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Abstract

A $\lambda$-graph system $\mathcal{L}$ is a labeled Bratteli diagram with shift operation. It is a generalized notion of finite labeled graph and presents a subshift. We will study continuous orbit equivalence of one-sided subshifts and topological conjugacy of two-sided subshifts from the view points of groupoids and $C^*$-algebras constructed from $\lambda$-graph systems.

1 Introduction

Interplay between symbolic dynamics and $C^*$-algebras has been initiated by J. Cuntz in [7] and Cuntz–Krieger in [9] (see also [8]). In the former paper, Cuntz introduced a family $O_N$, $N = 2, 3, \ldots$ of $C^*$-algebras from full $N$-shifts. In the latter paper, Cuntz–Krieger introduced a family $O_A$ of $C^*$-algebras from general topological Markov shifts $(\Lambda_A, \sigma_A)$ defined by $N \times N$ square matrices $A$ with entries in $\{0, 1\}$, as a generalization of Cuntz algebras $O_N$. The Cuntz algebras $O_N$ and Cuntz–Krieger algebras $O_A$ have played extremely important role of classification and structure theory of $C^*$-algebras. Cuntz–Krieger in [9] among other things showed close relationship between topological Markov shifts and $C^*$-algebras by proving several fundamental results below. Let us denote by $\rho^A$ the gauge action on $O_A$ and $D_A$ the canonical diagonal $C^*$-subalgebra of the AF-subalgebra $(O_A)^\rho$ of $O_A$ consisting of elements fixed by the action $\rho^A$. We henceforth denote by $K$ the $C^*$-algebra of compact operators on separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$ and by $C$ the maximal abelian $C^*$-subalgebra of $K$ consisting of diagonal operators on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. An irreducible square matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ is said to satisfy condition (I) if it is not a permutation matrix (I).

Theorem 1.1 (Cuntz–Krieger [9]). Let $A, B$ be irreducible square matrices with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ satisfying condition (I).

(i) If the one-sided topological Markov shifts $(X_A, \sigma_A)$ and $(X_B, \sigma_B)$ are topologically conjugate, then there exists an isomorphism $\Phi: O_A \rightarrow O_B$ of the Cuntz–Krieger algebras such that $\Phi(D_A) = D_B$ and $\Phi \circ \rho^A_t = \rho^B_t \circ \Phi$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$.
(ii) If the two-sided topological Markov shifts \((\Lambda_A, \sigma_A)\) and \((\Lambda_B, \sigma_B)\) are topologically conjugate, then there exists an isomorphism \(\Phi : \mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{K}\) of the stabilized Cuntz–Krieger algebras such that 
\[
\Phi(D_A \otimes C) = D_B \otimes C \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ (\rho^A_l \otimes \text{id}) = (\rho^B_l \circ \Phi, t \in T),
\]

(iii) If the two-sided topological Markov shifts \((\Lambda_A, \sigma_A)\) and \((\Lambda_B, \sigma_B)\) are flow equivalent, then there exists an isomorphism \(\Psi : \mathcal{O}_A \otimes \mathcal{K} \to \mathcal{O}_B \otimes \mathcal{K}\) of the stabilized Cuntz–Krieger algebras such that 
\[
\Psi(D_A \otimes C) = D_B \otimes C.
\]

The author in [17] introduced a notion of continuous orbit equivalence of one-sided topological Markov shifts. By using the notion, the author proved that the one-sided topological Markov shifts \((X_A, \sigma_A)\) and \((X_B, \sigma_B)\) are eventually conjugate if and only if there exists an isomorphism \(\Phi : \mathcal{O}_A \to \mathcal{O}_B\) of the Cuntz-Krieger algebras such that 
\[
\Phi(D_A) = D_B \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ \rho^A_l = \rho^B_l \circ \Phi, t \in T.
\]
Recently, K. A. Brix and T. M. Carlsen in [2] characterized one-sided topological conjugate Markov shifts in terms of their groupoids and the Cuntz-Krieger algebras with gauge actions. T. M. Carlsen and J. Rout in [5] proved the converse of the above (ii) for more general graph algebras by using groupoid technique studied in earlier works ([1], [6], etc.). Concerning flow equivalence, H. Matui and the author proved the converse of (iii) ([19], see [4] for more general matrices).

In this paper, we will study generalization of the above Cuntz–Krieger's Theorem to general subshifts. To construct a \(C^\ast\)-algebra from a general subshift, we have used in [14] a graphical object called \(\lambda\)-graph system. A \(\lambda\)-graph system \(\mathcal{L} = (V,E,\lambda,\iota)\) over a finite alphabet \(\Sigma\) consists of a vertex set \(V = \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} V_l\), an edge set \(E = \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} E_l,l+1\), a labeling map \(\lambda : E \to \Sigma\) and a surjection \(\iota = \iota_{l,l+1} : V_{l+1} \to V_{l}, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+\) such that \((V,E,\lambda)\) is a labeled Bratteli diagram and \(\iota\) plays a role of shift on the Bratteli diagram. A \(\lambda\)-graph system is said to be left-resolving if two edges \(e, f \in E_{l,l+1}\) have the same terminal vertex \(t(e) = t(f)\) and the same label \(\lambda(e) = \lambda(f)\), then \(e = f\). A finite directed labeled graph naturally yields a \(\lambda\)-graph system, and any \(\lambda\)-graph system presents a subshift. Conversely any subshift may be presented by a left-resolving \(\lambda\)-graph system. Let \((\Lambda, \sigma_{\Lambda})\) denote the presented two-sided subshift and \((X_{\Lambda}, \sigma_{\Lambda})\) the presented one-sided subshift by a \(\lambda\)-graph system \(\mathcal{L}\). A \(\lambda\)-graph system \(\mathcal{L} = (V,E,\lambda,\iota)\) over an alphabet \(\Sigma\) gives rise to a topological dynamical system \((X_{\mathcal{L}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{L}})\) and a continuous surjection \(\pi_{\mathcal{L}} : X_{\mathcal{L}} \to X_{\Lambda}\) such that \(\sigma_{\Lambda} \circ \pi_{\mathcal{L}} = \pi_{\mathcal{L}} \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{L}}\). If \(\mathcal{L}\) is defined by a finite labeled graph, the presented subshift becomes a sofic shift and the surjection \(\pi_{\mathcal{L}}\) exactly corresponds to the Markov cover for the sofic shift. The topological dynamical system \((X_{\mathcal{L}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{L}})\) naturally yields an étale groupoid \(G_{\mathcal{L}}\) and a continuous graph \(E_{\mathcal{L}}\) in the sense of V. Deaconu ([14], cf. [10], [11]).

In [14], the author introduced a \(C^\ast\)-algebra \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}\) from \(\lambda\)-graph system \(\mathcal{L}\) as a generalization of Cuntz–Krieger algebras. The \(C^\ast\)-algebra was defined to be the \(C^\ast\)-algebra \(C^\ast(G_{\mathcal{L}})\) of the groupoid \(G_{\mathcal{L}}\). It has a gauge action \(\rho^\mathcal{L}\) of \(T\) and more generally a generalized gauge action \(\rho^\mathcal{L,f}\) on it for a continuous homomorphism \(f : G_{\mathcal{L}} \to \mathbb{Z}\) of groupoids. As in [14], the fixed point algebra \((\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}})^{\rho^\mathcal{L}}\) of \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}\) under the gauge action \(\rho^\mathcal{L}\) is an AF-algebra whose diagonal subalgebra denoted by \(D_{\mathcal{L}}\) is canonically isomorphic to the commutative \(C^\ast\)-algebra \(C(X_{\mathcal{L}})\) of continuous functions on \(X_{\mathcal{L}}\). A \(\lambda\)-graph system \(\mathcal{L}\) is said to be essentially free if the topological dynamical system \((X_{\mathcal{L}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{L}})\) is essentially free. When this is the case, the subalgebra \(D_{\mathcal{L}}\) is maximal abelian in \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}\). If a \(\lambda\)-graph system satisfies condition (I) in the sense of [14], it is essentially free. There is a natural surjection \(\pi_{\mathcal{L}} : X_{\mathcal{L}} \to X_{\Lambda}\) which
induces an embedding \( C(X_{\Lambda}) \hookrightarrow C(X_{\tilde{G}}) \). The algebra \( C(X_{\Lambda}) \) denoted by \( D_{\Lambda} \) is regarded as a subalgebra of \( D_{\tilde{G}} \).

Continuous orbit equivalence between general one-sided subshifts has been first studied in [18] (cf. [16]) in terms of factor maps \( \pi_{\Sigma}: X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda} \). The continuous orbit equivalence between two factor maps \( \pi_{\Sigma_1}: X_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda_1} \) and \( \pi_{\Sigma_2}: X_{\Sigma_2} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda_2} \) will be called \((\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)\)-continuously orbit equivalent in Section 4. In this paper, we will study continuous orbit equivalence between subshifts, conjugacy of the one-sided and the two-sided topological dynamical systems \((X_{\Sigma}, \sigma_{\Sigma})\) and \((X_{\tilde{G}}, \tilde{\sigma}_{\tilde{G}})\) and the associated \(C^*\)-algebras \(\mathcal{O}_{\Sigma}\) from the view points of groupoids. The discussions in this paper are inspired by Arklin–Eilers–Ruiz’s paper [1] and Carlsen–Rout’s paper [5]. We will first show the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let \( \Sigma_1 \) and \( \Sigma_2 \) be left-resolving \( \lambda \)-graph systems satisfying condition (I). Let \((X_{\Sigma_1}, \sigma_{\Sigma_1})\) and \((X_{\Sigma_2}, \sigma_{\Sigma_2})\) be the one-sided subshifts presented by \( \Sigma_1 \) and \( \Sigma_2 \), respectively. Then the following three assertions are equivalent:

(i) One-sided subshifts \((X_{\Sigma_1}, \sigma_{\Sigma_1})\) and \((X_{\Sigma_2}, \sigma_{\Sigma_2})\) are \((\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)\)-continuously orbit equivalent.

(ii) There exist an isomorphism \( \varphi : G_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow G_{\Sigma_2} \) of étale groupoids and a homeomorphism \( h : X_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_2} \) of the shift spaces such that \( \pi_{\Sigma_2} \circ \varphi|_{X_{\Sigma_1}} = h \circ \pi_{\Sigma_1} \), where \( X_{\Sigma_i} \) is identified with the unit space \( G_{\Sigma_i}^0 \) of the étale groupoid \( G_{\Sigma_i} \), \( i = 1, 2 \).

(iii) There exists an isomorphism \( \Phi : \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_2} \) of \(C^*\)-algebras such that \( \Phi(D_{\Lambda_1}) = D_{\Lambda_2} \).

There exists a natural cocycle function \( c_{\Sigma} : G_{\Sigma} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) of the étale groupoid \( G_{\Sigma} \). Eventual conjugacy of one-sided topological Markov shifts will be generalized to one-sided subshifts with \( \lambda \)-graph systems as \((\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)\)-eventual conjugacy, so that we will prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let \( \Sigma_1 \) and \( \Sigma_2 \) be left-resolving \( \lambda \)-graph systems satisfying condition (I). Let \((X_{\Sigma_1}, \sigma_{\Sigma_1})\) and \((X_{\Sigma_2}, \sigma_{\Sigma_2})\) be the one-sided subshifts presented by \( \Sigma_1 \) and \( \Sigma_2 \), respectively. Then the following three assertions are equivalent:

(i) One-sided subshifts \((X_{\Sigma_1}, \sigma_{\Sigma_1})\) and \((X_{\Sigma_2}, \sigma_{\Sigma_2})\) are \((\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)\)-eventually conjugate.

(ii) There exist an isomorphism \( \varphi : G_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow G_{\Sigma_2} \) of étale groupoids and a homeomorphism \( h : X_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_2} \) of the shift spaces such that \( \pi_{\Sigma_2} \circ \varphi|_{X_{\Sigma_1}} = h \circ \pi_{\Sigma_1} \) and \( c_{\Sigma_2} \circ \varphi = c_{\Sigma_1} \).

(iii) There exists an isomorphism \( \Phi : \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_2} \) of \(C^*\)-algebras such that

\[
\Phi(D_{\Lambda_1}) = D_{\Lambda_2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi \circ \rho_{\Sigma_1} = \rho_{\Sigma_2} \circ \Phi, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.
\]

For a left-resolving \( \lambda \)-graph system \( \Sigma = (V, E, \lambda, \iota) \) over \( \Sigma \), we will construct its stabilization \( \tilde{\Sigma} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\iota}) \) and its groupoid \( G_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \) such that its groupoid \(C^*\)-algebra \( C^*(G_{\tilde{\Sigma}}) \) which is written \( \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \), its canonical maximal abelian \(C^*\)-subalgebra \( D_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \), and its gauge action \( \tilde{\rho}_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \) are isomorphic to \( \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} \otimes \mathcal{K}, D_{\Sigma} \otimes \mathcal{C}, \) and \( \rho_{\Sigma} \otimes \text{id} \), respectively. The idea of the construction of \( \tilde{\Sigma} \) is essentially due to the Tomforde’s construction for directed graphs in [24].
We will introduce a notion of \((\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2)\)-conjugacy between two-sided subshifts \(\Lambda_1\) and \(\Lambda_2\), that is regarded as a topological conjugacy between \(\Lambda_1\) and \(\Lambda_2\) relative to the factor maps \(\overline{\pi}_{\mathcal{L}_1}: X_{\mathcal{L}_1} \to \Lambda_1\) and \(\overline{\pi}_{\mathcal{L}_2}: X_{\mathcal{L}_2} \to \Lambda_2\), where \(\overline{\pi}_{\mathcal{L}_i}, i = 1, 2\), is the two-sided extensions of \(\pi_{\mathcal{L}_i}, i = 1, 2\). We will show the following theorem concerning two-sided subshifts.

**Theorem 1.4** (Proposition [6.2 and Theorem [7.4].) Let \(\mathcal{L}_1\) and \(\mathcal{L}_2\) be left-resolving \(\lambda\)-graph systems satisfying condition (I). Let \((\Lambda_1, \sigma_1)\) and \((\Lambda_2, \sigma_2)\) be their two-sided subshifts presented by \(\mathcal{L}_1\) and \(\mathcal{L}_2\), respectively. Then the following three assertions are equivalent:

(i) The two-sided subshifts \((\Lambda_1, \sigma_1)\) and \((\Lambda_2, \sigma_2)\) are \((\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2)\)-conjugate.

(ii) There exist an isomorphism \(\tilde{\varphi}: G_{\mathcal{L}_1} \to G_{\mathcal{L}_2}\) of étale groupoids and a homeomorphism \(\tilde{h}: \tilde{X}_{\Lambda_1} \to \tilde{X}_{\Lambda_2}\) such that \(\overline{\pi}_{\mathcal{L}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{h} \circ \overline{\pi}_{\mathcal{L}_1}\) and \(c_{\mathcal{L}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = c_{\mathcal{L}_1}\), where \(\tilde{X}_{\Lambda_i}\) is the stabilization of \(X_{\Lambda_i}, i = 1, 2\).

(iii) There exists an isomorphism \(\tilde{\Phi}: \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_1} \otimes K \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_2} \otimes K\) of \(C^*\)-algebras such that

\[
\tilde{\Phi}(D_{\Lambda_1} \otimes \mathcal{C}) = D_{\Lambda_2} \otimes \mathcal{C}, \quad \tilde{\Phi} \circ (\rho_t^{\alpha_1} \otimes \text{id}) = (\rho_t^{\alpha_2} \otimes \text{id}) \circ \tilde{\Phi}, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.
\]

Throughout the paper, we denote by \(\mathbb{Z}_+\) the set of nonnegative integers and by \(\mathbb{N}\) the set of positive integers, respectively.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let \(\Sigma\) be a finite set, which we call an alphabet. Let \(\mathcal{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)\) be a \(\lambda\)-graph system over \(\Sigma\) with vertex set \(V = \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} V_l\) and edge set \(E = \bigcup_{l=0}^{\infty} E_{l,t+1}\) that is labeled with symbols in \(\Sigma\) by \(\lambda: E \to \Sigma\), and that is supplied with surjective maps \(\iota(= u_{l,t+1}): V_{l+1} \to V_l\) for \(l \in \mathbb{Z}_+\). Here the vertex sets \(V_l, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+\) are finite disjoint sets, as well as \(E_{l,t+1}, t \in \mathbb{Z}_+\) are finite disjoint sets. An edge \(e\) in \(E_{l,t+1}\) has its source vertex \(s(e)\) in \(V_l\) and its terminal vertex \(t(e)\) in \(V_{l+1}\) respectively. Every vertex in \(V\) has a successor and every vertex in \(V_l\) for \(l \in \mathbb{N}\) has a predecessor. It is then required for definition of \(\lambda\)-graph system that there exists an edge in \(E_{l,t+1}\) with label \(\alpha\) and its terminal is \(v \in V_{l+1}\) if and only if there exists an edge in \(E_{l-1,t}\) with label \(\alpha\) and its terminal is \(\iota(v) \in V_l\). For \(u \in V_{l-1}\) and \(v \in V_{l+1}\), we put

\[
E'(u, v) = \{ e \in E_{l,t+1} \mid \iota(s(e)) = u, t(e) = v \},
\]

\[
E_\iota(v, u) = \{ e \in E_{l-1,t} \mid s(e) = u, t(e) = \iota(v) \}.
\]

As a key hypothesis for \(\mathcal{L}\) to be a \(\lambda\)-graph system, we require the condition that there exists a bijective correspondence between \(E'(u, v)\) and \(E_\iota(u, v)\) that preserves labels for each pair \((u, v) \in V_{l-1} \times V_{l+1}\) of vertices. We call this property the local property of \(\lambda\)-graph system. A \(\lambda\)-graph system \(\mathcal{L}\) is said to be left-resolving if \(e, f \in E\) with \(t(e) = t(f)\) and \(\lambda(e) = \lambda(f)\) implies \(e = f\). In what follows all \(\lambda\)-graph systems are assumed to be left-resolving.

