

GEOMETRIC BRAUER RESIDUE VIA ROOT STACKS

JAKOB OESINGHAUS

ABSTRACT. We reinterpret the residue map for the Brauer group of a smooth variety using a root stack construction and Weil restriction for algebraic stacks, and apply the result to find a geometric representative of the residue of the Brauer class associated to a conic bundle.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The residue map and root stacks	2
3. Main results	3
4. Application to Brauer-Severi schemes	8
References	8

1. INTRODUCTION

Consider a variety S over a field K , and let n be a positive integer not divisible by the characteristic of K . The *Brauer group* $\mathrm{Br}(S)$ is a classical invariant studied, among other things, in the context of rationality questions, since it is a birational invariant for smooth projective varieties. More precisely, it can be shown that for a *smooth projective* variety X over an algebraically closed field, the n -torsion part of the Brauer group $\mathrm{Br}(X)[n]$ agrees with the group of *unramified* n -torsion elements of the Brauer group of the function field, defined as

$$\bigcap_{R \subset K(X)} \mathrm{Ker} \left(\mathrm{Br}(K(X))[n] \rightarrow H^1(\kappa_R, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \right),$$

where the intersection runs over all (rank one) discrete valuation rings $R \subset K(X)$ such that $K \subset R$, and the map $\mathrm{Br}(K(X))[n] \rightarrow H^1(\kappa_R, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is the residue map for this discrete valuation ring.

Let S be a smooth variety over K . Then, for an irreducible divisor S , the residue map ([8, Prop. 2.1])

$$\mathrm{res}: \mathrm{Br}(K(S))[n] \rightarrow H^1(K(D), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}),$$

measures the ramification of this Brauer group element along the divisor. For example, if $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(K(S))[n]$ is the class of a Brauer-Severi scheme of relative dimension $n - 1$ over an open subset of S which arises as the restriction of a flat bundle on all of S , then the residue map will yield some

information about the degeneration of this bundle along the boundary (cf [3]). In general, the map res is hard to compute explicitly. Root stacks are well-adapted to residue calculations, since in the setting of a discrete valuation ring, every n -torsion Brauer class over the generic point extends uniquely to the n -fold root stack along the closed point.

We use the root stack construction over a discrete valuation ring to reinterpret the residue map in terms of a canonical decomposition of the Brauer group of this root stack (Theorem 1), and use results on Weil restriction for the gerbe of the root stack, which is just $B\mu_n$ over the residue field of the DVR, to give a representative, in terms of a $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -torsor, for the residue class in this situation (Proposition 3).

We then apply this result in the geometric situation of a Brauer class associated to a bundle whose generic fiber is a form of projective space. We show that via the operations of Weil restriction and coarse moduli space of an algebraic stack, the residue of the Brauer class along a divisor arises geometrically from the \mathbb{P}^{n-1} -bundle associated with the restriction of the Brauer class to the gerbe of the root stack in Proposition 5.

As a special case, we recover a classical result by Artin ([3]) using different methods.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank my advisor Andrew Kresch for the inspiration for this note, and for me assisting me tirelessly with the technical details. I also want to thank the anonymous referee for very helpful feedback that helped me improve the exposition. I am supported by Swiss National Science Foundation grant 156010.

2. THE RESIDUE MAP AND ROOT STACKS

Unless mentioned otherwise, all cohomology groups are étale cohomology groups. We define the (cohomological) *Brauer group* $\text{Br}(X)$ of a Noetherian Deligne-Mumford stack X ([6], [13, IV]) to be the torsion group $H^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)_{\text{tors}}$.

Let R be a DVR with fraction field K and residue field κ , and let $n > 0$ be an integer not divisible by $\text{char}(\kappa)$. In this situation, by [8, Prop. 2.1], we have the n -torsion residue map

$$(1) \quad \text{res}: \text{Br}(K)[n] \rightarrow H^1(\text{Spec}(\kappa), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}),$$

which is part of an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Br}(R)[n] \rightarrow \text{Br}(K)[n] \rightarrow H^1(\text{Spec}(\kappa), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We recall the construction of the map res . Using the Leray spectral sequence for the inclusion $i: \text{Spec}(K) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$ and the fact that $R^\ell i_* \mathbb{G}_m[n] = 0$ for $\ell > 0$, one deduces an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Br}(R)[n] \rightarrow \text{Br}(K)[n] \rightarrow H^2(\text{Spec}(R), j_* \mathbb{Z})[n] \rightarrow 0,$$

where j is the inclusion of the closed point $\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)$. Finally, a short exact sequence argument shows that

$$H^2(\mathrm{Spec}(R), j_*\mathbb{Z})[n] = H^2(\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa), \mathbb{Z})[n] = H^1(\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}),$$

which establishes the residue map.

