Acoustic funnel and buncher for nanoparticle injection
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Acoustics-based techniques are investigated to focus and bunch nanoparticle beams. This allows to overcome the prominent problem of the longitudinal and transverse mismatch of particle-stream and x-ray-beam size in single-particle/single-molecule imaging at x-ray free-electron lasers (XFEL). It will also enable synchronized injection of particle streams at kHz repetition rates. Transverse focusing concentrates the particle flux to the size of the (sub)micrometer x-ray focus. In the longitudinal direction, focused acoustic waves can be used to bunch the particle to the same repetition rate as the x-ray pulses. The acoustic manipulation is based on simple mechanical recoil effects and could be advantageous over light-pressure-based methods, which rely on absorption. The acoustic equipment is easy to implement and can be conveniently inserted into current XFEL endstations. With the proposed method, data collection times could be reduced by a factor of 106. This work does not just provide an efficient method for acoustic manipulation of streams of arbitrary gas-phase particles, but also opens up wide avenues for acoustics-based particle optics.

X-ray free-electron lasers enable single-particle and single-molecule imaging by x-ray diffraction 1, due to the unprecedented brightness and femtosecond pulse duration. As the particle stream enters the vacuum chamber, transverse expansion is inevitable for freely moving particles due to the pressure difference. At present, one of the key bottlenecks in single-particle imaging at XFELs is the large size of aerodynamically focused particle streams, often of a few tens of micrometers 2,3 compared to the small size of the 100 nm-diameter x-ray beam. Furthermore, in the longitudinal direction the particles passing between the pulses are also not intercepted. This mismatch results in low sample delivery efficiency, only about one in 1012 particles are intercepted in the case of a 100 μm particle beam moving at 100 m/s across a 100 nm x-ray beam at a 1 kHz repetition rate. As a result, many samples, which are often precious, are wasted, and days of data collection are often required in order to obtain only a few hundred or perhaps thousand high-quality diffraction patterns at an x-ray pulse repetition rate of some kHz, whereas > 100000 patterns are required for atomic-resolution imaging 4.

Different means to enhance the interception rate of particles by the x-ray pulses through transverse focusing are considered, such as improved aerodynamic collimation 5,6 or the focusing with laser traps 7. Furthermore, bunching 8, i.e., longitudinal focusing, with spatial periods that match the repetition rate of x-ray pulses could be utilized to further improve sample use. Suppose the particle stream was transversely compressed to 1 μm and bunched to millimeter size with the same frequency as the repetition rate of x-ray pulses in the longitudinal direction: compared to the typical parameters given above, data collection time and sample use would be reduced by a factor of 106.

Here, we propose that the longitudinal and transverse manipulation of the particle stream can be realized by an acoustic funnel and a buncher as shown in Fig. 1. In gas flows, the deviation from continuum behavior is quantified by the Knudsen number, $Kn = \Lambda / H$, where $\Lambda$ is the mean free path and $H$ is a characteristic length scale, which can be taken as the width between transducer and reflector. $Kn \gg 1$ corresponds to ballistic molecular behavior of free molecular flow, $0.1 \lesssim Kn \lesssim 10$ is known as the transition regime, and for $Kn \lesssim 0.1$ a continuum hydrodynamic description is possible. We focus on the case of $Kn < 0.1$, for which the conventional picture of acoustic waves in continuum media is valid 10. For helium gas at $T = 5 \text{ K}$ the mean free path is $\Lambda = k_B T / \sqrt{2} \pi \sigma^2 p = 2 \text{ mm}$, with the size of the helium atom $\sigma = 280 \text{ pm}$ and the pressure $p = 10^{-3} \text{ mbar}$, or similarly for $p = 5 \times 10^{-2} \text{ mbar}$ at room temperature. The width of the standing wave resonator is $H = (n + 1/2) \lambda = 2.75 \text{ cm}$ with an acoustic wave of wavelength $\lambda = 5 \text{ mm}$ and frequency $\nu = 26 \text{ kHz}$. In the following, we present the theory for the transverse and longitudinal manipulation with standing and traveling acoustic waves, respectively.

