounts for the major portion of the elementary fibre cross-section. The cellulosic micro-fibrils are crystalline and are spirally wound in a polysaccharide matrix of amorphous hemicellulose and lignin. The helical angle of the fibrils with respect to the fibre axis is +10°. The arrangement of the micro-fibrils resembles a unidirectional composite structure, which confers a good tensile property of the fibres [20-23]. Page et al. in 1977, showed that the elastic modulus of natural fibres decreases with the increase of the micro-fibrillar helix angle [24]. Hence a low helix angle confers good strain to failure properties whilst minimising the compromise of tensile strength and longitudinal compressive strength.

When a flax fibre is bent by any mechanical mean, the cellulosic fibrils of the S2 wall become dislocated, and at the same point the hemicellulose that binds the micro-fibrils together fails. The lateral compressive force caused by bending does not result in failure of the micro-
fibrils, rather they becomes slightly separated, and form cracks bridged by coarse fibrils. This structure looks like a crack and is termed a slip plane, or a nod, or a kink band, as shown in Figure 8. Kink bands are micro-compressive defects of flax fibres [22, 25, 26]. Bos (1999) showed that the gradual compressive force on the fibre increases the number of kink bands [21].

Kink bands reduce the dry fibre tensile strength as the defective areas potentially initiate failure under tensile loading. Inside the composites as well, the kinked areas are susceptible to damage initiation under tensile loading. For the case of dry flax fibres, if the fibre undergoes cyclic tensile loading, a reorientation of $+10^\circ$ takes place in the micro-fibrils, and this results in strain-hardening by straightening out the kink bands. Eventually the non-linearity of the tensile curve of the dry fibres disappears and the modulus increases [27]. This ‘strain hardening’ does not occur within flax reinforced composites as the fibres are locked in the matrix. Therefore, upon tensile loading, stress-concentration develops around the kinked areas, which in turn initiates fibre matrix de-bonding as well as micro-cracks within the matrix [27-30].

Traditional textile processing for flax fibres such as breaking, scutching, hackling, drawing, spinning, and weaving involve a lot of fibre bending and fibre undulation, which eventually generate kink bands. Also, reinforcement inside a composite performs best if aligned exactly with the loading direction. A nonwoven flax tape with fibres parallel to each other is clearly a possible solution to nullify the effect of waviness. A number of researchers in recent times have conducted experiments on nonwoven tape [29, 31-35]. UD fabric with twistless yarn is another method to optimise fibres alignment, which has been investigated by Miao and Shan (2011).

In this research, a highly aligned nonwoven tape has been manufactured with no noticeable out-of-plane waviness using a novel technique and its compatibility has been studied in comparison with plain woven fabric and warp knitted unidirectional fabric. No literature prior to this date can be found that has discussed the effect of out-of-plane waviness on the longitudinal tensile properties of FFRC.

2 Materials and manufacturing

2.1 Fabrics

Four types of fabric have been used in this research namely Matt (plain woven matt fabric), UD (warp knitted UD fabric made from twistless wrap-spun yarn, as shown in Figure
3), T180 (nonwoven tape of 180 mm width), and GVT (nonwoven taped attached with a glass fibre veil). Matt and UD were procured from a local company named ‘Composite Evolution’. The rest of the two structures were manufactured in this research, using a novel technique.

Table 1 shows the dry fabric specifications. Figure 1 shows the topology of the fabric surfaces whereas Figure 2 shows the cross-sections of all four kinds of fabric. The undulation angle shown by the warp yarns in the Matt dry fabric is $17 \pm 1^\circ$ and inside the composite, the undulation angle was found to be $14 \pm 1^\circ$. Dry UD fabric shows an undulation angle averaging $6.1^\circ$ whereas no discernible undulation can be found in the UD composites. The tapes also showed no noticeable undulation of the fibres, either in dry or in composite state.

**Table 1  Dry fabric specification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Matt</th>
<th>UD</th>
<th>T170</th>
<th>GVT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yarn Linear density, in tex</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flax content, %</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>84.53</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>89.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass content, %</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyester content, %</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA content, %</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabric construction</td>
<td>4x4 matt</td>
<td>Warp knitted</td>
<td>Nonwoven tape</td>
<td>Nonwoven tape with surface veil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Areal density, in g/cm²</td>
<td>0.0519</td>
<td>0.0264</td>
<td>0.0158</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fabric density, g/cc</td>
<td>1.4845</td>
<td>1.4802</td>
<td>1.467</td>
<td>1.564</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ends/inch</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pecs/inch</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1  Optical images of flax fabrics (top view):** (a) Nonwoven tape, (b) Warp knitted UD fabric, (c) Matt fabric, (d) Nonwoven tape with surface veil.
2.2 Nonwoven tape manufacture

