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Computing reactive trajectories and free energy (FE) landscapes associated to rare event kinetics is key to understanding the dynamics of complex systems. The analysis of the FE surface on which the underlying dynamics takes place has become central to compute transition rates. In the overdamped limit, most often encountered in biophysics and soft condensed matter, the Kramers’ Theory (KT) has proved to be quite successful in recovering correct kinetics. However, the additional calculation to obtain rate constants in complex systems where configurational entropy is competing with energy is still challenging conceptually and computationally. Building on KT and the metadynamics framework, the rate is expressed in terms of the height of the FE barrier measured along the minimum FE path and an auxiliary measure of the configurational entropy. We apply the formalism to two different problems where our approach shows good agreement with simulations and experiments and can present significant improvement over the standard KT.

Since the seminal work of Hendrik A. Kramers in 1940 [1], the study of rare events has been a subject of considerable interest to several scientific communities [2–10]. These events are rare because the systems of interest have to overcome some barriers, which can either be of an energetic or an entropic nature. From a theoretical viewpoint, rate theories, such as transition-state theory [11] (TST) and Kramers’ theory [12, 13] (KT), have been successful in providing the language, the intuition, and the foundation for the development of computational tools for studying barrier-crossing events. What is most attractive about rate theory is its simplicity. It states basically that to move from the reactant state to the product state, the system has to navigate itself to the transition state, which is a saddle point on the potential, or free energy (FE) surface. In many cases, one can also define the most probable transition path for the reaction, which for overdamped systems of interest here is simply the minimum FE path (MFEP).

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations are now used on a regular basis to study the statistical properties of barrier-crossing events in the long-time limit [3–5]. In the context of rare events, the systems can present different FE minima, each one trapping the dynamics for a time that can be long compared to fast bond vibrations, until a thermally activated jump is eventually performed toward another metastable or global minima. Ideally, a complete understanding of an activated process would encompass all of its kinetic aspects. However, there is often a wide gap between the time scale of the transition of interest and the time scale accessible with simulations, and one is content with reconstructing the geometric pathways and their FE profiles. To do so, a number of different computational approaches were introduced in the last few decades, sometimes designed on purpose and sometimes borrowed from different disciplines [10]. Nevertheless, it remains necessary to assess the reliability of these methods with comparison with appropriate rate theory [12].

In the present work, we consider the overdamped limit most often encountered in biophysics and soft condensed matter [8, 13], for which the KT has proved to be quite successful in recovering correct kinetics. Focusing on complex systems characterized with metastable states where entropy is competing with energy, we introduce a new approach to evaluate transition rates when configurational entropy [14, 15] associated to anharmonic motions in the metastable basin and not captured by the MFEP comes into play. Building on the standard KT and the metadynamics [16, 17] (metaD) framework, the rate is first expressed in terms of the height of the FE barrier measured along the MFEP. We then define an auxiliary measure of the configurational entropy in the metastable basin based on the reconstruction of the FE landscape obtained from metaD simulations [18].

The starting point in the theory of barrier crossing under the influence of friction initiated by Kramers is the inertial Langevin equation with Markovian friction and random forces coupled to reaction coordinate motion [19]:

\[ m \ddot{q} = -\frac{\partial V}{\partial q} - \gamma \dot{q} + R(t) . \tag{1} \]

In Eq. (1) \( q \) represents the reaction coordinate, \( m \) is the reduced mass for the reaction coordinate, \( \gamma \) is the friction coefficient, and \( V(q) \) is a potential of mean force (PMF). \( R(t) \) is a random force with zero mean that satisfies the fluctuation-dissipation theorem [20]. Without loss of generality, we set \( m = 1 \) in the following. In principle, Langevin equation can be constructed from MD simulations. For instance, the PMF can be computed using metaD or umbrella sampling simulations. KT is a valid approximation for real solvent as encountered in polymer physics and classic theories of nucleation and provides a unified framework for understanding how dynamics influence reaction rates [12]. In particular, the strong friction limit of interest here is where quantitative
The KT discussed above gives a physical derivation of the reaction rate constant, $k_{KT}$, in terms of the shape of the FE profile. This consideration comes closer to reality for a reaction with a FE landscape containing a large energy barrier and narrow valley between reactants and products, but it will be a poor approximation in the presence of large entropic FE basins [12]. In such case, the convergence of the FE profile could even not be achieved due to large entropic fluctuations. To overcome this limitation, we consider the shape of the MFEP in Eq. 9 instead. As the transition rate defined in Eq. 9 may account for the activation entropy captured by the MFEP, such as rotational and vibrational entropy [22, 24], it does not account for the configurational entropy, $S_{conf}$, in the metastable basin [15, 24]:

$$S_{conf} = -k_B \int dx \rho(x) \ln \rho(x),$$

(10)

where $\rho(x)$ represents the canonical probability density distribution function associated with the system potential energy $U(x)$ of the form

$$\rho(x) = \frac{\exp(-U(x)/k_B T)}{\int dx \exp(-U(x)/k_B T)}.$$

(11)

Since its introduction in 1981 by Kushick and Karplus in the context of macromolecules [14], a number of methods have been proposed in the literature to estimate the configurational entropy of complex systems [14, 15, 26, 27]. We consider here the definition of the FE difference between two metastable basins $B_i$ and $B_j$, $\Delta F_{ij}^*$, in terms of the probability distribution of the collective variables (CVs) along which the FE landscape is projected [18] to assess quantitatively the entropic contribution of the FE surface:

$$\Delta F_{ij}^* = -k_B T \log \left( \frac{P_i}{P_j} \right).$$

(12)