In the same situation as before, we now construct another morphism

$$\mathrm{Br}(K)[n] \rightarrow H^1(\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

via root stack methods([1, 5]). Concretely, the n -th root stack of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$ along $\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)$ is the Deligne-Mumford stack

$$X = \sqrt[n]{(\mathrm{Spec}(R), \mathrm{Spec}(\kappa))} := [\mathrm{Spec}(R[T]/(T^n - \pi))/\mu_n] \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R),$$

which has the property that all n -torsion elements of the Brauer group of K lift to it [11, §3.2]:

$$(2) \quad \mathrm{Br}(K)[n] = \mathrm{Br}(X)[n].$$

The root stack is an isomorphism over $\mathrm{Spec}(K)$, and the complement of $\mathrm{Spec}(K)$ in the root stack is the *gerbe of the root stack*, a closed substack of X mapping to $\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)$, which is isomorphic to the classifying stack

$$q : B\mu_{n,\kappa} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\kappa).$$

We can apply the Leray spectral sequence for q and the sheaf \mathbb{G}_m , the fact that q has a section, and the fact that the $E_2^{0,2}$ -term of this spectral sequence vanishes, to produce a decomposition of $H^2(B\mu_{n,\kappa}, \mathbb{G}_m) = \mathrm{Br}(B\mu_{n,\kappa})$ as

$$(3) \quad \mathrm{Br}(B\mu_{n,\kappa}) \cong \mathrm{Br}(\kappa) \oplus H^1(\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}),$$

where the projection to the first summand arises from the section of q , and the projection to the second summand is the morphism

$$H^2(B\mu_{n,\kappa}, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H^1(\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa), R^1q_*\mathbb{G}_m) = H^1(\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

arising from the Leray spectral sequence.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Our main theorem shows how the two constructions from the previous section are related.

Theorem 1. *The residue map (1) agrees with the composition of the isomorphism (2), restriction to $B\mu_{n,\kappa}$, isomorphism (3), projection to the second factor in the direct sum decomposition, and multiplication by (-1) . Concretely, let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(K)[n]$, and extend it to an element $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathrm{Br}(X)[n]$. Then*

$$(4) \quad \mathrm{res}(\alpha) = -\mathrm{pr}_2(\bar{\alpha}|_{B\mu_{n,\kappa}}).$$

The proof of Theorem 1 depends on a technical result which forms the basis for our calculation of the right-hand side of (4). We first need to set up some notation. Fix a base field κ . Applying the Leray spectral sequence for the structure morphism of the classifying stacks $B\mu_n$ and $B\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ over $\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)$, the cohomology groups $H^1(B\mu_n, \mu_n)$ and $H^1(B\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$

decompose into an arithmetic component (pullback to $\text{Spec}(\kappa)$) and a geometric component (base change to κ^{sep} , where H^1 is identified with group homomorphisms, cyclic of order n , generated by 1_{μ_n} , respectively, $1_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$). We denote by $1_{\mu_n} \boxtimes 1_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$ the cup product

$$pr_1^* 1_{\mu_n} \cup pr_2^* 1_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \in H^2(B(\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}), \mu_n).$$

Lemma 2. *Let κ be a field, and let κ^{sep} be a separable closure of κ . The element*

$$(5) \quad 1_{\mu_n} \boxtimes 1_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \in \ker(H^2(B(\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}), \mu_n) \rightarrow H^2(B\mu_n, \kappa^{\text{sep}}, \mu_n))$$

is mapped under the Leray spectral sequence of $p : B(\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ to $1_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \in H^1(B\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(B\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \text{Hom}(\mu_n, \mu_n))$.

