Ambient neutron measurement in an underground laboratory at the Kamioka Observatory
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Ambient neutron is one of the most serious backgrounds for underground experiments searching for rare events. Ambient neutron flux in an underground laboratory of Kamioka Observatory was measured using a \textsuperscript{3}He proportional counter with various moderator setups. Since the detector response largely depends on the spectrum shape, energy spectra of neutrons transported from the rock to the laboratory are estimated by Monte-Carlo simulations. The ratio of thermal...
neutron flux to the total one was found to depend on the thermalizing efficiency in the rock. Therefore, the ratio of the count rate without a moderator to that with a moderator was used to determine this parameter. As a result, the most-likely neutron spectrum predicted by the simulations with the parameters determined by the experimental results was obtained. The result suggests an interesting spectrum shape, which has not been indicated in previous studies. The total ambient neutron flux is \((5.52 \pm 0.16^{+0.44}_{-0.50} \text{ stat.} \pm \text{sys.}) \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}\). This result, especially the energy spectrum information, would be a new and important input for the background estimation in the current and future experiments in the underground laboratory at the Kamioka Observatory.
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1 Introduction

Ambient neutron is one of the most serious backgrounds for underground experiments, such as neutrinoless double beta decay searches, neutrino measurements, and direct dark matter searches. For neutrinoless double beta decay experiments, thermal neutrons can produce $\gamma$ rays close to the Q-value energy in the rock and detector components. The $\gamma$ ray yield strongly depends on the thermal neutron flux. For direct dark matter searches, fast neutrons would recoil target nuclei like dark matter particles. In order to estimate and possibly subtract the neutron background in these experiments, precise ambient neutron flux and spectrum are required.

Many measurements of ambient neutron flux were carried out in the underground laboratories\cite{1}. Since the neutron energy is not directly measured with $^3$He proportional counters which are widely used because of the large cross section to thermal neutrons, measurements with different moderator setups were used to estimate the neutron flux in the energy ranges of interest. In previous studies, a simple energy spectrum consisting of Boltzmann distribution in the thermal energy range and $1/E$ spectrum in the high energy range was assumed to convert the measured count rates into a flux. The spectrum shape affects this conversion. Therefore an estimation of a reasonable spectrum is important. The ambient neutron flux in the Kamioka Observatory was measured in the year 2002 by Minamino\cite{2}. Detailed energy spectrum was not considered in this preceding measurement.

In this paper, we considered the natural sources of an ambient neutron in the wall rock using Monte-Carlo simulation (MC) to estimate the shape of the neutron energy spectrum. Considered natural sources were $(\alpha, n)$ reaction of $^{238}$U/$^{232}$Th chains, $^{238}$U spontaneous fission, and cosmic muon in the rock. Then, the generated neutrons were transported to the laboratory. As a result, the most-likely energy spectrum was obtained.

2 Detector

2.1 Detection principle

$^3$He gas was used for the detection of neutrons through the following exothermal reaction,

$$^3\text{He} + n \rightarrow ^3\text{H} + p + 0.764 \text{ MeV}. \quad (1)$$

Information about the original kinetic energies of incident neutrons is lost because the Q-value of the reaction, 0.764 MeV, is much larger than that of detected neutrons. $^3$He has a large cross section to thermal neutrons (e.g., 5333 barns at 0.025 eV\cite{3}).
2.2 Detector setups

The measurement was taken place in Lab-B, NEWAGE experimental site, one of the underground laboratories at the Kamioka Observatory. A proportional counter (Model P4-1618-203 made by Reuter-Stokes Co.) which had $^3$He gas of 10 atm was used. The counter was made of stainless steel cylinder (class SUS304) with 38 cm in length and 5.18 cm in diameter. The supplied voltage of the counter was +1300 V.