Let us recall the construction of the topological dynamical system \((X_{\mathcal{L}}, \sigma_{\mathcal{L}})\), the étale groupoid \(G_{\mathcal{L}}\) and the \(C^*\)-algebra \(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}}\) by following [14]. Let \(\Omega_{\mathcal{L}}\) be the projective limit of
the system $\iota_{l,l+1} : V_{l+1} \to V_l, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, that is defined by

$$\Omega_\Sigma = \{(u^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} V_i \mid \iota_{l,l+1}(u^{l+1}) = u^l, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}.$$  

We endow $\Omega_\Sigma$ with the projective limit topology so that it is a compact Hausdorff space. An element $v$ in $\Omega_\Sigma$ is called an $\iota$-orbit or also a vertex. Let $E_\Sigma$ be the set of all triplets $(u, \alpha, w) \in \Omega_\Sigma \times \Sigma \times \Omega_\Sigma$ such that for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists $e_{l,l+1} \in E_{l,l+1}$ satisfying

$$u^l = s(e_{l,l+1}), \quad u^{l+1} = t(e_{l,l+1}) \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha = \lambda(e_{l,l+1})$$

where $u = (u^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+}, w = (w^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \in \Omega_\Sigma$. The set $E_\Sigma \subset \Omega_\Sigma \times \Sigma \times \Omega_\Sigma$ becomes a zero-dimensional continuous graph in the sense of V. Deaconu ([14, Proposition 2.1], cf. [10], [11]).

Let us denote by \{v^1, \ldots, v^m(\ell)\} the vertex set $V_\ell$. Define a clopen set $U^1_\ell(\alpha)$ in $E_\Sigma$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma, i = 1, \ldots, m(\ell)$ by

$$U^1_\ell(\alpha) = \{(u, \alpha, w) \in E_\Sigma \mid w^1 = v^1_i \text{ where } w = (w^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \in \Omega_\Sigma \}$$

so that

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma} U^1_\ell(\alpha) = E_\Sigma, \quad U^1_\ell(\alpha) \cap U^1_\ell(\beta) = \emptyset \quad \text{if} \quad (\alpha, i) \neq (\beta, j).$$

Put $t_\Sigma(u, \alpha, w) = w$ for $(u, \alpha, w) \in E_\Sigma$. Since $\Sigma$ is left-resolution, the restriction of $t_\Sigma$ to $U^1_\ell(\alpha)$ is a homeomorphism onto $U^1_{\ell+1} = \{(w^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \in \Omega_\Sigma \mid w^1 = v^1_i \}$ if $U^1_\ell(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$. Hence $t_\Sigma : E_\Sigma \to \Omega_\Sigma$ is a local homeomorphism. Let $X_\Sigma$ be the set of all one-sided paths of $E_\Sigma$:

$$X_\Sigma = \{(\alpha_i, u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\Sigma \times \Omega_\Sigma) \mid (u_0, \alpha_1, u_1) \in E_\Sigma \text{ for some } u_0 \in \Omega_\Sigma$$

and $(u_i, \alpha_{i+1}, u_{i+1}) \in E_\Sigma$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N} \}$.

The set $X_\Sigma$ has the relative topology from the infinite product topology of $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (\Sigma \times \Omega_\Sigma)$. It is a zero-dimensional compact Hausdorff space. For $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k) \in \Sigma^k, v^1_i \in V_i$ with $k \leq l$, define a subset $U(\mu, v^1_i)$ of $X_\Sigma$ by

$$U(\mu, v^1_i) = \{(\alpha_i, u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_\Sigma \mid \alpha_1 = \mu_1, \ldots, \alpha_k = \mu_k, u^i_k = v^1_i \} \quad (2.1)$$

where $u_i = (u^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Omega_\Sigma$. The set $U(\mu, v^1_i)$ is clopen and such family generate the topology of $X_\Sigma$.

The shift map $\sigma_\Sigma : (\alpha_i, u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_\Sigma \to (\alpha_{i+1}, u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_\Sigma$ is continuous. For $v = (v^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \in \Omega_\Sigma$ and $\alpha \in \Sigma$, the local property of $\lambda$-graph system $\Sigma$ ensures that if there exists $e_{0,1} \in E_{0,1}$ satisfying $v^1 = t(e_{0,1}), \alpha = \lambda(e_{0,1})$, there exist $e_{l,l+1} \in E_{l,l+1}$ and $u = (u^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}^+} \in \Omega_\Sigma$ satisfying $u^l = s(e_{l,l+1}), u^{l+1} = t(e_{l,l+1}), \alpha = \lambda(e_{l,l+1})$ for each $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Hence for any $x = (\alpha_i, v_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_\Sigma$, there uniquely exists $v_0 \in \Omega_\Sigma$ such that $(v_0, \alpha_1, v_1) \in E_\Sigma$. Denote by $v(x)_0$ the unique vertex $v_0$ for $x \in X_\Sigma$. We are always assuming that the $\lambda$-graph system $\Sigma$ is left-resolving, so that $\sigma_\Sigma$ is a local homeomorphism on $X_\Sigma$ ([14, Lemma 2.2]). Define $\pi_\Sigma : (\alpha_i, u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_\Sigma \longrightarrow (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Sigma^\mathbb{N}$. The image $\pi_\Sigma(X_\Sigma)$ in $\Sigma^\mathbb{N}$ is denoted by $X_\Lambda$, which is the shift space of the one-sided subshift denoted by $(X_\Lambda, \sigma_\Lambda)$ with shift transformation $\sigma_\Lambda((\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}) = (\alpha_{i+1})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Hence we have $\pi_\Sigma \circ \sigma_\Sigma = \sigma_\Lambda \circ \pi_\Sigma$. 
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Let us construct the $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{O}_G$ following [13]. Following V. Deaconu [10], [11] and J. Renault [20], [22], [23], one may construct a locally compact étale groupoid $G_\mathcal{G}$, called a Renault–Deaconu groupoid, from a local homeomorphism $\sigma_\mathcal{G}$ on $X_\mathcal{G}$ as in the following way. We put

$$G_\mathcal{G} = \{(x, n, z) \in X_\mathcal{G} \times \mathbb{Z} \times X_\mathcal{G} \mid \text{there exist } k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+; \, \sigma^k_\mathcal{G}(x) = \sigma^l_\mathcal{G}(z), n = k - l \}.$$  

The range map and the domain map are defined by

$$r(x, n, z) = x, \quad d(x, n, z) = z \quad \text{for } (x, n, z) \in G_\mathcal{G}.$$  

The multiplication and the inverse operation are defined by

$$(x, n, z)(m, w, y) = (x, n + m, w), \quad (x, n, z)^{-1} = (z, -n, x).$$  

The unit space $G^0_\mathcal{G} = \{(x, 0, x) \in G_\mathcal{G} \mid x \in X_\mathcal{G} \}$ is identified with the space $X_\mathcal{G}$ through the map $x \in X_\mathcal{G} \rightarrow (x, 0, x) \in G^0_\mathcal{G}$. A basis of open sets of $G_\mathcal{G}$ is given by

$$Z(U, k, l, V) = \{(x, k - l, z) \in G_\mathcal{G} \mid x \in U, z \in V, \sigma^k_\mathcal{G}(x) = \sigma^l_\mathcal{G}(z) \}$$

where $U, V$ are open sets of $X_\mathcal{G}$, and $k, l$ are nonnegative integers such that $\sigma^k|_U$ and $\sigma^l|_V$ are homeomorphisms with the same open range. The groupoid $C^*$-algebra $C^*(G_\mathcal{G})$ for the groupoid $G_\mathcal{G}$ is defined as in the following way ([20], [22], [23], cf. [10], [11]). Let $C_c(G_\mathcal{G})$ be the set of all continuous functions on $G_\mathcal{G}$ with compact support that has a natural product structure and $*$-involution of $*$-algebra given by

$$(f * g)(s) = \sum_{t \in G_\mathcal{G}, \, r(t) = r(s)} f(t)g(t^{-1}s) = \sum_{t_1, t_2 \in G_\mathcal{G}, \, s = t_1t_2} f(t_1)g(t_2),$$

$$f^*(s) = \overline{f(s^{-1})}, \quad f, g \in C_c(G_\mathcal{G}), \quad s \in G_\mathcal{G}.$$  

Let $C_0(G^0_\mathcal{G})$ be the $C^*$-algebra of all continuous functions on $G^0_\mathcal{G}$ that vanish at infinity. The algebra $C_c(G_\mathcal{G})$ is a $C_0(G^0_\mathcal{G})$-right module, endowed with a $C_0(G^0_\mathcal{G})$-valued inner product defined by

$$(\xi f)(x, n, z) = \xi(x, n, z)f(z), \quad \xi \in C_c(G_\mathcal{G}), \quad f \in C_0(G^0_\mathcal{G}), \quad (x, n, z) \in G_\mathcal{G}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$< \xi, \eta > (z) = \sum_{(x, n, z) \in G_\mathcal{G}} \xi(x, n, z)\eta(x, n, z), \quad \xi, \eta \in C_c(G_\mathcal{G}), \quad z \in X_\mathcal{G}. \quad (2.3)$$

Let us denote by $l^2(G_\mathcal{G})$ the completion of the inner product $C_0(G^0_\mathcal{G})$-right module $C_c(G_\mathcal{G})$. It is a Hilbert $C^*$-right module over the commutative $C^*$-algebra $C_0(G^0_\mathcal{G})$. We denote by $B(l^2(G_\mathcal{G}))$ the $C^*$-algebra of all bounded adjointable $C_0(G^0_\mathcal{G})$-module maps on $l^2(G_\mathcal{G})$. Let $\pi$ be the $*$-homomorphism of $C_c(G_\mathcal{G})$ into $B(l^2(G_\mathcal{G}))$ defined by $\pi(f)\xi = f * \xi$ for $f, \xi \in C_c(G_\mathcal{G})$. Then the closure of $\pi(C_c(G_\mathcal{G}))$ in $B(l^2(G_\mathcal{G}))$ is called the (reduced) $C^*$-algebra of the groupoid $G_\mathcal{G}$, that we denote by $C^*_r(G_\mathcal{G})$. General theory of $C^*$-algebras of groupoids says that for a Renault–Deaconu groupoid $G$, the reduced $C^*$-algebra $C^*_r(G)$ and the universal $C^*$-algebra $C^*(G)$ may be identified, as they are isomorphic, see for instance ([21], Proposition 2.4]).
Definition 2.1 ([14]). The $C^*$-algebra $O_{\mathcal{L}}$ associated with $\lambda$-graph system $\mathcal{L}$ is defined to be the $C^*$-algebra $C^*_r(G_{\mathcal{L}})$ of the groupoid $G_{\mathcal{L}}$.

We will describe the algebraic structure of the $C^*$-algebra $O_{\mathcal{L}}$. Let us denote by $X_\Lambda$ the one-sided subshift presented by $\mathcal{L}$. Recall that $B_k(X_\Lambda)$ denotes the set of all words of $\Sigma^k$ that appear in $\Lambda$. For $x = (\alpha_n, u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_\mathcal{L}$, we put $\lambda(x)_n = \alpha_n \in \Sigma$, $v(x)_n = u_n \in \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, respectively. The $\nu$-orbit $v(x)_n$ is written as $v(x)_n = (v(x)_n)_t \in \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$. Now $\mathcal{L}$ is left-resolving so that there uniquely exists $v(x)_0 \in \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$ satisfying $(v(x)_0, \alpha_1, u_1) \in E_{\mathcal{L}}$.

Define $U(\mu) \subset G_{\mathcal{L}}$ for $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k) \in B_k(X_\Lambda)$, and $U(v^i) \subset G_{\mathcal{L}}$ for $v^i \in V_i$ by

$$U(\mu) = \{(x, k, z) \in G_{\mathcal{L}} \mid \sigma^k_\mathcal{L}(x) = z, \lambda(x)_1 = \mu_1, \ldots, \lambda(x)_k = \mu_k\}, \quad \text{and} \quad U(v^i) = \{(x, 0, x) \in G_{\mathcal{L}} \mid v(x)_0 = v^i\}$$

where $v(x)_0 = (v(x)_0)_t \in \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$. They are clopen sets of $G_{\mathcal{L}}$. We set

$$S_\mu = \pi(\chi_u(\mu)), \quad E^i_\nu = \pi(\chi_u(v^i)) \quad \text{in} \quad \pi(C_r(G_{\mathcal{L}}))$$

where $\chi_F \in C_r(G_{\mathcal{L}})$ denotes the characteristic function of a clopen set $F$ on the space $G_{\mathcal{L}}$. We in particular write $S_\mu$ as $S_\alpha$ for the symbol $\mu = \alpha \in \Sigma$. For $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k), \nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_m) \in B_\nu(X_\Lambda)$ and $v^i \in V_i$ with $k, m \leq l$, put the clopen set of $G_{\mathcal{L}}$ by

$$U(\mu, v^i, \nu) = \{(x, n, z) \in G_{\mathcal{L}} \mid n = k - m, \lambda(x)_{1,k} = \mu, \lambda(z)_{1,m} = \nu, \sigma^k_\mathcal{L}(x) = \sigma^m_\mathcal{L}(z), v(x)_k = v(z)_m = v^i\}$$

where $x = (\lambda(x)_t, v(x)_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}}, z = (\lambda(z)_t, v(z)_t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\mathcal{L}}$ with $v(x)_i = (v(x)_i)_t \in \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}, v(z)_i = (v(z)_i)_t \in \Omega_{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\lambda(x)_{1,k} = (\lambda(x)_1, \ldots, \lambda(x)_k) \in B_k(X_\Lambda)$. Then we have

$$S_\mu E^i_\nu S^*_{\nu} = \pi(\chi_u(\mu, v^i, \nu)).$$

In particular, for the clopen set $U(\mu, v^i)$ with $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k) \in B_k(X_\Lambda), v^i \in V_i$ defined in (2.4), we know that

$$S_\mu E^i_\nu S^*_{\nu} = \pi(\chi_u(\mu, v^i)).$$

The transition matrices $A_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1}$ for $\mathcal{L}$ are defined by setting

$$A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if there exists } e \in E_{l,l+1}; \ s(e) = v^i, \lambda(e) = \alpha, t(e) = v^{j+1}, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

$$I_{l,l+1}(i, j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t_{l,l+1}(v^{j+1}) = v^i; \\
0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m(l), \ j = 1, 2, \ldots, m(l + 1), \ \alpha \in \Sigma$. We say that $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies condition (I) if for each $v \in V_i$, the subset $\Gamma^+(v)$ of $X_\Lambda$ defined by

$$\Gamma^+(v) = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots) \in \Sigma^\mathbb{N} \mid \text{there exists } e_{n,n+1} \in E_{n,n+1} \text{ for } n \geq l; \ v = s(e_{l,l+1}), t(e_{n,n+1}) = s(e_{n+1,n+2}), \ \lambda(e_{n,n+1}) = \alpha_{n-l+1}\}$$

contains at least two distinct sequences ([14]).
Proposition 2.2 ([14] Theorem 3.6, Theorem 4.3]). Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a left-resolving $\lambda$-graph system. The $C^*$-algebra $O_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is a universal unital $C^*$-algebra generated by partial isometries $S_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in \Sigma$ and projections $E^l_i$ for $v^l_i \in V_i$ subject to the following relations called $(\mathfrak{L})$:

$$
\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma} S_\beta S_\beta^* = \sum_{i=1}^{m(l)} E^l_i = 1, \quad S_\alpha S_\alpha^* E^l_i = E^l_i S_\alpha S_\alpha^*,
$$

\begin{align*}
S_\alpha^* E^l_i S_\alpha &= \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j) E^{l+1}_j, \\
E^l_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} I_{l,l+1}(i, j) E^{l+1}_j
\end{align*}

for $\alpha \in \Sigma$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m(l)$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.  

If in particular $\mathfrak{L}$ satisfies condition (I), then any non-zero generators satisfying the above relations $(\mathfrak{L})$ generate an isomorphic copy of $O_{\mathfrak{L}}$. Hence $O_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is a unique $C^*$-algebra subject to the relations $(\mathfrak{L})$ if $\mathfrak{L}$ satisfies condition (I).

If $\mathfrak{L}$ satisfies condition (I) and some irreducible condition called $\lambda$-irreducibility, the $C^*$-algebra $O_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is simple and purely infinite ([15]). It is nuclear and belongs to the UCT class ([14] Proposition 5.6)]. By the above relation $(\mathfrak{L})$, we know that the algebra of all finite linear combinations of the elements of the form

$$
S_\mu E^l_i S_\nu^* \quad \text{for} \quad \mu, \nu \in B_s(X_\Lambda), \quad i = 1, \ldots, m(l), \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}_+
$$

forms a dense $*$-subalgebra of $O_{\mathfrak{L}}$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ the $C^*$-subalgebra of $O_{\mathfrak{L}}$ generated by the projections of the form $S_\mu E^l_i S_\mu^*$, $i = 1, \ldots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \mu \in B_s(X_\Lambda)$. By (2.4), we know that the algebra $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is canonically isomorphic to the commutative $C^*$-algebra $C(X_{\mathfrak{L}})$ of continuous functions on $X_{\mathfrak{L}}$.

