Crosstalk-free multi-wavelength coherent light storage via Brillouin interaction

B. Stiller, M. Merklein, K. Vu, P. Ma, S. J. Madden, C. G. Poulton, and B. J. Eggleton

1Centre for Ultrahigh bandwidth Devices for Optical Systems (CUDOS), Institute of Photonics and Optical Science (IPOS), The University of Sydney Nano Institute (Sydney Nano), School of Physics, The University of Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

2CUDOS, Laser Physics Centre, RSPE, Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

3CUDOS, School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of Technology Sydney, NSW 2007, Australia

Stimulated Brillouin scattering drives a coherent interaction between optical signals and acoustic phonons and this effect can be used for storing optical information in acoustic waves. An important consideration arises when multiple optical frequencies are simultaneously employed in the Brillouin process: in this case the acoustic phonons that are addressed by each optical wavelength can be separated by frequencies far smaller than the acoustic phonon linewidth, potentially leading to crosstalk between the optical modes. Here we extend the concept of Brillouin-based light storage to multiple wavelength channels. We experimentally and theoretically show that the accumulated phase mismatch over the length of the spatially extended phonons allows each optical wavelength channel to address a distinct phonon mode, ensuring negligible crosstalk, even if the phonons overlap in frequency. Moreover, we demonstrate that the strict phase matching condition enables the preservation of the coherence of the opto-acoustic transfer at closely spaced multiple acoustic frequencies. This particular phase-mismatch for broad-bandwidth pulses has far-reaching implications allowing dense wavelength multiplexing in Brillouin-based light storage, multi-frequency Brillouin sensing, multi-wavelength Brillouin lasers, parallel microwave processing and quantum photon-phonon interactions.

I. INTRODUCTION

Coherent interactions between the optical and the acoustic domain enable promising functionalities in various fields such as light storage [1–3], non-reciprocal systems [4–6], generating macroscopic quantum states [7, 8] and microwave photonics [9–11], which recently reached integrated photonics platforms [12–14]. Within this broader field, interactions between optical modes and traveling acoustic waves via stimulated Brillouin scattering (SBS) are of particular interest because coupling occurs in the absence of a cavity; the optical field can therefore couple to a continuum of phonon modes rather than being limited to a discrete set of acoustic modes. A consequence of this coupling to the continuous field is the possibility of exciting multiple, closely-spaced acoustic modes. This feature is important for wavelength multiplexed light processing, particularly light storage, in which information can be transferred back and forth between the optical and acoustic domains over a continuous bandwidth limited only by the transparency window of the photonic waveguide [11, 12]. Because the Brillouin-based information transfer can be operated over a large frequency region, the important question arises if multiple optical signals at different frequencies can be simultaneously transferred.

The potential difficulty in transferring multiple optical signals becomes clear when considering that for optical frequencies spaced by hundreds of GHz, the spectral distance between the resulting acoustic lines can lie far below the acoustic linewidth (Fig. 1). One might therefore expect that this will result in significant crosstalk between simultaneously-written optical signals, which would put severe limitations on the applicability of Brillouin processes to multi-frequency signal processing. On the other hand, it is known from continuous-wave (CW) studies of multi-wavelength optical pumps on Brillouin processes [15–17] that the three-wave interaction between the optical pump wave, the Stokes wave and the acoustic wave prevents coupling to additional optical waves that are phase-mismatched. How these phase and frequency effects carry across to the more complicated situation of optical information storage, in which short pulses at multiple wavelengths are stored coherently in

FIG. 1. Coherent transfer between the optical and acoustic domain. The two optical wavelengths are separated by $2\pi\Delta F$, here about 100 GHz. They are converted to two acoustic phonons which are separated by about 4 MHz, which lays well in between the Brillouin linewidth.
the acoustic domain, is the central question that we seek to address here. Previous experimental results for two optical wavelengths indicated that a simultaneous storage process is possible [2], however a thorough investigation of the crosstalk, the coherence of the process and the theoretical description was not yet shown.