A commingled form of flax fibres with 10% PLA binder fibres (90:10 w/w), was produced by a conventional textile process. To convert the fibre blends into tapes a thermal calendaring machine was constructed based on the schematic diagram, depicted in Figure 4. Sequentially, this consisted of, what is termed, a 3-over-3 roller-drafting unit, a heating unit and then a pair of pressurised calendars. To begin the process of conversion the blends are fed to the roller-drafting unit. The combined action of the paired rollers aligns the flax fibres in the machine direction (which is ultimately the axis of the resulting tape). This action is known as drafting, and each successive pair of rollers operates with a surface speed slightly faster than the proceeding pair, thereby attenuating the fibre mass as it passes from one roller pair to
another. During drafting, the frictional contact between fibres induces the localised shear forces required to orientate the flax fibres uni-directionally. Drafting therefore simultaneously, thins and separates the flax/PLA blends into a fine sheet of fibres in addition to effecting fibre alignment. On leaving the drafting stage the thin sheet of fibres is heated just above the melting point of the PLA and additionally, is drafted by the faster surface speed of the calendar rollers, for further alignment of the flax fibres. The calendar rollers simultaneously apply a pressure of 3 bars to the flax sheet, melding the PLA fibres to the flax to produce a semi-consolidated tape. Partially consolidated tapes of 170 mm width, were produced at 170°C.

![Figure 4 Schematic diagram of drawing, heating and condensing zones of a nonwoven tape manufacturing using Autodesk®.](image)

### 2.3 Composite manufacturing and sample preparation

Cross-ply laminates were manufactured by the ‘Vacuum Assisted Resin Injection Moulding’ method using thermoset epoxy resin, LY564 as resin and Aradur 2954 (35% of the resin weight) as hardener from Huntsman. The curing was performed at 80 °C for 2 hours and the post-curing was completed at 120 °C for 6 hours, as shown in Figure 5. Specifications of the tensile specimens are given in Table 2. The specimens were prepared following the directions in ASTM D3039. The fibre volume fraction (FVF) was calculated using according to the ISO 14127:2008 [36], as below:
\[
\frac{m_f}{\rho_f} \quad ; \text{where}
\]

\[
v_f = \frac{m_f}{V_c}; \quad m_f = \text{mass of the flax fibre};
\]

\[
V_c = \text{Volume of composite}; \quad \text{and}
\]

\[
\rho_f = \text{Density of fibre}.
\]

Figure 5  Curing cycle for LY564 and Aradur 2954.

Table 2  Cross-ply laminate specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weave structure</th>
<th>Flax %</th>
<th>Gauge Length (mm)</th>
<th>No. of layers</th>
<th>Width (mm)</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Fibre volume fraction, %</th>
<th>Composite Density (g/cc)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matt</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>39.64</td>
<td>1.264</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD</td>
<td>84.53</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>252.9</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>36.89</td>
<td>1.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T180</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>247.6</td>
<td>3.61</td>
<td>38.87</td>
<td>1.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVT</td>
<td>89.5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>249.4</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>31.41</td>
<td>1.251</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3  Result and discussion

Tensile test data captured from an Instron 5982 testing machine are compiled in Table 3.

Table 3  Mechanical properties of the cross-ply laminates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure stress (Mpa)</th>
<th>Failure strain (%)</th>
<th>Max load (kN)</th>
<th>1st strain segment (%)</th>
<th>1st modulus (Gpa)</th>
<th>2nd strain segment (%)</th>
<th>2nd modulus (Gpa)</th>
<th>FVF (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matt</td>
<td>72.97±1.32</td>
<td>1.32±0.07</td>
<td>4.76±0.1</td>
<td>0-0.5%</td>
<td>7.91±0.26</td>
<td>0.5-1.31</td>
<td>4.21±0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD</td>
<td>99.31±2.25</td>
<td>1.75±0.08</td>
<td>7.28±0.17</td>
<td>0-0.33%</td>
<td>8.87±0.33</td>
<td>0.33-1.75</td>
<td>4.8±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T180</td>
<td>108.1±5.07</td>
<td>1.4±0.09</td>
<td>9.66±0.71</td>
<td>0-0.19%</td>
<td>12.5±1.16</td>
<td>0.19-1.4</td>
<td>6.67±0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVT</td>
<td>89.95±4.65</td>
<td>1.16±0.05</td>
<td>8.95±0.48</td>
<td>0-0.13%</td>
<td>13.2±1.01</td>
<td>0.13-1.16</td>
<td>7.09±0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
For comparative analysis of the composites of different fibre volume fractions, an extrapolation method using the rule-of-mixtures has been adopted here, which has been extensively used by previous researchers [23, 29, 32-34, 37]. The modulus of fibres inside the composite has been termed the ‘fibre bundle stiffness’, and the strength of fibres has been termed the ‘fibre bundle strength’ to expresses the stiffness and strength performance of flax fibres in the impregnated state. Equation 1 and Equation 2 below express the fibre bundle stiffness and fibre bundle strength respectively.