Definition 2.3. A left-resolving $\lambda$-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ is said to be essentially free if the topological dynamical system $(X_{\mathfrak{L}}, \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}})$ is essentially free, which says that for $m \neq n$, the set $X_{m,n} = \{x \in X_{\mathfrak{L}} \mid \sigma^m_{\mathfrak{L}}(x) = \sigma^n_{\mathfrak{L}}(x)\}$ does not have non empty interior (cf. [22] p. 19).

The condition is equivalent to the one that the étale groupoid $G_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is essentially principal, so that the $C^*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is maximal abelian in $O_{\mathfrak{L}}$.

Lemma 2.4. If a left-resolving $\lambda$-graph system satisfies condition (I), then it is essentially free.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathfrak{L}$ satisfies condition (I) and is not essentially free. There exist $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $m > n$ and $X_{m,n} = \{x \in X_{\mathfrak{L}} \mid \sigma^m_{\mathfrak{L}}(x) = \sigma^n_{\mathfrak{L}}(x)\}$ has a nonempty interior. By taking $l \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough such as $l > m$, we may assume that $U(\mu, v^l_1) \subset X_{m,n}$ for some $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_l) \in B_l(X_\Lambda)$ and $v^l_1 \in V_l$. Let $\gamma$ be a path in $\mathfrak{L}$ starting at a vertex in $V_m$ with labeled $(\mu_{m+1}, \ldots, \mu_l)$ and ending at $v^l_1$. Such path is unique because $\mathfrak{L}$ is left-resolving. Let us denote by $v^m_j \in V_m$ the source vertex of $\gamma$. Since each sequence in $\Gamma^+(v^m_j)$ must be a unique periodic sequence repeated the word $(\mu_{n+1}, \ldots, \mu_m)$. It is a contradiction to the condition (I). \qed

Let us denote by $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda$ the $C^*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ generated by the projections $S_\mu S_\mu^*, \mu \in B_s(X_\Lambda)$. It is canonically isomorphic to the commutative $C^*$-algebra $C(X_\Lambda)$ through the correspondence $S_\mu S_\mu^* \in \mathcal{D}_\Lambda \rightarrow \chi_\mu \in C(X_\Lambda)$. The inclusion $\mathcal{D}_\Lambda \subset \mathcal{D}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is induced from the map $\pi^\mu_\Lambda : f \in C(X_\Lambda) \rightarrow f \circ \pi^\mu_\mathfrak{L} \in C(X_{\mathfrak{L}})$. We note the following lemma that is useful in our further discussions.
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Lemma 2.5 ([18] Lemma 6.5). Suppose that $\mathcal{L}$ satisfies condition (I). Then the subalgebra $\mathcal{D}_A \cap \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$ of elements of $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$ commuting with all elements of $\mathcal{D}_A$ coincides with $\mathcal{D}_A$, that is, $\mathcal{D}_A \cap \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{D}_A$.

Hence the position of $\mathcal{D}_A$ in $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$ determines that of $\mathcal{D}_C$ in $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$.

3 Groupoid isomorphism

In what follows, a left-resolving $\lambda$-graph system $\mathcal{L}$ is assumed to satisfy condition (I) and hence to be essentially free. Let $N(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_C)$ be the normalizer of $\mathcal{D}_C$ in $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}$ consisting of partial isometries defined by

$$N(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_C) := \{w \in \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L} \mid wdw^*, w^*dw \in \mathcal{D}_C \text{ for all } d \in \mathcal{D}_C\}.$$ 

For $w \in N(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_C)$, both elements $w^*w$ and $ww^*$ belong to $\mathcal{D}_C$. For $\mu, \nu \in B_\lambda(X_\Lambda)$, $v_i^* \in V$ satisfying $S_\mu^*S_\nu, S_\nu^*S_\mu \geq E_i^f$, we know that $S_\mu E_i^f S_\nu^*$ belongs to $N(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_C)$ and satisfies

$$\text{dom}(S_\mu E_i^f S_\nu^*) = U(\nu, v_i), \quad \text{ran}(S_\mu E_i^f S_\nu^*) = U(\mu, v_i)$$

under the natural identification between the algebras $\mathcal{D}_C$ and $C(X_\Lambda)$.

We will consider the Weyl groupoid $G_{N(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_C)}$ of germs of pairs $(w, x)$ with $w \in N(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_C), x \in \text{dom}(w)$ in the following way. Two elements $(w_1, x_1), (w_2, x_2)$ with $w_i \in N(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_C), x_i \in \text{dom}(w_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$ are said to be equivalent if $x_1 = x_2$ and there exists an open neighborhood $W \subset \text{dom}(w_1) \cap \text{dom}(w_2)$ of $x_1 = x_2$ such that $w_1(y) = w_2(y)$ for all $y \in W$. The set of equivalence classes $[(w, x)]$ of the pairs $(w, x)$ is denoted by $G_{N(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_C)}$ with partially defined product:

$$[(w_1, x_1)] \cdot [(w_2, x_2)] = [(w_1 w_2, x_2)] \quad \text{if} \quad w_2(x_2) = x_1$$

and the inverse operation

$$[(w, x)]^{-1} = [(w^*, w(x))].$$

The topology on $G_{N(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_C)}$ is generated by $\{\{(w, x) \mid x \in \text{dom}(w)\}, w \in N(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_C)\}$. It becomes an étale groupoid by a general theory studied by J. Renault ([22] Proposition 4.10], cf. [23, 3]). Since the groupoid $G_{N(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_C)}$ is ample, for $[(w, x)] \in G_{N(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_C)}$, there exists a partial isometry $v \in N(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_C)$ such that $[(w, x)] = [(v, x)]$. This fact was kindly informed by the referee. For a word $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k) \in B_k(X_\Lambda)$, let us denote by $|\mu|$ the length $k$. By [22] Proposition 4.13 proved by Renault, we have

Lemma 3.1 (cf. [22] Proposition 4.13). The correspondence

$$\phi_\mathcal{L} : (x, |\mu| - |\nu|, z) \in Z(U(\mu, v_i^*), |\mu|, |\nu|, U(\nu, v_i)) \subset \mathcal{G}_\mathcal{L} \rightarrow [(S_\mu E_i^f S_\nu^*, z)] \in G_{N(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_C)}$$

gives rise to an isomorphism of étale groupoids between $\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{L}$ and $G_{N(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{D}_C)}$.

A continuous function $f : \mathcal{G}_\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is called a continuous homomorphism if it satisfies $f(\gamma_1 \gamma_2) = f(\gamma_1) + f(\gamma_2)$ for $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{G}_\mathcal{L}$ with $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathcal{G}_\mathcal{C}$. It defines a one-parameter unitary group $U_t(f), t \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{T}$ on $l^2(\mathcal{G}_\mathcal{L})$ by setting

$$[U_t(f)](x, n, z) = \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} f(x, n, z)t) \xi(x, n, z)$$
for $\xi \in \ell^2(G_\Sigma)$, $(x, n, z) \in G_\Sigma$. The automorphisms $\text{Ad}(U_t(f)), t \in \mathbb{T}$ on $B(\ell^2(G_\Sigma))$ leave $C^*_r(G_\Sigma)$ globally invariant, and yield an action of $\mathbb{T}$ on $C^*_r(G_\Sigma)$. Let us denote by $\rho^G_{x,n,z}$ the action $\text{Ad}(U_t(f)), t \in \mathbb{T}$ on $C^*_r(G_\Sigma)$. It is called the generalized gauge action on $C^*_r(G_\Sigma)$. The generalized gauge action $\rho^G_{x,n,z}$ for the continuous function defined by $c_\Sigma(x, n, z) = n$ is called the gauge action on $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ and written simply $\rho^G$.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let $S_\mu E^l_i S^*_\nu \in N(\mathcal{O}_\Sigma, D_\Sigma)$ and a continuous homomorphism $f : G_\Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be given. For $\eta \in G_\Sigma$, assume that $f(\eta)$ does not depend on $z \in U(\nu, v^l_1)$ as long as $\phi_\Sigma(\eta) = [(S_\mu E^l_i S^*_\nu, z)]$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\rho^G_{x,n,z}f(S_\mu E^l_i S^*_\nu) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}mt)S_\mu E^l_i S^*_\nu \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{T} \quad (3.1)$$

if and only if $m = f(\eta)$.

**Proof.** Let us represent the $C^*$-algebra $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma$ on $\ell^2(G_\Sigma)$ as $\mathcal{O}_\Sigma = C^*_r(G_\Sigma)$, so that the generator $S_\mu E^l_i S^*_\nu$ is identified with $\chi_{U(\mu, v^l_1, \nu)} \in C_c(G_\Sigma)$. Put $w = S_\mu E^l_i S^*_\nu$. For $\zeta \in \ell^2(G_\Sigma), \gamma \in G_\Sigma$, we have

$$[\rho^G_{x,n,z}(w)(\zeta)](\gamma) = [U_t(f)wU_t(f)^*\zeta](\gamma)$$

$$= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(\gamma)t)wU_t(f)^*\zeta](\gamma)$$

$$= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(\gamma)t)\left( \sum_{\gamma' \in G_\Sigma} w(\gamma') \exp(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(\gamma'^{-1})(\gamma)^{-1}) \right)$$

$$= \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(\gamma)t)\left( \sum_{\gamma' \in G_\Sigma} w(\gamma') \exp\left(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}(f(\gamma'^{-1}) + f(\gamma))t\right) \right)$$

$$= \left( \sum_{\gamma' \in G_\Sigma} w(\gamma') \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(\gamma)t) \right) \zeta(\gamma'^{-1}) \sum_{\gamma' \in G_\Sigma} \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}f(\gamma)t) \zeta(\gamma'^{-1})$$

and

$$[(\exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}mt)w)(\zeta)](\gamma) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}mt) \sum_{\gamma' \in G_\Sigma} w(\gamma') \zeta(\gamma'^{-1}) \sum_{\gamma' \in G_\Sigma} \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}mt) \zeta(\gamma'^{-1}).$$

Hence (3.1) holds if and only if $f(\gamma') = m$ for all $\gamma' \in U(\mu, v^l_1, \nu)$. As the condition $\eta \in U(\mu, v^l_1, \nu)$ is equivalent to the condition that $\phi_\Sigma(\eta) = [(S_\mu E^l_i S^*_\nu, z)]$ for some $z \in X_\Sigma$, we obtain the desired assertion. \hfill \square

We will show the next proposition that will be used in the following sections.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $\mathcal{G}_1$ and $\mathcal{G}_2$ be left-resolving $\lambda$-graph systems satisfying condition (I). Let $f_1 : G_{\mathcal{G}_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $f_2 : G_{\mathcal{G}_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ be continuous homomorphisms of groupoids. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) There exists an isomorphism $\varphi : G_{\mathcal{G}_1} \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{G}_2}$ of étale groupoids such that $f_1 = f_2 \circ \varphi$. 
(ii) There exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_1} \to \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_2}$ of $C^*$-algebras such that $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_1}) = \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_2}$ and $\Phi \circ \rho_1^0 = \rho_2^0 \circ \Phi$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

Proof. (i) $\implies$ (ii): Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : G_{\Sigma_1} \to G_{\Sigma_2}$ of étale groupoids such that $f_1 = f_2 \circ \varphi$. The unit space of $G_{\Sigma_1}$ is the subgroupoid $\{(x,0,x) \mid x \in X_{\Sigma_1}\}$ of $G_{\Sigma_1}$ which is denoted by $G_{\Sigma_1}^0$ for $i = 1, 2$. The restriction of $\varphi$ to $G_{\Sigma_1}^0$ is a homeomorphism onto $G_{\Sigma_2}^0$. Since the unit spaces $G_{\Sigma_1}^0$ are identified with $X_{\Sigma_1}$ through the map $x \in X_{\Sigma_1} \to (x,0,x) \in G_{\Sigma_1}^0$ we have a homeomorphism $h : X_{\Sigma_1} \to X_{\Sigma_2}$ such that

$$\varphi(x,0,x) = (h(x),0,h(x)), \quad x \in X_{\Sigma_1}.$$ We define unitaries $V_\varphi : l^2(G_{\Sigma_2}) \to l^2(G_{\Sigma_1})$ and $V_{\varphi^{-1}} : l^2(G_{\Sigma_1}) \to l^2(G_{\Sigma_2})$ by setting

$$[V_\varphi \zeta](x,n,z) := \zeta(\varphi(x,n,z)) \quad \text{for } \zeta \in l^2(G_{\Sigma_2}),$$

$$[V_{\varphi^{-1}} \eta](y,m,w) := \eta(\varphi^{-1}(y,m,w)) \quad \text{for } \eta \in l^2(G_{\Sigma_1}).$$

We have $V_\varphi^* = V_{\varphi^{-1}}$. Put $\Phi = \text{Ad}(V_\varphi^*)$. It satisfies $\Phi(C_c(G_{\Sigma_1})) = C_c(G_{\Sigma_2})$ so that $\Phi(C_c(G_{\Sigma_1})) = C_c(G_{\Sigma_2})$. As $\varphi(G_{\Sigma_1}^0) = G_{\Sigma_2}^0$, we have $\Phi(C(X_{\Sigma_1})) = C(X_{\Sigma_2})$. We will next show that $\Phi \circ \rho_1^0 = \rho_2^0 \circ \Phi$ in the following way. For $\zeta \in l^2(G_{\Sigma_2}), (y,m,w) \in G_{\Sigma_2}$ and $a \in C_c(G_{\Sigma_2})$, we have

$$\Phi(\text{Ad}(U_t(a)))(a) \zeta(y,m,w)$$

$$= [U_t(f_1)aU_t(f_1)^*V\zeta](\varphi^{-1}(y,m,w))$$

$$= \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} f_1(\varphi^{-1}(y,m,w)) t)[aU_t(f_1)^*V\zeta](\varphi^{-1}(y,m,w))$$

$$= \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} f_1(\varphi^{-1}(y,m,w)) t) \left( \sum_{r(\gamma) = r(\varphi^{-1}(y,m,w))} a(\gamma)(U_t(f_1)^*V\zeta)(\gamma^{-1} \varphi^{-1}(y,m,w)) \right)$$

$$= \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} f_1(\varphi^{-1}(y,m,w)) t) \left( \sum_{r(\gamma) = h^{-1}(y)} a(\gamma) \exp(-2\pi \sqrt{-1} f_1(\gamma^{-1}) \varphi^{-1}(y,m,w)) t)(V_\varphi \zeta)(\gamma^{-1} \varphi^{-1}(y,m,w)) \right)$$

$$= \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} f_1(\varphi^{-1}(y,m,w)) t) \left( \sum_{r(\gamma) = h^{-1}(y)} a(\gamma) \exp(-2\pi \sqrt{-1} (f_1(\gamma^{-1}) + f_1(\varphi^{-1}(y,m,w)) t)(V_\varphi \zeta)(\gamma^{-1} \varphi^{-1}(y,m,w)) \right)$$

$$= \sum_{r(\gamma) = h^{-1}(y)} a(\gamma) \exp(-2\pi \sqrt{-1} (f_1(\gamma^{-1}) t)(\varphi(\gamma^{-1})(y,m,w)))$$
Since\( \omega \) long as \( \Phi \) holds. For \( \gamma \in \mathcal{E}_1 \), take \( w \in N(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{E}_1},\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{E}_1}) \) and \( x = \delta(\gamma) \in X_{\mathcal{E}_1} \) such that

\[
\phi_{\mathcal{E}_1}(\gamma) = [(w, x)].
\]

Since \( f_1 : G_{\mathcal{E}_1} \to \mathbb{Z} \) is continuous, one may assume that \( f_1(\gamma) \) does not depend on \( x \) as long as \( \gamma \) satisfies (3.3). By Lemma 3.2, we have

\[
\rho_{t}^{\mathcal{E}_1}f_1(w) = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}f_1(\gamma)t)w, \quad t \in \mathbb{T}.
\]

By (3.2) and (3.3), we have

\[
[(\Phi(w), h(x))] = \psi(\phi_{\mathcal{E}_1}(\gamma)) = \phi_{\mathcal{E}_2}(\varphi(\gamma)).
\]
Proposition 4.2. The following are equivalent.

Proof. (ii) ⇒ (i): Suppose that there exist an isomorphism \( \varphi : G_{\Sigma 1} \rightarrow G_{\Sigma 2} \) of étale groupoids and a homeomorphism \( h : X_{\Sigma 1} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma 2} \) such that \( \pi_{\Sigma 2} \circ \varphi \mid_{X_{\Sigma 1}} = h \circ \pi_{\Sigma 1} \), where \( X_{\Sigma 1} \) is identified with the unit space \( G_{\Sigma i}^0 \) of the étale groupoid \( G_{\Sigma i} \), \( i = 1, 2 \).

4 Continuous orbit equivalence on one-sided subshifts

Let \( \Sigma \) be a left-resolving \( \lambda \)-graph system over \( \Sigma \) satisfying condition (I). Recall that \( X_{\Lambda} \) denotes the shift space

\[
X_{\Lambda} = \{(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Sigma^\mathbb{N} \mid (\alpha_i, u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Sigma} \text{ for some } u_i \in \Omega_{\Sigma}, i \in \mathbb{N}\}
\]

of the right one-sided subshift \( (X_{\Lambda}, \sigma_{\Lambda}) \) with the shift transformation \( \sigma_{\Lambda}(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} = (\alpha_{i+1})_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) for \((\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Lambda}\). Then the correspondence \( \pi_{\Sigma} : (\alpha_i, u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Lambda} \) gives rise to a continuous surjection \( \pi_{\Sigma} : X_{\Sigma} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda} \) such that \( \pi_{\Sigma} \circ \sigma_{\Sigma} = \sigma_{\Lambda} \circ \pi_{\Sigma} \).

