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Abstract

A dynamical vectorial equation for homogeneous incompressible Hall-MHD turbulence together with the exact scaling law for third-order correlation tensors, analogous to that for the incompressible MHD, is rederived and applied to the results of two-dimensional hybrid simulations of plasma turbulence. At large (MHD) scales the simulations exhibits a clear inertial range where the MHD dynamic law is valid. In the sub-ion range the cascade continues via the Hall term but the dynamic law derived in the framework of incompressible Hall MHD equations is obtained only in a low plasma beta simulation. For a higher beta plasma the cascade rate decreases in the sub-ion range and the change becomes more pronounced as the plasma beta increases. This break in the cascade flux can be ascribed to non thermal (kinetic) features or to others terms in the dynamical equation that are not included in the Hall-MHD incompressible approximation.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Rarefied magnetized plasmas are ubiquitous in the astrophysical context. There, Coulomb collisions are so rare that non linear couplings driven by large scale medium motions induce a turbulent cascade which reaches the kinetic scales of the plasma constituent (ions and electrons) before collisional effects start to dissipate its flux. Turbulence in such collisionless/weakly collisional plasmas is not well understood and remains one of the challenging problems of astrophysics.

The magnetized plasma flow of the solar origin, the solar wind, constitutes a natural laboratory for studying turbulence in collisionless plasmas (Bruno & Carbone 2013). In situ observations indeed show that the solar wind flow is strongly turbulent, the magnetic and velocity fields exhibiting power-law spectral properties as well as non-Gaussian statistical properties (Matthaeus et al. 2015). On relatively large scales the magnetic field power spectrum of the observed time series is close to $f^{-5/3}$ reminding of the Kolmogorov prediction for hydrodynamic (HD) turbulence. This spectrum is, however, anisotropic with respect to the ambient magnetic field; along the magnetic field direction the spectrum is steeper (close to $f^{-2}$) (Chen 2016), in agreement with theoretical expectations and numerical simulations based on the magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) approximation.

Around the ion characteristic scales (proton gyroradius $\rho_i$ and proton inertial length $d_i$, that are typically close to each other in the solar wind) the spectrum steepens. This steepening is related to a change of the physical behavior, in the sub-ion range the MHD approximation breaks up and a more accurate approximation including Hall and kinetic effects is needed. The physical phenomena responsible for the steepening are not yet clearly determined, many processes related to the dispersive (Hall) and dissipative (collisionless damping) phenomena ranges appear at similar scales (Marsch 2005, Alexandrova et al. 2008). The position of the transition from the large, MHD scales to the sub-ion scales (so called ion spectral break) varies with the radial distance and depend on the ion temperature (or on the ratio between the ion and magnetic pressures $\beta_i$) (Bruno & Trenchi 2014, Chen et al. 2014).

Understanding of turbulence is strongly facilitated by existence of exact dynamical equations (which involve second and third order structures functions), obtained using a statistical approach assuming a homogeneity (and isotropy) of the medium. The classical incompressible HD results (de Karman & Howarth 1938, Kolmogorov 1941) has been extended to the incompressible MHD (Chandrasekhar 1951, Polimano & Pouquet 1998a,b, Carbone et al. 2009), the incompressible Hall-MHD (Galtier 2008), and recently to the compressible Hall-MHD (Andrè et al. 2015). In the context of the solar wind, effects of a homogeneous velocity shear (Wan et al. 2009) or an expansion (Hellinger et al. 2013) were also investigated. Exact scaling laws, such as the well-known 4/3 and 4/5-laws, are obtained from these dynamical equations for the inertial range once a stationary state and infinite Reynolds number limit are assumed while still leaving a finite dissipative rate. The scaling predicted by the incompressible MHD exact laws were measured in the solar wind and used to estimate the energy cascade rate (and the corresponding particle heating rate) in the solar wind (Sorriso-Valvo et al. 2007, MacBride et al. 2008, Marino et al. 2008, Stawarz et al. 2009, Coburn et al. 2015). Beside the observations, numerical simulations constitute important means for investigating the properties of turbulence. The dynamical equations were tested in HD (Ishihara et al. 2008, Gotoh & Terasawa 2009) and MHD simulations (Sorriso-Valvo et al. 2002, Mininni & Pouquet 2009, Verdini et al. 2015) in which a relatively good agreement with theoretical predictions is observed. However, due to the limited resolution, the range of scales where the scaling laws are verified is reduced (the scaling laws are exact only in the infinite Reynolds number limit).