\[
E_c = \eta \eta_l V_f E_f + (1 - V_f) E_m \hspace{1cm} \text{(1)}
\]

\[
\sigma_c = (\eta \times V_f \times \sigma_f) + (V_m \times \sigma_m) \hspace{1cm} \text{(2)}
\]

\(E_c\) = modulus of composites, in GPa

\(\eta\) = Krenchel fibre orientation factor which is

\[
\eta = \sum_{n=0}^{1} a_n \cos^4 \theta_n
\]

\(a_n\) = Fraction of the fibres with the orientation angle \(\theta_n\)

\(\eta_l\) = fibre length distribution factor

\(V_f\) = Volume fraction of fibres

\(E_f\) = modulus of fibres inside composites

\(E_m\) = modulus of matrix

\(\eta_l\) is considered here as 1 because the gauge length of all the specimens was 150 mm and the average length of flax technical fibres is 150 mm to 700 mm [38-40]. \(\eta\) for all the structures except Matt fabric is 0.5 as the fibres were laid up at an angle of 0° to the loading direction. For Matt, it was 0.4445 because the warp picks per inch (PPI) was 24 and weft PPI was 30. Therefore 44.45% of the total fibres are laid in the warp direction. Similarly to fibre bundle stiffness, fibre bundle strength has also been measured using the rule-of-mixtures which has been used by several researchers previously [23, 33, 41], as shown in Equation 2. The failure strain of the matrix, (4.5%) [42] is much higher than the failure strain of flax fibre (2%) [43]. Therefore, in this case, upon tensile loading, the failure of the flax fibres precedes matrix failure.
\[ V_f = \text{volume fraction of fibre} \]
\[ \sigma_f = \text{strength of fibre, MPa} \]
\[ V_m = \text{volume fraction of matrix} \]

\[ \sigma_m = \text{strength of matrix, MPa}; \text{(where,} \]
\[ \sigma_m = E_m \times \varepsilon_c; \text{ where in} \]
\[ E_m = \text{matrix modulus and} \ \varepsilon_c \text{ is composite failure strain} \]

Figure 6  Mean stress-strain curve for: (a) Matt laminates, (b) UD laminates, (c) T180 laminates, and (d) GVT laminates.

Figure 6 shows the stress/strain curves for all the composites. On each plot, a distinct ‘knee’ point can be found which indicates that each FFRC exhibited an initial higher modulus up to a certain strain limit, followed by a final degraded modulus. Previous researchers have also shown two distinct phases of modulus in their works [29, 30, 37, 44].
The interpretation of the results, therefore, will focus on primarily on the explanation of the onset of ‘knee’ points in the stress strain curve, followed by a comparative analysis of failure strain, modulus, and strength. Furthermore, the discussion will encapsulate the impact of fibre undulation on fibre morphology and how that influences the corresponding composite’s performance upon longitudinal tensile loading. The factors observed in this research that influence the composite’s performance are: fibre undulation, individualisation of elementary fibres, homogeneity of fibre distribution, and impregnation of the lumen.

3.1 Onset of a ‘knee’ in the stress-strain curve

Though the occurrence of the disruption of the stress-strain curve of FFRC upon longitudinal tensile loading is reported in previous research works, no specific explanation about the reason for this phenomenon can be found in previous research works [29, 37]. Nevertheless, it is understandable that the incidence of this knee point is a factor dominated by the fibre morphology. In this research, some probable reasons have been postulated based on the experimental observations.

![Figure 7](image1.png)

**Figure 7** Fibres inside composite: (a) Elementary fibres are bonded with hemicellulose and pectin; (b) Elementary fibres without bonding.

It has been discussed earlier that a flax fibre functions as a ‘technical fibre’; bundles of elementary fibre, wherein, the elementary fibres are bonded together with naturally occurring hemicellulose and pectin. Hence, technical fibres in fact resemble the structure and behaviour of typical composites materials. Hemicellulose and pectin work as matrix material in this situation, and like other composite materials, the matrix of a technical fibre transfers stress upon tensile loading among the elementary fibres. When a FFRC is subjected to longitudinal tensile loading, the pectin and hemicellulose bonds start to break, and at one point, the
elementary fibres become completely separated and act like a dry fibre bundle (Figure 12). This is because the reaction of a dry fibre in response to tensile loading is significantly different from that of an impregnated fibre. In a dry fibre bundle, inter-fibre friction and the strength of the weakest fibres dominate the failure. But in a composite structure, the average strength of fibres is important as the matrix transfers stress from one stressed fibre to the adjacent fibres, or towards another part of a failed fibre. Stress transfer cannot occur for dry fibres, which implies that whenever the weakest fibre fails, a sudden drop in strength occurs, leading to a sudden failure. Figure 7 (a) shows elementary fibres bundled together, and Figure 7 (b) shows individualised elementary fibres without being cemented by hemicellulose and pectin. This breakage of the matrix inside a technical fibre impedes the stress transfer amongst elementary fibres which probably reduces the modulus of a FFRC upon longitudinal tensile loading.