In this work, we demonstrate how optical pulses at different optical wavelengths can be simultaneously coherently stored in distinct spatially and temporally overlapping travelling acoustic waves with negligible crosstalk. We use the feature of converting optical pulses to acoustic phonons and back in a Brillouin-based light storage configuration to probe individual acoustic phonon modes. This allows us to investigate experimentally the simultaneous excitation of several acoustic phonons and their respective cross-coupling. More specifically, we experimentally demonstrate the simultaneous storage of optical information at different wavelength channels, separated by 100 GHz, as acoustic phonons, separated in acoustic frequency as close as 4 MHz (Fig. 1). We show that the stored information in these acoustic phonons can be unambiguously retrieved for each optical wavelength and that no measurable crosstalk to the upper or lower optical wavelength channel can be observed. We also demonstrate that the coherence of the Brillouin-based storage is not affected by parallel wavelength channels. A theoretical derivation for this phenomenon is given where we show that the phase accumulation along the pulse interaction length dampens the crosstalk between optical modes and mismatched acoustic waves. This work therefore conclusively demonstrates that the Brillouin storage involves distinct phonons, notwithstanding their overlap in both the frequency and spatial domains.

II. MULTI-WAVELENGTH BRILLOUIN TRANSFER

The detailed principle for the coherent transfer for multiple wavelengths is depicted in Fig. 2. In this scheme, an optical input pulse at frequency $\omega_{\text{input}}$ interacts with two counter-propagating control pulses “write” and “read” at $\omega_{\text{control}} = \omega_{\text{input}} - \Omega$, where $\Omega$ is the Brillouin frequency shift (BFS) of the waveguide. The optical input pulse is transferred to the acoustic domain by a “write” pulse and transferred back to the optical domain by a “read” pulse. For each wavelength channel one optical input pulse enters the waveguide from one side (Fig. 2a). From the other side, one “write” pulse for each wavelength counter-propagates the input pulses and completely depletes them. The wavelengths of the control pulses are 1551.9 nm (wavelength 1) and 1552.7 nm (wavelength 2). The input pulses are frequency up-shifted by the respective Brillouin frequency shift (BFS) which is around 7.8 GHz in chalcogenide $(\text{As}_{2}\text{S}_{3})$. Two travelling acoustic waves at frequencies $\Omega_1$ and $\Omega_2$ are written into the waveguide (Fig. 2b). The acoustic waves are then depleted by a “read” pulse at each wavelength channel (Fig. 3).

This read-out process converts the acoustic waves back to the optical domain. We show that both input pulses at the respective wavelength are retrieved unambiguously (Fig. 2d). This process can be applied over the transparency window of the waveguide.

The BFS for a single optical pulse at wavelength $\lambda_{\text{input}}$ is given by [18]:

$$\Omega = \frac{2n_{\text{eff}} V_A}{\lambda_{\text{input}}},$$

where $V_A$ and $n_{\text{eff}}$ are the acoustic velocity and effective refractive index in the waveguide, respectively. Multiple optical pulses with closely-spaced frequencies will result in multiple acoustic waves, each with frequency given by (1), however the absolute difference between any two acoustic frequencies $\Delta \Omega$ can be quite small due to the flat acoustic dispersion: for a chalcogenide rib waveguide carrying two optical channels separated by 100 GHz at 1551.9 nm and 1552.7 nm, we obtain from Eq. (1) a difference in acoustic frequencies $\Delta \Omega \sim 4$ MHz. This is far narrower than the acoustic linewidth, which is around 30 MHz in this platform; it might therefore be expected that, if both optical pulses are written to the same part of the waveguide simultaneously, a single acoustic mode

![FIG. 2. SBS-based coherent transfer principle: a) An input pulse and a counter-propagating write pulse enter the photonic chip for each optical wavelength (separated by $\Delta F = 100$ GHz); the input pulses are up-shifted in frequency by the respective Brillouin frequency shift. b) The input pulses are depleted and two acoustic waves at close acoustic frequencies (4 MHz) are created via SBS. c) For each optical wavelength, a read pulse enters the waveguide and depletes the acoustic waves by the reverse process. d) The retrieved input pulses, which are a delayed version of the original pulses, then exit the photonic chip, unambiguously separated by $\Delta F$.](image-url)
would be generated with a spectral width broadened by \( \Delta \Omega \). This would result in significant crosstalk between the two optical signals, and put strict limits on the spectral density of optical signals that can be handled by the Brillouin transfer process.

