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We consider a Weyl semimetal (WSM) with finite doping and tilt within a continuum model
Hamiltonian with both broken time reversal and inversion symmetry. We calculate the absorptive
part of the anomalous AC Hall conductivity as a function of photon energy ($\Omega$) for both type I and
type II Weyl semimetal. For a given Weyl node, changing the sign of its chirality or of its tilt changes
the sign of its contribution to the absorptive Hall conductivity with no change in magnitude. For a
noncentrosymmetric system we find that there are ranges of photon energies for which only the
positive or only the negative chirality node contributes to the imaginary (absorptive) part of the
Hall conductivity. There are also other photon energies where both chirality contribute and there
can be other ranges of $\Omega$ where there is no absorption associated with the AC Hall conductivity
in type I and regions where it is instead constant for type II. We comment on implications for the
absorption of circular polarized light.

I. INTRODUCTION

Following the suggestion that Weyl fermions could ex-
sist in a solid state environment in the pyrochlore iri-
dates, R$_2$Ir$_2$O$_7$\textsuperscript{1} the proposal that they could also exist in
the nonmagnetic noncentrosymmetric transition-metal
monophosphides\textsuperscript{2} was soon verified experimentally for
TaAs\textsuperscript{28,29}. Weyl nodes come in pairs of opposite chirali-
ity and are known to display many exotic properties\textsuperscript{4}
such as the existence of Fermi arcs\textsuperscript{5} on their surface,
negative magnetoresistance,\textsuperscript{6–10} chiral anomaly\textsuperscript{11–14} and
anomalous Hall effect\textsuperscript{15–16} in Weyl
semimetal reflect directly the dynamics of the Weyl
fermions which have relativistic linear in momentum dis-
\v{c}placement curves linear in momentum. Including broken
\v{c}hiral anomaly\textsuperscript{11–14} and magneto-optics can provide further
\v{c}hannel. The Dirac cones which define the electron
dispersion curves can be tilted with respect to the
energy axis. The degree of tilt defines type I (undertilted)
and type II (overtilted). In case of type I the Fermi sur-
fase in the undoped material remains a point with the
density of state at zero energy (Weyl node) because of the ap-
pearance of electron and hole pockets. There have been
many predictions and observations of type II Weyl among
others in WTe\textsuperscript{22} and MoTe$_2$\textsuperscript{26} in LaAlGe materials\textsuperscript{27}
in TaIrTe\textsuperscript{22–24} in transition metal diphosphides\textsuperscript{28} and
doped materials like Mo$_x$W$_{1-x}$Te$_2$\textsuperscript{28} An extended list of
references can be found in Ref.\textsuperscript{24}. The optical properties of both type I and type II Weyl
semimetals have been of interest. The effect of a tilt on the AC longitudinal optical conductivity of a Weyl
cone was considered by Carbotte\textsuperscript{22} in the clean limit and was generalized to include residual scattering\textsuperscript{32,33}. The imaginary part of the anomalous AC Hall conductivity
(absorptive part) was calculated for type I\textsuperscript{34} as was the
absorption of circular polarized light\textsuperscript{35} in tilted Weyl,
both type I and II. There are optical experiments in type
II Weyl\textsuperscript{36} in addition to type I\textsuperscript{17,37} In this paper we
consider the AC anomalous absorptive Hall conductivity $\Im\sigma (\Omega)$ as a function of photon energy $\Omega$ for the case of a noncentrosymmetric Weyl semimetal where both time re-
versal and inversion symmetry is broken\textsuperscript{38} This was pre-
viously considered for topological insulator normal multi
layered systems\textsuperscript{39} There are many other studies of tilt on
the physical properties of Weyl semimetals. We mention
a few here. The effect of disorder\textsuperscript{40} and coulomb inter-
actions on the tilt were considered\textsuperscript{41} as was a possible phase transition from type I to type II Weyl\textsuperscript{42} There is
the possibility of hybrid Weyl materials where one Weyl
node is type I and the other type II\textsuperscript{43} The effect of tilt on quantum transport\textsuperscript{44} and on the Nernst effect\textsuperscript{45,46} has been
reported.

In section II we specify our model Hamiltonian and
the general formula for the AC Hall conductivity which applies
to the case of both broken time reversal and in-
version symmetry. Section III presents simple analytic
results for the absorptive part of the Hall conductivity. Section IV gives numerical results for the case of type I
Weyl and V for type II and discuss their implications for
the absorption of circular polarized light. Discussion and
conclusions are found in section VI.

II. FORMALISM

We begin with the minimal continuum Hamilton for
a pair of Weyl node of opposite chirality with both time
reversal and inversion symmetry broken. The first dis-
places the Dirac cone in momentum space by an amount
$\pm \mathbf{Q}$ while the second shifts their energy by $\pm Q_0$. This is
shown pictorially in Fig. (1). The left cone in Fig. (1a) rep-
resents a doubly degenerate Dirac cone with relativistic
dispersion curves linear in momentum. Including broken
time reversal symmetry, lifts the degeneracy and the two Weyl cones of opposite chirality no longer overlap but are shifted in momentum space by ±Q (along the horizontal axis) as in the middle frame of Fig. (11). For broken inversion symmetry the two cones are further displaced in energy by ±Q₀ (up and down shift along vertical axis) in right frame of Fig. (11). The Hamiltonian is given by the following equation:

\[ \hat{H}_{s'}(k) = C_{s'}(k - s'Q) + s'v\sigma_z(k - s'Q)e_z \]

where \( s' = 1 \) for the positive chirality Weyl node and \( s' = -1 \) for negative chirality Weyl node. \( C_{s'} \) describe the amount of tilting of the particular chiral node, \( v \) the Fermi velocity and \( e_i \) the unit vector along the axis \( x_i \) where \( i = x, y, z \). We have taken the tilt direction to be along the z-axis in Eq. (11) without loss of generality. The Pauli matrices are defined as usually by,

\[ \sigma_x = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}. \]  