Figure 8  SEM image showing kink bands on elementary fibre surface.

Secondly, the kink bands of the flax fibres play a vital role in generating the downward second-plateau slope of a FFRC. Figure 8 shows an elementary fibre with kink bands, taken from the damaged surface of a Matt laminate after tensile loading. The places where kink bands exist, act as stress accumulators. This stress concentration around the kink bands initiates crack propagation which can lead to the commencement of the downgraded slope of the stress-strain curve upon longitudinal tensile loading. Furthermore, at the same time, kink bands are responsible for fibre failure upon tensile loading [22, 26].

A notable point is that for a dry flax fibre bundle subjected to tensile loading, strain hardening (straightening out of the kinked regions by reorientation of the micro-fibrils towards the fibre axis) occurs, and this in turn increases the second-plateau modulus, unlike the stress-
strain curves of FFRC. Inside a composite, the kink bands are kept locked by the matrix, which is not the case for dry fibres. Therefore, the occurrence of strain hardening for flax fibre inside a composite is much less likely.

Figure 9  Detachment of the outer cell wall from the inner cell wall of a flax fibre.

Thirdly, the outer cell wall of an elementary flax fibre acts as a ‘segmented sleeve’ that shows a certain degree of relative lengthwise movement. During a gradual increment of load, the cell walls may slip over each other, which in turn may cause complete failure of the outer cell wall, leaving the inner part empty. At that point, the elementary fibre with the completely severed outer cell wall cannot bear as much load as it could with an intact structure. Kersani et al. (2014) have mooted this reason in their work for the downward slope of the stress-strain curve of FFRC upon longitudinal tensile loading, but they presented no supporting evidence. In this research, the failure of the outer cell wall has been supported by SEM micrographs, as shown in Figure 9. It may be seen from Figure 9 that the outer cell wall has been detached from the inner cell wall, which leaves the inner cell wall exposed, without any contact with the matrix of the composite. Thus, upon longitudinal tensile loading, the failure of the outer cell wall can result in a lower tangent value of the stress-strain curve of a FFRC at the second plateau.

3.2 Strain of different structures at which the knee point commences

From Table 3, it may be observed that the woven structures, namely the Matt (first plateau: 0 to 0.5% strain) and the UD fabrics (first plateau: 0 to 0.33% strain) demonstrate a higher range of strain percentages for the first plateau than the nonwoven structures (T180: 0 to 0.19% strain, and GVT: 0 to 0.13% strain). The matt fabric consists of undulating warp yarn along its length, therefore, when the load is applied, up to a certain strain percentage, the structure straightens up its crimped warp yarns. At the same time, the pectin and hemicellulose
layers also start to crush. The constituent undulations of the Matt structure result in a higher strain percentage for the onset of the second plateau than that of UD, and the other nonwoven structures. It should be noted that Matt warp yarn exhibits 17.7° crimp in dry fabric, whereas the unidirectional yarns of the UD fabric exhibit only 6.1° crimp in dry fabric.

The reasons for the nonwoven composites exhibiting a lower strain percentage at the onset of the knee point, in comparison with the woven structures, are the fibre undulation and the fibre morphology. Neither in the dry state nor in the composites, have the fibres of the nonwoven structure showed any discernable undulation. Therefore, upon tensile loading of the composites, the laminates do not show any extra extension before the fibres start to experience stretching. Secondly, during manufacturing, nonwoven tapes undergo an additional step of drawing and drafting to ensure better individualisation and parallelisation of the constituent fibres. During that drafting, a significant proportion of the pectin and hemicellulose bonding of the elementary fibres inside the technical fibres may suffer damage. A study was conducted as part of this research wherein the average fibre length of the fibres from raw sliver and twistless yarn (drafted sliver) was captured. It was found that the median length of the fibres from the raw sliver was 119.37±4.69 mm, and from the drafted sliver, it was 98.94±4.02 mm. These values indicate that the drafting operation imparts axial force onto the fibre strand which in turn individualises elementary fibres from the technical fibre bundle. This implies that the hemicellulose and pectin bonding inside technical fibres may break when subjected to axial loading. Figure 7 (b) shows a laminate damaged from tensile loading wherein the individualised dry elementary fibres are shown. Drafting could also cause partial breakage of the internal bonding of the technical fibres along the length, which may leave the fibres dry during resin impregnation. Therefore, for the composites made from the less drafted fibre bundles, the internal bonding of the technical fibres may be able to withstand more axial loading in comparison with the more highly drafted fibre bundles.

