This paper reports the force spectroscopy analysis of a polymer that translocates from one side of a membrane to the other side through an extended pore, pulled by a cantilever that moves with constant velocity against the damping and the potential barrier generated by the reaction of the membrane walls. The polymer is modeled as a beads-springs chain with both excluded volume and bending contributions, and moves in a stochastic three dimensional environment described by a Langevin dynamics at fixed temperature. The force trajectories recorded at different velocities reveal two unexplored exponential regimes: the force increases when the first part of the chain enters the pore, and then decreases when the first monomer reaches the trans region. The spectroscopy analysis of the force values permit the estimation of the free energy barrier as well as the limit force to permit the translocation. The stall force to maintain the polymer fixed has been also calculated independently, and its value confirms the force spectroscopy outcomes.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Translocation of long molecules through membrane nanopores is a common process in living cells. Drug delivery, DNA, RNA, and proteins passage through cell and/or membrane pores, as well as DNA injection and packaging by phage viruses are a few examples of a broad interesting phenomenology [1]. The passage of polymers through nanopores is also a fundamental problem in those nanotechnological studies that try to emulate the complex biological processes involved in the process [2,3], and translocation is a basis of the next generation of DNA sequencing [4].

For these reasons translocation processes is under a deep investigation. With the ambition of efficiently describe the complexity of biological matter in a reasonable time, different mesoscopic models for polymer translocation have been introduced [8]. In some very simplified models a single barrier potential, eventually depending on time, is introduced to depict the overall translocation process [9-11], or by using ratchet-like potentials [12,13].

Motivated by the results of different experiments with passive pores [14,15], many studies of translocation have been performed, mainly under constant forces, either pore-driven or end-pulled [17]. The role of active nanopores, with time dependent mechanisms assisting the translocation, has been only more recently considered. The stochastic opening or closing of the pore channel [18,19] is usually modelled by means of a dichotomous Markov noise (random telegraph noise) [20,22], and the pore activity is modelled as a sinusoidal pore actuation [21,23,24]. Sometimes, the translocation is assisted by ATP-fueled molecular motors [26,27], modelled by specific stochastic forces [26,30].

The main measure studied in the translocation problem is the time spent by the polymer to cross the membrane, and no much attention has been given to the force and the energy involved in the process, i.e. the free energy difference gained during the translocation in passing the potential barrier generated by the membrane walls. These kind of energetic studies have been recently addressed in many out of equilibrium processes by using the single molecule dynamic force spectroscopy. The method consists in the application of controlled forces to biological macromolecules or complexes [33,34], by pulling one edge of the object at a constant velocity in order to induce conformational changes such as unfolding of proteins [35], nucleic acids [36], DNA secondary structures as the G-quadruplex [37] or dissociation of ligand-receptor complexes [38,42]. This velocity dependent end-pulling has been also implemented in some DNA translocation experiments [43].

A number of models have been created in order to extract quantitative information from those velocity-
dependent forces, all of them based in the thermal barrier escape. The Bell-Evans-Ritchie \cite{44} approach is the first and more approximated one, where the barrier height is modified by the applied force. A more refined one is the Dudko-Hummer-Szabo model \cite{45}, where various aspects of the potential profile are modelled, as barrier position, barrier height, and escape rate. Both the above models are build under the nontrivial hypothesis that no refolding events, or reattachments, occur in the modelled phenomenon. So, they are useful to model systems pulled at relatively high velocities, when the refolding probability is low. Differently, in Friddle-Noy-DeYoreo (FNY) approach \cite{46}, does take into account those refolding occurrences. In this paper we study a set of translocation trajectories where the polymer is edge-pulled at a constant velocity, and apply the FNY model to analyze the force recorded at different velocities, under the hypothesis that the translocation can be minimalistically described as the crossing of a free energy barrier, in analogy way as a rupture events. The recombination event taken into account in this approach well describe the temporary – eventually multiple – return back to the cis side of the monomer that entered the pore. With this method it is possible to evaluate at the same time the free energy barrier related to the translocation, and the limit force to apply in order to allow the very translocation.