In Eq. 12 $P_i$ and $P_j$ are the probabilities of states $i$ and $j$, respectively. The probability of each state is computed as the integral of the distribution within the FE basin, $B_i$, it occupies on the CV-space reconstructed within the metaD framework,

$$P_i = \int_{B_i} f(C_{V1}, C_{V2}, \ldots) \, dC_{V1} \, dC_{V2} \ldots,$$

(13)

where $f$ is the joint probability density distribution function associated with the system FE, and $\{C_{V1}, C_{V2}, \ldots\}$ represents the subset of CVs needed to reach the convergence of the metaD simulation and to decipher the configurational entropic contribution to the system. This

results from KT are most reliable. In this limit, the time evolution of the probability density $P(x,t)$ is governed by the Smoluchowski equation [1]

$$\frac{\partial P(q,t)}{\partial t} = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \left( \frac{\partial V(q,t)}{\partial q} P(q,t) \right) + \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{\partial P(q,t)}{\partial q},$$

(2)

where the right-end term in Eq. 2 corresponds to the gradient of the probability flux $J$ over the barrier

$$J = -\frac{1}{\gamma} \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \left( e^{\frac{\beta V(q,t)}{k_B T}} P(q,t) \right),$$

(3)

considering the system is thermalized near the bottom of the well [1]. Following the original reasoning of Kramers [1], we assume a steady state escape rate, $k_{KT}$, by considering a stationary situation for the the probability flux $J$. (4)

Rewriting $J = p \, k_{KT}$, with $p$ the probability of the particle being inside the metastable well and $k_{KT}$ the Kramers’ escape rate, we consider that the system is confined to a small neighbourhood $\Omega_{q_0}$ around the minimum $q_0$ of the well. Expanding about this point, the probability of finding a particle in the well is

$$p = \int_{\Omega_{q_0}} P(x) dx = P(q_0) \frac{2\pi k_B T}{V''(q_0)}. $$

(5)

This yields the Kramers’ escape rate,

$$k_{KT} = \frac{\sqrt{V''(q_0) \times |V''(q_T)|}}{2\pi} e^{-\Delta V / k_B T},$$

(6)

where $\Delta V = V(q_T) - V(q_0)$. The expression in Eq. 6 must account for the symmetric or asymmetric nature of the FE profile in the metastable states and at the transition state. To do so, the PMF $V(q)$ in Eq. 1 can either be fitted with Gaussian or skew-Gaussian curve depending on the symmetric or asymmetric nature of the FE profile [13, 21], respectively

$$V_{sym}(q) \propto e^{-(q-q_0)^2 / 2\sigma^2},$$

(7)

$$V_{asym}(q) \propto V_{sym}(q) \left( 1 + \text{erf} \left( \frac{\alpha(q-q_0)}{\sqrt{2}\sigma} \right) \right),$$

(8)

with $\sigma$ and $\alpha$ the parameters of the distributions. We can then rewrite Eq. 6 in the form of the expression originally derived by Kramers in the overdamped regime [1],

$$k_{KT} = \frac{\omega_0^{eff} \omega_T^{eff}}{2\pi \gamma} e^{-\Delta V / k_B T},$$

(9)

where $\omega_0^{eff}$ and $\omega_T^{eff}$ represent the effective stiffness of the well and the barrier, respectively, modeled with the Gaussian or skew-Gaussian distributions in Eqs. 7, 8.
means that the number of CVs to be considered in Eq. 13 can be higher than the one needed for the convergence of the FE landscape. Denoting $\Delta F_{ij}$ the FE of formation between the two metastable basins $B_i$ and $B_j$ measured along the MFEP, the difference in configurational entropy, $\Delta S^\text{conf}_{ij}$, would be assessed as:

$$- T \Delta S^\text{conf}_{ij} = \Delta F_{ij} - \Delta F^\text{eq}_{ij}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Substituting the FE of formation with the FE of activation between the equilibrium basin $B_0$ and the transition state in Eqs. 14 and 12 one can rewrite the Kramers’ equation as:

$$k_0 = k^\text{conf} \times k_{KT} = e^{\Delta S^\text{conf}_{ij}/k_B} \left( \frac{\omega^\text{eff}_i \omega^\text{eff}_j}{2 \pi \gamma} e^{-\Delta V/k_BT} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

with $k^\text{conf}$ a correction factor accounting for the difference in configurational entropy between the equilibrium basin $B_0$ and the TS. In Eq. 15, $\Delta V = V(q_T) - V(q_0)$ can either represent a potential energy difference, as originally considered by Kramers [1], or a FE difference, as considered thereafter. Eventually, the direct estimation of the transition rate, $k_0$, can be determined if the reduced mass, $m$, and the effective friction coefficient, $\gamma$, defined in Eq. 15 are known. However, these parameters might not be easy to determine when the complexity of the CVs increases. In addition, care must be taken with the direct estimation of the transition rates derived in Eq. 14 as it is not true to say that there is a fully established Boltzmann-Gibbs distribution in the neighbourhood of the transition state [30, 31]. Nevertheless, it is possible to compute the ratio between the rates associated to the transition between two metastable basins, $B_i$ and $B_j$:

$$\frac{k_i}{k_j} = e^{\Delta S^\text{conf}_{ij}/k_B} \frac{\omega_j \gamma_j}{\omega_i \gamma_i} e^{-(V_i-V_j)/k_BT}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

In the following, we proceed with three illustrative applications of our approach, each with different level of coarse-grained and entropic contribution. The details of the numerical simulations are given in the Supplemental Material (SM).