In order to measure high energy (in the range of MeV) neutrons, moderators and a shielding material were used. A polyethylene moderator (outer radius is 9.9 cm, length is 51 cm, and thickness is 6.5 cm) was used to thermalize high energy neutrons so that they can be detected by the $^3$He proportional counter. An additional shielding material, a 4 mm thick boron-contained sheet (B-sheet), covered the moderator to reduce the effects of ambient thermal neutrons. The B-sheet, loaded with 20% of B$_4$C, shields about 99.8% of thermal neutrons. “Setup A” was the detector setup without any moderator or the B-sheet. The setup with the moderator and the B-sheet were named as “setup B.” “Setup C” was the setup B with an additional 5 cm thick polyethylene moderator. The detection efficiencies in each setup were evaluated by Geant4. The version was Geant4.10.03.patch03, and its physics list was QGSP_BERT_HP. The geometries of three setups were created, then monoenergetic neutrons were generated isotropically to evaluate their responses. Fig. 1 shows expected numbers of detected neutrons when we generated neutrons with a fluence of 1 neutrons/cm$^2$. Setup A and B are mainly sensitive to thermal and fast (~MeV) neutrons, respectively. The simulated result of setup B without B-sheet is also shown to illustrate the effect of the sheet. It is found that the B-sheet shields the ambient thermal neutrons and helps setup B to mainly be sensitive to the high energy neutrons. Setup C is a supplemental one for the calibration.

In a measurement with setup A, the signal from the counter was shaped with an amplifier with a gain of 6.0 mV/fC, a rise time of 2 µs and a decay time of 10 µs). Then the signal was recorded by a Hoshin V006 peak sensitive analog-to-digital converter. In other measurements with setup B and C, a different shaper with an amplification factor of 1.5 mV/fC, a rise time of 0.2 µs, and a decay time of 1 µs was used. Then the signal was recorded by an Interface LPC-320910 waveform digitizer with a sampling rate of 40 MHz. The recorded data was reduced to the pulse height and the integration of the whole pulse.
Fig. 1  Expected counts in each setup for various neutron energies with a fluence of 1 neutrons/cm$^2$. The results with setup B without the boron-contained sheet (B-sheet) are also shown for reference.

2.3 Calibration

The $^3$He proportional counter was calibrated with a $^{252}$Cf source. The setup B and C are sensitive to $^{252}$Cf fission neutrons with the energy around a few MeV. Fig. 2 shows the energy spectrum obtained by the $^{252}$Cf calibration.

The peak at 0.764 MeV corresponds to the Q-value in Equation (1). The kinetic energies of oppositely directed products, $^3$H and proton, are 0.191 MeV and 0.573 MeV, respectively. When the counter detects the full energies of both products, they make the full energy peak. If either product escapes out of the detector, energy is partly deposited. This process is known as a wall effect of a $^3$He counter. The wall effect makes flat shape below the full energy peak.

We defined a region of neutron events (RoN) between 0.16 MeV and 0.85 MeV considering the energy resolution. The background events such as $\gamma$ ray, electric noise, etc. cannot be ignored in the low energy region for the ambient neutron measurement in the underground laboratory. Thus, we defined a region of interest (RoI) between 0.50 MeV and 0.85 MeV to reduce these backgrounds. To convert the number of events in RoI ($N_{\text{RoI}}$) into one in RoN ($N_{\text{RoN}}$), the conversion factor $\varepsilon$ was defined as Equation (2).

$$\varepsilon = \frac{N_{\text{RoI}}}{N_{\text{RoN}}}$$  (2)
Fig. 2  The energy spectrum of the $^3$He proportional counter measured in the $^{252}$Cf calibration by setup C. All neutron events should be in the region above 0.16 MeV. A region between 0.5 MeV and 0.85 MeV was defined as a region of interest (RoI) to reduce low energy background events.

Table 1  Event rates of measured calibration data and expected simulation results. The first errors denote statistical ones. The second errors of the simulation denote systematic ones, where only the source structure uncertainty was taken into account.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setup</th>
<th>Calibration (cps)</th>
<th>Simulation (cps)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Setup B</td>
<td>3.31 ± 0.07</td>
<td>3.48 ± 0.05 ± 0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Setup C</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.05 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$\varepsilon$ was derived from the calibration result shown in Fig. 2, as $\varepsilon = 0.867 ± 0.015$. This conversion factor is used throughout the discussion. Thus, the experimental count rate $R$ was obtained using the live time $t$, from the following equation,

$$R = \frac{N_{\text{RoI}}}{\varepsilon} \times \frac{1}{t}. \quad (3)$$

This $^{252}$Cf calibration was also used to confirm the validity of the detector response simulation. In the simulation, we created the same geometries as experimental setups, then generated neutrons emitted isotropically from a $^{252}$Cf point source. Table 1 shows experimental event rates of calibration and expected ones by the simulation. These results were consistent within the errors. The detector simulation, especially the thermalization in the polyethylene moderator, was confirmed by this calibration.
3 Simulations