Proof. Since the class (5) vanishes upon pullback to $B\mu_n$, its image in

$$H^1(B\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$$

has vanishing arithmetic component. So we may suppose that κ is separably closed. Then the Leray spectral sequence reduces to the Lyndon-Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence. For the computation we follow the organizational scheme of [14] for the (standard) choices of acyclic resolutions. To obtain $Rp_*\mu_n$ we may exploit the fact that p is obtained from $q : B\mu_n \rightarrow \text{Spec}(\kappa)$ by étale base change and push forward the acyclic resolution of μ_n on $B\mu_n$ consisting of μ_n -valued functions on $(\mu_n)^{i+1}$ in degree i for all $i \geq 0$, with homogeneous cochains as μ_n -invariants. By writing these in their inhomogeneous form, $Rq_*\mu_n$, and hence by pullback as well $Rp_*\mu_n$, may be expressed as

$$(6) \quad \mu_n \xrightarrow{0} \bigoplus_{\mu_n} \mu_n \xrightarrow{(c_\beta) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} c_\beta c_{\beta'} \\ c_{\beta\beta'} \end{pmatrix}} \bigoplus_{\mu_n^2} \mu_n \longrightarrow \dots$$

For the map to $H^1(B\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ mentioned in the claim, we apply a cutoff functor (cf. [7, 1.4.8]) to obtain the subcomplex

$$\mu_n \xrightarrow{0} \text{Hom}(\mu_n, \mu_n).$$

The inclusion is represented in Figure 1 using an analogous acyclic resolution of $\text{Hom}(\mu_n, \mu_n) = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ to that used above, shifted by one (leading to $-d$ in the diagram), and a quasi-isomorphic complex to (6). With this, we may compute the morphism

$$H^2(B\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, [\mu_n \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}]) \rightarrow H^2(B\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, Rp_*\mu_n) \cong H^2(B(\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}), \mu_n).$$

By writing down a compatible morphism from the top to the bottom complex in Figure 1 we compute the image of

$$1_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \in H^1(B\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \subset H^2(B\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, [\mu_n \xrightarrow{0} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}]),$$

represented by $(b, b') \mapsto b' - b$ in the group in the top right in Figure 1, to be $1_{\mu_n} \boxtimes 1_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}}$. \square \square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mu_n & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{-d} & \mathrm{Map}((\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \\
 \parallel & & \uparrow \text{constant maps} & & \uparrow \\
 \mu_n & \xrightarrow{0} & \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & 0 \\
 \parallel & & \downarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathrm{Hom}(\mu_n, \mu_n) & & \downarrow \\
 \mu_n & \xrightarrow{0} & \bigoplus_{\mu_n} \mu_n & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bigoplus_{(\mu_n)^2} \mu_n \\
 \downarrow \text{constant} & & \downarrow (c_\beta) \mapsto (\text{constant } c_\beta)_{(\beta, b)} & & \downarrow (c_{\beta, \beta'}) \mapsto (\text{constant } c_{\beta, \beta'})_{((\beta, b), (\beta', b'))} \\
 \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mu_n) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mu_n) & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{(\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^2} \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mu_n)
 \end{array}$$

FIGURE 1. The morphisms of complexes giving rise to the (dotted) morphism in the derived category.

Theorem 1. We can assume without loss of generality that R is Henselian. Indeed, if $R \rightarrow R^h$ is the Henselization of R , the residue fields of R and R^h are equal, and since the Leray spectral sequence is functorial, the natural diagrams for the residue maps commute. Similarly, due to the nature of the root stack construction, the map on the right-hand side of (4) is functorial for the Henselization.

If R is Henselian, by [13, Rem. III.3.11], we have

$$H^1(\mathrm{Spec}(R), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) = H^1(\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Br}(R) = \mathrm{Br}(\kappa).$$

We will keep using these isomorphisms implicitly. For elements of $\mathrm{Br}(R)[n] \subset \mathrm{Br}(K)[n]$, both sides of (4) are zero: $\mathrm{Br}(R)[n]$ is the kernel of the residue map, and elements of $\mathrm{Br}(R)[n] = \mathrm{Br}(\kappa)[n]$ end up in the first summand of the decomposition (2). Hence, it suffices to verify the equality (4) for a subset of elements of $\mathrm{Br}(K)[n]$ whose residues attain all elements of $H^1(\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$.