Alanine dipeptide in vacuum. The conformational transition between conformers $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of this peptide has been extensively studied as an example of rare event [6, 7, 32, 34]. We performed well-tempered metaD (WT-metaD) atomistic simulations [35, 36] using both torsional angle $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ as CVs. In Fig. 1a, is shown the FE surface for this molecule, along with the rough locations of the stable states. The location of the metastable basins and the heigh of the FE barriers are in agreement with the ones found in the literature [6, 7, 32]. We determined the value of the FE of formation, $\Delta F_{\alpha\beta} = F(\alpha) - F(\beta) \approx 4 k_BT$ along the MFEP depicted in Fig. 1a, and the FE of formation $\Delta F^*_{\alpha\beta} \approx 5 k_BT$, defined in term of the probability distribution of $\Phi$ and $\Psi$, considering the successive iso-surfaces depicted in Fig. 1a, as integration domain. The exact values are given in the SM along with the values of the parameters $\omega_\alpha$ and $\omega_\beta$ defined in Eq. 16. Assuming that the effective friction coefficient, $\gamma$, in Eq. 15 remains unchanged in the transitions $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$, one obtains the transition rate ratio, $k_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha}/k_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = (5.6 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-2}$. This result is in good agreement with the numerical ratio obtained within the accelerated MD framework [4, 6, 7].
\[ \frac{k_{\text{num}}^{(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)}}{k_{\text{st}}^{(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)}} = (4.0 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}. \] For instance, the standard KT yields \[ \frac{k_{\text{st}}^{(\beta \rightarrow \alpha)}}{k_{\text{st}}^{(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)}} = (1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}, \] which does not differ significantly from our approach.

**Linear DNA denaturation bubble.** The cooperative opening and closure of a sequence of DNA consecutive base-pairs (bps) is central in biological mechanisms \[8, 37–41\]. We performed coarse-grained WT-metaD and Brownian simulations using the width \( \rho_{\text{max}} \) of the bubble defined in Fig. 1b. To explore the slow entropic contribution associated with the DNA bubble metastable basin we chose to follow the evolution of the minimal twist angle \( \Phi_{\text{min}} \) inside the bubble as auxiliary variable (cf. Fig. 1b). The analysis of the FE surface associated with the bubble closure and opening mechanisms, as shown in Fig. 1b, allowed us to determine the value the FE of formation, \( \Delta F = F(op) - F(cl) \approx 9 \, k_B T \) along the MFEP depicted in Fig. 1d and the FE of formation \( \Delta F^* \approx 7 \, k_B T \), defined in term of the probability distribution of \( \rho_{\text{max}} \) and \( \Phi_{\text{min}} \), considering the successive isosurfaces depicted in Fig. 1d as integration domain. The exact values are given in the SM along with the values of the parameters \( \omega_{\text{op}} \) and \( \omega_{\text{cl}} \). Considering the Rouse model \[54\] valid for flexible polymer chain, the effective friction coefficient, \( \gamma \), in Eq. 15 depends on the number of opened bps, \( N_{\text{hub}} \), in the DNA bubble. The typical size observed in the simulations, \( N_{\text{hub}} \approx 10 \) bps, yields the relation \( \gamma_{\text{op}}/(\gamma_{\text{cl}}) \approx N_{\text{hub}} \) between the effective frictions in Eq. 10. One obtains the transition rate ratio, \( k_{\text{cl} \rightarrow \text{op}}/k_{\text{op} \rightarrow \text{cl}} = (1.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3} \), in close agreement with the numerical ratio obtained within the accelerated MD framework, \( k_{\text{cl} \rightarrow \text{op}}/k_{\text{op} \rightarrow \text{cl}} = (1.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3} \) and the experimental times measured by Altan-Bonnet et al. \[42\]. For instance, the standard KT yields \( \frac{k_{\text{st}}^{(\beta \rightarrow \alpha)}}{k_{\text{st}}^{(\alpha \rightarrow \beta)}} = (4.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3} \), which does not differ significantly from our approach.

**Circular DNA denaturation bubble.** To conclude this analysis, we studied the cooperative opening and closure of denaturation bubble in a negatively supercoiled DNA minicircle within the WT-metaD framework and using the width \( \rho_{\text{max}} \) of the bubble as a CV. As discussed in the SM, we set the parameters of the system so that the convergence of the FE profile cannot be reached due to the large configurational entropy contribution and the standard KT does not apply. Nevertheless, the convergence of the FE surface in the vicinity of the MFEP shown in Fig. 1d allowed us to to determine the value the FE of formation along the MFEP, \( \Delta F = F(op) - F(cl) \approx -4.5 \, k_B T \) and the FE of formation \( \Delta F^* \approx -8.5 \, k_B T \), defined in term of the probability distribution of \( \rho_{\text{max}} \) and \( \Phi_{\text{min}} \). Considering the typical size of the DNA bubble observed in the simulations, \( N_{\text{hub}} \approx 12 \) bps, we determined the parameter \( \gamma_{\text{op}}/(\gamma_{\text{cl}}) \) and obtained the transition rate ratio, \( k_{\text{cl} \rightarrow \text{op}}/k_{\text{op} \rightarrow \text{cl}} = (1.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{9} \). This result is consistent with the inversion of the thermodynamic stability of the system with respect to opened and closed DNA states, characteristic of the predominant stability of the long-lived denaturation bubble in supercoiled DNA. Although the analysis of a converged FE surface was achievable in such case with the appropriate use of the auxiliary variable, \( \Phi_{\text{min}} \), the direct numerical estimation of the transition rates was not achievable with accelerated MD approaches, as the shape of the original FE surface could not be even maintained after the addition of the bias potential \[3\].