The energy spectrum of the ambient neutrons is required to convert the experimental count rates into a neutron flux. It is extremely difficult to derive the neutron energy spectrum with a $^3$He proportional counter alone since the $^3$He counter cannot measure the incident neutron energy. To solve this problem, we took an approach to prepare the neutron energy spectrum by MC and then determine unknown parameters, i.e., absolute flux and thermalization efficiency, by measurements. Neutrons were generated in the rock of the laboratory, then they were transported from the rock to the laboratory space. In Sec. 3.1 properties of the wall rock, such as chemical components and radioactivities, are discussed as common information to all simulations. Sec. 3.2 and 3.3 describe neutron generations by U/Th radioactivities and cosmic muons in the rocks, respectively. In Sec. 3.4 energy spectra of neutrons transported to the laboratory are shown. The spectrum shape with the consideration of thermalization is shown in Sec. 3.5. Finally in Sec. 3.6 the method to derive the total neutron flux from the measured count rate and the simulated spectrum are shown.

3.1 Radioactivities and chemical components in rocks

Several pieces of rock were sampled from the experimental site. Its radioactivity was measured by a Ge detector. The concentration was measured to be 0.6 ppm for $^{238}$U and 1.3 ppm for $^{232}$Th, assuming the radiative equilibrium of U and Th series.

The chemical components were measured by X-ray Fluorescence (XRF). This sample is calc-silicate gneiss, which includes three different types of rocks with different chemical components. They were named “sample 1, 2, 3.” Sample 1 is the largest part in the sample and thus was also assumed as the main components in the wall rock. Sample 2 and 3 are assumed to be sub-components. In the discussion hereafter sample 1 is treated as the main components of the wall rock, while sample 2 and 3 are used as an additional ingredient to discuss the effects by the difference of chemical components. The results are summarized in Table 2. Igneous rock samples, which are widely distributed around Kamioka district, JR-1 and JA-3 labeled in the geochemical reference database are also shown in Table 2.

3.2 Neutrons from uranium and thorium chains

The main neutron sources in the underground laboratory are $(\alpha, n)$ reaction and spontaneous fission, which are produced by U/Th chains in the rock. The yield and energy of neutrons produced by the $(\alpha, n)$ reaction were calculated by NeuCBOT. The neutron yield was approximately 30% different from the one calculated by a widely-used similar tool,
Table 2  Sample rock components (insensitive to hydrogen and carbon)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wt.%</th>
<th>SiO&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Al&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Fe&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>MnO</th>
<th>MgO</th>
<th>CaO</th>
<th>Na&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O</th>
<th>P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>SO&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>ZnO</th>
<th>Others</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>sample 1</td>
<td>35.60</td>
<td>11.30</td>
<td>10.90</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>39.20</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sample 2</td>
<td>33.40</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>23.70</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>34.00</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sample 3</td>
<td>25.60</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>19.30</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>41.50</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>5.34</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JR-1[9]</td>
<td>75.45</td>
<td>12.83</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JA-3[9]</td>
<td>62.27</td>
<td>15.56</td>
<td>6.60</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>5.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3  Simulated neutron energy spectrum generated by $^{238}$U chain in each sample. Colored lines show the spectrum of neutrons generated by ($\alpha$, n) reaction in each sample. A smooth black line shows the spectrum by $^{238}$U spontaneous fission (Watt spectrum).

SOURCES-4C. This value was regarded as the ambiguity of ($\alpha$, n) simulation tools. In addition to the ($\alpha$, n) reaction, $^{238}$U also produces neutrons through spontaneous fissions. The spectrum known as Watt spectrum was calculated.

Fig. 3 shows the energy spectra of U chains ($\alpha$, n) reaction and $^{238}$U spontaneous fission at the production. It is seen that the number of produced neutrons changes by a factor of 10 depending on the chemical components of the rock. The amount of sodium, aluminum, and silicon was found to affect the total yield. The spectrum shapes also vary according to the chemical components. With the existence of manganese and iron, the energy spectra are likely to have high energy components.
3.3 Neutrons from cosmic muons

Cosmic muons also generate neutrons. The neutron energy spectrum and yield produced in the rock by cosmic muons were simulated by Geant4. A 1 m$^3$ rock cube was made in the simulation and muons were generated from the upper side. Muon energy spectrum and flux at the Kamioka Observatory followed the ones described in Ref. [11]. In 1 m muon path, 20–30% of muon produced neutrons on average. The expected spectra of sample 1–3 are shown in Fig. 4.

