Velocity and absorption coefficient of sound waves in classical gases
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Velocity and absorption coefficient of the plane sound waves in classical gases are obtained by solving the Boltzmann kinetic equation. This is done within the linear response theory as a reaction of the single-particle distribution function to a periodic external field. The nonperturbative dispersion equation is derived in the relaxation time approximation and solved numerically. The obtained theoretical results demonstrate an universal dependence of the sound velocity and scaled absorption coefficient on variable $\omega \tau$, where $\omega$ is the sound frequency and $\tau^{-1}$ is the particle collision frequency. In the region of $\omega \tau \sim 1$ a transition from the frequent- to rare-collision regimes takes place. The sound velocity increases sharply, and the scaled absorption coefficient has a maximum - both theoretical findings are in agreement with the data.
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1. Hydrodynamics and kinetics. Sound waves in classical gases were studied intensively within the hydrodynamical approach (see, e.g., Ref. [1]). The sound velocity $c_0$ in this approach is equal to

$$c_0 = \left( \frac{c_p T}{c_v m} \right)^{1/2},$$

(1)

where $T$ is the system temperature and $m$ the particle mass, $c_p$ and $c_v$ are the specific heat capacity at constant pressure and constant volume, respectively. The sound velocity appears to be independent of sound frequency $\omega$ and approximately equals to the thermal particle velocity. For absorbed plane sound waves (APSW) the wave amplitude decreases as $\exp(-\gamma z)$ after propagating the distance $z$. The absorption coefficient $\gamma$ is usually evaluated from the Stokes relation [1],

$$\gamma = \frac{\omega^2}{2m n c_0^3} \left[ \frac{4}{3} \eta + \frac{1}{c_v} - \frac{1}{c_p} \right] \kappa,$$

(2)

where $n$ is the particle number density, $\eta$ is the shear viscosity, $\kappa$ is the bulk viscosity, and $\kappa$ is the thermal conductivity.

Within a hydrodynamic approach, the kinetic coefficients are phenomenological constants. For calculations of the kinetic coefficients in Eq. (2), one needs the kinetic theory. The global equilibrium of a classical gas is described then by the Maxwell distribution function of particle’s momentum $\mathbf{p}$ with $p \equiv m v$:

$$f_{GE}(p) = \frac{n}{(2\pi m T)^{3/2}} \exp \left( -\frac{p^2}{2m T} \right).$$

(3)

In this equation, the particle number density $n$ and temperature $T$ are independent of the spacial coordinates $\mathbf{r}$ and time $t$. The equilibrium in a classical gas is achieved by successive two-body collisions with the elastic cross-section $\sigma$ equal to $\pi d^2$ for hard-sphere particles with a diameter $d$.

The average value of thermal velocity $v$ can be calculated from Eq. (3) as ($p \equiv m v$ and $v \equiv |\mathbf{v}|$):

$$\bar{v} = \frac{\int p^2 dp \left( \frac{p}{m} \right) f_{GE}(p)}{\int p^2 dp f_{GE}(p)} = \left( \frac{8 T}{\pi m} \right)^{1/2}.  \quad (4)$$

The particle mean-path can be found analytically as a function of $\sigma$ and $n$ [2, 3]:

$$l = \left( \sqrt{2} \sigma n \right)^{-1}. \quad (5)$$

From Eqs. (4) and (5) one finds the collision frequency as

$$\tau^{-1} \equiv \frac{\bar{v}}{l} = 4 \sigma n \sqrt{\frac{T}{\pi m}}.  \quad (6)$$

In terms of the above quantities the shear viscosity $\eta$ can be calculated as

$$\eta = \frac{5 \pi}{32} n m l \bar{v} = \frac{5 \sqrt{\pi}}{16} \frac{\sqrt{m T}}{\sigma}.  \quad (7)$$

The numerical coefficient in Eq. (4) was found by Chapman and Enskog (see, e.g., Ref. [4]). The thermal conductivity can be then found as

$$\kappa = \frac{15}{4} \eta, \quad (8)$$

and the bulk viscosity for non-relativistic mono-atomic gases equals to zero, $\zeta = 0$ (see, e.g., [5]).

