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Abstract

One of the reasons for the tremendous success of a plane-wave approximation in particle physics is that the non-paraxial corrections to such observables as energy, magnetic moment, scattering cross section, and so on are attenuated as \( \frac{\lambda^2}{\sigma^2_\perp} \ll 1 \) where \( \sigma_\perp \) is a beam width and \( \lambda_c = \frac{\hbar}{mc} \) is a Compton wavelength. This amounts to less than \( 10^{-14} \) for modern electron accelerators and less than \( 10^{-6} \) for electron microscopes. Here we show that these corrections are \( |\ell| \) times enhanced for vortex electrons with high orbital angular momenta \( |\ell|\hbar \), which can already be as large as \( 10^3 \hbar \). We put forward the relativistic wave packets, both for vortex bosons and fermions, which transform correctly under the Lorentz boosts, are localized in a 3D space, and can be used beyond the paraxial regime. By calculating the observables, we demonstrate that it is \( \sqrt{|\ell|} \lambda_c \gg \lambda_c \) that defines a paraxial scale for such packets. With current technology, the non-paraxial corrections can reach the relative values of \( 10^{-3} \), yield a proportional increase of an invariant mass of the electron packet, describe a spin-orbit coupling as well as the quantum coherence phenomena in particle and atomic collisions.

1 Introduction

Although many properties of particles carrying orbital angular momentum (OAM) can be described within a model of a non-localized Bessel beam (akin to a plane wave) \[1,2\], it does not allow one to go beyond a paraxial approximation, which is of crucial importance for proper study of the spin-orbit phenomena and for scattering problems in atomic physics, nuclear and high-energy physics, especially when the quantum interference and coherence play an important role \[3–5\]. In collisions of massive wave packets, the non-paraxial corrections are generally attenuated as \( \frac{\lambda^2}{\sigma^2_\perp} \ll 1 \) where \( \sigma_\perp \) is a beam width and \( \lambda_c = \frac{\hbar}{mc} \) is a Compton wavelength (3.9 \( \times \) 10^{-11} cm for an electron). However, they can be enhanced when the OAM of a projectile is large, \( |\ell|\hbar \gg \hbar \) \[6\]. As a result, for well-focused beams with high OAM (the current records are \( |\ell| \sim 10^3 \) \[7\] and \( \sigma_\perp \gtrsim 0.1 \) nm \[8\]) these effects can compete with the two-loop- or even with the next-to-leading-order QED corrections to the processes like \( e^-\gamma \rightarrow e^-\gamma, e^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^- \), etc.

Precise estimates of these phenomena require that the vortex wave packets be spatially localized, described in a Lorentz invariant way, and applicable beyond the paraxial approximation. Despite the recent interest in the relativistic packets with OAM \[9,10\], such a model is still lacking (see a recent discussion in \[11\]) first and foremost because
the widely used coordinate representation is much less convenient for these purposes. The situation here is somewhat reminiscent of that with a photon wave function in x-space [12].

The relativistic wave packets are actively used in neutrino physics [13, 14] and their covariant description has been recently given in [15–17]. In a widespread model, the packet is Gaussian in p-space, it has a (mean) 4-momentum \( \bar{p}_\mu, \bar{p}^2 = m^2 \), and an uncertainty \( \sigma \), which is a Lorentz scalar and vanishing, \( \sigma \ll m \), in the paraxial regime. Here we further develop this approach by adding the OAM to the set of quantum numbers, both for bosons and fermions. Such vortex packets are localized in a 3D space, transform correctly under the Lorentz boosts and, most importantly, can be used for estimating the non-paraxial phenomena.

By calculating the observables like energy, magnetic moment, etc., we demonstrate that these effects are \(|\ell|\) times enhanced for the vortex packet compared to the Gaussian beam or even to that with a non-singular phase (say, to an Airy beam [18, 19]). Say, the correction to the electron invariant mass is found to be positive and for available beams it can reach the values of \((10^{-4} - 10^{-3}) m\). To put it differently, such a wave packet is 0.01%–0.1% heavier than an ordinary plane-wave electron. It is this weighting that can reveal itself in the corresponding corrections,

\[
\sim |\ell| \lambda_c^2 / \sigma_{\perp}^2 \geq \alpha_{em}^2 = 1/137^2 \gg \lambda_c^2 / \sigma_{\perp}^2,
\]
to the e\(^{-}\)e\(^{-}\), e\(^{-}\)e\(^{+}\) or e\(^{-}\)\(\gamma\) scattering.