As illustrated in Fig. 1, the acoustic funnel is made of two orthogonal half-wavelength cavities formed by transducers and specular reflectors in the transverse direction. The two 1D cavities are set up to overlap in the center of the particle beam. Since the focusing in the transverse $x, y$ directions is similar, we firstly consider the Gor’kov potential $U(x, y; t)$ for focusing in the $x, y$ direction 12,13

$$U = \frac{16\pi R^3 I}{c} \left[ \frac{1}{3} f_1 (\cos^2 kx + \cos^2 ky + 2 \cos kx \cos ky) \times \sin^2 \omega t - \frac{1}{2} f_2 (\sin^2 kx + \sin^2 ky) \cos^2 \omega t \right]$$

with $f_1 = 1 - \left( \omega c^2 \right)/\left( \omega c_0^2 \right)$ and $f_2 = 2(\rho_0 - \rho)/(2\rho_0 + \rho)$. $I$ and $k$ are the intensity and wave number of the acoustic field,
We assume mass and radius of the particle as $m = 3 \times 10^{-21}$ kg and $R = 100$ nm, which resembles typical biological sample particles, such as virus particle. The force from the potential of an eigen mode that has a minimum at the center of the cavity $r = 0$, which resembles typical biological sample particles, such as virus particle. We assume mass and radius of the particle as $m = 3 \times 10^{-21}$ kg and $R = 100$ nm, which resembles typical biological sample particles, such as virus particle. The force from the potential of an eigen mode that has a minimum at the center of the cavity $r = 0$. Assuming the particle has, at least, one symmetry axis and the longitudinal motion is parallel to that axis, there is no deflecting force in the transverse direction. Thus the Brownian motion is the dominant mechanism of transverse dispersion of the particle beam. Denoting the transverse velocity as $v_y = \dot{y}$, the equation of motion for the Brownian motion in Gor’kov potential is

$$m\ddot{y} + \beta \dot{y} = F_B(t) + F_G(y, t)$$

$$v_y(0) = 0, y(0) = 0,$$

where $F_B(t)$ is the force of Brownian collision and $F_G(y, t)$ is the Gor’kov force. For low pressure, $p \lesssim 10^{-3}$ mbar, helium as the coupling medium, and a Knudsen number close to the transition regime, the friction coefficient $\beta$ can be expressed as

$$\beta = 4\pi R^2 \rho \sqrt{\frac{2k_BT}{m_a}}.$$ (3)

We can linearize the Gor’kov force around $-(\lambda/4, \lambda/4)$ as

$$F_G(y, t) = -\frac{16\pi IR^2}{c} \left[ \frac{1}{3} f_1 + \frac{1}{2} f_2 \right] \cos 2\omega t$$

$$\times \left[ \frac{1}{3} f_1 - \frac{1}{2} f_2 \right] y$$

$$= -Gy \left( 1 + \frac{H}{G} \cos 2\omega t \right).$$ (4)

The motion in the $x$-direction is the same, since the linearized Gor’kov force $F_G(x, t)$ can be obtained by replacing $y$ with $x$. The oscillating term in the Gor’kov force that is proportional to $\cos 2\omega t$ can possibly induce parametric resonances and drive particles away from the equilibrium position of the potential. However, it can be shown that the parametric resonance can be safely avoided in our case, due to a large difference between the frequencies of particle oscillation and acoustic wave: Rewriting (4) approximately in the form of a Mathieu equation

$$\ddot{y} + \frac{\beta}{m} \dot{y} + \frac{G}{m} y(1 + \frac{H}{G} \cos 2\omega t) = 0.$$ (5)

and denoting $\Omega = \sqrt{G/m}$ as the characteristic frequency of particle oscillation, the particle trajectory is found as

$$y(t) = e^{-\frac{\beta}{2m} t} \left[ C_1 \cos \left( \frac{\Omega}{2} t \right) - \frac{\beta}{2m\omega} \frac{\Omega}{2} , -\frac{H}{2G} \left( \frac{\Omega}{\omega} \right) \frac{\Omega}{\omega} , \omega t \right]$$

