We introduce lower bounds for the rate of entropy production of an active stochastic process by quantifying the irreversibility of stochastic traces obtained from mesoscopic degrees of freedom. Our measures of irreversibility reveal signatures of time’s arrow and provide bounds for entropy production even in the case of active fluctuations that have no drift. We apply these irreversibility measures to experimental spontaneous hair-bundle oscillations from the ear of the bullfrog.
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FIG. 1: (A) Electron micrograph of a hair-cell bundle extracted from the bullfrog’s inner ear. The distance from top to bottom is ≈ 1 μm. (B) Experimental recording of the position of the tip of an active mechanosensory hair bundle. (C,D) Trajectories of the reduced variables \{X_1(t)\} (C) and \{X_2(t)\} (D) as a function of time obtained from a simulation of the stochastic model given by Eqs. (3-5). (E) Representation of a 2-s trace of the simulations in (C,D) in the \{X_1(t), X_2(t)\} plane. The black arrows illustrate the value of the instantaneous velocity and the base of the arrow the position. Parameters of the simulations: \(\lambda_1 = 0.9\) pNms/nm, \(\lambda_2 = 5\) pNms/nm, \(k_{gs} = 0.55\) pN/Nm, \(k_{sp} = 0.3\) pN/Nm, \(D = 72\) nm, \(\tau = 0\), \(S = 0.73\), \(F_{\text{max}} = 45.76\) pN, \(N = 50\), \(\Delta G = 10k_b T\), \(k_b T = 4.143\) pNnm and \(T_{\text{eff}} / T = 1.5\).

where \(\Gamma_k(t) \equiv \{X_1(t), X_2(t), \ldots, X_k(t)\}\) and \(\tilde{\Gamma}_k(t) \equiv \{\theta_1 X_1(-t), \theta_2 X_2(-t), \ldots\}\) denote paths described by \(k\) variables. The average \(k\)-variable rate of entropy production increases with the number of sampled degrees of freedom \(0 \leq \sigma_1 \leq \cdots \leq \sigma_k \leq \cdots \leq \sigma_{\text{tot}}\). It can also be shown that the estimator \(\sigma_k\) equals the physical entropy production \(\sigma_{\text{tot}}\) if the missing variables, \(X_\ell\) with \(\ell > k\), are at thermal equilibrium [21]. When the missing variables are not at thermal equilibrium, which is often the case in active systems, the estimator \(\sigma_k \leq \sigma_{\text{tot}}\) yields only a lower bound for the total entropy production.

We now discuss irreversibility and entropy production in active mechanosensory hair cells from the bullfrog’s ear. Hair cells work as cellular microphones that transduce mechanical vibrations evoked by sound into electrical signals [22]. They are endowed with a tuft of cylindrical protrusions—the hair bundle (Fig. 1A)—that serves both as sensory antenna and as active oscillator that amplifies sound [3]. In experimental recordings of spontaneous hair-bundle oscillations, only the position of the bundle’s tip \(X_1\) is measured (Fig. 1B). Measuring \(X_1\) we can only estimate \(\sigma_1\), which provides a lower bound to the total steady-state entropy production rate \(\sigma_{\text{tot}}\).

Spontaneous hair-bundle oscillations are thought to result from an interplay between opening and closing of mechanosensitive ion channels, activity of molecular motors that pull on the channels, and fast calcium feedback. This interplay can be described by three coupled stochastic differential equations [2, 15, 23]:

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda_1 \frac{dX_1}{dt} &= F_1(X_1, X_2, P_o) + \sqrt{2k_b T_0 \lambda_1} \xi_1 \\
\lambda_2 \frac{dX_2}{dt} &= F_2(X_1, X_2, P_o) + \sqrt{2k_b T_{\text{eff}} \lambda_2} \xi_2 \\
\frac{dP_o}{dt} &= P_{\infty}(X_1, X_2) - P_o,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(F_1(X_1, X_2, P_o) = -k_{gs}(X_1 - X_2 - DP_o) - k_{sp} X_2 \), \(F_2(X_1, X_2, P_o) = k_{gs}(X_1 - X_2 - DP_o) - F_{\text{act}}(P_o)\), and \(P_{\infty}(X_1, X_2) = 1/(1 + \exp(-(X_1 - X_2)/\delta))\). Here, \(X_1\) and \(X_2\) represent the position of the bundle and of the motors, respectively, and \(P_o\) is the open probability of the transduction channels. The parameters \(\lambda_1\) and \(\lambda_2\) are friction coefficients; \(k_{gs}\) and \(k_{sp}\) are stiffness coefficients; \(D\) is the gating swing of a transduction channel; \(\Delta G = N k_b T (k_{gs} D^2)/2 N\) is the energy difference between open and closed states of the channels, \(N\) is the number of transduction elements and \(T\) the temperature of the environment; \(\delta = N k_b T / k_{gs} D\); \(\tau\) is the characteristic channel activation time; and \(F_{\text{act}}(P_o) = F_{\text{max}}(1 - S P_o)\) is an active force exerted by the molecular motors, where \(F_{\text{max}}\) is the maximal force that the motors can produce and \(S\) quantifies calcium-mediated feedback on the motor force [24]. The terms \(\xi_1\) and \(\xi_2\) in (3-4) are two independent Gaussian white noises with zero mean \((\xi_i(t) = 0\) \((i = 1, 2)\) and correlation \((\xi_1(t) | \xi_1(t')) = \delta_{ij} \delta(t - t')\), with \(i, j = 1, 2\) and \(\delta_{ij}\) Kronecker’s delta. The parameter \(T_{\text{eff}}\) is an effective temperature, with \(T_{\text{eff}} > T\). With this model, we can describe spontaneous oscillations of hair-bundle position \(X_1\) that have been measured experimentally (Fig. 1C and D). The oscillation of the motors’ position (Fig. 1D) is known in the model but hidden in experiments. Trajectories of only \(\{X_1(t)\}\) or \(\{X_2(t)\}\) do not reveal obvious signs of a net current, which here would correspond to a drift. However, trajectories in the \(\{X_1, X_2\}\) plane show a net current which is a signature of entropy production (Fig. 1E). In the following, we will use this stochastic model to compare the irreversibility measure \(\sigma_1\) to the total entropy production \(\sigma_{\text{tot}}\).