Thus, sub-nm-sized highly twisted beams can become a useful tool for probing the previously unexplored non-paraxial effects in high-energy and nuclear physics, analogously to the quantum coherence phenomena in atomic physics [3, 4] and in addition to the magnetic-moment effects in radiation [20]. Moreover, in scattering of a coherent superposition \(|\ell_1\rangle + |\ell_2\rangle\) of two vortex packets by atoms, the analogous fundamental scale is a Bohr radius \(a\), which is \(1/\alpha_{em} = 137\) times larger than \(\lambda_c\). As a result, the corresponding effects may become only moderately attenuated (akin to [5]), and one would need a non-perturbative approach, feasible with the packets described in this paper. A system of units \(\hbar = c = e = 1\) is used and the metric is \(g_{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -1, -1, -1)\).

2 Relativistic scalar wave packets with OAM

The Lorentz invariant wave function \(\psi_\ell(p)\) of a packet with OAM can depend only on a scalar \((p_\mu - \bar{p}_\mu)^2 \leq 0\). This wave function is

\[
\psi_\ell(p) = \frac{2^{3/2} \pi}{\sigma^{\ell + 1}} \frac{p_{\perp}^{\ell}}{\sqrt{\ell !}} \frac{e^{-m^2 / \sigma^2}}{\sqrt{K_{\ell + 1}(2m^2 / \sigma^2)}} \exp\left\{ \frac{(p_\mu - \bar{p}_\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2} + i\ell \phi_p \right\} \cdot 
\int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2\varepsilon} \left[ \psi'_\ell(p) \right]^* \psi_\ell(p) = \delta_{\ell,\ell'},
\]

where \(\bar{p}_\mu = \{\varepsilon, 0, 0, \bar{p}\}, \varepsilon = \sqrt{\varepsilon^2 + m^2}, p^2 = m^2, \varepsilon = \sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + m^2}, p = \{p_{\perp}, p_z\},\) and \(K_{\ell + 1}\) is a modified Bessel function. Due to azimuthal symmetry of the vortex packet, we restrict ourselves to the longitudinal boosts; see [21] for effects of the transverse ones.

A Fourier transform of this function can be found exactly,

\[
\psi_\ell(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3 2\varepsilon} \psi_\ell(p) e^{-ipx} = \frac{(i\rho)^{\ell}}{\sqrt{2\rho \pi} \sigma_{\ell + 1}^{\ell + 1}} \frac{K_{\ell + 1}(\zeta m^2 / \sigma^2)}{\sqrt{K_{\ell + 1}(2m^2 / \sigma^2)}} e^{i\ell \phi_x},
\]
\[ \varsigma = \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{(\rho_\mu + ix_\mu \sigma^2)^2} = \text{inv}, \quad \text{Re} \varsigma > 0, \quad (2) \]

where \( x_\mu = \{ t, r \}, \quad r = \{ \rho, z \}. \) Clearly, for such a packet \( \langle \hat{L}_z \rangle = \ell \) where \( \hat{L}_z = -i \partial / \partial \phi_p \) or \( \hat{L}_z = -i \partial / \partial \phi_r, \) depending on the representation.

As can be readily shown, a transverse probability density of this state in the paraxial regime represents a gamma distribution (or a Poisson distribution for \( |\ell|; \) see, for instance, \([22]\)),

\[ |\psi_{\ell}^{\text{par}}(\rho, z = t = 0)|^2 = \text{const} \frac{(\rho \sigma)^{2|\ell|}}{|\ell|!} e^{-(\rho \sigma)^2}, \quad (3) \]

with its typical for a vortex state doughnut-like profile with a maximum at \( (\rho \sigma)^2 = |\ell|. \) That is why Eqs. (1), (2) represent the non-paraxial generalizations of a Gauss-Laguerre beam with a radial index \( n = 0. \) A detailed comparison of the paraxial versus non-paraxial regimes will be presented elsewhere.