3.4 Transportation from rock

The transported neutron energy spectra in the rock were estimated by Geant4. A 1 m diameter sphere was placed as an experimental laboratory, surrounded by a 2 m thick rock. Neutrons were produced following the energy spectra shown in Fig. 3 isotropically from 1 m depth the rock. Fig. 5 shows the energy spectra of the transported neutron to the experimental laboratory for sample 1. Dips around sub-keV–MeV in the spectra are due to strong resonance absorption of nuclei in the rock.

The cosmic muon can produce higher energy neutron, over 10 MeV. The neutron yield generated from cosmic muons was about 100 times less than those from U/Th chains in the rock. Therefore, we ignored the contribution of cosmic muons to ambient neutron flux and spectrum in this study.
Fig. 5  Transferred neutron spectrum of each source for sample 1. The top black line shows summed spectrum without muon contribution.

3.5 Thermalization in rock

The “total” spectrum in Fig. 5 is not yet a realistic one since the moderator such as hydrogen in the rock was not taken into account. Thus the deceleration and thermalization of the neutrons were not sufficiently considered. With the existence of hydrogen in the rock, generated spectra were not significantly changed, but transferred ones were deformed. Fig. 6 shows the energy spectra from the rocks containing 0, 3 and 6% of hydrogen by mass. The percentage of hydrogen was regarded as a thermalization parameter. This parameter is referred to as % of hydrogen equivalent (h.e.) hereafter to parametrize the thermalization in the rock. The thermalization effect, or % of h.e., can be experimentally determined using the ratio of the count rates between setup A and B ($R_A/R_B$). Since setup A has the much larger efficiency to thermal neutrons than setup B as shown in Fig. 1, a larger $R_A/R_B$ indicates a larger % of h.e. For example, $R_A/R_B$ values in the case of sample 1 with 0, 3, and 6% of h.e. are simulated, and obtained to be 1.15, 2.91, and 3.29, respectively. This ratio is found to be sensitive to % of h.e., therefore it can be determined by comparing the measured and predicted $R_A/R_B$. With the determined % of h.e., the most likely spectrum is known.

The energy spectra were obtained by Geant4. Since the thermalization process is known to be difficult to simulate, another simulation code, PHITS [12] (ver. 3.02), was also used to simulate the transportation and thermalization. It was found that the neutrons are thermalized about 50% more efficient by PHITS than Geant4 for the same % of h.e. For example, the spectrum with 3% of h.e. by Geant4 was almost identical to that with 2% of h.e. by
Fig. 6  Transported neutron spectra from the rocks with different percentage of hydrogen equivalent (h. e.) in sample 1. A dotted line shows $1/E$ as a flat spectrum. Thermalized spectra have excesses around 0.025 eV and 100 keV to a few MeV.

PHITS. The absolute amount of hydrogen in the rock will be determined with relatively large uncertainty, but the most likely spectrum shape can be obtained regardless of the uncertainty due to the simulation tool dependence.

3.6 Calculation of total flux

The conversion factor to obtain the ambient neutron flux from the measured count rate was evaluated by simulation considering the spectrum shape. Neutrons were generated with the energy spectrum obtained in Sec. 3.5 for the three types of setups. Neutrons were beamed from a spherical surface whose radius $r$ (cm) was sufficiently large to include the setup. The direction was weighted with $\cos \theta$ distribution in the normal direction in order to realize isotropic flux. In this way, produced fluence $\phi_{MC}$ (cm$^{-2}$) is given by

$$\phi_{MC} = \frac{N_{MC,GEN}}{\pi \times r^2}. \quad (4)$$

$N_{MC,GEN}$ is the number of the generated neutron in the simulation. We defined the number of detected neutrons in the simulation as $N_{MC,DET}$, which depends on the spectrum shape discussed in Sec. 3.5. When we obtain the experimental count rate $R_A$ (cps) in setup A, neutron flux $\Phi$ (cm$^{-2}$ s$^{-1}$) is calculated as,

