

Higher-order nonclassical properties of a shifted symmetric cat state and a one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states

Nasir Alam[†], Kathakali Mandal[§] and Anirban Pathak^{†,*}

[†]Jaypee Institute of Information Technology, A-10, Sector-62, Noida, UP-201309, India

[§]Jaypee Institute of Information Technology, Sector-128, Noida, UP-201304, India

March 16, 2022

Abstract

Role of quantum interference in the origin of higher-order nonclassical characteristics of radiation field has been probed vis-à-vis a discrete and a continuous superposition of coherent states. Specifically, the possibilities of observing higher-order nonclassical properties (e.g., higher-order antibunching (HOA), higher-order sub-Poissonian photon statistics (HOSPS), higher-order squeezing (HOS) of Hong-Mandel type and Hillery type) have been investigated using a shifted symmetric cat state that reduces to Yurke-Stoler, even and odd coherent states at various limits. This shifted symmetric cat state which can be viewed as a discrete superposition of coherent states is found to show HOA and HOSPS. Similarly, higher-order nonclassical properties of a one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states is also studied here. The investigation has revealed the existence of HOS and HOSPS in the one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states studied here. Effect of non-Gaussianity inducing operations (e.g., photon addition and addition followed by subtraction) on these superposition states have also been investigated. Finally, some comparisons have been made between the higher-order nonclassical properties of discrete and continuous superposition of coherent states.

1 Introduction

It is well known that being a linear theory, quantum mechanics allows the existence of various types of superposition states. In fact, the superposition principle is at the heart of quantum mechanics [1] and this is what leads to quantum supremacy ([2] and references therein). However, linearity is not a unique property of quantum mechanics. Even in classical optics, we observe interference which is a manifestation of the superposition principle through first order correlation. First order correlation or first order interference are not strong enough to reveal unique (nonclassical) properties of quantum mechanics which have no classical analogue. To witness the actual beauty, power and mystery of quantum mechanics, we need to study second or higher-order correlations. In fact, there exists a necessary and sufficient criterion that identifies nonclassical state. Specifically, negative values of Glauber-Sudarshan P -function [3, 4] defines a quantum state as nonclassical state (i.e., as a state having no classical analogue). Unfortunately, except a single proposal for the measurement of P -function in a special case [5], there does not exist any method for experimental determination of P -function. Consequently, several operational criterion for witnessing nonclassicality have been developed ([6] and references therein). Any finite set of these witnesses of nonclassicality is only sufficient [7]. So, failure of any of these criteria does not imply classicality of the state, but the fulfillment of a criterion implies nonclassicality. These witnesses of nonclassicality can be expressed as the moments of annihilation and creation operators, and a nonclassical property witnessed through a moment based criterion that witnesses a nonclassical feature through a second order correlation (involves terms up to 4th order in annihilation and creation operators) is called a lower-order nonclassical property. Naturally, higher-order nonclassicality refers to the nonclassical features witnessed via higher-order correlations. Most frequently studied higher-order nonclassical features are higher-order antibunching (HOA) [8], higher-order sub-Poissonian photon statistics (HOSPS) [9, 10], higher-order squeezing (HOS) of Hillery type [11] and Hong-Mandel type [12]. All of these nonclassical features have lower-order counterparts which have been studied more rigorously [13, 14]. However, in

*anirban.pathak@jiit.ac.in

the recent past, much attention has been given to the higher-order nonclassical states because of a good number of successful experimental characterization of such states [15–18].

The experimental success in detecting higher-order nonclassicality and the fact that weak nonclassicalities not-detected by their lower-order counterparts can be detected by the higher-order nonclassicality criteria [16, 17] have led to a large number of theoretical works, too. In fact, HOA has been reported in optomechanical and optomechanical-like system [19], finite dimensional coherent state [20], optical coupler [21], most general form of the hyper Raman process [22], etc., HOSPS has been reported in finite dimensional coherent state [20], photon added and subtracted squeezed coherent states [23], various intermediate states [10], etc., and HOS has been reported in optomechanical and optomechanical-like system [19], finite dimensional coherent state [20] and a pair of anharmonic oscillators [24]. However, to the best of our knowledge, until now no effort has been made to investigate higher-order nonclassical properties of (discrete and continuous) superposition of coherent states. It has not even been studied for the relatively simple superposition states (namely cat and cat-type states) that can be produced and manipulated in the lab. To be precise, we are interested in the higher-order nonclassical properties of a shifted symmetric cat state which reduces to various well known superposition states like, odd and even coherent states, Yurke-Stoler coherent state [25] in different limits. We are also interested in the higher-order nonclassical properties of a one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states. It may be noted that lower-order nonclassical properties of these states have been studied since long (cf. [1, 26, 27] and references therein).