The broken inversion symmetry is introduced through the third term in the Hamiltonian. The Green’s function corresponding to the above Hamiltonian is given by,

\[ G_{s'}(k, z) = \left[ I_2 - \hat{H}_{s'}(k) \right]^{-1}. \]  

where \( I_2 \) is a 2 × 2 unit matrix. It is straightforward to show that the Green’s function can be written in the following form,

\[ G_{s'}(k, \omega_n) = \sum_{s', \pm} \frac{1 - s s' \sigma_z N_{k - s'Qe_z} \omega_n - C_{s'}(k_z - s'Q) + s'v|k - s'Qe_z| + s'Q_0}{\pm \sqrt{2\pi} k_\perp}, \]

where \( N_{k - s'Qe_z} = \frac{k_x e_x + k_y e_y + (k_z - s'Q)e_z}{\sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + (k_z - s'Q)^2}} \) and \( \omega_n \) is a Matsubara frequency.

Since in the subsequent sections we will discuss the behavior of the anomalous Hall conductivity \( \sigma_{xy} \), we need the corresponding current-current correlation function within the realm of the Kubo formalism. It is defined as,

\[ \Pi_{xy}(\Omega_m, q) = T \sum_{\omega_n, s', \pm} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} J_{x, s'} G_{s'}(k + q, \omega_n + \Omega_m) \times J_{y, s'} G_{s'}(k, \omega_n) \]

\[ = Te^2v^2 \sum_{\omega_n, s', \pm} \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \sigma_x G_{s'}(k + q, \omega_n + \Omega_m) \times \]

\[ \sigma_y G_{s'}(k, \omega_n), \]

with another Matsubara frequency \( \Omega_m \). We have used the definition of the current operators,

\[ J_{(x,y), s'} = s'ev\sigma_{(x,y)}. \]  

With these definitions we calculate the expression for the correlation function after setting \( q \) to zero as,

\[ \Pi_{xy}(\Omega_m, 0) = e^2 \sum_{s', \pm} s' \int_{-\Lambda-s'Q}^{\Lambda-s'Q} \frac{dk_z}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty k_\perp dk_\perp \times \]

\[ \left\{ f(C_{s'}k_z + vk - s'Q_0) - f(C_{s'}k_z - vk - s'Q_0) \right\} \times \]

\[ k_z \left[ \frac{2v^2\Omega_m}{\Omega_m^2 + 4v^2k^2} \right]. \]  

Here \( \Omega_m \) is the remaining Matsubara frequency, \( \Lambda \) the cutoff, \( k_\perp \) is the momentum perpendicular to \( k_z \) and \( f(E) = (e^{(E + \mu)/T} + 1)^{-1} \) is the Fermi function at finite temperature \( T \) with \( \mu \) the chemical potential. The Matsubara frequencies need to be replaced by \( i\Omega_m \to \Omega + i\delta \) and the conductivity is,

\[ \sigma_{xy}(\Omega) = -i\pi \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3} \sum_{s', \pm} s' \int_{-\Lambda-s'Q}^{\Lambda-s'Q} k_z dk_z \times \]

\[ \left\{ f(C_{s'}k_z + vk - s'Q_0) - f(C_{s'}k_z - vk - s'Q_0) \right\} \times \]

\[ \frac{1}{4v^2k^2 - \Omega^2 + i\delta(4v^2k^2 - \Omega^2)} \].  

This gives the absorptive part \( \Im \sigma_{xy}(\Omega) \) of the dynamic anomalous Hall optical conductivity,

\[ \Im \sigma_{xy}(\Omega) = \frac{e^2v^2}{2\pi} \sum_{s', \pm} s' \int_{-\Lambda-s'Q}^{\Lambda-s'Q} k_z dk_z \int_0^\infty k_\perp dk_\perp \times \]

\[ \left\{ f(C_{s'}k_z + vk - s'Q_0) - f(C_{s'}k_z - vk - s'Q_0) \right\} \times \]

\[ \delta(4v^2k^2 - \Omega^2). \]  

The momentum integration over \( k_\perp \) can be carried out using the Dirac delta function and only an integration over \( k_z \) remains. The same Dirac delta function \( \delta(4v^2k^2 - \Omega^2) \) further limits the range of \( k_z \) for finite photon energies and the upper and lower limits \( \Lambda - s'Q \) in Eq. (10) are replaced by \( \frac{\Omega}{2v} \) and \( -\frac{\Omega}{2v} \) respectively. Consequently the displacement of the two Weyl nodes ±Q in momentum space drops out for large values of the momentum cut off \( \Lambda \) as compared with \( \Omega \) as does \( \Lambda \) itself taken to be large as compared with \( \Omega \).