For two left-resolving \( \lambda \)-graph systems \( \Sigma_1 \) and \( \Sigma_2 \), denote by \((X_{\Lambda_1}, \sigma_{\Lambda_1})\) and \((X_{\Lambda_2}, \sigma_{\Lambda_2})\) the right one-sided subshifts presented by \( \Sigma_1 \) and \( \Sigma_2 \), respectively.

Definition 4.1 ([18, Section 6]). One-sided subshifts \((X_{\Lambda_1}, \sigma_{\Lambda_1})\) and \((X_{\Lambda_2}, \sigma_{\Lambda_2})\) are said to be \((\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)\)-continuously orbit equivalent if there exist homeomorphisms \( h_{\Sigma} : X_{\Sigma 1} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma 2} \) and \( h_{\Lambda} : X_{\Lambda_1} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda_2} \) satisfying \( \pi_{\Sigma 2} \circ h_{\Sigma} = h_{\Lambda} \circ \pi_{\Sigma 1} \) and continuous maps \( k_i, l_i : X_{\Lambda i} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+, i = 1, 2 \) satisfying

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{\Sigma 2}^{k_1(x)}(h_{\Sigma}(\sigma_{\Sigma 1}(x))) &= \sigma_{\Sigma 2}^{l_1(x)}(h_{\Sigma}(x)), & x & \in X_{\Sigma 1}, \\
\sigma_{\Sigma 1}^{k_2(y)}(h_{\Sigma}^{-1}(\sigma_{\Sigma 2}(y))) &= \sigma_{\Sigma 1}^{l_2(y)}(h_{\Sigma}^{-1}(y)), & y & \in X_{\Sigma 2}.
\end{align*}
\]

The above situation was called that the factor maps \( \pi_{\Sigma 1} : X_{\Sigma 1} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda 1} \) and \( \pi_{\Sigma 2} : X_{\Sigma 2} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda 2} \) are continuously orbit equivalent in [18].

Proposition 4.2. The following are equivalent.

(i) One-sided subshifts \((X_{\Lambda 1}, \sigma_{\Lambda 1})\) and \((X_{\Lambda 2}, \sigma_{\Lambda 2})\) are \((\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)\)-continuously orbit equivalent.

(ii) There exist an isomorphism \( \varphi : G_{\Sigma 1} \rightarrow G_{\Sigma 2} \) of étale groupoids and a homeomorphism \( h : X_{\Lambda_1} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda_2} \) such that \( \pi_{\Sigma 2} \circ \varphi \mid_{X_{\Sigma 1}} = h \circ \pi_{\Sigma 1} \), where \( X_{\Sigma 1} \) is identified with the unit space \( G_{\Sigma i}^0 \) of the étale groupoid \( G_{\Sigma i} \), \( i = 1, 2 \).

Proof. (ii) ⇒ (i): Suppose that there exist an isomorphism \( \varphi : G_{\Sigma 1} \rightarrow G_{\Sigma 2} \) of étale groupoids and a homeomorphism \( h : X_{\Lambda_1} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda_2} \) such that \( \pi_{\Sigma 2} \circ \varphi \mid_{X_{\Sigma 1}} = h \circ \pi_{\Sigma 1} \). As the shift spaces \( X_{\Sigma i} \) are identified with the unit spaces \( G_{\Sigma i}^0 \) of the groupoids \( G_{\Sigma i} \) for \( i = 1, 2 \),...
the isomorphism \( \varphi : G_{\Sigma_1} \to G_{\Sigma_2} \) of étale groupoids yields a homeomorphism from \( X_{\Sigma_1} \) onto \( X_{\Sigma_2} \), which we denote by \( h_{\Sigma} \). It satisfies \( \varphi(x, 0, x) = (h_{\Sigma}(x), 0, h_{\Sigma}(x)) \) for \( x \in X_{\Sigma} \). Since \((x, 1, \sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x)) \in G_{\Sigma_1}\) for \( x \in X_{\Sigma_1} \), we have \( \varphi(x, 1, \sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x)) \in G_{\Sigma_2} \)

\[
\varphi(x, 1, \sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x)) = \varphi(x, 0, x)\varphi(x, 1, \sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x))\varphi(\sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x), 0, \sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x)) = (h_{\Sigma}(x), 0, h_{\Sigma}(x))\varphi(x, 1, \sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x))(h_{\Sigma}(\sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x)), 0, h_{\Sigma}(\sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x))),
\]

one may find an integer \( n_2(x) \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that

\[
\varphi(x, 1, \sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x)) = (h_{\Sigma}(x), n_2(x), h_{\Sigma}(\sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x))). \quad (4.3)
\]

For \( \alpha \in \Sigma, v_1^I \in V_1 \) we put a clopen set in \( X_{\Sigma_1} \)

\[
U(\alpha, v_1^I) = \{(\alpha_n, u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Sigma_1} | \alpha_1 = \alpha, u_1^I = v_1^I\},
\]

whose characteristic function \( \chi_{U(\alpha, v_1^I)} \) is regarded as the projection \( S_\alpha E_1^I S_\alpha^* \) in \( \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_1} \). We then have

\[
X_{\Sigma_1} = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Sigma} \bigcup_{i=1}^{m(1)} U(\alpha, v_1^I).
\]

We put

\[
V(\alpha, v_1^I) = \sigma_{\Sigma_1}(U(\alpha, v_1^I)) = \{(\alpha_n, u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Sigma} | A(v_1^I, \alpha_1, u_1^2) = 1\},
\]

where \( u_1 = (u_1^I)_{I \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Omega_\Sigma \). Since

\[
V(\alpha, v_1^I) = \bigcup_{A(v_1^I, \alpha_1, u_1^2) = 1} U(\alpha_1, u_1^2),
\]

the set \( V(\alpha, v_1^I) \) is clopen in \( X_{\Sigma_1} \). Hence the set

\[
Z_1(U(\alpha, v_1^I), 1, 0, V(\alpha, v_1^I)) = \{(x, 1, z) \in G_{\Sigma_1} | x \in U(\alpha, v_1^I), z \in V(\alpha, v_1^I), \sigma_2(x) = z\}
\]

is an open and compact subset of \( G_{\Sigma_1} \), and so is \( \varphi(Z(U(\alpha, v_1^I), 1, 0, V(\alpha, v_1^I))) \) in \( G_{\Sigma_2} \). Hence

\[
\varphi(Z_1(U(\alpha, v_1^I), 1, 0, V(\alpha, v_1^I))) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} Z_2(U_j, l_j, k_j, V_j) \quad (4.4)
\]

for some clopen sets \( U_j, V_j \subset X_{\Sigma_2} \) and \( l_j, k_j \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \). Define \( k_{(\alpha, v_1^I)}(x) = k_j \) and \( l_{(\alpha, v_1^I)}(x) = l_j \) for \( x \in h_{\Sigma}^{-1}(U_j) \). The both functions \( k_{(\alpha, v_1^I)} \) and \( l_{(\alpha, v_1^I)} \) are continuous on \( U(\alpha, v_1^I) \). For \( x \in U(\alpha, v_1^I) \), one has \( h_{\Sigma}(x) \in U_j \) for some \( j \) and

\[
\varphi(x, 1, \sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x)) = (h_{\Sigma}(x), l_j - k_j, h_{\Sigma}(\sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x))) \in Z_2(U_j, l_j, k_j, V_j) \quad (4.5)
\]

so that

\[
\sigma_{\Sigma_2}^{k_j}(h_{\Sigma}(\sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x))) = \sigma_{\Sigma_2}^{l_j}(h_{\Sigma}(x)), \quad x \in U(\alpha, v_1^I). \quad (4.6)
\]

Since \( \bigcup_{(\alpha, v_1^I)} \bigcup_{j=1}^{n} h_{\Sigma}^{-1}(U_j) = X_{\Sigma_1} \), we have continuous maps \( k_1, l_1 : X_{\Sigma_1} \to \mathbb{Z} \) such that

\[
\sigma_{\Sigma_2}^{k_1}(h_{\Sigma}(\sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x))) = \sigma_{\Sigma_2}^{l_1}(h_{\Sigma}(x)), \quad x \in X_{\Sigma_1}.
\]
We know that there exist continuous maps \( k_2, l_2 : X_{\mathfrak{G}_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) such that
\[
\sigma_{\mathfrak{G}_1}^{k_2(y)}(h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}^{-1}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{G}_2}(y))) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{G}_1}^{l_2(y)}(h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}^{-1}(y)), \quad y \in X_{\mathfrak{G}_2}
\]
in a similar way. Therefore the one-sided subshifts \((X_{\Lambda_1}, \sigma_{\Lambda_1})\) and \((X_{\Lambda_2}, \sigma_{\Lambda_2})\) are \((\mathfrak{G}_1, \mathfrak{G}_2)\)-continuously orbit equivalent.

(i) \(\Rightarrow\) (ii): For a function \( f : X_{\mathfrak{G}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N} \), we set \( f^n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} f(\sigma_{\mathfrak{G}}^i(x)) \) for \( x \in X_{\mathfrak{G}} \). Suppose that the one-sided subshifts \((X_{\Lambda_1}, \sigma_{\Lambda_1})\) and \((X_{\Lambda_2}, \sigma_{\Lambda_2})\) are \((\mathfrak{G}_1, \mathfrak{G}_2)\)-continuously orbit equivalent. There exist homeomorphisms \( h_{\mathfrak{G}_1} : X_{\mathfrak{G}_1} \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{G}_2} \) and \( h_{\Lambda} : X_{\Lambda_1} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda_2} \) satisfying the equalities \( \pi_{\mathfrak{G}_2} \circ h_{\mathfrak{G}} = h_{\Lambda} \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{G}_1} \) and (1.1), (1.2). We will show that for \((x, n, z) \in G_{\mathfrak{G}_1}\), there exists a continuous function \( n_1 : G_{\mathfrak{G}_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) such that \((h_{\mathfrak{G}_1}(x), n_1(x, n, z), h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}(z)) \in G_{\mathfrak{G}_2}\). For \((x, n, z) \in G_{\mathfrak{G}_1}\), there exist \( p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) such that \( p - q = n \) and \( \sigma_{\mathfrak{G}_1}^p(x) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{G}_2}^q(z) \). By the equality (1.1), we have
\[
\sigma_{\mathfrak{G}_2}^{k_2^p(x)}(h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{G}_1}(x))) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{G}_2}^{l_2^p(x)}(h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}(x)) \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{\mathfrak{G}_2}^{k_2^q(z)}(h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}(\sigma_{\mathfrak{G}_1}(z))) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{G}_2}^{l_2^q(z)}(h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}(z)).
\]
Hence the equality
\[
\sigma_{\mathfrak{G}_2}^{p^q(x)}(h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}(x)) = \sigma_{\mathfrak{G}_2}^{q^p(z)}(h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}(z))
\]
holds. This implies that \((h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}(x), (l_2^p(x) + k_2^q(z)) - (l_2^q(z) + k_2^p(x)), h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}(z)) \) gives rise to an element of \( G_{\mathfrak{G}_2} \). Put \( c_1(x) = l_1(x) - k_1(x) \) and hence \( c_1^p(x) = l_1^p(x) - k_1^p(x) \) and \( c_1^q(z) = l_1^q(z) - k_1^q(z) \). Define the function \( n_1 : G_{\mathfrak{G}_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) by \( n_1(x, p - q, z) = (l_2^p(x) + k_2^q(z)) - (l_2^q(z) + k_2^p(x)) = c_1^p(x) - c_1^q(z) \). It is routine to check that \( n_1(x, p - q, z) \) is well-defined, so that
\[
(h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}(x), c_1^p(x) - c_1^q(z), h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}(z)) \in G_{\mathfrak{G}_2}.
\]
It is not difficult to see that the correspondence
\[
(x, p - q, z) \in G_{\mathfrak{G}_1} \rightarrow (h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}(x), c_1^p(x) - c_1^q(z), h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}(z)) \in G_{\mathfrak{G}_2}.
\]
deﬁnes a homomorphism \( \varphi : G_{\mathfrak{G}_1} \rightarrow G_{\mathfrak{G}_2} \) of groupoids.

We set \( c_2 : X_{\mathfrak{G}_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) by \( c_2(y) = l_2(y) - k_2(y) \) for \( y \in X_{\mathfrak{G}_2} \). By the equality (1.2), we obtain a homomorphism
\[
(y, p - q, w) \in G_{\mathfrak{G}_1} \rightarrow (h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}^{-1}(y), c_2^p(y) - c_2^q(w), h_{\mathfrak{G}_2}^{-1}(w)) \in G_{\mathfrak{G}_1}
\]
in a similar way to (4.7), which is the inverse of \( \varphi \). Since both functions \( c_1 : X_{\mathfrak{G}_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) \( c_2 : X_{\mathfrak{G}_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) are continuous, we have the isomorphism \( \varphi : G_{\mathfrak{G}_1} \rightarrow G_{\mathfrak{G}_2} \) of étale groupoids, which satisfies \( \pi_{\mathfrak{G}_2} \circ \varphi |_{X_{\mathfrak{G}_1}} = h_{\Lambda} \circ \pi_{\mathfrak{G}_1} \). Therefore we get the assertion (ii). \( \square \)

By Proposition 3.3 and Proposition 4.2 we may prove Theorem 1.2 in the following way.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 (i) \(\iff\) (ii) follows from Proposition 4.2

(ii) \(\Rightarrow\) (iii): Let \( \varphi : G_{\mathfrak{G}_1} \rightarrow G_{\mathfrak{G}_2} \) be the isomorphism of étale groupoids and \( h : X_{\Lambda_1} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda_2} \) the homeomorphism in the statement (ii). Take a continuous function \( c_{\mathfrak{G}_2} : G_{\mathfrak{G}_2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) as \( f_2 \) in Proposition 3.3 and put \( f_1 = c_{\mathfrak{G}_2} \circ \varphi \). By Proposition 3.3
\[
(i) \Rightarrow (ii), \text{there exists an isomorphism } \Phi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{G}_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{G}_2} \text{ of } C^*\text{-algebras such that } \Phi(D_{\mathfrak{G}_1}) = D_{\mathfrak{G}_2}. \text{By the construction of } \Phi \text{ in the proof of Proposition 3.3 (i) \Rightarrow (ii),}
\]
one knows that $\Phi(f) = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ for $f \in D_{\mathcal{E}_1}$. The homeomorphism $h : X_{\Lambda_1} \to X_{\Lambda_2}$ satisfying $\pi_{\mathcal{L}_2} \circ \varphi_{|X_{\mathcal{L}_1}} = h \circ \pi_{\mathcal{L}_1}$ guarantees the condition $\Phi(D_{\Lambda_1}) = D_{\Lambda_2}$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Assume (iii). By Lemma 2.5 the isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_1} \to \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ such that $\Phi(D_{\Lambda_1}) = D_{\Lambda_2}$ satisfies $\Phi(D_{\Lambda_1}) = D_{\Lambda_2}$. As in the proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) of Proposition 3.3 put $\varphi = \phi_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{-1} \circ \Phi \circ \phi_{\mathcal{L}_1} : G_{\mathcal{L}_1} \to G_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ and let $f_1 = c_{\mathcal{L}_2} \circ \varphi$. Hence $\varphi : G_{\mathcal{L}_1} \to G_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ yields an isomorphism of étale groupoids by (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) of Proposition 3.3. It is easy to see that the condition $\Phi(D_{\Lambda_1}) = D_{\Lambda_2}$ gives rise to a homeomorphism $h : X_{\Lambda_1} \to X_{\Lambda_2}$ such that $\pi_{\mathcal{L}_2} \circ \varphi|_{G_{\mathcal{L}_1}} = h \circ \pi_{\mathcal{L}_1}$.

We remark that the equivalence between (i) and (iii) in Theorem 1.2 was already seen in [13 Theorem 6.6]. We gave its proof as above in this paper for the sake of completeness. This fact was informed the author by the referee.

5 Eventual conjugacy of one-sided subshifts

In this section, we will study eventual conjugacy of one-sided subshifts.

**Definition 5.1.** One-sided subshifts $(X_{\Lambda_1}, \sigma_{\Lambda_1})$ and $(X_{\Lambda_2}, \sigma_{\Lambda_2})$ are said to be $(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2)$-eventually conjugate if there exist homeomorphisms $h_\mathcal{L} : X_{\mathcal{L}_1} \to X_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ and $h_\Lambda : X_{\Lambda_1} \to X_{\Lambda_2}$ satisfying $\pi_{\mathcal{L}_2} \circ h_\mathcal{L} = h_\Lambda \circ \pi_{\mathcal{L}_1}$ and continuous maps $k_i : X_{\mathcal{L}_i} \to \mathbb{Z}_+, i = 1, 2$ satisfying

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{k_1(x)}(h_\mathcal{L}(\sigma_{\mathcal{L}_1}(x))) &= \sigma_{\mathcal{L}_2}^{k_1(x)+1}(h_\mathcal{L}(x)), & x \in X_{\mathcal{L}_1}, \\
\sigma_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{k_2(y)}(h_\Lambda^{-1}(\sigma_{\mathcal{L}_2}(y))) &= \sigma_{\mathcal{L}_1}^{k_2(y)+1}(h_\Lambda^{-1}(y)), & y \in X_{\mathcal{L}_2}.
\end{align*}
\]

(5.1) We note that if $(X_{\Lambda_1}, \sigma_{\Lambda_1})$ and $(X_{\Lambda_2}, \sigma_{\Lambda_2})$ are $(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2)$-eventually conjugate, then we may take the above functions $k_1, k_2$ as constant numbers because both $X_{\mathcal{L}_1}$ and $X_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ are compact.

Concerning eventual conjugacy, we provide the following proposition. Recall that the continuous homomorphism $c_\mathcal{L} : G_{\mathcal{L}} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is defined by $c_\mathcal{L}(x, n, z) = n$.