Apart from what stated above, this paper is also motivated by the fact that different types of cat states have now been produced in labs using various technologies [28, 29], and their applications have recently been reported in various tasks, especially in connection with the rapidly developing field of quantum information processing [30]. In addition, applications of non-Gaussian states [31] and effect of non-Gaussianity-inducing operations (e.g., photon addition, photon subtraction and photon addition followed by subtraction) on the nonclassical properties [23] have been studied in detail in the recent past. However, no attention has yet been given to the role of non-Gaussianity-inducing operations on the higher-order nonclassicality witnesses in general and on the higher-order nonclassical properties of shifted symmetric cat state (and its special cases) and the continuous superposition of coherent states in particular. This work is also slightly motivated by the recent work of Ren, Du and Yu [26], where they have shown that the depths of lower-order nonclassicality witnesses can be controlled by controlling the relative phase between the opposite coherent states that are superposed to produce the shifted cat state.

Keeping the above in mind, in what follows, we investigate the possibilities of observing HOA, HOSPS, HOS of Hillery type and Hong-Mandel type in the shifted symmetric cat state and a one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states. We have studied the variation of these higher-order nonclassicality witnesses with respect to a controllable relative phase and average photon number. Similarly, variations of higher-order nonclassicality witnesses have also been reported for three special cases- even coherent state, odd coherent state and Yurke-Stoler coherent state. Interestingly, it is observed that HOA and HOSPS witness is observed for odd coherent state. Subsequently, effect of photon addition and photon addition then subtraction on the witnesses of higher-order nonclassicalities have been investigated with a particular focus on HOS. It's observed that nonclassical features not observed in the original state can be observed after application of these non-Gaussianity inducing operations. For example, HOS is not observed for the discrete superposition of the coherent states, but after photon addition HOS can be observed. Finally, it is noted that the method followed here can also be used to study a set of similar states that are produced by suitable superposition of coherent states.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In the Sec. 2, we have introduced the discrete and continuous superposition of coherent states studied in this paper. In Sec. 3, we have reported the existence of the various higher-order nonclassicalities in the superposition states described in the previous section. In Sec. 4, we have investigated the effect of non-Gaussianity inducing operations on the witnesses of higher-order nonclassicality. Finally, the article is concluded in Sec. 5.

2 States of interest

As mentioned above, in this section, we would describe the superposition states that we wish to study in this work. Specifically, we will focus on a discrete superposition and a continuous superposition of coherent states. To begin with, we will describe a particularly interesting discrete superposition of coherent states- a shifted symmetric cat state. We will also mention, its special cases. It will be followed by a brief description of a one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states.

2.1 Discrete superposition of coherent state: Shifted symmetric cat state

Let us begin our discussion with the standard definition of symmetric cat state $|S\rangle$ and asymmetric cat state $|A\rangle$, which are conventionally defined as the superposition of two opposite coherent states (which are π out of phase with respect to each other) as follows [32].

$$\begin{aligned} |S\rangle &= N_+ [|\alpha\rangle + |-\alpha\rangle], \\ |A\rangle &= N_- [|\alpha\rangle - |-\alpha\rangle], \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

where $N_{\pm} = (2 \pm 2 \exp(-2|\alpha|^2))^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is the normalization constant, and $|\alpha\rangle$ is the usual single mode coherent state which can be expressed in the Fock state basis as $|\alpha\rangle = \exp\left(-\frac{|\alpha|^2}{2}\right) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}} |n\rangle$, where $|n\rangle$ is the Fock state. Further, for a large value of the average photon number $|\alpha|^2$, we obtain $N_{\pm} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$, and thus $|S\rangle$ and $|A\rangle$ reduce to equal superposition states of two opposite coherent states. Often, symmetric and asymmetric cat states are referred to as the even and odd coherent states, respectively for the obvious reason that $|S\rangle$ ($|A\rangle$) contains only even (odd) number of photons [31].

Symmetric and asymmetric cat states of the form similar to what is described through Eq. (1) have been realized in various physical systems ([32–34] and references therein). However, in the present work, we would restrict our discussion to the optical implementations only. Now, we wish to create a superposition state of symmetric and asymmetric cat states. To do so, we may shift (displace) the symmetric cat state in the phase space by a small amount β in a direction orthogonal to the orientation of the symmetric cat state in phase space. Physically, this shifting can be done by driving the symmetric cat state for time t by a classical driving force (having a complex amplitude β) described by the following Hamiltonian (in the interaction picture) [32]

$$H_D = \hbar (\beta a^\dagger + \beta^* a).$$

For the convenience, if we consider α and β to be real, then under influence of this driving force, symmetric cat state would evolve to a quantum state

$$\begin{aligned} |\psi\rangle &= \exp\left(-i\frac{H_D t}{\hbar}\right) |S\rangle \\ &\approx \cos(\alpha\beta t) |S\rangle - i \sin(\alpha\beta t) |A\rangle \\ &= \exp(-\alpha\beta t) [|\alpha\rangle + \exp(2\alpha\beta t) |-\alpha\rangle] \\ &\approx |\alpha\rangle + \exp(i\phi) |-\alpha\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $\phi = 2\alpha\beta t$ [32]. This state can be viewed either as a superposition of two opposite coherent states or equivalently as a shifted symmetric cat state that reduces to symmetric cat state, Yurke-Stoler coherent state, asymmetric cat state for $\phi = 0$, $\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\phi = \pi$, respectively. Further, it can be expressed in the normalized form as

$$|\alpha, \phi\rangle = \mathcal{N}_m^{-1/2} (|\alpha\rangle + e^{i\phi} |-\alpha\rangle), \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_m = 2 + \exp(-2|\alpha|^2) (e^{i\phi} + e^{-i\phi})$.