$$+ C_2 \sin \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} t - \frac{\beta}{2m\omega} \frac{\Omega}{2} , -\frac{H}{2G} \left( \frac{\Omega}{\omega} \right) \frac{\Omega}{\omega} , \omega t \right]$$

$$+ C_3 \sin \left[ \frac{\Omega}{2} t + \frac{\beta}{2m\omega} \frac{\Omega}{2} , -\frac{H}{2G} \left( \frac{\Omega}{\omega} \right) \frac{\Omega}{\omega} , \omega t \right],$$ (6)
In this parameter space the particles oscillate transversely with limited amplitudes that do not grow exponentially. A wide range of ratios between particle-oscillation and acoustic-wave frequencies provide stable trajectories. The required condition can be conveniently fulfilled even without friction, e.g., in our case \((a,q)\approx(0.11,4.4\times10^{-4})\). Variational analysis demonstrated that the friction can further widen the permitted stable regime according to the Mathieu equation [21,22], since it physically suppresses the oscillation amplitude of particle trajectory.

Computations following [5] show converging trajectories to the center of the harmonic potential. In the absence of parametric resonances, the particle trajectories must converge to the focused area. Similar to the case of a pure harmonic potential the temporal factor in the Gor’kov force could be approximately integrated out [12]. Based on the stability analysis, the particle’s velocity is

\[
v_y(t) = -\frac{\beta}{m}y + \frac{1}{m} \int_0^t F_B(\zeta)d\zeta + \frac{1}{m} F_G(y)t.
\]

The corresponding Fokker-Planck equation [23,25] for the transverse distribution of the particles \(f(y,y_0,t)\) can thus be obtained, for the linearized Gor’kov force, as

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f = \frac{G}{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (y f) + D \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} f.
\]

From the Fokker-Planck equation, the temporal evolution of the transverse particle positions are obtained as

\[
f(y,y_0,t) = \left[ \frac{G}{2\pi \beta D (1 - e^{-2\beta D t})} \right]^{1/2} \times \exp \left[ - \frac{G}{2\beta D} \left( y - y_0 e^{-\frac{G}{2\beta D} t} \right)^2 \right].
\]

This yields the minimal width of the particle stream as

\[
w_{\text{min}} = \sqrt{\frac{2 \ln 2 k_B T}{G}}.
\]

Given an initial width \(w_0\), the transverse distribution function \(f(y,y_0,t)\) in (9) can be convoluted as

\[
f(y,t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y,y_0,t) w(y_0) dy_0
\]

\[
w(y_0) = \sum_{\text{min}} \sqrt{\frac{\ln 2}{\pi w_0^2}} e^{-\ln 2 y_0^2/w_0^2},
\]

which gives the temporal evolution of particle stream

\[
f(y,t) = \mathcal{P} \left[ \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2e^{-2G t} + \ln 2/w_0^2}} \right] \times \exp \left[ - \left( Q - \frac{Q^2 e^{-2G t} + \ln 2/w_0^2}{Q e^{-2G t} + \sqrt{2e^{-2G t} + \ln 2/w_0^2}} \right) y^2 \right],
\]

where \(\mathcal{P}(t) = \sqrt{G \ln 2/(2\pi w_0^2 \beta D (1 - e^{-2G t/\beta}))}\), and \(Q(t) = G/(2\beta D) \cdot 1/(1 - e^{-2G t/\beta})\).

The temporal evolution obtained for \(w_0 = 100 \mu m\) is presented in Fig. 3. The particle beam is transversely compressed to a width of 6 \mu m, approaching the size of the XFEL beam. We show the temporal evolution of particle number density distribution determined from [2] in Fig. 3(a), and from numerical simulations in Fig. 3(b) and (c).