In this paper we discussed the theoretical background and algorithmic details to compute the transition rates of complex systems when slow entropic contribution, such as configurational entropy, comes into play. We considered three illustrative applications presenting different level of coarse-graining and entropic contribution. In the limit where the slow entropy contribution does not prevent the reconstruction of a converged FE profile, our approach and the standard KT showed good agreement with simulations and experiments. In the limit of large entropic fluctuations, where the shape of the original FE landscape cannot be evenly maintained within the accelerated MD framework, we showed that our approach could present significant improvement over the standard KT. We chose to reconstruct the MFEP and to compute the FE defined in terms of the probability distribution of the CVs able to adequately describe the transitions between the FE basins and the auxiliary variables used to decipher the slow entropic contribution to the system. In principle, this would be equivalent to find first the MFEP within the Transition Path sampling framework \[3\] and to explore afterwards the entropic properties of the FE landscape \[12\]. The choice of a specific framework would be motivated by the complexity of the underlying dynamics of the systems.

Finally, let us comment on the dependence of the measure of the configurational entropy contribution on the choice of the auxiliary CVs. Similarly to the metaD framework used to explore the FE landscape of complex systems, the reliability of our approach is strongly influenced by the choice of the auxiliary CVs considered in Eq. 13. To overcome such limitations, one could consider the potential energy of the system as an auxiliary CV as recently explored by Salvalaggio and coworkers \[34\], within the metaD framework, to break down FE surfaces into their entropic and enthalpic components. Eventually, one would compute rigorously the configurational entropy contribution and identify a complementary measure along an arbitrary chosen CV. This roadmap will be considered in the near future.
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Alanine dipeptide in vacuum

The conformational transition between conformers $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of the alanine dipeptide molecule has been extensively studied as an example of rare event \cite{S1–S5}. The two stable states are differentiated by the values of the backbone dihedral angles $\Phi$ and $\Psi$, as defined in the inset of Fig. S1 (left panel), and are separated by an activation free energy (FE) barrier of $\approx 8$ kcal/mol. We used a Langevin thermostat to enforce the temperature \cite{S6}, a time step of 0.2 fs, AMBER03 forcefield \cite{S7} and GROMACS 5.1 molecular dynamics code \cite{S8} patched with PLUMED 2.3 \cite{S9}. To reconstruct the FE surface, we performed well-tempered metaD (WT-metaD) atomistic simulations \cite{S10, S11} using both torsional angles $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ as collective variables (CVs), a bias factor of 15 at 300 K. The initial Gaussian height was 1.25 kJ/mol, the width was 0.25 rad, and the deposition stride was 0.12 ps. A single alanine dipeptide molecule was kept in a periodic cubic box of edge $\approx 3$ nm. The LINCS algorithm \cite{S12} handled bond constraints while the particle-mesh Ewald scheme \cite{S13} was used to treat long-range electrostatic interactions. The non-bonded van der Waals cutoff radius was 0.8 nm.

Fig. S1 (left panel) shows the FE surface for this molecule, along with the rough locations of the stable states. The two minima $C_{eq}$ and $C'_{eq}$ are combined in the $\beta$ basin as in Refs. S2, S16. The location of the metastable basins and the height of the FE barrier are in agreement with the ones found in the literature \cite{S1–S3}. We determined the value of the FE of formation, $\Delta F^\alpha_{eq} = F(\beta) - F(\alpha) = 3.6 \pm 0.4$ $k_B T$ and the activation energies, $\Delta F_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = 9.1 \pm 0.1$ $k_B T$ and $\Delta F_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha} = 12.6 \pm 0.1$ $k_B T$ along the MFEP obtained within the steepest descent framework \cite{S14}, as shown in Fig. S1 (middle panel). The FE of formation, $\Delta F_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = 4.7 \pm 0.1$ $k_B T$, defined in term of the probability distribution of $\Phi$ and $\Psi$, was computed considering the successive iso-surfaces in the FE basins depicted in Fig. S1 (left panel) as integration domains (cf. Eq. (13) in the main text). In Fig. S1 (middle panel), we show the FE of the peptide as a function of the progression along the typical MFEP (normalized to unity). The nonlinear least-squares Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm was implemented to fit the parameters $\omega_0$ and $\omega_m$ with Gaussian distribution. We obtained $\omega_m = 5.0 \pm 0.1$ and $\omega_0 = 3.3 \pm 0.1$ for the metastable ($\alpha$) and equilibrium ($\beta$) basins, respectively. Assuming that

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figS1}
\caption{\textbf{Left panel:} FE surface associated with the conformational transition between conformers $\alpha$ and $\beta$ of alanine dipeptide in vacuum as a function of the two dihedral angles $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ (see inset). The contour lines are every half $k_B T$. The typical MFEP obtained within the steepest descent framework \cite{S14} is shown in red color along with the locations of the transition states, TS1 and TS2. \textbf{Middle panel:} FE profile of the alanine dipeptide in vacuum as a function of the progression along the typical MFEP (normalized to unity) obtained within the steepest descent framework \cite{S14}. The nonlinear least-squares Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm was implemented to fit the parameters $\omega_0$ and $\omega_m$, measured in the equilibrium and metastable states, respectively. \textbf{Right panel:} FE profile of alanine dipeptide in vacuum reconstructed along the dihedral angle $\Phi$ obtained within the WT-metaD framework. The nonlinear least-squares Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm was implemented to fit the parameters $\omega_0$ and $\omega_m$, measured in the equilibrium and metastable states, respectively.}
\end{figure}
the effective friction coefficient, $\gamma$, remains unchanged in the transitions $\alpha \leftrightarrow \beta$, one obtains the transition rate ratio, $k_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha}/k_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = (5.6 \pm 2.0) \times 10^{-2}$.