We get,

\[ \Im \sigma_{xy}(\Omega) = \frac{e^2v^2}{8\pi v} \sum_{s', \pm} s' \int_{-\frac{\Omega}{2v}}^{\frac{\Omega}{2v}} k_z dk_z \times \]

\[ \left\{ f(C_{s'}k_z + \frac{\Omega}{2} - s'Q_0) - f(C_{s'}k_z - \frac{\Omega}{2} - s'Q_0) \right\}. \]  

We will use this expression for the imaginary part of the AC Hall optical conductivity in the subsequent sections to derive the central results of this article.
III. IMAGINARY PART OF DYNAMIC HALL CONDUCTIVITY

We begin this section with the expression for the imaginary part of the Hall conductivity written in the form

$$\frac{\Im \sigma_{xy}(\Omega)}{e^2/8\pi} = \frac{1}{\Omega} \sum_{s'=\pm} s' \int \frac{dk_z}{2} k_z dk_z \times \left\{ f(C_s' k_z + \frac{\Omega}{2} - \mu_{s'}) - f(C_s' k_z - \frac{\Omega}{2} - \mu_{s'}) \right\}$$

where we have made the chemical potential explicit and the Fermi function is now simply \(f(E) = (e^{E/T} + 1)^{-1}\).

We have set \(v = 1\) so \(C_s' = 1\) is the boundary between type I and type II. We have introduced separate effective chemical potentials \(\mu_{s'} = \mu + s'Q_0\) for each Weyl nodes. Here \(\mu\) is the chemical potential and charge neutrality corresponds to \(\mu = 0\). In the middle frame (b) of Fig. 1, we show a schematic of the energy of the electron dispersion curves as a function of \(k_z\) with \(\mu\) indicated by the heavy solid black line. The shaded parts of the cones are occupied states and we see that for the negative chirality node, the effective chemical potential is \(\mu_- = \mu - Q_0\), measured from its node while the effective chemical potential for the positive chirality node is \(\mu_+ = \mu + Q_0\). It is convenient to introduce the function,

$$I(\bar{\mu}, \bar{C}) = \int \frac{dk_z}{\Omega} \frac{\Omega}{\Omega} \left\{ f(\bar{C}k_z + \frac{\Omega}{2} - \bar{\mu}) - f(\bar{C}k_z - \frac{\Omega}{2} - \bar{\mu}) \right\}$$

which, in our units, is the contribution of a positive chirality Weyl cone of tilt \(\bar{C}\) and chemical potential \(\bar{\mu}\), to \(\Im \sigma_{xy}(\Omega)\). From the form of the Hamiltonian in Eq. (II) a positive value of tilt \(C_s' \geq 0\) bends the Dirac cone to the left while changing the sign of \(C_s'\) bends it to the right. This change in direction of the tilt from anticlockwise to clockwise as \(\bar{C}\) goes from positive to negative changes the sign of the integral defined in Eq. (12). In addition, because of the explicit factor of \(s'\) which appears in Eq. (11), changing the chirality will change the sign of this contribution to the absorptive part of the Hall conductivity. These factors will be important in what follows. In the lower frame of Fig. 1 we show the electronic dispersion curves for the four arrangements of tilt in the specific case of \(C_- = 0.5\). In the top left frame both \(C_+\) and \(C_-\) are positive and both cones are tilted counterclockwise while in the bottom left \(C_+\) and \(C_-\) are negative and both tilts are clockwise. In the right column top frame the negative chirality node is tilted counterclockwise and the positive chirality clockwise. For the lower frame (right column) the opposite holds. These different orientations of tilt affect the anomalous Hall conductivity. Recalling that changing the sign of the chemical potential gives \(-I(\bar{\mu}, \bar{C})\) in Eq. (12) and working out the integral gives for \(\bar{C} < 1\),

$$I(\bar{\mu}, \bar{C}) = \text{sign}(\bar{\mu}) \left[ \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{1}{C^2} - 1 \right) \Omega - \frac{|\bar{\mu}|}{2C^2} + \frac{|\bar{\mu}|^2}{2C^2\Omega} \right]$$

for \(\Omega_L < \Omega < \Omega_U\)

and zero otherwise. Here \(\Omega_L,U = \frac{2|\bar{\mu}|}{\bar{C}}\) and we have built in the symmetry between \(\bar{\mu}\) and \(-\bar{\mu}\). On the other hand, for \(\bar{C} > 1\), \(I(\bar{\mu}, \bar{C})\) is same as in Eq. (13) for \(\Omega_L < \Omega < \Omega_U\). For \(\Omega > \Omega_U\) the integral in Eq. (13) is no longer zero and instead is equal to \(J(\bar{\mu}, \bar{C})\) with,
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FIG. 1: (Color online)(a) A doubly degenerate Dirac cone (left figure) is split into two Weyl cones (middle figure) through broken time-reversal symmetry which displaces them in momentum space. A further breaking of inversion symmetry shifts the Weyl cones in energy relative to each other, right figure. (b) explicitly shows the momentum shift \(Q\) and energy \(Q_0\). (c) shows possible tilt arrangements of the two Weyl cones. The two configurations on the right \(C_+ = -C_-\) oppositely tilted respect tilt inversion symmetry while the two in the left for \(C_+ = C_-\) (equal direction of the tilts) violates tilt inversion symmetry. Both tilts can be counter-clockwise to the left \(C_- > 0\) or clockwise to the right \(C_- < 0\).
\[ J(\mu, C) = \frac{\text{sign}(\mu)\mu}{C^2} \text{ for } \Omega > \Omega_U. \] (14)

These functions play a fundamental role in this work. In our case we have two Weyl nodes. The positive (negative) chirality node has effective chemical potential \( \mu_{\pm} = \mu \pm Q_0 \) as shown in Fig. (1b). We note that of these two effective chemical potentials \( \mu_- \) can be positive or negative depending on the relative magnitude of \( \mu \) and \( Q_0 \) (\( \mu > Q_0 \) or \( \mu < Q_0 \)) while \( \mu_+ \) is always positive.

