Dynamic hysteresis from bistability in an antiferromagnetic spinor condensate
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We study the emergence of hysteresis during the process of quantum phase transition from an antiferromagnetic to a phase-separated state in a spin-1 Bose Einstein condensate of ultracold atoms. We explicitly demonstrate the appearance of a hysteresis loop with various quench times showing that it is rate-independent for large magnetizations only. In other cases scaling of the hysteresis loop area is observed, which we explain by using the Kibble-Zurek theory in the limit of small magnetization. The effect of an external harmonic trapping potential is also discussed.
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The classic example of hysteresis is the relation of the applied magnetic field to the magnetization in solid-state ferromagnetic materials. Hysteresis can also occur in different situations as a product of a fundamental physical mechanism like a phase transition, or a result of imperfections or degradations. Hysteresis occurs in two forms: rate-dependent and rate-independent. In the rate-independent case, two or more metastable energy states are separated by an energy barrier. When an external driving force moves the system from one metastable state to another, the system exhibits the history-dependent behavior. The rate-independent hysteresis of supercurrent in a rotating, superfluid Bose-Einstein condensate was observed and has been proclaimed as a milestone in the field. By employing numerical simulations within the truncated Wigner approximation, we demonstrate the appearance of rate-independent hysteresis for large magnetizations only, when the bistability region is widest. In small magnetizations the bistability region disappears, however competition between the characteristic time scale of driving and the relaxation time of the system leads to emergence of rate-dependent hysteresis. We show that in this case, the hysteresis loop area is subject to a universal scaling law. We estimate the scaling of the hysteresis loop area based on the Kibble-Zurek (KZ) theory \cite{2,3}, similarly as in \cite{4}. The situation changes when the system is enclosed in an external trapping potential, as it makes the separation of the two metastable energy states smeared out, and the rate-independent hysteresis loop becomes impossible to observe. The scaling of the rate-dependent hysteresis area in this case is influenced by the trap inhomogeneity \cite{11,12}. In addition, in the low density regime a process of phase ordering kinetics \cite{15,22} additionally modifies the scaling laws. Finally, we propose an experimental setup and parameters reachable by current technologies \cite{24,25} which will enable to observe the clear rate-independent hysteresis loop of non-zero width.

In this paper, we investigate the emergence of hysteresis from bistability during the phase transition in an antiferromagnetic spin-1 condensate. The system is already recognized as useful for quantum technology tasks, however hysteresis was not examined up to now. By employing numerical simulations within the truncated Wigner approximation, we demonstrate the appearance of rate-independent hysteresis for large magnetizations only, when the bistability region is widest. In the limit of small magnetizations the bistability region disappears, however competition between the characteristic time scale of driving and the relaxation time of the system leads to emergence of rate-dependent hysteresis. We show that in this case, the hysteresis loop area is subject to a universal scaling law. We estimate the scaling of the hysteresis loop area based on the Kibble-Zurek (KZ) theory \cite{2,3}, similarly as in \cite{4}. The situation changes when the system is enclosed in an external trapping potential, as it makes the separation of the two metastable energy states smeared out, and the rate-independent hysteresis loop becomes impossible to observe. The scaling of the rate-dependent hysteresis area in this case is influenced by the trap inhomogeneity \cite{11,12}. In addition, in the low density regime a process of phase ordering kinetics \cite{15,22} additionally modifies the scaling laws. Finally, we propose an experimental setup and parameters reachable by current technologies \cite{24,25} which will enable to observe the clear rate-independent hysteresis loop of non-zero width.