In Fig. S11 (right panel), we show the FE profile of the peptide along the dihedral angle $\Phi$ reconstructed within the WT-metaD framework. We determined the value of the FE of formation, $\Delta F_{\alpha \beta}^0 = 3.9 \pm 0.1$ kcal/mol and the activation energies, $\Delta F_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = 7.7 \pm 0.1$ kcal/mol and $\Delta F_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha} = 11.6 \pm 0.1$ kcal/mol. The nonlinear least-squares Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm was implemented to fit the parameters $\omega_0$ and $\omega_n$ with Gaussian distribution. We obtained $\omega_m = 6.8 \pm 0.1$ and $\omega_0 = 4.7 \pm 0.2$ for the metastable ($\alpha$) and equilibrium ($\beta$) basins, respectively. The standard KT yields $k^{(st)}_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha}/k^{(st)}_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = (1.4 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-2}$.

We extended the Metadynamics scope S2 S3 S12 to estimate the mean transition times between the metastable ($\alpha$) and the equilibrium ($\beta$) states of the peptide. WT-metaD was performed using both torsional angles $\Phi$ and $\Psi$ as CV. We denote by $\tau$, the mean transition time over the barrier from the states, and by $\tau_M$, the mean transition time for the metadynamics run. The latter changes as the simulation progresses and is linked to the former through the acceleration factor $\alpha(t) \equiv \langle e^{\beta V(s,i)} \rangle_M = \tau/\tau_M(t)$, where the angular brackets $\langle \ldots \rangle_M$ denote an average over a metadynamics run confined to the metastable basin, and $V(s,t)$ is the metadynamics time-dependent bias. To avoid depositing bias in the transition state region, we increase the time lag between two successive Gaussian depositions in the WT-metaD framework S2 S3 to 20 ps and decrease the bias factor to 5. The statistics for $\tau_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta}$ and $\tau_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha}$ conform to a Poisson distribution with means $\mu_\alpha \rightarrow \beta = 5 \pm 2$ ns and $\mu_\beta \rightarrow \alpha = 125 \pm 37$ ns and variance $\lambda_\alpha \rightarrow \beta = 6$ ns and $\lambda_\beta \rightarrow \alpha = 116$ ns, respectively. The statistics obey a two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test S2 with $p$-value equal to 0.81 and 0.76, respectively. This yields the numerical ratio $k^{(num)}_{\beta \rightarrow \alpha}/k^{(num)}_{\alpha \rightarrow \beta} = (4.0 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-2}$.

**Linear DNA denaturation bubble**

The cooperative opening and closure of a sequence of DNA consecutive base-pairs (bps) is central in biological mechanisms. The associated characteristic times measured experimentally by Altan-Bonnet et al. S17 showed large bubble lifetimes of $20 - 100$ $\mu$s and nucleation time of several $\mu$s. We use the DNA model of Refs. S18 S19 S20, where the mesoscopic DNA model consists in two interacting bead-spring chains each made of $N = 50$ beads (of diameter $a = 0.34$ nm) at position $r_i$, with an AT-rich region of 30 bps in the middle, and a GC region of 10 bps at each extremity. The Hamiltonian is $H = H^{(1)}_c + H^{(2)}_c + H_{\text{tor}} + H_{\text{int}}$, where the first two contributions are elastic energies of the strands $j = 1, 2$ which include both stretching and bending energies

$$H^{(j)}_c = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{K_s}{2} (r_{i+1,i} - a_{\text{ref}})^2 + \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{K_\theta}{2} (\theta_i - \theta_{\text{ref}})^2.$$  

(S1)

The stretching modulus, $a^2 \beta_0 \kappa_s = 100$, is a compromise between numerical efficiency and experimental values S20, where $\beta_0^{-1} = k_BT_0$ is the thermal energy, $T_0 = 300$ K is the room temperature, and $a_{\text{ref}} = 0.357$ nm. The bending modulus is large, $\beta_0 \kappa_\theta = 600$, to maintain the angle between two consecutive tangent vectors along each strand $\theta_i$ to the fixed value $\theta_{\text{ref}} = 0.41$ rad. Each strand is thus modeled as a freely rotating chain (FRC) S21. The third and fourth terms of $H$ are the torsional energy and hydrogen-bonding interactions, respectively. The torsional energy is modeled by a harmonic potential

$$H_{\text{tor}} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \frac{\kappa_{\phi,i}}{2} (\phi_i - \phi_{\text{ref}})^2,$$  

(S2)

where $\phi_i$ is defined as the angle between two consecutive base-pair vectors $r_i \equiv r_i^{(1)} - r_i^{(2)}$ and $\rho_i = |\phi_i|$ ($\phi_{\text{ref}} = 0.62$ rad). The stacking interaction between base pairs is modeled through a $\kappa_{\rho,i}$ that depends on the value of the bare dsDNA torsional modulus $\kappa_\phi$, and the distances between complementary bases, $\kappa_{\phi,i} = \kappa_\phi [1 - f(\rho_i) f(\rho_{i+1})]$, where

$$f(\rho_i) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \text{erf} \left( \frac{\rho_i - \rho_{\text{ref}}}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \right],$$  