This is an interesting quantum state that can be produced via the above mentioned process involving classical field. It is also interesting because, if we can obtain an analytic expression for the witnesses of higher-order nonclassicality for this state, then in the limiting cases the same expressions will be of use for the study of higher-order nonclassical properties of the symmetric cat state or even coherent state ($\phi = 0$), asymmetric cat state or odd coherent state ($\phi = \pi$) and Yurke-Stoler coherent state ($\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$).

Here it may be apt to note that the witnesses of nonclassicality are often expressed in terms of moments of annihilation and creation operators [6, 35]. To obtain the witnesses of higher-order nonclassicality, we may use the following analytic expression which has been obtained by Ren et al., [26] in a recent work related to lower-order nonclassicalities of $|\alpha, \phi\rangle$

$$\mathcal{H}_1(k, l) \equiv \langle \alpha, \phi | a^{\dagger k} a^l | \alpha, \phi \rangle = [1 + (-1)^{k+l}] \alpha^{*k} \alpha^l + \exp(-2|\alpha|^2) [(-\alpha^*)^k \alpha^l e^{-i\phi} + \alpha^{*k} (-\alpha)^l e^{i\phi}]. \quad (4)$$

In what follows, we would express the witnesses of different features of higher-order nonclassicality using $\mathcal{H}_1(k, l)$ and report their compact analytic expressions in most cases. We will also illustrate the variation of the witnesses of higher-order nonclassicality with various parameters with a specific focus on the limiting cases of shifted symmetric and cat state. Before, we do that it will be apt to go beyond the discrete superposition of coherent states and describe a continuous superposition of coherent states in the following subsection.

2.2 One-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states

One-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states have been studied since long. Specifically, continuous superposition of the following form has been studied in Refs. [36–40] and nicely reviewed in Refs. [1, 41]

$$|\xi\rangle = C_F \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\alpha, \xi) |\alpha\rangle d\alpha, \quad (5)$$

where the complex parameter $\xi = |\xi| e^{i\theta}$ and C_F is the normalization factor and is defined as

$$C_F^{-2} = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\alpha, \xi) F(\alpha', \xi) \exp[-(\alpha - \alpha')/2] d\alpha d\alpha' = \frac{2\pi\xi}{\sqrt{1 - \xi^2}}. \quad (6)$$

In the earlier works [36–40], it had been shown that with a properly selected weight function $F(\alpha, \xi)$, one can considerably enhance the degree of squeezing [1]. For the following choice of weight function $F(\alpha, \xi)$

$$F(\alpha, \xi) = \exp\left(-\frac{1 - \xi}{2\xi} \alpha^2\right), \quad (7)$$

(i.e., when $F(\alpha, \xi)$ is chosen to be Gaussian), quantum state described in Eq. (5) is known to reduce to the squeezed vacuum state $|\xi\rangle = C_F \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\alpha, \xi) \hat{D}(\alpha)|0\rangle d\alpha = \hat{S}(\xi)|0\rangle$, where $\hat{D}(\alpha)$ and $\hat{S}(\xi)$ are the displacement and squeezing operators, respectively [1]. In what follows, we would study the higher-order nonclassical properties of this particular state (i.e., squeezed vacuum state) as a representative state of the set of possible quantum states that can be viewed as one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states. Lower-order nonclassical properties of this state has been studied earlier in Ref. [37]. In order to study the higher-order nonclassical properties of this state using moment-based criteria of nonclassicality, it would be helpful to obtain an expression for $\langle \xi | a^{\dagger k} a^l | \xi \rangle$ in a manner similar to Eq. (4) which was obtained for the discrete superposition of coherent states. Such an expression for the continuous superposition of coherent states of our interest can be written as follows

$$\langle \xi | a^{\dagger k} a^l | \xi \rangle = \mathcal{H}_2(k, l) = C_F \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\alpha, \xi) F(\alpha', \xi) \exp[-(\alpha - \alpha')/2] \alpha^k (\alpha')^l d\alpha d\alpha'. \quad (8)$$

In what follows, we would observe that Eq. (8) Would be useful in investigating various higher-order nonclassical properties of $|\xi\rangle$

3 Witnesses of higher-order nonclassicality and their variation

Witnesses of nonclassicality can be expressed as moments of annihilation and creation operators. In the next section, we will use moment-based criteria to find the signatures of higher-order nonclassical features present in the discrete and continuous superposition of coherent states described above. To do so, we will use the above expressions of $\mathcal{H}_j(k, l)$ where $j \in \{1, 2\}$. For the convenience of the study, various criteria of higher-order nonclassicality are stated below in their standard form as well as in terms of $\mathcal{H}_j(k, l)$.