**Proposition 5.2.** The following are equivalent.

(i) One-sided subshifts $(X_{\Lambda_1}, \sigma_{\Lambda_1})$ and $(X_{\Lambda_2}, \sigma_{\Lambda_2})$ are $(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2)$-eventually conjugate.

(ii) There exist an isomorphism $\varphi : G_{\mathcal{L}_1} \to G_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ of étale groupoids and a homeomorphism $h : X_{\Lambda_1} \to X_{\Lambda_2}$ such that $\pi_{\mathcal{L}_2} \circ \varphi_{|X_{\mathcal{L}_1}} = h \circ \pi_{\mathcal{L}_1}$, and $c_{\mathcal{L}_2} \circ \varphi = c_{\mathcal{L}_1}$ where $X_{\mathcal{L}_i}$ is identified with the unit space $G_{\mathcal{L}_i}^0$ of the groupoid $G_{\mathcal{L}_i}, i = 1, 2$.

**Proof.** (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): We follow the proof of (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) of Proposition 4.2 and further assume that $c_{\mathcal{L}_2} \circ \varphi = c_{\mathcal{L}_1}$. In the proof, we see that the equality (4.3) holds. Since $c_{\mathcal{L}_2}(\varphi(x, 1, \sigma_{\mathcal{L}_1}(x))) = c_{\mathcal{L}_2}(h_\mathcal{L}(x), l_j - k_j, h_\mathcal{L}(\sigma_{\mathcal{L}_1}(x))) = l_j - k_j$

and $c_{\mathcal{L}_1}(x, 1, \sigma_{\mathcal{L}_1}(x)) = 1$, we have $l_j - k_j = 1$ for all $x \in h_\mathcal{L}^{-1}(U_j)$, so that one may take $l_1(x) = k_1(x) + 1$ for all $x \in X_{\mathcal{L}_1}$. We know that $l_2(y) = k_2(y) + 1$ in a similar way. Thus $(X_{\Lambda_1}, \sigma_{\Lambda_1})$ and $(X_{\Lambda_2}, \sigma_{\Lambda_2})$ are $(\mathcal{L}_1, \mathcal{L}_2)$-eventually conjugate.
assertion (i) \implies (ii): We follow the proof of (i) \implies (ii) of Proposition \ref{prop:4.2} and further assume that $(X_{A_1}, \sigma_{A_1})$ and $(X_{A_2}, \sigma_{A_2})$ are $(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)$-eventually conjugate. We may assume that there exists a constant number $K \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that
\[
\sigma^K_{\Sigma_1}(h_{\Sigma_1}(\sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x))) = \sigma^K_{\Sigma_2}(h_{\Sigma_2}(x)), \quad x \in X_{\Sigma_1},
\]
\[
\sigma^K_{\Sigma_1}(h^{-1}_{\Sigma_1}(\sigma_{\Sigma_2}(y))) = \sigma^K_{\Sigma_2}(h^{-1}_{\Sigma_2}(y)), \quad y \in X_{\Sigma_2}.
\]
In the proof of (i) \implies (ii) of Proposition \ref{prop:4.2} we may take $k_1(x) = K, l_1(x) = K + 1$ for all $x \in X_{\Sigma_1}$. It then follows that
\[
k^p_1(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{p-1} k_1(\sigma^n_{\Sigma_1}(x)) = p \cdot K
\]
and $l^p_1(x) = p \cdot (K + 1)$ similarly. Hence we have $c^p_1(x) = p, c^q_1(z) = q$ so that
\[
\varphi(x, p - q, z) = (h_{\Sigma_1}(x), p - q, h_{\Sigma_2}(z)).
\]
Therefore
\[
(c_{\Sigma_2} \circ \varphi)(x, p - q, z) = c_{\Sigma_2}(h_{\Sigma_1}(x), p - q, h_{\Sigma_2}(z)) = p - q = c_{\Sigma_1}(x, p - q, z),
\]
and hence $c_{\Sigma_2} \circ \varphi = c_{\Sigma_1}$.

By Proposition \ref{prop:3.3} and Proposition \ref{prop:5.2} we give a proof of Theorem \ref{thm:1.3}

\textbf{Proof of Theorem \ref{thm:1.3}} The equivalence between (i) and (ii) follows from Proposition \ref{prop:5.2}

Assume the condition (ii). By Proposition \ref{prop:3.3} (i) \implies (ii), there exists an isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_2}$ of $C^*$-algebras such that $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_1}) = \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_2}$ and $\Phi \circ \rho^t_{\Sigma_1} = \rho^t_{\Sigma_2} \circ \Phi$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$. The isomorphism $\tilde{\Phi} : \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_2}$ defined in the proof of Proposition \ref{prop:3.3} (i) \implies (ii) satisfies $\Phi(f) = f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ for $f \in C_c(G_{\Sigma_1})$. Hence the additional condition $\pi_{\Sigma_2} \circ \varphi |_{X_{\Sigma_1}} = h \circ \pi_{\Sigma_1}$ ensures the condition $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_1}) = \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_2}$, showing the assertion (iii).

Conversely, assume the condition (iii). By Lemma \ref{lem:2.3} the isomorphism $\Phi : \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_2}$ such that $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_1}) = \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_2}$ satisfies $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_1}) = \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_2}$. By Proposition \ref{prop:3.3} (ii) \implies (i), there exists an isomorphism $\varphi : G_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow G_{\Sigma_2}$ of étale groupoids such that $c_{\Sigma_2} \circ \varphi = c_{\Sigma_1}$. Since $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_1}) = \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_2}$ and the algebras $\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_i}$ are naturally identified with $C(X_{\Sigma_i}), i = 1, 2$ respectively. There exists a homeomorphism $h : X_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_2}$ such that $\Phi(f) = f \circ h^{-1}$ for $f \in C(X_{\Sigma_1})$. As $\Phi(\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_1}) = \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_2}$ and the inclusion $\mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_1} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma_2}$ is induced by the factor map $\pi_{\Sigma_i} : X_{\Sigma_i} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_i}, i = 1, 2$ we know that $\pi_{\Sigma_2} \circ \varphi |_{X_{\Sigma_1}} = h \circ \pi_{\Sigma_1}$. Hence we get the assertion (ii).

\textbf{6 Stabilization of }\lambda\text{-graph systems}

For a left-resolving $\lambda$-graph system $\Sigma = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ over $\Sigma$, we will construct its stabilization $\tilde{\Sigma} = (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E}, \tilde{\lambda}, \tilde{\iota})$ and the associated groupoid $G_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ such that its groupoid $C^*$-algebra $C^*(G_{\tilde{\Sigma}})$ which is written $\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$, its canonical maximal abelian $C^*$-subalgebra $\mathcal{D}_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$, and its gauge action $\rho_{\tilde{\Sigma}}$ are isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_G \otimes \mathcal{K}, \mathcal{D}_{\Sigma} \otimes \mathcal{C}$, and $\rho_{\Sigma} \otimes \text{id}$, respectively. The idea of the construction of $\tilde{\Sigma}$ is essentially due to the Tomforde’s construction for directed graphs.
in [23]. For each vertex \( v_i^l \in V_i \) in \( \mathcal{L} \), we set \( v_i^l(0) = v_i^l \) and attach a finite sequence of edges \( e_{k,v_i^l}, k = 1, 2, \ldots, p \) and vertices \( v_i^l(k), k = 1, 2, \ldots, p \) such as
\[
v_i^l(p) \xrightarrow{e_{p,v_i^l}} v_i^l(p-1) \xrightarrow{e_{p-1,v_i^l}} \cdots \xrightarrow{e_{3,v_i^l}} v_i^l(2) \xrightarrow{e_{2,v_i^l}} v_i^l(1) \xrightarrow{e_{1,v_i^l}} v_i^l(0) = v_i^l
\]
Hence the new edges and new vertices satisfy
\[
s(e_{n,v_i^l}) = v_i^l(n), \quad t(e_{n,v_i^l}) = v_i^l(n-1) \quad \text{for} \quad n = 1, 2, \ldots, p.
\]
They are called a head of the vertex \( v_i^l \). For an \( \iota \)-orbit \( u = (u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \in \Omega_{\mathcal{L}} \), we may consider a sequence of heads
\[
u^n(p) \xrightarrow{e_{p,u^n}} u^n(p-1) \xrightarrow{e_{p-1,u^n}} \cdots \xrightarrow{e_{3,u^n}} u^n(2) \xrightarrow{e_{2,u^n}} u^n(1) \xrightarrow{e_{1,u^n}} u^n(0) = u^n,
\]
and extend the \( \iota \)-map to the heads by setting
\[
\tilde{\iota}(u^{n+1}(k)) = u^n(k), \quad k = 1, \ldots, p.
\]
Then a head of the \( \iota \)-orbit \( u = (u^n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \in \Omega_{\mathcal{L}} \) is defined and written
\[
u(p) \xrightarrow{e_{p,u}} u(p-1) \xrightarrow{e_{p-1,u}} \cdots \xrightarrow{e_{3,u}} u(2) \xrightarrow{e_{2,u}} u(1) \xrightarrow{e_{1,u}} u(0) = u.
\]
For \( x = (\alpha_i, u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\mathcal{L}} \) so that \((u_i, \alpha_{i+1}, u_{i+1}) \in E_{\mathcal{L}} \) and \((u_0, \alpha_1, u_1) \in E_{\mathcal{L}} \) for some \( u_0 \in \Omega_{\mathcal{L}} \), and \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), define \( e_{[p]}x \) by
\[
u_0(p) \xrightarrow{e_{p,u_0}} u_0(p-1) \xrightarrow{e_{p-1,u_0}} \cdots \xrightarrow{e_{3,u_0}} u_0(2) \xrightarrow{e_{2,u_0}} u_0(1) \xrightarrow{e_{1,u_0}} u_0(0) = u_0.
\]
We define the shift \( \sigma^k \mathcal{L}, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) on \( e_{[p]}x \) by
\[
\sigma^k \mathcal{L}(e_{[p]}x) = \begin{cases} e_{[p-k]}x & \text{if} \ p > k, \\ e_{\mathcal{L}}(x) & \text{if} \ p \leq k. \end{cases}
\]
Define the groupoid \( G_{\mathcal{L}} \) by setting
\[
G_{\mathcal{L}} = \{(e_{[p]}x, p-q, n, e_{[q]}z) \mid (x, n, z) \in G_{\mathcal{L}}, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}. \quad (6.1)
\]
The product and the inverse are defined by
\[
(e_{[p]}x, p-q, n, e_{[q]}z) \cdot (e_{[p']}x', p'-q', n', e_{[q']}z') = (e_{[p]}x, p-q, n+n', e_{[q]}z')
\]
if \( q = p' \), \( z = x' \), and
\[
(e_{[p]}x, p-q, n, e_{[q]}z)^{-1} = (e_{[q]}z, q-p, -n, e_{[p]}x).
\]
The unit space \( G^0_{\mathcal{L}} \) is defined by
\[
G^0_{\mathcal{L}} = \{(e_{[p]}x, 0, 0, e_{[q]}x) \in G_{\mathcal{L}} \mid x \in X_{\mathcal{L}}, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}. \quad (6.2)
\]
Define the groupoid homomorphism \( c_{\mathcal{L}} : G_{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) by setting
\[
c_{\mathcal{L}}(e_{[p]}x, p-q, n, e_{[q]}z) = n-p+q, \quad (e_{[p]}x, p-q, n, e_{[q]}z) \in G_{\mathcal{L}}. \quad (6.3)
\]
Let \( G_{Z_+} \) be the étale groupoid \( \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \) defined by the groupoid operations
\[
(m, n) \cdot (k, l) = (m, k) \quad \text{if } n = k,
\]
\[
(m, n)^{-1} = (n, m) \quad \text{for } (m, n), (k, l) \in G_{Z_+}.
\]
The set \( G_{Z_+} \) is endowed with discrete topology. It is easy to see that the correspondence
\[
(e_{[p]}^p x, p - q, n, e_{[q]}^q z) \in G_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \longrightarrow ((x, n, z), (p, q)) \in G_{\Sigma} \times G_{Z_+}
\]
yields an isomorphism of groupoids. Through this isomorphism, we may endow \( G_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \) with a topology of \( G_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \) induced by \( G_{\Sigma} \times G_{Z_+} \), so that \( G_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \) is isomorphic to the product groupoid \( G_{\Sigma} \times G_{Z_+} \) as étale groupoids. The unit space \( G_{\tilde{\Sigma}}^0 \) is naturally identified with \( X_{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \).

Let \( X_{\Lambda} \) be the one-sided subshift presented by \( \Sigma \). For \( \alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Lambda} \) and \( p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \), we similarly define the head \( e_{[p]}^p \alpha \) of \( (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \) with length \( p \) and define the space
\[
\tilde{X}_{\Lambda} = \{ e_{[p]}^p \alpha \mid \alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Lambda}, p \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \}
\]
that is identified with \( X_{\Lambda} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \) with its product topology. Hence there exists a natural continuous surjection
\[
\tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma} : G_{\Sigma}^0 = X_{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \longrightarrow \tilde{X}_{\Lambda} = X_{\Lambda} \times \mathbb{Z}_+
\]
that is defined by \( \tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma}(x, m) = (\pi_{\Sigma}(x), m) \) for \( (x, m) \in X_{\Sigma} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \). We then see that there exists an inclusion relation
\[
C_0(\tilde{X}_{\Lambda}) = C(X_{\Lambda}) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{Z}_+) \hookrightarrow C(X_{\Sigma}) \otimes C_0(\mathbb{Z}_+) = C^*(G_{\tilde{\Sigma}})
\]
induced by \( \tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma} : G_{\Sigma}^0 \longrightarrow \tilde{X}_{\Lambda} \).

Let \( f : G_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) be a continuous groupoid homomorphism. Define a continuous groupoid homomorphism \( \tilde{f} : G_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) by setting
\[
\tilde{f}(e_{[p]}^p x, p - q, n, e_{[q]}^q z) = f(x, n, z) \quad \text{for } (e_{[p]}^p x, p - q, n, e_{[q]}^q z) \in G_{\tilde{\Sigma}}.
\]
Recall that \( \mathcal{K} \) denotes the \( C^* \)-algebra of compact operators on the separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+) \) and \( \mathcal{C}(= C_0(\mathbb{Z}_+)) \) its maximal commutative \( C^* \)-subalgebra consisting of diagonal operators on \( \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}_+) \). Denote by \( C^*(G_{\tilde{\Sigma}}) \) the \( C^* \)-algebra of the étale groupoid \( G_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \).

**Proposition 6.1.** There exists an isomorphism \( \Theta : C^*(G_{\tilde{\Sigma}}) \longrightarrow C^*(G_{\Sigma}) \otimes \mathcal{K} \) of \( C^* \)-algebras such that \( \Theta(C^*(G_{\tilde{\Sigma}})) = C(X_{\Sigma}) \otimes \mathcal{C}, \Theta(C_0(\tilde{X}_{\Lambda})) = C(X_{\Lambda}) \otimes \mathcal{C} \) and \( \Theta \circ \rho_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \tilde{f} = \Theta \circ \rho_0 f \otimes \text{id} \otimes \Theta \) for a continuous homomorphism \( f : G_{\Sigma} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \) and \( t \in \mathbb{R} \), where \( C_0(\tilde{X}_{\Lambda}) \) is regarded as a subalgebra of \( C^*(G_{\tilde{\Sigma}}) \).

**Proof.** Let \( \{ q_{p,q} \}_{p,q \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \) be the matrix units of \( \mathcal{K} \). For \( \mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_k), \nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_m) \in B_\Sigma(X_{\Lambda}) \) and \( v^l_i \in V_l \) with \( k, m \leq l \), let \( U(e_{[p]}^p \mu, v^l_i, e_{[q]}^q \nu) \) be the clopen set of \( G_{\Sigma} \) defined by
\[
U(e_{[p]}^p \mu, v^l_i, e_{[q]}^q \nu) = \{ (e_{[p]}^p x, p - q, n, e_{[q]}^q z) \in G_{\tilde{\Sigma}} \mid (x, n, z) \in U(\mu, v^l_i, \nu) \}.
\]

\[19\]
It is straightforward to see that the correspondence

\[ \chi_U(e_{[\mu]}^t e_{[\nu]}^s) \in C^*(G_{\bar{\Sigma}}) \to S_{[\nu]}^t S_{[\mu]}^s \otimes \theta_{p,q} \in C^*(G_C) \otimes K \]

gives rise to an isomorphism \( \Theta : C^*(G_{\bar{\Sigma}}) \to C^*(G_C) \otimes K \) of \( C^* \)-algebras satisfying the desired properties.

Let us note that for a left-resolving \( \lambda \)-graph system \( \mathcal{L} \) satisfying condition (I), Lemma 2.5 tells us that the subalgebra \((\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C})' \cap (\mathcal{O} \otimes K)\) of elements of \( \mathcal{O} \otimes K \) commuting with all elements of \( \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C} \) coincides with \( \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C} \), that is,

\[ (\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C})' \cap (\mathcal{O} \otimes K) = \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{C}. \]

Hence if there exists an isomorphism \( \tilde{\Phi} : \mathcal{O}_{\bar{\Sigma}} \otimes K \to \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma} \otimes K \) of \( C^* \)-algebras such that \( \tilde{\Phi}(\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{D}_2 \otimes \mathcal{C} \), then \( \tilde{\Phi}(\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes \math{C}) = \mathcal{D}_2 \otimes \mathcal{C} \) holds. By using Proposition 6.1, the following proposition can be proved in a similar way as equivalence between (ii) \( \iff \) (iii) in Theorem 1.3. Hence we omit its proof.