(S3)

and $\rho_i = |\rho_i|$. Hence, $\kappa_{\phi,i} = \kappa_\phi$ in the dsDNA state and $\kappa_{\phi,i} = 0$ in the ssDNA one. The actual values in the dsDNA state after equilibration, $\kappa_{\phi,\text{eq}}$, are however different from the prescribed values, $\kappa_\phi$, due to thermal fluctuations and non-linear potentials entering the Hamiltonian. The hydrogen-bonding interaction is modeled by a Morse potential

$$H_{\text{int}} = \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} A (e^{-2\frac{\mu - \rho_{i}}{\mu_{\text{eq}}}} - 2e^{-\frac{\rho_{i} - \rho_{\text{eq}}}{\mu_{\text{eq}}}}),$$  

(S4)
where $\rho_{\text{ref}} = 1 \text{ nm}$, $\lambda = 0.2 \text{ nm}$, and $\beta_0 A = 8$ and 12 for AT and GC bonding, respectively, as in Refs. S18, S19, S22. The fitted values for the dsDNA persistence length and the pitch are $\ell_{\text{ds}} \simeq 160 \text{ bps}$ and $p = 12 \text{ bps}$ for the relevant range of $\beta_0 \kappa A$ we are interested in, which are comparable to the actual dsDNA values ($\ell_{\text{ds}} \simeq 150 \text{ bps}$ and $p = 10.4 \text{ bps}$). The ssDNA persistence length is $\ell_{\text{ss}} = 3.7 \text{ nm}$, compatible with experimental measurement S23, even though in the upper range of measured values. The evolution of $r_i(t)$ is governed by the overdamped Langevin equation, integrated using an Euler’s scheme,

$$\xi \frac{dr_i}{dt} = -\nabla_r \mathcal{H}(r_i) + \xi(t), \quad (S5)$$

where $\xi = 3\pi \eta a$ is the friction coefficient for each bead of diameter $a$ with $\eta = 10^{-3} \text{ Pa.s}$ the water viscosity. The diffusion coefficient, $D_{\text{diff}} \equiv k_B T/3\pi \eta a$, thus takes into account the level of coarse-graining of the mesoscopic model involved in the kinetics associated to the smoothed free-energy landscape S24. The random force of zero mean $\xi_i(t)$ obeys the fluctuation-dissipation relation $\langle \xi_i(t) \xi_i(t') \rangle = 6k_B T \delta_{ij} \delta(t - t')$. Lengths and energies are made dimensionless in the units of $a = 0.34 \text{ nm}$ and $k_B T_0$, respectively. The dimensionless time step is $\delta \tau = 5k_B T_0/(a^2 \xi)$. We checked that a slight change in the position of the wall ($\rho_{\text{max}} = 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5.5, 7 \text{ nm}$) does not change significantly the results, particularly the positions of the local minimum and the saddle, as well as the barrier height. To explore the slow entropic contribution associated to the DNA bubble metastable basin we chose to follow the evolution of the minimal twist angle $\Phi_{\text{min}}$ inside the bubble S19, as shown in the inset in Fig. S2 (left panel), reconstructed afterwards using the reweighting technique of Bonomi et al. S20.

To reconstruct the FE surface, we performed WT-metaD coarse-grained simulations with the width of the DNA bubble, $\rho_{\text{max}}(t)$, as CV using the version 2.3 of the plugin for free-energy calculation, named PLUMED S4. According to the algorithm introduced by Barducci et al. S10, S26 a Gaussian is deposited every 25 ps with initial height of 0.1 $k_B T$ and a bias factor of 5 at $T = 300 \text{ K}$. The resolution of the recovered free-energy landscape is determined by the width of the Gaussians $\sigma = 0.1$ in units of the CV. As described in previous work S19, we put a wall at $\rho_{\text{max}} \approx 4 \text{ nm}$ to prevent the system to escape from the metastable state (and therefore entering in the zipping regime, i.e. a far from equilibrium process S18, S22). We checked that a slight change in the position of the wall ($\rho_{\text{max}} = 3.5, 4, 4.5, 5.5, 7 \text{ nm}$) does not change significantly the results, particularly the positions of the local minimum and the saddle, as well as the barrier height. To explore the slow entropic contribution associated to the DNA bubble metastable basin we chose to follow the evolution of the minimal twist angle $\Phi_{\text{min}}$ inside the bubble S19, as shown in the inset in Fig. S2 (left panel), reconstructed afterwards using the reweighting technique of Bonomi et al. S20.

The analysis of the FE surface associated with the bubble closure and opening mechanisms, as shown in Fig. S2 (left panel), allowed us to determine the value the FE of formation, $\Delta F^0 = F(\text{op}) - F(\text{cl}) = 9\pm0.1 \text{ k}_B T$ and the activation energies, $\Delta F_{\text{cl-op}} = 21.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ k}_B T$ and $\Delta F_{\text{op-cl}} = 12.9 \pm 0.1 \text{ k}_B T$ along the MFEP obtained within the steepest descent framework S14, as shown in Fig. S2 (middle panel). The FE of formation, $\Delta F^\ast = 6.7 \pm 0.1 \text{ k}_B T$, effects on the equilibrium and metastable states, respectively. Right panel FE profile of the system along $\rho_{\text{max}}$ reconstructed within the WT-metaD framework. The nonlinear least-squares Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm was implemented to fit the parameters $\omega_0$ and $\omega_m$, measured in the equilibrium and metastable states, respectively.