The molecular kinetic scheme based on the above equations is self-consistent for dilute gases when the mean free path $l$ is much larger than the size of particles, $l \gg d$. For this case, the excluded volume effects due to the particle hard-core repulsion appear to be negligible, i.e., the gas pressure, $P = n T$, and specific heat capacity, $c_v = 3/2$ and $c_p = 5/2$, are equal to their ideal
gas values with high accuracy. In what follows these thermodynamical relations together with Eqs. (11–13) are assumed to be valid, i.e., our consideration is restricted to the case of dilute classical gases.

Using the above equations, one finds for scaled absorption coefficient from the Stokes formula (9):

$$\frac{\gamma}{\beta_0} = \frac{7}{8} \omega \tau,$$

where $\beta_0 \equiv \omega/c_0$. Both $c_0$ and $\gamma/\beta_0$ given by Eqs. (1) and (9), respectively, are supported by the data at small Knudsen parameter $K \equiv \omega \tau \ll 1$. This is shown in Fig. 1.

The inequality $\omega \tau \ll 1$ corresponds to the so-called frequent collision regime (FCR). In most practical cases, the inequality $l \ll \lambda$, where $\lambda \equiv 2\pi c_0/\omega$ is the sound wavelength, is satisfied, and, thus, the FCR is valid. For example, for gases at normal conditions one gets $\omega \tau \sim 10^{-8} - 10^{-5}$ for the audible frequency region. Calculating $\gamma$ from Eq. (8), one finds $\gamma^{-1} \sim l(\omega \tau)^{-2} \sim 10^5 - 10^8$ cm ($c_0 \sim 10$), i.e., the audible APSW propagate $1 - 10^5$ kilometers before its amplitude decreases by the factor of $e^{-1}$. The absorption of these waves is indeed rather weak. Note also that in the FCR all kinetic coefficients depend on the gas quantities only. For example, the shear viscosity (7) and thermal conductivity (8) are independent of the sound frequency.

Equations (1) and (9) are however in a contradiction with the existing data, at $\omega \tau \gtrsim 1$. This is a transition region from the FCR to the rare-collision regime (RCR). The RCR corresponds to large values of the Knudsen parameter, $K \equiv \omega \tau \gg 1$. The conditions of the RCR emerge at small particle number density (or small pressure), where $l$ increases as $n^{-1}$ according to Eq. (5), and large sound-wave frequency $\omega$. The basic experiments for sound waves in classical gases at large values of $\omega$ (the so-called ultrasonic waves) were done by Greenspan and Meyer-Sessler. Both the FCR and RCR have been studied in our recent paper by using the approximate analytical expansions for small and large values of $K$, and within the linear response theory by performing numerical calculations for any value of $K$. Many works were devoted to a comparison of the theoretical and experimental results for the sound velocity and absorption. The aim of the present paper is to compare the results of Ref. with the available data on the speed and absorption coefficient of the APSW.

2. Boltzmann kinetic approach. We consider the Boltzmann kinetic equation (BKE) for the single-particle distribution function $f(r, p, t)$ of the coordinate $r$, momentum $p$ and time $t$ (see e.g., Ref. 2), with the external potential $V_{ext}(z, t)$ (more details can be found in Ref. 12),

$$V_{ext}(z, t) = \exp(-i \omega t) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} V_k \exp(ikz),$$

where $V_k$ is the Fourier amplitudes. The linearized BKE takes the form:

$$\frac{\partial \delta f}{\partial t} + \frac{p_z}{m} \frac{\partial \delta f}{\partial z} - \delta St[f] = \frac{\partial f_{GE}}{\partial p_z} \frac{\partial V_{ext}}{\partial z},$$

where $\delta f(r, p, t) \equiv f(r, p, t) - f_{GE}(p)$, and the collision term $\delta St[f]$ is taken in the standard Boltzmann form (see, e.g., Ref. 2).