**Proposition 6.2.** Let \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) and \( \mathcal{L}_2 \) be left-resolving \( \lambda \)-graph systems satisfying condition (I). Then the following are equivalent:

(i) There exist an isomorphism \( \tilde{\varphi} : G_{\bar{\Sigma}_1} \to G_{\bar{\Sigma}_2} \) of \( \acute{e} \)tale groupoids and a homeomorphism \( \tilde{h} : \bar{X}_{\Lambda_1} \to \bar{X}_{\Lambda_2} \) such that \( \tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi}|_{G_0^{\bar{\Sigma}_1}} = \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma_2} \) and \( c_{\bar{\Sigma}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = c_{\bar{\Sigma}_1} \).

(ii) There exists an isomorphism \( \tilde{\Phi} : \mathcal{O}_{\bar{\Sigma}_1} \otimes K \to \mathcal{O}_{\Sigma_2} \otimes K \) of \( C^* \)-algebras such that

\[ \tilde{\Phi}(\mathcal{D}_1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) = \mathcal{D}_2 \otimes \mathcal{C}, \quad \tilde{\Phi} \circ (\rho_t^1 \otimes \text{id}) = (\rho_t^2 \otimes \text{id}) \circ \tilde{\Phi}, \quad t \in T. \]

### 7 Two-sided conjugacy

Let \( \mathcal{L} \) be a left-resolving \( \lambda \)-graph system over \( \Sigma \) satisfying condition (I). Denote by \( (X_{\Sigma}, \sigma_{\Sigma}) \) the associated topological dynamical system. Define the shift space \( \bar{X}_{\Sigma} \) of the topological dynamical system \((\bar{X}_{\Sigma}, \bar{\sigma}_{\Sigma})\) by setting

\[ \bar{X}_{\Sigma} = \{ (\alpha_i, u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\Sigma \times \Omega_{\Sigma}) \mid (\alpha_{i+k}, u_{i+k})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X_{\Sigma} \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{Z} \} \quad (7.1) \]

and

\[ \bar{\sigma}_{\Sigma}(\alpha_i, u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} = (\alpha_{i+1}, u_{i+1})_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}. \quad (7.2) \]

We endow \( \bar{X}_{\Sigma} \) with the relative topology from the product topology of \( \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (\Sigma \times \Omega_{\Sigma}) \), so that \( \bar{X}_{\Sigma} \) is a compact Hausdorff space. Hence we have a topological dynamical system \((\bar{X}_{\Sigma}, \bar{\sigma}_{\Sigma})\) with a homeomorphism \( \bar{\sigma}_{\Sigma} \) on the compact Hausdorff space \( \bar{X}_{\Sigma} \). It is a two-sided extension of \((X_{\Sigma}, \sigma_{\Sigma})\). Let \((\bar{X}_{\Lambda}, \bar{\sigma}_{\Lambda})\) be the right one-sided subshift presented by \( \mathcal{L} \). We then have the shift space \( \bar{X}_{\Lambda} \) and the homeomorphism \( \bar{\sigma}_{\Lambda} \) of the two-sided subshift \((\bar{X}_{\Lambda}, \bar{\sigma}_{\Lambda})\) in a similar way to (7.1) and (7.2). The two-sided subshift \((\bar{X}_{\Lambda}, \bar{\sigma}_{\Lambda})\) is written as \((\Lambda, \sigma)\) or \( \Lambda \) for short. For \( x = (\alpha_i, u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \bar{X}_{\Sigma}, \alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in \Lambda} \in \Lambda \) and \( k, l \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( k < l \), we set

\[ x_{[k,l]} = (\alpha_i, u_i)_{i=k}^l, \quad \alpha_{[k,l]} = (\alpha_i)_{i=k}^l, \quad x_{[k,\infty)} = (\alpha_i, u_i)_{i=k}^\infty, \quad \alpha_{[k,\infty)} = (\alpha_i)_{i=k}^\infty. \]
Definition 7.1. Two topological dynamical systems \((
abla_1, \sigma_1)\) and \((\nabla_2, \sigma_2)\) are said to be \textit{right asymptotically topologically conjugate} if there exists a topological conjugacy \(\psi: \nabla_1 \to \nabla_2\), that is \(\psi \circ \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \circ \psi\), such that

(i) for \(m \in \mathbb{Z}\), there exists \(M \in \mathbb{Z}\) such that \(x_{[m, \infty)} = z_{[M, \infty)}\) implies \(\psi(x)_{[m, \infty)} = \psi(z)_{[M, \infty)}\) for \(x, z \in \nabla_1\).

(ii) for \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\), there exists \(N \in \mathbb{Z}\) such that \(y_{[N, \infty)} = w_{[N, \infty)}\) implies \(\psi^{-1}(y)_{[n, \infty)} = \psi^{-1}(w)_{[n, \infty)}\) for \(y, w \in \nabla_2\).

In this case, we call \(\psi: \nabla_1 \to \nabla_2\) a \textit{right asymptotic conjugacy}.

For two \(\lambda\)-graph systems \((\Lambda_i, i = 1, 2)\), let us denote by \((\Lambda_i, \sigma_i)\) the two-sided subshift \((\nabla_{\Lambda_i}, \sigma_{\Lambda_i})\). Let us denote by \(B_k(\Lambda_i)\) the set of admissible words \(B_k(\nabla_{\Lambda_i})\) of \(\Lambda_i\) with length \(k\).

Let \(\bar{\pi}_i: \nabla_{\Lambda_i} \to \Lambda_i\) be the canonical factor map defined by \(\bar{\pi}_i((\alpha_i, u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}) = (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda_i\) for \(i = 1, 2\). The one-sided factor maps \(\pi_{\Lambda_i}: \nabla_{\Lambda_i} \to \nabla_{\Lambda_i}, i = 1, 2\) are simply denoted by \(\pi_i, i = 1, 2\).

Definition 7.2. The two subshifts \((\Lambda_1, \sigma_1)\) and \((\Lambda_2, \sigma_2)\) are said to be \((\nabla_1, \nabla_2)\)-\textit{conjugate} if there exists a right asymptotic conjugacy \(\psi\): \(\nabla_1 \to \nabla_2\) and a topological conjugacy \(\psi_\Lambda: \Lambda_1 \to \Lambda_2\) such that the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\nabla_{\Lambda_1} & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \nabla_{\Lambda_2} \\
\bar{\pi}_1 & \downarrow & \bar{\pi}_2 \\
\Lambda_1 & \xrightarrow{\psi_\Lambda} & \Lambda_2
\end{array}
\]

commutes, that is \(\bar{\pi}_2 \circ \psi = \psi_\Lambda \circ \bar{\pi}_1\).

Lemma 7.3. Suppose that \((\Lambda_1, \sigma_1)\) and \((\Lambda_2, \sigma_2)\) are \((\nabla_1, \nabla_2)\)-\textit{conjugate}. Keep the above notation. The topological conjugacies \(\psi\): \(\nabla_1 \to \nabla_2\) and \(\psi_\Lambda: \Lambda_1 \to \Lambda_2\) satisfy the following properties:

(i) There exists \(M_1 \in \mathbb{Z}\) such that

(a) \(x_{[m-M_1, \infty)} = z_{[m-M_1, \infty)}\) implies \(\psi(x)_{[m, \infty)} = \psi(z)_{[m, \infty)}\) for \(x, z \in \nabla_1\) and \(m \in \mathbb{Z}\),

(b) \(a_{[m-M_1, \infty)} = b_{[m-M_1, \infty)}\) implies \(\psi_\Lambda(a)_{[m, \infty)} = \psi_\Lambda(b)_{[m, \infty)}\) for \(a, b \in \Lambda_1\) and \(m \in \mathbb{Z}\).

(ii) There exists \(N_1 \in \mathbb{Z}\) such that

(a) \(y_{[n-N_1, \infty)} = w_{[n-N_1, \infty)}\) implies \(\psi^{-1}(y)_{[n, \infty)} = \psi^{-1}(w)_{[n, \infty)}\) for \(y, w \in \nabla_2\) and \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\),

(b) \(c_{[n-N_1, \infty)} = d_{[n-N_1, \infty)}\) implies \(\psi_\Lambda^{-1}(c)_{[n, \infty)} = \psi_\Lambda^{-1}(d)_{[n, \infty)}\) for \(c, d \in \Lambda_2\) and \(n \in \mathbb{Z}\).

Proof. The assertions concerning \(\psi, \psi^{-1}\) follow from the conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 7.1 with the condition \(\psi \circ \sigma_1 = \sigma_2 \circ \psi\). The assertions concerning \(\psi_\Lambda, \psi_\Lambda^{-1}\) follow from the fact that \(\psi_\Lambda, \psi_\Lambda^{-1}\) are sliding block codes (cf. [12]). \(\square\)
Recall that $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}$ denote the stabilization of $\mathfrak{L}$. The following theorem combined with Proposition 6.2 implies Theorem 1.4.

**Theorem 7.4.** Let $\mathfrak{L}_1$ and $\mathfrak{L}_2$ be left-resolving $\lambda$-graph systems satisfying condition (I). Let $(\Lambda_1, \sigma_1)$ and $(\Lambda_2, \sigma_2)$ be their two-sided subshifts presented by $\mathfrak{L}_1$ and $\mathfrak{L}_2$, respectively. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) The two subshifts $(\Lambda_1, \sigma_1)$ and $(\Lambda_2, \sigma_2)$ are $(\mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_2)$-conjugate.

(ii) There exist an isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}: G_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \to G_{\mathfrak{L}_2}$ of étale groupoids and a homeomorphism $\tilde{h}: \tilde{X}_{\Lambda_1} \to \tilde{X}_{\Lambda_2}$ such that $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi}|_{G_{\mathfrak{L}_1}} = \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\pi}_{\mathfrak{L}_1}$ and $c_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = c_{\mathfrak{L}_1}$.

We will first prove (i) $\implies$ (iii). Suppose that the subshifts $(\Lambda_1, \sigma_1)$ and $(\Lambda_2, \sigma_2)$ are $(\mathfrak{L}_1, \mathfrak{L}_2)$-conjugate. There exists a right asymptotic conjugacy $\psi_\lambda: \tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \to \tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{L}_2}$ and a topological conjugacy $\psi_\Lambda: \Lambda_1 \to \Lambda_2$ such that $\pi_2 \circ \psi_\mathfrak{L} = \psi_\lambda \circ \pi_1$. One may find $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = (x'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then $\psi_\mathfrak{L} ((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = (\psi_\mathfrak{L} (x'_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $x' = (x'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \tilde{X}_{\mathfrak{L}_1}$. By taking $\sigma_\mathfrak{L}^M \circ \psi_\mathfrak{L}$ instead of $\psi_\mathfrak{L}$, we may assume that $M = 0$. We then find $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that if $(y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\mathfrak{L}_2}$, then $\psi_\mathfrak{L}^{-1} ((y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = (\psi_\mathfrak{L}^{-1} (y'_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $y = (y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $y' = (y'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X_{\mathfrak{L}_2}$. Hence there exists a continuous surjection $\psi_\mathfrak{L}: X_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \to X_{\mathfrak{L}_2}$ such that $\psi_\mathfrak{L}((x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}) = (\psi_\mathfrak{L} (x'_n))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X_{\mathfrak{L}_1}$ such that $\psi_\mathfrak{L} \circ \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}_1} = \sigma_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \circ \psi_\mathfrak{L}$. It has a property such that if $\psi_\mathfrak{L} (x) = \psi_\mathfrak{L} (x')$ for $x, x' \in X_{\mathfrak{L}_1}$, then $x_{[l, \infty)} = x'_{[l, \infty)}$. Since $\psi_\Lambda: \Lambda_1 \to \Lambda_2$ is a topological conjugacy, it is a sliding block code (see [12]) so that we can find $L \geq l$ such that

$$a_{[1,L]} = b_{[1,L]} \implies \psi_\Lambda (a)_{[1,L]} = \psi_\Lambda (b)_{[1,L]} \text{ for } a, b \in \Lambda_1.$$

Let $v_1^L, \ldots, v_{m(L)}^L$ be the set of vertices $V_L$. Let $B_L(\Lambda_1, v_i^L), i = 1, \ldots, m(L)$ be the set of admissible words of $\Lambda_1$ of length $L$ defined by

$$B_L(\Lambda_1, v_i^L) = \{(\alpha_n)_{n = 1}^L \in B_L(\Lambda_1) \mid \text{ there exists } (\alpha_n, u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathfrak{L}_2, u_L^L = v_i^L\}$$

where $u_L = (u_n^L)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+} \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{L}_1}$. The set $B_L(\Lambda_1, v_i^L)$ consists of admissible words of the subshift $\Lambda_1$ of length $L$ terminating at the vertex $v_i^L$.

We fix a vertex $v_i^L \in V_L$ for a while. Consider a relation $\sim$ on $B_L(\Lambda_1)$ in the following way. Two words $\mu, \mu' \in B_L(\Lambda_1, v_i^L)$ are written $\mu \sim \mu'$ if there exist $x = (\alpha_n, u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, x' = (\alpha'_n, u'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathfrak{L}_2$ such that

$$\mu = (\alpha_n)_{n = 1}^L, \mu' = (\alpha'_n)_{n = 1}^L, u_L^L = u'_L = v_i^L \text{ and } \psi_\mathfrak{L} (x) = \psi_\mathfrak{L} (x'),$$

where $u_L = (u_n^L)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+}, u'_L = (u'_n^L)_{n \in \mathbb{N}^+} \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{L}_1}$.

In order to prove implication (i) $\implies$ (ii), we first show Lemma 7.5, Lemma 7.6 and Lemma 7.7.

**Lemma 7.5.** $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $B_L(\Lambda_1, v_i^L)$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $\mu \sim \mu'$ and $\mu' \sim \mu''$ in $B_L(\Lambda_1, v_i^L)$. Take $x = (\alpha_n, u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, x' = (\alpha'_n, u'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\mathfrak{L}_1}$ such that $\mu = (\alpha_n)_{n = 1}^L, \mu' = (\alpha'_n)_{n = 1}^L, u_L^L = u'_L = v_i^L$ and $\psi_\mathfrak{L} (x) = \psi_\mathfrak{L} (x')$. Similarly by the condition $\mu' \sim \mu''$, take $z = (\beta_n, w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, z' = (\beta'_n, w'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\mathfrak{L}_1}$ such that $\mu' = (\beta_n)_{n = 1}^L, \mu'' = (\beta'_n)_{n = 1}^L, w_L^L = w'_L = v_i^L$ and $\psi_\mathfrak{L} (z) = \psi_\mathfrak{L} (z')$. Since $\mathfrak{L}_1$ is
left-resolving, the condition \( \mu' = (\alpha'_n)^L_{n=1} = (\beta_n)^L_{n=1} \) and \( L \geq l \) implies that there exist unique \( \bar{x}, \bar{z} \in X_{\mathcal{L}_1} \) such that

\[
\pi_1(\bar{x})_{[1,L]} = \mu, \quad x_{(L+1,\infty)} = x'_L \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_1(\bar{z})_{[1,L]} = \mu'', \quad z_{(L+1,\infty)} = x'_L.
\]

By the conditions \( \psi_0(x) = \psi_0(x') \) and \( \psi_0(z) = \psi_0(z') \), we have \( x_{[l,\infty)} = x'_L \) and \( z_{[l,\infty)} = \bar{z}'_{[l,\infty)} \), respectively. Hence we have \( u_L = u'_L \) in \( \Omega_{\mathcal{L}_1} \) and \( \mu_{[l,L]} = \mu'_{[l,L]} \), and similarly \( w_L = w'_L \) in \( \Omega_{\mathcal{L}_1} \) and \( \mu''_{[l,L]} = \mu''_{[l,L]} \), so that

\[
\mu_{[l,L]} = \mu''_{[l,L]} \quad \text{by} \quad (7.3)
\]

As \( x_{[l,\infty)} = x'_L \) and \( l \leq L \), we see that \( x_{[L+1,\infty)} = x'_L \), so that we have

\[
\tilde{x}_{[l,\infty)} = \tilde{x}'_{[L+1,\infty)} = \tilde{x}_{[L+1,\infty)}.
\]

Where \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) is left-resolving, we see that \( \tilde{x}'_{[L+1,\infty)} = \tilde{x}_{[L+1,\infty)} \). Hence we have

\[
\tilde{x}_{[l,\infty)} = \tilde{x}_{[l,\infty)}.
\]

As \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) is left-resolving and \( \pi_1(\bar{x})_{[1,L]} = \mu = \pi_1(x)|_{[1,L]} \), we have

\[
x = \tilde{x}'_{[L+1,\infty)} = \tilde{x}'_{[L+1,\infty)} = \tilde{x}'_{[L+1,\infty)} = x.
\]

We also have

\[
\psi_0(\bar{x})_{[l,\infty)} = \sigma^{l-1}_{\mathcal{L}_2}(\psi_0(\bar{x})) = \psi_0(\sigma^{l-1}_{\mathcal{L}_2}(\bar{x})) = \psi_0(\bar{x}_{[l,\infty)}),
\]

\[
\psi_0(\bar{z})_{[l,\infty)} = \sigma^{l-1}_{\mathcal{L}_2}(\psi_0(\bar{z})) = \psi_0(\sigma^{l-1}_{\mathcal{L}_2}(\bar{z})) = \psi_0(\bar{z}_{[l,\infty)}).
\]

Since \( \bar{x} = x \) and \( x_{[l,\infty)} = \bar{z}_{[l,\infty)} \), we have

\[
\psi_0(\bar{x})_{[l,\infty)} = \psi_0(\bar{z})_{[l,\infty)}.
\]

And also

\[
\pi_1(\bar{x})_{[1,L]} = \mu = \pi_1(x)|_{[1,L]}, \quad \pi_1(\bar{z})_{[1,L]} = \mu'' = \pi_1(z')|_{[1,L]}.
\]

Hence we have

\[
\psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(\bar{x}))_{[1,l]} = \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(x))_{[1,l]}, \quad \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(\bar{z}))_{[1,l]} = \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(z'))_{[1,l]}.
\]

(7.5)

It then follows that

\[
\pi_2(\psi_0(\bar{x}))_{[1,l]} = \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(\bar{x}))_{[1,l]} = \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(x))_{[1,l]} \quad \text{by} \quad (7.5)
\]

\[
= \pi_2(\psi_0(x))_{[1,l]} = \pi_2(\psi_0(x'))_{[1,l]} \quad \text{by} \quad \psi_0(x) = \psi_0(x')
\]

\[
= \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(x'))_{[1,l]} = \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(z'))_{[1,l]} \quad \text{by} \quad \pi_1(x')_{[1,l]} = \mu' = \pi_1(z')_{[1,l]}
\]

so that

\[
\pi_2(\psi_0(\bar{x}))_{[1,l]} = \pi_2(\psi_0(\bar{z}))_{[1,l]}.
\]

(7.6)

By (7.4) and (7.6), we reach the equality \( \psi_0(\bar{x}) = \psi_0(\bar{z}) \) because \( \mathcal{L}_1 \) is left-resolving. Since \( \bar{x}_{[1,L]} = \mu, \bar{z}_{[1,L]} = \mu'' \), we conclude that \( \mu \sim \mu'' \) so that \( \sim \) is an equivalence relation on \( B_L(\Lambda_1, v'_1) \). \[\square\]
Lemma 7.6. For \( x, x' \in X_{\Sigma_1} \), we have \( \psi_0(x) = \psi_0(x') \) if and only if \( x_{[t,\infty)} = x'_{[t,\infty)} \) and \( \pi_1(x)_{[1,L]} \sim \pi_1(x')_{[1,L]} \) in \( B_L(\Lambda_1, v_L^t) \) for some \( v_L^t \in V_L \).