The system we focus on is an antiferromagnetic condensate of sodium atoms in a homogeneous magnetic field $B$, having positive magnetization such that $0 < M < N$. We restricted the model to one dimension, with the other degrees of freedom confined by a strong transverse potential with frequency $\omega_{\perp}$. The model Hamiltonian of the system is composed of two terms: the energy of the spin-1 system $H_s$ and the energy shift $H_{QZE}$ due to a homogeneous magnetic field. The first term is given by

$$H_s = \int dx \sum_{m,F} \left| \psi_{m,F} \right|^2 \left( -\frac{\hbar^2}{2\mu} \nabla^2 + \frac{1}{2} \mu \omega^2 x^2 \right) \psi_{m,F}^*$$

$$+ \int dx \left( \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \nabla^2 + \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \mathbf{F}^2 \right), \quad (1)$$

where $\mu$ is the atomic mass, $\omega$ is a trap frequency,
The spin-independent and spin-dependent interaction co-
magnetic Zeeman energy, through the conserved magnetization, while the quadratic Zeeman component, the gyromagnetic ratios of the electron and nucleus, $\mu_c$ and $\mu_B$ in which $\mu_B$ is the Bohr magneton, $E_{\text{HFS}}$ is the hyperfine energy splitting. The value and sign of the quadratic Zeeman energy, through $q$, can be controlled using the magnetic field $B$ or the microwave dressing.

We first concentrate on the case of a homogeneous system ($\omega = 0$). The ground state structure of the uniform system can be found on the mean-field level by minimization of the mean-field energy functional in the subspace of fixed magnetization $\frac{m}{L}$.

When the spin healing length $\xi_s = \hbar/\sqrt{2\mu c_2 \rho}$ is much smaller than the system size $L$, the structure of the ground state is composed of three states divided by two critical points at $q_1 = m^2/2$, where $m = M/L$ is the fractional magnetization, and $q_2 = 1/2$ as illustrated in Fig. 1.

The system is in the antiferromagnetic ground state when $q < q_1$, and in the phase separated state otherwise. Moreover, the analysis of the Bogoliubov spectrum shows that the antiferromagnetic state is dynamically stable, and it remains a local energy minimum up to the value $q_c = 1 - \sqrt{1 - m^2}$. It is easy to see that $q_1 \leq q_c$ for any $m$. A simultaneous stability of the two states may lead to the hysteresis phenomenon when dynamically changing $q$. We assume that the parameter $q$ is tuned in the following way:

$$q(t) = \begin{cases} \alpha \frac{t}{\tau_Q}, & t \in (0, \tau_Q), \\ \alpha (2 - \frac{t}{\tau_Q}), & t \in (\tau_Q, t_{\text{max}}), \end{cases}$$

where $\alpha$ sets the maximal value of $q$, $\tau_Q$ is the quench time and $t_{\text{max}}$ is the evolution time. The nucleation and growth of the $\rho_0$ phase can be characterised by the fraction of atoms in the $m_F = 0$ component. The relation of $N_0/N$ versus $q$ can take the form of the hysteresis loop of width $q_c - q_1$ set by the size of the bistability region. The width of the bistability region depends on the fractional magnetization $m$ making the hysteresis phenomena qualitatively different in the two limits: $m \rightarrow 0$ and $m \rightarrow 1$, as illustrated in Fig. 2.

In the limit of macroscopic magnetization $m \rightarrow 1$, the region of bistability is large $q_c - q_1 \rightarrow 1/2$ and the hysteresis may become rate-independent. The hysteresis area

$$S(\tau_Q) = \int_{q(\tau_Q)}^{q(t_{\text{max}})} \frac{N_0(q)}{N} dq - \int_{q(0)}^{q(\tau_Q)} \frac{N_0(q)}{N} dq$$

while approximating the shape of the hysteresis loop by a rectangle of height $N_0/N \rightarrow 1 - m$ and width $q_c - q_1$. As illustrated in Fig. 2a.