3.1 Higher-order antibunching

Phenomenon of the antibunching is closely related to photon statistics. In fact, it can be lucidly described as a phenomenon that ensures that in an incident beam of radiation, the probability of getting two photons simultaneously is less than the probability of getting them separately (one-by-one). The natural tendency of an ordinary light source is to emit photons in a bunched state, but there are some nonclassical sources of light which emit photons in the antibunched state. Being nonclassical, they are of extreme interest. Experimentally, antibunching was first demonstrated by Hanbury-Brown and Twiss in their pioneering experiment [42]. Generalizing the idea of lower-order antibunching, the notion of HOA was introduced by Lee in 1990 [43], when he proposed the first criterion of HOA using the theory of majorization. Later, Lee's criterion was modified by Ba An [44] and Pathak and Garcia [8], respectively. In this article we would follow Pathak and Garcia's criterion to observe l th order antibunching. Specifically, in what follows following criterion for HOA (which is now known as Pathak and Garcia criterion) is used

$$D(l) = \langle N^{(l+1)} \rangle - \langle N \rangle^{l+1} = \langle a^{\dagger l+1} a^{l+1} \rangle - \langle a^\dagger a \rangle^{l+1} < 0. \quad (9)$$

where $N = a^\dagger a$ and $N^{(l+1)} = a^{\dagger l+1} a^{l+1}$ are the number operator and factorial moment, respectively. It's easy to observe that we can express the criterion described by Eq. (9) in a convenient form as follows

$$D(l) = \mathcal{H}_j(l+1, l+1) - (\mathcal{H}_j(1, 1))^{l+1} < 0. \quad (10)$$

For the shifted symmetric cat state, we have $j = 1$, and in that case, we can use Eqs (4) and (10) to obtain the witness of HOA (i.e., $D(l)$). The obtained expression of $D(l)$ is illustrated in Fig. 1 a-c, where the negative parts of the curves depict the presence of HOA of the l th order. It can be easily observed that the HOA is observed only for a certain range of ϕ (see Fig. 1 c). Further, it is observed that the depth of nonclassicality witness is more for the higher values of l , which is consistent with the earlier observations [45]. Interestingly, in the cases where HOA is found, it is observed that with the increase in the average photon number the depth of nonclassicality increases in the beginning, but reduces after a point (cf. Fig. 1 a-b). Further, it is clear from Fig. 1 c that HOA is not observed for even coherent state ($\phi = 0$) and Yurke-Stoler coherent state ($\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$), but it is observed for odd coherent state ($\phi = \pi$). Thus, in brief, we have seen that HOA can be observed in the discrete superposition of coherent states studied here. In contrast, while we performed the similar exercise using Eqs (8) and (10), we failed to locate any signature of HOA for the quantum state formed by one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states. This motivated us to look into the possibility of observing higher-order nonclassicality in the one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states by using the other witnesses of higher-order nonclassicality.

3.2 Higher-order sub-Poissonian photon statistics

Higher-order nonclassical feature associated with the photon statistics of a quantum state of radiation field is usually studied through the witness of HOSPS [9, 10]. Here it may be noted that HOSPS is the higher-order analogue of the frequently investigated sub-Poissonian photon statistics [46]. The generalized criterion to observe HOSPS is given by [10]

$$D_h(l-1) = \sum_{r=0}^l \sum_{k=0}^r S_2(r, k) {}^l C_r (-1)^r D(k-1) \langle N \rangle^{l-r} < 0, \quad (11)$$

where $S_2(r, k)$ is the Starling number of second kind. For our convenience, the above inequality can be written in terms of $\mathcal{H}_j(k, l)$ in the following form

$$D_h(l-1) = \sum_{r=0}^l \sum_{k=0}^r S_2(r, k) {}^l C_r (-1)^r \left\{ \mathcal{H}_j(k, k) - (\mathcal{H}_j(1, 1))^k \right\} (\mathcal{H}_j(1, 1))^{l-r} < 0. \quad (12)$$

The existence of HOSPS is investigated for both discrete and continuous superposition of coherent states using Eqs. (4), (8) and (12). The obtained results are explicitly illustrated in Fig. 1 d-f for discrete superposition of coherent states and in Fig. 2 a for the continuous superposition of coherent states. The negative parts of the plots depict the situation where quantum interference in the phase space leads to higher-order nonclassical photon statistics (in particular HOSPS). In Fig. 1 f, it is easily observed that there exists a nonclassical region i.e., a range of ϕ in which the curves are negative. This is logically expected and observed in the context of HOA, too. In addition, Fig. 1 f also illustrates that the HOSPS is not observed for even coherent state ($\phi = 0$) and Yurke-Stoler coherent state ($\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$), but it is observed for odd coherent state ($\phi = \pi$). Similarly, the quantum interference caused by continuous superposition of coherent states also leads to HOSPS as shown in Fig. 2 a. Further, comparing Fig. 1 a and d for $l = 3$ we can see that HOSPS is absent for small values of average photon number (cf. Fig. 1 d), but HOA is found to be present for the same values of average photon number (cf. Fig. 1 a). In contrast, HOA is not observed for the one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states, but HOSPS is observed for the same state (cf. Fig. 2 a). These observations establish that HOA and HOSPS are independent phenomena and the existence of one does not ensure the existence of the other. This is in consistency with our earlier works ([20, 23] and references therein).