Proof. Let \( x = (\alpha_n, u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, x' = (\alpha'_n, u'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Sigma_1} \). We will first show the only if part. Suppose that \( \psi_0(x) = \psi_0(x') \). Hence we have \( x_{[t,\infty)} = x'_{[t,\infty)} \). As \( L \geq l \), we have \( u_l = u'_l \) and particularly we may put \( v_L^t = u_L^t = u'_L^t \in V_L \), where \( u_L = (u_n^t)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, u'_L = (u'_n^t)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \). Hence \( \pi_1(x)_{[1,L]} = \pi_1(x')_{[1,L]} \in B_L(\Lambda_1, v_L^t) \). Since \( \psi_0(x) = \psi_0(x') \), we see that \( \pi_1(x)_{[1,L]} \sim \pi_1(x')_{[1,L]} \) in \( B_L(\Lambda_1, v_L^t) \).

We will next show the if part as follows. Suppose that \( \pi_1(x)_{[1,L]} \sim \pi_1(x')_{[1,L]} \) and \( x_{[t,\infty)} = x'_{[t,\infty)} \). One may find \( z, z' \in X_{\Sigma_1} \) such that \( \pi_1(z)_{[1,L]} = \pi_1(x)_{[1,L]}, \pi_1(z')_{[1,L]} = \pi_1(x')_{[1,L]} \) and \( \psi_0(z) = \psi_0(z') \). Hence we have \( z_{[t,\infty)} = z'_{[t,\infty)} \), and

\[
\psi_0(x_{[t,\infty)}) = \sigma_{\Sigma_2}^{-1}(\psi_0(x)) = \psi_0(\sigma_{\Sigma_2}^{-1}(x)) = \psi_0(\sigma_{\Sigma_2}^{-1}(x')) = \psi_0(x'_{[t,\infty)}),
\]

\[
\pi_2(\psi_0(x))_{[1,L]} = \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(x))_{[1,L]} = \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(z))_{[1,L]} = \pi_2(\psi_0(z))_{[1,L]}
\]

Since \( \Sigma_2 \) is left-resolving, we have \( \psi_0(x) = \psi_0(x') \).

Consider the set of equivalence classes \( B_L(\Lambda_1, v_L^t)/\sim \). For \( \mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_L) \in B_L(\Lambda_1, v_L^t) \), denote by \([\mu]_{v_L}^t\) the equivalence class of \( \mu \)

\[
[\mu]_{v_L}^t = \{ \nu \in B_L(\Lambda_1, v_L^t) \mid \nu \sim \mu \}.
\]

Under fixing the class \([\mu]_{v_L}^t\), we decompose the set \( \mathbb{Z}_+ \) of nonnegative integers into disjoint subsets \( \mathbb{Z}_+(\nu; v_L^t) \), \( \nu \in [\mu]_{v_L}^t \) such that \( \mathbb{Z}_+ = \bigcup_{\nu \in [\mu]_{v_L}^t} \mathbb{Z}_+(\nu; v_L^t) \) and there exists a bijection

\[
g_{(\nu, v_L^t)} : \mathbb{Z}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+(\nu, v_L^t) \quad \text{for each} \quad \nu \in [\mu]_{v_L}^t.
\]

For \( x = (\alpha_n, u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Sigma_1} \), we write

\[
\lambda_n(x) = \alpha_n \in \Sigma, \quad v_n(x) = u_n = (u_n^t)_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \in \Omega_\Sigma \quad \text{and} \quad v_n^t(x) = u_n^t \in V_L.
\]

Hence \( v_L^t(x) = u_L^t \in V_L \), and we know that \( \pi_1(x)_{[1,L]} \in B_L(\Lambda_1, v_L^t(x)) \). Let us denote by \( g(x, L) \) the map \( g_{(\pi_1(x)_{[1,L]}^t, v_L^t)} : \mathbb{Z}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+(\pi_1(x)_{[1,L]}^t, v_L^t(x)) \).

Lemma 7.7. The map:

\[
\xi : (x, n) \in X_{\Sigma_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \longrightarrow (\psi_0(x), g(x, L)(n)) \in X_{\Sigma_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_+
\]

is a homeomorphism between \( X_{\Sigma_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \) and \( X_{\Sigma_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \).

Proof. 1. Injectivity of \( \xi \):

Suppose that \( \xi(x, n) = \xi(x', n') \), so that \( \psi_0(x) = \psi_0(x') \) and \( g(x, L)(n) = g(x', L)(n') \). As \( \psi_0(x) = \psi_0(x') \), we have \( v_L^t(x) = v_L^t(x') \) and put the vertex as \( v_L^t \). By the preceding lemma, we know that \( \pi_1(x)_{[1,L]} \sim \pi_1(x')_{[1,L]} \) in \( B_L(\Lambda_1, v_L^t) \). If \( \pi_1(x)_{[1,L]} \neq \pi_1(x')_{[1,L]} \), we see that \( g(x, L)(\mathbb{Z}_+) \cap g(x', L)(\mathbb{Z}_+) = \emptyset \), so that \( g(x, L)(n) \neq g(x', L)(n') \). Hence we get \( \pi_1(x)_{[1,L]} = \pi_1(x')_{[1,L]} \). As \( g(x, L) : \mathbb{Z}_+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+(\pi_1(x)_{[1,L]}, v_L^t) \) is bijective, we obtain that
\[ n = n' \text{ because } g(x, L)(n) = g(x', L)(n'). \] And also by the preceding lemma the condition \( \psi_0(x) = \psi_0(x') \) implies that \( x_{[t, \infty)} = x'_{[t, \infty)} \). Since \( l \leq L \), we have \( \pi_1(x)[1, l] = \pi_1(x')[1, l] \), so that we have \( x = x' \). This shows that \( \xi : X_{\Sigma_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \) is injective.

2. Surjectivity of \( \xi \): Concerning surjectivity of \( \xi \), take an arbitrary element \( (y, m) \in X_{\Sigma_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \). Since \( \psi_0 : X_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow X_{\Sigma_2} \) is surjective, one may find \( x \in X_{\Sigma_1} \) such that \( \psi_0(x) = y \). For \( \pi_1(x)[1, L] \in B_L(\Lambda_1, v^L_L(x)) \), the set \( \mathbb{Z}_+ \) is decomposed into disjoint union

\[ \mathbb{Z}_+ = \bigcup_{\nu \in [\pi_1(x)[1, L], v^L_L(x)]} \mathbb{Z}_+(\nu, v^L_L(x)). \]

One may find \( \nu \in [\pi_1(x)[1, L], v^L_L(x)] \) such that \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_+(\nu, v^L_L(x)) \). There exist \( z, z' \in X_{\Sigma_1} \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\psi_0(z) &= \psi_0(z'), \\
\pi_1(z)[1, L] &= \nu, \\
\pi_1(z')[1, L] &= \pi_1(x)[1, L], \\
v^L_L(z) &= v^L_L(z') = v^L_L(x).
\end{align*}
\]

As \( \pi_1(z)[1, L] \in B_L(\Lambda_1, v^L_L(x)) \), we may find \( \bar{x} \in X_{\Sigma_1} \) such that

\[
\begin{align*}
\pi_1(\bar{x})[1, L] &= \pi_1(z)[1, L] (= \nu), \\
\bar{x}_{[L+1, \infty)} &= x_{[L+1, \infty)}.
\end{align*}
\]

It then follows that

\[ \psi_0(\bar{x})_{[t, \infty)} = \sigma_{\Sigma_2}^{l-1}(\psi_0(\bar{x})) = \psi_0(\sigma_{\Sigma_1}^{l-1}(\bar{x})) = \psi_0(x_{[t, \infty)}). \] (7.11)

Now the condition \( \psi_0(z) = \psi_0(z') \) implies \( z_{[t, \infty)} = z'_{[t, \infty)} \) so that \( \pi_1(z)[t, \infty) = \pi_1(z')[t, \infty) \). Hence by (7.9) and (7.10), we have

\[ \pi_1(\bar{x})[t, L] = \pi_1(z)[t, L] = \pi_1(z')[t, L] = \pi_1(x)[t, L]. \] (7.12)

As \( \Sigma_1 \) is left-resolving, the equality (7.12) implies that \( \bar{x}_{[t, L]}x_{[L+1, \infty)} = x_{[t, L]}x_{[L+1, \infty)} \) so that

\[ \bar{x}_{[t, \infty)} = \bar{x}_{[t, L]}x_{[L+1, \infty)} = x_{[t, L]}x_{[L+1, \infty)} = x_{[t, \infty)}. \] (7.13)

By (7.11) and (7.13), we have

\[ \psi_0(\bar{x})_{[t, \infty)} = \psi_0(x_{[t, \infty)} = \psi_0(\sigma_{\Sigma_1}^{l-1}(x)) = \sigma_{\Sigma_2}^{l-1}(\psi_0(x)) = \psi_0(x_{[t, \infty)}). \] (7.14)

By (7.7) and (7.9) we have \( \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(z'))[1, t] = \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(x))[1, t] \) and \( \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(\bar{x}))[1, t] = \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(x))[1, t] \), respectively, so that

\[
\begin{align*}
\pi_2(\psi_0(x))[1, t] &= \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(\bar{x}))[1, t] = \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(x))[1, t] \\
&= \pi_2(\psi_0(z))[1, t] = \pi_2(\psi_0(z'))[1, t] \quad \text{(by } \psi_2(z) = \psi_2(z')) \\
&= \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(z'))[1, t] = \psi_\Lambda(\pi_1(x))[1, t] \\
&= \pi_2(\psi_0(x))[1, t]
\end{align*}
\]
so that
\[ \pi_2(\psi_0(\bar{x}))[1,l] = \pi_2(\psi_0(x))[1,l]. \] (7.15)
Since \( \mathfrak{L}_2 \) is left-resolving, the equalities (7.14) and (7.15) ensure that
\[ \psi_0(\bar{x}) = \psi_0(x). \]
Therefore we get \( \bar{x} \in X_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \) such that
\[ \psi_0(\bar{x}) = y, \quad \pi_1(\bar{x})[1,L] = \nu, \quad \pi_1(\bar{x})[1,L] \in B_L(A_1, u_L^2(x)), \]
where \( \nu \) satisfies \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_+(\nu, u_L^2(x)) \). Hence we have \( m \in \mathbb{Z}_+(\pi_1(\bar{x})[1,L], u_L^2(\bar{x})) \). Since \( g(x,L) : \mathbb{Z}_+ \to \mathbb{Z}_+(\pi_1(\bar{x})[1,L], u_L^2(\bar{x})) \) is bijective, one may find \( n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \) such that \( g(x,L)(n) = m \). We thus have
\[ (y,m) = (\psi_0(\bar{x}), g(x,L)(n)) = \xi(\bar{x}, n) \]
and hence \( \xi \) is surjective.

3. Continuity of \( \xi \):
Suppose that \( (x, n) \) and \( (x', n') \) are in a small open neighborhood in \( X_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \). We see that \( \pi_1(x)[1,L] = \pi_1(x')[1,L] \) and \( u_L^2(x) = u_L^2(x') \) and \( n = n' \). Hence we have \( g(x,L) = g(x',L) \) and particularly \( g(x,L)(n) = g(x',L)(n') \). Since \( x \) is close to \( x' \), by the continuity of \( \psi_0 \), \( \psi_0(x) \) is close to \( \psi_0(x') \). Hence we know that \( \xi : X_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \to X_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \) is continuous.

Since \( \psi_0 : X_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \to X_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \) is a homeomorphism, the continuous map \( \psi_0 : X_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \to X_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \) is a local homeomorphism by its construction. For any \( (x, n) \in X_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \), one may take an open neighborhood \( U(\pi_1(x)[1,L], u_L^2(x)) \) of \( x \) so that \( g(\pi_1(z)[1,L], u_L^2(z)) = g(\pi_1(x)[1,L], u_L^2(x)) \) for \( z \in U(\pi_1(x)[1,L], u_L^2(x)) \). Hence we know that
\[ \xi(U(\pi_1(x)[1,L], u_L^2(x)), n) = (\psi_0(U(\pi_1(x)[1,L], u_L^2(x))), n') \]
where \( n' = g(\pi_1(x)[1,L], u_L^2(x))(n) \). Since \( \psi_0 \) is a local homeomorphism, \( \psi_0(U(\pi_1(x)[1,L], u_L^2(x))) \) is open in \( X_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \) so that \( \xi(U(\pi_1(x)[1,L], u_L^2(x)), n) \) is open in \( X_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \). This shows that \( \xi \) maps an open set of \( X_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \) to an open set of \( X_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \), so that it is a homeomorphism.

We will pass to the proof of implication (i) \( \implies \) (ii) of Theorem [7.4]. Basic strategy of the proof below as well as the proof of implication (ii) \( \implies \) (i) comes from the proof of Theorem 5.1 in Carlsen–Rout’s paper [5].

Recall that \( \mathfrak{L}_i \) denote the stabilizations of \( \mathfrak{L}_1 \). We write the groupoids
\[ G_{\mathfrak{L}_1} = G_{\mathfrak{L}_2} \times G_{\mathbb{Z}_+} = \{(x,p,n,z,q) \mid (x,n+q-p,z) \in G_{\mathfrak{L}_1}, (p,q) \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_+}\}, \quad i = 1,2 \]
where \( G_{\mathbb{Z}_+} = \mathbb{Z}_+ \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \). Although the set of the right hand side above looks different from (6.1), it is actually isomorphic to the groupoid \( G_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \) defined by (6.1), because the correspondence
\[ (e[p]x, p-q, m, e[q]z) \to \{(x,p), m+p-q, (z,q) \mid (x,m,z) \in G_{\mathfrak{L}_1}, (p,q) \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_+}\} \]
from \( G_{\mathfrak{L}_1} \) to the right hand side above gives rise to an isomorphism of étale groupoids.
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Consider the following map 

\[ \tilde{\varphi} : ((x, p), n, (z, q)) \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_1} \longrightarrow (\xi(x, p), n + q + g(x, L)(p) - p - g(z, L)(q), \xi(z, q)) \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_2}, \]

where \( \xi : X_{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \longrightarrow X_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \) is the homeomorphism defined in Lemma 7.1. We will see that the map above is a well-defined isomorphism of étale groupoids. We denote \((x, p)\) by \(e[p]x\). Let \((x, p), n, (z, q)) \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_1}\), which means that there exist \(k, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+\) such that \(k - l = n\) and \(\sigma^k_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(e[p]x) = \sigma^l_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(e[q]z)\). We may assume that \(k \geq p, l \geq q\). Since \(\sigma^k_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(e[p]x) = \sigma^{k-p}_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(x)\) and \(\sigma^l_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(e[q]z) = \sigma^{l-q}_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(z)\), the condition \((x, p), n, (z, q)) \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_1}\) is equivalent to the condition that there exist \(m = k - p, m' = l - q \in \mathbb{Z}_+\) such that \(m + p - m' = q = n\) and \(\sigma^m_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(x) = \sigma^{m'}_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(z)\). As \(\xi(x, p) = (\psi_0(x), g(x, L)(p))\) and \(\xi(z, q) = (\psi_0(z), g(z, L)(q))\), we have

\[ \sigma^m_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\xi(x, p)) = \sigma^m_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(\xi(x, p)) = \psi_0(\sigma^m_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(x)), \] (7.16)

\[ \sigma^{m'}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\xi(z, q)) = \sigma^{m'}_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(\xi(z, q)) = \psi_0(\sigma^{m'}_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(z)). \] (7.17)

Since \(\sigma^m_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(x) = \sigma^{m'}_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(z)\), we have \(\sigma^m_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\xi(x, p)) = \sigma^{m'}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\xi(z, q))\) so that the element

\[ (\xi(x, p), g(x, L)(p) + m - g(z, L)(q) - m', \xi(z, q)) \]

belongs to \(G_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\). Therefore the map \(\tilde{\varphi} : G_{\mathbb{Z}_1} \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\) is well-defined.