T180 laminates show slightly higher tensile strain values in comparison with GVT laminates. This happens because the GVT fabric contains a glass interleaf (with randomly oriented short glass fibres), and in a four-layer GVT laminate, the glass interleaf adds up to a significant proportion of the total laminate volume; 11.5% of the total weight of a sample, on average. This randomly orientated portion causes an early failure of the GVT structure and also an early onset of the second plateau, compared with the other structures.
3.3 Analysis of the failure strain

Table 3 shows the failure strain values of all the cross-ply laminates. Between the two woven fabric laminates: Matt and UD, UD shows a 32% higher extension than Matt. This occurs because of the intrinsic crimp of the Matt structure. A reinforcement yarn without any out-of-plane undulation can bear tensile loading more efficiently than the yarns with undulation [45, 46]. During tensile loading, the undulated warp yarns of the Matt fabric first tend to stretch themselves out towards the loading direction. As the extent of the crimp is much higher in the Matt structures, before the warp yarns becomes fully straightened, the matrix cracking initiates. Thus, it is yarn waviness reduces the load bearing efficiency of the Matt laminates. It can be seen from Table 3 that the UD laminates show failure strain (failure strain averaging: 1.74%), which is 33% higher than that of Matt laminates (failure strain averaging: 1.32%). In addition, it has been discussed earlier that manufacture of matt fabric involves more undulation of the constituent yarns than for component yarn of the UD fabric, and this generates more kink bands in the constituent fibres of the matt fabric. As kink bands work as stress accumulators [20, 26], failure takes place earlier in the Matt structure than in the UD structures. Between the two nonwoven structures, T180 has been shown to resist 21% more strain than that of the GVT before failure, because of the absence of glass veils.

Fibre pull-out is another phenomenon which can be attributed to the differing failure strains of different structures. Fibre pull-outs occur when a discontinuous fibre is embedded in a relatively tougher matrix. Flax fibres contain kink bands along their length which implies that whilst embedded in matrix, a flax elementary fibre in effect exists as ‘segments’ of ‘short fibres’, “joined together” [26]. Thus, a kink band acts as a weak link in an elementary fibre and effectively mitigates the continuity of an elementary flax fibre. These weak links may not exist in the same plane as a composite fracture. When a fibre breaks, it introduces stress concentration into the matrix. This stress concentration may be relieved as matrix yielding also takes place. Therefore, along the embedded length of an elementary fibre, matrix cracking may not occur even though there are fibre breakages at the kink bands. In such a scenario, the broken fibre may be pulled out of the matrix rather than failing again at the plane of the composite fracture [45].
Figure 10  Fibre pull-outs; T180 specimen damaged from tensile test.

Figure 10 shows a typical example of an occurrence of fibre pull-out wherein the pulled-out fibres have left the holes in the matrix at the plane of the composite failure. The specimen shown in the photomicrograph is a T180 specimen damaged during tensile failure. Figure 10 also illustrates an example where fibre pull-out is apparent, simultaneously with the occurrence of fibre breakage at the composite fracture plane.

If in a composite structure, fibre pull-outs occur at a greater extent, then the failure strain percentage of the composite will be higher [30]. From Table 3 it can be found that the failure strain of the UD laminate (1.75%) is 20% higher than that of the T180 structure (1.4%). Neither the UD nor the T180 show any apparent waviness in their composite structures. Therefore, the difference in the strain percentage of these two structures can be directly linked with the fibre pull-out phenomenon. Comparing the T180 and the UD specimens, fibre individualisation has been found to be higher for the former. However, as the UD composites are composed of yarns, rather than the individualised elementary fibres (as found in the T180 structures), the strand of fibres in the former structure act as monolithic units. Generally, for two composite structures with different proportions of individualised fibres, fibre pull-out will occur to a greater extent in the structure with a higher proportion of individualised fibres. This is evident from a comparison of Figure 13 (a) and Figure 13 (b), wherein, the occurrences of fibre pull-out in the former are higher than in the latter. However, in this case, the structural differences between the UD and the T180 specimens are important. As the UD material is manufactured from yarn, the length of a yarn pulled-out from within the matrix surface (in UD
structures) is greater than the average length of the elementary fibres in the T180 structures. Therefore, though the occurrence of fibre pull-out is higher in T180 (due to the greater number of individual elementary fibres in T180 specimens, in comparison with UD), the effect of fibre pull-out (which influences the failure strain) is higher in the UD structures. This usefully explains why the failure strain for UD composites is 20% higher than that of T180 structures.

3.4 Analysis of the composite strength

Table 4 compiles the tensile strength results at a 95% confidence level, recorded from tensile tests executed on the Instron 5982 machine and then shows the extrapolated data created using Equation 2. It can be observed that the strength of Matt (296.56±8.38 MPa) is 29% lower than that of the UD composite. The main cause to which this difference can be attributed is the differing extent of the undulation of the fibres. Both the fabrics have been manufactured using same yarn. However, the yarns used in the Matt laminates show a 14° undulation inside the composites whereas the UD yarns demonstrate no waviness at all. It has been discussed earlier that the undulation increases the number of kink bands in the flax fibre, which in turn lowers the composite strength. In addition, as a principle of mechanics, undulating reinforcement is unable to bear as much load as straight reinforcement.