Regardless of the OAM, the wave function (2) decays exponentially at large distances, \( \sqrt{-x^2} \gg \lambda_c, \)

\[ \psi_\ell(x) \propto \exp \left\{ -\frac{\sqrt{-x^2}}{\lambda_c} \right\}. \quad (4) \]

Note that within this class of functions, it is the only law allowed by the invariance considerations and it is in sharp contrast with Eq. (15) in Ref. \([9]\) where the analogous scale is \( \bar{\varepsilon}/m \) times smaller than \( \lambda_c, \) which is impossible within a one-particle approach and is not Lorentz invariant.

One can calculate the packet’s mean energy and momentum exactly by using the energy-momentum tensor \( T_{\mu\nu} \) \([23]\),

\[ \langle p^\mu_\ell \rangle = \{ \langle \varepsilon_\ell \rangle, \langle p^i_\ell \rangle \} = \int d^3r \{ T^{00}, T^{i0} \} = \]

\[ = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{|\psi_\ell(p)|^2}{2\varepsilon} \{ \varepsilon, p^i \} = \{ \varepsilon, \bar{p}^i \} \frac{K_{|\ell|+2}(2m^2/\sigma^2)}{K_{|\ell|+1}(2m^2/\sigma^2)}. \quad (5) \]

Now we demand that \( \sigma/m \equiv \lambda_c/\sigma_\perp \) be a small parameter and expand the Bessel functions in series. This yields a non-paraxial correction,

\[ \langle p^\mu_\ell \rangle \simeq \{ \varepsilon, \bar{p} \} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{3}{4} + \frac{|\ell|}{2} \right) \frac{\sigma^2}{m^2} \right). \quad (6) \]

This correction is always positive and it depends on the OAM, which can be arbitrarily large (provided that the correction is still small). As we shall see, the same expression also holds for a fermion, that is why these terms,

\[ |\ell| \frac{\lambda_c^2}{\sigma_\perp^2} \gg \frac{\lambda_c^2}{\sigma_\perp^2} = \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2) \quad (7) \]

describe quantum corrections to the packet motion, and they have no relation to the so-called Zitterbewegung.
An invariant mass of this packet,
\[ m_ℓ^2 = \langle p_ℓ \rangle^2 \simeq m^2 \left( 1 + \left( \frac{3}{2} + |ℓ| \right) \frac{σ^2}{m^2} \right), \] \tag{8}
also depends on $|ℓ|$. One can say that a vortex packet is heavier than an ordinary plane-wave electron,
\[ \frac{δm_ℓ}{m} \equiv \frac{m_ℓ - m_ℓ=0}{m} = \frac{|ℓ| σ^2}{2 m^2} + O(σ^4/m^4). \] \tag{9}
For beams with $|ℓ| \gtrsim 10^3$ focused to a spot of $σ_⊥ < 1$ nm, we have
\[ \frac{δm_ℓ}{m} < 10^{-3}. \]
Thus, the non-plane-wave corrections are $|ℓ|$ times enhanced for highly twisted wave packets. To put it differently, it is no longer the Compton wave length $λ_c$ that defines a paraxial scale for massive particles with phase vortices but it is
\[ \sqrt{ℓ} λ_c, \]
which can be nearly two orders of magnitude larger than $λ_c$ for available beams.

One can also calculate the packet’s mean transverse momentum exactly,
\[ \langle p_⊥ \rangle = \frac{σ \Gamma(|ℓ| + 3/2)}{Γ(|ℓ| + 1) K_{|ℓ|+3/2}(2m^2/σ^2)} \approx \frac{σ}{2} \sqrt{|ℓ|} \left( 1 + O(|ℓ| σ^2/m^2) \right) \text{ when } |ℓ| \gg 1, \] \tag{10}
This $\sqrt{|ℓ|}$-dependence is illustrated in Fig.1. Say, for $|ℓ| \sim 10^3$ we have $\langle p_⊥ \rangle \sim \sqrt{10^3} σ \sim 30σ$. The invariant condition of paraxiality can now be rewritten as follows:
\[ |ℓ| \frac{σ^2}{m^2} \approx \frac{\langle p_⊥ \rangle^2}{m^2} = \left( \frac{ε^2}{m^2} - 1 \right) \tan^2 θ_0 ≪ 1, \] \tag{11}
where we have introduced an opening angle $θ_0 = \arctan(⟨p_⊥⟩/\bar{p})$, which grows with $|ℓ|$. One can say that enhancement of the non-paraxial effects for vortex beams owes to their large transverse momentum or to the large opening angle $θ_0$.