Introducing the ratio \( \tilde{Q}_0 = Q_0/\mu \), the limits involved in Eq. (13) and Eq. (12) are,

\[ \tilde{\Omega}_{L,U}^+ = 2 \frac{1 + \tilde{Q}_0}{1 \pm \tilde{C}}, \quad \tilde{\Omega}_{L,U}^- = 2 \frac{1 - \tilde{Q}_0}{1 \pm \tilde{C}} \] (15)

where \( \tilde{\Omega}_{L,U}^+ \) is a lower (upper) limit for the positive chirality node and \( \tilde{\Omega}_{L,U}^- \) is the equivalent pair for the negative chirality Weyl node. In Fig. (2) we show these boundaries in a plot of \( \tilde{\Omega} \) vs \( \tilde{Q}_0 \). Frame (a) applies for \( \tilde{C} < 1 \) (type I) with \( \tilde{C} = 0.5 \) chosen for definiteness and frame (b) is for \( \tilde{C} > 1 \) (type II) with \( \tilde{C} = 1.5 \). At \( \tilde{Q}_0 = 0 \) (centrosymmetric) for type I, \( \Omega_{L,U}^+ \) and \( \Omega_{L,U}^- \) merge at \( \tilde{\Omega} = 4 \) and 1.34 respectively. At \( \tilde{Q}_0 = 1 \) the boundary at which the effective chemical potential of the negative chirality node changes sign \( \tilde{\Omega}_{L}^- = \tilde{\Omega}_{U}^- = 0 \). There is a crossing of \( \tilde{\Omega}_{L}^- = \tilde{\Omega}_{U}^- \) at \( \tilde{Q}_0 = \tilde{C} \), here 0.5 with a second crossing at \( \tilde{Q}_0 = 1/\tilde{C} \), here 2. For type II at \( \tilde{Q}_0 = 0 \) (centrosymmetric) \( \tilde{\Omega}_{L}^+ = \tilde{\Omega}_{L}^- = 2/(1 + \tilde{C}) = 0.8 \) while \( \tilde{\Omega}_{U}^+ = \tilde{\Omega}_{U}^- \) remains at 4, \( \tilde{\Omega}_{U}^+ = \tilde{\Omega}_{L}^+ = 0 \) at \( \tilde{Q}_0 = 1 \) but \( \tilde{\Omega}_{L}^- \) crosses with \( \tilde{\Omega}_{U}^- \) at \( \tilde{Q}_0 = 1/\tilde{C} \) equal to 0.67 here and again at \( \tilde{C} \) equal to 1.5 in this example. In the phase diagram of Fig. (2) for \( \Omega \) as a function of \( \tilde{Q}_0 \), the shaded blue region is bounded by \( \tilde{\Omega}_{L}^+ \) (at bottom) and \( \tilde{\Omega}_{U}^+ \) (at top) while the red regions are bounded by \( \tilde{\Omega}_{L}^- \) (at bottom) and \( \tilde{\Omega}_{U}^- \) (at top). The blue region involves the negative chirality node only while the red involves only the positive chirality node but there are overlap regions where both contribute. In particular for the centrosymmetric case (\( \tilde{Q}_0 = 0 \)) the overlap is complete and both nodes contribute equally. As \( \tilde{Q}_0 \) increases the overlap region decreases and there is a low region where only the negative chirality node contributes and a second region at higher \( \tilde{C} \) where only the positive node contributes. For type I the overlap region ends at \( \tilde{Q}_0 = \tilde{C} \) and in the range \( \tilde{Q}_0 = \tilde{C} \) to \( \tilde{Q}_0 = 1/\tilde{C} \) the nodes of opposite chirality contribute to separate ranges of photon energies with no overlap. At \( \tilde{Q}_0 = 1 \), \( \tilde{\Omega}_{U}^- \) goes through zero because the effective chemical potential of the negative chirality node has vanished. As we have noted this change in sign of the chemical potential changes the sign of this contribution to the anomalous Hall (imaginary part) but not its magnitude. Beyond \( \tilde{Q}_0 = 2 \) a second overlap region is seen but there remains a large range of photon energies at low \( \tilde{\Omega} \) which involves only the \( s' = -1 \) node and another at high \( \tilde{\Omega} \) which is due only to the \( s' = +1 \) node. Finally we note that between \( \tilde{Q}_0 = \tilde{C} \) and 1/\( \tilde{C} \) there is a region where there is no absorption at all between \( \tilde{\Omega} = \tilde{\Omega}_{U}^- \) and \( \Omega = \tilde{\Omega}_{L}^- \). For type II right frame in Fig. (2) the overall qualitative picture remains similar with two differences that need to be emphasized. The crossing of \( \tilde{\Omega}_{L}^- \) with \( \tilde{\Omega}_{U}^- \) are now at \( \tilde{Q}_0 \) equal to 1/\( \tilde{C} \) and \( \tilde{C} \) respectively and the unshaded region bounded between the red and blue regions (Fig. (2b)) in this range of \( \tilde{Q}_0 \) no longer corresponds to zero absorptive Hall conductivity. Instead there remains a contribution from the negative chirality node because this contribution no longer becomes zero above \( \tilde{\Omega}_{U}^- \) but remains finite to large values of photon energies. From all this information and the integrals defined in Eq. (13) and (14) we can calculate explicitly the imaginary part of the anomalous Hall conductivity.