However, acoustic waves generated from closely-spaced input pulses can differ significantly in wavenumber accumulated over the long length of the interaction [15–17]. The dispersion relation for two backward Brillouin processes is shown in Fig. 3. For two optical pulses separated by \( \Delta \omega \), the difference in wavenumber between the acoustic modes is given by

\[
\Delta q = 4\pi n_{\text{eff}} \Delta \omega / c.
\]  

(2)

For an optical spacing of 100 GHz and an effective index of 2.5, this corresponds to a wavenumber mismatch of \( \Delta q \sim 2 \times 10^4\text{m}^{-1} \). Any interaction length that is longer than approx. 50\( \mu \text{m} \) will then result in phase accumulation that damps the interaction between the control pulse and the unmatched acoustic wave. We examine the formalism of the phase mismatch further in section IV.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

For the experimental studies, we use a highly nonlinear As\(_2\)S\(_3\) rib waveguide [19] that is arranged as a 22cm-long spiral on a photonic chip. The experimental setup is depicted in Fig. 4. Two continuous wave (CW) distributed feedback lasers that are separated by 100 GHz, are used for the generation of two optical signal streams at a different wavelength. Both wavelength channels are combined in a coupler and then divided into an input arm and an control pulse arm.

In the input arm, the signals at both wavelengths pass through a single-sideband modulator, in order to frequency up-shift the lasers by the Brillouin frequency shift (BFS). The CW light is then carved into 2ns-long pulses. The same single-sideband modulator can be used for both wavelengths, as the change in BFS is only 4 MHz, which is more than two orders of magnitude less than the bandwidth of the used input pulses. The wavelengths are then separated by a multi-channel Finisar WaveShaper which switches the input streams on and off at the specific wavelength.

On the control pulses arm, a write and a probe pulse is carved into the CW light of the laser and a second Finisar WaveShaper is used to select and switch the respective wavelength channel. A nonlinear loop ensures the backreflection of detrimental noise between the control pulses. For each channel, a 3GHz-narrow filter is used in front of an amplified photodiode to directly detect the input pulses. On wavelength channel 1, an input pulse at \( \omega_{\text{input1}} \) is transferred by corresponding control pulses \( \omega_{\text{control1}} \) into an acoustic wave \( \Omega_1 \). Simultaneously, another input pulse at \( \omega_{\text{input2}} - 100 \text{ GHz} \) apart from input 1 - is transferred into a second acoustic wave \( \Omega_2 \) by respective control pulses \( \omega_{\text{control2}} \) in channel 2.

The experimental results are shown in Fig. 5 to 8. We investigate possible crosstalk for two cases: firstly, we show that a input pulse on a second wavelength channel is not transferred to an acoustic wave (Fig. 5); secondly, we demonstrate that a pre-written acoustic wave cannot be read-out by control pulses on a second wavelength channel (Fig. 6). Then, we study simultaneous storage on both wavelengths (both input pulses and control pulse pairs enter the chip) with comparison to single-wavelength operation on wavelength 1 (Fig. 7). Finally, we study the simultaneous storage of the optical phase (Fig. 8).

A. Crosstalk study

We study possible crosstalk by demonstrating that the transfer and retrieval processes do not interfere between different optical wavelengths. Therefore, we consider two cases:

1. the transmission at one wavelength is not affected by the opto-acoustic interaction at a second wavelength;
2. the control pulses at one wavelength cannot interact with an acoustic wave generated by the optical pulses at the other wavelength.

In both cases we show that there is negligible crosstalk to the higher or lower wavelengths.