3 Relativistic fermion wave packets with OAM

One can define a wave function in p-space for a fermion wave packet as follows:
\[ \psi_f(p) = \frac{u(p)}{\sqrt{2ε}} \psi(p), \quad \int \frac{d^3p}{(2π)^3} \frac{1}{2ε} |\psi_f(p)|^2 = 1 = \text{inv}, \] \tag{12}
where $ψ(p)$ is a normalized bosonic wave function (say, $\psi$, \ref{1}) and
\[ u(p) = (\sqrt{ε + m} ω, \sqrt{ε - m} (pσ) ω/|p|)^T. \]
is a bispinor, which obeys $|u(p)|^2 = 2\varepsilon$ \cite{23}. In what follows, we deal with the helicity states for which a 2-component spinor $\omega$ obeys

$$(\hat{z}\sigma)\omega = 2\lambda \omega, \quad \hat{z} = \frac{\hat{p}}{|\hat{p}|}, \quad \lambda = \pm 1/2, \quad \omega^\dagger \omega = 1,$$  

(13)

where $\sigma$ are the Pauli matrices. Note that we project the spin onto the packet’s “mean momentum” $\hat{p}$ and not onto $p$, which is an integration variable.

A wave function in x-space can be defined as follows:

$$\psi_f(x) = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\varepsilon}} \psi_f(p)e^{-ipx} = \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{u(p)}{2\varepsilon} \psi(p)e^{-ipx}. \quad (14)$$

For the packets studied in this paper, the integral can be evaluated via the steepest descent method. The resultant paraxial wave function, however, is of little use and it is more convenient to work in the p-space. The 4-current for the fermion is

$$j = \{ |\psi_f(x)|^2, \bar{\psi}_f(x)\gamma \psi_f(x) \}$$

and the normalization in the coordinate space, $\int d^3r j^0 = 1$, coincides with that in (12).

When $\psi(p) \equiv \psi(\ell)(p)$ is from (11), the function $\psi_f(x)$ describes a vortex electron packet with a total angular momentum

$$\langle \hat{j}_z \rangle = \ell + \lambda,$$

as can be easily shown by acting by an operator $\hat{j}_z = \hat{L}_z + \hat{s}_z$ on the function $\psi_f(x)$ (here $\hat{s}_z = 1/2 \text{diag}(\sigma_3, \sigma_3)$ \cite{23}). Clearly, the mean energy and momentum of this state coincide with those in the spinless formula (5).

We now turn to calculations of the intrinsic magnetic moment, which for an arbitrary packet is

$$\mu_f = \frac{1}{2} \int d^3r \mathbf{r} \times \bar{\psi}_f(x)\gamma \psi_f(x) =$$
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} d^3k \frac{\psi^*(p-k/2)\psi(p+k/2)}{2\epsilon(p-k/2)2\epsilon(p+k/2)} \mathbf{r} \times \bar{u}(p-k/2)\gamma u(p+k/2) \\
\times \exp \left\{-i\mathbf{p} \cdot (\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}/2) - \epsilon(p-k/2) - \epsilon(p+k/2) + i\mathbf{r}\mathbf{k}\right\} = \\
= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} d^3k \delta(k) i\frac{\partial}{\partial k} \times \bar{u}(p-k/2)\gamma u(p+k/2) \frac{\psi^*(p-k/2)\psi(p+k/2)}{2\epsilon(p-k/2)2\epsilon(p+k/2)}. \tag{15}
\]

where we have taken into account that \(\bar{u}(p)\gamma u(p) = 2\mathbf{p}\) and the time-dependent term has vanished identically. Then we apply the following relation for the helicity states (we do not distinguish the upper- and the lower indices here):

\[
\bar{u}(p) \gamma_j \frac{\partial u(p)}{\partial p_k} - \left( \frac{\partial \bar{u}(p)}{\partial p_k} \right) \gamma_j u(p) = \\
= 2i \left( \frac{p_k}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\epsilon + m} [\mathbf{\zeta} \times \mathbf{p}]_j + [\mathbf{\zeta} \times \hat{\mathbf{j}}]_k \right), \quad \mathbf{\zeta} = 2\lambda \hat{\mathbf{z}}, \tag{16}
\]