**IV. RESULTS FOR TYPE I**

We begin with the case \( 0 < \tilde{C} < 1 \) type I Weyl and consider first the case when \( \tilde{Q}_0 < 1 \) for which both \( \mu_+ \) and \( \mu_- \) are always positive. In Fig. (3) frame (a)(top) we redraw that part of the phase diagram and indicate the values the imaginary part has, in units of \( e^2\mu/8\pi \), in the various regions. Only the integral \( I(\mu_+, C_+) \) and \( I(\mu_, C_-) \) are needed. The absorptive AC Hall conduc-
tivity is zero below the line defined by $\Omega^L_-$ and above the line defined by $\Omega^U_+$. It is also zero in the region $0.5 < Q_0 < 1$ between $\Omega^U_-$ and $\Omega^U_+$ so that there is no overlap of the contributions from negative and positive chirality nodes and these are separated with a region of no absorption. The negative chirality gives the low energy piece while the positive chirality node gives the higher energy part. The range of the zero absorption region vanishes at $Q_0 = C_- = 0.5$ and increases as $Q_0$ is increased so that the separation between positive and negative chirality regions increases. For $Q_0 < C_- = 0.5$ the phase diagram is more complex and there is a overlap between the positive and negative chirality contributions. The region between $\Omega^L_-$ and $\Omega^U_+$ is entirely due to the negative chirality node and between $\Omega^L_-$ and $\Omega^U_-$ the positive chirality. Between $\Omega^L_+$ and $\Omega^U_-$ there is overlap of these two contributions. The overlap is complete at $Q_0 = 0$. Since we are considering the case $C_+ = C_- $ we get zero in this limit as seen in Fig. 3(a) where we show our results for $\Im \sigma_{xy}(\Omega) \equiv \Omega/\mu$. The black dots apply and they fall on horizontal axis. This cancellation can be traced to the fact that the chirality provides a factor of $s^2$ in Eq. (11). If however we had chosen the case $C_+ = -C_- $ the sign of the contribution of the positive chirality would be changed and so the two contributions would add instead of canceling as seen in Fig. 3 frame (c)(see black dots and solid black curve). This also holds for other values of $Q_0$ where positive and negative chirality contributions interfere destructively when $C_+ = C_- $ and add when $C_+ = -C_- $. We note that the double dot magenta ($Q_0 = 0.8$) curve and dash dot green ($Q_0 = 0.55$) curves in Fig. 3(b) show an intermediate region of zero $\Im \sigma_{xy}(\Omega = 0)$ because they fall in the no overlap region $Q_0 > C_- = 0.5$ while the others all overlap. The region of no overlap is particularly interesting when the absorption of circular polarized light is considered. The conductivity for right (+) and left (−) hand circular polarization ($\sigma_{\pm}$) is given by $\Im \sigma_{xx}(\Omega) = 0$ to which we add $\pm \Im \sigma_{xy}(\Omega)$. In the smaller $\Omega$ region $\sigma_+ < \sigma_−$, in the overlap region $\sigma_+ = \sigma_−$ and in the third region at higher photon energy $\sigma_+ > \sigma_−$ for the parallel tilted case and $\sigma_+ < \sigma_−$ when the tilts are opposite directed. We emphasize that increasing $Q_0$ to be greater than $C_-$ has completely split the negative and positive Weyl node contributions and opened a range of photon energy between them where the polarization of the light does not change the absorption.

Next we consider the case of $Q_0 > 1$ staying with $C_- = 0.5$. The relevant part of the phase diagram is shown in top frame (a) of Fig. 4. For $1 < Q_0 < 2$ there is a region of zero absorption between $\Omega^U_-$ and $\Omega^U_+$. In the region $\Omega^L_-$ to $\Omega^U_-$ only the negative chirality node absorbs while in the region $\Omega^L_+ to \Omega^U_+$ it is only the positive chirality. However for $Q_0 > 2$ there is a overlap region between the

+ and − chirality contributions. There is no absorption below $\Omega^L_-$ and above $\Omega^U_+$. Between $\Omega^L_-$ and $\Omega^U_+$ only $\chi = −1$ is involved and in the region between $\Omega^U_-$ and $\Omega^L_+$ it is only $\chi = +1$ but in the intermediate region between