II. MODELS AND SYSTEM EQUATIONS.

The polymer is formed by \( N \) identical monomers moving in the three-dimensional space, that interact by means of elastic bonding, bending energy, excluded volume effects and interactions with both the membrane and the pore. The potential energy is given by \( V_{\text{el}}(d_i) = \frac{k_i}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N}(d_i - d_0)^2 \), where \( k_i \) is the elastic parameter, \( d_i = |d_{i+1} - r_i| \) is the distance between the monomers \( i \) and \( i+1 \), with \( r_i \) the position of the \( i \)-th particle and \( d_0 \) the equilibrium distance between consecutive monomers. The bending energy of the chain is taken into account with the term \( V_{\text{ben}}(\theta_i) = \frac{k_{\text{b}}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} [1 - \cos(\theta_i - \theta_0)] \), where \( k_{\text{b}} \) is the bending elastic constant, \( \theta_i \) is the angle between the links \( d_{i+1} \) and \( d_i \), and \( \theta_0 \) the equilibrium angle, with \( \theta_0 = 0 \) in our case. With this term, our model is a discrete version of the worm-like chain (WLC) model. In order to consider excluded volume effects between any couple of monomers, a repulsive only Lennard-Jones potential has been taken into account: \( V_{\text{LJ}}(r_{ij}) = 4\epsilon \sum_{i \neq j=1}^{N} \left[ (\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}})^{12} - (\frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}})^6 \right] \) for \( r_{ij} \leq 2^{1/6}\sigma \), and \(-\epsilon\) otherwise, with \( r_{ij} \) the distance between monomer \( i \) and monomer \( j \). The dynamics of every monomer is obtained by the overdamped Langevin equation of motion

\[
\frac{m \gamma_i}{\partial t} = - \nabla_i V_{\text{el}}(d_i) - \nabla_i V_{\text{ben}}(\theta_i) - \nabla_i V_{\text{LJ}}(r_{ij}) + \mathbf{F}_{\text{drv},i} + \mathbf{F}_{\text{sp},i} + \sqrt{2m \gamma \kappa B T} \xi_i(t),
\]

where the effective viscosity parameter of each monomer is included in the normalised time units. \( \xi_i(t) \) stands for the Gaussian uncorrelated thermal fluctuation and follows the usual statistical properties \( \langle \xi_i(t) \rangle = 0 \) and \( \langle \xi_i(t) \xi_j(t') \rangle = \delta_{ij} \delta_0 \delta(t' - t) \), with \( i = 1, ..., N \), \( \alpha = x, y, z \), and \( \nabla_i = \partial/\partial x_i \). The force term \( \mathbf{F}_{\text{sp}} \) includes both the chain-membrane and chain-pore spatial constraint. This interaction force is modelled with the same repulsive Lennard-Jones potential described above. It takes place uniformly and perpendicularly to all the planes that define both the membrane and the pore channel, modelled as a square prism of base \( L_M \) and length \( L_H \) (see Fig. 1).

Finally, \( \mathbf{F}_{\text{drv}} \) is the driving force provided by an external source. In accordance with experimental procedures, the cantilever move with constant velocity \( v \), and pulls the potential through a quadratic potential, modelled as an interposed harmonic spring attached to the first monomer of the chain (See Fig. 1). The resulting force is \( \mathbf{F}_{\text{drv}}(x) = -k_A (x - l_A - z_N(t)) \), then the loading force rate \( r = dF(t)/dt \approx k_A v \). \( k_A \) and \( l_A \) are, respectively, the elastic constant and rest length of the spring, and \( z_N(t) \) is the position of the first monomer of the chain, where the force is applied. The use of the spring to apply the force to the polymer presents two advantages: From one hand it mimics the force applied to a molecule by an optical tweezer or an atomic force microscope commonly used in pulling experiments at constant velocity; On the other hand, it allows to record the value of the force applied in each instant of time, according to the polymer reaction to the pull and the pore interaction. Its analysis is performed by using the FNY model, which predicts a mean rupture/unfolding force

\[
\langle F_u \rangle = f_{eq} = \frac{1}{\beta x_u} \exp \left( \frac{2m(L_M^2)}{\beta x_u} \right) \frac{E_1 \left( \frac{k_u f_{eq}}{\beta x_u} \right)}{E_1 \left( \frac{k_u f_{eq}}{\beta x_u} \right) - 1},
\]