where \(j, k = 1, 2, 3; \hat{\mathbf{j}}, \hat{\mathbf{\zeta}} = 1, 1\), is a unit vector of the \(j\)-th axis. In the end, we arrive at the following averages:

\[
\mathbf{\mu}_f = \left\langle \frac{1}{(2\epsilon)^2} \left( \mathbf{\zeta} (\epsilon + m) + \frac{\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{\zeta})}{\epsilon + m} \right) \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \mathbf{u} \times \frac{\partial \varphi(p)}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \right\rangle \equiv \mathbf{\mu}_s + \mathbf{\mu}_b. \tag{17}
\]

where the definition of a mean value \(\langle \ldots \rangle\) is from Eq.(5) and \(\varphi(p)\) is a phase of the bosonic wave function,

\[
\psi(p) \equiv |\psi(p)| e^{i\varphi(p)}. \tag{18}
\]

The first term in \(\mathbf{\mu}_f\), \(\mathbf{\mu}_s\) describes a spin contribution to the magnetic moment, while the second one \(\mathbf{\mu}_b\) represents magnetic moment of a boson. It might seem that both the contributions, that of the phase and that of the spin, do not mix, that is, there is no spin-orbit coupling. It is indeed the case if the phase \(\varphi(p)\) has no singularities (say, for the Airy beams), but for vortex packets the square \(|\psi_{1\ell}(p)|^2\) still depends on \(\ell\) and that is why the terms like \(\ell\mathbf{\zeta}\) survive in \(\mathbf{\mu}_s\).

Taking then the non-paraxial vortex states \(\mathbf{1}\), one can approximately evaluate the orbital integral in \(\mathbf{1}\) via the steepest descent method, which yields the following result in the laboratory frame of reference:

\[
\mathbf{\mu}_b = \frac{\ell}{2} \left\langle \mathbf{u} \times \frac{\partial \varphi_p}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \right\rangle = \hat{\mathbf{z}} \ell \left\langle \frac{1}{2\epsilon} \right\rangle \simeq \hat{\mathbf{z}} \frac{\ell}{2\epsilon} \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{2m^2} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{m^2}{\epsilon^2} \right) \right). \tag{19}
\]

Unlike the energy correction, the one to the magnetic moment is negative. Neglecting it, we return to the result by Bliokh et al., \(\mathbf{\mu}_b = \hat{\mathbf{z}} \ell/2\epsilon \mathbf{1}\). The correction is also of the order of \(|\ell|^2\sigma^2/m^2\), even though the integral itself also brings the terms \(|\ell|^2\sigma^2/m^2 \gg |\ell|\sigma^2/m^2\). It is the corresponding \(\ell^2\)-summand in the expansion of the normalization constant \(1/K_{\ell+1}(2m^2/\sigma^2)\) from \(\mathbf{1}\) that cancels this term.

More interestingly, the correction turns out to be not Lorentz invariant. Mathematically, this happens because the function that is to be averaged, \(1/2\epsilon\), does not transform as a component of a tensor (cf. Eq.(5) with the energy being a \(p^0\)). In the relativistic regime, the non-invariant term \(m/\epsilon\) represents a ratio of the particle’s de Broglie wavelength, \(\lambda_{dB} \sim 1/\mathbf{p}\), to its Compton wave length \(\lambda_c\). The standard interpretation of smallness of this ratio is that the packet motion becomes quasi-classical for higher energies.
(\mathcal{O}(\hbar^2)\)-terms can be neglected). As can be seen, however, there are also the terms that are invariant and, therefore, do not vanish when \( \varepsilon \gg m \).

Thus, the non-paraxial corrections are \textit{frame-dependent} for some observables. In fact, a pair of dipole moments \((d, \mu)\) transforms as a product of an anti-symmetric 4-tensor and a volume \(V\). As the latter is not invariant, this pair \((d, \mu)\) itself does not constitute a 4-tensor and the magnetic moment \(\mu\) transforms as a product \(\mu \sim \mu_{vec} V \sim \mu_{vec}/\varepsilon\) where \(\mu_{vec} \sim \varepsilon \mu\) does transform as a component of the tensor. Obviously, it is the reason for non-invariance of the correction to the magnetic moment.