![FIG. 3: (Color online) (a) Extended version of the phase diagram of Fig. (2a) for $Q_0 < 1$ with the contribution to the absorptive Hall conductivity indicated in the various distinct regions of the ($\Omega, Q_0$) plane. ‘Null’ indicates the regions of no absorptive Hall. The value of both the tilts are assumed to be 0.5. In the other two sub-figures we plot the imaginary (absorptive) part of the AC Hall conductivity in units of $c^2/\mu_0 \pi$ as a function of photon energy $\Omega$, normalized to the chemical potential. This normalization means that the curves are universal, dependent only on the parameter $Q_0 = Q_0/\mu$. Here $C_- = 0.5$ for definiteness and $Q_0 < 1$. In frame (b) $C_+ = C_-$ while in frame (c) $C_+ = -C_-$. This change in tilt from counterclockwise to clockwise of the positive chirality cone changes the sign of its contribution to $\Im \sigma_{xy}(\Omega)$.](image)
\( \tilde{\Omega}_U^x \) and \( \tilde{\Omega}_U^y \) there is overlap of the contributions to \( 3\sigma_{xy}(\Omega) \) of both chirality nodes. Detail plots of \( 3\sigma_{xy}(\Omega) \) vs. \( \tilde{\Omega} = \Omega/\mu \) are also provided in Fig. 4. Frame (b) is for \( C_+ = C_- \) and frame (c) \( C_+ = -C_- \) so that the contribution from the positive chirality node has reversed sign in frame (c) relative to (b). Further in frame (b) we note that \( 3\sigma_{xy}(\Omega) \) is always negative. The reason for this is that now the effective chemical potential for the negative chirality node has become negative and hence there has been a change of sign of this contribution relative to the case in Fig. (4) frame (b) where the contribution for the negative chirality node is positive. Finally in frame (c) we see the reversal in sign of the positive chirality contribution because the sign of \( C_+ \) has been reversed in comparison to frame (b) of the same figure. For the solid red \((Q_0 = 1.4)\) and dash blue \((Q_0 = 1.8)\) curves the low energy region has \( \sigma_+ > \sigma_- \), the intermediate \( \Omega \) region (no overlap) has \( \sigma_+ = \sigma_- \) and the region beyond this again has \( \sigma_+ > \sigma_- \) for parallel tilts and \( \sigma_+ < \sigma_- \) for opposite orientation of the tilts.

![Figure 4](image-url)

**FIG. 4:** (Color online)(a) Extended version of the phase diagram of Fig. 2(a) for \( Q_0 > 1 \) with the contribution to the absorptive Hall conductivity indicated in the various distinct regions of the \((\Omega, Q_0)\) plane. ‘Null’ indicates the regions of no absorptive Hall. The value of both the tilts are assumed to be 0.5. In the other two sub-figures we plot the imaginary (absorptive) part of the AC Hall conductivity in units of \( e^2\mu/8\pi \) as a function of photon energy \( \tilde{\Omega} \), normalized to the chemical potential. There is a family of curves depending on the parameter \( \tilde{Q}_0 = Q_0/\mu \) which here is greater than one. Frame (b) is for \( C_+ = C_- \) while frame (c) has \( C_+ = -C_- \). This positive chirality Weyl node has reversed its tilt from counterclockwise to clockwise between (a) and (b) and this changes the sign of the positive chirality contribution to \( 3\sigma_{xy}(\Omega) \).
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**FIG. 5:** (Color online) Schematic of tilted Weyl cones (a) \( C = 0 \) no tilt, (b) \( C = 1 \) tipped and (c) \( C = 2 \) overtilted. Note that with increasing tilt the cross section of the Weyl cones increases as well in our model electronic dispersion curves based on the Hamiltonian of Eq. (1).

We next turn to the overtilted case \( C_+ > 1 \) (type II Weyl). In Fig. 5, we show a schematic of the electronic dispersion curves for three values of the tilt \( C = 0 \), left (a), no tilt; \( C = 1 \) just tipped over (boundary between type I and type II), middle (b) and \( C = 2 \) right (c), overtilted. As the tilt \( C \) is increased the cone is bent over and opens up, and a Lifshitz transition occurs at \( C = 1 \). For charge neutrality the density of states at the Fermi surface remains zero for \( C < 1 \) but is finite for \( C > 1 \) because of the formation of electron and hole pockets. Its value is dependent on the cut of Fig. 4 and on the value of \( C \). For the imaginary part of the anomalous Hall conductivity this leads to the very different behavior seen in equation Eq. (13) and (14). Eq. (14) does not enter the discussion in type I Weyl. Now both contributions \( \chi = \pm 1 \) are unbounded above and there will be no intermediate regions of photon energies where there is no absorption. For definiteness we take a specific value of \( C_- \) namely, \( C_- = 1.5 \) and start with the case \( \tilde{Q}_0 < 1 \) for which all effective chemical potentials are positive. The relevant phase diagram is presented in the top frame (a) of Fig. 6 where...
FIG. 6: (Color online) (a) Extended version of the phase diagram of Fig. (2a) for \( Q_0 < 1 \) with the contribution to the absorptive Hall conductivity indicated in the various distinct regions of the \((\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{Q}_0)\) plane. ‘Null’ indicates the regions of no absorptive Hall. The value of both the tilts are assumed to be 1.5. In the other two sub-figures we plot the imaginary (absorptive) part of the AC Hall conductivity in units of \( e^2/\mu/8\pi \) as a function of photon energy \( \tilde{\Omega} \), normalized to the chemical potential. There is a family of curves labeled by the parameter \( Q_0 = Q_0/\mu \) which here is less than one. Frame (b) is for \( C_+ = C_- \), both cones are tilted counterclockwise while in frame (c) the positive chirality cone has its tilt reversed \( C_+ = -C_- \) and this changes the sign of its contribution to \( \Im \sigma_{xy}(\Omega) \).