2. INCOMPRESSIBLE HALL MHD
For the transition between large MHD and sub-ion scales, numerical simulations based on the hybrid approximation, where electrons are treated as a fluid whereas ions are described fully kinetically, turned out to be very useful (Parashar et al. 2009; Servidio et al. 2012; Vasquez et al. 2014; Valentini et al. 2014; Servidio et al. 2015). Recent 2.5D hybrid simulations (Franci et al. 2015b,a, 2016) exhibit a clear double power-law behavior of the magnetic field fluctuations in agreement with in-situ observations in the solar wind. Such simulations are natural candidates for testing the exact laws. As we are interested also in the sub-ion range, we want to look at the incompressible Hall-MHD (cf., Pezzi et al. 2017).

Assuming incompressibility, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = 0$, and a constant plasma density for simplicity, $\rho = \text{const.}$, taking the magnetic field and the electric current in Alfvén units $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{B}/\sqrt{\mu_0 \rho}$, and $j = J/(en)$, the Hall-MHD equation have this form:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b} = -\nabla P/\rho + \nu \Delta \mathbf{u} \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{b}}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b} - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{u} = (j \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b} - (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) j + \eta \Delta \mathbf{b};$$

where $P$ denotes the scalar total (particle and magnetic field) pressure, and $\nu$ and $\eta$ denote the kinematic plasma viscosity and the electric resistivity, respectively. Following Carbone et al. (2009) we take the increment of the different quantities at $x$ and $x' = x + \ell$ ($\ell$ being the spatial lag), $\delta \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}(x') - \mathbf{u}(x), \ldots$ and, assuming an isotropic turbulence averaging over $x$ (the averaging is denoted by $\langle \rangle$), we get the following equation for the magnetic, velocity, and total second-order structure functions $S_b = \langle |\delta \mathbf{b}|^2 \rangle$, $S_u = \langle |\delta \mathbf{u}|^2 \rangle$, and $S = S_b + S_u$ as functions of $\ell$

$$\frac{\partial S}{\partial \ell} + \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{H}) + A = -4\epsilon + 2\nu \Delta S_u + 2\eta \Delta S_b \quad (2)$$

where the third order structure function $Y$ is the MHD turbulent cascade flux (Carbone et al. 2009; Verdim et al. 2015)

$$Y = \langle \delta \mathbf{u} |\delta \mathbf{u}|^2 + \delta \mathbf{u} |\delta \mathbf{b}|^2 - 2\delta \mathbf{b} (\delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \delta \mathbf{b}) \rangle \quad (3)$$

and the third order structure function $H$ is its Hall correction (cf., Galtri 2008) for a different form of the Hall contribution

$$H = \langle 2\delta \mathbf{b} (\delta \mathbf{b} \cdot \delta \mathbf{j}) - \delta \mathbf{j} |\delta \mathbf{b}|^2 \rangle. \quad (4)$$

The last term at the l.h.s. of Eq. (2) $A = \langle \delta \mathbf{j} \cdot \delta \mathbf{b} (\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{b} \rangle$, is a correction that we expect to be negligible in homogeneous plasma turbulence (this term is small in the present numerical simulations), and $\epsilon$ is the dissipation rate $\epsilon = \nu \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} + \eta \nabla \cdot \mathbf{b}$ (here ‘:’ denotes the double contraction of two second-order tensors). Eq. (2) is a dynamical equation that relates second and third order structure function generalizing the von Kármán-Howarth equation in the framework of the incompressible Hall-MHD equations. An exact scaling law for a formally infinite-extending inertial range can be obtained assuming a stationary turbulent state in the infinite Reynolds number limit while retaining a finite dissipation rate, $\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{H}) = -4\epsilon$, and, assuming isotropy, one gets the scaling law (cf., Carbone et al. 2009)