$$\Phi = \frac{\phi_{MC}}{N_{MC,DET}} \times R_A. \quad (5)$$
Table 3  Count rate and live time in each setup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Setup</th>
<th>Start</th>
<th>Stop</th>
<th>Live time (day)</th>
<th>Rate (10$^{-3}$cps) ± stat. ± sys.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Feb. 19 2016</td>
<td>Mar. 20 2016</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>1.295 ± 0.034 +0.039 +0.033 −0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Oct. 19 2017</td>
<td>Nov. 8 2017</td>
<td>19.27</td>
<td>0.446 ± 0.018 +0.013 +0.011 −0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Sep. 21 2017</td>
<td>Oct. 19 2017</td>
<td>23.97</td>
<td>0.153 ± 0.009 +0.005 +0.004 −0.004</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 7  The ratio of count rates $R_A/R_B$ as a function of % of h. e. in each rock sample. Circle, triangle, box, star, and diamond show the simulated ratios with rock sample 1, 2, 3, JR-1, and JA-3, respectively. The solid line shows the experimental result, and the dotted lines show the statistical error. Sample 1 with 2 – 4% of h. e. reproduces the experimental result.

4  Results and discussions

4.1  Experimental results

Measurements performed with three setups are summarized in Table 3. We excluded the data in which background event rate above the RoI was not stable. About 1.5% of the events in each run were rejected as noise events by a simple waveform analysis. The errors of the rejection, the $\varepsilon$ and detector gain fluctuation (within 5%) were taken into account as a systematic error.

The ratio of count rate for setup A and B was obtained as,

$$\frac{R_A}{R_B} = \frac{1.295 \pm 0.034}{0.446 \pm 0.018} = 2.90 \pm 0.14.$$
Table 4  Total neutron flux calculated by the simulated spectrum shape, which is obtained from each % of h. e. in sample 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% of h. e.</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flux $\Phi \times 10^{-6}\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$</td>
<td>10.24</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>5.09</td>
<td>4.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most likely spectrum

Fig. 8  The most likely spectrum (sample 1 with 3% of h. e.) of ambient neutrons produced by $(\alpha, n)$ reactions and spontaneous fission in Lab-B at the Kamioka Observatory.

Since almost all systematic errors were canceled out by taking the ratio, only the statistical error was taken into account. The measured ratio is shown by a solid line with statistical errors by dotted lines in Fig. 7. The simulated ratios are also shown for different rock samples as a function of % of h. e. Using Equation (5), we obtained total flux $\Phi$ in each energy spectrum (Table 4). If we regard the amount of hydrogen is the only cause of thermalization, the most likely spectrum is obtained using sample 1 with 2 - 4% of h. e. The assumption, sample 1 with 3% of h. e., well reproduces the experimental results. The most likely spectrum assuming sample 1 with 3% of h. e. is shown in Fig. 8 with overlaying spectra of 2% and 4% of h. e. for comparison. The differences among the three spectra are unrecognizable. This means that this analysis is robust against the ambiguity of the % of h. e.

Two points (star and diamond) in Fig. 7, JR-1 and JA-3 with 1% of h. e., also can reproduce the experimental ratio. These compositions derive similar spectra to the most likely one, thus calculated fluxes are also similar. Their fluxes are $5.49 \times 10^{-6}\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ and $5.48 \times 10^{-6}\text{cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}$ in the range of error as described hereinafter.
Table 5  The ambient neutron flux in Kamioka observatory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Energy</th>
<th>Flux (×10^{-6} cm^{-2}s^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-0.5 eV</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5 eV - 1 keV</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 keV - 1 MeV</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 MeV -</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Most of the previous researches assumed a spectrum shape of Boltzmann distribution and flat as $1/E$ for thermal and fast neutrons, respectively. The result obtained in this research basically supports the assumption but suggests the existence of excess in 100 keV to a few MeV region. This energy range is important for dark matter experiments and this result would generally affect the background estimation in many underground sites.

When we use the spectrum by sample 1 with 3% of h. e., the obtained total ambient neutron flux is $5.52 \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ from Table 4. Table 5 shows the fluxes for each energy range. Minamino reported that the flux was $(8.26 \pm 0.58) \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ and $(11.5 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$ for thermal ($E < 0.5$ eV) and non-thermal neutrons in Kamioka Observatory, respectively [2]. The difference attributes in part to the estimation of the spectrum shape in the underground laboratory. In addition, any shielding material containing boron was not used, and the detector was placed in the different laboratory from our measurement site at the Kamioka Observatory.

The flux obtained by this study is the same order of magnitude as those of other underground laboratories, LNGS [13] and LSM [14].