FIG. 7: (Color online) (a) Extended version of the phase diagram of Fig. (2a) for \( Q_0 > 1 \) with the contribution to the absorptive Hall conductivity indicated in the various distinct regions of the \((\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{Q}_0)\) plane. ‘Null’ indicates the regions of no absorptive Hall. The value of both the tilts are assumed to be 1.5. In the other two sub-figures we plot the imaginary (absorptive) part of the AC Hall conductivity in units of \( e^2/\mu/8\pi \) as a function of photon energy \( \tilde{\Omega} \), normalized to the chemical potential. There is a family of curves labeled by \( Q_0 = Q_0/\mu \) which here is greater than one. Frame (a) is for \( C_+ = C_- \), both cones are tilted counterclockwise while in frame (b) we have reversed the tilt of the positive chirality node to clockwise \( C_+ = -C_- \) and this has changed the sign of its contribution to \( \Im \sigma_{xy}(\Omega) \).

the different regions are indicated as well as the contributions of the two nodes to \( \Im \sigma_{xy}(\Omega) \). Detail results for the Hall conductivity are given in Fig. (4), middle frame (b) and lower frame (c). Frame (b) applies to the case of an inversion symmetry breaking tilt while frame (c) is for tilts that respect inversion symmetry. As before we note that \( \Im \sigma_{xy}(\Omega) \) is negative at high energies and positive at small energies for \( C_+ = C_- \) while for \( C_+ = -C_- \) it
is everywhere positive because changing the tilt on the positive chirality node has changed the sign of its contribution. Two regions are worth special comments. As is clear from the phase diagram Fig. (3)(a) for $Q_0 > 1/C_-$, $\sigma_0 \approx 0.67$ there is an intermediate energy region between $\tilde{\Omega}_U^-$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_L^-$ where the absorption is equal to $-J(\mu_-, C_-)$ and hence is completely flat and due entirely to the negative chirality node. There is another flat region for any value of $Q_0 < 1$ when $\Omega > \tilde{\Omega}_U^+$ and here both Weyl nodes contribute. The intermediate energy flat region is seen in the dotted double dash indigo curve (Fig. (3)frame (b)) which has $\tilde{Q}_0 = 0.8$ and this is greater than the critical value of $1/C_-$. In this region $\sigma_+ \sigma_-$ will differ by a constant amount equal to $2|Q_0|/C^2$ in our conductivity units. In Fig. (3)frame (c) $C_+ = -C_-$ and the sign of the contribution to $3\sigma_{x y}(\Omega)$ of the positive chirality node has switched from negative in frame (b) to positive because of the switch in the sign of the tilt.

In Fig. (7)frame (a) we show the various regions of our phase diagram for $C_- = 1.5$ and $\tilde{Q}_0 > 1$. In this case the effective chemical potential for the negative chirality node is negative. Note that for $Q_0 < 1.5$ there is a region between $\tilde{\Omega}_U^-$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_L^+$ where the Hall conductivity is determined by $-J(\mu_-, C_-)$ which corresponds to another region where $3\sigma_{x y}(\Omega)$ is flat but now the sign of this contribution is positive because there is one minus sign due to chirality and another due to a negative chemical potential. In the corresponding region of Fig. (3) $I(\mu_-, C_-)$ is positive because $\mu_+$ is positive (see Fig. (3)frame (a)). This is seen in the solid red curve for $\tilde{Q}_0 = 1.2$ and is also part of the dash blue curve for $\tilde{Q}_0 = 1.4$ but in this case the photon energy range over which the flat contribution exist is very small because $\tilde{\Omega}_L^-$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_U^+$ are nearly the same in magnitude as they meet when $\tilde{Q}_0 = 1.5$. Finally frame (c) differs from frame (b) because of a change in sign of the positive chirality contribution.

VI. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

We consider a Weyl semimetal with winding number one. Beside the usual relativistic Dirac Hamiltonian with degeneracy two, we further include in our work a term which explicitly breaks time reversal invariance and a second term which breaks inversion symmetry. Either of these two additional pieces lift the degeneracy of the Dirac cones and produces two Weyl nodes of opposite chirality. Violation of time reversal symmetry shifts the nodes in momentum space by $\pm Q$ while inversion symmetry shifts them in energy by $\pm Q_0$. Using a Kubo formula for the absorptive part of the AC anomalous Hall conductivity ($\sigma_{x y}(\Omega)$) we compute the appropriate transverse current-current correlation function to get $3\sigma_{x y}(\Omega)$ vs. $\Omega$. We find that $Q$ drops out of the expressions for the Hall conductivity in the clean limit employed here. Only the interband optical background is considered. In the limit of a centrosymmetric semimetal we recover the known expressions obtained by Steiner et. al [34] for type I Weyl and by Mukherjee et. al [35] for both type I and II which they obtained within the context of a discussion of the absorption of circular polarized light. The two Weyl nodes contribute equally to $3\sigma_{x y}(\Omega)$ when they have opposite tilt and cancel when their tilts are parallel. For the opposite tilt ($C_-$) and type $\Omega (0 < C_- < 1)$ the absorption is confined to a finite interval of photon energy $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega/\mu$ between $2/(1 + C_-)$ to $2/(1 - C_-)$. For type II Weyl ($C_- > 1$) the lower limit on $\tilde{\Omega}$ for absorption remains but there is no upper limit and for $\tilde{\Omega} > 2/(C_- - 1)$ the $3\sigma_{x y}(\Omega)$ in units of $e^2/\hbar v$ is a constant equal to $2/C^2$.