3.3 Higher-order squeezing

Non-commutativity of the field quadrature operators (which are usually expressed as functions of annihilation and creation operators) lead to Heisenberg uncertainty relations. Usually, for the coherent states (in the infinite dimensional scenario), the product of the fluctuations in two field quadrature becomes

minimum and the fluctuations in each quadrature become equal. For the lower-order squeezing, variance in one of the field quadrature (defined as a linear combination of annihilation and creation operator) reduces below the coherent state value at the cost of enhanced fluctuations in the other quadrature. If we now look at the quantum fluctuations of the field quadrature using higher-order moments (higher than $n = 2$ which corresponds to variance) and claim that n^{th} order squeezing will be observed when n^{th} moment of a field quadrature operator will be less than the corresponding coherent state value, then we would obtain the condition of Hong-Mandel type HOS as follows [10, 12]

$$S_{HM}(n) = \frac{\langle(\Delta X)^n\rangle - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}}} < 0, \quad (13)$$

where $(x)_n$ is conventional Pochhammer symbol. The above inequality can be rewrite as

$$\langle(\Delta X)^n\rangle < \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{n}{2}} = \frac{1}{2^{\frac{n}{2}}}(n-1)!! , \quad (14)$$

where

$$\langle(\Delta X)^n\rangle = \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{r-2i} (-1)^r \frac{1}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}} (2i-1)!! {}^{r-2i}C_k {}^nC_r {}^rC_{2i} \langle a^\dagger + a \rangle^{n-r} \langle a^{\dagger k} a^{r-2i-k} \rangle. \quad (15)$$

The expression for the n th order moment i.e., Eq. (15) can now be rewritten in a convenient form in terms of $\mathcal{H}_j(k, l)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle(\Delta X)^n\rangle &= \sum_{r=0}^n \sum_{i=0}^{\frac{r}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{r-2i} (-1)^r \frac{1}{2^{\frac{r}{2}}} (2i-1)!! {}^{r-2i}C_k {}^nC_r {}^rC_{2i} \{ \mathcal{H}_j(1, 0) + \mathcal{H}_j(0, 1) \}^{n-r} \\ &\times \mathcal{H}_j(k, r-2i-k). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Another possible way to study the HOS was introduced by Hillery who introduced HOS as a phenomenon where variance of amplitude-powered field quadrature reduces below the coherent state value. According to the Hillery, the l th order amplitude powered field quadrature are defined as [11]

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{1,a} &= \frac{a^l + a^{\dagger l}}{2}, \\ Y_{2,a} &= -i \frac{a^l - a^{\dagger l}}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where the quadratures, $Y_{1,a}$ and $Y_{2,a}$, are non-commuting in nature. The HOS criteria involving these non-commuting field quadrature can be obtained as

$$\mathcal{A}_{i,a} = (\Delta Y_{i,a})^2 - \frac{1}{2} |\langle [Y_{1,a}, Y_{2,a}] \rangle| < 0, \quad (18)$$

where $i \in \{1, 2\}$. In this paper, we have used the Hillery's criterion for amplitude square squeezing, i.e., in Eq. (18), we choose $l = 2$ and have reduced the criterion of HOS given in Eq. (19) to

$$\mathcal{A}_{i,a} = (\Delta Y_{i,a})^2 - | \langle N_a + \frac{1}{2} \rangle | < 0, \quad (19)$$

where N_a corresponds to the number operator of the mode a . A few steps of computation have yielded the condition of Hillery type HOS for $l = 2$ as follows

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{A}_{1,a} \\ \mathcal{A}_{2,a} \end{array} \right] = \frac{1}{4} \left[\pm \langle (a^\dagger)^4 \rangle \pm \langle (a)^4 \rangle + 2 \langle (a^{\dagger 2} a^2) \rangle \mp \left(\langle (a^\dagger)^2 \rangle \pm \langle (a)^2 \rangle \right)^2 \right] < 0, \quad (20)$$

where the upper and lower sign in Eq. (20) correspond to $\mathcal{A}_{1,a}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2,a}$, respectively. As before, we can rewrite Eq. (20) in a more convenient form in terms of $\mathcal{H}_j(k, l)$ as

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} \mathcal{A}_{1,a} \\ \mathcal{A}_{2,a} \end{array} \right] = \frac{1}{4} \left[\pm \mathcal{H}_j(4, 0) \pm \mathcal{H}_j(0, 4) + 2 \mathcal{H}_j(2, 2) \mp (\mathcal{H}_j(2, 0) \pm \mathcal{H}_j(0, 2))^2 \right] < 0. \quad (21)$$

The above expression is further simplified for continuous superposition of coherent states of our interest to yield

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{1,a} &= \frac{\xi^2(1+\xi^2)}{1-\xi^2}, \\ \mathcal{A}_{2,a} &= \frac{\xi^2}{\xi^2-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

In order to investigate the possibility of observing HOS of Hillery type in the one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states, right hand side of Eq. (22) is plotted and the result is depicted in




Figure 1: (Color online) (a)-(c) HOA, (d)-(f) HOSPS for the discrete superposition of coherent states. (a) and (d) show increase in the depth of witness of nonclassicality with order and coherent state parameter. Dependence of the observed nonclassicality on the state parameters are shown in (b), (c), (e) and (f).




Figure 2: (Color online) (a) HOSPS and (b) HOS (Hillery type) for one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states with $\theta = 0$. (c) HOS (Hillery type) for a single photon added one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states.