Table 4 Composite strength of FFRC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Failure stress (Mpa)</th>
<th>Failure strain (%)</th>
<th>FVF (%)</th>
<th>Fibre bundle strength (Mpa)</th>
<th>Normalised FVF (%)</th>
<th>Failure stress at 40% FVF (Mpa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matt</td>
<td>72.97</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>39.64</td>
<td>296.56±8.34</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>73.32±7.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD</td>
<td>99.31</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>36.89</td>
<td>382.73±6.02</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>103.85±2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T180</td>
<td>108.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>38.87</td>
<td>441.72±15.23</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>110.18±5.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVT</td>
<td>89.95</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>31.42</td>
<td>440.91±14.61</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>106.28±4.65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The main difference among the UD, T180, and GVT is the geometry of the reinforcement assembly. UD is warp-knitted fabric made from twistless flax yarns whereas T180 and GVT are nonwoven tapes, made from technical fibres. During manufacture, the fibres of tapes undergo additional drafting, which minimises the kink bands to some extent by strain hardening [26, 27, 30, 47]. Figure 11 shows the images of fibres under polarising filter. It may be observed that the number of kink bands along a certain fibre length is higher in fibres taken from the T180 tape, shown by Figure 11 (a) than in fibre taken from the UD fabric, shown in Figure 11 (b).
Secondly, individualisation of the elementary fibres plays a key role in achieving higher strengths for the tape-reinforced composites in comparison with the UD laminates. Fibres in the UD fabric remain as strands of fibres clustered into yarns, whereas tapes are assemblies of loose fibres. Moreover, due to the additional drafting during tape manufacture, the presence of individualised elementary fibres in the tape-reinforced composites is higher than that of the UD composites. This increased individualisation of the fibres in the tapes renders a better homogeneity of fibre distribution and a reduced incidence of resin rich areas. Bos et al. (2002) mentioned in their research that the technical fibre strength is 57% of the corresponding elementary fibre strength. So it can be inferred that if the fibres in the laminate are arranged in such a way that the technical flax fibres are broken into elementary fibres, the strength will be enhanced. If the elementary fibres remain as individual units instead of being bundled during composite manufacture (i.e. technical fibre), they can be fully encased by the matrix and can demonstrate superior mechanical properties. This happens primarily due to the bundle effect [48], and secondly, individual elementary fibres offer more surface area to the encapsulating matrix, which a technical fibre (i.e. a bundle of elementary fibres) cannot do. A greater surface area ensures better stress transfer between fibre and matrix. Inside a composite, the role of the resin is to transfer the stress from the reinforcement. This is why better interfacial bonding ensures better tensile properties and better stress transfer [45, 46]. If dry fibres remain as technical fibre bundles inside a composite, then the internal elementary fibres within a technical fibre during tensile loading may separate and may remain as dry strands of fibres within the composite structure.
Figure 12  Gaps between elementary fibres in: (a) UD laminate; (b) Matt laminate.

Figure 12 (a) shows a bundle of elementary fibre having empty spaces in between the fibres. Therefore, the separated elementary fibre will not be able to transfer its strength to the matrix. Figure 12 (b) shows empty spaces between two elementary fibres, which leave an elementary fibre partially dry along its length within a composite. If a significant number of technical fibres exist in a composites structure instead of individual elementary fibres, there will be significant numbers of fibres without any contact with resin, which will in turn lower the strength. As the presence of individual elementary fibres is higher in the tapes than in the UD fabric, the strength of UD composites is lower than that of the tape-reinforced composites.

Figure 13  Damaged tensile samples of: (a) UD, (b) T180, and (c) Matt.
Thirdly, a greater extent of individualisation of elementary fibres ensures a more homogeneous distribution of the fibres inside the composites. Figure 13 shows a comparative scenario of the fibre homogeneity in a flax composite and also the extent of resin rich areas. A homogenous distribution of the fibres across a composite structure results in fewer resin rich areas, which is beneficial for the mechanical performance of the composite.

![Figure 13](image)

**Figure 13** Comparative scenario of fibre homogeneity in a flax composite and the extent of resin rich areas.

Finally, another phenomenon can be attributed to the superior strength properties of the T180 and GVT composites in comparison with the UD, and this is impregnation of the lumen within the fibre. Figure 14 (a) and Figure 14 (b) show examples of flat surfaces of undamaged composites. From both the figures, it can be seen that the presence of the impregnated lumens are greater in the GVT laminates in comparison with the UD laminates, consequently, the latter exhibits lower fibre bundle strength. If the lumens are infused too, that will add additional stiffness to the composites. This will happen because more of the fibre surface area will be exposed to the resin, which will improve the stress transfer between matrix and fibre. Moreover, during tensile loading, at the point when the pectin/hemicellulose matrix starts to suffer damage inside a technical fibre, the elementary fibres will be separated from each other and will remain as individual dry fibres. This phenomenon has been demonstrated in Figure 12 (b) by a Matt laminate damaged during tensile testing. The impregnated and non-impregnated lumens can also be observed from Figure 15 (a) and Figure 15 (b).