A small periodic external field (10) induces small deviations

$$\delta f(z, p, t) = \exp\left(-i\omega t\right) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \delta f_k \exp(ikz),$$

with small Fourier amplitudes $\delta f_k \propto V_k$ (see, e.g., 15, 21). Equation (11) is assumed to be valid at $|\delta f_k|/f_{GE} \ll 1$, in the form of plane waves with the frequency $\omega$ propagating along the $z$ axis. For the integral collision term $\delta St$ we use the so-called relaxation time approximation (or $\tau$-approximation) 12, 21:

$$\delta St[f] \cong -\frac{1}{\tau} \left(\delta f - \delta f_{LE}\right) \equiv -\frac{1}{\tau} \delta \phi,$$

As usual, the complex number representation is used for convenience, but only the real parts of $f$ and $V_{ext}$ will be taken as physical quantities.
where $\tau^{-1}$ is given by Eq. (11), and the local equilibrium part of $\delta f$ has the form,

$$
\delta f_{\text{LE}} = f_{\text{GE}} \left[ \frac{\delta n}{n} + \beta \frac{\delta u_z}{T} + \left( \frac{\nu^2}{2mT} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \frac{\delta T}{T} \right].
$$

In Eq. (13), $\delta \varphi = \delta f - \delta f_{\text{LE}}$ appears as the additional component responsible for a sound absorption in a gas through the integral collision term $\delta St[f]$ [13]. Note that one has $St[f_{\text{LE}}] = 0$ for any local equilibrium distribution function $f_{\text{LE}}$ [3, 22]. Thus, just the $\delta \varphi$ term is responsible for all dissipative effects in a gas. In Eq. (13), $\delta n$, $\delta T$, and $\delta u_z$ are small deviations of the particle number density, temperature, and collective velocity, $|\delta n/n| \ll 1$, $|\delta T/T| \ll 1$, and $|\delta u_z/\tau| \ll 1$, from their GE values $n$, $T$, and $u_z = 0$. The conservation of particle number, momentum, and energy impose the following requirements [12, 21],

$$
\int dp \, \delta \varphi = 0, \quad \int dp \, p_z \, \delta \varphi = 0, \quad \int dp \, p^2 \, \delta \varphi = 0.
$$

In what follows we put $\delta T = 0$ in Eq. (14), i.e., the effects of thermal conductivity will be neglected. For constant temperature $T$, only Eq. (15) should be considered. Equation (16), i.e., the energy conservation, is then identically satisfied.

The solution of the linearized BKE (11) is found from Eq. (12) by calculating the $k$-integral by the residue method in the following form:

$$
\delta f(z, p, t) \propto \exp(-i\omega t + ik_0 z),
$$

where the poles in the complex $k$ plane are connected with the speed of sound $c$ and absorption coefficient $\gamma$, e.g., as

$$
k_0 = \frac{\omega}{c} + i\tau.
$$

The position of poles $k_0$ are obtained from the following dispersion relation [12],

$$
D(w, \kappa) \equiv \frac{iw}{\kappa} \left[ \frac{1}{\kappa} + Q_1 - 1 \right] \left( 3i \frac{w}{\kappa} \frac{Q_1}{\kappa} - 1 \right) + \frac{8}{\pi} \left( \frac{wQ_1}{\kappa} \right)^2 = 0,
$$

where $w \equiv \omega/k_0 v_T$ with $v_T \equiv (2T/m)^{1/2}$, and $Q_1(\xi) \equiv (\xi/2)\ln[(\xi + 1)/(\xi - 1)] - 1$ with $\xi \equiv w(1 + i/\kappa)$. The absolute values of the sound-wave number $\beta = \omega/c$ and the scaled absorption coefficient $\gamma/\beta$ are given by

$$
\beta = \frac{\omega}{\tau}, \quad \frac{\gamma}{\beta} = \left| \frac{\nu v_z}{\omega} \right|.
$$

Thus, one obtains the wave number $\beta > 0$ and the absorption coefficient $\gamma > 0$ for sound waves spreading in the positive $z$-axis direction for $z > 0$. Similarly, one finds the contributions of other poles [12].