In the stochastic model of hair-bundle oscillations given by Eqs. (3-5), we deal with only three variables therefore \(\sigma_{\text{tot}} = \sigma_3\). However because we do not consider noise in Eq. (5), the path probability of a trajectory \(\{X_1(t), X_2(t), P_o(t)\}\) is a functional of only \(\{X_1(t), X_2(t)\}\) and therefore \(\sigma_3 = \sigma_2\). From the Langevin equations (3-4) we derive the following expression for the path probability: \(\mathcal{P}(\{X_1(t), X_2(t)\}) = \)
FIG. 2: Illustration of the method to quantify time irreversibility $\sigma_1$ from a stochastic correlated time series $\{X(t)\}$ shown in top panel in (A) (black line). The method consists of three different steps (A,B,C). (A) Fit of the time-reversed series $\{R(t)\} = \{X(−t)\}$ (bottom black line) to an autoregressive AR$(k)$ model of order $k > 1$ and calculation of the prediction of the AR$(k)$ model for the forward (top, thick blue line) and the backward time series (bottom, thick red line). The series shown here have been normalized by their standard deviation. (B) Whitening transformation from the original series $\{X(t)\}$ and $\{R(t)\}$ to two uncorrelated stochastic processes $\xi_1(t)$ (blue) and $\xi_R(t)$ (red)—usually called residuals—which are given by the difference between the original series and their predictions from the autoregressive model shown in A. Bottom: Autocorrelation function of the original time series $\{X(t)\}$ (black open squares) and of the transformed series $\{\xi_1(t)\}$ (blue filled squares). (C) Calculation of the Kullback-Leibler divergence $D = D[P_X(\xi)||P_R(\xi)]$ between the empirical distributions of the stationary processes $\xi_1(t)$ ($P_X(\xi)$, blue squares) and $\xi_R(t)$ ($P_R(\xi)$, red circles). A lower bound for $\sigma_1$ is given by $k_B f_s D$ where $f_s$ is the data sampling rate. The traces in (A) and the distributions in (C) were obtained from a single 30-s recording of the position of the tip of an active hair bundle with oscillation frequency $f_0 = 14.3$ Hz and sampling rate $f_s = 2.5$ kHz. For this example, we get $k_B f_s D = 12.65 k_B/s$, which corresponds to a rate of entropy production of at least $0.88 k_B$ per cycle oscillation.

$$P(X_1(0), X_2(0)) \exp[-\int_0^t dt' A(t')],$$

where here we have used the shorthand notation $F_i(t) = F_i(X_1(t), X_2(t), P_b(t))$ for $i = 1, 2$ and the dots denote time derivatives. Using (6) in (2) we find $\sigma_{tot} = \sigma_2$ and therefore the steady-state entropy production rate for the model described by Eqs. (3-5) is

$$\sigma_{tot} = \left< \frac{\lambda_1 \dot{X}_1 - F_1(t)}{4 k_B T_{A_1}} \right> + \frac{1}{T_{eff}} \left< \frac{\lambda_2 \dot{X}_2 - F_2(t)}{4 k_B T_{eff}} \right>,$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is the steady state average and $\circ$ denotes the Stratonovich product [25]. The two terms within brackets in Eq. (7) can be interpreted, respectively, as the rate of heat dissipation from the variable $X_1$ to a thermal bath at temperature $T$ and the rate of heat dissipation from the variable $X_2$ to an active bath at temperature $T_{eff}$ [26].