4.2 Errors and discussions

The considered flux errors are summarized in Table 6. The error of spectrum shape was discussed in Sec. 3.5. It is estimated by the uncertainty of % of h. e. from 2 to 4%. The error of detector MC for fast neutrons corresponds to the difference from $^{252}$Cf calibration as already shown in Table 4. Since all errors are independent, we obtain $+8.5\%$ and $-9.4\%$ as the total error for the ambient neutron flux. As a result, the flux is $(5.52 \pm 0.16 \text{ stat. } ^{+0.44}_{-0.50} \text{ sys.}) \times 10^{-6}$ cm$^{-2}$s$^{-1}$.

The simulations in this study can predict the absolute value of the neutron flux in principle. However, the predicted flux has much ambiguity due to the uncertainties of rock properties (chemical components, the amount of U/Th radioactivities, and density), the ambiguity due to simulation tools (neutron generation and transportation). The total factor of ambiguity was found to be more than 4 in this study. Thus two ambiguities in MC, total
Table 6  Errors of the ambient neutron flux

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Error</th>
<th>Value (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Statistical error in measurement</td>
<td>±2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systematic error in measurement</td>
<td>+3.0 -2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectrum shape</td>
<td>+5.4 -7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detector MC for fast neutron</td>
<td>+5.1 -4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>+8.5 -9.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

flux and % of h. e., were treated as unknown parameters and were determined by the experimental results. Neutron spectra generated in the rock depend on the chemical components of the rock, as shown in Fig. 3. Accordingly, % of h. e. determined by the comparison between expected and measured $R_A/R_B$ values still has large uncertainty due to the chemical components as shown in Fig. 7. However, this comparison can determine the combination of chemical components and % of h. e. and expected spectrum shapes were found to show very little difference. This process can be understood that more number of high energy neutrons (see sample 2, 3 in Fig. 3) requires relatively more amount of hydrogen assumption for the thermalization (see Fig. 7) for a given value of $R_A/R_B$. Therefore it turned out that the analysis using $R_A/R_B$ is robust against the ambiguity of the amount of the chemical component for the thermalization to obtain the spectrum shape. Thus the absolute neutron flux was determined with relatively small errors.

4.3 Sensitivity to the MeV neutrons

The obtained spectrum and flux can be used to predict the count rate with setup C. The predicted rate, $R_{C,MC} = (0.085 \pm 0.009) \times 10^{-3}$ cps, is smaller than the experimental one, $R_C = (0.153 \pm 0.009 \pm 0.005) \times 10^{-3}$ cps. One of the reason is that $R_C$ is about 10 times less than $R_A$ and the neutrons other than the ambient ones from the rock might not be negligible. In setup C, the contribution of fast neutrons generated around the detector, such as neutrons by cosmic muons penetrating the detector and $(\alpha, n)$ reactions in the detector materials could have increased the count rate. It is difficult to precisely estimate the source of the fast neutron with this detector. Other detectors which are sensitive to higher energy neutrons, such as liquid organic scintillators, need to be used for further understanding of the energy spectrum predicted by this work.
5 Conclusion

Ambient neutron is one of the most serious backgrounds for low background experiments performed in underground laboratories. The neutron flux and its energy spectrum are required to estimate the background precisely and reject it effectively. The main sources of the neutrons in the deep underground laboratories are \((\alpha, n)\) reaction and spontaneous fission produced by U and Th contents in the wall rock. The expected neutron spectrum in the underground laboratory was derived by the simulation using U/Th amounts and chemical components in the rock as initial parameters. The simulation requires thermalization parameter to obtain a realistic energy spectrum. This parameter can be determined by the experimental count rates of different setups.

An ambient neutron measurement was performed at the Kamioka Observatory. A \(^3\)He proportional counter was used to mainly detect thermal neutrons. Higher energy neutrons were measured by different setups with surrounding materials of polyethylene moderator and boron-contained sheet. The most likely energy spectrum was obtained in this study. Using the spectrum, the total neutron flux was calculated to be \((5.52 \pm 0.16^{\text{stat.}} \pm 0.44_{-0.50}^{+0.50} \text{sys.}) \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\). This experimental results and simulations suggest the existence of excess above \(1/E\) in MeV region in the ambient neutron spectrum. \(^3\)He proportional counter is not sensitive to the region, thus other detectors are needed to understand the spectrum structure in detail.
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