The first case is shown in Fig. 5. We consider that the coherent transfer is operated at wavelength 1 (input 1 and control pulses 1 are switched on, Fig. 5a) while control pulses 2 are switched off at wavelength 2 and hence input 2 is transmitted unaffectedly (Fig. 5b, light blue graph). The dotted red graph in Fig. 5b corresponds to the transmitted input pulse 2 when the pulses are switched off at wavelength 1. There is no visible difference in the transmitted input pulse at wavelength 2, if the pulses at wavelength 1 are switched on or off. Therefore, there is no measurable coupling into a lower wavelength channel. We also investigate possible coupling to the higher wavelength channel, by swapping the role of
FIG. 4. Experimental setup for studying the coherent multi-wavelength Brillouin interaction: PC polarization controller, SSB single sideband modulator, IM intensity modulator, PG pulse generator, BP bandpass filter, LO local oscillator, PD photo diode

FIG. 5. Wavelength 1 and 2 are separated by 100 GHz; a) The original input pulse (dotted line) gets depleted and is retrieved 5 ns later when the control pulses are switched on (light blue solid line); therefore, the coherent transfer to the acoustic domain is operating at wavelength 1. b) The input pulse at wavelength 2 is not affected, when the coherent transfer is operating at wavelength 1 (light blue line); the red dotted line represents the input pulse when no coherent transfer is taking place at wavelength 1. Data from a) and b) is partially from Ref. [2]. c) and d) Coherent transfer at wavelength 2 while the input at wavelength 1 is not affected by it.

channel 1 and 2 (Fig. 5c and d). The coherent transfer is operated at channel 2 while an input pulse passes unaffected through channel 1. Both measurements show that no crosstalk to the higher or lower wavelength channel takes place. It means that the control pulses do only transfer the information of the optical pulse to the acoustic domain at the specific wavelength channel.

The second case is demonstrated in Fig. 6. We consider that the coherent transfer is operated on wavelength channel 1 while no input pulse is transmitted at channel 2 but the control pulses ωcontrol2 are operating on wavelength channel 2 (Fig. 6a,b). It shows that the control pulses ωcontrol2 do not couple to the acoustic wave Ω1 that has been created at wavelength channel 1 and therefore no delayed read-out is observed. In Fig. 6c and d the roles of the wavelength channels are changed and the coherent transfer is operated at channel 2 while no read-out pulse is observed on channel 1. This indicates that the control pulses cannot read out an acoustic phonon at a separate wavelength channel. All of the measurements have been carried out by simultaneously observing two channels on the oscilloscope.

B. Simultaneous coherent opto-acoustic transfer on both channels

After having shown, that no measurable crosstalk between two wavelength channels, separated by 100 GHz, is observed, we investigate the simultaneous coherent transfer on both channels, in order to prove that the acoustic phonons, spatially and temporally overlapping, are not interacting with each other.

The transmitted input pulses 1 and 2 are shown in Figs. 7a and b (dotted lines). They are both directly detected on two different photodiodes after being filtered out with two narrowband filters. When sending in the control pulses on both channels, the input pulses get transferred to the acoustic domain, displayed at position 0 ns, and are retrieved 5 ns later (Fig. 7a,b, solid lines). To confirm that there is no interaction between the two acoustic phonons created in the two channels, we switch off channel 2 and record the coherent transfer on channel
C. Phase retrieval in parallel wavelength channels

As SBS is a coherent process, the optical phase can be transferred and retrieved from the acoustic wave 2. In this section, we experimentally show the retrieval of the optical phase on one wavelength channel, while an input pulse is stored simultaneously at wavelength channel 2. The detection of the phase is done by interfering the input pulses with a local oscillator in a homodyne detection scheme (Fig. 4). As comparison, we first show the phase retrieval at single-channel operation (Fig. 8a, channel 1).