We have developed a new method to estimate the irreversibility measure $\sigma_1$ for any nonequilibrium steady state [25]. In discrete processes, the KL divergence in $\sigma_1$ can be accurately measured from the statistics of sequences of symbols [27, 28]. In continuous processes however, estimating $\sigma_1$ is a herculean task due to the difficulties in sampling the whole phase space of paths [29–31]. Here, we introduce a novel method to estimate the KL divergence of time series with continuous state variables, which exploits the invariance of the KL divergence of stochastic processes under invertible maps [25]. The idea is to find a map, which we call “whitening transformation” [32, 33], that transforms the original time series into an uncorrelated stochastic process, i.e., a white noise.

Figure 2 illustrates our method to estimate $\sigma_1$ in the case of an experimental time series of hair-bundle oscillations. The whitening filter transforms the original $\{X(t)\}$ and time-reversed series $\{R(t)\}$ (Fig. 2A) into two non-Gaussian white noises $\{\xi_1(t)\}$ and $\{\xi_R(t)\}$ (Fig. 2B, see [25]). The distributions $P_X(\xi)$ and $P_R(\xi)$ are clearly distinguishable (Fig. 2C), revealing the presence of an underlying active process. The one-variable KL divergence $D = D[P_X(\xi)||P_R(\xi)]$ between the distributions $P_X(\xi)$ and $P_R(\xi)$ provides a lower bound for the one-variable entropy production rate

$$\sigma_1 \geq k_B f_s D,$$

where $f_s$ is the sampling rate. The inequality occurs because the whitening map is not invertible and information about dissipation is not complete [25]. Since $\sigma_{tot} \geq \sigma_1$, Eq. (8) implies that the irreversibility measure $D$ also provides a lower bound to the total entropy production rate: $\sigma_{tot} \geq k_B f_s D$. For the oscillation shown in Fig. 2 we find $\sigma_{tot} \geq 12.65 k_B/s$, which corresponds to an entropy production rate of at least $0.88 k_B$ per oscillation cycle. Interestingly, the minimal energetic cost required for an isothermal system at temperature $T$ to produce
the oscillations \( \{X(t)\} \) shown in Fig. 2A is 12.65\( k_B T / s \).

We then apply the method to quantify irreversibility of active oscillatory hair bundles (Fig. 3A, top), quiescent hair bundles exposed to a drug (gentamicin) that blocks the transduction channels (Fig. 3A, magenta and green) and noisy signals produced by the recording apparatus when there is no hair bundle under the objective of the microscope (Fig. 3A, black). Notably, the finite-size scaling of the irreversibility measure \( k_B f_s D \) with respect to the duration of the recording allows to discriminate between active and passive oscillations (Fig. 3B): At sufficiently long times, \( k_B f_s D \) saturates to a positive value for active oscillations whereas it goes to zero for cells exposed to gentamicin and for experimental noise (Fig. 3B inset). Using a population of 182 hair cells that showed spontaneous hair-bundle oscillations [15], we obtained a probability density of \( k_B f_s D \) that was well described by an exponential distribution with mean 3\( k_B T / s \) (Fig. 3C).

Even though there was no drift, our analysis was able to demonstrate irreversibility and thus activity for the vast majority of the oscillatory hair bundles that we studied. Note that for six percent of the oscillatory hair bundles (12 cells), the bound for entropy production was near zero. Although these oscillations were clearly active, a passive system could in principle produce the same fluctuations for the observed variable.

Finally, we relate these estimates of entropy production to results obtained for a stochastic model of hair-bundle oscillations. We performed numerical simulations of Eqs. (3-5) for different values of the control parameters \( F_{\text{max}} \) and \( S \) (Fig. 4) to explore entropy production throughout the state diagram of the system. The quality factor of the oscillation \( Q \) and the average open probability \( \langle P_o \rangle \) at steady state are displayed in Fig. 4A-B in the state diagram. The irreversibility measure \( D \) for trajectories \( \{X_1(t)\} \) of spontaneous oscillations is shown in Fig. 4C. This measure can be compared to the quantifica-
tion of entropy production $\sigma_{\text{tot}}$ of the full model, which is shown in Fig. 4D. Irreversibility and entropy production correlate strongly. However, as expected, irreversibility provides a lower bound: irreversibility is typically here three orders of magnitude smaller than entropy production. Interestingly, near the line where the open probability $\langle P_o \rangle = 1/2$, irreversibility is small because the waveform of $\{X_1(t)\}$ typically displays a time-reversible shape (see Fig. 4B, C). In experiments, the open probability in oscillatory hair bundles is on average near 1/2 [15], which might explain the low value of the irreversibility that we measured (Fig. 3C).

In summary, we have shown that fluctuations of active systems can reveal the arrow of time even in the absence of net drifts or currents. The hierarchy of measures of time irreversibility introduced here provides lower bounds for the entropy production of an active process. These irreversibility measures are applicable to quantify contributions to entropy production in active matter from fluctuations of only a few mesoscopic degrees of freedom.
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