Taking the asymptotic expansion of $D(w, \kappa)$ [Eq. (19)] in a power series over $\kappa$, within the FCR where $\kappa \ll 1$, for the isothermal sound velocity $c$ in units of the adiabatic sound velocity $c_0$ [11], one finds

$$
\frac{c}{c_0} \approx \frac{4}{\sqrt{3\pi}} + a_2 (\omega \tau)^2 + O[(\omega \tau)^4],
$$

$$
\frac{\gamma}{\beta_0} \approx \frac{(21\pi - 40)\sqrt{15\pi}}{160} \omega \tau + O[(\omega \tau)^3],
$$

where $a_2 \equiv 0.67$ and $\beta_0 = \omega/c_0$. In the RCR, $\kappa \gg 1$, one obtains from the asymptotic expansion of Eq. (13) over $1/\omega \tau$

$$
\frac{c}{c_0} \approx \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{(\omega \tau)^2} \right] \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} + O[(\omega \tau)^{-4}],
$$

$$
\frac{\gamma}{\beta_0} \approx \sqrt{\frac{5}{6}} \frac{1}{\omega \tau} + O[(\omega \tau)^{-4}].
$$

3. Comparison with data. The data for the speed of sound and scaled absorption coefficient were originally presented in terms of the dimensionless variable [7, 8, 10]

$$
r = \frac{2f_c}{5\pi f} = \frac{4f_c}{5\omega},
$$

where $f_c = \nu/l$, which coincides with $\tau^{-1}$ given by Eq. (6). We prefer to use the quantity $\omega \tau \equiv 0.8\tau^{-1}$. 

---

Figure 2. Sound velocity $c$ (a) in the units of $c_0$ [11] and the scaled absorption coefficients $\gamma/\beta$ (b) as functions of $\omega \tau$. Solid black lines show the nonperturbative solutions [12]. Dash-dotted line in (a) corresponds to $(c/c_0)(15\pi)^{1/2}/4$. Dashed line in (b) presents the Stokes equation (9) calculated in the FCR.
Thus, in contrast with the original presentation, the frequency $\omega$ in our figures is increasing from left to right along the abscissa axis.

The fundamental experimental observation consists in the following. All mono-atomic gases with different values of a mass $m$ and diameter $d$ (cross-section $\sigma = \pi d^2$), and of a density $n$ and temperature $T$, i.e., different equilibrium states of the gas, lead to the same universal behavior of the speed of sound and scaled absorption coefficient presented in terms of the dimensionless quantity $\omega \tau$. The data at different $m$, $\sigma$, $T$, and $n$ values can be shown at the same figure, but with $\tau = \tau(m, \sigma, n, T)$ calculated according to Eq. (6). One should only remember that the same value of $\omega \tau$ may correspond to different $\omega$ and $\tau = \tau(m, \sigma, n, T)$ values. Our model description is in complete agreement with this experimentally observed scaling. Note that in Ref. [7] the pressure $P$ was changed from 1 atm to $10^{-2} - 10^{-3}$ atm. In addition to Ar and Ne atoms presented in Fig. 2, the measurements were also done for He, Kr, and Xe.

Figure 2 shows the sound velocity $c / c_0$ (a) and scaled absorption coefficient $\gamma / \beta_0$ (b) as functions of the Knudsen parameter $\omega \tau$. The results presented by solid lines are obtained numerically by solving the dispersion equation (15). In the both limits $\omega \tau \ll 1$ and $\omega \tau \gg 1$, our numerical results converge to the asymptotic results of the FCR and RCR, respectively. These limiting behaviors correspond to Eqs. (22) and (21) at leading (quadratic) orders.