Pick a uniformizer $\pi \in R$. The morphism $\mu_n \otimes \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mu_n$ induces a cup product pairing

$$\cup: H^1(\mathrm{Spec}(K), \mu_n) \otimes H^1(\mathrm{Spec}(K), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathrm{Spec}(K), \mu_n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(K)[n].$$

As our set of elements on which we will verify (4), we choose those of the form $\theta \cup \gamma$, where θ is the class of $K(\pi^{1/n})/K$ and γ is a class in $H^1(\mathrm{Spec}(R), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, with the same symbol used to denote its restriction to K .

Recall that root stack X is the quotient

$$X = \sqrt[n]{(\mathrm{Spec}(R), \mathrm{Spec}(\kappa))} = [\mathrm{Spec}(R[T]/(T^n - \pi))/\mu_n],$$

where μ_n acts by scalar multiplication on T .

Fix $\gamma \in H^1(\mathrm{Spec}(R), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, which corresponds to a cyclic degree n étale R -algebra S . Then

$$\mathrm{Spec}(S[T]/(T^n - \pi)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R[T]/(T^n - \pi)) \rightarrow X$$

is a $(\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ -torsor over X . For this torsor, the extension $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathrm{Br}(X)[n]$ is the image under $H^2(X, \mu_n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(X)[n]$ of the cup product class $\theta \cup \gamma$ to X .

The extensions of θ , γ , and $\theta \cup \gamma$ to the root stack are pulled back from the classifying space $B(\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ via the morphism

$$(7) \quad X \rightarrow B(\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}).$$

corresponding to this $(\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ -torsor.

In the following, we use notation taken from [13, Exa. III.2.6] to write down a representation of $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathrm{Br}(X)[n]$ as a Čech 2-cocycle, by transforming the simplicial Čech associated to (3). We make the identification of $(\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ -torsors

$$\mathrm{Spec}(S[T]/(T^n - \pi)) \times_X \mathrm{Spec}(S[T]/(T^n - \pi)) = \mathrm{Spec}(S[T]/(T^n - \pi)) \times (\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}),$$

denoting an element of the μ_n -factor by β and an element of the $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -factor by b ; analogously, we use pairs of such elements for the triple fiber product over X .

A representative for $\bar{\alpha}$ in this notation is

$$(\beta^{b'})_{((\beta, b), (\beta', b'))}.$$

We define a \mathbb{G}_m -valued Čech 2-cocycle $\varepsilon_{b, b'}$ depending (only) on $b, b' \in 0, \dots, n-1$:

$$\varepsilon_{b, b'} := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } b + b' < n, \\ \pi^{-1} & \text{if } b + b' \geq n. \end{cases}$$

The difference between these cocycles is a coboundary; indeed, the coboundary of the 1-cochain $(\pi^{b/n})_{(\beta, b)}$ is $(\varepsilon_{b, b'}^{-1} \beta^{b'})_{((\beta, b), (\beta', b'))}$. Since the representative ε is independent of β , it is pulled back from the étale cover $\mathrm{Spec}(K \otimes_R S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(K)$. We can explicitly compute the residue of the class represented by the Čech 2-cocycle which ε is pulled back from, and we find it to be $-\gamma|_{\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)}$.

It remains to be shown that the right-hand side of (4) yields the same element. To show this, we compute on $B(\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ using Lemma 2 and pull back the result via the map (7). \square \square

For the next result, we will need the notion of restriction of scalars f_* for a proper flat finitely presented morphism f of algebraic stacks with finite diagonal, as described in [9].

Proposition 3. *Let $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow B\mu_{n, \kappa}$ be the gerbe banded by μ_n whose class $\tilde{\alpha} \in H^2(B\mu_{n, \kappa}, \mu_n)$ is the unique lift of a Brauer class $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(B\mu_{n, \kappa})[n]$ such that the pullback of $\tilde{\alpha}$ to $B\mu_{n, \bar{\kappa}}$ vanishes. With notation $q: B\mu_{n, \kappa} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)$*

for the structure morphism and $[\]$ for coarse moduli space, $[q_*\mathcal{G}]$ is a $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -torsor whose class is $-\mathrm{pr}_2(\alpha)$.