Two pulses are encoded with a relative phase of $\pi$ (dotted graph in Fig. 8a). When switching on the control pulses, the input pulses get depleted and are retrieved 4 ns later (solid graph in Fig. 8a). The optical phase is retrieved as 0 and $\pi$ or $\pi$ and 0, respectively. Afterwards, we study the storage of the optical phase at wavelength channel 1, while operating a second storage process at wavelength channel 2 (Figs. 8b and c). As can be seen in Fig. 8b, the operation at channel 1 remains unaffected while simultaneously storing light at wavelength channel 2 (Fig. 8c). The light storage at wavelength channel 2 is only measured in direct detection due to equipment restrictions. Demonstrating the ability of a multi-wavelength coherent opto-acoustic transfer is important for delaying signals selectively in a coherent communication system and might be relevant for future quantum communication systems with enhanced capacities due to the use of multiple wavelengths.

IV. THEORY OF BRILLOUIN-BASED LIGHT STORAGE FOR PHASE-MISMATCHED PULSES

The theory of acousto-optic light storage in the situation where the input pulses have multiple wavelengths can be obtained by adapting the three-wave interaction model of Dodin and Fisch [20]. We consider the situation where an acoustic wave has the potential to couple to two optical input pulses: one which is perfectly phase-matched to the acoustic mode, while the second is offset by a frequency $\Delta F$ and so is phase-mismatched by $\Delta q$ (Eq. 2). The proportion of acoustic power transferred to the mismatched term is a measure of the crosstalk between the adjacent frequencies. To compute this, we
consider a input pulse with mode amplitude $a_1(z,t)$, a control pulse $a_2(z,t)$ and an acoustic wave $b(z,t)$. The coupled mode equations that relate the mode amplitudes are given by [21]

$$\left( \partial_z + \frac{1}{v} \partial_t \right) a_1 = -i \frac{\omega Q}{P} a_2 b^* e^{i\phi(z,t)} \quad (3a)$$

$$\left( \partial_z - \frac{1}{v} \partial_t \right) a_2 = i \frac{\omega Q^*}{P} a_1 b e^{-i\phi(z,t)} \quad (3b)$$

$$\left( \partial_z + \frac{1}{v_{ac}} \partial_t + \alpha \right) b = -i \frac{\Omega Q}{P_{ac}} a_1^* a_2 e^{-i\phi(z,t)} \quad (3c)$$

where the effect of the phase-mismatch is incorporated via the term $\phi(z,t) = \Delta q z$. In Eqs. (3) the quantity $Q$ is the SBS coupling coefficient given in [21], $P$ and $P_{ac}$ are the modal powers, $\omega$ and $\Omega$ are the frequencies, and $v$ and $v_{ac}$ are the group velocities of the optical and acoustic modes, respectively. A schematic of the propagation of the optical input pulse and the control (read) pulse is shown in Fig. 7. Here we have assumed that the control pulse propagates in the negative $z$ direction with a velocity $-v$ and that all optical modes share the same power normalisation. In the reference frame of the acoustic wave (Fig. 9), the control read pulse depletes the acoustic wave over the interaction length while travelling through the localised acoustic wave. The input pulse is retrieved over the interaction length and propagates in the positive $z$ direction.

We assume that the frequency shift associated with the Brillouin process is sufficiently small that the frequencies of the control and input pulses can be taken to be identical, although their difference in phase is non-negligible. Following [20], we move to a dimensionless coordinate system that co-propagates with the control pulse, such that

$$\zeta = (z + vt)/Z_0, \tau = t/T_0, \quad (4)$$

where $Z_0$ and $T_0$ are constants that will be chosen to simplify the resulting system of equations. Before determining these parameters, we first make the approximation that the control pulse is both short enough in duration and sufficiently strong that the interaction is “static” in the sense that all derivatives with respect to $\tau$ are small with respect to the derivatives with respect to $\zeta$. We also assume that the effect of the interaction on the control pulse can be neglected. Under these assumptions, and including the additional observation that $v_{ac} \ll v$, the equations (3) become

$$\partial_\zeta a_1 = -Z_0 \frac{i\omega Q}{2P} a_2 b^* e^{i\phi(\zeta,\tau)} \quad (5a)$$

$$\partial_\tau a_2 = T_0 \frac{i\omega Q^* v}{P} a_1 b e^{-i\phi(\zeta,\tau)} \quad (5b)$$

$$\partial_\zeta b = -Z_0 \frac{i\Omega Q v_{ac}}{P_{ac} v} a_1^* a_2 e^{-i\phi(\zeta,\tau)}. \quad (5c)$$