The calculations for the spin contribution are more challenging and the result is

\[
\mu_s = \left\langle \frac{1}{(2\varepsilon)^2} \left( \zeta (\varepsilon + m) + \frac{p(p\zeta)}{\varepsilon + m} \right) \right\rangle \simeq \zeta \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma^2}{2m^2} \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3m}{2\varepsilon} + \frac{m^2}{2\varepsilon^3} - \frac{m}{\varepsilon + m} \left( \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2m^2}{2\varepsilon^2} - \frac{3m^3}{2\varepsilon^3} \right) + |\ell| \left( 1 + \frac{m}{\varepsilon} - \frac{m}{\varepsilon + m} \right) \right) \]  

(20)

where the two last summands represent a parameter \(\Delta\) used for characterizing the spin-orbit coupling in Refs. [1, 2, 11],

\[
\Delta = \left( 1 - \frac{m}{\varepsilon} \right) \sin^2 \theta_0 \simeq |\ell| \frac{\sigma^2}{m^2} \left( \frac{m}{\varepsilon} - \frac{m}{\varepsilon + m} \right).  

(21)
\]

Here, the \(|\ell|^2 \sigma^2 / m^2\) corrections have also been canceled by the corresponding term from the normalization constant and there has appeared a spin-orbit interaction,

\[
\zeta \frac{|\ell| \sigma^2}{m^2} \equiv \zeta \frac{|\ell|}{\sigma^2} \lambda^2 \sigma^2.  
\]

As the total magnetic moment of the fermion \(\mu_f\) represents a sum of (19) and (20), the spin-orbit coupling will be obscured by the large orbital contribution, which is \(\ell\) times stronger. As a result, although the spin-orbit effects are also enhanced for highly twisted beams, the detection of them seems hardly feasible in near future (in accordance with [24]). Note that separation of the magnetic moment into the orbital part and the spin one is unique, as \(r\) in the left-hand side of (15) is not an operator (see a recent discussion in [11]).

Finally, similarly to (13), one can also calculate an electric dipole moment,

\[
d_f = \int d^3r \, r \, j^0 = \left\langle ut - \frac{\partial \varphi(p)}{\partial p} + \frac{p \times \zeta}{2\varepsilon(\varepsilon + m)} \right\rangle  

(22)
\]

where we have used that

\[
u(p) \frac{\partial u(p)}{\partial p} - \text{c.c.} = \frac{2i}{\varepsilon + m} \zeta \times p.  
\]

Due to azimuthal symmetry of the vortex states, two last terms in (22) vanish and so \(d_f = \left\langle \nu \right\rangle t \equiv 0 \) at \( t = 0 \). One can also define a mean path of the electron packet via its dipole moment as \(\langle r \rangle := d_f / \int d^3r j^0 = \langle u \rangle t\) where the mean velocity \(\langle u \rangle = \bar{u}(1 + \mathcal{O}(\|\ell\|\sigma^2 / m^2))\) also acquires the frame-dependent corrections, as it is \(u \varepsilon / m\) that represents a spatial component of a 4-velocity, not \(u\).
4 Summary

Although the paraxial approximation is applicable for available vortex electrons by a large margin, the corrections to it can be accurately estimated only when using the well-localized wave packets described in a Lorentz invariant way. As it turns out, these corrections are several orders of magnitude larger than those for the Gaussian beams or the packets with the non-singular phases. For well-focused beams with $\sigma_\perp < 1 \text{ nm}, |\ell| > 10^3$, this allows one to probe for the first time the non-paraxial effects in particle and nuclear physics, which are analogous to the recently studied quantum coherence phenomena in atomic collisions [3-4]. It is the high OAM $|\ell| \gg 1$ that can help to overcome the overall $\lambda_c/a = \alpha_{em}$-attenuation of these effects compared to the atomic scale.

In the $e^-e^-$ or $e^-\gamma$ scattering of freely propagating vortex electrons, the non-paraxial phenomena reveal themselves in a corresponding correction to the plane-wave cross section [6]. As we have showed, these effects can reach the relative values of $10^{-4} - 10^{-3} \gtrsim \alpha_{em}^2$, that is, become comparable with the two-loop QED corrections.
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