Proof. Adding an element of $\mathrm{Br}(\kappa)[n]$ to α does not change $[q_*\mathcal{G}]$. So it suffices to treat the case that α restricts to 0 in $\mathrm{Br}(\kappa)$. Then we reduce as before to the computation in the universal case, that is, over $B(\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, and again reduce to carrying out the computation when κ is separably closed. Let Γ be the subgroup of $GL_n(\kappa)$ generated by the scalar n th roots of unity, the permutation matrix for the n -cycle $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ and a diagonal matrix whose entries are successive powers of a primitive n th root of unity. Then Γ is a central μ_n -extension of $\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, where if we take $\Gamma \rightarrow \mu_n$ defined for $A \in \Gamma$ by the ratio of successive nonzero entries of A , and $\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$, by the position of the nonzero entry in the first row of A , the class in $H^2(\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mu_n)$ of the group extension

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 1$$

is that of the 2-cocycle

$$(\beta'^b)_{((\beta, b), (\beta', b'))},$$

i.e., is $-1_{\mu_n} \boxtimes 1_{\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}} \in H^2(\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mu_n)$.

With $p: B(\mu_n \times \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ as above, the relative moduli space [2, §3] of $p_*B\Gamma$ is the universal $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -torsor $\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$. Comparing with the computation above, we obtain the result. \square \square

We make a definition analogous to Brauer-Severi schemes for the case that the base is an algebraic stack.

Definition 1. A *Brauer-Severi stack of relative dimension $n - 1$* over an algebraic stack S is a smooth, proper, representable morphism $p: P \rightarrow S$ such that all geometric fibers of p are projective spaces \mathbb{P}^{n-1} .

A Brauer-Severi stack over a scheme S is clearly just a Brauer-Severi scheme over S . The following is an adaptation of a definition that was used in [10] in the case $n = 3$.

Definition 2. Let κ be a field, and let n be a positive integer not divisible by $\mathrm{char}(\kappa)$. Let P be a Brauer-Severi variety of dimension $n - 1$ over κ . We say that an action of μ_n on P is *balanced* if, after passing to $\bar{\kappa}$ and identifying $P_{\bar{\kappa}} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{\bar{\kappa}}^{n-1}$, the action of $\beta \in \mu_n$ is given by

$$(x_1 : x_2 : \dots : x_n) \mapsto (\beta x_1 : \beta^2 x_2 : \dots : x_n).$$

We make an analogous definition for a Brauer-Severi stack of relative dimension $n - 1$ over $B\mu_{n, \kappa}$.

Recall that we denote the structure morphism $B\mu_{n, \kappa} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)$ by q .

Proposition 4. Suppose that α is the Brauer class of a PGL_n -torsor over $B\mu_{n, \kappa}$ whose associated Brauer-Severi stack $P \rightarrow B\mu_{n, \kappa}$ is balanced. We endow the coarse moduli space of the Weil restriction $[q_*P]$ the structure of a $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -torsor, given by translation on characters of eigenspaces for local

choices of rank n vector bundles E with $P \cong \mathbb{P}(E)$. Then the associated class in $H^1(\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$ is inverse to that of $[q_*\mathcal{G}]$, where \mathcal{G} is as in Proposition 3.

Proof. To compute this class, we make a base change to \mathcal{G} . On \mathcal{G} , there is a global choice of rank n vector bundle E with $P \cong \mathbb{P}(E)$. Any character of μ_n induces a section of $[q_*\mathbb{P}(E)] \rightarrow [q_*\mathcal{G}]$, given by eigenspaces of this character. If we endow $[q_*P]$ with the inverse of the structure of a $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -torsor given by translation on characters of eigenspaces, the composite

$$[q_*\mathcal{G}] \rightarrow [q_*P]$$

is an equivariant isomorphism. \square \square

4. APPLICATION TO BRAUER-SEVERI SCHEMES

We can now apply the results of the previous section to the geometric situation. Given a Brauer class as in Proposition 4, we can combine Propositions 3 and 4 to allow us to identify the class of the $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -torsor $[q_*P]$ with $\mathrm{pr}_2(\alpha)$. This leads to the following result.