In the limit of small magnetization $m \rightarrow 0$ one can expect rate-dependent hysteresis as $q_c - q_1 \rightarrow 0$. The scaling of the hysteresis area with the quench time $\tau_Q$ may exhibit a scaling law due to a non-adiabatic phase transition caused by finite quench times considered. In order to predict the corresponding scaling law we use the KZ theory for the description of the non-adiabatic phase transition, which we have developed for the case of antiferromagnetic spinor condensates. The KZ theory is a powerful tool which allows one to predict the scaling law for density of topological defects versus the quench rate based on the relation of characteristic time.
scales in terms of critical exponents, which are $z = 1$ and $\nu = 1/2$ for our system \[8\]. The theory is based on the adiabatic-impulse-adiabatic approximation, which implies that the scaling law is determined at the freeze-out time $\tau$ when the dynamics of the system ceases to be adiabatic. The small parameter of the KZ theory is the distance from the critical point $\varepsilon$, which in the case of our system is $\varepsilon = q - q_c$. The KZ theory predicts $\varepsilon(\tau) \propto \tau_Q^{3/(1+2\nu)}$ for the linear ramp we are considering. The scaling law of the hysteresis area \[4\] is determined by the scaling of $q$ at $\tau$, or consistently, by the distance from the critical point $\varepsilon(\tau) = q(\tau) - q_c$. By using our previous results for critical exponents \[6\] one can show that the scaling law for the hysteresis area \[4\] at $\tau$ is

$$\hat{S}(\tau_Q) \propto q(\tau) - q_c \propto \tau_Q^{-2/3}. \quad (6)$$

We test the above prediction in numerical simulations within the truncated Wigner approximation \[22,23\]. The dynamics of the system is then described by the set of time-dependent Gross-Pitaevskii (GP) equations

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_0}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2\mu} + \frac{\mu \omega^2}{2} x^2 + c_0 n - q(t) c_2 \rho \right) \psi_0 + c_2 \left[(n_{+1} + n_{-1}) \psi_0 + 2\psi^*_0 \psi_1 \psi_{-1}ight],$$

$$i\hbar \frac{\partial \psi_{\pm 1}}{\partial t} = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2\mu} + \frac{\mu \omega^2}{2} x^2 + c_0 n \right) \psi_{\pm 1} + c_2 \left[(n_{+1} - n_{-1} + n_0) \psi_{\pm 1} + \psi^*_0 \psi_{\mp 1} \right]. \quad (7)$$

The initial state is the $2C$ state for $q = 0$ such that in momentum space $\psi_{m_F}(k, t = 0) = \phi_{m_F} + \delta \phi_{m_F}$ with $|\phi_{m_F}|^2 = (N \pm M)/2$, $|\phi_{m_F=0}|^2 = 0$ and stochastic noise $\langle \delta \phi_{m_F}(k) \delta \phi_{m'_F}(k') \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{m_F,m'_F} \delta_{k,k'}$ of 1/2 particle per momentum mode in all three $m_F$ components is added.

In Figure 3 we show examples of numerical simulation results for different fractional magnetizations in the large total atom limit $N = 2 \times 10^7$. Nucleation and growth of the $\rho_0$ phase and spin domains are clearly visible when the value of $q$ exceeds the critical value, see density profiles in Fig. 3(a). Several domains are created, not just two as predicted for the ground state, due to non-ideal adiabaticity of the quench. The number of domains decreases to two when increasing the quench rate $\tau_Q$. Initial oscillations in $N_0/N$ visible for shorter times in Fig. 3(b)-(d) result from spin-mixing dynamics \[34,36\]. Indeed, for the smallest magnetization the hysteresis loop disappears as the quench time increases. On the other hand, the hysteresis loop of finite width is clearly visible and stable when the fractional magnetization tends to 1, demonstrating the rate-independent hysteresis.