FIG. S2: Left panel FE surface associated with the DNA bubble closure/nucleation mechanism projected along the maximal distance between paired bases $\rho_{\text{max}}$ and the minimal twist angle between successive bps, $\phi_{\text{min}}$ (see inset). The contour lines are every two $k_B T$. The two stables basins associated with the opened (op) and closed (cl) states of the DNA bubble and the typical MFEP obtained within the steepest descent framework S14 are shown (red). Middle panel FE of the DNA bubble as a function of the progression along the typical MFEP (normalized to unity) obtained within the steepest descent framework S14. The nonlinear least-squares Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm was implemented to fit the parameters $\omega_0$ and $\omega_m$, measured in the equilibrium and metastable states, respectively. Right panel FE profile of the system along $\rho_{\text{max}}$ reconstructed within the WT-metaD framework. The nonlinear least-squares Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm was implemented to fit the parameters $\omega_0$ and $\omega_m$, measured in the equilibrium and metastable states, respectively.
defined in term of the probability distribution of \( \rho_{\text{max}} \) and \( \Phi_{\text{min}} \), was computed considering the successive isosurfaces in the FE basins depicted in Fig. S2 (left panel) as integration domains (cf. Eq. (13) in the main text). In Fig. S2 (middle panel), we show the FE of the system as a function of the progression along the typical MFEP (normalized to unity). The nonlinear least-squares Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm was implemented to fit the parameters \( \omega_0 \) and \( \omega_m \) with Gaussian or skew-Gaussian distributions depending on the symmetric or asymmetric nature of the FE profile, respectively. We obtained \( \omega_m = 5.3 \pm 0.2 \) and \( \omega_0 = 64.2 \pm 2.1 \) for the metastable (cl) and equilibrium (op) basins, respectively. Considering the Rouse model \( S2 \) valid for flexible polymer chain, the effective friction coefficient, \( \gamma \), in Eq. 16 in the main text depends on the number of opened bps, \( N_{\text{bab}} \), in the DNA bubble. The typical size observed in the simulations, \( N_{\text{bab}} \approx 10 \) bps, yields the relation \( \gamma_{\text{op}}/\gamma_{\text{cl}} \approx N_{\text{bab}} \) between the effective frictions. We obtain the transition rate ratio, \( k_{\text{cl-op}}/k_{\text{op-cl}} = (1.5 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-3} \).

In Fig. S2 (right panel), we show the FE profile of the system along \( \rho_{\text{max}} \) reconstructed within the WT-metaD framework. We determined the value of the FE of formation, \( \Delta F^0 = 10.3 \pm 0.1 \) kBT and the activation energies, \( \Delta F_{\text{cl-op}} = 22.6 \pm 0.1 \) kBT and \( \Delta F_{\text{op-cl}} = 12.3 \pm 0.1 \) kBT. The nonlinear least-squares Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm was implemented to fit the parameters \( \omega_0 \) and \( \omega_m \) with Gaussian distribution. We obtained \( \omega_m = 5.4 \pm 0.4 \) and \( \omega_0 = 64.3 \pm 1.9 \) for the metastable (\( \alpha \)) and equilibrium (\( \beta \)) basins, respectively. The standard KT yields \( k_{\text{cl-op}}^{(\text{num})}/k_{\text{op-cl}}^{(\text{num})} = (4.0 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-3} \).

We extended the Metadynamics scope \( S2 \) to estimate the mean transition times between the metastable (op) and the equilibrium (cl) states of the DNA bubble. WT-metaD was performed using the width \( \rho_{\text{max}} \) as CV. Unlike in the FE surface reconstruction, no wall was added along the CV \( \rho_{\text{max}} \) in that case. We denote by \( \tau \), the mean transition time over the barrier from the states, and by \( \tau_{\text{MF}} \), the mean transition time for the metadynamics run. To avoid depositing bias in the transition state region, we increase the time lag between two successive Gaussian depositions in the WT-metaD framework \( S2 \) to 700 ps and decrease the bias factor to 3. The statistics for \( \tau_{\text{cl-op}}^{(\text{num})} \) and \( \tau_{\text{cl-op}}^{(\text{num})} \), conformed to a Poisson distribution with means \( \mu_{\text{op-cl}} = 121 \pm 12 \) ms and \( \mu_{\text{cl-op}} = 67 \pm 8 \) ms and variance \( \lambda_{\text{op-cl}} = 110 \) ms and \( \lambda_{\text{cl-op}} = 67 \) ms, respectively. The statistics obey a two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test \( S2 \) with \( p \)-value equal to 0.86 and 0.65, respectively. This yields the numerical ratio \( k_{\text{cl-op}}^{(\text{num})}/k_{\text{op-cl}}^{(\text{num})} = (1.8 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{-3} \).

### Circular DNA denaturation bubble

The circular DNA (cDNA) is described with the same DNA model used for the linear DNA, where the two single strands are modeled as freely rotating chains of \( N = 246 \) beads of diameter \( a = 0.34 \) nm with a AT-rich region of 30 bps clamped by a closed circular GC region of \( (N - 30) \) bps. The size of these AT-rich regions was chosen so that it is larger than the size of the representative long-lived denaturation bubbles studied in this work. The dsDNA minicircle is described by a circular helix where a helical line of radius \( \alpha \) coils around a torus of radius \( R \) in the \( x-y \) plane. The centers of the beads on each strand initially coincide with the surface of this torus in Cartesian space according to the equations

\[
\begin{align*}
x_n^{(j)} &= \left( \alpha \sin(n \frac{2\pi}{p} + \psi_n^{(j)}) + R \right) \times \cos(n\theta) \\
y_n^{(j)} &= \left( \alpha \sin(n \frac{2\pi}{p} + \psi_n^{(j)}) + R \right) \times \sin(n\theta) \\
z_n^{(j)} &= \alpha \cos(n \frac{2\pi}{p} + \psi_n^{(j)})
\end{align*}
\]