The inverse of \(\tilde{\varphi} : G_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \longrightarrow G_{\mathbb{Z}_1}\) is given by the following way. For \((y, N, w) \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_2}\), one may find \((x, p), (z, q) \in X_{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_+\) such that \(y = \xi(x, p), w = \xi(z, q)\) in a unique way because \(\xi : X_{\mathbb{Z}_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \longrightarrow X_{\mathbb{Z}_2} \times \mathbb{Z}_+\) is a homeomorphism. Take \(K, M \in \mathbb{Z}_+\) such that \(N = K - M\) and

\[ \sigma^K_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(\xi(x, p)) = \sigma^M_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\xi(z, q)). \]

We may assume that \(K \geq g(x, L)(p), M \geq g(z, L)(q)\). Since

\[ \sigma^K_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(\xi(x, p)) = \psi_0\left(\sigma^K_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(x)\right), \]

\[ \sigma^M_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(\xi(z, q)) = \psi_0\left(\sigma^M_{\mathbb{Z}_2}(z)\right), \]

we have

\[ \sigma^l_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(\xi(x, p)) = \psi_0\left(\sigma^l_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(x)\right), \]

so that \(\sigma^l_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(\xi(x, p)) = \psi_0\left(\sigma^K_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(x)\right)\). We then have

\[ \sigma^l_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(\xi(x, p)) = \psi_0\left(\sigma^K_{\mathbb{Z}_1}(x)\right). \]

Put

\[ n = (l + K - g(x, L)(p) + p) - (l + M - g(z, L)(q) + q) = N - g(x, L)(p) + p + g(z, L)(p) - q \]

so that \(((x, p), n, (z, q)) \in G_{\mathbb{Z}_1}\) and \(n + q + g(x, L)(p) - p - g(z, L)(p) = K - M = N\). This shows that

\[ \tilde{\varphi}((x, p), n, (z, q)) = (y, N, w) \]
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proving that the map $\tilde{\varphi} : G_{\mathcal{E}_1} \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ yields an isomorphism of the étale groupoids.

Recall that the factor map

$$\tilde{\pi}_{\mathcal{E}_1} : G^0_{\mathcal{E}_1} = X_{\mathcal{E}_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_+ \longrightarrow \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{E}_1} = X_{\mathcal{E}_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad i = 1, 2$$

is defined in [3.4]. Define $\tilde{h} : \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{E}_1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ by setting $\tilde{h}(x, n) = \xi(x, n)$ for $(x, n) \in \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{E}_1}$. It is a homeomorphism by Lemma [7.1] and satisfies $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathcal{E}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi}|_{G^0_{\mathcal{E}_1}} = \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\pi}_{\mathcal{E}_1}$.

We will next show the equality $c_{\mathcal{E}_1} = c_{\mathcal{E}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi}$. For an element $((x, p), n, (z, q)) \in G_{\mathcal{E}_1}$, the definition [6.3] of $c_{\mathcal{E}_1}$ tells us that $c_{\mathcal{E}_1}((x, p), n, (z, q)) = n + q - p$. On the other hand, we see that

$$(c_{\mathcal{E}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi})((x, p), n, (z, q)) = c_{\mathcal{E}_2}((\xi(x, p), n + q + g(x, L)(p) - g(z, L)(q), \xi(z, q))$$

$$= n + q - p$$

proving $c_{\mathcal{E}_1} = c_{\mathcal{E}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi}$. We thus proved the implication (i) $\implies$ (ii).

We will second prove the implication (ii) $\implies$ (i): Suppose that there exist an isomorphism $\tilde{\varphi} : G_{\mathcal{E}_1} \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ of étale groupoids and a homeomorphism $\tilde{h} : \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{E}_1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ such that $\tilde{\pi}_{\mathcal{E}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi}|_{G^0_{\mathcal{E}_1}} = \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\pi}_{\mathcal{E}_1}$ and $c_{\mathcal{E}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = c_{\mathcal{E}_1}$. For $x \in X_{\mathcal{E}_1}$, the element $e_{\mathcal{E}_1} x = (x, 0)$ belongs to $X_{\mathcal{E}_1}$. Hence $((x, 0), 0, (x, 0))$ defines an element of the groupoid $G_{\mathcal{E}_1}$. We then have $\tilde{\varphi}((x, 0), 0, (x, 0)) = ((\psi(x), m(x)), 0, (\psi(x), m(x)))$ for some $\psi(x) \in X_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ and $m(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Since $\tilde{\varphi}$ is continuous, the maps $\psi : X_{\mathcal{E}_1} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ and $m : X_{\mathcal{E}_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ are continuous. Since $((x, 0), 1, (\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_1}(x), 0))$ yields an element of the groupoid $G_{\mathcal{E}_1}$ for $x \in X_{\mathcal{E}_1}$, the condition $c_{\mathcal{E}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = c_{\mathcal{E}_1}$ implies that

$$\tilde{\varphi}((x, 0), 1, (\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_1}(x), 0)) = ((\psi(x), m(x)), 1 + m(x) - m(\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_1}(x)), (\psi(\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_1}(x)), m(\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_1}(x))).$$

Hence there exist $p, p' \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $p \geq m(x)$, $p' \geq m(\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_1}(x))$ and

$$p - p' = 1 + m(x) - m(\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_1}(x)), \quad \sigma_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{p-m(x)}(\psi(x)) = \sigma_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{p'-m(\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_1}(x))}(\psi(\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_1}(x))).$$

By putting $l(x) = p' - m(\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_1}(x)) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{l(x)+1}(\psi(x)) = \sigma_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{l(x)}(\psi(\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_1}(x))). \quad (7.18)$$

As the function $l : X_{\mathcal{E}_1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_+$ is continuous, there exists $L \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{L+1}(\psi(x)) = \sigma_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{L}(\psi(\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_1}(x))) \quad \text{for } x \in X_{\mathcal{E}_1}.$$

Define $\varphi = \sigma_{\mathcal{E}_2}^{L} \circ \psi : X_{\mathcal{E}_1} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ which is continuous satisfying $\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_2} \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{E}_1}$. Define $\tilde{\varphi} : X_{\mathcal{E}_1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ by setting

$$\tilde{\varphi}(x)_{[k, \infty)} = \varphi(x_{[k, \infty)}) \quad \text{for } x = (x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in X_{\mathcal{E}_1}, \; k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (7.19)$$

By the condition $\sigma_{\mathcal{E}_2} \circ \varphi = \varphi \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{E}_1}$, we know that $\tilde{\varphi}(x)$ defines an element of $\tilde{X}_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ and satisfies the condition $\tilde{\varphi}_{\mathcal{E}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathcal{E}_1}$. We will show that $\tilde{\varphi} : X_{\mathcal{E}_1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ is a homeomorphism.

We will first prove that $\tilde{\varphi} : X_{\mathcal{E}_1} \rightarrow X_{\mathcal{E}_2}$ is injective. Now suppose that $\tilde{\varphi}(x) = \tilde{\varphi}(z)$ for $x, z \in X_{\mathcal{E}_1}$. This implies that $\tilde{\varphi}(x)_{[k, \infty)} = \tilde{\varphi}(z)_{[k, \infty)}$ and hence $\varphi(x_{[k, \infty)}) = \varphi(z_{[k, \infty)})$.
for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We first consider $k = 1$ and put $x' = x_{1,\infty}$, $z' = z_{1,\infty} \in X_{\mathcal{L}_1}$. Hence we know that $\varphi(x') = \varphi(z')$. There exist $m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that
\[
\tilde{\varphi}((x',0),0,(x',0)) = ((\psi(x'),m),0,\psi(x'),m)),
\tilde{\varphi}((z',0),0,(z',0)) = ((\psi(z'),m'),0,\psi(z'),m')).
\]
Since
\[
\sigma^{L^m}_{\mathcal{L}_2}(\psi(x'),m) = \sigma^{L^m}_{\mathcal{L}_2}(\psi(z')) = \sigma^{L^m}_{\mathcal{L}_2}(\psi(z'),m'),
\]
we know $((\psi(x'),m),m-m',(\psi(z'),m')) \in G_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ so that $\varphi^{-1}((\psi(x'),m),m-m',(\psi(z'),m')) \in G_{\mathcal{L}_1}$. Since $\varphi^{-1}((\psi(x'),m),m-m',(\psi(z'),m')) = ((x',0),j,(z',0))$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, we have
\[
\tilde{\varphi}((x',0),j,(z',0)) = ((\psi(x'),m),m-m',(\psi(z'),m')).
\]
By the assumption $c_{\mathcal{L}_1} = c_{\mathcal{L}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi}$, we have
\[
j = c_{\mathcal{L}_1}((x',0),j,(z',0)) = c_{\mathcal{L}_2}((\psi(x'),m),m-m',(\psi(z'),m')) = 0.
\]
Therefore we have
\[
\varphi^{-1}((\psi(x'),m),m-m',(\psi(z'),m')) = ((x',0),0,(z',0)).
\]
It follows that there exists $k' \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\sigma^{k'}_{\mathcal{L}_1}(x',0) = \sigma^{k'}_{\mathcal{L}_1}(z',0)$ so that $\sigma^{k'}_{\mathcal{L}_1}(x') = \sigma^{k'}_{\mathcal{L}_1}(z')$. Let $k'(x', z')$ be the smallest such nonnegative integer $k'$ satisfying $\sigma^{k'}_{\mathcal{L}_1}(x') = \sigma^{k'}_{\mathcal{L}_1}(z')$. As in the proof of Theorem 5.1 (I) $\implies$ (III) in Carlsen–Rout’s paper [3], one knows that the function $k'(x') \in X_{\mathcal{L}_1} \times X_{\mathcal{L}_1} | \varphi(x') = \varphi(z') \implies \mathbb{Z}_+$ is continuous on the compact subset $\{(x', z') \in X_{\mathcal{L}_1} \times X_{\mathcal{L}_1} | \varphi(x') = \varphi(z')\}$ of $X_{\mathcal{L}_1} \times X_{\mathcal{L}_1}$. Hence there exists $K \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\sigma^{K}_{\mathcal{L}_1}(x') = \sigma^{K}_{\mathcal{L}_1}(z')$, so that we have $x_{1+k,\infty} = z_{1+k,\infty}$ for all $x', z' \in X_{\mathcal{L}_1}$ satisfying $\varphi(x') = \varphi(z')$. Now the condition $\varphi(x') = \varphi(z')$ also implies $\varphi(\sigma^{K-1}_{\mathcal{L}_1}(x'_{1,\infty})) = \varphi(\sigma^{K-1}_{\mathcal{L}_1}(z'_{1,\infty}))$ for an arbitrary fixed $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Applying the above discussion for $\sigma^{k-1}_{\mathcal{L}_1}(x'_{1,\infty}), \sigma^{k-1}_{\mathcal{L}_1}(z'_{1,\infty})$ instead of $x_{1,\infty}, z_{1,\infty}$, respectively, we see that $\sigma^{k-1}_{\mathcal{L}_1}(x'_{1+k,\infty}) = \sigma^{k-1}_{\mathcal{L}_1}(z'_{1+k,\infty})$ and hence $x_{k+1,\infty} = z_{k+1,\infty}$. As $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is arbitrary, we have $x = z$, and hence $\varphi$ is injective.

We will next show that $\varphi$ is surjective. For $y \in X_{\mathcal{L}_2}$, we have $\varphi^{-1}((y,0),0,(y,0)) = ((x,n),0,(x,n))$ for some $x \in X_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Take $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\varphi((x,0),0,(x,0)) = ((\psi(x),m),0,(\psi(x),m))$. We know that $((x,0),-n,(x,n)) \in G_{\mathcal{L}_2}$. Hence $\varphi((x,0),-n,(x,n)) = ((\psi(x),m),q,(y,0))$ for some $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $c_{\mathcal{L}_2} = c_{\mathcal{L}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi}$, we have
\[
c_{\mathcal{L}_2}((x,0),-n,(x,n)) = -n - (0 - n) = 0,
(c_{\mathcal{L}_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi})(x,0),-n,(x,n)) = ((\psi(x),m),q,(y,0)) = q - m,
\]
so that $q = m$. Hence we have $\varphi((x,0),-n,(x,n)) = ((\psi(x),m),m,(y,0))$. There exist $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $p - q = m$ and $\sigma^p_{\mathcal{L}_2}(\psi(x),m) = \sigma^q_{\mathcal{L}_2}(y,0)$, so that $\sigma^p_{\mathcal{L}_2}(\psi(x)) = \sigma^q_{\mathcal{L}_2}(y)$. By a similar argument to the previous one, we may find a positive integer $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that for any $y \in X_{\mathcal{L}_2}$, there exists $x \in X_{\mathcal{L}_1}$ such that $\sigma^M_{\mathcal{L}_2}(\psi(x)) = \sigma^M_{\mathcal{L}_2}(y)$. It is routine to see that $\varphi : X_{\mathcal{L}_1} \longrightarrow X_{\mathcal{L}_2}$ is surjective.
Therefore $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{X}_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{\Sigma_2}$ is a homeomorphism satisfying $\tilde{\varphi} \circ \tilde{\sigma}_{\Sigma_1} = \tilde{\sigma}_{\Sigma_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi}$. By the construction of $\tilde{\varphi}$ given in (7.10), it is obvious that $\tilde{\varphi} : \tilde{X}_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{\Sigma_2}$ is right asymptotically topologically conjugate.

We will next define a topological conjugacy $\tilde{h} : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ in the following way. For $\alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Lambda_1}$, the element $e_{[0]} = (\alpha, 0)$ belongs to $\tilde{X}_{\Lambda_1}$, so that $\tilde{h}(\alpha, 0)$ belongs to $\tilde{X}_{\Lambda_2}$. Hence one may find $h_1(\alpha) \in X_{\Lambda_2}$ and $p_1(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ such that $\tilde{h}(\alpha, 0) = (h_1(\alpha), p_1(\alpha)) \in \tilde{X}_{\Lambda_2}$. Since $\tilde{h} : \tilde{X}_{\Lambda_1} \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{\Lambda_2}$ is continuous, so is the map $h_1 : X_{\Lambda_1} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda_2}$. Take $x = (x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in X_{\Sigma_1}$ such that $\alpha = \pi_1(x)$. Now by the equality $\tilde{\sigma}_{\Sigma_2} \circ \tilde{\varphi}|_{\Gamma_{\Sigma_1}^0} = \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\sigma}_{\Sigma_1}$, we have

$$h_1(\alpha, 0) = \tilde{h}(\alpha, 0) = \tilde{\pi}_{\Sigma_2}(\tilde{\varphi}(x), m(x)) = (\pi_2(\psi(x)), m(x)).$$

Hence we have $h_1(\alpha) = \pi_2(\psi(x))$. As $\sigma_{\Lambda_1}(\alpha) = \sigma_{\Lambda_1}(\pi_1(x)) = \pi_1(\sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x))$, we have

$$h_1(\sigma_{\Lambda_1}(\alpha)) = \pi_2(\psi(\sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x))).$$

It then follows that

$$\sigma_{\Lambda_2}^{L+1}(h_1(\alpha)) = \sigma_{\Lambda_2}^{L+1}(\pi_2(\psi(x))) = \pi_2(\sigma_{\Sigma_2}^{L+1}(\psi(x))) = \pi_2(\sigma_{\Sigma_1}^L(\psi(\sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x))))$$

$$= \sigma_{\Lambda_2}^L(\pi_2(\psi(\sigma_{\Sigma_1}(x)))) = \sigma_{\Lambda_2}^L(h_1(\sigma_{\Lambda_1}(\alpha))).$$

Define $h : X_{\Lambda_1} \rightarrow X_{\Lambda_2}$ by $h = \sigma_{\Lambda_2}^L \circ h_1$ and $\tilde{h} : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ by

$$h(\alpha)_{|k, \infty} = h(\alpha_{|k, \infty}) \quad \text{for } \alpha = (\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \Lambda_1, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

We then have a continuous map $\tilde{h} : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ such that $\tilde{h} \circ \sigma_{\Lambda_1} = \sigma_{\Lambda_2} \circ \tilde{h}$. Now it is obvious by its construction that the equality

$$\tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\pi}_1$$

holds. Since both $\tilde{\pi}_2, \tilde{\varphi}$ are surjective, we see that $\tilde{h} : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ is surjective.

Similarly we have a continuous surjection $\tilde{h}' : \Lambda_2 \rightarrow \Lambda_1$ from $\tilde{h}^{-1}$ such that

$$\tilde{\pi}_1 \circ \tilde{\varphi}^{-1} = \tilde{h}' \circ \tilde{\pi}_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7.21)

By (7.20), we have $\tilde{h}' \circ \tilde{\pi}_2 \circ \tilde{\varphi} = \tilde{h}' \circ \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\pi}_1$ so that

$$\tilde{\pi}_1 = \tilde{h}' \circ \tilde{h} \circ \tilde{\pi}_1$$

because of (7.21). As $\tilde{\pi}_1 : \tilde{X}_{\Sigma_1} \rightarrow \Lambda_1$ is surjective, the map $\tilde{h} : \Lambda_1 \rightarrow \Lambda_2$ is injective and hence a homeomorphism. We obtain that $(\Lambda_1, \sigma_1)$ and $(\Lambda_2, \sigma_2)$ are $(\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2)$-conjugate. Consequently, we complete the proof of Theorem 7.4. \hfill $\square$
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