Fig. 2 b where the presence of negative parts establish the existence of the HOS in the one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states. In sharp contrast to it, HOS of any type is not observed in the discrete superposition of the coherent states. However, in what follows we will show that HOS of Hillery type can be observed for the shifted cat state after addition of photon.

4 Effect of photon addition and addition followed by subtraction on the higher-order nonclassical properties

Addition of photon is known to introduce non-Gaussianity and nonclassicality in the coherent state which is classical and Gaussian. To be precise, in a pioneering work, in 1991, Agarwal and Tara introduced photon added coherent state (PACS) [47] and shown that PACS has nonclassical properties. Later on higher-order nonclassical properties of PACS have been studied by some of the present authors [45,48]. In addition to PACS, effect of photon addition, subtraction and addition followed by subtraction on various quantum states (including but not limited to squeezed coherent state [23], thermal state [49], squeezed thermal state [50]) have been studied. The effect of the photon addition on various quantum state has been investigated in various literature. Specifically, nonclassicality of photon added coherent [31, 47], photon added squeezed coherent [23], photon added thermal state [49], etc., have been investigated.

In the above we have mentioned that coherent state is classical, but PACS is nonclassical and thus addition of photon may induce nonclassicality. Keeping this in mind, we probe whether the same happens for superposition of coherent states too, specifically, in regard to higher-order nonclassical features that are not observed for them in the previous sections. For example, in this paper, we have neither observed




Figure 3: (Color online) Variation of $\mathcal{A}_{1,a}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2,a}$ for single photon added discrete superposition of coherent states with state parameters (a) α and (b) ϕ . Variation of $\mathcal{A}_{1,a}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2,a}$ for two photon added (shown in c) and a single photon subtracted after adding two photons (in d) discrete superposition of coherent states.

HOS of Hillery type nor observed HOS of Hong-Mandel type for the shifted symmetric cat state studied here. We explore the effect of photon addition and addition followed by subtraction on this state and observed that addition of photon as well as addition followed by subtraction may lead to HOS of Hillery type (cf. Figs. 3 a-d and 4). Here, the effect of photon addition and addition followed by subtraction is studied using a particular witness of higher-order nonclassicality, but it would be straight forward to perform similar studies with respect to the other witnesses of higher-order nonclassicalities. Now, we may emphasize on the fact that in Fig. 3 a, HOS of Hillery type is observed in the shifted symmetric cat state after the addition of a single photon and in Fig. 3 b, it is shown that HOS is observed after addition of the two photons and then subtracting a single photon for the same state. From Fig. 3 c it is clear that HOS in photon added then subtracted shifted symmetric cat state can be observed only for a range of values of ϕ . Similar result is illustrated in Fig. 3 d for the addition of the two photon and then subtraction of a single photon to shifted symmetric cat state. Fig. 3 c and d further illustrates that HOS of Hillery type is observed only for symmetric cat state or even coherent state ($\phi = 0$), but it is not observed for Yurke-Stoler coherent state ($\phi = \frac{\pi}{2}$) and asymmetric cat state or odd coherent state ($\phi = \pi$) for $\alpha = 0.5$. But higher values of α , HOS of Hillery type is observed for the Yurke-Stoler coherent state (cf. Fig. 3 a-b). Similar result is obtained for the asymmetric cat state or odd coherent state but the result is not depicted here. On the other hand, in Fig. 4 a, effect of addition of increasing number of photons on HOS is systematically illustrated, and in Fig. 4 b, the effect of m -photon addition followed by two photon subtraction on HOS of the shifted symmetric cat state is illustrated. Both of the cases the depth of HOS witness is increasing with photon addition. For the sake of completeness of the study, the effect of photon addition on the HOS of the one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states is also investigated here and the corresponding result is depicted in the Fig. 2 c.

5 Conclusion

A coherent state is a classical state, but it's observed that both the discrete and continuous superposition of coherent states studied here can show various higher-order nonclassical properties. This observation, naturally leads to a question- What attributes these nonclassical properties to a superposition state which can be viewed as superposition of classical (coherent) states. Clearly, it's nothing but quantum




Figure 4: (Color online) Variation of $\mathcal{A}_{1,a}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2,a}$ with photon addition for discrete superposition of coherent states for $\alpha = 1.5$ with (a) m -photon addition, (b) m -photon addition followed by 2 -photon subtraction.

interference. From earlier, studies [1], we knew that quantum interference between classical states may lead to nonclassical state. This fact is further generalized here by demonstrating that the quantum interference can also lead to higher-order nonclassical properties. However, which particular feature of higher-order nonclassicality will be seen in a particular superposition state that would depend on the nature of superposition. For example, in the shifted symmetric cat state, we have observed the existence of HOA, but that feature is not observed here in the one-dimensional continuous superposition of coherent states. It's also observed that HOA and HOSPS are two independent phenomena and existence of one of them does not ensure the existence of the other one. The same is true for HOS, but that seems to be obvious. Further, it is observed that conventional non-Gaussianity inducing operations can induce and/or control higher-order nonclassical properties of the states studied here. Specifically, we may note that HOS is not observed for the discrete superposition of coherent states but it is observed after addition and addition followed by subtraction of the photons. In brief, various types of higher-order nonclassical features have been observed and their variation with experimentally controllable parameters have been studied for a set of superposition of coherent states that are experimentally realizable. This observation allows us to conclude this article with a hope that the work reported here will be realized experimentally in the near future and the allowed control over the depth of nonclassicality witnesses will be of use in some applications, specially in the context of quantum information processing where the cat states and their variants are used frequently.