We also study the system enclosed in an external harmonic trapping potential ($\omega \neq 0$). One can show, based on the Thomas-Fermi and local density approximations, that the values of both $q_1$ and $q_c$ are space-dependent \[11\]. By introducing the Thomas-Fermi unit $r = x/x_{TF}$, where $x_{TF} = (3c_0 N/(2\mu \omega^2))^{1/3}$ is the Thomas-Fermi radius, the parameters $q$ of interest are

$$q_1(r) = \frac{1}{2} \begin{cases} (1-r_1)^2, & |r| \in [0, r_1], \\ 1-r^2, & |r| \in [r_1, 1], \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

FIG. 3. (Color online) (a) Evolution of density of the $m_F = 0$ component for $\tau_Q = 1.36s$ and $m = 0.5$. (b), (c) and (d) show evolution of $N_0/N$ as a function of $q$ averaged over 50 realizations for a box-like potential ($\omega = 0$) and $m = 0.1$, $m = 0.5$ and $m = 0.95$ respectively. The thin red solid line corresponds to $\tau_Q = 11ms$, while the blue thick one to $\tau_Q = 1.36s$. $q_1$ (left) and $q_2$ (right) are denoted by dashed and dot-dashed black lines respectively. The gray arrows indicate direction of evolution. The parameters are as follows: $N = 2 \times 10^7$, $\omega_\perp = 2\pi \times 1000 Hz$, $L = 200 \mu m$, $\alpha = 3$ and $t_{\text{max}} = 3\tau_Q$.

FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Evolution of density of the $m_F = 0$ component for $\tau_Q = 1s$. (b), (c) and (d) show evolution of $N_0/N$ as a function of $q$ averaged over 50 realizations for a harmonic trapping potential and $m = 0.1$, $m = 0.5$ and $m = 0.95$ respectively. The thick red solid line corresponds to $\tau_Q = 0.2s$, while the thin blue one to $\tau_Q = 8s$. $q_1(0)$ (left) and $q_1(0)$ (right) are denoted by dashed and dot-dashed black lines respectively. The gray arrows indicate direction of evolution. The parameters are as follows: $N = 2 \times 10^6$, $\omega_\perp = 2\pi \times 1000 Hz$, $\omega_\parallel = 2\pi \times 40 Hz$, $\alpha = 3$ and $t_{\text{max}} = 3\tau_Q$. 
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FIG. 5. (Color online) (a) and (c) scaling of the hysteresis
loop area \(S(\tau_Q)\) with \(\tau_Q\) for \(m = 0.1\) (filled squares), \(m = 0.3\)
(open squares), \(m = 0.5\) (filled triangles), \(m = 0.7\) (open circles),
\(m = 0.9\) (open triangles) and \(m = 0.95\) (filled circles)
for the box and harmonic trap potentials, respectively. The
dashed line shows \(S(\tau_Q) \propto \tau_Q^{-2/3}\), while the solid line shows
the value \((1 - m)(q_e - q_1)\) for \(m = 0.95\). (b) and (d) show
scaling exponent of the hysteresis area versus fractional
magnetization \(m\) obtained numerically by fitting a linear function
to logarithms of numerical data presented in (a) and (c),
respectively. The total number of atoms in (b) is \(N = 2 \times 10^7\)
(squares), \(N = 6 \times 10^7\) (circles) and \(N = 10^8\) (triangles).
The total number of atoms in (d) is \(N = 2 \times 10^6\) and the circles
(squares) mark the scaling exponent of the hysteresis area for
\(\tau_Q < 1\)s \((\tau_Q > 1)s\). In (b) and (d) open symbols correspond
to \(\alpha = 1.5\), while filled ones to \(\alpha = 3\). Notice, error bars are
shown for \(N = 2 \times 10^6\) in (b) and for \(N = 2 \times 10^6\) in (d), but
they are of the order of the symbol size.

and

\[q_e(r) = (1 - r^2)^2 \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \bar{m}(r)^2}\right),\]

where \(r_1 = (1 - m)^{1/3}\) and the density of local magnetization
is \(\bar{m}(r) = (1 - r_1^2)/(1 - r^2)\) for \(r \in [0, r_1]\) and
\(\bar{m}(r) = 1\) otherwise. The inhomogeneity, arising as a
result of the external trapping potential brings in new
physics. The width of the bistability region is not fixed
but is space-dependent. Moreover, particular parts of the
system undergo a phase transition at different times,
which makes the bistability region additionally smeared
out. The growth of the \(P_0\) phase does not depend only
on the reminiscence of the state history but is influenced
much by the neighboring local phase due to tunneling
of the local magnetization \[11\]. As a consequence, the
width of the hysteresis loop is not strictly connected to
the width of the bistability area. In Fig. 4 we show an
example of the numerical simulation result for the evolu-
tion of density of the \(m_F = 0\) component and \(N_0/N\)
demonstrating the emergence of hysteresis.