with \( x_n^{(j)} \), \( y_n^{(j)} \) and \( z_n^{(j)} \) the Cartesian coordinates of bead \( n \) on strand \( j \). The parameter \( \psi^{(1)} = 0 \) for the first strand and \( \psi^{(2)} = \pi \) for the second strand. The cross-sectional radius \( \alpha \) is set equal to half the equilibrium base-pair distance, \( \rho_{\text{cd}} = 1 \) nm, considered in previous work \( S18 \). The twist angle between two base-pairs is defined as \( \phi = 2\pi/p \), where \( p = 12.3 \) is the DNA pitch, i.e. the number of bps corresponding to one complete helix turn. For purposes of generating the initial conformations, the bending angle per axis segment between the centers of two consecutive bps is set initially at \( \theta = 2\pi/N \). We constrained a sequence of 10 GC bps on each extremity of the AT-rich region to be aligned arbitrarily along the Z-axis, as depicted in Fig. S8 (left panel). The superhelical densities \( \sigma = \frac{k_{\text{c}} - L_k}{L_k \rho_0} = \frac{\Delta L_k}{L_k} \rho_0 \) along with the sizes \( N \) of the minicircles was specifically chosen to tune the value of the excess of linking number \( \Delta L_k < 1 \). The parameter \( L_k = 20 \) represents the linking numbers of the cDNA molecule and \( L_k^0 \) is defined as \( L_k^0 = N/p_0 \), with \( p_0 = 12.0 \) the equilibrium pitch measured in the open linear states.
The analysis of the FE surface associated with the bubble closure and opening mechanisms, as shown in Fig. S3 (left panel), allowed us to determine the value the FE of formation, $\Delta F^0 = F^{(op)} - F^{(cl)} = -4.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ kBT}$ and the activation energies, $\Delta F^{cl\rightarrow op} = 17.8 \pm 0.5 \text{ kBT}$ and $\Delta F^{op\rightarrow cl} = 23.5 \pm 0.4 \text{ kBT}$ along the MFEP obtained within the steepest descent framework S14, as shown in Fig. S3 (middle panel). The FE of formation, $\Delta F^* = -8.6 \pm 0.4 \text{ kBT}$, defined in term of the probability distribution of $\rho_{\text{max}}$ and $\Phi_{\text{min}}$, was computed considering the successive isosurfaces in the FE basins depicted in Fig. S3 (left panel) as integration domains. In Fig. S3 (middle panel), we show the FE of the system as a function of the progression along the typical MFEP (normalized to unity). The nonlinear least-squares Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm was implemented to fit the parameters $\omega_0$ and $\omega_m$ with skew-Gaussian distributions due to the asymmetric nature of the FE shape. We obtained $\omega_m = 69.5 \pm 3.1$ and $\omega_0 = 3.7 \pm 0.2$ for the metastable (op) and equilibrium (cl) basins, respectively. Considering the Rouse model S27 valid for flexible polymer chain, the effective friction coefficient, $\gamma$, in Eq. 16 in the main text depends on the number of opened bps, $N_{\text{buh}}$, in the DNA bubble. The typical size observed in the simulations, $N_{\text{buh}} \approx 12$ bps, yields the relation $\gamma_{op}/\gamma_{cl} \approx N_{\text{buh}}$ between the effective frictions. We obtain the transition rate ratio, $k_{cl\rightarrow op}/k_{op\rightarrow cl} = (1.0 \pm 0.4) \times 10^6$.

In Fig. S3 (right panel), we show the temporal evolution of the FE profile of the system along $\rho_{\text{max}}$ reconstructed in the WT-metaD simulation. In such case, the convergence of the FE profile could not be achieved due to large entropic fluctuations. However, the analysis of the converged FE surface obtained in Fig. S3 (left panel) was achievable with the appropriate use of the auxiliary variable $\Phi_{\text{min}}$.

We extended the Metadynamics scope S2, S3, S15 to estimate the mean transition times between the metastable (op) and the equilibrium (cl) states of the DNA bubble. WT-metaD was performed using the width $\rho_{\text{max}}$ as CV. The statistics for $\tau_{cl\rightarrow op}$ conform to a Poisson distribution with means $\mu_{\text{op\rightarrow cl}} = 4.9 \pm 0.6$ ms and variance $\lambda_{\text{op\rightarrow cl}} = 6.0$ ms. The statistics obeys a two-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test S3 with $p$-value equal to 0.71. However, the numerical estimation of the transition time $\tau_{cl\rightarrow op}$ was not achievable within the metaD framework, as the shape of the original FE surface could not be evenly maintained after the addition of the bias potential due to large entropic fluctuations. Nevertheless, our approach allowed us to assess the transition rate ration and to estimate $\tau_{cl\rightarrow op} = 80 \pm 40$ min.
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**FIG. S3:** Left panel FE surface associated with the circular DNA bubble closure/nucleation mechanism projected along the maximal distance between paired bases $\rho_{\text{max}}$ and the minimal twist angle between successive bps, $\phi_{\text{min}}$. The contour lines are every two $\text{kBT}$. The two stables basins associated with the opened (op) and closed (cl) states of the DNA bubble and the typical MFEP obtained within the steepest descent framework S14 are shown (red). Middle panel FE of the circular DNA bubble as a function of the progression along the typical MFEP (normalized to unity) obtained within the steepest descent framework S14. The nonlinear least-squares Marquardt-Levenberg algorithm was implemented to fit the parameters $\omega_0$ and $\omega_m$, measured in the equilibrium and metastable states, respectively. Right panel Temporal evolution of the FE profile of the system along $\rho_{\text{max}}$ reconstructed in the WT-metaD simulation. The convergence of the FE profile could not be achieved due to large entropic fluctuations.
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