We now define new amplitudes $\tilde{a}_1 = a_1/C_1$ and $\tilde{b} = b^* C_b$, and choose the scaling $C_1$ and $C_b$, as well as $Z_0$ and $T_0$, such that the coupling coefficients in Eq. (5) are equal to unity; this condition results in $Z_0 = \ldots$
Amplitude [norm.] Amplitude [norm.] Interaction, with input acoustic amplitude \(\tilde{a}_1\), the amplitude at the conclusion of a “read” interaction is given by

\[
\tilde{a}_1(\zeta, \tau) = N_0(\tau) \cos \left( \frac{1}{2} U(\zeta, \tau) + \varphi \right) \tag{7a}
\]

\[
\tilde{b}(\zeta, \tau) = -N_0(\tau) \sin \left( \frac{1}{2} U(\zeta, \tau) + \varphi \right), \tag{7b}
\]

where \(\theta\) is the phase of the control pulse and \(N_0(\tau) = (|\tilde{a}_1(0, \tau)|^2 + |\tilde{b}(0, \tau)|^2)^{1/2}\). For the case of phase-matched pulses, these solutions \(\tilde{a}_1(\zeta, \tau)\) and \(\tilde{b}(\zeta, \tau)\) are depicted in Fig. 10. The function \(U(\zeta, \tau)\) is an integral over the control pulse amplitude:

\[
U(\zeta, \tau) = 2 \int_{-\infty}^{\zeta} A_2(\zeta', \tau) e^{i\phi(\zeta', \tau)} d\zeta'. \tag{8}
\]

The mode amplitude at the conclusion of a “read” interaction, with input acoustic amplitude \(\tilde{b}_{in}(0)\), is then given by

\[
\tilde{a}_1(\zeta, \tau \to \infty) = \tilde{b}_{in}(0) \sin \left( \frac{1}{2} U_{\Delta q} \right), \tag{9}
\]

where the total pulse area, written in the original coordinates \((z, t)\), is equal to

\[
U_{\Delta q} = \frac{2}{\tilde{b}_{in}} \int_{-\infty}^\infty A_2(z, 0) e^{iqz} dz. \tag{10}
\]

with \(U_0 := U_{\Delta q = 0}\) defined as the phase-matched pulse area. The power crosstalk is the ratio between the output powers of phase-matched and phase-mismatched input signals, and is given by

\[
\chi = \frac{\sin^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} U_{\Delta q} \right)}{\sin^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} U_0 \right)}. \tag{11}
\]

In the case where a phase-matched pulse would be completely converted we have \(U_0 = \pi\), giving the crosstalk as

\[
\chi = \sin^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} U_{\Delta q} \right). \tag{12}
\]

For the case of \(U << \pi\), therefore for phase-mismatched \(\tilde{a}_1(\zeta, \tau)\) and \(\tilde{b}(\zeta, \tau)\), the amplitude of the coherent transfer is shown in Fig. 10.

From Eq. \(10\) it can be seen that the effect of the phase-mismatch is to reduce the pulse area, ideally equal to \(\pi\), to some lower value. Because Eq. \(10\) is the Fourier transform of the mode amplitude, we conclude that the crosstalk will be reduced in direct relation to the spectral width of the input pulse: rapidly for Gaussian pulses and more slowly for square pulses. The crosstalk for both these pulses can be computed analytically; for a square pulse with length \(L\) the crosstalk is

\[
\chi = \sin^2 \left( \frac{\pi}{2} \sin(\Delta qL/2) \right). \tag{13}
\]