where \( x_u \) is the barrier position, \( \gamma \approx 0.577 \) is the Euler-Marchesoni constant, \( \beta = 1/k_B T \), and \( E_1(z) = \int_{z}^\infty e^{-t} \frac{dt}{t} \) is the exponential integral which is interpolated by \( e^{ - \gamma_E z} \approx \ln(1 + e^{-\gamma_E z}) \). \( k_u(f) = k_0 \exp(\beta f x_u - 0.5k_0 x_u^2) \) is the force dependent escape rate with \( k_0 \) the escape constant without force, \( k_A \) is the elastic constant of the cantilever, and \( f_{eq} \) the equilibrium force that is given by \( f_{eq} = \sqrt{2 \kappa B G^+} \), with \( G^+ \) the barrier height.

Units and parameters. Following \cite{21}, we define \( m, l_0 \), and \( c_0 \) as the mass, the length, and the energy units respectively. This choice determines a Lennard-Jones time scale given by \( t_{LJ} = (m l_0^2 / c_0)^{1/2} \). However, as the dynamics we propose is overdamped, the time scale that normalise the equation of motion Eq. (1) is \( t_{OD} = \gamma t_{LJ}^2 \), thus depending on the damping parameter. To set some values, let us consider a DNA molecule at room temperature \( k_B T = 4.1 \text{ pN\textmu m} \) and the simplest model with \( k_0 = 0 \). We have fixed our simulation temperature to \( k_B T = 0.1 \) in dimensionless units. This choice fixes our energy unit in \( c_0 = 41 \text{ pN\textmu m} \). By setting \( l_0 = 1.875 \text{ nm} \) and \( m = 936 \text{ amu} \), we obtain \( t_{LJ} \approx 0.38 \text{ ps} \), while the force unit is given by \( c_0 / l_0 = 21.9 \text{ pN} \). An estimation for
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We use a channel with a fixed length, $L_M = 5.5d_0$, longer than the distance of two consecutive monomer, and square section $L_H = 2d_0$. The rest distance between adjacent monomers is $d_0 = 1$ and $k_b = 1600$, large enough to maintain the bonds of the chain rigid. The Lennard-Jones energy is $\epsilon = 0.3$, and $\sigma = 0.88$. The values of $d_0$, $\sigma$, $L_M$ and $L_H$ guarantees that the polymer is main-
tained almost linear and ordered inside the pore. Also,
the different choices of the bending constant $k_b$, gives
the possibility to study the TT for different persistence
lengths of the chain, i.e. the stiffness of the polymer. For
our model $L_p = k_b/k_B T$. Thus for example we obtain
$L_p = 5d_0$ for $k_b = 0.5$. We span different velocities $v$ of the
cantilever for the subsequent analysis.

Definitions and simulation details. The $N_{exp} = 300$
simulations start with all the monomers lying linearly
ordered along the $x$-axis at the rest equilibrium dis-
tance, with the monomer closest to the pore far away
from the pore entrance. During a thermalization time
$t_t = 1000t_u$, the chain evolves under the action of thermal
fluctuations in order to reach a thermalized state
according to the temperature. After that transient time,
the full dynamics given by Eqs. [7] acts, and, because of
the application of the pull force, the polymer start mov-
ing directed to the pore, while keeping fixed the position
of the firsts five monomers, in order to drive their en-
posite direction of the movement. This contribution is not easy to evaluate directly. For this reason we use a force spectroscopy approach which consists in measuring the mean force recorded during the translocation at the pulling spring.

Fig. 3 shows the ensemble average over $N_{exp}$ of the mean force $\langle F \rangle$ registered at the spring from the first entrance of the first monomer up to the last entrance of the last one (See Fig 2), as a function of the pulling velocity for $N = 32$, $k_b = 10^{-3}$. The full line in the figure reports the fit to the calculated points according to the FNY model, showing an excellent agreement with the data. The model (see Eq. [2]) provides a quantitative estimation of the most relevant magnitudes involved in the escape from a potential well. In particular the free energy barrier $G^+ \approx 1.92$, and $f_{eq} \approx 0.88$, i.e. the minimum force necessary to translocate.