![Figure 14](image)

**Figure 14** Flat surfaces of undamaged composite specimens: (a) GVT, and (b) UD.
Figure 15  Damaged specimens with empty and impregnated lumens; (a) UD, and (b) GVT.

It may be observed that the fibre bundle strength values for GVT and T180 are almost equal. In GVT, an extra 2D glass veil has been inserted and this imparts a hybridisation effect on the composites. Attaching the glass veil increases the modulus, however, it does not confer any significant improvement in respect of strength properties.

3.5 Analysis of modulus

Table 5  Calculated fibre bundle stiffness of cross-ply laminates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1st strain segment (%)</th>
<th>1st modulus (Gpa)</th>
<th>Fibre bundle stiffness (Gpa)</th>
<th>2nd strain segment (%)</th>
<th>2nd modulus (Gpa)</th>
<th>Fibre bundle stiffness (Gpa)</th>
<th>Fibre Volume Fraction (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Matt</td>
<td>0-0.5%</td>
<td>7.91±0.26</td>
<td>35.98±1.18</td>
<td>0.5-1.31</td>
<td>4.21±0.24</td>
<td>14.99±0.85</td>
<td>39.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UD</td>
<td>0-0.33%</td>
<td>8.87±0.33</td>
<td>39.19±1.46</td>
<td>0.33-1.75</td>
<td>4.8±0.13</td>
<td>19.27±0.52</td>
<td>36.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T180</td>
<td>0-0.19%</td>
<td>12.5±1.16</td>
<td>56.14±5.21</td>
<td>0.19-1.4</td>
<td>6.67±0.16</td>
<td>26.14±0.63</td>
<td>38.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GVT</td>
<td>0-0.13%</td>
<td>13.2±1.01</td>
<td>72.67±5.56</td>
<td>0.13-1.16</td>
<td>7.09±0.16</td>
<td>33.78±0.76</td>
<td>31.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 16 demonstrates the average stress/strain response for up to 0.2% strain, for all four types of laminates used in this research, wherein the nonwoven tape reinforced composites contain greater modulus value in comparison with the composites reinforced with UD or matt fabric. As the fibre volume fractions of the laminates were different, Equation 1 has been applied to derive a normalised comparison. Table 5 contains a compilation of the full set of test results at the 95% confidence level, captured using and Instron 5982 machine.
The stiffness of flax fibre reported by various researchers lies in the range between 12 and 85 GPa, and in terms of strength, it is between 600 and 2000MPa [27, 40, 49-52]. GVT shows here the highest result wherein the initial fibre bundle stiffness calculated by Equation 1 is 72.67GPa, which is the highest among the currently published research papers that discuss unidirectional flax fibre reinforced epoxy composites [23, 29, 32, 34, 37]. T180 shows 56.14 GPa which is also higher than the results reported by Bensadoun et al. (2017). Matt returns the lowest value of 35.98 GPa which is almost 50% of the highest performance shown by GVT. T180 and UD are respectively the second and third highest. The method of calculating fibre bundle stiffness used in this research has also been followed by previous researchers [23, 29, 37]. The main focus during the production of nonwoven tape in this research was to maintain fibre alignments as good as possible. Baets et al. (2014) conducted similar research in which they used aligned flax fibres infused with epoxy resin. For hackled flax reinforced composites, stiffness figures of 62.9 GPa were returned (using Equation 1), composites constructed using roving reached 51.4 GPa and those constructed from yarn attained 43.1 GPa. In this research, the results for GVT were found to be 72.67GPa; for T180, the value was 56.14 GPa, and for UD laminate the stiffness is 39.19 GPa. All the values appear to be higher than the results reported by Baets et al. (2014). Moreover, in this research cross-ply laminates have been used whereas previous literature describes unidirectional laminates. Therefore, it can be claimed that composites reinforced with nonwoven tapes produced in this research offer superior mechanical properties to existing similar products on the market.

The variation of the moduli of different structures reflects changes in one major variable in the construction of the cross-ply laminates; fibre undulation. Out-of-plane undulation of the
fabric reinforced composites has a negative impact on their longitudinal tensile and compressive properties [12, 14, 15, 18]. Matt and UD fabrics contain 17° and 6° fibre undulation whereas nonwoven tapes contain no discernible crimp. In comparison with Matt and UD, UD shows 9% higher modulus values which can be attributed to the undulation of the fibres of the Matt. In composite, Matt shows 14° undulations whereas UD exhibits no apparent crimp.