Acknowledgment: A.P. and N.A. thank the Department of Science and Technology (DST), India, for support provided through the DST project No. EMR/2015/000393. A.P. also thanks K. Thapliyal for some useful technical discussions. K.M. thanks Amit Verma for his help and interest in this work.

References

- [1] Vladimír Bužek and PL Knight. I: Quantum interference, superposition states of light, and nonclassical effects. In *Progress in optics*, volume 34, pages 1–158. Elsevier, 1995.
- [2] Aram W Harrow and Ashley Montanaro. Quantum computational supremacy. *Nature*, 549(7671):203, 2017.
- [3] Roy J Glauber. Coherent and incoherent states of the radiation field. *Physical Review*, 131(6):2766, 1963.
- [4] ECG Sudarshan. Equivalence of semiclassical and quantum mechanical descriptions of statistical light beams. *Physical Review Letters*, 10(7):277, 1963.
- [5] T Kiesel, W Vogel, V Parigi, A Zavatta, and M Bellini. Experimental determination of a nonclassical glauber-sudarshan p function. *Physical Review A*, 78(2):021804, 2008.
- [6] Adam Miranowicz, Monika Bartkowiak, Xiaoguang Wang, Yu-xi Liu, and Franco Nori. Testing nonclassicality in multimode fields: A unified derivation of classical inequalities. *Physical Review A*, 82(1):013824, 2010.

- [7] Th Richter and W Vogel. Nonclassicality of quantum states: a hierarchy of observable conditions. *Physical Review Letters*, 89(28):283601, 2002.
- [8] A Pathak and ME Garcia. Control of higher order antibunching. *Applied Physics B*, 84(3):479–484, 2006.
- [9] Hari Prakash and Devendra Kumar Mishra. Higher order sub-poissonian photon statistics and their use in detection of hong and mandel squeezing and amplitude-squared squeezing. *Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics*, 39(9):2291, 2006.
- [10] Amit Verma and Anirban Pathak. Generalized structure of higher order nonclassicality. *Physics Letters A*, 374(8):1009–1020, 2010.
- [11] Mark Hillery. Amplitude-squared squeezing of the electromagnetic field. *Physical Review A*, 36(8):3796, 1987.
- [12] CK Hong and L Mandel. Higher-order squeezing of a quantum field. *Physical Review Letters*, 54(4):323, 1985.
- [13] Anirban Pathak and Ajoy Ghatak. Classical light vs. nonclassical light: characterizations and interesting applications. *Journal of Electromagnetic Waves and Applications*, 32(2):229–264, 2018.
- [14] VV Dodonov. Nonclassical states in quantum optics: asqueezed review of the first 75 years. *Journal of Optics B: Quantum and Semiclassical Optics*, 4(1):R1, 2002.
- [15] M Avenhaus, K Laiho, MV Chekhova, and Ch Silberhorn. Accessing higher order correlations in quantum optical states by time multiplexing. *Physical Review Letters*, 104(6):063602, 2010.
- [16] Alessia Allevi, Stefano Olivares, and Maria Bondani. High-order photon-number correlations: a resource for characterization and applications of quantum states. *International Journal of Quantum Information*, 10(08):1241003, 2012.
- [17] Alessia Allevi, Stefano Olivares, and Maria Bondani. Measuring high-order photon-number correlations in experiments with multimode pulsed quantum states. *Physical Review A*, 85(6):063835, 2012.
- [18] Jan Peřina Jr, Václav Michálek, and Ondřej Haderka. Higher-order sub-poissonian-like nonclassical fields: Theoretical and experimental comparison. *Physical Review A*, 96(3):033852, 2017.
- [19] Nasir Alam, Kishore Thapliyal, Anirban Pathak, Biswajit Sen, Amit Verma, and Swapan Mandal. Lower-and higher-order nonclassicality in a Bose-condensed optomechanical-like system and a Fabry-Perot cavity with one movable mirror: squeezing, antibunching and entanglement. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1708.03967*, 2017.
- [20] Nasir Alam, Amit Verma, and Anirban Pathak. Higher order nonclassicalities of finite dimensional coherent states: A comparative study. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1712.10135*, 2017.
- [21] Kishore Thapliyal, Anirban Pathak, Biswajit Sen, and Jan Peřina. Higher-order nonclassicalities in a codirectional nonlinear optical coupler: quantum entanglement, squeezing, and antibunching. *Physical Review A*, 90(1):013808, 2014.
- [22] Kishore Thapliyal, Anirban Pathak, Biswajit Sen, and Jan Perina. Nonclassicality in non-degenerate hyper-raman processes. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1710.04456*, 2017.
- [23] Kishore Thapliyal, Nigam Lahiri Samantray, J Banerji, and Anirban Pathak. Comparison of lower order and higher order nonclassicality in photon added and photon subtracted squeezed coherent states. *Physics Letters A*, 381(37):3178 – 3187, 2017.
- [24] Nasir Alam and Swapan Mandal. Nonclassical properties of coherent light in a pair of coupled anharmonic oscillators. *Optics Communications*, 359:221–233, 2016.
- [25] Bernard Yurke and David Stoler. Generating quantum mechanical superpositions of macroscopically distinguishable states via amplitude dispersion. *Physical Review Letters*, 57(1):13, 1986.
- [26] Gang Ren, Jian-ming Du, and Hai-jun Yu. Non-classical state via superposition of two opposite coherent states. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, pages 1–11, 2017.