The phase-mismatch increases proportionally with the difference in frequency \(\Delta F\) from the phase-matching point via Eq. \(2\). At the same time, for a sufficiently long waveguide the interaction length will be entirely determined by the pulse length, which is inversely proportional to the spectral width \(\Delta f\) of the pulse via

\[
L = \frac{c\nu}{n_{eff} \Delta f}, \tag{14}
\]

where \(\sigma\) is the pulse shape parameter (equal to 0.2820 for a square pulse). Combining Eqs. \(2\) and \(14\) we obtain

\[
\frac{\Delta qL}{2} = 2\pi\sigma \frac{\Delta F}{\Delta f}.
\]

The crosstalk is therefore determined by the square of the ratio between the pulse linewidth and the distance...
in frequency between the pulses. For a square pulse, the maximum cross talk scales as

$$\chi_{\text{max}} \sim \frac{1}{8\pi\sigma} \left( \frac{\Delta f}{\Delta F} \right)^2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

This reduction in crosstalk corresponds to a factor of \( \sim 60 \text{ dB} \) for pulses with pulse length of 10 cm and separated by 100 GHz. The expression (15) is independent of the refractive index and acoustic properties of the waveguide, reflecting the fact that it arises purely from phase mismatch between the modes.

V. Conclusion

In conclusion, we have experimentally demonstrated that the accumulated phase mismatch allows to coherently transfer optical information to two acoustic modes even if their frequency spacing is less than their intrinsic linewidth. This finding prevents crosstalk between opto-acoustic processes at close acoustic frequencies, while spatially and temporally overlapping in the waveguide. The wavelength of the interacting optical waves is preserved which allows for parallel signal processing. In the specific case of Brillouin-based memory, this feature allows for simultaneous storage at multiple frequencies and therefore greatly enhances the capacity of this storage scheme. It offers the possibility to selectively delay data in a specific wavelength channel without effect on other wavelength channels. We have also experimentally shown that the coherence is maintained and not affected by parallel opto-acoustic interaction at another wavelength.

From a fundamental point of view, this demonstration is an essential step for further investigating the interaction between different acoustic phonons. As no crosstalk from the optical waves is expected, a possible interaction between acoustic modes at different frequencies, located at the same position, could potentially be observed, specifically in a nonlinear acoustic regime.

Selective opto-acoustic interactions at multiple wavelengths in the backward SBS process has far-reaching consequences beyond the previously described light storage, e.g. Brillouin sensing, frequency combs, microwave processing, multi-wavelength Brillouin lasers and quantum interactions. Being suitable for wavelength division multiplexing, future multi-wavelength sensing schemes could benefit in terms of sensitivity enhancement, sensing speed and the discrimination of different sensing parameters such as temperature and strain. It can extend existing microwave processing schemes, e.g. versatile filtering and phase shifting, to multiple wavelengths while avoiding detrimental crosstalk and signal degradation. One can also imagine to process frequency combs selectively [22] and simultaneously coupling individual comb lines to different acoustic modes.

The findings presented here also illustrate a subtle point about the nature of phonon modes in waveguides, which is that these modes remain distinct entities even when they overlap considerably in the frequency domain. This is explicitly demonstrated by the coherent storage of information in phonon fields that are not only indistinguishable in their spectra but also overlap in the spatial domain. The storage process therefore involves distinct phonons at the fundamental level, as opposed to a spectrally-broadened coupling to a single specific phonon field. This has implications for the modelling and understanding of multi-order cascaded Brillouin lasers [23–25], in which it becomes evident that multiple independent amplitudes must be used to represent the phonon fields.

Multiplexing the frequency is also an important feature for quantum interactions and quantum state transfer as this enhances the capacity for parallel quantum communication channels as for example shown in the case of an atomic quantum memory using wavevector multiplexing [26]. The phase matching in SBS ensures also that no scattering into different wavelength channels occurs which ultimately reduces the noise.

As the strong coupling regime is within reach in SBS devices [27–28], efficient transfer at the quantum level might be possible. Using a waveguide approach for storage has the unique advantage of being frequency preserving in a certain frequency window and enables parallel processing at multiple optical wavelength channels, which distinguishes this concept from many opto-mechanical cavity approaches where instead frequency conversion is possible [29].
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