The fibre bundle stiffness of T180 was found to be 56.14 GPa in the first plateau, which indicates a very good translation of fibre stiffness properties into composite stiffness. Neither UD nor T180 show any measurable crimp in their composite structures. However, T180 shows fibre bundle stiffness values 43% higher than that of UD. The reasons underlying the superior performance of T180 laminates are the reduced incidents of kink bands, improved homogeneity of fibre distribution, more successful individualisation of elementary fibres, and better impregnation of the lumens of the elementary fibres. It is notable that the GVT structures show the highest fibre bundle stiffness values in the first plateau amongst all the structures, 29% higher than the T180 laminates. The interleaved glass webs inserted into the GVT fabric structure provide the additional initial modulus measured in the GVT laminates.

The Young’s modulus values of the second plateau shown in Table 5 follow the same trend as in the first plateau. For the second plateau also, GVT composites exhibit the highest stiffness values and Matt composites demonstrate the lowest stiffness values. UD and T180 are second and third from the top respectively. Amongst recent publications, only Bensadoun et al. (2017) have shown that for unidirectional laminates, the modulus in the second plateau is 46.1 GPa, which is higher than the highest modulus found (for GVT: 33.78 GPa) in this research. However, the laminates used in this work are cross-ply, which would be expected to show inferior tensile properties than unidirectionally laid specimens.

It has been discussed earlier that due to the intrinsic morphological structure of the flax fibre, the Young’s modulus drops after the application of a certain strain percentage. The modulus dropped from first segment recorded for Matt is 58.33%, 50.8% for UD, 53.43% for T180, and 53.52% for GVT. It can therefore be postulated that the degree of the drop of modulus is not a function of the structural crimp, rather it is a phenomenon related to the fibre’s morphology.
4 Conclusion

Ensuring the exploitation of the full potential of flax fibres in their corresponding composites has presented a serious research challenge. In that regard, it has been shown that the elimination of waviness or ‘crimp’ can increase the fibre performance inside the finished composites. Crimp also imparts kink bands to the elementary flax fibres which reduce the translation efficiency of the fibre to the composite. To eliminate the fibre undulation, nonwoven tapes, T180 (blended with 10% PLA) and GVT (formed with a glass interleaf) were manufactured with their fibres highly aligned. These tape-reinforced composites (with no discernible waviness) were compared, in terms of longitudinal tensile properties, with Matt (14° fibre waviness, and wave amplitude to wave length ratio 0.14) and UD fabric reinforced composites.

The tensile values have been extrapolated using equations based on rule-of-mixtures. For the failure strains, the sequence of values in ascending order was: GVT>T180>UD>Matt. Crimp in the matt structure was liable for the highest failure strains, whereas, absence of crimp and randomly oriented glass veils were liable for the GVT showing the lowest values. Between T180 and UD, the effect of fibre pull-out was liable for UD having higher values. In terms of composite tensile modulus (fibre bundle stiffness by the rule-of-mixtures), the sequence in ascending order was: Matt>UD>T180>GVT.

Micro-scale geometry has been thoroughly studied here to understand the behaviour of the FFRC. Tapes contain more individualised elementary fibres; this ensures better homogeneity of fibre distribution, and consequently a reduced incidence of resin rich areas. The higher degree of individualised elementary fibres also ensures improved lumen impregnation and the greater number of elementary fibres surrounded by matrix ensures better stress transfer during tensile loading of composites. Moreover, tapes contain reduced numbers of kink bands and during the drawing of the slivers, the fibres undergo strain hardening that also improves the fibre properties in comparison with the fibres in the Matt and UD structures. GVT showed enhanced stiffness properties compared with T180 due to the hybridisation effect induced by the glass veils.

The sequence for the composites’ moduli for the second plateau was the same as that of the first plateau. The strength values of the composites also followed the same sequence as that of the modulus. However, the hybridisation effect was mitigated by the random orientation of the glass fibres in the veils in respect of the composites’ strength. Therefore, the fibre bundle strength values for GVT and T180 were almost identical.
Each stress-strain curve showed a distinct knee point, which is an intrinsic feature of FFRC. Three reasons have been identified in this research that influences the onset of the ‘knee’ point and the decrease in the modulus. Firstly, the breakage of the hemicellulose and pectin, that “bonds” the elementary fibres together in a technical fibre. Secondly, the generation of cracks due to the presence of kink bands, which initiate failures in the matrix. And thirdly, a failure of the outer layer of an elementary fibre during tensile loading, which reduces the fibre strength. Kersani et al. (2014) have speculated on this mechanism, however, in this research, this finding has been supported by SEM micrographs. For future investigations, the extent of the development of kink bands due to compression, and the strain hardening of flax fibre during drawing should be quantified. Furthermore, a thorough study to determine the extent of the elementary fibre individualisation and its effect on the longitudinal tensile modulus of the corresponding composites will be an important step in fully understanding the micro-mechanical properties of FFRC.
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