- [27] Ran Zeng, Muhammad Ashfaq Ahmad, and Shutian Liu. Nonclassical state via superposition of two coherent states ($\pi/2$ out of phase) and related entangled states. *Optics Communications*, 271(1):162–168, 2007.
- [28] Wei-Bo Gao, Chao-Yang Lu, Xing-Can Yao, Ping Xu, Otfried Gühne, Alexander Goebel, Yu-Ao Chen, Cheng-Zhi Peng, Zeng-Bing Chen, and Jian-Wei Pan. Experimental demonstration of a hyper-entangled ten-qubit schrödinger cat state. *Nature physics*, 6(5):331, 2010.
- [29] Dietrich Leibfried, Emanuel Knill, Signe Seidelin, Joe Britton, R Brad Blakestad, John Chiaverini, David B Hume, Wayne M Itano, John D Jost, Christopher Langer, et al. Creation of a six-atom schrödinger cat state. *Nature*, 438(7068):639, 2005.
- [30] Michael A Nielsen and Isaac Chuang. Quantum computation and quantum information, 2002.
- [31] Girish S. Agarwal. *Quantum optics*. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [32] Paul T Cochrane, Gerard J Milburn, and William J Munro. Macroscopically distinct quantum-superposition states as a bosonic code for amplitude damping. *Physical Review A*, 59(4):2631, 1999.
- [33] Alexei Ourjoumtsev, Hyunseok Jeong, Rosa Tualle-Brouiri, and Philippe Grangier. Generation of optical schrödinger cats from photon number states. *Nature*, 448(7155):784, 2007.
- [34] JF Poyatos, JI Cirac, and P Zoller. Quantum reservoir engineering with laser cooled trapped ions. *Physical Review Letters*, 77(23):4728, 1996.
- [35] Evgeny V Shchukin and Werner Vogel. Nonclassical moments and their measurement. *Physical Review A*, 72(4):043808, 2005.
- [36] J Janszky and An V Vinogradov. Squeezing via one-dimensional distribution of coherent states. *Physical Review Letters*, 64(23):2771, 1990.
- [37] V Bužek and PL Knight. The origin of squeezing in a superposition of coherent states. *Optics Communications*, 81(5):331–336, 1991.
- [38] P Adam, J Janszky, and An V Vinogradov. Gaussian coherent state expansion of the squeezed states. *Optics Communications*, 80(2):155–158, 1990.
- [39] P Adam, J Jansky, and An V Vinogradov. Amplitude squeezed and number-phase intelligent states via coherent state superposition. *Physics Letters A*, 160(6):506–510, 1991.
- [40] I Földesi, P Adam, and J Janszky. Antisymmetric straight line superposition of coherent states. *Physics Letters A*, 173(2):97–100, 1993.
- [41] V Bužek, A Vidiella-Barranco, and PL Knight. Superpositions of coherent states: Squeezing and dissipation. *Physical Review A*, 45(9):6570, 1992.
- [42] R Hanbury Brown and Richard Q Twiss. Correlation between photons in two coherent beams of light. *Nature*, 177(4497):27–29, 1956.
- [43] Ching Tsung Lee. Many-photon antibunching in generalized pair coherent states. *Physical Review A*, 41(3):1569, 1990.
- [44] Nguyen Ba An. Multimode higher-order antibunching and squeezing in trio coherent states. *Journal of Optics B: Quantum and Semiclassical Optics*, 4(3):222, 2002.
- [45] Amit Verma, Navneet K Sharma, and Anirban Pathak. Higher order antibunching in intermediate states. *Physics Letters A*, 372(34):5542–5551, 2008.
- [46] GS Agarwal. Quantum statistical theories of spontaneous emission and their relation to other approaches. In *Quantum Optics*. Springer, 1974.
- [47] GS Agarwal and K Tara. Nonclassical properties of states generated by the excitations on a coherent state. *Physical Review A*, 43(1):492, 1991.
- [48] Amit Verma and Anirban Pathak. Higher order squeezing and higher order subpoissonian photon statistics in intermediate states. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1004.1689*, 2010.
- [49] Alessandro Zavatta, Valentina Parigi, and Marco Bellini. Experimental nonclassicality of single-photon-added thermal light states. *Physical Review A*, 75(5):052106, 2007.

- [50] Li-yun Hu, Xue-xiang Xu, Zi-sheng Wang, and Xue-fen Xu. Photon-subtracted squeezed thermal state: nonclassicality and decoherence. *Physical Review A*, 82(4):043842, 2010.