The acoustic buncher relies on the period force imposed by the traveling wave resulting from tilted transducers, see Fig. 1. Suppose the two transducers radiate synchronously with the same phase, then the transverse force is zero and only a force in the longitudinal direction remains. In our case, the particles move with a longitudinal velocity of \(v_z \sim 100 \text{ m/s}\), and the buncher imposes a force field that has sufficiently short longitudinal interaction length, i.e., the particle transit time \(\Delta t = l/v_z\) is much shorter than the period of the acoustic wave. Since the acoustic pressure variation does not affect the particle for a full cycle, the particle only experience a transient force. This leads to an acoustic force that is proportional to the first order of the sinusoidal modulation of the plane acoustic wave. Assuming the acoustic pressure to be \(p = p_0 \sin(\vec{k} \cdot \vec{r} - \omega t + \phi_0)\), it takes a form

\[
p = p_0 \sin \left[ \vec{k} \cdot \left( \vec{r}_0(t) + \vec{R} \right) - \omega t + \phi_0 \right].
\]
Well below the pressure in the chamber, we obtain a force \( \vec{k} \) with radius \( R \), where

\[
(10) \quad \text{Particle number density distributions are plotted at (b) 0 \text{ µs} and (c) 70 \text{ µs} from numerically simulated dynamics using the 2D potential with explicit time dependence in (11). The root mean squared deviation from the center \((-\lambda/4, -\lambda/4)\) is 100 µm and 6 µm at 0 µs and 70 µs, respectively.}
\]

for \( \vec{r} = \vec{r}_0(t) + \vec{R} \) on the surface of a particle at position \( \vec{r}_0(t) \) with radius \( R \), where \( \vec{k} \) is the wave vector and \( \phi_0 \) is an arbitrary phase. Under this assumption, the acoustic force exerted on the particle is

\[
f_z = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} p d\phi \int_0^{\pi} \sin \theta R^2 \rho_0 \times \sin \left[ kR \cos \theta - \omega t + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_0(t) + \phi_0 \right] = \frac{4\pi R \sin kR}{k} \sin \left( \omega t - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{r}_0(t) - \phi_0 \right).
\]

Because of the narrow width of the interaction area of the buncher, and the \( \lesssim 100 \text{ nm} \) size of the particles, i.e., \( kR \ll 1 \), the particle scattering effect is suppressed, and the force can be further approximated as \( f_z \approx \rho_0 S \sin(\omega t - \theta_0) \), where \( \theta_0 \) is a constant phase factor, left to be chosen, and \( S \) is the surface area of the particle. In general, we write the effective longitudinal force as \( f_z = F \sin \omega t \).

Considering an acoustic wave with \( \nu = 1 \text{ kHz} \) and an induced relative pressure variation of \( \Delta p = 2 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mbar} \), well below the pressure in the chamber, we obtain a force \( F = 10^{-4} \text{ pN} \). The particles experience a periodic velocity modulation with respect to their entrance time into the interaction region of length \( d \), yielding \( \frac{1}{2}mv^2 - E_1 \approx Fd \sin \omega_1 t \) with the particle velocity \( v_1 \) and kinetic energy \( E_1 = \frac{1}{2}mv_1^2 \) at the entrance of the interaction region. The length \( d \) of the particle-wave-interaction region is chosen such that the particle experiences the force over only \( 1/10 \) of the acoustic wave period. A conical cavity with a pin-hole can focus the acoustic wave to a length on the order of \( \lambda/40 \) in the near field [11]. Considering the wavelength of the 1 kHz acoustic wave, \( \lambda = 11 \text{ cm} \), we choose the length of interaction region to be \( d = 1 \text{ cm} \), which is experimentally feasible. Thus we have approximately \( v = v_1 \left[ 1 + \left( Fd/2E_1 \right) \sin \omega_1 t \right] \).