The force recorded in the spring allows the calculation of the work per monomer transferred to the polymer by the cantilever. It can be calculated as $W_m = \int_{x_N(t_{in})}^{x_N(t_{out})} F \, dx/N - W_{drag}$ in each trajectory, and averaged over the number of realizations $N_{exp}$ by using the Jarzynski equality [48], i.e. $W^* = -1/\beta \ln(e^{-\beta W_m})$. The subtracted term $W_{drag} = F_{drag}[x_N(t_{in}) - x_N(t_{out})]/N$ is the estimated work to drag the polymer, excluded from the energy barrier $G^+$ evaluation. $W^*$ is then the Helmholtz free energy difference $\Delta F$ between the trans and the cis side, because the two states are not at the same level given the directionality imposed by the pulling. The results are shown in the inset of Fig. 3.

The equilibrium value $F_{eq}$ obtained with the FNY fit can be compared with the force calculated with a different method. A set of calculations has been performed by maintaining the spring at the trans edge of the pore channel, and measuring the average force registered at the spring, which is so the necessary one to hold the chain at a fixed position (scheme in the left panel of Fig. 4).

Fig. 4 (right panel) reports the results of these simulations for different number of monomers $N$, evidencing a weak dependence of the stall force with the polymer length. The inset of the same panel shows the monotonic decrease of the stall force $\langle F_{stall} \rangle$ as a function of the bending parameter $k_b$. We see that, in static conditions, a lower force is required to maintain the chain inside the pore. This is due to the fact that a stiffer polymer moves with a higher damping in the longitudinal direction, so allowing a smaller force to hold the chain. This behaviour also agrees with a longer translocation time recorded for stiffer chains [24]. Together with it, the curve of $F_{eq}$ evaluated by means of the FNY fit has been plotted for different bending values. The two curves have a similar trend and partially the same values. Given the complexity of the system, we consider them in a reasonable agreement with each other. In fact, while the $\langle F_{stall} \rangle$ is measured with fixed conditions of the cantilever, the dynamical origin of the $F_{eq}$ (measured during the translocation) make those two measures slightly different each other, tough correlated. In the first case the mean force $\langle F_{stall} \rangle$ is the necessary one to maintain the chain attached to the pore, in the other the case $F_{eq}$ is the limit force necessary to permit the translocation. So, the two forces are expected to be very similar, though not identical. The differences are appreciable in particular at high bending values, where $F_{eq}$ goes higher than the $\langle F_{stall} \rangle$ curve that, instead, tends to very low values.

![FIG. 3: Average force $F$ registered at the spring during the translocation as a function of the pulling velocity $v$ (symbols) for $N = 32$, $k_A = 0.2$, $k_b = 10^{-3}$, with the corresponding FNY fit (full line). Inset: The work $W^*$ transferred by the cantilever without the work to drag the polymer $W_{drag}$ per translocated monomer.](image-url)

![FIG. 4: Left panel: Stall force setup. The cantilever edge remains fixed at the pore exit while the dynamics runs. Right panel: Mean value of the stall force $\langle F_{stall} \rangle$ registered at the spring as a function of the pulling velocity for $N = 32$. The full line in the figure reports the fit to the calculated points according to the FNY model, showing an excellent agreement with the data. The model (see Eq. [2]) provides a quantitative estimation of the most relevant magnitudes involved in the escape from a potential well. In particular the free energy barrier $G^+ \approx 1.92$, and $f_{eq} \approx 0.88$, i.e. the minimum force necessary to translocate.](image-url)
IV. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS.

We have studied the translocation of a polymer chain pulled by a cantilever moving at a constant velocity through a uniformly repulsive pore membrane in a fluctuating environment. The rate dependence of the mean translocation force as a function of the velocity has been calculated and its analysis with the FNY approach is able to quantitatively characterize the potential barrier of the membrane as well as the limit force allowing the translocation. This last magnitude has been calculated in static conditions with a different approach, and we found a reasonable agreement of their respective values. This work constitutes an explorative approach to the force spectroscopy analysis in polymer translocation, which appears to be a suitable method to face the richness of the translocation problem.
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