For noncentrosymmetric Weyl the nodes are displaced in energy by $\pm Q_0$ and the effective chemical potential associated with each of the two nodes $\mu_s = \mu + s'Q_0$ are different for $s'$, positive/negative chirality. The effective $\mu_s$ for the negative chirality node is always smaller than for the positive node and becomes negative for $Q_0 > \mu$ while $\mu_+$ is always positive for $\mu > 0$ which has been assumed throughout this paper. The contribution to the absorptive part of the anomalous Hall conductivity of a given Weyl node was found to depend only on the magnitude of its effective chemical potential and tilt but its overall sign changes when the sign of $\mu_s$ changes as it does when the sign of its tilt changes. The onset for absorption is different for each of the two nodes and equal to $\tilde{\Omega} = 2|\mu_s|/(1 + C_-)$. This means that for $Q_0 \neq 0$ there will always be a low photon energy region for which only the negative chirality node contributes to the absorption. This is different from the $Q_0 = 0$ (centrosymmetric) case for which both nodes always contribute equally. For type I there are other regions of the phase diagram for $\tilde{\Omega}$ vs $Q_0$ where only the positive chirality node contributes to $3\sigma_{x y}(\Omega)$. While above $\tilde{\Omega} = 2\mu_+/(1 - C_-)$, $3\sigma_{x y}(\Omega) = 0$, there is another window where it is also zero. For $\tilde{Q}_0$ between $C_-$ and 1 the photon energy interval is $2 \left( \frac{\tilde{Q}_0 - 1}{1 + \tilde{Q}_0} \right) < \tilde{\Omega} < 2 \left( \frac{\tilde{Q}_0 + 1}{1 + \tilde{Q}_0} \right)$ and for $\tilde{Q}_0$ between 1 and $1/C_-$ it is $2 \left( \frac{\tilde{Q}_0 - 1}{1 + \tilde{Q}_0} \right) < \tilde{\Omega} < 2 \left( \frac{\tilde{Q}_0 + 1}{1 + \tilde{Q}_0} \right)$. In these regions the absorption of light does not depend on its polarization. Such a region will never exist for centrosymmetric systems. It depends on the inversion symmetry breaking parameter $Q_0$ being large enough to produce two separated regions of photon energies in which only one chirality Weyl node contributes. By contrast for type II Weyl there is always a finite value of $3\sigma_{x y}(\Omega)$ for $\tilde{\Omega} > 2\mu_+/(1 - C_-)$. For $\tilde{\Omega} > 2\mu_+/(1 - C_-)$ the Hall conductivity in units of $e^2/\hbar v$ takes on a particularly simple form. For parallel tilt it is a constant equal to $-Q_0/C^2$ while for the opposite tilt it is again constant but equal to $-2/C^2$. In other regions of the phase diagram for both type I and type II and arbitrary direction of tilt, photon energy the Hall conductivity $3\sigma_{x y}(\Omega)$ has a more complicated dependence but can still be expressed in terms of two simple algebraic functions $I(C, \mu)$ and $J(C, \mu)$ which we defined in Eq. (13) and (14) respectively. These are
one of our central results. For type II there is a second region closely related to that seen in type I where, in that case, $\Im \sigma_{xy}(\Omega)$ was zero. Now it is instead constant and entirely due to the negative Weyl node. For $\tilde{Q}_0$ between $1/C_-$ to 1 it is $1-\tilde{Q}_0 \Omega^{-1}$ in units of $e^2/8\pi^2 v$ in the photon energy interval $2 \left(1-\tilde{Q}_0 \Omega^{-1}\right) < \tilde{\Omega} < 2 \left(1+\tilde{Q}_0 \Omega^{-1}\right)$. For $\tilde{Q}_0$ between 1 to $C_-$ the interval of constant $\Im \sigma_{xy}(\Omega)$ is $2 \left(\tilde{Q}_0 \Omega^{-1}\right) < \tilde{\Omega} < 2 \left(1+\tilde{Q}_0 \Omega^{-1}\right)$. In these two regions the absorptive part of the conductivity associated with circular polarized light $\sigma_+$ and $\sigma_-$ differ only by a constant amount $2\pi-\tilde{Q}_0 \Omega^{-1}$ in our units.

In summary we have found a rich and complex phase diagram for the variation of the imaginary part of the AC Hall conductivity as a function of the inversion symmetry breaking parameter $Q_0$, doping $\mu$ and Weyl cone tilt $C$ in noncentrosymmetric Weyl semimetals. Our result also impact on the absorption of circular polarized light. For a pair of opposite chirality Weyl nodes tilts that preserve inversion symmetry (oppositely tilted), have a different signature in optics than do tilts that do not (parallel tilts).
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