Assuming particles drift for a distance \( l \) after leaving the interaction region and arrive at the end of the buncher at time \( t_2 \), we have

\[
t_2 = t_1 + \frac{l}{v} \approx t_1 + \frac{l}{v_1} \left[ 1 - \left( Fd/2E_1 \right) \sin \omega_1 t \right].
\]

With an initial number density \( n_1 \) and the continuity condition \( n_2 dt_2 = n_1 dt_1 \), the modulated number density \( n_2 \) at \( t_2 \) can be expressed as

\[
n_2 = n_1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos \left[ k(\omega_2 - \Theta) + b_k \sin \left[ k(\omega_2 - \Theta) \right] \right],
\]

with

\[
a_k = \frac{n_1}{\pi} \int_{\Theta-\pi}^{\Theta+\pi} \cos \left[ k(\omega_1 X - \sin \omega_1 t) \right] \sin \left[ k(\omega_1 X - \sin \omega_1 t) \right] d(\omega_1),
\]

\[
b_k = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]

where \( \Theta = l\omega/v_1, X = Fdl/2E_1 v_1 \), and \( J_k(x) \) is the Bessel function of \( k \)-th order. We consider the fundamental harmonic

\[
n_2 = n_1 + 2n_1 J_1(X) \sin(\omega_2 - \Theta).
\]

The degree of bunching is determined by the bunching parameter \( X \). The frequency of the traveling wave can be conveniently set as the repetition rate of x-ray pulses.

After the particle stream passes the interaction region of length \( d \) it can continue into the next chamber, see Fig. 1 and drifts for a distance \( l \) to the interaction point. Assuming \( I = 1 \text{ W/cm}^2, \nu = 1 \text{ kHz} \), \( d = 1 \text{ cm}, \) \( v_1 = 100 \text{ m/s}, \) and that the cavities are tilted by \( \Psi = \pi/3 \), the degree of bunching is maximized as the Bessel function \( J_1(X) \) reaches its maximum at \( X \approx 1.8 \), which corresponds to a drift length \( l = 87 \text{ cm} \).

We numerically simulate the bunching process using particle tracing methods [26]. In the simulation, the buncher is operated such that a 6 cm long packet of molecules with a longitudinal velocity of 100 m/s and a velocity spread of 1 m/s enters the acoustic buncher. The impulse by a force of \( 10^{-4} \text{ pN} \) acting on particle of \( 3 \times 10^{-21} \text{ kg} \) for \( \Delta t = d/v_1 \approx 0.1 \text{ ms} \) can modulate the velocity by \( \Delta v \approx 3.3 \text{ m/s} \). This can be used as the criterion to choose the acoustic pressure, since the modulation must be similar to that of the velocity spread of
the particle beam. The calculated distribution at \( t = 5 \) ms, the time at which the longitudinal spatial focus is obtained downstream of the buncher, is shown in Fig. 4. The longitudinal phase space distribution is relative to the position in phase space of the “synchronous particle” \([5]\). In the particular situation depicted in Fig. 4, the molecular packet has a longitudinal focus with a length of about 3 mm some 53 cm after the end of the buncher. The longitudinal focal length is consistent with our simplified model with a single velocity and infinitely short interaction region.

We have proposed an acoustic method to manipulate and compress particle streams by transverse and longitudinal focusing, which enables high-efficiency particle delivery, for instance, for single-particle diffractive imaging experiments with sub-\( \mu \)m-focus x-ray beams. This can substantially reduce the data collection time in such XFEL based imaging experiments. The effective manipulation of particle streams based on acoustic waves could be applied to wider scope of molecular beam experiments, such as matter-wave-interference with large molecules \([27]\) as well as applications to fast highly collimated beams \([5]\). Furthermore, this work does not just provide an efficient method for acoustic manipulation of gas-phase-particle streams, but also sheds light on the application of the vast particle-optics techniques from accelerator physics to the field of acoustics, e.g., such as particle bunching by the traveling wave from analogues to iris-loaded waveguides.
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[Fig. 4] The calculated longitudinal phase-space distribution of the particles is given at the entrance of the buncher, \( t = 0 \) ms, and that in the detection region at \( t = 5 \) ms as well as an intermediate time \( t = 2.5 \) ms demonstrating the phase-space rotation; all distributions relative to the phase-space position of the synchronous particle.
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