Proposition 5. *Let R be a DVR with fraction field K and residue field κ , let $n > 0$ be an integer not divisible by $\mathrm{char}(\kappa)$, and let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(K)[n]$, with extension to $\bar{\alpha} \in \mathrm{Br}(X)[n]$, where $X = \sqrt[n]{(\mathrm{Spec}(R), \mathrm{Spec}(\kappa))} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(R)$. If the restriction of $\bar{\alpha}$ to the gerbe of the root stack $B\mu_{n,\kappa}$ is the Brauer class of a PGL_n -torsor whose associated Brauer-Severi stack $P \rightarrow B\mu_{n,\kappa}$ is balanced, then the $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ -torsor $[q_*P]$, where q denotes the structure morphism $B\mu_{n,\kappa} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec}(\kappa)$, has the class $\mathrm{pr}_2(\alpha) \in H^1(\mathrm{Spec}(\kappa), \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})$, in the notation of Theorem 1.*

As a special case of our results, we recover some classical observations due to Artin ([3, Thm 1.4], cf. the more recent [4]). As an example, consider the residue map for a standard conic bundle $Q \rightarrow S$ (cf. [15] for a definition). Let $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br}(k(S))[2]$ be the Brauer class of the bundle. Let D be an irreducible divisor of S such that α ramifies along D . Since the conic bundle is standard, we can apply Proposition 5 to the local ring R at the generic point of D . Using the notation of the proposition, we have that $\bar{\alpha}$ is the class of the smooth conic bundle P over all of the root stack, which extends the given smooth bundle over the generic point of $\mathrm{Spec}(R)$. Hence, by comparing it to the singular fiber of the bundle over the generic point of D , we conclude that the residue of α along D can be seen geometrically as the class of the space of components over its generic point.

An analogous observation is true for standard Brauer-Severi surface bundles ([12]).

REFERENCES

- [1] Dan Abramovich, Tom Graber, and Angelo Vistoli. Gromov-Witten theory of Deligne-Mumford stacks. *Amer. J. Math.*, 130(5):1337–1398, 2008.

- [2] Dan Abramovich, Martin Olsson, and Angelo Vistoli. Twisted stable maps to tame Artin stacks. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 20(3):399–477, 2011.
- [3] M. Artin. Left ideals in maximal orders. In *Brauer groups in ring theory and algebraic geometry (Wilrijk, 1981)*, volume 917 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 182–193. Springer, Berlin-New York, 1982.
- [4] Raf Bocklandt, Stijn Symens, and Geert Van de Weyer. The flat locus of Brauer-Severi fibrations of smooth orders. *J. Algebra*, 297(1):101–124, 2006.
- [5] Charles Cadman. Using stacks to impose tangency conditions on curves. *Amer. J. Math.*, 129(2):405–427, 2007.
- [6] P. Deligne and D. Mumford. The irreducibility of the space of curves of given genus. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (36):75–109, 1969.
- [7] Pierre Deligne. Théorie de Hodge. II. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (40):5–57, 1971.
- [8] Alexander Grothendieck. Le groupe de Brauer. III. Exemples et compléments. In Masson & Cie, editor, *Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas*, volume 3 of *Adv. Stud. Pure Math.*, pages 88–188. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968.
- [9] Jack Hall and David Rydh. General Hilbert stacks and Quot schemes. *Michigan Math. J.*, 64(2):335–347, 2015.
- [10] Andrew Kresch and Yuri Tschinkel. Models of Brauer-Severi surface bundles, August 2017.
- [11] Max Lieblich. Period and index in the Brauer group of an arithmetic surface. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 659:1–41, 2011.
- [12] Takashi Maeda. On standard projective plane bundles. *J. Algebra*, 197(1):14–48, 1997.
- [13] James S. Milne. *Étale cohomology*, volume 33 of *Princeton Mathematical Series*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
- [14] Thomas Preu. Effective lifting of 2-cocycles for Galois cohomology. *Cent. Eur. J. Math.*, 11(12):2138–2149, 2013.
- [15] V. G. Sarkisov. On conic bundle structures. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 46(2):371–408, 432, 1982.

JAKOB OESINGHAUS, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT ZÜRICH, WINTERTHUR-
ERSTRASSE 190, 8057 ZÜRICH, SWITZERLAND, JAKOB.OESINGHAUS@MATH.UZH.CH