$$Y_i + H_i = - \frac{4}{3} \epsilon \ell \quad (5)$$

where $l = |l|$, $Y_i$ and $H_i$ are the radial components (in the spherical coordinates corresponding to the lag space $l$) of $Y$ and $H$, respectively. In a general anisotropic case of magnetized MHD the behavior is more complicated (cf., Verdim et al. 2015).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RUN</th>
<th>$\beta_i$</th>
<th>$\delta B/B_0$</th>
<th>$\kappa_{sd}d_i$</th>
<th>$\Delta t/d_i$</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$N_{ppc}$</th>
<th>$\Delta t \Omega_i$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>2 $10^{-4}$</td>
<td>1024</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1/16</td>
<td>3 $10^{-4}$</td>
<td>4096</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>5 $10^{-4}$</td>
<td>32768</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note that the Hall term $H$, Eq. (4), represents a correction to the mixed terms in the MHD term $Y$, Eq. (3), replacing the ion velocity by the electron one, $u_e$, i.e., the magnetic field couples to the electron velocity field

$$Y + H = \langle \delta \mathbf{u} |\delta \mathbf{u}|^2 + \delta \mathbf{u} |\delta \mathbf{b}|^2 - 2\delta \mathbf{b} (\delta \mathbf{u} \cdot \delta \mathbf{b}) \rangle$$

as one may expect.

3. HYBRID SIMULATION RESULTS

Now we can directly test the prediction of the dynamic law, Eq. (4), in the hybrid simulation results where ions are described by a particle-in-cell model whereas electrons are a massless, charge neutralizing fluid (Matthews 1994). The simulation setup is an extension of previous simulations (Franci et al. 2015b,a, 2016) and uses the 2D version of the code Camelia (http://terezka.asu.cas.cz/helining/camelia.html). The evolution of initially isotropic protons with different values of $\beta_i$ (1/16, 1/2, and 4) is numerically integrated in a 2D domain ($x,y$) of size $256d_i \times 256d_i$ and resolution $Ax = \Delta y$. In order to reduce the noise, a Gaussian smoothing on $3 \times 3$ points is used on the proton density and velocity in the code. A uniform ambient magnetic field $B_0$, directed along $z$ and perpendicular to the simulation domain is present whereas neutralizing electrons are assumed isotropic and isothermal with $\beta_e = \beta_i$. The system is perturbed with an isotropic 2-D spectrum of modes with random phases, linear Alfvén polarization ($\mathbf{b} \perp \mathbf{B}_0$) and vanishing correlation between magnetic field and velocity fluctuations. These modes are in the range $0.02 \lesssim k d_i \lesssim 0.2$ and have a flat one-dimensional power spectrum with rms fluctuations $\delta B$. The time step $\Delta t$ for particles integration (the magnetic field is advanced with a smaller time step $\Delta t_B = \Delta t/20$, the number of particle per cell $N_{ppc}$, and the resistivity $\eta$, used to avoid energy accumulation at the smallest scales, have been set specifically for each simulation and their values are reported in Table 1). We let the system evolve beyond the time when the fluctuations of the parallel current maximize. This indicates a presence of a well-developed turbulent cascade (Mininni & Pouquet 2009; Servidio et al. 2015); henceforth, we analyze properties of plasma turbulence at these times ($t_f = 490\Omega_i^{-1}$, $350\Omega_i^{-1}$, and $290\Omega_i^{-1}$) for each simulation.

Figure 1 shows the power spectral density of the magnetic field for the three simulations. The simulated spectra exhibit two power laws with a smooth transition at ion scales (the so called ion spectral break), whose shape and position depend on the plasma beta: its scale is close to $d_i$ for small betas whereas in high beta plasmas its around $\rho_i$ (Franci et al. 2016). The magnetic power spectral slopes on large scales is $\sim -5/3$ whereas the velocity power spectrum is less steep, closer to $-3/2$ than to $-5/3$. In the sub-ion range, as already observed
in (Franci et al., 2016), the magnetic power spectrum steepens, with slopes about $-3.5, -3, -2.9$ in the three simulations. The proton velocity fluctuating field decouples from the magnetic fluctuations around the proton gyroscales. The sub-ion velocity fluctuations have a limited scale range before reaching the noise level so that it is difficult to distinguish between an exponential and a power-law dependence. Assuming the latter, as suggested by observations (Safránková et al., 2016) and theoretical and numerical results (Meyrand et al., 2018), the power spectrum of the velocity fluctuations below the decoupling (above the noise level) is compatible with steep slopes and spectral indices between $-5$ and $-6$.