As seen from Fig. 2(a), $c / c_0$ increases in a transition region from the FCR to the RCR where $\omega \tau \sim 1$. This theoretical result is in qualitative agreement with the data, i.e., a relative sound dispersion is correctly described. However, the quantitative agreement with the data is very far from being perfect. As seen from Fig. 2(a), the numerical discrepancies are mainly caused by the difference between our FCR limit for isothermal sound velocity $c / c_0$ [Eq. (21)] and the adiabatic velocity $c / c_0 \to 1$ observed experimentally at $\omega \tau \ll 1$. Comparison with the experimental results looks much better for a relative sound dispersion, $(c / c_0)(15\pi)^{1/2} / 4$, i.e., for the $\omega \tau$-dependent deflection of the sound velocity $c$ from its FCR limit (see dash-dotted line in Fig. 2(a)).

Figure 2(b) shows the scaled absorption coefficient $\gamma / \beta_0$ [Eq. (20)]. The absorption coefficient $\gamma / \beta_0$ as a function of the Knudsen parameter demonstrates a maximum at $\omega \tau \sim 1$ in the transition from the FCR to the RCR. This behavior is also in qualitative agreement [see Fig. 2(b)] with the experimental data [9, 10]. For even larger $\omega \tau$, one finds a significant difference from the results of Ref. [10].

Note that in the RCR, $\omega \tau \gg 1$, one finds $\gamma^{-1} \sim l$ from Eq. (24), i.e., the propagating length of the plane sound waves is of the order of a mean-free path in a gas. The quantity $l$ [Eq. (3)] remains rather small even for dilute gases. In a gas at the normal conditions, the mean-free path is estimated as $l \sim 10^{-5}$ cm. Even at much small pressures, e.g., $P = 10^{-3}$ atm, the propagating length $\gamma^{-1} \sim l \sim 10^{-2}$ cm remains in fact rather small at $\omega \tau \gg 1$. On the other hand, the Stokes formula (2) was obtained from the transport equation for the entropy density under the assumption of a weak absorption, $\gamma \lambda / (2 \pi) \ll 1$. In the RCR this estimate is still valid as $\lambda \ll 2l$. Therefore, one may expect a validity of Eq. (2) in the RCR, too. This, however, requires a strong modification of the kinetic coefficients which become also dependent on the sound frequency $\omega$ (see Ref. [11]).

4. Summary. The kinetic approach based on the linear response theory for the BKE is developed to calculate the velocity and absorption coefficient for the plane sound waves. Our solution is based on the relaxation time approximation for the Boltzmann collision integral term in the classical dilute gases.

Nonperturbative numerical solutions are found for the sound velocity and absorption coefficient as functions of the Knudsen parameter $\omega \tau$. It agrees with the asymptotic expansions in both FCR and RCR approximations. Our results are in agreement with experimentally observed scaling which means a dependence of both sound wave quantities – velocity $c / c_0$ and absorption coefficient $\gamma / \beta_0$ – from a single dimensionless parameter $\omega \tau$. Changes of the sound velocity and scaled absorption coefficient in the transition region $\omega \tau \sim 1$ are observed: The sound velocity $c / c_0$ strongly increases while the absorption coefficient $\gamma / \beta_0$ has a maximum at $\omega \tau \approx 1$. Both these theoretical results are in qualitative agreement with the data.

Our theoretical description is not complete. The presented BKE calculations should be extended to account for the thermal conductivity effects. In the RCR the experimental values of $\gamma / \beta_0$ seems to be essentially larger than our estimate $(\omega \tau)^{-1}$ at $\omega \tau \gg 1$. This can be a signal of a presence of additional physical mechanisms for the sound-wave suppression, that were not included in the present formulation. Possible extensions will be checked in our forthcoming studies.
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