In Fig. 5(a) we show scaling of the hysteresis area \(S\)
versus ramp times \(\tau_Q\) for the box-like potential \((\omega = 0)\).
The two limiting cases, \(m \to 0\) and \(m \to 1\), are clearly
visible. Interestingly, even for intermediate fractional
magnetizations the hysteresis area \(S\) is subject to the
scaling law but with a different exponent. We gather the
resulting scaling exponents versus fractional magnetiza-
tion in Fig. 5(b). While the results for the largest and
moderate atom numbers follow our predictions, the re-
sults for the smallest atom number \((N = 10^4\) marked
by triangles) are different. This is because the widths of
domain walls increase when \(N\) decreases, and the energy
of the domain wall cannot be neglected in the derivation
of \(q_1\). In other words, the effect of finite size of the
system increases the value of \(q_1\) up to \(q_e\), see Fig. 1.
Consequently, the width of the bistability region tends to 0
and the hysteresis phenomenon becomes rate-dependent
even for large fractional magnetizations, as demonstrated
in Fig. 5(b). The resulting scaling exponent in the low
density regime follows the KZ theory \[6\] slightly modified
by the phase ordering kinetics process \[13\].

The case of a trapped system \((\omega \neq 0)\) is qualita-
tively different because the scaling of the hysteresis loop
area exhibits double law for macroscopic magnetizations
\(m \to 1\), as illustrated in Fig. 5(c) for \(N = 2 \times 10^7\). The
KZ theory for the trapped case in the local density ap-
proximation \[11\] gives \(S \propto \tau_Q^{-2/3}\) which is confirmed by
numerical calculations presented in Fig. 5(d) for small \(\tau_Q\)
only. The numerical results deviate from the KZ theory
predictions for macroscopic magnetizations in the adia-
batic quench times limit.

While performing the experiment with the largest
number of atoms \((N = 2 \times 10^7)\) appears to be unre-
alistic, in part because of strong two- and three-body
losses, we find a regime of parameters in which the hys-
teresis and scaling laws may be observed, as shown by
green dots in Fig. 5(b). We propose to use a moderate
number of atoms \(N = 6 \times 10^5\), and a tight transverse con-
finement of \(\omega_\perp = 2\pi \times 2800\, \text{Hz}\), which allows one to avoid
transverse excitations. The latter tight confinement re-
quirement may be reduced down to \(\omega_\perp = 2\pi \times 100\, \text{Hz}\)
in the non-polynomial Gross-Pitaevskii regime \[27\], as
long as the ratio \(c_2 n/\omega_\perp\) is small, which assures the ab-
sence of transverse \textit{spin} excitations. The spatial extent of
the condensate in the longitudinal direction must be large
enough so that sufficiently many domains are observed
\((L > 600\mu\text{m})\), which appears to be within the reach of
state-of-the-art experiments \[38\]. With these param-
ters, and typical linear densities of \(10^{14}\) atoms/cm\(^3\), the
lifetime of the condensate due to one-, two- and three-
body losses may be estimated at around 20\, \text{s} \[39\], which
should allow one for the observation of rate-independent
hysteresis predicted here.

In summary, an antiferromagnetic spinor condensate
exhibits hysteresis controlled by the magnetic field, which
may be practical in its further applications. We inves-
tigated hysteresis during the phase transition, showing
that it is rate-independent for a homogeneous system in
the limit of large magnetizations only. In all other cases,
the hysteresis is rate-dependent and the area of its loop
is subject to the universal scaling law which we explained
based on the KZ theory.