Figure 1 also shows the power spectral density of the plasma density $\rho$. The initial, transverse fluctuations lead to formation of density fluctuation; the rms relative density fluctuation $\delta_0/\rho_0$ are 0.16, 0.081, and 0.017 for the three runs going from low to high beta. As one may expect the density fluctuations are stronger in a low beta plasma.

The spectral features observed in Figure 1 are partly reflected in the second-order structure functions of the magnetic field $S_b$, the proton velocity $S_u$, and the proton density $S_\rho$ (see Figure 2). However, the properties of the inertial range, the spectral break, and the sub-ion range are less clear compared to the power spectra.

For the test of the dynamical equation, Eq. 2, we define the cascade rate $\epsilon^*$ as

$$\epsilon^* = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{\partial S}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{4} \nabla \cdot (Y + H) + \frac{1}{2} \eta \Delta S_b$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Figure 3 shows the test of the dynamic law, the cascade rate $\epsilon^*$ normalized to the resistive heating rate $\epsilon$ with the different contributing terms to $\epsilon^*$. Note that in these simulations the correcting term $A$ is not important, $|A|/4 \lesssim 0.1 \epsilon$ (not shown). The structure functions (and $\epsilon$) are calculated at two times $(t_d + \delta t)$ and $(t_d + 2\delta t)$ both separated by $\delta t = 10\Omega^{-1}$ around the maximum turbulence activity and averaged; $\partial S/\partial t$ is estimated by $(S(t_d + \delta t) - S(t_d))/\delta t$.

In all simulations we observe that at MHD scales $\epsilon^* \sim -\partial S/\partial t/4 - \nabla \cdot Y$. The term $\partial S/\partial t$ is due to the energy decay at injection scales given by the (decaying) initial condition whereas the region, where $\nabla \cdot Y$ dominates, covers the range of scales where a Kolomogorov-like spectrum is observed, Fig. 2 and represents the inertial MHD range. There, $\nabla \cdot Y$ varies only weakly and the radial component $Y_r$ (in the cylindrical coordinate system corresponding to $I$) is roughly proportional to $l$ (not shown here), representing an equivalent of the hydrodynamic exact scaling law.

Crossing $l \approx d_i$, the Hall term flux $\nabla \cdot H$ starts to grow and becomes the dominant one at sub-ion scales ($l \approx d_i$), although this term is important only in a narrow range of scales and no linear scaling of $H$, on $l$ is observed. The sum of the two inertial contributions, $\nabla \cdot (Y + H)$ (the thin gray dashed lines in Fig. 3) shows that the reduction in the MHD flux is partially compensated by the Hall flux and, where $\nabla \cdot (Y + H)$ is about constant, $Y_r + H_r$ is roughly proportional to $l$. This is especially true in the low $\beta$ simulation while a fraction of the flux is more and more lost as $\beta$ increases. The position of the Larmor radius $\rho_i$ for each simulation (the vertical dotted lines) suggests some kind of correlation between the decreasing inertial flux and $\rho_i$. 

\[ \text{Figure 1. Power spectral densities of (red) the magnetic field, (blue) the proton velocity field, and (orange) the proton density in the three simulations. The dotted lines denote } k_{pi} = 1. \]

\[ \text{Figure 2. Second-order structure functions of (red) the magnetic field, (blue) the proton velocity field, and (orange) the proton density in the three simulations.} \]
of the von Kármán-Howarth equation for incompressible hydrodynamic turbulence in the framework of incompressible Hall MHD equations. Simulations show that in the low $β$ regime Eq. (2) is reasonably well satisfied and an indication of the MHD inertial regime (where $\nabla \cdot Y = const$) is obtained. At sub-ion scales the decreasing of the MHD flux is compensated by an increase of the Hall term, $\nabla \cdot H$, which extends the inertial regime below the scale of the spectral break. Increasing the plasma $β$ the Hall flux only partially compensates the reduction of the MHD one, meaning that in the intermediate and high $β$ regimes Eq. (2) breaks.

The break of Eq. (2) at high $β$ does not seem to be related to compressibility effects. Indeed, ratios between density and magnetic field power spectra reveal that compressible effects are less important as $β$ increases both at MHD and kinetic scales. However, the incompressible description of the Hall MHD equations from which the dynamic law is derived does not take into account the other relevant quantity at ions scales, the ion-Larmor radius. For instance, this scale seems to be relevant in determining the break at ions scales in high beta plasmas (Chen et al. 2014; Franci et al. 2016) and characterises the polarisation properties of turbulence mediated by kinetic-Alfvén-wave-like fluctuations (e.g., Schekochihin et al. 2009; Boldyrev et al. 2013; Chen et al. 2013; Franci et al. 2015a).

Alternatively, the reduction of the cascade rate $\epsilon^*$ could be related to non-thermal features playing at the ion gyroradius also not retained in the Hall-MHD approximation with the scalar pressure. Indeed, the work of Del Sarto et al. (2016) indicates that pressure anisotropies/nongyrotrropic appear at around the scale of $ρ_i$. In the low beta case $ρ_i$ is significantly smaller then $d_i$ and at scale near the dissipative ones. As $β$ increases, $ρ_i$ becomes comparable to $d_i$ and a larger portion of the ion velocity distribution function can interact with turbulent fluctuations. Consequently, the reduction of $\epsilon^*$ moves toward larger scales and it is more pronounced.

Another difference between the kinetic simulations and the predicted cascade rate is its value. The cascade rate $\epsilon^*$ is typically a factor two larger then resistive losses $\epsilon$. One possibility is that a non-negligible fraction of the dissipation is carried by numerical effects. The dissipation rate $\epsilon$ may be underestimated due to the 2nd order numerical scheme which introduces an effective dissipation $\propto \nabla^2$ in the magnetic field. If it is so, $\epsilon$ would be greater while $\epsilon^*$ remains unchanged (except at the smallest scales) thus reducing the discrepancy between the two. The other possibility is that the energy cascading from MHD scales is partially transferred to ions via physical processes beyond the Hall-MHD approximation, possibly connected with non-thermal features as suggested by Yang et al. (2017). The ion heating rate in our simulations is indeed comparable to $\epsilon^* - \epsilon$; however, for a detailed study of the relation between $\epsilon^*$ and the ion (and electron) heating rates one needs to include the full electron kinetics.

These results are very robust, since we observed similar behavior in all our previous 2D hybrid simulations (Franci et al. 2016; Cerri et al. 2017); these simulations further indicate that the decrease of $\epsilon^*$ in the sub-ion region evolves continuously from low to high beta plasma. The present work supports the validity of the estimates of the cascade rate in the solar wind (Sorriso-Valvo et al. 2007; MacBride et al. 2008; Stawarz et al. 2009; Marino et al. 2011; Coburn et al. 2015). However, it is necessary to include compressible effects (Banerjee & Galtier 2013; Hadid et al. 2017; André et al. 2018) as well as the effects of the anisotropy of the cascade in the full 3D geometry (Verdini et al. 2015) in future
It is interesting to note that perpendicular spectral properties of 3D hybrid simulations are in many respects similar to their 2D counterparts (Franci et al. 2018). We expect an analogous behavior in the case of the exact law. The present work also needs to be extended to full particle simulations to compare the cascade rate determined from the exact law with the actual heating rates of the different particle species (Wu et al. 2013; Matthaeus et al. 2016). In concluding, the present work suggests that the transition from the MHD to sub-ion scales is governed by a combination of an onset of Hall cascade (with the characteristic scale $d_i$) and a formation of ion non-thermal features related to dissipation (with the characteristic scale $\rho_i$).
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